A tunable quantum dissipator for active resonator reset in circuit QED
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We propose a method for fast, deterministic resonator reset based on tunable dissipative modes. The dissipator is based on a Josephson junction with relatively low quality factor. When the dissipator is tuned into resonance with a high quality microwave resonator, resonator photons are absorbed by the dissipator at a rate orders of magnitude faster than the resonator relaxation rate. We determine the optimal parameters for realization of the tunable dissipator, and examine application of the dissipator to removing spurious photon population in the qubit readout resonator in circuit quantum electrodynamics. We show that even in the nonlinear large photon occupation regime, this enhanced resonator decay rate can be attained by appropriate modulation of the dissipator frequency.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum error correction (QEC) demands fast, repetitive, and high fidelity measurement of ancilla qubits to detect errors [1]. In circuit quantum electrodynamics (QED) systems, qubit measurement is performed by monitoring transmission of a microwave probe tone across a linear resonator that is dispersively coupled to the qubit. During the measurement, the resonator is populated with a large number of photons that must be removed before resuming gate operations; otherwise, the residual photons continue to measure and hence dephase the qubit [1] [2]. In many cases, resonator ring-down occupies a significant fraction of the QEC cycle. Speeding up the resonator depletion rate is thus a challenging and important goal for QEC. Fast resonator reset is also important for quantum simulation [3].

Two approaches have been pursued for reducing the time needed to reset the resonator to its ground state. Passive reset schemes use low quality (Q) resonators to-gether with a Purcell filter to inhibit qubit relaxation [4] [5]: however, the depletion time, set by the photon leakage rate, is still limited by the size of the dispersive shift required for high fidelity readout [6]. Active reset methods use high Q resonators and apply pulse sequences that remove photons from the resonator [7] [8]. However, complicated pulse sequences are necessary in the nonlinear regime, and depletion rates significantly faster than the bare resonator decay rate have yet to be achieved with this approach [8] [9].

In this paper, we propose a deterministic resonator reset scheme based on tunable dissipative modes. The dissipator is formed by a strongly damped, frequency-tunable Josephson junction. When the dissipator is tuned to resonance with the readout resonator, the resonator relaxes at the rate of the dissipator mode, which can be significantly faster than previous proposals. When the dissipator is far detuned from the resonator, its damping of the readout resonator is minimal. We show that at the optimal the resonator-dissipator coupling, resonator photons can be depleted at a fraction of the dissipator damping rate. Furthermore, we show that fast depletion of the resonator can be attained in the nonlinear regime by appropriate modulation of the dissipator frequency. Our proposal has the advantage of simplicity, as it does not require sophisticated pulse sequences. A device similar to that proposed here has been successfully applied to photon depletion in a recent experiment on qubit readout using photon counting [10]. A dissipator formed with a low quality resonator has been studied in Ref. [11]. A broadband dissipative environment formed by Josephson junction chains was proposed in Ref. [12].

In addition to resonator reset, the circuit proposed here could be used to study driven-dissipative phase transitions of coupled nonlinear oscillators [13] and for quantum bath engineering [14]. It could also be relevant for engineering driven dissipative cat qubits [14]. Energy exchange between two resonators coupled by a microwave transmission line was studied in Ref. [15].

The central goal of this paper is to optimize the resonator photon number depletion rate as a function of the resonator-dissipator coupling g for fixed dissipator relaxation rate γ. That an optimal ratio of g/γ exists can be seen by the following argument. When g ≳ γ, photons are exchanged between the resonator (mode a) and dissipator (mode b) via Rabi-like oscillations at the frequency g. Since the photons spend half the time in the dissipator with damping rate γ, the depletion rate should be given by the average decay rate γ/2. On the other hand, when g ≪ γ, the dissipator mode is broadened into a continuum of states with linewidth γ, so that there will be a very small density of states resonant with resonator modes. Here, relaxation occurs via the Purcell effect. The relaxation rate can be estimated from Fermi’s golden rule, which gives the transition rate to the dissipator mode Γa→b = (2π/ℏ)g2ρb(ωa) ≳ g2/γ, where ρb(ω) ≃ 1/γ is the effective dissipator density of states [10] and ωa the resonator frequency. In this regime, the depletion rate decreases as a function of γ. This argument suggests an optimal point at γ ≃ g. We will find that this estimate is quantitatively correct in the linear
weakly anharmonic and modeled by the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{sys}} = H_a + H_b + H_{ab}$. The circuit diagram and its quantized modes are shown in Fig. 1.

II. MODEL AND FORMALISM

For qubit readout, a microwave resonator is coupled to a qubit in the dispersive limit, $\tilde{g} \ll \Delta_q$, where $\tilde{g}$ is qubit-resonator coupling and $\Delta_q = \omega_q - \omega_a$ is the qubit-resonator frequency detuning. In this limit, the qubit-resonator Hamiltonian projected onto the qubit logical states reads [7, 17, 18]

$$H_a' = \left(\omega_q - \chi a^\dagger a\right)\frac{\tau_a}{2} + \left(\omega_a - \frac{\chi}{2}\right)a^\dagger a - \frac{K_a}{2} a^\dagger a^\dagger a a ,$$

where $\chi \approx -E_C/n_{\text{crit}}$ is the qubit-induced dispersive shift of the resonator frequency, $K_a \approx E_C/n_{\text{crit}}^2$ is the qubit-induced self-Kerr coefficient of the resonator, and $n_{\text{crit}} = \Delta_q^2/4g^2$ is the critical photon number.

We consider coupling the resonator to a dissipator made from a strongly damped $Q_b \sim 100$, frequency-tunable Josephson junction. The dissipator mode is weakly anharmonic and modeled by the Hamiltonian

$$H_b = \omega_b b^\dagger b - \frac{K_b}{2} b^\dagger b b^\dagger b b ,$$

where $b$ denotes the destruction operator for the dissipator modes, $\omega_b/2\pi$ is the tunable dissipator frequency, and $K_b$ is the dissipator Kerr coefficient.

The coupling between resonator and dissipator modes is governed by the Hamiltonian [see Appendix A]

$$H_{ab} = -g(a - a^\dagger)(b - b^\dagger) .$$

The system Hamiltonian is $H_{\text{sys}} = H_a' + H_b + H_{ab}$. The diagram and its quantized modes are shown in Fig. 1.

FIG. 1. (a) Circuit for deterministic resonator reset. Circuit $a$ on the left is the readout resonator coupled to a qubit. Circuit $b$ on the right is the dissipator represented as a resonator with tunable inductance shunted by a resistor $R$. (b) Energy levels of the resonator (mode $a$) and the dissipator (mode $b$). The broadening of mode $b$ energy levels due to the large dissipator damping rate $\gamma$ is indicated by the blue shading. Nonlinearity causes nonuniform energy spacings in both the resonator and the dissipator.

The coupling of the resonator to its input port and the dissipator to its external environment is modeled by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{env}} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\omega(A_\omega^\dagger A_\omega + B_\omega^\dagger B_\omega) - i\sqrt{\kappa}(A_\omega^\dagger a - a^\dagger A_\omega) - i\sqrt{\kappa}(B_\omega^\dagger b - b^\dagger B_\omega)\right] .$$

Here, $\sqrt{\kappa}$ is the input port coupling to an external transmission line used to drive the resonator, we neglect internal losses in the resonator, and $A_\omega$ and $B_\omega$ are the transmission line and dissipator bath mode operators, respectively. The dissipator’s equivalent shunt resistance $R$ is represented as a coupling $\sqrt{\kappa}$ of the $b$ modes to the $B_\omega$ modes of a semi-infinite transmission line with characteristic impedance $R$ [19, 20]. The dissipator environment is modeled by an equivalent shunt resistance $R = 1/\omega_b C_b$ tan $\delta$, where tan $\delta \sim 10^{-2}$ is the loss tangent. The dissipator relaxation rate $\gamma > \kappa$ is related to the circuit parameters by $\gamma = 1/RC_b$. The total Hamiltonian is $H = H_{\text{sys}} + H_{\text{env}}$.

The Heisenberg-Langevin equations in the rotating wave approximation (RWA) are given by [21]

$$\dot{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{2} a + i(\omega_a - K_a \hat{n}_a) - i g b - \sqrt{\kappa} \hat{n}_a ,$$

$$\dot{b} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} b + i(\omega_b - K_b \hat{n}_b) b - g a - \sqrt{\kappa} \hat{n}_b ,$$

where we have neglected small terms in the damping matrix of order $g/\omega_b$; see Appendix B. The dissipator $b$ is
in equilibrium with a thermal bath \( \langle h_{in}(\omega)h_{in}(\omega') \rangle \approx 2\pi f_B(\omega)\delta(\omega - \omega') \), where \( f_B(\omega) = (e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T} - 1)^{-1} \) is the Bose distribution at the temperature \( T \). The bath modes thermalize at dilution refrigerator temperatures \( T \sim 10 \text{ mK} \) so that the dissipator initially has negligibly small occupation. The equations of motion Eq. (5) contain all the physics we study in this paper.

III. LINEAR DYNAMICS OF RESONATOR AND DISSIPATOR MODES

A. Mode damping

We first consider the linear regime, setting \( K_a = K_b = 0 \). Introducing the vector operators \( \vec{X} = (a \, b) \) and \( \vec{F} = (\sqrt{\kappa a_{in}} \sqrt{\gamma b_{in}}) \), Eq. (5) reads

\[
\dot{\vec{X}} = -i(\vec{\epsilon} + \vec{M})\vec{X} - \vec{F},
\]

where

\[
\vec{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_b/2 - i\gamma_1/4 & -g \\ -g & -\Delta_b/2 + i\gamma_2/4 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\( \Delta_b = \omega_b - \omega_a \) is the detuning between the resonator and the dissipator, \( \vec{\epsilon} = \vec{\omega} - i\vec{\gamma}/2 \) is a complex parameter characterized by the average frequency \( \vec{\omega} \equiv (\omega_a + \omega_b)/2 \) and the average decay rate \( \vec{\gamma} \equiv (\kappa + \gamma)/2 \), and \( \gamma_1 \equiv \gamma - \kappa \) is the difference in decay rate. Below, we assume \( \vec{\gamma} \approx \gamma \).

The eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix \( \vec{M} \) are given by \( \epsilon_{\pm} = \vec{\epsilon} \pm \Delta \epsilon, \) \( \Delta \epsilon = \sqrt{g^2 + (z/2)^2}, \)

where \( z = \Delta_b - i\gamma_2/2 \). The transformation that diagonalizes \( \vec{M} \) is given by

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\eta/2) & -\sin(\eta/2) \\ \sin(\eta/2) & \cos(\eta/2) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \tan \eta = g/z, \) \( \vec{\eta} \) being a complex number \( [21] \).

The solution to Eq. (6) is

\[
\vec{X}(t) = S(t)\vec{X}(0) + \delta \vec{X}(t),
\]

where we define the response to input fields,

\[
\delta \vec{X} \equiv -\int_0^t dt' S(t-t')\vec{F}(t'),
\]

which is present even in the absence of drive due to input noise. The evolution operator

\[
S(t) \equiv e^{-i(\vec{\epsilon} + \vec{M})t} = U \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\epsilon t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\epsilon t} \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}
\]

has matrix elements [24]

\[
S_{aa} = e^{-i\epsilon t}[\cos(\Delta \epsilon t) + i \cos \eta \sin(\Delta \epsilon t)]
\]

\[
S_{bb} = e^{-i\epsilon t}[\cos(\Delta \epsilon t) - i \cos \eta \sin(\Delta \epsilon t)]
\]

\[
S_{ab} = S_{ba} = ie^{-i\epsilon t} \sin \eta \sin(\Delta \epsilon t),
\]

B. Mode expansion

The solution to Eq. (6) is

\[
\vec{X}(t) = c_+ e^{-i\epsilon_+ t} \vec{u}_+ + c_- e^{-i\epsilon_- t} \vec{u}_- + \delta \vec{X},
\]

where \( \vec{u}_+ = (\cos(\eta/2), \sin(\eta/2)) \) and \( \vec{u}_- = (-\sin(\eta/2), \cos(\eta/2)) \) are the eigenvectors of \( \vec{M} \), and we have defined the eigenoperators \( (c_+, c_-) = U^{-1}(a(0), b(0)) \).[25]

We now consider photon relaxation for the case of a linear resonator. To remove photons from the resonator, we turn off input drives and tune the dissipator to the resonator frequency, so that \( \langle \vec{F}(t) \rangle = 0 \) and \( \Delta_b = 0 \).

The mean occupation numbers are then given by

\[
\bar{n}_i(t) = \langle X_i(t)X_i(t) \rangle
\]

\[
= S_{ii}^*(t)S_{ii'}(t) \langle X_i^\dagger(0)X_i(0) \rangle + \langle \delta X_i(t)\delta X_i(t) \rangle,
\]

where \( i = a, b \), and the supercript in \( \bar{n}_i(0) \) stands for zeroth order in Kerr coefficients \( K_a, b \).

The second term in Eq. (16) involves correlations due to quantum and thermal noise; this term is negligible compared to the first.
term. Furthermore, assuming negligible $b$ mode occupation and that the dissipator is initially decoupled from the resonator so that $a$ and $b$ modes are uncorrelated $\langle b(0)a^\dagger(0) \rangle = \langle a(0)b^\dagger(0) \rangle$, one finds
\begin{align}
\bar{n}_a^{(0)}(t) &= |S_{aa}(t)|^2\bar{n}_a(0), \\
\bar{n}_b^{(0)}(t) &= |S_{ba}(t)|^2\bar{n}_a(0), \quad (17)
\end{align}

Photon number and energy relaxation for three decay regimes are plotted in Figs. 2a–c. The plots clearly show the underdamped, critically damped, and overdamped behavior according to Eq. (3), which gives the critical damping point $\gamma_c = \kappa + 4g$. In the underdamped regime $\gamma < \gamma_c$, the photon number undergoes damped oscillations with the average decay rate $(\gamma + \kappa)/2$ [cf. Eq. (19)]. This regime can be explained physically by the fact that photons spend an equal amount of the time in the resonator and the dissipator. The photons can thus be depleted essentially at the dissipator decay rate, as long as $\gamma \leq \gamma_c$. When $\gamma > \gamma_c$, the depletion rate decreases as a function of $\gamma$ because resonator photons are not efficiently transformed to dissipator excitations, as seen in $n_b(t)$ shown in Fig. 2b. The maximum decay rate occurs at critical damping $\gamma = \gamma_c$, where there are no longer two distinct eigenvalues (see Eq. (5)) and the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is not diagonalizable. Such a degeneracy of the complex eigenspectrum of a non-Hermitian system is called an exceptional point [21, 25], analogous to an energy level crossing in Hermitian systems. At this critical point, one finds from Eq. (17) (neglecting $\kappa$)
\begin{align}
\bar{n}_a^{(0)}(t) &= \bar{n}_a(0)e^{-2gt(1 + gt)^2}, \\
\bar{n}_b^{(0)}(t) &= \bar{n}_a(0)e^{-2gt(2g/\gamma - 1)^2}. \quad (18)
\end{align}
The resonator photon number $\bar{n}_a(t)$ can thus be depleted to $0.1\%$ in $t_0 \simeq 5.3/g$. For $g/2\pi = 0.1$ ns$^{-1}$, $t_0 \simeq 8$ ns, which is significantly faster than currently achievable reset times [5, 8].

The real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues in Eq. (8), corresponding to oscillation frequencies and damping rates of the photon field Eq. (15), are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of dissipator decay rate $\gamma$ at a fixed coupling $g/2\pi = 0.1$ GHz for the case $\Delta_b = 0$. There are three different qualitative regimes similar to the underdamped, critically damped, and overdamped regimes of a single oscillator. At low damping $\gamma_- \ll 4g$, there are two damped normal modes with a dissipation-dependent frequency splitting and a decay rate given by
\begin{align}
\text{Re} \epsilon_\pm &\simeq \bar{\omega} \pm g \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_-}{4g} \right)^2\right], \\
\text{Im} \epsilon_\pm &\simeq -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{2}. \quad (19)
\end{align}
At high damping, $\gamma_- \gg 4g$, two decay modes emerge, with
\begin{align}
\text{Re} \epsilon_- &= \omega_a, \\
\text{Im} \epsilon_- &\simeq -\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{2g^2}{\gamma_-}\right), \\
\text{Re} \epsilon_+ &= \omega_b, \\
\text{Im} \epsilon_+ &\simeq -\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2g^2}{\gamma_-}\right). \quad (20)
\end{align}

where $\epsilon_-(\epsilon_+)$ governs the decay of the $a(b)$ mode. The dissipator-induced decay rate is $4g^2/\gamma$, consistent with the Fermi golden rule estimate made in the introduction.

Experimentally, one could tune the coupling at a fixed dissipator decay rate. One would then see the behavior in Fig. 3, where the eigenvalues are plotted as function of $g/\gamma$ at $\gamma/2\pi = 0.4$ ns$^{-1}$, for $\Delta_b = 0$. The decay rate increases as a function of $g$ until it reaches the maximum in the underdamped regime given by average decay rate $\bar{\gamma}$.

We note that there are interesting adiabatic transport phenomena near the critical damping point in the $(g, \gamma)$ parameter space, which could enable transfer of photons from the resonator to the dissipator by adiabatically encircling this exceptional point [26]. While adiabaticity can be achieved by staying sufficiently far away from the crossing point, the transport rate is expected to be slow.

B. Resonator response to external drive

Next, we analyze the response of the resonator to an external drive $\langle a_{in} \rangle$. Generally, the response is determined by the retarded Green function
\begin{equation}
\langle a(t) \rangle = -i\sqrt{\kappa} \int dt' G_R(t - t') \langle a_{in}(t') \rangle, \quad (21)
\end{equation}
or, in frequency space
\begin{equation}
\langle a(\omega) \rangle = -i\sqrt{\kappa} G_R(\omega) \langle a_{in}(\omega) \rangle, \quad (22)
\end{equation}
where $G_R(\omega)$ generally has the form
\begin{equation}
G_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_{a} - i\kappa/2 - \Sigma(\omega)}. \quad (23)
\end{equation}

From the Fourier transform of Eq. (5), one finds $\Sigma(\omega) = ig\Delta b \chi_b$, where $\chi_b(\omega) = i(\omega - \omega_b + i\gamma/2)$ is the dissipator susceptibility [27]. The mode frequencies and linewidth are then found from the poles $G_R^{-1}(\epsilon) = 0$, and they agree with Eq. (8).

The effective resonator damping rate can thus be tuned via the resonator-dissipator frequency detuning $\Delta_b$ according to Eq. (8). For example, in the overdamped regime, the resonator linewidth and frequency are given by [cf. Appendix C]
\begin{align}
\kappa' &= \kappa + \gamma \frac{g^2}{\gamma^2/4 + \Delta_b^2}, \\
\omega'_a &= \omega_a - \Delta_b \frac{g^2}{\gamma^2/4 + \Delta_b^2}.
\end{align}

Experimentally, the response function can be measured by applying a microwave drive and detecting the reflection amplitude as a function of input drive frequency $\omega$,
\begin{equation}
r(\omega) = \frac{\langle a_{out}(\omega) \rangle}{\langle a_{in}(\omega) \rangle} = 1 - i\kappa G_R(\omega). \quad (25)
FIG. 4. Photon depletion in the nonlinear regime: Resonator photon number (a-c) and total energy (d-f) [cf. Eq. (31)] of the resonator-dissipator system as a function of time, computed from numerical solution of the semiclassical equations Eq. (30) for $g/2\pi = 0.1 \text{ GHz}, K_a/2\pi = 10 \text{ MHz}, K_b/2\pi = 25 \text{ MHz},$ and the dissipator decay rates in the three damping regimes, $\gamma/2\pi = (0.05, 0.4, 0.8) \text{ ns}^{-1}$. The initial average resonator photon number is $\bar{n}_a(0) = 100$. In each plot, the linear solution is shown as blue solid lines. The nonlinear solutions with and without optimization by the applied pulse Eq. (29) on the dissipator frequency are plotted as orange dashed lines and green dot dashed lines, respectively.

where we used the input-output relation $a_{\text{out}}(\omega) = \sqrt{R}a(\omega) + a_{\text{in}}(\omega)$. The reflection coefficient $R = |r(\omega)|^2$ is plotted in Fig. 2b-e as a function of input drive and dissipator frequency. The resonances according to Eq. (8) plotted in Fig. 3c-e as a function of input drive and dissipator frequency are still rather slow. On the other hand, as shown below, the method proposed here is applicable deep in the nonlinear regime, provided that mean field frequency shifts are maintained, where we used the input-output relation $a_{\text{out}}(\omega) = \sqrt{R}a(\omega) + a_{\text{in}}(\omega)$. The reflection coefficient $R = |r(\omega)|^2$ is plotted in Fig. 2b-e as a function of input drive and dissipator frequency. The resonances according to Eq. (8) plotted in Fig. 3c-e as a function of input drive and dissipator frequency are still rather slow. On the other hand, as shown below, the method proposed here is applicable deep in the nonlinear regime, provided that mean field frequency shifts are maintained.

FIG. 5. Energy relaxation rate $1/T_1$ plotted as a function of the dissipator decay rate $\gamma/2\pi$ for the linear, nonlinear, and optimized nonlinear resonator reset. Here, we took $g/2\pi = 0.1 \text{ GHz}, K_a/2\pi = 5 \text{ MHz}, K_b/2\pi = 25 \text{ MHz}$.

IV. PHOTON DEPLETION IN THE NONLINEAR REGIME

To achieve fast and high-fidelity readout, it is necessary to use measurement photon numbers of order $n_{\text{crit}}$, when nonlinear effects become important [9, 28]. Optimal control techniques have been applied to active reset in the presence of this nonlinearity; however, this approach requires complicated pulses [9], and the depletion rates are still rather slow. On the other hand, as shown below, the method proposed here is applicable deep in the nonlinear regime, provided that mean field frequency shifts be compensated with simple exponential modulations of the dissipator frequency.

Nonlinearity prevents the complete transfer of photons from the resonator to the dissipator. This effect can be understood in the mean field approximation, in which the interaction is linearized by approximating the occupation number operators in Eq. (5) by their mean value $\bar{n}_a \simeq \bar{n}_a(t) = \langle a(t)a(t) \rangle, \bar{n}_b \simeq \bar{n}_b(t) = \langle b(t)b(t) \rangle$, leading to time-dependent oscillator frequencies

$$\omega_i(t) = \omega_i - K_i\bar{n}_i(t),$$

where $i$ is the mode index. The detuning due to this mean field shift reads

$$\delta\Delta_i(t) = K_a\bar{n}_a(t) - K_b\bar{n}_b(t).$$

The nonlinear energy levels are illustrated in Fig. 4b. Typically, nonlinear effects become important around $n_{\text{crit}}$. However, the resonant condition is relaxed by the large dissipator linewidth, so that nonlinear effects become important only when $\delta\Delta_i \simeq \gamma$. For $K_a \sim 1 \text{ MHz}$ and $\gamma/2\pi = 0.1 \text{ ns}^{-1}$, this yields $n_a \sim 100$, which can be significantly larger than $n_{\text{crit}}$.

In the mean field approximation, the mean occupation numbers $\bar{n}_{a,b}$ can be solved self-consistently in a perturbative approach. The solutions $\bar{n}_i^{(1)}(t)$ to first order in $K_{a,b}$, are found by solving Eq. (5) using the zeroth order solution $\bar{n}_{a,b}^{(0)}(t)$ given by Eq. (17), and this process can be iterated to the desired accuracy. This suggests that one can speed up the photon decay rate by modulating the oscillator frequencies as

$$\omega_i(t) = \omega_i + K_i\bar{n}_i^{(0)}(t),$$

which compensates for the Kerr frequency shifts, maintaining the resonant condition.

We are thus led to the following optimization procedure. Since in practice the resonator frequency is fixed while the dissipator frequency is tunable, we apply a pulse on the dissipator frequency $\omega_b(t) = \omega_b^{(0)} + \delta\omega_b(t)$, where

$$\delta\omega_b(t) = K_b\bar{n}_b^{(0)}(t) - K_a\bar{n}_a^{(0)}(t) = (K_b|S_{ba}(t)|^2 - K_a|S_{aa}(t)|^2)\bar{n}_a(0).$$

Here, $S_{ij}$ are given in Eq. (28). We find it sufficient to simplify these applied pulses as follows for the under-
damped, critically damped, and overdamped case:
\[ \delta \omega_b^{(\text{under})}(t) = -\tilde{n}_a(0)e^{-\gamma t/2}(K_b \sin^2 gt - K_a \cos^2 gt), \]
\[ \delta \omega_b^{(\text{crit})}(t) = -\tilde{n}_a(0)e^{-2gt}[K_b(gt)^2 - K_a(1 + gt)^2], \]
\[ \delta \omega_b^{(\text{over})}(t) = -\tilde{n}_a(0) \times \left[ K_b \left( \frac{4g}{\gamma} \right)^2 e^{-\gamma t/2} \sinh^2(\gamma t/4) - K_a e^{-4g^2 t/\gamma} \right]. \tag{29} \]

Next, we study photon relaxation in the nonlinear regime numerically for the parameter values \( K_a/2\pi = 10 \) MHz and \( K_b/2\pi = 25 \) MHz.

A. Semiclassical equations of motion

First, we consider the semiclassical approximation, which is valid at the large photon numbers where nonlinear effects become important. Taking the expectation value of Eq. (3) and factorizing all correlators yields the semiclassical equations
\[ \dot{\alpha} = -\left[ \frac{\kappa}{2} + i(\omega_a - K_a|\alpha|^2) \right] \alpha - ig\beta, \]
\[ \dot{\beta} = -\left[ \frac{\kappa}{2} + i(\omega_b - K_b|\beta|^2) \right] \beta - ig\alpha, \tag{30} \]
where \( \alpha \equiv \langle a \rangle \) and \( \beta \equiv \langle b \rangle \). The total system energy is
\[ E = \omega_a|\alpha|^2 + \omega_b|\beta|^2 + g(\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*) - K_a \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - K_b \frac{|\beta|^4}{2}. \tag{31} \]

The photon number and total energy decay computed from Eq. (30) are plotted in Fig. 4(a-f), including the optimization pulse Eq. (29). In the underdamped regime, resonator photons are “self-trapped” and cannot reach the dissipator [28, 29]. In the critically and overdamped regimes, there is still a significant slow-down of the mode depletion. In all cases, however, the slow-down due to nonlinearity can be essentially eliminated using the optimization pulse.

These results are summarized in Fig. 5, where the energy relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \), defined by the first time the energy decreases by the factor \( E(T_1)/E(0) = e^{-1} \), is plotted as a function of the dissipator decay rate \( \gamma/2\pi \). Here, we take \( K_a/2\pi = 5 \) MHz, and the optimized energy relaxation is computed with the exact expressions in Eq. (28). The relaxation time is shortest in the underdamped regime because resonator photons can be completely transferred to the dissipator after a half period \( \pi/g \), the maximum physical transfer rate. However, to prevent photons from returning to the resonator would require perfect timing in switching off the dissipator.

B. Quantum master equations

To study photon relaxation for small photon numbers, where quantum effects may be important, we use the density matrix master equation (cf. appendix D) [30]
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{\text{sys}}, \rho] + [\kappa D(a) + \gamma D(b)]\rho \equiv \mathcal{L}[\rho], \tag{32} \]
where \( D(x)\rho = (2xp_{x}\rho - x^+p_{x}\rho - \rho p_{x}^+x)/2 \) is the Lindblad superoperator, and \( \mathcal{L} \) is the Liouvillian superoperator. The solution can be formally expressed as \( \rho(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}t}\rho(0) \), and the nonlinear dissipative mode frequency and decay rates are now defined by eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L} \). However, \( \mathcal{L} \) has \((n_a n_b)^4\) matrix elements which makes diagonalization a difficult numerical task. Instead, we solve for the decay dynamics of Eq. (32) numerically in the uncoupled basis \( \{|n_a n_b\rangle\} \) using QMTIP [?]. The results are plotted in Fig. 6(a-f). As these small photon numbers, nonlinear effects are negligible.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We have proposed a resonator circuit with tunable dissipation derived from coupling to a damped Josephson mode. In the optimal parameter regime, we show that resonator photon depletion times orders of magnitudes shorter than the intrinsic resonator decay time are achievable. In the nonlinear regime, we have shown that this depletion time scale persists, as long as we compensate for mean field frequency shifts by modulating the dissipator frequency.
A related problem to the one studied here is qubit initialization. This could be done by simply setting the qubit on resonance with the resonator in its low $Q$ state \cite{31}. Alternatively, one could initialize the qubit into its ground state by driving the red sideband transition from $|e,0\rangle \rightarrow |g,1\rangle$, which converts the qubit excited state into a photon that then decays quickly at the rate $\kappa'$ \cite{32,33}. This problem deserves a separate study.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful to Naveen Nehra, Konstantin Nesterov and Alex Opremcak for fruitful discussions. This work at the University of Wisconsin-Madison was supported by the U.S. Government under ARO Grants W911NF-14-1-0080 and W911NF-15-1-0248.

Appendix A: Derivation of the linear system Hamiltonian

We model the resonator (circuit $a$) coupled to dissipator (circuit $b$) as two $LC$ circuits coupled by a capacitor $C_g$, as shown in Fig. 7a,

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi} \sqrt{C_{ii}^{-1}} \dot{\Phi} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi \sqrt{L_i} \dot{\Phi},
$$

(A1)

where we neglect nonlinearities. Here, $\Phi = (\Phi_a, \Phi_b)$, and the capacitance and inductance matrices are defined as

$$
\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} C_a + C_g & -C_g \\ -C_g & C_b + C_g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} L_a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(A2)

The charges on each node are given by canonical momenta $Q_i = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \dot{\Phi}_i = C_i \dot{\Phi}_i$. Legendre transformation yields the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{i=a,b} \omega_i^2 L_i Q_i^2 \int + \frac{\Phi_i^2}{2L_i} + \beta \sqrt{L_a L_b} \omega_a Q_a Q_b,
$$

(A3)

where

$$
\omega_i = \sqrt{C_{ii}^{-1}/L_i} = \omega_i^{(0)} \sqrt{C_{ii}^{-1} C_i}
$$

(A4)

$$
C_{aa}^{-1} C_a = \frac{1 + C_g/C_b}{1 + C_g(C_a^{-1} + C_b^{-1})},
$$

$$
C_{bb}^{-1} C_b = \frac{1 + C_g/C_a}{1 + C_g(C_a^{-1} + C_b^{-1})}
$$

are the mode frequencies including renormalization by the coupling $C_g$, $\omega_i^{(0)} = 1/\sqrt{L_i C_i}$ are the uncoupled $LC$ resonant frequencies, and we have defined

$$
\beta = \frac{C_{ab}^{-1}}{\sqrt{C_{aa}^{-1} C_{bb}^{-1}}} = \frac{C_g}{\sqrt{(C_a + C_g)(C_b + C_g)}}.
$$

The Hamiltonian equations reads

$$
\dot{\Phi}_a = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_a} = C_i^{-1} Q_j, \quad (A5a)
$$

$$
\dot{Q}_a = -i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Phi_a} = -\frac{\Phi_a}{L_i}. \quad (A5b)
$$

Quantizing the circuit, the flux and charge operators obey $[Q_i, \Phi_j] = -i\hbar \delta_{ij}$. These operators are expressed in terms of the mode operators as follows:

$$
\Phi_a = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar Z_a}{2}} (a + a^\dagger)
$$

$$
Q_a = -i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2Z_a}} (a - a^\dagger), \quad (A6)
$$

where $Z_a = \omega_a L_a = \sqrt{L_a C_{aa}^{-1}}$, $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$, and similarly for the $b$ modes. The Hamiltonian then becomes

$$
H = \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} = \omega_a (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) + \omega_b (b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2})
$$

$$
- g (a - a^\dagger) (b - b^\dagger), \quad (A7)
$$

where the coupling is

$$
g = \frac{\beta \sqrt{\hbar \omega_a \omega_b}}{2} = \frac{C_g \sqrt{\omega_a \omega_b}}{2 \sqrt{(C_a + C_g)(C_b + C_g)}}. \quad (A8a)
$$

In the limit $C_g \ll C_{a,b}$, we find to leading order in $C_g$

$$
\omega_i \approx \omega_i^{(0)} \left( 1 - \frac{C_g}{C_i} \right), \quad i = a, b \quad (A9a)
$$

$$
g \approx \frac{C_g}{2} \frac{\omega_i \omega_i}{C_a C_b} \quad (A9b)
$$

FIG. 7. (a) (left) Circuit diagram for the resonator-dissipator system (right) Circuit diagram defining the resonator and dissipator admittances. (b) Equivalent circuit for the resonator in parallel with an admittance $Y_i$, see Eq. (B20). (c) Equivalent circuit for two port admittance matrix $Y$, see Eq. (B3).
Taking \( C_a = C_b, \omega_{a}^{(0)} = \omega_{b}^{(0)} = \omega_0 \), we have

\[
\frac{C_a}{C_b} \approx \frac{2g}{\omega_0} + \left( \frac{2g}{\omega_0} \right)^2.
\] (A10)

Below, we will use \( \mathcal{O}(C_g/C_1) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(g/\omega_0) \) interchangeably. We also note here that \( g \) has a finite limit as \( C_g \to \infty \), which yields \( \beta \to 1 \) and

\[
g_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(C_a + C_b)\sqrt{L_aL_b}}} \quad \text{(A11)}
\]

For \( C_a = C_b \) and \( L_a = L_b \), \( g_{\text{max}} = \omega_0/2\sqrt{2} \).

Appendix B: Circuit equations of motion

In the main text, we modeled our system with equations of motion in the RWA and kept dissipator damping terms to leading order in \( g/\omega_0 \). In this appendix, we present the linear circuit equations of motion without approximations. We show that they lead to the dissipative mode spectrum discussed in Appendix B and reduce to the spectrum in the main text in the appropriate limits. We compute numerically the dynamics and dissipated power and show they agree with the results given in the main text. We relate the damping matrix in the two-mode equations of motion to circuit parameters for a dissipator circuit shunted with a resistor.

Consider applying current sources \( \hat{I}(t) \) at nodes \( a \) and \( b \). Current conservation then leads to the equations of motion

\[
\hat{C} \ddot{\hat{V}} + \hat{R}^{-1} \dot{\hat{V}} + \hat{L}^{-1} \hat{V} = \hat{I}(t); \quad \text{(B1)}
\]

where \( \hat{V} = (V_a, V_b) \) and we have defined \( \hat{R} = R_b \) in this section

\[
\hat{R}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & R_b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

where \( R_aC_a = \kappa^{-1} \) is the bare resonator relaxation time. In the absence of damping, these are the Lagrange equations of Eq. (A1). In the frequency domain, Eq. (B1) reads

\[
-i\omega \hat{Y}(\omega)\hat{V}(\omega) = -i\omega \hat{I}(\omega),
\] \( \text{(B2)} \)

where we have defined the two port admittance matrix shown in Fig. 7:

\[
\hat{Y}(\omega) = \hat{R}^{-1} + i\omega \hat{C} + \hat{L}^{-1}/i\omega,
\] \( \text{(B3)} \)

and \( \hat{C} \) and \( \hat{L} \) are given in Eq. (A2).

We now consider undriven decay, \( \hat{I}(t) = 0 \). Eq. (B1) is rewritten as

\[
\ddot{\hat{V}} + \gamma \dot{\hat{V}} + \hat{\Omega}^2 \hat{V} = 0,
\] \( \text{(B4)} \)

where

\[
\gamma_a = \frac{1 + C_g/C_a}{1 + C_g/C_a + 1/C_g} \frac{1}{R_aC_a} \approx \frac{1}{R_aC_a} = \kappa
\]

\[
\gamma_b = \frac{1 + C_g/C_a}{1 + C_g/C_a + 1/C_g} \frac{1}{R_bC_b} \approx \frac{1}{R_bC_b} = \gamma
\] \( \text{(B6)} \)

and \( \hat{\Omega}^2 \) is given by \( \hat{C}(\omega) \mathcal{O}(C_g/C_1) \). The leading contributions to the off-diagonal terms \( \gamma_{ab} \) are already \( \mathcal{O}(C_g/C_1) \).

The voltages \( \hat{V}_i(t) \) obtained from the numerical solution of Eq. (B4) are plotted in Fig. 8 with initial conditions \( V_a(0) = 10, V_b(0) = 0 \), \( V_a = V_b = 0 \), for values above, below, and at critical damping Eq. (B23). The plot shows underdamped, critically damped, and overdamped behavior in agreement with the eigenspectrum in Fig. 10 and Fig. 2 of the main text. The dissipated power averaged over a period of inter-mode oscillations \( T = 2\pi/g \),

\[
\bar{P} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \frac{\hat{V}_b^2(t)}{R_b},
\] \( \text{(B7)} \)
which together lead to the EOM for the mode operators
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{a} \\
\dot{b}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{i}{2} \hat{\gamma} & \left(\omega_a - g\right) \\
-\left(\omega_a - g\right) & -\omega_b
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a \\
b
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{i}{2} \hat{\gamma} & \left(0 \ gight) \\
0 & \left(0 \ b\right)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a^\dagger \\
b^\dagger
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here, we have defined the mode damping matrix
\[
\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{Z_i Z_j}{Z_k}} \gamma_{jk},
\]
which differs from \(\hat{\gamma}\) in Eq. (B4) only in the off-diagonal terms of order \(O(g/\omega_0)\).

Neglecting off-diagonal and counter-rotating terms in the damping matrix, the eigenvalues from the secular equation Eq. (B15) are
\[
\omega_{\pm} = \omega_0 \sqrt{\left(1 \pm 2 \left[\frac{g^2 - (\gamma/4)^2}{\omega_0^2}ight]\right) - \left(\frac{\gamma/4}{\omega_0}\right)^2 - i \frac{\gamma}{2}}
\]
\[
\approx \omega_0 \pm \sqrt{\frac{g^2 - (\gamma/4)^2}{\omega_0^2}} - i \frac{\gamma}{2},
\]
in agreement with Eq. (8) of the main text.

The resonances defined by Eq. (B12) can be related to the zeros of the total admittance \(Y_t\) across the resonator by the relation \(Y_t = \det \hat{Y}/Y_b\), where [see Fig. 7b]
\[
Y_t(\omega) = Y_a(\omega) + Y_d(\omega),
\]
and
\[
Y_a = R_a^{-1} + i \omega C_a \left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega_a}{\omega}\right)^2\right]
\]
is the bare resonator admittance. In Eq. (B18), \(Y_d\) is admittance of the circuit formed by the coupling capacitor and dissipator, which is given by
\[
Y_d = \frac{Y_g Y_b}{Y_b + Y_g},
\]
where \(Y_g = i \omega C_g\) is the coupler admittance, and
\[
Y_b = R^{-1} + i \omega C_b \left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega_b}{\omega}\right)^2\right]
\]
is the dissipator admittance. Explicitly,
\[
Y_d = \frac{x Q_b C_g(x + i(x^2 - 1)Q_b)}{R(x^2 Q_b C_g + (x^2 - 1)C_b Q_b - ix C_b)}
\]
where we have defined \(x = \omega/\omega_0\) and \(Q_b = \omega_b^2 RC_b\) is the dissipator quality factor.

We denote the complex roots of Eq. (B18) as \(\epsilon = \epsilon_r + i \epsilon_i\), \(\epsilon_r\) are the resonant mode frequencies and \(\epsilon_i\) are mode decay rates. The rates \((\epsilon_r, \epsilon_i)/2\pi\) are plotted in Fig. 10 on resonance \(\omega_0 = \omega_b = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5\) GHz and for \(C_a = C_b\).
FIG. 10. Circuit resonant frequencies and damping rates: (a) as a function of the dissipator decay rate normalized as $(\omega_0 R C_g)^{-1}$ at fixed $C_g/C_b = 0.05$, and (b) as a function of the coupling capacitance normalized as $\omega_0 R C_g = 0.5$ at fixed dissipator quality factor $Q_b = 10$. Here, the uncoupled LC frequencies are set on resonance at $\omega_0/2\pi = 5$ GHz.

In Fig. 10, $\epsilon_r, \epsilon_i$ are plotted as a function of the dissipator decay rate $\gamma = 1/R C_b$ normalized as $(\gamma/\omega_0)(C_b/C_g) = (\omega_0 R C_g)^{-1}$ for $C_g/C_b = 0.05$, which yields $g/2\pi = 100$ MHz. This plot is qualitatively similar to Fig. 10 in the main text. The mode decay rate increases as a function of $R^{-1}$ in the underdamped regime up until the critical damping point at

$$R_c^{-1} = 2\omega_0 C_g,$$

(B23)

which corresponds to $\gamma_c = 4g$. At the same point, the two normal mode frequencies come close to merging.

In Fig. 10, the rates $(\epsilon_r, \epsilon_i)/2\pi$ are plotted as a function of the coupling capacitance normalized as $\omega_0 R C_g$ at fixed $Q_b = 10$ (chosen to cross the curve in Fig. 10 at the critical point where $C_g/C_b = 1/2 Q_b = 0.05$). This plot is qualitatively similar to Fig. 10 in the main text. The mode decay rate increases as a function of $C_g$, reaching a maximum at the critical point Eq. (B23), after which it slowly decreases.

Appendix C: Effective resonator damping rate including nonlinearity

The effective resonator linewidth in the overdamped regime can be controlled by the dissipator frequency. To show this, we integrate out $b$ modes along the lines of input-output theory. Using the solution for $b(t)$ in Eq. (5) in the rotating frame at $\omega_a$ and neglecting input fields, we have

$$b(t) = e^{-z_b t} b(0) + ig \int_0^t dt' e^{-z_b (t-t')} a(t'),$$

(C1)

where we have defined $z_b = \gamma/2 + i\Delta_b$. Plugging this into the EOM for $a(t)$ yields

$$\frac{da}{dt} \simeq -\left[\frac{\kappa}{2} - K_a \hat{n}_a + g^2 \int_0^t dt' e^{-z_b (t-t')} \right] a(t)$$

$$-ige^{-z_b t} b(0) + O(g^3/\gamma^2),$$

(C2)

where in the integral we made the approximation $a(t') \simeq a(t)$ because to $O(g/\gamma)$, $a(t)$ decays on the much longer time scale $\kappa^{-1} \gg \gamma^{-1}$.

The EOM for photon number is

$$\frac{dn_a}{dt} = 2Re(a^\dagger a)$$

$$\simeq -\kappa n_a - 2g^2 Re \int_0^t dt' e^{-z_b(t-t')} a^\dagger(t) a(t)$$

$$-2ga^\dagger(t)b(0)e^{-z_b t},$$

(C3)

Consider the expectation value in a Fock state $\langle n_a n_b | | n_a n_b \rangle$. Noting that $\langle a^\dagger(t) b(0) \rangle$ vanishes if we assume $b$ is initially in the ground state,

$$\langle \frac{dn_a}{dt} \rangle \simeq -\left[\kappa + 2g^2 Re \int_0^t dt' e^{-z_b(t-t')} \right] \langle n_a(t) \rangle$$

$$= -\left[\kappa + 2g^2 Re \frac{1}{2b} \right] \langle n_a(t) \rangle$$

(C4)

where we have neglected a transient term that decays with the exponential time scale $\gamma^{-1}$. The effective resonator energy decay rate is thus

$$\kappa' = \kappa + \gamma \frac{g^2}{\gamma^2/4 + \Delta_b^2}. $$

Appendix D: Secular approximation in master equation

For completeness, we derive here the Lindblad terms used in the density matrix master equation Eq. (22). In particular, we point out that the secular approximation is not valid since we consider strong dissipation, where the dissipator decay rate is of the order of the system energy splittings $g \sim \gamma$. In this derivation, we introduce an indexed notation for the system and bath variables $a_1 = a, a_2 = b, B_1 = A_\omega, B_2 = B_\omega$. The total Hamiltonian is $H = H_{sys} + H_{bath} + V$, where

$$H_{sys} = h(\omega_1 a_1^\dagger a_1 + \omega_2 a_2^\dagger a_2) + h g(a_1 a_2^\dagger + a_2 a_1^\dagger)$$

$$H_{bath} = h \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \omega |B_1^\dagger(\omega) B_1(\omega) + B_2^\dagger(\omega) B_2(\omega)|,$n

$$V = -ih \sum \sqrt{\gamma_i} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (B_{iw}^\dagger a_i - a_i^\dagger B_{iw}) ,$$

(D1)

and where we define $\gamma_1 = \kappa, \gamma_2 = \gamma$. Following the standard procedure [21, 28], we go to the interacting picture with respect to $H_{sys} + H_{bath}$: $\rho_I(t) = R^\dagger \rho(t) R$, $V_I(t) = R^\dagger V R$, where $R = e^{-i(H_{sys} + H_{bath})t}$,

$$V_I = -ih \sum_{m=\pm} |\Gamma_m^\dagger(t) c_m - c_m^\dagger \Gamma_m(t)|$$

$$\Gamma_m(t) \equiv \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} C_m^\dagger e^{-i(\omega - \omega_m)t}. $$

(D2)
Here, we defined the normal mode destruction operators,

\[ e^i\omega_{m,n}t, \quad C_{m\omega} \equiv \sqrt{\pi}U_{mi}B_i, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( \tan 2\theta = 2g/\Delta \), and \( \Delta = \omega_2 - \omega_1 \) is the detuning. The normal mode frequencies are

\[ \omega_\pm = \bar{\omega} \pm \sqrt{g^2 + (\Delta_b/2)^2}, \]

where \( C_{m\omega} \) are the bath modes coupled to \( e_m \), and both operators are in the Schrodinger picture, \( R^\dagger e_m R = e_m e^{-i\omega_m t} \).

The density matrix master equation in the interaction picture is

\[
\dot{\rho}_I = -i\hbar^{-1} \int_0^\infty d\tau [V_I(t), [V_I(t-\tau), \rho_I(t)]] ,
\]

where \( \tau \equiv t-t' \), and we have iterated to second order in \( V_I \). In the last line, we took the Markov approximation, \( \rho(t-\tau) \to \rho(t) \), and extended the integration limit \( t \to \infty \), i.e. \( t \gg t_c \), where \( t_c \) is the bath correlation time. We assume a factorized density matrix \( \rho_I = \rho_{sys} \otimes \rho_{bath} \) and averaged over the bath by tracing over the thermal bath density matrix \( \rho_{bath} = e^{-H_{bath}/T} \), for each step in the time evolution of \( \rho \), the baths are assumed to be at equal temperature \( T \). Below we drop the subscript on \( \rho_{sys} \), and define the thermal averages

\[ \langle X(t)Y(t') \rangle = Tr[\rho_{bath}X(t-t')Y(0)] ; \]

since the bath is stationary the average depends only on \( \tau = t-t' \). For thermal bath, \( \langle \Gamma_m \Gamma_n \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle \Gamma_m^* \Gamma_n^* \rangle = 0 \). Tracing Eq. \( (D5) \) over the baths leads to the master equation

\[
\dot{\rho} = \sum_{mn} e^{i\omega_{mn}t} \frac{K_{mn}}{2} (2c_m^\dagger \rho c_n^\dagger - c_m^\dagger c_n \rho - \rho c_m^\dagger c_n) \\
+ e^{i\omega_{mn}t} \frac{G_{mn}}{2} (2c_m^\dagger \rho c_n - c_m^\dagger c_n \rho - \rho c_m^\dagger c_n),
\]

where \( \omega_{mn} = \omega_m - \omega_n \) is nonzero only for off-diagonal terms \( \omega_{+-} = \omega_+ - \omega_- = 2\sqrt{g^2 + (\Delta_b/2)^2} \). Here, we cannot make the secular approximation, which neglects terms \( \sim e^{i\omega_{+-}t} K_{+-} \), since we consider strong dissipation so that the density matrix decay rate is on the order of the energy splittings \( g \approx \gamma \approx K_{mn} \).

The damping rates \( K_{mn} \) are set by the frequency space correlators

\[
\frac{K_{mn}}{2} = e^{-i\omega_{mn}t} \int_0^\infty d\tau \langle \Gamma_m(t) \Gamma_n^*(t-\tau) \rangle \\
= \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i\omega_{mn}\tau} \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)^2} \langle e^{-i\omega t} C_{mn} C_{mn}^\dagger \rangle \\
= \sum_i \gamma_i U_{mi} U_{ni}^* \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} [1 + f(\omega)] \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i(\omega - \omega_n)\tau} \\
= \sum_i \gamma_i U_{mi} U_{ni}^* \frac{1 + f(\omega_n)}{2},
\]

where have we have used the bath correlation functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle C_{mn} C_{mn}^\dagger \rangle &= \sqrt{i\gamma_j} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* \langle B_{i\omega} B_{j\omega}^\dagger \rangle \\
\langle B_{i\omega} B_{j\omega}^\dagger \rangle &= 2\pi \delta_{ij}(\omega - \omega')(1 + f(\omega))
\end{align*}
\]

and where \( f(\omega) \) is the Bose function. Here, we have used the identity

\[
\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i(\omega - \omega_n)\tau} = \pi \delta(\omega - \omega_n) + i \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_n}
\]

and neglected the imaginary parts which cause Stark shifts. Explicitly,

\[
K_{++} = (\kappa \cos^2 \theta + \gamma \sin^2 \theta)(1 + f(\omega_+)) \\
K_{--} = (\kappa \sin^2 \theta + \gamma \cos^2 \theta)(1 + f(\omega_-)) \\
K_{\pm \pm} = (\kappa - \gamma) \cos \theta \sin \theta (1 + f(\omega_\pm)).
\]

Similarly

\[
\frac{G_{mn}}{2} = e^{-i\omega_{mn}t} \int_0^\infty d\tau \langle \Gamma_m^\dagger(t) \Gamma_n(t-\tau) \rangle \\
= \sum_i \gamma_i U_{mi} U_{ni}^* \frac{f(\omega_n)}{2} ,
\]

At low temperatures \( f(\omega_n) \) is negligible, so are the absorption terms which go as \( G_{mn} \sim f(\omega_n) \). Transforming Eq. \( (D6) \) back to the Schrodinger picture by \( \dot{\rho} \to U \dot{\rho} U^\dagger + i[H_{sys}, \rho] \), we find

\[
\dot{\rho} = -i[H_{sys}, \rho] + \sum_{mn} \frac{K_{mn}}{2} (2c_m^\dagger \rho c_n^\dagger - c_m^\dagger c_n \rho - \rho c_m^\dagger c_n) \\
= -i[H_{sys}, \rho] + \sum_i \gamma_i D(a_i),
\]

where \( D(x)\rho = (2x^2 \rho x^\dagger - x^\dagger x \rho - \rho x^\dagger x) / 2 \) is the Linblad superoperator, and we have used the identity

\[
K_{mn} c_m^\dagger c_n = \sum_i \gamma_i (U_{im} c_m^\dagger)(U_{ni}^\dagger c_n) \\
= \sum_i \gamma_i (U_{im} c_m^\dagger)(U_{ni}^\dagger c_n) = \gamma_i a_i^\dagger a_i.
\]

It is thus appropriate to use the decoupled Lindbladians in the master equation Eq. \( (D2) \).


[24] Note that $S_{ij} \propto e^{g^2/4}$ has a damping envelope at the average decay rate. In particular, when $g > g_{\Delta} = 0$ and $g \rightarrow 0$, the terms $e^{-g^2/4} \sinh(g_{\Delta}/4)$ and $e^{-g^2/4} \cosh(g_{\Delta}/4)$ remain finite because $\gamma > g_{\Delta}$.


[30] Note that even with $\sim 10$ initial photons, the basis required for this simulation has $\sim 10^2$ states, and Eq. 42.
involves $\sim 10^4$ coupled differential equations.

