SERIES REPRESENTATIONS IN SPACES OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

KARSTEN KRUSE

Abstract. It is a classical result that every $\mathbb{C}$-valued holomorphic function has a local power series representation. This even remains true for holomorphic functions with values in a locally complete locally convex Hausdorff space $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Motivated by this example we try to answer the following question. Let $E$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space over a field $K$, $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space of $K$-valued functions on a set $\Omega$ and $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ be an $E$-valued counterpart of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ (where the term $E$-valued counterpart needs clarification itself). For which spaces is it possible to lift series representations of elements of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ to elements of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$? We derive sufficient conditions for the answer to be affirmative which are applicable for many classical spaces of functions $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ having a Schauder basis. As a byproduct we obtain results on the bounded approximation property of the spaces $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ and on the representation of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ as a tensor product.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to lift series representations known from scalar-valued functions to vector-valued functions and its underlying idea was derived from the classical example of the (local) power series representation of a holomorphic function. Let $D_r \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open disc around zero with radius $r > 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(D_r)$ be the space of holomorphic functions on $D_r$, i.e. the space of functions $f : D_r \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the limit

$$f^{(1)}(z) := \lim_{h \to 0 \atop h \in \mathbb{C}, h \neq 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}, \quad z \in D_r,$$

exists in $\mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that every $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_r)$ can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}(0) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad z \in D_r,$$

where the power series on the right-hand side converges uniformly on every compact subset of $D_r$ and $f^{(n)}(0)$ is the $n$-th complex derivative of $f$ at $0$ which is defined from (1) by the recursion $f^{(0)} := f$ and $f^{(n)} := (f^{(n-1)})^{(1)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Amazingly by [8, 2.1 Theorem and Definition, p. 17-18] and [8, 5.2 Theorem, p. 35], this series representation remains valid if $f$ is a holomorphic function on $D_r$ with values in a locally complete locally convex Hausdorff space $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$ where holomorphy means that the limit (1) exists in $E$ and the higher complex derivatives are defined recursively as well. Analyzing this example, we observe that $\mathcal{O}(D_r)$, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $D_r$, is a Fréchet space, in particular barrelled, with a Schauder basis formed by the monomials $z \mapsto z^n$. Further, the formulas for the complex derivatives of a $\mathbb{C}$-valued resp. an $E$-valued function $f$ on $D_r$ are built up in the same way by (1).
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Our goal is to derive a mechanism which uses these observations and transfers known series representations for other spaces of scalar-valued functions to their vector-valued counterparts. Let us describe the general setting. We recall from [10, 14.2, p. 292] that a sequence \((f_n)\) in a locally convex Hausdorff space \(F\) over a field \(K\) is called a topological basis, or simply a basis, if for every \(f \in F\) there is a unique series representation \(\sum \xi_n f_n\) where the series converges in \(F\). In our main Theorem 3.8 we state sufficient conditions such that a sequence \((f_n)\) of \(K\)-valued functions satisfies the bounded approximation property by the uniform boundedness principle.

The starting point for our approach is equation (2). Let \(F\) and \(E\) be locally convex Hausdorff spaces over a field \(K\) where \(F\) is barreled, has a Schauder basis \((f_n)\) and \(F = \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)\) is a space of \(K\)-valued functions on a set \(\Omega\) with a topology given by a family of weights \(V\) such that the point-evaluation functionals \(\delta_x, x \in \Omega\), are continuous. Let us suppose that there is a locally convex Hausdorff space \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\) of functions from \(\Omega\) to \(E\) such that the map
\[
S: \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto (\delta_x)_{x \in \Omega},
\]
is a topological isomorphism to its range where \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) : L_\infty(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega), E)\) is the \(\varepsilon\)-product of Schwartz. Assuming that for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(u \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\) there is \(\xi_n^E(S(u)) \in E\) with
\[
\xi_n^E(S(u)) = u(\zeta_n^K),
\]
we can consider the (not necessarily convergent) series
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^E(S(u)) f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\zeta_n^K) f_n
\]
in \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\). In our main Theorem 3.8 we state sufficient conditions such that this series converges to \(S(u)\) in \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\) for every \(u \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\), i.e. we lift the series representation (2) from the scalar-valued case to the range of \(S\). If \(S\) is even surjective, we reach our goal of lifting to the vector-valued case. For example in the case of holomorphic functions, \(S\) is surjective as a map from \(O(\mathbb{D}) \ni E\) to \(O(\mathbb{D}_+), E\) for locally complete \(E\) by [2] Theorem 9, p. 232]. We apply our result to sequence spaces, spaces of continuously differentiable functions on a compact interval, the Schwartz space and the space of smooth functions which are \(2\pi\)-periodic in each variable.

Our main theorem has two byproducts. One of them is the answer to the question when \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \ni E\) resp. \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)\) has the bounded approximation property. The second byproduct is that the completion of the injective tensor product \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \varepsilon E\) under suitable mild assumptions, especially, that every element of \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \varepsilon E\) has a series representation as well. Concerning series representation in \(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E\), little seems to be known whereas for the completion
If we replace the definition of the $\varepsilon$-finite subsets of $F$, done the other way around, i.e. tinuous subsets of $F$, that one by Schwartz [25, Chap. I, §1, Définition, p. 18]. It is symmetrical which means $F$ is complete by [10, 9.3.7 Proposition, p. 179]. However, we stick to Schwartz’ representation of the elements of $F$ isomorphic to a subspace of $L$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Banach space and both of them have an equicontinuous Schauder basis.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

We equip the spaces $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ with the usual Euclidean norm $| \cdot |$. Furthermore, for a subset $M$ of a topological space $X$ we denote the closure of $M$ by $\overline{M}$ and the boundary of $M$ by $\partial M$. For a subset $M$ of a topological vector space $X$, we write $\text{acx}(M)$ for the closure of the absolutely convex hull $\text{acx}(M)$ of $M$ in $X$.

By $E$ we always denote a non-trivial locally convex Hausdorff space, in short lcHs, over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a directed fundamental system of seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}}$. If $E = \mathbb{K}$, then we set $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} := \{ | \cdot | \}$. We recall that for a disk $D \subset E$, i.e. a bounded, absolutely convex set, the vector space $E_D := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} nD$ becomes a normed space if it is equipped with gauge functional of $D$ as a norm (see [10, p. 151]). The space $E$ is called locally complete if $E_D$ is a Banach space for every closed disk $D \subset E$ (see [10, 10.2.1 Proposition, p. 197]). For details on the theory of locally convex spaces see [7], [10] or [29].

By $X^{\Omega}$ we denote the set of maps from a non-empty set $\Omega$ to a non-empty set $X$, by $\chi_K$ the characteristic function of a subset $K \subset \Omega$ and by $L(F, E)$ the space of continuous linear operators from $F$ to $E$ where $F$ and $E$ are locally convex Hausdorff spaces. If $E = \mathbb{K}$, we just write $F' := L(F, \mathbb{K})$ for the dual space. If $F$ and $E$ are (linearly topologically) isomorphic, we write $F \cong E$ and, if $F$ is only isomorphic to a subspace of $E$, we write $F \preceq E$. We denote by $L_c(F, E)$ the space $L(F, E)$ equipped with the locally convex topology of uniform convergence on the finite subsets of $F$ if $t = \sigma$, on the absolutely convex, compact subsets of $F$ if $t = \gamma$, on the precompact (totally bounded) subsets of $F$ if $t = \kappa$ and on the bounded subsets of $F$ if $t = b$.

The so-called $\varepsilon$-product of Schwartz is defined by

$$F \varepsilon E := L_c(F^*_\varepsilon, E)$$

(3)

where $L(F^*_\varepsilon, E)$ is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on equicontinuous subsets of $F^*$. This definition of the $\varepsilon$-product coincides with the original one by Schwartz [25, Chap. I, §1, Définition, p. 18]. It is symmetric which means that $F \varepsilon E \cong E \varepsilon F$. In the literature the definition of the $\varepsilon$-product is sometimes done the other way around, i.e. $E \varepsilon F$ is defined by the right-hand side of (3) but due to the symmetry these definitions are equivalent and for our purpose the given definition is more suitable. If we replace $F^*_\varepsilon$ by $F^*_\gamma$, we obtain Grothendieck’s definition of the $\varepsilon$-product and we remark that the two $\varepsilon$-products coincide if $F$ is quasi-complete because then $F^*_\varepsilon = F^*_\gamma$. Jarchow uses a third, different definition of the $\varepsilon$-product (see [10], 16.1, p. 344) which coincides with the one of Schwartz if $F$ is complete by [10] 9.3.7 Proposition, p. 179]. However, we stick to Schwartz’
For locally convex Hausdorff spaces $F_i, E_i$ and $T_i \in L(F_i, E_i), i = 1, 2,$ we define the $\varepsilon$-product $T_1 \varepsilon T_2 \in L(F_1 \varepsilon F_2, E_1 \varepsilon E_2)$ of the operators $T_1$ and $T_2$ by

$$(T_1 \varepsilon T_2)(u) := T_2 \circ u \circ T_1^\varepsilon, \quad u \in F_1 \varepsilon F_2,$$

where $T_1^\varepsilon : E_1 \to F_1^\varepsilon, e' \to e' \circ T_1,$ is the dual map of $T_1$. If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are isomorphisms, then $T_1 \varepsilon T_2$ is an isomorphism into, i.e. $T_1 \varepsilon T_2$ is an isomorphism from $F_1 \varepsilon F_1$ to its range by [23], Chap. I, §1, Proposition 1, p. 20] (or [11], 16.2.1 Proposition, p. 347) if the $F_i$ are complete.

We write $F \otimes^* E$ with $* = c, qc, sc, lc$ for the completion, quasi-completion, sequential completion resp. local completion of the elements of $\varepsilon$-products and tensor products see [6], [10] and [12].

3. The tensor product for weighted function spaces

First, we introduce the spaces $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ mentioned in our first section and recall some basic definitions and results from [13], Section 3. To the end of this section we state our main theorem which gives a sufficient condition for series representations of the elements of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \varepsilon E$ resp. $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ derived from series representations in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$. We start with the definition of a family of weights.

**3.1. Definition** (weight function [15], 3.1 Definition, p. 3]). Let $\Omega, J, L$ be non-empty sets and $\{M_j\}_{j \in J}$ a family of non-empty sets. $\mathcal{V} := \{(\nu_{j,l,m})_{m \in M_j})_{j,l \in J}\}$ is called a family of weight functions on $\Omega$ if $\nu_{j,l,m} : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$ for every $m \in M_j, j \in J$ and $l \in L$ and

$$\forall \ x \in \Omega, \ l \in L \ \exists \ j \in J \ \forall \ m \in M_j : \ 0 < \nu_{j,l,m}(x). \quad (4)$$

Next, we turn to the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ we want to study.

**3.2. Definition** ([15], 3.2 Definition, p. 4]). Let $\mathcal{V} := \{(\nu_{j,l,m})_{m \in M_j})_{j,l \in J}\}$ be a family of weight functions on $\Omega$ and $M_{\text{top}} := \bigcup_{l \in L} M_l$. Let $M_0$ and $M_l$ be sets, $M_{\text{top}}, M_0,$ and $M_l$ be pairwise disjoint and $M := M_{\text{top}} \cup M_0 \cup M_l$. Let $(\omega_m)_{m \in M}$ be a family of non-empty sets such that $\Omega \subset \omega_m$ for every $m \in M_{\text{top}}$ and $T_m^E, E^\Omega \cap \text{dom} T_m^E \to E^\omega_m$ is a linear map for every $m \in M$. We define the space of intersections

$$W_M(\Omega, E) := \left( \bigcap_{m \in M} \text{dom} T_m^E \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{m \in M_0} \ker T_m^E \right)$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) := \{ f \in W_M(\Omega, E) \mid \forall \ j \in J, \ l \in L, \ \alpha \in A : \ ||f||_{j,l,\alpha} < \infty \}$$
where
\[ |f|_{j,l,a} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} p_n(T_{m}^{E}(f)(x)) \chi_{j,l,m}(x). \]

Further, we write \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) := \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, \mathbb{K}) \). If we want to emphasise dependencies, we write \( \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}) \) and \( \mathcal{M}(E) \) instead of \( \mathcal{M} \) and the same for \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}, \mathcal{M}_{0} \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{r}} \).

The space \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) is a locally convex Hausdorff space by (4) and we call it a dom-space if its system of seminorms is directed and, additionally, \( \delta_{x}, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, f \mapsto f(x) \). The point-evaluation of \( T_{m}^{E} \) is defined by \( T_{m,x}^{E} : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \rightarrow E, T_{m,x}^{E}(f) := T_{m}^{E}(f)(x) \) (see [15, 3.3 Definition, p. 4]).

For a dom-space \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \) the linear map
\[ S : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \rightarrow E^{\Omega}, u \mapsto [x \mapsto u(\delta_{x})], \]
is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of \( S \) on \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \), we write \( S_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega)} \) instead of \( S \). The next definition describes a sufficient condition for the inclusion \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) by means of the family \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \).

3.3. Definition (defining, consistent [15, 3.6 Definition, p. 5]). Let \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \) and \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) be dom-spaces such that \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}(E), \mathcal{M}_{0}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{M}_{0}(E) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{r}}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{M}_{\text{r}}(E) \). Let
\[ \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{M}(E) \]

a) We call \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \) a defining family for \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \), in short, \( (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}, E) \).

b) We call \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \) consistent if we have for every \( u \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E, m \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( x \in \omega_{m} \):
   \begin{enumerate}
   \item \( S(u) \in \text{dom } T_{m}^{E} \) and \( T_{m,x}^{E} \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \)',
   \item \( T_{m}^{E}S(u)(x) = u(T_{m,x}^{E}). \)
   \end{enumerate}

c) Let \( N \subseteq \mathcal{M} \). We call \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in N} \) a consistent subfamily, if (i) and (ii) are fulfilled for every \( m \in N \).

To be precise, \( T_{m,x}^{E} \) in (i) and (ii) means the restriction of \( T_{m,x}^{E} \) to \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \). The consistency of a family of operators yields to the following theorem.

3.4. Theorem ([15, 3.7 Theorem, p. 6]). Let \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \) be a consistent family for \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \). Then \( S : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) is an isomorphism into.

Now, we phrase a sufficient condition such that \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \cong \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) holds. As usual we consider \( F \otimes E \) as an algebraic subspace of \( F \otimes E \) for two locally convex Hausdorff spaces \( F \) and \( E \) by means of the linear injection
\[ \chi_{F} : F \otimes E \rightarrow F \otimes E, \sum_{n=1}^{k} f_{n} \otimes e_{n} \mapsto \left[ y \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{k} y(f_{n})e_{n} \right]. \]

We omit the function \( F \) and just write \( \chi \) if no confusion seems to be likely. Via \( \chi \) the topology of \( F \otimes E \) induces a locally convex topology on \( F \otimes E \) and \( F \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \) denotes \( F \otimes E \) equipped with this topology.

3.5. Corollary. Let \( (T_{m}^{E}, T_{m,x}^{E})_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \) be a consistent family for \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \).

a) We get by identification of isomorphic subspaces
\[ \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \subset \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \subset \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \]
and the embedding \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) is given by \( f \otimes e \mapsto [x \mapsto f(x)e] \).

b) Let \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \) and \( E \) be complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially, locally complete). If \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is dense (resp. quasi-, sequentially, locally dense) in \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \), then
\[ \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E \cong \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \]
with \( * = c \) (resp. \( * = qc, sc, lc \)) and \( \mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \) is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially, locally complete).
Proof. a) The inclusions obviously hold by Theorem 3.6 and $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \varepsilon E$ and $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ induce the same topology on $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E$. Further, we have

$$f \otimes e \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ y \mapsto y(f)e \right] \circ \delta_n \circ \left[ x \mapsto [ y \mapsto y(f)e ](\delta_x) \right] = [ x \mapsto f(x)e ].$$

b) If $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ and $E$ are complete (quasi-, sequentially, locally complete), then we obtain that $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \varepsilon E$ is complete (quasi-, sequentially, locally complete) by \cite{12} Satz 10.3, p. 234 resp. \cite{21} Lemma 11.5.19, p. 403. In addition, we get the completion (quasi-, sequential, local completion) of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E$ as its closure (quasi-, sequential, local closure) in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ which coincides with the closure (quasi-, sequential, local closure) in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$. The rest follows directly from a).

3.6. Remark. If the conditions of Corollary 3.5 b) in the ‘complete-dense’-case are satisfied for every Banach space $E$ or for $E = \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)'$, then $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ has AP by \cite{12} Satz 10.17, p. 250.

Let us prepare the ground for our main theorem.

3.7. Proposition. Let $(T^E_m, T^X_m)_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$ be a consistent family for $(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}, E)$. Let there be a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ and for every $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ a sequence $(e_n(f))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $E$ such that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(f) f_n, \quad f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E),$$

where the series converges in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$. If $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ and $E$ are complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete),

a) then

$$\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \equiv \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \varepsilon E \equiv \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \circ \varepsilon E,$$

and

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \circ \varepsilon E,$$

with * = c (resp. qc, sc) where the series converges in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \circ \varepsilon E$.

b) the topology on $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ is stronger than the topology of pointwise convergence and

\begin{equation}
\forall m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}, e \in E, f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) : T^E_m(e \cdot f) = e \cdot T^X_m(f),
\end{equation}

then the inverse of $S$ is given by the map

$$R^f_j : \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \to \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \varepsilon E, \quad f \mapsto \mathcal{J}^{-1} \circ R^f_j,$$

where $\mathcal{J} : E \to (E')^\ast$ is the canonical injection into the algebraic dual $(E')^\ast$ of $E'$ and

$$R^f_j : \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega)' \to (E')^\ast, \quad y \mapsto [ e' \mapsto y(e' \circ f) ],$$

for $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ and observe that

$$P^E_k(f) := \sum_{n=1}^{k} e_n(f) f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{k} f_n \otimes e_n(f) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Corollary 3.5 a). Due to our assumption we have $P^E_k(f) \to f$ in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$. Thus $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is sequentially dense in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$. The remaining part of a), in particular that $S$ is an isomorphism, follows from Corollary 3.5 b).

Let us turn to part b). Let $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}(\Omega, E), j \in J$ and $l \in L$. Then

$$T^X_m(e_n(f) f_n)(x) = e'(e_n(f) T^X_m(f_n)(x)) = e'(e_n(f) T^X_m(f_n)(x)).$$
Let \( C > 0 \) for every \( x \in \Omega, \ m \in M \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence for \( e' \in E' \) there are \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \) and \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
| \sum_{n=q}^{k} e'(e_n(f)) f_n(x)| \leq C | \sum_{n=q}^{k} e_n(f) f_n(x) | \leq C | \sum_{n=q}^{k} e_n(f) f_n(x) |
\]

for all \( k, q \in \mathbb{N}, k > q \), which implies that \( (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e'(e_n(f)) f_n) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) and thus convergent. Furthermore, we notice that

\[
(e' \circ f)(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} e'(\sum_{n=1}^{k} e_n(f) f_n(x)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e'(e_n(f)) f_n(x)
\]

for every \( x \in \Omega \) where we used in the first equality that the topology on \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) \) is stronger than the topology of pointwise convergence and the same for \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) in the second equality which is a consequence of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) being a dom-space. Therefore we deduce that

\[
y(e' \circ f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e'(e_n(f)) y(f_n)
\]

for every \( y \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)' \). We denote by

\[
\chi^* : \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \to \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E
\]

the continuous, linear extension of \( \chi \) to \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \). From the convergence of \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(f) \otimes f_n \) to \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(f) \otimes f_n \) in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E \) we conclude that

\[
\chi^* (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n(f))(y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \chi (\sum_{n=1}^{k} f_n \otimes e_n(f))(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(f) y(f_n)
\]

in \( E \) for every \( y \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)' \) which yields

\[
R_j^* (y)(e') = y(e' \circ f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} e'(\sum_{n=1}^{k} e_n(f) y(f_n)) = e'(\chi^* (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n(f)))(y)
\]

for every \( e' \in E' \). We derive that

\[
(S \circ R_j^*)(f) = S(J^{-1} \circ R_j^*) = S(\chi^* (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes e_n(f))) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(f) f_n = f.
\]

\[\square\]

Let us turn to our main theorem which can be combined with the preceding proposition.

3.8. **Theorem.** Let \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) be barrelled and \( (T_m^{E}, T_m^{\mathbb{K}})_{m \in M} \) a consistent family for \( (\mathcal{FV}, E) \) which fulfils:

\[
\forall \ m \in M_{\text{top}}, \ e \in E, \ f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) : T_m^{E}(e \cdot f) = e \cdot T_m^{E}(f). \tag{8}
\]

Let \( (T_m^{E}, T_m^{\mathbb{K}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a subfamily, \( x_n \in \omega_{m_n} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) such that

\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_m^{\mathbb{K}} (f_n) f_n, \quad f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega),
\]

where the series converges in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \). Then the following holds.

a) \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) has BAP, \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is sequentially dense in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) and

\[
S(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_m^{E} (S(u)) f_n, \quad u \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E,
\]

where the series converges in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) \).
b) If $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ and $E$ are complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

$$\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \vDash E \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}^\ast E$$

and

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi T_{m_n,x_n}(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}^\ast E,$$

where the series converges in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}^\ast E$ with $* = c$ (resp. qc, sc).

c) If $S$ is surjective, then \[ \text{\#} \] holds with $\epsilon_n(f) = T_{m_n,x_n}(f)$.

d) $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \vDash E$ has BAP if $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \vDash E$ is equicontinuous and the restriction of $T_{m_n,x_n}$ to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ is continuous for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Proof.**

a) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the linear projection

$$P_k^E: \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{FV}(\Omega), \quad P_k^E(f)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m_n,x_n}(f)f_n(x).$$

The range of $P_k^E$ is finite dimensional (dim $\leq k$). Due to the consistency of the family $(T_{m_n}, T_{m_n}^\ast)_{n \in \mathcal{M}}$ we have $T_{m_n}^k \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'$ and thus for every $f \in J$ and $l \in L$ there are $C_k > 0$, $j_k \in J$ and $l_k \in L$ such that

$$|P_k^E(f)|_{j,l} \leq C_k \sum_{n=1}^{k} |T_{m_n,\xi x_n}(f)||f_n|_{j,l} \leq C_k \sum_{n=1}^{k} |f_n|_{j,l}$$

implying the continuity of $P_k^E$. Moreover, $P_k^E \to \text{id}$ in $L_\sigma(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega), \mathcal{FV}(\Omega))$ by our assumption. Since $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ is barrelled, we derive that $(P_k^E)$ is equicontinuous by the uniform boundedness principle. Therefore $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ has BAP. By virtue of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem we get $P_k^E \to \text{id}$ in $L_\sigma(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega), \mathcal{FV}(\Omega))$.

Moreover, we deduce from $T_{m_n,\xi x_n} \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $P_{k,x}^E \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'$. Let $x \in \Omega$. Since $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ is a domain-space, there are $j \in J$, $l \in L$ and $C_k > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|P_k^E(f) - \delta_x(f)| = ||\delta_x(P_k^E(f) - f)| \leq C|P_k^E(f) - f|_{j,l}.$$  

Hence the convergence of $(P_k^E(f))$ to $f$ in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ implies that $(P_{k,x})$ converges to $\delta_x$ in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'_\sigma$. We conclude that $(P_{k,x})$ converges to $\delta_x$ in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'_\sigma$ from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem as $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ is barrelled.

We define the linear map

$$P_k^E: \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) \to \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E), \quad P_k^E(f)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m_n,x_n}(f)f_n(x).$$

This map is well-defined and $P_k^E(f) \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes}^\ast E \subset \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \vDash E$ since the functions $x \mapsto f_n(x)e$ belong to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ for every $e \in E$ and $f_n \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ by Corollary 3.9a. Using the consistency, we obtain by a simple calculation for every $u \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \vDash E$ and $x \in \Omega$ that

$$P_k^E(S(u))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m_n,x_n}(S(u))(x)f_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} u(T_{m_n,x_n}(\xi f))(x)$$

$$= u\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m_n,x_n}(\xi f)(x)\right) = u(P_{k,x})$$

yielding to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m_n,x_n}(S(u))f_n(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} P_k^E(S(u))(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} u(P_{k,x}) = u(\delta_x)$$

$$= S(u)(x)$$
in $E$. For $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}$ and $x \in \Omega$ we have
\[
T_m^E(P_k^E(S(u)) - S(u))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_m^E(T_{m,n,x_n}(S(u))f_n)(x) - T_m^E(S(u))(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_m^E(S(u))(x_n)T_m^E(f_n)(x) - T_m^E(S(u))(x) = u(\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{m,n,x_n}(\cdot)T_m^E(f_n)(\cdot) - T_m^E). \]
Hence for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ there are $C = C(u) > 0$ and compact $K = K(u) \subset \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ such that for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}$ and $x \in \Omega$
\[
p_m(T_m^E(P_k^E(S(u)) - S(u))(x)) \leq C \sup_{f \in K} \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_m^E(S(u))(x_n)T_m^E(f_n)(x) - T_m^E(S(u))(x)
= C \sup_{f \in K} \sum_{n=1}^{k} T_m^E(S(u))(x_n)T_m^E(f_n)(x) - T_m^E(S(u))(x)
= C \sup_{f \in K} |T_m^E(S(u))(x_n)T_m^E(f_n)(x) - T_m^E(S(u))(x)|
\]
implying
\[
|P_k^E(S(u)) - S(u)|_j,l,\alpha \leq C \sup_{f \in K} |P_k^E(f) - f|_j,l
\]
for every $j \in J$ and $l \in L$. From $P_k^E \rightarrow \text{id}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega), \mathcal{FV}(\Omega))$ follows that $P_k^E(S(u)) \rightarrow S(u)$ in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ yielding the sequential density of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$ in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$.

b) Follows from a) and Corollary 3.5 a).

c) Follows directly from a).

d) The map $P_k^E$ from a) has finite rank and its range is contained in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$.

Furthermore, we have for every $f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}$ and $x \in \Omega$
\[
T_m^E(T_{m,n,x_n}(f)f_n)(x) \in T_m^E(T_{m,n,x_n}(f))T_m^E(f_n)(x)
\]
yielding to
\[
|T_{m,n,x_n}(f)f_n|_j,l,\alpha = |f_n|_j,l,\alpha T_{m,n,x_n}(f)
\]
for every $j \in J$, $l \in L$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to the continuity of the restriction of every $T_{m,n,x_n}$ to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ we obtain that $P_k^E$ is continuous. Moreover, the restriction of $P_k^E$ to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$ satisfies $(P_k^E)_{\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E} \rightarrow \text{id}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E, \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E)$ by (10). Like before it follows that the restriction of the sequence $(P_k^E)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is equicontinuous by the uniform boundedness principle and $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$ has BAP since $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is barreled.

3.9. Remark. The ‘continuity-condition’ in part d) is fulfilled if $m_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, then there are $l \in L$ with $m_n \in M_l$ and $j \in J$ such that $\nu_{j,l,m_n}(x_n) > 0$ by (1) and it follows
\[
p_\alpha(T_{m,n,x_n}(f)) = \frac{1}{\nu_{j,l,m_n}(x_n)}p_\alpha(T_{m,n,x_n}(f))\nu_{j,l,m_n}(x_n) \leq \frac{1}{\nu_{j,l,m_n}(x_n)}|f|_j,l,\alpha
\]
for every $f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ connoting the continuity of $T_{m,n,x_n}$. 

\[\text{SERIES REPRESENTATIONS} 9\]
The first part of the proof of Theorem 3.8(a) is just an adaption of the proof of [11, 18.5.1 Proposition, p. 410] where it is shown that a locally convex Hausdorff space which admits an equicontinuous basis has Grothendieck’s approximation property.

If \( (\beta_n) \) is a topological basis of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \), then it is a Schauder basis due to the continuity of the coefficients \( T_{\beta_n}^{\mathcal{FV}} \). A stability result for AP like Theorem 3.8(d) for BAP is known for quasi-complete locally convex Hausdorff spaces \( F \) and \( E \) if both of them have AP by [14, §44, 5.(7) b), p. 284]. Concerning the condition of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E \) being barrelled in part d), we recall the definition of an \( \mathcal{L}^{\infty} \)-space and of fundamental-\( \ell^1 \)-boundedness. A Banach space \( X \) over \( \mathbb{K} \) is called \( \mathcal{L}^{\infty} \)-space if there is \( 1 \leq \lambda < \infty \) such that for every finite dimensional subspace \( U \subset X \) there is a linear space \( U \subset V \subset X \) with \( r := \dim V < \infty \) such that

\[
d(V, \ell^\infty_r) := \inf \{ |T||T^{-1}| \mid T: V \rightarrow \ell^\infty_r \text{ isomorphism} \} \leq \lambda
\]

where \( | \cdot | \) is the operator norm and \( \ell^\infty_r := \mathbb{K}^r \) equipped with the sup-norm \( |x|_\infty := \sup_{1 \leq n \leq r} |x_n| \) for \( x = (x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^r \) (see [13, Definition, p. 326]).

For a locally convex Hausdorff space \( (E, (\alpha_n))_{\alpha \in \Omega} \) the space \( \ell^1(E) \) of absolutely-\( \ell^1 \)-summable sequences in \( E \) is endowed with the topology generated by the seminorms \( \pi_n(x) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} |\alpha_n(x_n)|, x = (x_n) \in \ell^1(E) \). \( E \) is called fundamentally-\( \ell^1 \)-bounded if for every bounded subset \( H \subset \ell^1(E) \), there exists a closed disk \( B \) in \( E \) such that \( \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} p_B(x_n) \leq 1 \) for all \( x = (x_n) \in H \), where \( p_B \) is the gauge of \( B \) (see [21, Definition 4.8.2, p. 139-140]).

3.10. Remark. a) If \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) and \( E \) are Fréchet spaces, then \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E \) is barrelled.

b) If \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) is an \( \mathcal{L}^{\infty} \)-space and \( E \) an (LF)-space or \( E \) is locally complete and barrelled with fundamentally-\( \ell^1 \)-bounded strong dual \( E'_c \), then \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E \) is barrelled.

c) If \( E \) is a locally complete, separable (DF)-space, then \( E \) is barrelled and \( E'_c \) is fundamentally-\( \ell^1 \)-bounded.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that the \( \varepsilon \)-product of two Fréchet spaces is also Fréchet by [11, 16.1.2 Proposition, p. 345] and thus barrelled. The second statement follows from [21, Corollary 11.5.17, p. 413] and [21, Proposition 11.5.20, p. 413]. For the third statement we remark that a separable (DF)-space is quasi-barrelled by [21, Proposition 8.3.13 (i), p. 251] and a locally complete quasi-barrelled space is already barrelled by [21, Corollary 5.1.10, p. 153]. The strong dual of a (DF)-space is a Fréchet space by [21, Proposition 8.3.7, p. 250] and Fréchet spaces are fundamentally-\( \ell^1 \)-bounded by [21, Observation 4.8.3 (c), p. 140].

As mentioned in the introduction, every element of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes \varepsilon E \equiv \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes \varepsilon E \) has a series representation if \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) and \( E \) are metrisable and one of the spaces is nuclear. In the subsequent considerations we try to relax the conditions on \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) and \( E \) a little bit. We recall that the projective topology on the tensor product \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is stronger than the injective topology by [11, 16.1.3 Proposition, p. 345] implying the continuity of \( \chi: \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes \varepsilon E \rightarrow \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E \). Thus there is a continuous, linear extension

\[
\tilde{\chi}^\varepsilon: \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes \varepsilon E \rightarrow \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E
\]

of \( \chi \) to the completion \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes \varepsilon E \) by [11, 3.4.2 Theorem, p. 61-62] if \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \epsilon E \) is complete.

3.11. Proposition. Let \( E \) be a Fréchet space, \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) complete and \( (T_m^E,T_m^K)_{m \in M} \) a consistent family for \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega,E) \).

a) If there are a metrisable locally convex space \( \mathcal{G} \), a continuous, linear, surjective map \( P^K: \mathcal{G} \otimes \varepsilon E \rightarrow \mathcal{FV}(\Omega,E) \) and a continuous, linear map \( P^K: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{FV}(\Omega,E) \).
Proof.\ 
and \( \mathcal{E} \)

Therefore \( \lambda \in \ell^1 \), null sequences \((\lambda_n) \in \ell^1 \), null sequences \((f_n) \) in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) and \((e_n) \) in \( E \) such that

\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n \otimes e_n.
\]

b) If, in addition to a), \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) \) is ultrabornological, \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) webbed and \( \bar{\chi}^\pi \) injective, then

\[
\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \bar{\otimes} E.
\]

We claim that \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( E \) are metrisable locally convex spaces, there is \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) such that \( f = P^E(g) \)
and

\[
g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mathcal{I}_G(g_n \otimes e_n) \quad (11)
\]

where \((\lambda_n) \in \ell^1 \) and \((g_n) \) and \((e_n) \) are null sequences in \( \mathcal{G} \) resp. \( E \) by virtue of \([10, 15.6.4 \text{ Corollary, p. } 334]\) (the map \( \mathcal{I}_G \) is usually omitted). Denoting by \( \mathcal{I}_F : \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \to \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \bar{\otimes} E \) the embedding in the completion and using the linearity and continuity of \( P^E \), we derive

\[
f = P^E(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (P^E \circ \mathcal{I}_G)(g_n \otimes e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (S \circ \chi)(P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n)
\]

where we used \([13, 34.2 \text{ Theorem, p. } 61-62] \) and that \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is complete for the last equation. We claim that \((\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \). Indeed, since \( P^E \) is linear and continuous, it follows that \((P^E(g_n)) \) is a null sequence in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \) as well. Hence for \( j \in J, l \in L \) and \( \alpha \in \mathcal{R} \) there is \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
\pi_{j, l, \alpha} \left( \sum_{n=q}^{k} \lambda_n P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=q}^{k} |\lambda_n| |P^E(g_n)| \|u, j, M \cdot p_n(e_n)\| \leq C \sum_{n=q}^{k} |\lambda_n|
\]

for all \( k, q \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq q \), where \((\pi_{j, l, \alpha})_{j, l, \alpha} \) is the system of seminorms of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) (see \([10, 15.1.1 \text{ Proposition, p. } 324]\)). Our claim follows from \((\lambda_n) \in \ell^1 \). Therefore the sequence \((\sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_n \mathcal{I}_F(P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n)) \) converges in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) to an element \( h_f \) and we obtain by the continuity of \( S \circ \bar{\chi}^\pi \) that

\[
f = (S \circ \bar{\chi}^\pi) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mathcal{I}_F(P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n) \right) = (S \circ \bar{\chi}^\pi)(h_f) = S(\bar{\chi}^\pi(h_f)).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is complete, the completion of \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) is obtained as the closure in \( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \). We observe that \( \bar{\chi}^\pi(h_f) \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \) and obtain from the continuity of \( \bar{\chi}^\pi \) and the equation \( \bar{\chi}^\pi \circ \mathcal{I}_F = \text{id} \circ \chi \) that

\[
\bar{\chi}^\pi(h_f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \chi \left( \sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_n P^E(g_n) \otimes e_n \right) \in \chi \left( \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \right) \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E = \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \bar{\otimes} E.
\]

Therefore \( S \) is surjective and we get by Theorem 3.3

\[
\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \bar{\otimes} E \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \otimes E \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E).
\]
Furthermore, for every $f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E$ we have by (12) and (13) that
\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \chi(P_n g) \otimes e_n
\]
with some $g \in (P_n)^{-1}(S(f))$ from (11).

Let us turn to part b). Due to (12) the map $S \circ \hat{\chi} : \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E \to \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ is continuous, linear and surjective. It is also injective because $S$ and $\hat{\chi}$ are injective. From the open mapping theorem (De Wilde) we conclude that the inverse of $S \circ \hat{\chi}$ is continuous as $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ is ultrabornological and $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E$ webbed. Thus we get
\[
\mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} E \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E).
\]

3.12. Remark. If the conditions of Proposition 3.11 b) are satisfied for $E = \ell^1$, then
\[
\ell^1(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)) \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \ell^1 \cong \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \hat{\otimes} \ell^1 \cong \ell^1(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega))
\]
by (10) 15.7.6 Corollary, p. 341 and (10) 16.5.2 Theorem, p. 359 implying the nuclearity of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ by (10) 21.2.1 Theorem, p. 482 where $\ell^1(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega))$ denotes the space of absolutely summable (absolutely-ell^1-summable) sequences in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ and $\ell^1(\mathcal{FV}(\Omega))$ the space of unconditionally Cauchy summable sequences in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$.

Unfortunately, the only applications of Proposition 3.11 we know, are examples where $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ and $E$ are Fréchet spaces and it is already known that $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega)$ is nuclear (see Remark 4.10).

4. Applications

Before we apply our results from the preceding section, we remark the following observation which comes in handy quite often.

4.1. Remark. Let $(T^E_{m}, T^K_{m})_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$ be a consistent family for $(\mathcal{FV}, E)$. Consider for $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $Y \in \{K, E\}$ the map $T^Y_{m} : \text{dom} T^Y_{m} \to Y^{\infty}$ and define for $z \in \omega_{m}$ the linear map
\[
T^Y_{m,z} : \text{dom} T^Y_{m} \to Y^{(1)}, \quad T^Y_{m,z}(f)(1) = T^Y_{m}(f)(z).
\]
If we add $\{m_{z}\}$ to the set $\mathcal{M}_{z}$ (w.l.o.g. $m_{z} \notin \mathcal{M}_{z}$) and $(T^E_{m_{z}}, T^K_{m_{z}})$ to the defining family for $(\mathcal{FV}, E)$, we do not change the spaces $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$. Further, the new, bigger defining family is still consistent since we have for every $u \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega) \in E$ that $S(u) \in \text{dom} T^E_{m} = \text{dom} T^E_{m_{z}}$ and $T^K_{m_{z}, 1} = T^K_{m_{z}, z} \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega)'$ as well as
\[
T^E_{m_{z}}(S(u))(1) = T^E_{m}(S(u))(z) = u(T^K_{m_{z}}) = u(T^K_{m_{z}, 1})
\]
by the consistency of the old family.

In addition, if $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}$, then the restriction of $T^E_{m_{z}, 1} = T^E_{m_{z}}$ to $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, E)$ is continuous by Remark 3.9.
In some of the references on Schauder basis used in this section the given Schauder bases are bases for real spaces of functions. They can be turned into Schauder bases for corresponding complex spaces of functions by the following procedure (which we implicitly do if needed).

4.2. Remark. Let $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ be dom-spaces with defining operators $(T^m_+(\omega))_{\omega \in \mathcal{M}}$ and $(T^m_-(\omega))_{\omega \in \mathcal{M}}$, respectively. Suppose that $\text{Re} f, \text{Im} f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$T^c_+(f) = T^m_+(\text{Re} f) + i \cdot T^m_-(\text{Im} f), \quad f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}),$$

for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ has a Schauder basis $(f_n)$ with associated coefficient functionals $(\zeta_n^c)$, we can consider the $f_n$ as functions in $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ via the map $f_n \mapsto f_n + i \cdot 0$ and they form a Schauder basis of $\mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ with associated coefficient functionals $(\zeta_n^c)$ given by

$$\zeta_n^c(f) = \zeta_n^c(\text{Re} f) + i \cdot \zeta_n^c(\text{Im} f), \quad f \in \mathcal{FV}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}),$$

which is easily seen.

**Sequence spaces**

For our first application we recall the definition of some sequence spaces. A matrix $A := (a_{k,j})_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative numbers is called Köthe matrix if it fulfills:

1. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists j \in \mathbb{N} : a_{k,j} > 0$,
2. $\forall k,j \in \mathbb{N} : a_{k,j} \leq a_{k,j+1}$.

For an lcHs $E$ we define the Köthe space

$$\lambda^\infty(A, E) := \{ x = (x_k) \in E^\mathbb{N} \mid \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : a_{k,j}x_k < \infty \}$$

and the topological subspace

$$c_0(A, E) := \{ x = (x_k) \in E^\mathbb{N} \mid \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k a_{k,j} = 0 \}.$$ 

In particular, the space $c_0(\mathbb{N}, E)$ of null-sequences in $E$ is obtained as $c_0(\mathbb{N}, E) = c_0(A, E)$ with $a_{k,j} := 1$ for all $k,j \in \mathbb{N}$. The space of convergent sequences in $E$ is defined by

$$c(\mathbb{N}, E) := \{ x \in E^\mathbb{N} \mid x = (x_k) \text{ converges in } E \}$$

and equipped with the system of seminorms

$$|x|_{j,\alpha} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha}(x_k), \quad x \in c(\mathbb{N}, E),$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. We define the spaces of $E$-valued rapidly decreasing sequences which we need for our subsection on Fourier expansion by

$$s(\Omega, E) := \{ x = (x_k) \in E^{\Omega} \mid \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : |x|_{j,\alpha} := \sup_{k \in \Omega} p_{\alpha}(x_k)(1 + |k|^2)^{j/2} < \infty \}$$

with $\Omega = \mathbb{N}^d, \mathbb{N}_0^d, \mathbb{Z}^d$. Furthermore, we equip the space $E^\mathbb{N}$ with the system of seminorms given by

$$|x|_{l,\alpha} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha}(x_k) \chi_{[1,\ldots,l]}(k), \quad x = (x_k) \in E^\mathbb{N},$$

for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. For a non-empty set $\Omega$ we define for $n \in \Omega$ the $n$-th unit function by

$$\varphi_{n,\Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{K}, \quad \varphi_{n,\Omega}(k) := \begin{cases} 1, & k = n, \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

and we simply write $\varphi_n$ instead of $\varphi_{n,\Omega}$ if no confusion seems to be likely. Further, we set $\varphi_\infty : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{K}$, $\varphi_\infty(k) := 1$, and $x_\infty := \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k$ for $x \in c(\mathbb{N}, E)$. For series representations of the elements in these sequence spaces we do not need our main
Theorem \textbf{3.3} due to the subsequent proposition but we obtain some additional structural properties of the sequence spaces from it.

\textbf{4.3. Proposition.} Let $E$ be an lcHs and $\ell V(\Omega, E)$ one of the spaces $c_0(A, E)$, $E^\infty$, $s(\mathbb{N}^d, E)$, $s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E)$ or $s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E)$.

\textit{a)} Then

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \varphi_n, \quad x \in \ell V(\Omega, E),$$

where the series converges in $\ell V(\Omega, E)$.

\textit{b)} Then

$$x = x_0 \varphi_\infty + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_\infty) \varphi_n, \quad x \in c(\mathbb{N}, E),$$

where the series converges in $c(\mathbb{N}, E)$.

\textbf{Proof.} Let us begin with a). For $x = (x_n) \in \ell V(\Omega, E)$ let $(s_m)$ be the sequence in $\ell V(\Omega, E)$ given by $s_m := \sum_{n \leq m} x_n \varphi_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x \in c_0(A, E)$ there is $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_\alpha(x_n a_{n,j}) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_0$. Hence we have for $x \in c_0(A, E)$

$$|x - s_m|_{|n|, \alpha} = \sup_{n \leq m} p_\alpha(x_n a_{n,j}) \leq \sup_{n \geq N_0} p_\alpha(x_n a_{n,j}) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $m \geq N_0$. For $x \in E^\infty$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|x - s_m\|_{l, \alpha} = 0 < \varepsilon$$

for all $m \geq l$. For $x \in s(\Omega, E)$, $\Omega = \mathbb{N}^d$, $\mathbb{N}_0^d$, $\mathbb{Z}^d$, we notice that there is $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \Omega$ with $|n| \geq N_1$ we have

$$\frac{(1 + |n|^2)^{j/2}}{(1 + |n|)^2} = (1 + |n|^2)^{-j/2} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we deduce for $|n| \geq N_1$

$$p_\alpha(x_n)(1 + |n|^2)^{j/2} \leq \varepsilon p_\alpha(x_n)(1 + |n|^2)^{j} \leq \varepsilon |x|_{2,j, \alpha}$$

and hence

$$|x - s_m|_{|n|, \alpha} = \sup_{|n| \leq m} p_\alpha(x_n) a_{n,j} \leq \sup_{|n| > N_1} p_\alpha(x_n)(1 + |n|^2)^{j/2} \leq \varepsilon |x|_{2,j, \alpha}$$

for all $m \geq N_1$. Therefore $(s_m)$ converges to $x$ in $\ell V(\Omega, E)$ and

$$x = \lim_{m \to \infty} s_m = \sum_{n \in \Omega} x_n \varphi_n.$$

Now, we turn to b). For $x = (x_n) \in c(\mathbb{N}, E)$ let $(\tilde{s}_m)$ be the sequence in $c(\mathbb{N}, E)$ given by $\tilde{s}_m := x_0 \varphi_\infty + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_\infty) \varphi_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_\alpha(x_n - x_\infty) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_2$. Thus we obtain

$$|x - \tilde{s}_m|_\alpha = \sup_{n \leq m} p_\alpha(x_n - x_\infty) \leq \sup_{n \geq N_2} p_\alpha(x_n - x_\infty) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $m \geq N_2$ implying that $(\tilde{s}_m)$ converges to $x$ in $c(\mathbb{N}, E)$ and

$$x = \lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{s}_m = x_\infty \varphi_\infty + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_\infty) \varphi_n.$$

Let us introduce the defining families for our sequence spaces. Let $\mathcal{M}_{c_0}(A) := \{\{id\}\}$, $\mathcal{M}_t(c_0(A)) := \{\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_t(c) := \{\lim\}$ as well as

$$T_{E^\infty}^E : \{x \in E^N | \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{ka_{k,j}} = 0\} \to E^{(1)}, \quad T_{E^\infty}^E(x)(1) := 0,$$

$$T_{\lim}^E : \{x \in E^N | x = (x_n) \text{ converges in } E \} \to E^{(1)}, \quad T_{\lim}^E(x)(1) := \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n,$$
and $T_{id}^E := \text{id}_{E^c}$ for $\Omega = \mathbb{N}^d, \mathbb{N}^d_0, \mathbb{Z}^d$.

4.4. Theorem. Let $E$ be an lcHs and $\ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ one of the spaces $c_0(A, E)$, $E^\mathcal{N}$, $s(\mathbb{N}, E)$, $s(\mathbb{N}^d_0, E)$ or $s(\mathbb{Z}, E)$.

a) If $E$ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

(i) $\ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E) \cong \ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \mathcal{E} E \cong \ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega) \overset{\gamma}{\mathcal{E}} E$,

(ii) $c(\mathbb{N}, E) \cong c(\mathbb{N}) \mathcal{E} E \cong c(\mathbb{N}) \overset{\gamma}{\mathcal{E}} E$

for $\sigma = c$ (resp. qc, sc) and

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_n \otimes x_n$$

for all $x \in \ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ and

$$x = \varphi_\infty \otimes x_\infty + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \otimes (x_n - x_\infty)$$

for all $x \in c(\mathbb{N}, E)$ where the series converge in the corresponding spaces.

b) If $E$ is a Fréchet space, then $\ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega, E)$ and $c(\mathbb{N}, E)$ have BAP.

c) If $E$ is an (LF)-space or if $E$ is locally complete, barrelled and the strong dual $E^\prime_0$ is fundamentally-$\ell^1$-bounded, then $c_0(\mathbb{N}) \mathcal{E} E$ and $c(\mathbb{N}) \mathcal{E} E$ have BAP.

Proof. The defining family $(T^E_{m}, T^E_{m})_{m(\text{id})_{U(\infty)}}$ for $(c_0(A), E)$ is consistent by [15, 4.2 Proposition, p. 12] and the defining family $(T^E_{id}, T^E_{id})$ for $(K^\Omega, E)$ resp. $(s(\Omega), E)$ is clearly consistent. Considering $c(\mathbb{N}, E)$, we observe that for $x \in c(\mathbb{N})$

$$\delta_n(x) = x_n \rightarrow x_\infty = T^E_{\lim, 1}(x)$$

which implies $T^E_{\lim, 1} \in c(\mathbb{N})'$ and $\delta_n \rightarrow T^E_{\lim, 1}$ in $c(\mathbb{N})'$ by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem since $c(\mathbb{N})$ is a Banach space. Hence we get

$$u(T^E_{\lim, 1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(\delta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(u)(n) = T^E_{\lim}(S(u))(1)$$

for every $u \in c(\mathbb{N}) \mathcal{E} E$. Therefore $(T^E_{\lim}, T^E_{\lim})$ is a consistent subfamily for $(c, E)$. The whole defining family of $(c, E)$, which we get by adding $(T^E_{id}, T^E_{id})$ to the subfamily, is clearly consistent. From Proposition [4.9] and Proposition [4.7] a) we deduce part a).

Let us turn to part b). The spaces $\ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ and $c(\mathbb{N})$ are Fréchet spaces and thus barrelled. In addition, the subfamily $(T^E_{id}, T^E_{id})$ of the defining families fulfills [S]. Defining $T^E_n : Y^{\Omega} \rightarrow Y^{\Omega}$ by $T^E_n(x)(1) := x_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y \in \{K, E\}$, we remark that we do not change the spaces $\ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega, Y)$ if we add $\Omega$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and the family $(T^E_n, T^E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to the defining families of these spaces. The new, bigger defining families are still consistent by Remark [4.1] applied to $(T^E_{id}, T^E_{id})$ and $z = n$. Defining $\tilde{T}^E_n : c(\mathbb{N}, Y) \rightarrow Y^{\Omega}$ by $\tilde{T}^E_n(x)(1) := x_n - x_\infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y \in \{K, E\}$, we remark that we do not change the spaces $c(\mathbb{N}, Y)$ if we add $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and the family $(\tilde{T}^E_n, \tilde{T}^E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to the defining family of this space. The new, bigger defining family is still consistent since

$$\tilde{T}^E_n(S(u))(1) = (T^E_n - T^E_{\lim})(S(u))(1) = u(\delta_n - T^E_{\lim, 1}) = u(\tilde{T}^E_n)$$

for every $u \in c(\mathbb{N}) \mathcal{E} E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We deduce from Proposition [4.3] that

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^E_{n, 1}(x) \varphi_n$$

for all $x \in \ell\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ and

$$x = T^E_{\lim, 1}(x) \varphi_\infty + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^E_{n, 1}(x) \varphi_n$$
for all \( x \in c(\mathbb{N}) \). If follows from Remark 4.11 that the restrictions of \( T_{n,1}^{E} \) to \( \ell^{V}(\Omega, E) \) are continuous for every \( n \in \Omega \). For \( c(\mathbb{N}, E) \) we observe that

\[
p_{n}(T_{n,1}^{E}(x)) = p_{n}(x_{n} - x_{\infty}) \leq 2|x|_{n} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{n}(T_{\lim,1}^{E}(x)) = p_{n}(x_{\infty}) \leq |x|_{n}, \quad x \in c(\mathbb{N}, E),
\]

for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore part b) follows from a), Theorem 5.8 d) and Remark 5.10 a) since \( \ell^{V}(\Omega) \) and \( c(\mathbb{N}) \) are Fréchet spaces. \( c_{0}(\mathbb{N}) \) and \( c(\mathbb{N}) \) are \( L^{\infty} \)-spaces by [13] Theorem II (b), p. 327 and [17] Theorem 1, p. 205] because their dual is isometrically isomorphic to the space \( \ell^{1} \) of absolutely summable sequences. Due to Remark 5.10 b) and Theorem 5.8 d) we obtain c).  

4.5. Remark. If \( E \) is a strict resp. regular or sequentially retractive (LF)-space, then \( E \) is complete resp. sequentially complete by [13] §19, 6.(3), p. 225], [16, Proposition 2, p. 182] and [21, Proposition 8.5.41, p. 299]. Hence \( c_{0}(\mathbb{N}, E) \) and \( c(\mathbb{N}, E) \) have BAP by Theorem 4.4 a) and c) for these \( E \) as well. The same is true if \( E \) is a sequentially complete, separable (DF)-space by Remark 5.10.

Continuous and Differentiable Functions on a Closed Interval

We start with continuous functions on compact sets. We recall the following definition from [27, p. 259]. A locally convex Hausdorff space is said to have the metric convex compactness property (metric ccp) if the closure of the absolutely convex hull of every metrisable compact set is compact. In particular, every sequentially complete space has metric ccp and this implication is strict (see [13], p. 17-18 and the references therein). Let \( E \) be an lcHs, \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \) be compact and denote by \( C(\Omega, E) := C(\Omega, E) \) the space of continuous functions from \( \Omega \) to \( E \). We equip \( C(\Omega, E) \) with the system of seminorms given by

\[
|f|_{0, \alpha} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} p_{\alpha}(f(x)), \quad f \in C(\Omega, E),
\]

for \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \). Its defining family has a quite simple structure. Let \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{top}}(C) := \{c\} \) and \( T_{c}^{E} : C(\Omega, E) \to E^{\Omega}, T_{c}^{E}(f)(x) := f(x) \).

4.6. Proposition ([13 5.4 Example, p. 20]). Let \( E \) be an lcHs with metric ccp and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \) compact. Then the defining family \( (T_{c}^{E}, T_{c}^{K}) \) for \( (C, E) \) is consistent and \( C(\Omega, E) \equiv C(\Omega) \in E \) via \( S \).

Let us state the corresponding result for continuously partially differentiable functions on a bounded, open set which can be continuously extended to the boundary up to all derivatives. First, we have to recall the following definitions. A function \( f : \Omega \to E \) on an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \) with values in an lcHs \( E \) is called continuously partially differentiable \( (f \text{ is } C^{1}) \) if for the \( n \)-th unit vector \( e_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \) the limit

\[
(\partial^{\alpha})^{E} f(x) := \lim_{h \to 0, h \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{f(x + he_{n}) - f(x)}{h}
\]

exists in \( E \) for every \( x \in \Omega \) and \( (\partial^{\alpha})^{E} f \) is continuous on \( \Omega \) \( ((\partial^{\alpha})^{E} f \text{ is } C^{0}) \) for every \( 1 \leq n \leq d \). For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) a function \( f \) is said to be \( k \)-times continuously partially differentiable \( (f \text{ is } C^{k}) \) if \( f \) is \( C^{1} \) and all its first partial derivatives are \( C^{k-1} \). A function \( f \) is called infinitely continuously partially differentiable \( (f \text{ is } C^{\infty}) \) if \( f \) is \( C^{k} \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \) the linear space of all functions \( f : \Omega \to E \) which are \( C^{k} \) is denoted by \( C^{k}(\Omega, E) \). Let us turn to the defining family for \( (C^{k}(\Omega, E), E) \).

Let \( \text{Sym}_{d} \) be the set of all permutations of the set \( \{1, \ldots, d\} \). For \( \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d} \) with \( |eta| := \sum_{n=1}^{d} \beta_{n} \leq k \) and a function \( f : \Omega \to E \) on an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \) to an lcHs \( E \) we
set \((\partial^{\beta_n})^E f := f\) if \(\beta_n = 0\), and
\[
(\partial^{\beta_n})^E f(x) := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\beta_n} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\beta_n} \cdots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\beta_n} f(x)
\]
if \(\beta_n \neq 0\) and the right-hand side exists in \(E\) for every \(x \in \Omega\). Further, we define
\[
\sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E f(x) := \left( \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial x_n^{\sigma(\beta)}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial x_n^{\sigma(\beta)}} \right)^E f(x) := \left( \left( \frac{\partial^{\beta_n}}{\partial x_n^{\sigma(\beta_n)}} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{\partial^{\beta_n}}{\partial x_n^{\sigma(\beta_n)}} \right) \right)^E f(x)
\]
if the right-hand side exists in \(E\) for every \(x \in \Omega\). If \(\sigma = \text{id}\), we write \((\partial^{\beta})^E f(x) := \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E f(x)\) and \(f^{(\beta)} := (\partial^{\beta})^E f\) if \(d = 1\). Then we clearly have
\[
C^k(\Omega, E) = \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathfrak{A}} \text{dom} \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E
\]
with
\[
\text{dom} \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E := \{ f : \Omega \to E \mid \forall x \in \Omega : \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E f(x) \in E \text{ and } \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E f \in C(\Omega, E) \}\]
and
\[
\sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E : \text{dom} \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E \to E^\Omega, \quad \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E(f) := \sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E f.
\]
For \(k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\) and bounded, \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d\) we define the space \(C^k(\overline{\Omega}, E)\) of functions \(f : \Omega \to E\) which are \(k\)-times continuously partially differentiable on \(\Omega\) and whose partial derivatives up to order \(k\) are continuously extendable on \(\overline{\Omega}\). We equip \(C^k(\overline{\Omega}, E)\) with the system of seminorms given by
\[
|f|_{k,\alpha} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\sup_{\beta \in \mathfrak{A}} |\partial^{\beta} f(x)|}{\alpha}, \quad f \in C^k(\overline{\Omega}, E),
\]
for \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\). Let us introduce the operators that describe the continuous extendability.
First, we set
\[
\text{dom} T_{ext}^E := \{ f \in C(\Omega, E) \mid \forall x \in \partial \Omega, (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega, x_n \to x : \lim_n f(x_n) \text{ exists in } E \text{ independent of } (x_n) \}\]
plus
\[
T_{ext}^E : \text{dom} T_{ext}^E \to E^\Omega, \quad T_{ext}^E(f)(x) := \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n), & x \in \partial \Omega, (x_n) \subset \Omega, x_n \to x, \\ f(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}
\]
Then for \(\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq k\), we define
\[
\text{dom}(\partial^{\beta})_{ext}^E := \{ f \in \text{dom}(\partial^{\beta})^E \mid (\partial^{\beta})^E f \in \text{dom} T_{ext}^E \}\]
and \((\partial^{\beta})_{ext}^E := T_{ext}^E \circ (\partial^{\beta})^E.\)

4.7. Proposition ([13, 5.14 Example, p. 27]). Let \(E\) be an lcHs with metric ccp, \(k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\) and \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d\) open and bounded. Then a consistent defining family for \((C^k(\overline{\Omega}), E)\) is given by the union of \((\sigma(\partial^{\beta})^E, (\partial^{\beta})^E)_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_n \times \{0 < |\gamma| \leq k\}}\) and \((\partial^{\beta})^E_{ext}, (\partial^{\beta})^E_{ext})_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq k}\) and it holds \(C^k(\overline{\Omega}, E) \cong C^k(\overline{\Omega}) \subset E\) via \(S\).

We want to apply our preceding results to intervals. Let \(-\infty < a < b < \infty\) and \(T := (t_j)_{0 \leq j \leq n}\) be a partition of the interval \([a, b]\), i.e. \(a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = b\). The hat functions \(h_{t_j}^T : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}\) for the partition \(T\) are given by
\[
h_{t_j}^T(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x-t_{j-1}}{t_j-t_{j-1}}, & t_{j-1} \leq x \leq t_j, \\ \frac{t_{j+1}-x}{t_{j+1}-t_j}, & t_j < x \leq t_{j+1}, \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases}
\]
for \(2 \leq j \leq n\) and
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{t_j - x}{t_j - t_{j+1}}, & a \leq x \leq t_j, \\
0, & \text{else},
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{x - t_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}}, & t_{j-1} \leq x \leq b, \\
0, & \text{else}.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \(\mathcal{T} := (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) be a dense sequence in \([a, b]\) with \(t_0 = a, t_1 = b\) and \(t_n \neq t_m\) for \(n \neq m\). For \(T^n := \{0, \ldots, t_n\}\) there is a (unique) enumeration \(\alpha: \{0, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow T^n\) such that \(T_n := (t_{\alpha(j)})_{0 \leq j \leq n}\) is a partition of \([a, b]\). The functions \(\varphi_0^T := h_{11}^T, \varphi_1^T := h_{1}^T,\) and \(\varphi_n^T := h_{n}^T\) for \(n \geq 2\) are called Schauder hat functions for the sequence \(\mathcal{T}\) and form a Schauder basis of \(C([a, b])\) with associated coefficient functionals given by \(\zeta_n^E(f) := f(t_0), \zeta_n^E(f) := f(t_{1})\) and
\[
\zeta_{n+1}^E(f) := f(t_{n+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \zeta_k^E(f) \varphi_k^T(t_{n+1}), \quad f \in C([a, b]), \quad n \geq 2,
\]
by [26, 2.3.5 Proposition, p. 29]. Looking at the coefficient functionals, we see that the right-hand sides even make sense if \(f \in C([a, b], E)\) and thus we define \(\zeta_n^E\) on \(C([a, b], E)\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}_0\) accordingly.

4.8. Theorem. Let \(E\) be an lcHs and \(\mathcal{T} := (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) be a dense sequence in \([a, b]\) with \(t_0 = a, t_1 = b\) and \(t_n \neq t_m\) for \(n \neq m\).

a) If \(E\) has metric ccp, then \(C([a, b], E) \cong C([a, b]) \otimes E\) and
\[
f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta_k^E(f) \varphi_k^T, \quad f \in C([a, b], E),
\]
where the series converges in \(C([a, b], E)\).

b) If \(E\) is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then
\[
C([a, b], E) \cong C([a, b]) \otimes E \cong C([a, b]) \hat{\otimes} E^*, \quad \text{for } \ast = c \text{ (resp. qC, sc)}
\]
and
\[
f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k^T \otimes \zeta_k^E(f), \quad f \in C([a, b]) \hat{\otimes} E^*,
\]
where the series converges in \(C([a, b]) \hat{\otimes} E^*\).

c) If \(E\) is a Fréchet space, then \(C([a, b], E)\) has BAP.

d) If \(E\) is an (LF)-space or \(E\) is locally complete, barreled and the strong dual \(E'\) is fundamentally-\(\ell^1\)-bounded, then \(C([a, b], E)\) has BAP.

Proof. \(C([a, b])\) is a Banach space and thus barreled. The defining family \((T^E_n, T^E_{n+1})\) for \((C, E)\) is consistent by Proposition 4.4 and satisfies (3). We do not change the spaces \(C([a, b], Y), Y \in \{\mathbb{K}, E\}\), if we add \(\mathbb{N}_0\) to \(\mathcal{M}_r\) and \((\zeta_n^E, \zeta_n^E)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) to the defining family for \((C, E)\). The new defining family is still consistent since the subfamily \((\zeta_n^E, \zeta_n^E)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) only consists of linear combinations of point evaluations (analogous computation to (19)). Thus its consistency is derived from Remark 4.4 applied to \((T^E_n, T^E_{n+1})\) and \(z = t_n\). The same remark gives the continuity of every \(\zeta_n^E\). Since \(S_{C([a, b])}\) is surjective by Proposition 4.4 if \(E\) has metric ccp, we deduce part a) and b) from Theorem 3.8 (c) and Proposition 3.7 (a). Part c) and d) follow from the continuity of the operators \(\zeta_n^E\). Theorem 3.8 (d) and Remark 3.10 because \(C([a, b])\) is an \(\mathcal{L}^\infty\)-space by [12, Satz 12.2 (a), p. 292].

Remark 4.5 is valid for \(C([a, b])\) as well. If \(a = 0, b = 1\) and \(\mathcal{T}\) is the sequence of dyadic numbers given in [26, 2.1.1 Definitions, p. 21], then \((\varphi_n^T)\) is the so-called Faber-Schauder system. Using the Schauder basis and coefficient functionals of the space \(C_0(\mathbb{R})\) of continuous functions vanishing at infinity given in [27, 2.7.1, p. 61-62] and [27, 2.7.4 Corollary, p. 43], which is an \(\mathcal{L}^\infty\)-space by [18, Theorem II (b), p. 327] and [19, p. 4], a corresponding, weaker result for the \(E\)-valued counterpart.
$C_0(\mathbb{R}, E)$ holds as well by a similar argumentation. Another corresponding result holds for the space $C^{[\gamma]}_{0,0}([0,1], E)$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, of $\gamma$-Hölder continuous functions on $[0,1]$ with values in $E$ that vanish at zero and at infinity if one uses the Schauder basis and coefficient functionals of the $L^\infty$-space $C^{[\gamma]}_{0,0}([0,1]) \equiv c_0(\mathbb{N})$ from [3], Theorem 2, p. 220] and [4], Theorem 3, p. 230]. The results are a bit weaker in both cases since [1], 2.4 Theorem (2), p. 138-139] and [4], 5.9 Example b), p. 22] only guarantee that $S_{C_0}$ and $S_{C^{[\gamma]}_{0,0}([0,1])}$ are surjective if $E$ is quasi-complete.

From the Schauder hat functions $(\varphi_n^T)$ for a dense sequence $T := (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in $[a, b]$ with $t_0 = a$, $t_1 = b$ and $t_n \neq t_m$ for $n \neq m$ and the associated coefficient functionals $\zeta_n^k$ we can easily get a Schauder basis for the space $C^k([a, b], k \in \mathbb{N}$, by applying $f_n^{(k)}$ $k$-times to the series representation

$$f^{(k)} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \zeta_n^k (f^{(k)}) \varphi_n^T, \quad f \in C^k([a, b]),$$

where we identified $f^{(k)}$ with its continuous extension on $[a, b]$. The resulting Schauder basis $f_n^{(k)}: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and associated coefficient functionals $\mu_n^k: C^k([a, b]) \to \mathbb{K}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are

$$f_n^T(x) = \frac{1}{n!} (x-a)^n, \quad \mu_n^k(f) = f^{(n)}(a), \quad 0 \leq n \leq k - 1,$$

$$f_n^T(x) = \int_a^t \int_a^{t_1} \cdots \int_a^{t_{k-1}} \varphi_{n-k}^T dt dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1}, \quad \mu_n^k(f) = \zeta_{n-k}^k (f^{(k)}),$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and $f \in C^k([a, b])$ (see e.g. [24], p. 586-587], 26, 2.3.7, p. 29]). Again, the mapping rule for the coefficient functionals still makes sense if $f \in C^k([a, b], E)$ and so we define $\mu_n^E$ on $C^k([a, b], E)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ accordingly.

4.9. Theorem. Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $T := (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a dense sequence in $[a, b]$ with $t_0 = a$, $t_1 = b$ and $t_n \neq t_m$ for $n \neq m$.

a) If $E$ has metric ccp, then $C^k([a, b], E) \cong C^k([a, b])\varepsilon E$ and

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mu_n^E(f) f_n^T, \quad f \in C^k([a, b], E),$$

where the series converges in $C^k([a, b], E)$.  

b) If $E$ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

$$C^k([a, b], E) \cong C^k([a, b])\varepsilon E \cong C^k([a, b])\varepsilon^* E$$

for $\varepsilon = c$ (resp. qc, sc) and

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n^T \otimes \mu_n^E(f), \quad f \in C^k([a, b])\varepsilon^* E,$$

where the series converges in $C^k([a, b])\varepsilon^* E$.

c) If $E$ is a Fréchet space, then $C^k([a, b], E)$ has BAP.

Proof. The Banach space $C^k([a, b], E)$ is barrelled. The defining family for $(C^k(E), E)$ is consistent by Proposition 4.7 and the subfamily $(\varphi_n^T)^E, (\varphi_n^E)^\varepsilon_{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon}$ clearly satisfies [S]. We do not change the spaces $C^k([a, b], Y)$, $Y \in \{K, E\}$, if we add $\mathbb{N}_0$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and $(\mu_n^E, \mu_n^k)$ to the defining family for $(C^k([a, b], E))$. The new defining family is still consistent since the subfamily $(\mu_n^E, \mu_n^k)$ only consists of (finite) linear combinations of point evaluations of derivatives of order $k$ at inner points of $[a, b]$ or of limits to the end points $a$ or $b$ of point evaluations of derivatives up to order $k$. To see that the latter are consistent we set $T^{(n)}_{(t_n)} f : [a, b] \to Y^{(1)}$, $T^{(n)}_{(t_n)} f(1) := (\varphi_n^T)^E_{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} f(t)$, with $t \in [a, b]$ for $0 \leq n \leq k$ and $Y \in \{K, E\}$ and use
Remark [4.1] applied to \((\partial^n)_{ext}^E, (\partial^n)^K\) and \(z = t\). The maps \(T^E_{(t,n),1}\) are also continuous because
\[
p_\alpha(T^E_{(t,n),1}(f)) = \lim_{x \to t} p_\alpha((\partial^n)Ef(x)) \leq |f|_{k,\alpha}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^k([a,b], E),
\]
for \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\) and \(0 \leq \alpha \leq k\). In combination with Remark [4.1] applied to \((\partial^k)^E, (\partial^k)^K\) and \(z = t_m \in (a,b), m \geq 2\), we derive the consistency of \((\mu_k^E, \mu_k^K)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\) and the continuity of every \(\mu_k^E\). Since \(S_{\mathcal{C}^k((a,b))}\) is surjective by Proposition 4.4 if \(E\) has metric ccp, we deduce part a) and b) from Theorem 4.8 c) and Proposition 4.7 a). Part c) follows from the continuity of the operators \(\mu_k^E\), Theorem 4.8 d) and Remark 4.1(a) because \(\mathcal{C}^k([a,b])\) is a Banach space.

\[\Box\]

### Fourier expansions

In this subsection we turn our attention to Fourier expansions in the space of vector-valued rapidly decreasing functions and in the space of vector-valued smooth, \(2\pi\)-periodic functions. We start with the definition of the Pettis-integral which we need to define the Fourier coefficients for vector-valued functions. For a measure space \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\) and \(1 \leq p < \infty\) let
\[\mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu) := \{f : X \to \mathbb{K} \text{ measurable} \mid q_p(f) := \int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) < \infty\}\]
and define the quotient space of \(p\)-integrable functions by \(\mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu) := \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)/\{f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu) \mid q_p(f) = 0\}\) which becomes a Banach space if it is equipped with the norm \(\|f\|_p := q_p(F)^{1/p}, f = [F] \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)\). From now on we do not distinguish between equivalence classes and their representatives anymore.

For a measure space \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\) and \(f : X \to \mathbb{K}\) we say that \(f\) is integrable on \(\Lambda \in \Sigma\) and write \(f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Lambda, \mu)\) if \(\chi_\Lambda f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Lambda, \mu)\). Then we set
\[
\int_\Lambda f(x)d\mu(x) := \int_X \chi_\Lambda(x)f(x)d\mu(x).
\]

#### 4.10. Definition (Pettis-integral)
Let \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a measure space and \(E\) an lcHs. A function \(f : X \to E\) is called weakly (scalarly) measurable if the function \(e' \circ f : X \to \mathbb{K}, (e' \circ f)(x) := \langle e', f(x) \rangle := e'(f(x))\), is measurable for all \(e' \in E'\). A weakly measurable function is said to be weakly (scalarly) integrable if \(e' \circ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu)\). A function \(f : X \to E\) is called Pettis-integrable on \(\Lambda \in \Sigma\) if it is weakly integrable on \(\Lambda\) and
\[
\exists \ e_\Lambda \in E \ \forall e' \in E' : \langle e', e_\Lambda \rangle = \int_\Lambda \langle e', f(x) \rangle d\mu(x).
\]
In this case \(e_\Lambda\) is unique due to \(E\) being Hausdorff and we set
\[
\int_\Lambda f(x)d\mu(x) := e_\Lambda.
\]

If we consider the measure space \((X, \mathcal{L}(X), \lambda)\) of Lebesgue measurable sets for \(X \subset \mathbb{R}^d\), we just write \(dx := d\lambda(x)\).

#### 4.11. Lemma
Let \(E\) be a locally complete lcHs, \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d\) open and \(f : \Omega \to E\). If \(f\) is weakly \(\mathcal{C}^1\), i.e. \(e' \circ f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Omega)\) for every \(e' \in E'\), then \(f\) is Pettis-integrable on every compact subset of \(K \subset \Omega\) with respect to any locally finite measure \(\mu\) on \(\Omega\) and
\[
p_\alpha \left( \int_K f(x)d\mu(x) \right) \leq \mu(K) \sup_{x \in K} p_\alpha(f(x)), \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}.
\]
Proof. Let \( K \subset \Omega \) be compact and \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) a measure space with locally finite measure \( \mu \), i.e. \( \Sigma \) contains the Borel \( \sigma\)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \) on \( \Omega \) and for every \( x \in \Omega \) there is a neighbourhood \( U_x \subset \Omega \) of \( x \) such that \( \mu(U_x) < \infty \). Since the map \( e' \circ f \) is differentiable for every \( e' \in E' \), thus Borel-measurable, and \( \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \subset \Sigma \), it is measurable. We deduce that \( e' \circ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(K, \mu) \) for every \( e' \in E' \) because locally finite measures are finite on compact sets. Hence the map

\[
I: E' \to \mathbb{K}, I(e') := \int_K (e', f(x))d\mu(x)
\]

is well-defined and linear. We estimate

\[
|I(e')| \leq \mu(K) \sup_{x \in f(K)} |e'(x)| \leq \mu(K) \sup_{x \in \overline{f(K)}} |e'(x)|, \quad e' \in E'.
\]

Due to \( f \) being weakly \( C^1 \) and [20, Proposition 22.14, p. 256] the absolutely convex set \( \overline{\mathcal{C}^\infty(f(K))} \) is compact yielding \( I \in (E'_K)' \cong E \) by the theorem of Mackey-Arens which means that there is \( e_K \in E \) such that

\[
\langle e', e_K \rangle = I(e') = \int_K (e', f(x))d\mu(x), \quad e' \in E'.
\]

Therefore \( f \) is Pettis-integrable on \( K \) with respect to \( \mu \). For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{A} \) we set \( B_\alpha := \{ x \in E \mid p_\alpha(x) < 1 \} \) and observe that

\[
p_\alpha \left( \int_K ( f(x), d\mu(x) \right) = \sup_{e' \in \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}^*} \left| \langle e', \int_K ( f(x), d\mu(x) \rangle \right| = \sup_{e' \in \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}^*} \left| \int_K e'(f(x))d\mu(x) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \mu(K) \sup_{e' \in \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}^*} \left| \langle e', f(x) \rangle \right| = \mu(K) \sup_{x \in K} \left| e'(f(x)) \right| = \mu(K) \sup_{x \in K} p_\alpha(f(x))
\]

where we used [20, Proposition 22.14, p. 256] in the first and last equation to get from \( p_\alpha \) to \( \sup_{e' \in \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}^*} \) and back. \( \square \)

For an lchs \( E \) we define the Schwartz space of \( E \)-valued rapidly decreasing functions by

\[
\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) := \{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \mid \forall \, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{A} : |f||_{l,\alpha} < \infty \}
\]

where

\[
|f||_{l,\alpha} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\beta| \leq l} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x))(1 + |x|)^{l/2}.
\]

We recall the definition of the Hermite functions. For \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) we set

\[
h_n: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad h_n(x) := (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} (x - \frac{d}{dx})^n e^{-x^2/2} = (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} H_n(x) e^{-x^2/2},
\]

with the Hermite polynomials \( H_n \) of degree \( n \) which can be computed recursively by

\[
H_0(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - H'_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]

For \( n = (n_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \) we define the \( n \)-th Hermite function by

\[
h_n: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \quad h_n(x) := \prod_{k=1}^d h_{n_k}(x_k), \quad \text{and} \quad H_n: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \quad H_n(x) := \prod_{k=1}^d H_{n_k}(x_k).
\]

4.12. Proposition. Let \( E \) be a sequentially complete lchs, \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \). Then \( fh_n \) is Pettis-integrable on \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Proof. For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we define the Pettis-integral

\[
e_k := \int_{[-k,k]^d} f(x) h_n(x) dx
\]
which is a well-defined element of $E$ by Lemma 4.11. We claim that $(e_k)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $E$. First, we notice that there are $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that $|H_n(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as $H_n$ is a product of polynomials in one variable. Now, let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k > m$, and set $C_n := (\prod_{i=1}^m 2^{|n_i|}n_i^{1/2})^{-1/2}$ as well as $Q_{k,m} := [-k,k]^d \times [-m,m]^d$. We observe that $|\prod_{i=1}^m x_i| \geq 1$ for every $x \in Q_{k,m}$ and

$$p_n(e_k - e_m) = \sup_{e' \in B^*_n} |e'(e_k - e_m)| = \sup_{e' \in B^*_n} \int \langle e', f(x)h_n(x) \rangle dx$$

$$\leq C_n \int_{Q_{k,m}} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx \sup_{e' \in B^*_n} |e'(f(x)H_n(x))|$$

$$= C_n \int_{Q_{k,m}} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx \sup_{e' \in B^*_n} p_n(f(x))|H_n(x)|$$

$$\leq C_n C[f]_{\alpha, \alpha} \int_{Q_{k,m}} \prod_{i=1}^d x_i e^{-|x|^2/2} dx$$

$$= C_n C[f]_{\alpha, \alpha} \left( (2 \int_{[0,k]} xe^{-x^2/2} dx)^d - (2 \int_{[0,m]} xe^{-x^2/2} dx)^d \right)$$

$$= 2^d C_n C[f]_{\alpha, \alpha} \left( (1 - e^{-k^2/2}) - (1 - e^{-m^2/2}) \right)^d$$

proving our claim. Since $E$ is sequentially complete, the limit $y := \lim_{k \to \infty} e_k$ exists in $E$. From $e' \circ f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $e' \in E'$ and the dominated convergence theorem we deduce

$$(e', y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} (e', e_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{[-k,k]^d} \langle e', f(x) \rangle h_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', f(x) \rangle h_n(x) dx, \quad e' \in E'$$

which yields the Pettis-integrability of $f h_n$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) h_n(x) dx = y$. $\square$

Due to the previous proposition we can define the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ by

$$\hat{f}(n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{h_n(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) h_n(x) dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

if $E$ is sequentially complete. We know that the map

$$\mathcal{F}^E : S(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E), \quad \mathcal{F}^E(f) := \left( \hat{f}(n) \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d},$$

is an isomorphism and its inverse is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} : s(\mathbb{N}_0^d) \to S(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} x_n h_n,$$

(see e.g. [12, Satz 3.7, p. 66]).

4.13. **Theorem.** Let $E$ be an lcHs.

a) If $E$ is sequentially complete, then

$$\mathcal{F}^E : S(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E), \quad \mathcal{F}^E(f) := \left( \hat{f}(n) \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d},$$

is an isomorphism.

b) If $E$ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \cong S(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes E \cong S(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes E.$$

for \( \ast = c \) (resp. \( qc, sc \)) and
\[
f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} h_n \otimes \widehat{f}(n), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mathcal{S}_\ast E,
\]
where the series converges in \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mathcal{S}_\ast E \).

c) If \( E \) is a Fréchet space, then \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \) has BAP.

**Proof.** First, we show that the map \( \mathcal{F}^E \) is well-defined. Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \). Then \( e' \circ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and
\[
\langle e', \mathcal{F}^E(f) \rangle_n = \langle e', \widehat{f}(n) \rangle = \overline{\langle \widehat{f}(n), e' \circ f \rangle} = \mathcal{F}^E(e' \circ f)_n \tag{14}
\]
for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( e' \in E' \). Thus we have \( \mathcal{F}^E(e' \circ f) \in s(\mathbb{N}_0^d) \) for every \( e' \in E' \) which implies by \cite{20, Mackey’s theorem 23.15, p. 268} that \( \mathcal{F}^E(f) \in s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \) and that \( \mathcal{F}^E \) is well-defined.

The defining family \( (\sigma(\partial^a)_{\mathcal{E}}, \sigma(\partial^a)_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}} \times (\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \mid \|\gamma\| \leq k) \) for \( (\mathcal{S}, E) \) is consistent by \cite{13, 5.10 Example a}, p. 24. We notice that
\[
s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \cong s(\mathbb{N}_0^d) \circ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)
\]
where the first isomorphism is \( s(\mathbb{N}_0^d) \) by Theorem 4.4 (a)(i), the second isomorphism into (i.e. to its range) is the map \( (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}^E \) and the third isomorphism into is the map \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \) by Theorem 4.4. Next, we show that \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \mathcal{F}^E \) is surjective and the inverse of \( \mathcal{F}^E \). We can explicitly compute the composition of these maps. By Proposition 3.7 (b) we get that the inverse of \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \) is given by
\[
R_w : s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \to s(\mathbb{N}_0^d), \quad w \mapsto J^{-1} \circ R_w,
\]
where \( J : E \to E' \) is the canonical injection in the algebraic dual \( E' \) of \( E \) and
\[
R_w((\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}\delta_x)(e') = ((\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}\delta_x)(e' \circ w) = \delta_x(\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}(e' \circ w)
= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} e'(w_n)h_n(x)
\]
which gives
\[
(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \circ (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S})((\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N}_0^d), E)(w)(x) = (\mathcal{J}^{-1} \circ R_w)((\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}\delta_x)(e' \circ w) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(e' \circ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} e'(w_n)h_n(x))
\]
Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \). Then \( w := \mathcal{F}^E(f) \in s(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \) and
\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} e'(w_n)h_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} e'(\widehat{f}(n))h_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \overline{\langle \widehat{f}(n), e' \circ f \rangle}h_n
= (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}((\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}\delta_x)(e' \circ f) = (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1}(e' \circ f(x)) = f(x)
\]
resulting in
\[
(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \circ (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S})(w)(x) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(e' \circ \mathcal{F}^E(f)(x)) = f(x)
\]
for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Thus we conclude
\[
\left( (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \circ (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S}) \circ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N}_0^d, E) \right) (f) = f \tag{15}
\]
yielding the surjectivity of the composition. Therefore \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \circ (\mathcal{F}^E)^{-1} \mathcal{S} \) is an isomorphism with right inverse \( \mathcal{F}^E \) which implies that \( \mathcal{F}^E \) is its inverse.
proving part a). In addition, the bijectivity of $S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \circ (\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1} \circ \text{id}_E \circ S_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{-1}$ and $S_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{-1}$ implies that $S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \circ (\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1} \circ \text{id}_E$ is bijective and thus $S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is surjective. Hence $S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is also an isomorphism.

Let us turn to part b). For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ we set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)' \to E'', \quad y \mapsto [e' \mapsto y(e' \circ f)],$$

and notice that $f \mapsto \mathcal{J}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the inverse of $S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Indeed, we have that

$$R_{\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}(f)}((\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1}(y)(e'))(\mathcal{F}^E(f)) = y((\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1}(e' \circ \mathcal{F}^E(f))) = y(e' \circ f) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} y(e')$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$, $y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ and $e' \in E'$ which implies

$$S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1} = ((\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1} \circ \text{id}_E) \circ S_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{F}^E = (\mathcal{J}^{-1} \circ R_{\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}(f)})((\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1}) = \mathcal{J}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

We define $\mathcal{F}^Y : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, Y) \to Y^{(1)}$ by $\mathcal{F}^Y(f)(1) := \int (n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $Y \in \{K, E\}$. If we add $\mathbb{N}^d_0$ to $\mathcal{M}_r$ and the subfamily $(\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ to the defining family for $(\mathcal{S}, E)$, we do not change the spaces. Next, we show that the new, bigger family is still consistent. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^d_0$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)E$. Then $f := S(u) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and thus $S(u) \in \text{dom} \mathcal{F}^E$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thanks to the continuity of $\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}$ there are $C > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j}(g)| = |\tilde{g}(n)| \leq 1 + |n|^2 J^l \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} |\tilde{g}(k)|(1 + |k|^2)^l = \frac{1}{(1 + |n|^2)^l} |\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}(g)|_{\mathbb{N}^d}, j$$

yielding $\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$. From the Pettis-integrability of $f$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j}(e') = \mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j}(e' \circ f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int (e', f(x)h_n(x))dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h_n(x)dx = (e', \mathcal{F}^E(f)(1)),$$

which results in

$$u(\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j}) = S_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1}(f(\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j})) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K}_{n,j}(f)) = \mathcal{F}^E(u)(1) \quad \text{(16)}$$

and thus proves the consistency. Every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be expanded as

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \int (n)h_n$$

where the series converges in the topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Fréchet space, thus barrelled, and the subfamily $((\partial^\beta)^E, (\partial^\beta)^\mathbb{K})_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ of partial derivatives of the (new) defining family for $(\mathcal{S}, E)$ fulfills $\mathbb{S}$). Due to Theorem 4.3 c) we can apply Proposition 3.7 a) connoting a) and b). Part c) is a consequence of part a) and Theorem 4.3 b).

As a consequence the map $(\mathcal{F}^\mathbb{K})^{-1} \circ \text{id}_E$ is also an isomorphism (onto) if $E$ is sequentially complete. The first isomorphism in part b) generalises [13] 5.11 Example, p. 25] from quasi-complete to sequentially complete spaces. Our last example of this subsection is devoted to Fourier expansions of vector-valued 2π-periodic smooth functions. We equip the space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ for locally convex Hausdorff $E$ with the system of seminorms generated by

$$|f|_{K,t,a} := \sup_{x \in K} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\chi_K(x) = \sup_{x \in K} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)), \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E),$$
for $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ compact, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. By $C^\infty_\mathcal{T}_l(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ we denote the topological subspace of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ consisting of the functions which are $2\pi$-periodic in each variable. Being $2\pi$-periodic can be described by defining operators as well. For a function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to E$ being $2\pi$-periodic in each variable is equivalent to $g \in ker T^E_{\text{per}}$ with

$$T^E_{\text{per}} : E^{\mathbb{R}^d} \to E^{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{1, \ldots, d\}}, \quad T^E_{\text{per}}(f)(x, n) := f(x) - f(x - 2\pi e_n).$$

Due to Lemma 4.11 we are able to define the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $f \in C^\infty_\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ by

$$\widehat{f}(n) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} f(x) e^{-i(n, x)} dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the usual scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^d$, if $E$ is locally complete.

4.14. Theorem. Let $E$ be an lcHs over $\mathbb{C}$.

a) If $E$ is locally complete, then $C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E$ and

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(n) e^{i(n, \cdot)}, \quad f \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E),$$

where the series converges in $C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$.

b) If $E$ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

$$C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus E$$

for $\ast = c$ (resp. qc, sc) and

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{i(n, \cdot)} \otimes \widehat{f}(n), \quad f \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus E,$$

where the series converges in $C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus E$.

c) If $E$ is a Fréchet space, then $C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ has BAP.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{\text{per}\}$. The subfamily $(T^E_{\text{per}}, T^C_{\text{per}})$ of the defining family for $(C^\infty_2, E)$ is consistent since $T^C_{\text{per}, (x, n)} = \delta_x - \delta_{x + 2\pi e_n} \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ and

$$(T^E(u))(x, n) = u(\delta_x - \delta_{x + 2\pi e_n}) = u(T^C_{\text{per}, (x, n)}), \quad (x, n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{1, \ldots, d\},$$

for every $u \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E$. The whole defining family which we get by adding $(\sigma(\hat{e}^i \otimes \cdot), \sigma(\hat{e}^i \otimes \cdot))_{e \in S_{\text{per}}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0$ to the subfamily is consistent by Example a), p. 24. Since $(T^E_{\text{per}}, T^C_{\text{per}})$ is also a strong subfamily in the sense of 13, 3.9 Definition, p. 6), i.e. $T^C_{\text{per}, (e' \ast f)} = e' \circ T^E_{\text{per}}(f)$ for every $e' \in E'$ and $f \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$, we obtain $C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \cong C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}) \ast E$ via $S = S_{C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ by 13, 5.12 Example, p. 25 and 13, 3.19 Proposition (i), p. 11. By 13, 3.14 Theorem, p. 8] the inverse of $S$ is given by

$$R^! : C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E, \quad f \mapsto J^{-1} \circ R^!,$$

where $J : E \to E''$ is the canonical injection in the algebraic dual $E''$ of $E'$. And

$$R^! : C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E, \quad y \mapsto [e' \mapsto g(e' \circ f)],$$

for $f \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and $y \in (C, E)$. We define $\mathfrak{a}_n : C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to Y^{(1)}$ by $\mathfrak{a}_n(f)(1) := \widehat{f}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $Y \subseteq (C, E)$. If we add $\mathbb{Z}^d$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and $(\mathfrak{a}_n, \mathfrak{c}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ to the defining family for $(C^\infty_2, E)$, we do not change the spaces. Next, we show that the new, bigger family is still consistent. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $u \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ast E$. Then $f := S(u) \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and thus $S(u) \in \text{dom} \mathfrak{a}_n^E$. Further, the estimate

$$|\mathfrak{a}_n^E (g)| = |\widehat{g}(n)| \leq \sup_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^d} |g(x)|, \quad g \in C^\infty_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
yields \( \delta_{n,1}^c \in C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)' \). From the Pettis-integrability of \( f \) we obtain
\[
R_I^f(\delta_{n,1}^c)(e') = \delta_{n,1}^c(e' \circ f) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} \langle e', f(x)e^{-i\ell(n,x)} \rangle dx
\]
\[
= \langle e', (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} f(x)e^{-i\ell(n,x)} \rangle dx = \langle e', \delta_{n}^c(f)(1) \rangle, \quad e' \in E',
\]
which results in
\[
u(\delta_{n,1}^c) = S^{-1}(f)(\delta_{n,1}^c) = J^{-1}(R_I^f(\delta_{n,1}^c)) = \delta_{n}^E(f)(1) = \delta_{n}^E(S(u))(1)
\]
and thus proves the consistency. Every \( f \in C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) can be written as
\[
f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} f(n)e^{i\ell(n,x)}
\]
where the series converges in the topology of \( C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (see e.g. [12, Satz 1.7, p. 18]). Moreover, \( C_{\infty}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is a Fréchet space, thus barrelled, and it is easily verified that subfamily \((\delta_{n}^c, \delta_{n}^E)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of partial derivatives of the (new) defining family for \( C_{\infty}^\infty, E \) fulfills \( \mathfrak{S} \). Hence we get from Theorem 3.8 c) that we can apply Proposition 3.7 a) proving a) and b). Part c) follows from Theorem 3.8 d) since we have for every \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \) by Lemma 4.11
\[
p_{\alpha}(\delta_{n,1}^c(f)) = p_{\alpha}(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(n) ) \leq \sup_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^d} p_{\alpha}(f(x)), \quad f \in C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E).
\]

\[\square\]

Considering the coefficients in the series expansion above, we know that the map
\[\mathfrak{S}^c, C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \to s(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \mathfrak{S}^c(f) = (\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(n) )_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\]
is an isomorphism (see e.g. [12, Satz 1.7, p. 18]). Thus we have the following relation if \( E \) is a locally complete Hausdorff space over \( \mathbb{C} \)
\[C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \cong C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} s(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E) \]
where the first isomorphism is \( S_{2n}^C \), the second isomorphism into is the map \( \mathfrak{S}^c \otimes 1_E \) and the third isomorphism into is the map \( S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \) by Theorem 3.4. We can explicitly compute the composition of these maps. With the notation from the proof above we have for every \( f \in C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \)
\[
\left( S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \circ \left( (\mathfrak{S}^c \otimes 1_E) \circ S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \right) \right) (f)(n) = S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \left( (\mathfrak{S}^c \otimes 1_E) \circ S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \right) (f) \right)(n)
\]
\[
= S_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \delta_{n}^c(f)(n) \right) = \delta_{n}^E(f)(1) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(n).
\]
Thus the map
\[\mathfrak{S}^E: C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E), \quad \mathfrak{S}^E(f) = (\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(n) )_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\]
is well-defined and an isomorphism into if \( E \) is locally complete. If \( E \) is sequentially complete, it is even an isomorphism to the whole space \( s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E) \).

4.15. **Theorem.** If \( E \) is a sequentially complete lcHs over \( \mathbb{C} \), then \( \mathfrak{S}^E: C_{2n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \to s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E), \quad \mathfrak{S}^E(f) = (\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(n) )_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Due to our previous considerations we only need to show that \( \mathfrak{S}^E \) is surjective. Let \( a = (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E) \) and set \( \mathfrak{G}_n(a) : = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_k \epsilon(k \cdot ) \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then \( (\mathfrak{G}_n(a)) \) is a sequence in \( C_n^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \). Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be compact, \( l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \). For every \( n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, m < n \), we have
\[
| \mathfrak{G}_n(a) - \mathfrak{G}_m(a) |_{K,l,\alpha}
\]
C with a Schauder basis in the sense of Section 3 but which are isomorphic to strong duals of nice locally convex spaces by Proposition 3.11 b).

dual of a normed space is a Banach space and the strong dual of a semi-reflexive, metrisable locally convex space is a barrelled, complete (DF)-space by [10, 11.4.1]

Ψ isomorphism

convex space over K

E is sequentially complete since S

E is only locally complete. If one could show that E for (E)

is a nuclear Fréchet space as a closed subspace of the nuclear Fréchet space C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d, E)\), we obtain C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d, E) \supseteq C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d)\) of E. Since C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d)\) is a nuclear Fréchet space as a closed subspace of the nuclear Fréchet space C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d)\), we obtain C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d, E) \supseteq C∞ \((\mathbb{R}^d)\) \(\tilde{S}_E\). The map \(\tilde{S}^E : C^\infty \((\mathbb{R}^d, E) \rightarrow s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E)\)

is an isomorphism implying that \(s(\mathbb{Z}^d)\) is nuclear as well. We observe that

\[\tilde{S}^E(f \circ e) = (\tilde{f}(n)e)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} = \tilde{f}^C(f)e = (S \circ \chi)(\tilde{f}^C(f) \circ e)\]

for every \(f \in C^\infty \((\mathbb{R}^d)\) and \(e \in E\) by Corollary 3.15 a) which yields to

\[s(\mathbb{Z}^d, E) \supseteq s(\mathbb{Z}^d)eE \supseteq s(\mathbb{Z}^d)\tilde{S}_E E \supseteq s(\mathbb{Z}^d)\tilde{S}_E E\]

by Proposition 3.11 b).

Duality method

In our last subsection we want to apply our method to spaces which are not spaces in the sense of Section 3 but which are isomorphic to strong duals of nice locally convex spaces (lcs). The underlying idea was derived from considering separable Hilbert spaces and the Riesz representation theorem. Let \(F\) be an lcs over \(\mathbb{K}\) with a Schauder basis \(\{f_n\}\) and coefficient functionals \(\{e_n^*\}\). Suppose there is an isomorphism \(\Psi : F \rightarrow X'\) where \(X\) is a normed or semi-reflexive, metrisable locally convex space over \(\mathbb{K}\). Then \(X'_L = L_0(X, \mathbb{K})\) is barrelled and complete as the strong dual of a normed space is a Banach space and the strong dual of a semi-reflexive, metrisable locally convex space is a barrelled, complete (DF)-space by 10. 11.4.1
Proposition, p. 227] and [10, 12.4.5 Theorem, p. 260]. Let us describe the defining family for \((L_b, E)\). Let \(E\) be an lcHs over \(\mathbb{K}\), \(\mathcal{M}_\text{top} := \{c\}\), \(\mathcal{M}_0 := \{a, h\}\) and set
\[
T^E_a, E^X \to E^{X^2}, \quad T^E_a(f)(x, y) := f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y),
\]
as well as
\[
T^E_h: E^X \to E^{\mathbb{K} \times X}, \quad T^E_h(f)(\lambda, x) := f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x).
\]
A function \(g: X \to E\) is linear if and only if \(g \in \ker T^E_a \cap \ker T^E_h\) (additive and homogeneous). The defining family \((\gamma^E, T^E_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\text{top}(c)_u(a, h)\) for \((L_b, E)\) is consistent by [13] 4.3 Proposition, p. 13 for \(\Omega = X\) in combination with [13] 4.5 Remark (ii), p. 15 for continuity and by [13] 4.14 Proposition, p. 17 for linearity. In addition, the subfamily \((T^E_n, T^E_c)\) of the defining family fulfills (3).

A Schauder basis of \(X'_n\) is now given by \((\Psi(f_n))\) and the associated coefficient functionals are \((\zeta^X_n \circ \Psi^{-1})\). We set \(T^E_n: X'_n \to \mathbb{K}^{(1)}, \quad T^E_n(x')(1) := (\zeta^X_n \circ \Psi^{-1})(x')\), for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Now, in order to apply Theorem 3.3 we only have to guarantee that for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) there is a linear operator \(T^E_n: L_b(X, E) \to E^{(1)}\) such that we do not change the spaces \(L_b(X, Y), \quad Y \in (\mathbb{K}, E), \quad \mathcal{M}_\text{top}\) and \((T^E_n, T^E_c)\) to the defining family for \((L_b, E)\) and that the new, bigger defining family is still consistent. This can be assured by assuming that \((f_n)\) is also a sequence in \(X\) and that the coefficient functionals \((\zeta^X_n)\) fulfil
\[
\zeta^X_n(f) = \Psi(f)(f_n), \quad f \in F, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Indeed, we have \(T^E_n(x')(1) = x'(f_n), \quad x' \in X', \) under this assumption and by setting \(T^E_n(y)(1) := y(f_n), \quad y \in L_b(X, E), \) for each \(n\) the consistency of the new defining family follows from Remark 4.1 applied to \((T^E_c, T^E_n)\) and \(z = f_n\). The same remark yields the continuity of every \(T^E_n\) as well and hence we have just arrived at the following.

\[4.17. \textbf{Theorem.} \text{Let } E \text{ be an lcHs, let } F \text{ be an lcHs with a Schauder basis } (f_n) \text{ and associated coefficient functionals } (\zeta^X_n), \text{ let } X \text{ be a normed or semi-reflexive, metrisable lcS such that } (f_n) \subset X \text{ and there is an isomorphism } \Psi: F \to X'_n \text{ with}
\]
\[
\zeta^X_n(f) = \Psi(f)(f_n), \quad f \in F, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
\[
(17)
\]
\text{Then the following holds.}
\begin{enumerate}
\item \(X'_n \otimes E\) resp. \(F \otimes E\) is sequentially dense in \(X'_n \otimes E\) resp. \(F \otimes E\) and
\[
S_{X'_n}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\delta f_n) \Psi(f_n), \quad u \in X'_n \otimes E, \quad (18)
\]
\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_F(f_n \otimes u(t^X_n)), \quad u \in F \otimes E,
\]
where the series converge in \(L_b(X, E)\) resp. \(F \otimes E\).
\item If \(E\) is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then
\[
X'_n \otimes E = X'_n \otimes^* E \quad \text{and} \quad F \otimes E = F \otimes^* E
\]
with \(\ast = c\) (resp. \(qc, sc\)). Further,
\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(f_n) \otimes u(\delta f_n), \quad u \in X'_n \otimes^*_c E,
\]
\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes f(\zeta^X_n), \quad f \in F \otimes^*_c E,
\]
where the series converge in \(X'_n \otimes^*_c E\) resp. \(F \otimes^*_c E\).
\end{enumerate}
c) If $E$ is a semi-Montel (resp. complete semi-Montel) space, then
\[ L_0(X, E) \cong X'_b E \cong X'_f E \]
with $*$ = qc (resp. $c$) and
\[ A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(f_n) \otimes A(f_n), \quad A \in L_0(X, E), \]
where the series converges in $L_0(X, E)$.

Proof. Due to our preceding considerations and Theorem 3.9 we obtain that a)+b) and d)+e) hold for $X'_k$. In part c) we notice that semi-Montel spaces are already quasi-complete. Then c) is a consequence of part b) since $S_{X'_k}$ is surjective if $E$ is a semi-Montel space by [15, 5.6 Example, p. 20].

Let us turn to part a) for $F$. We set $Q_k : F \in \mathcal{E} \to F \in \mathcal{E}, Q_k(u) : = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \chi_F (f_n \otimes u(\zeta_n^K))$, and observe that the range of $Q_k$ is contained in $F \otimes E$ and $Q_k$ has finite rank for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From
\[ \Psi^t(\delta_x)(f) = \delta_x(\Psi(f)) = \Psi(f)(x), \quad x \in X, \ f \in F, \]
follows
\[ \Psi^t(\delta_{f_n})(f) = \Psi(f)(f_n) = \zeta^K_n(f), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ f \in F. \]

Hence we deduce
\[ (S_{X'_k} \circ (\Psi \circ \text{id}_E))(Q_k(u))(x) = S_{X'_k}(Q_k(u) \circ \Psi^t)(x) = Q_k(u)(\Psi^t(\delta_x)) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \Psi^t(\delta_x)(f_n)u(\zeta^K_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \Psi(f_n)(x)u(\zeta^K_n) \]
for every $x \in X$ and
\[ (S_{X'_k} \circ (\Psi \circ \text{id}_E))(u) = S_{X'_k}(u \circ \Psi^t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\Psi^t(\delta_{f_n}))\Psi(f_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\zeta^K_n)\Psi(f_n) \]
which yields to
\[ (S_{X'_k} \circ (\Psi \circ \text{id}_E))(Q_k(u) - u) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} u(\zeta^K_n)\Psi(f_n) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\zeta^K_n)\Psi(f_n) \to 0, \quad k \to \infty, \]
for every $u \in F \in \mathcal{E}$. Since $S_{X'_k} \circ (\Psi \circ \text{id}_E)$ is an isomorphism into, this implies $Q_k(u) \to u$ in $F \in \mathcal{E}$ and thus part a) for $F$. Part b) for $F$ is a direct consequence of part a) for $F$. Concerning part d) and e) for $F$, we observe that $F$ is a Banach space in both cases whose norm we denote by $\| \cdot \|$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $K \subset F'$ be equicontinuous. Then there is $C > 0$ such that $\| f'(f_n) \| \leq C \| f_n \|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f' \in K$. Therefore we derive
\[ \sup_{f' \in K} p_a(Q_k(u)(f')) \leq \sup_{f' \in K} \sum_{n=1}^{k} |f'(f_n)| p_a(u(\zeta^K_n)) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{k} |f_n| p_a(u(\zeta^K_n)) \]
\[ \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{k} \| f_n \| \sup_{x \in \{\zeta^K_n \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\}} p_a(u(x)) \]
for every $u \in F \in \mathcal{E}$ which implies that $Q_k$ is continuous for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ because the finite set $\{\zeta^K_n \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \subset F'$ is equicontinuous. The space $F \in \mathcal{E}$ is barreled in
both cases by Remark 4.10 $Q_k$ converges to id in $L_n(F \in E, F \in E)$ and hence the sequence $(Q_k)$ is equicontinuous by the uniform boundedness principle. Thus $F \in E$ has BAP. □

4.18. Remark. a) The condition that $X$ is a semi-reflexive, metrisable locally convex space already implies that $X$ is a reflexive Fréchet space by [10, 11.4.3 Proposition, p. 228].

b) The version of Theorem 4.17 for $X_b'$ still remains valid if we assume that $\Psi$ is antilinear and replace (17) by

$$
\zeta_n^x(f) = \Psi(f)(f_n), \quad f \in F, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Indeed, with the notion $\zeta_n^x: f \mapsto \zeta_n^x(f)$ we also have

$$
x' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\zeta_n^x \circ \Psi^{-1})(x')\Psi(f_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'(f_n)\Psi(f_n)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(x')\Psi(f_n), \quad x' \in X',
$$

under our new assumptions which is all we need. However, we do not know how to adapt our proof of Theorem 4.17 for $F$ under the new assumptions since $\Psi$ and $\zeta_n^x$ need not be linear if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

Our first application of the duality method is quite simple, namely, the already mentioned Fourier expansion in separable Hilbert spaces. Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real, infinite dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal Schauder basis $(f_n)$. Then the associated coefficient functionals are given by $(\langle \cdot, f_n \rangle)$ and due to the Riesz representation theorem we have $H \cong H_b^*$ via the linear $(\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R})$ map $\Psi: x \mapsto \langle \cdot, x \rangle$. Therefore $(x, f_n) = \langle f_n, x \rangle = \Psi(x)(f_n)$ for all $x \in H$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ because $H$ is a real space, and we obtain by Theorem 4.17

4.19. Corollary. Let $E$ be a lcHs and $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real, infinite dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal Schauder basis $(f_n)$. Then the following holds.

a) $H_b^* \otimes E$ resp. $H \otimes E$ is sequentially dense in $H_b^* \in E$ resp. $H \in E$ and

$$
S_{H_b^*}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\delta_{f_n})(\cdot, f_n), \quad u \in H_b^* \in E,
$$

$$
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_H(f_n \otimes u(\cdot, f_n)), \quad u \in H \in E,
$$

where the series converge in $L_0(H, E)$ resp. $H \in E$.

b) If $E$ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then

$$
H_b^* \in E \cong H_b^* \otimes E \quad \text{and} \quad H \in E \cong H \otimes E
$$

with $\ast = c$ (resp. $qc$, $sc$). Further,

$$
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\cdot, f_n) \otimes u(\delta_{f_n}), \quad u \in H_b^* \otimes E,
$$

$$
x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes x(\cdot, f_n), \quad x \in H \otimes E,
$$

where the series converge in $H_b^* \otimes E$ resp. $H \otimes E$.

c) If $E$ is a semi-Montel (resp. complete semi-Montel) space, then

$$
L_0(H, E) \cong H_b^* \in E \cong H_b^* \otimes E
$$
with \( * = qc \) (resp. \( c \)) and
\[
A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \cdot, f_n \rangle \otimes A(f_n), \quad A \in L_b(H, E),
\]
where the series converges in \( L_b(H, E) \).

d) If \( E \) is a Fréchet space, then \( H'_p \otimes E \) and \( H \otimes E \) have BAP.

Due to Remark 4.18 b) the version of the preceding theorem for \( H'_p \) is valid if \( H \) is a complex Hilbert space. Part b) implies the well-known result that
\[ F(H, E) \cong K(H, E) \]
if \( E \) is Banach space where \( F(H, E) \) and \( K(H, E) \) denote the subspaces of \( L(H, E) \) which consist of operators having finite rank resp. are compact and the closure is taken in \( L_b(H, E) \) (see e.g. [12, Satz 10.4, p. 235]). Indeed, it follows from part b) that
\[ F(H, E) \cong H'_p \otimes E \cong H'_q \otimes E \cong K(H, E) \]
where the last isomorphy holds by [12, Satz 10.4, p. 235].

Let us turn to the sequence spaces \( \ell^p := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N} \mid \| x \|_p := (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p)^{1/p} < \infty \} \) for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). They are Banach spaces, the unit sequences \((\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) from Proposition 4.3 form a Schauder basis of the \( \ell^p \) spaces for every \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and the associated coefficient functionals are \((\delta_n)\). Let \( 1 < p, q < \infty \) with \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \). The isomorphy \( \ell^p \cong (\ell^p)' \) is given by the map
\[
\Psi : \ell^p \to (\ell^p)'_p, \quad x \mapsto [y \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n],
\]
and the same mapping rule yields the isomophy \( \ell^1 \cong c_0(\mathbb{N})' \). We observe that for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \)
\[
\delta_n(x) = x_n = \Psi(x)(\varphi_n), \quad x \in \ell^p, \ n \in \mathbb{N},
\]
and hence we get from Theorem 4.17

4.20. Corollary. Let \( E \) be an lcHs and \( 1 < p, q < \infty \) with \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \).

a) \((\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \) resp. \( \ell^p \otimes E \) is sequentially dense in \((\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \) resp. \( \ell^p \otimes E \) and
\[
S_{(\ell^p)'_p}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\delta_n) \delta_n, \quad u \in (\ell^p)'_p \otimes E, \]
\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \otimes \langle \varphi_n, x(\delta_n) \rangle, \quad u \in \ell^p \otimes E,
\]
where the series converge in \( L_b(\ell^p, E) \) resp. \( \ell^p \otimes E \).

b) If \( E \) is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then
\[(\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \cong (\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \quad \text{and} \quad \ell^p \otimes E \cong \ell^p \otimes E
\]
with \( * = c \) (resp. \( qc, sc \)). Further,
\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n \otimes u(\delta_n), \quad u \in (\ell^p)'_p \otimes E, \]
\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \otimes x(\delta_n), \quad u \in \ell^p \otimes E,
\]
where the series converge in \((\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \) resp. \( \ell^p \otimes E \).

c) If \( E \) is a semi-Montel (resp. complete semi-Montel) space, then
\[ L_b(\ell^p, E) \cong (\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \cong (\ell^p)'_p \otimes E \]
with \( * = qc \) (resp. \( c \)) and
\[
A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n \otimes A(\varphi_n), \quad A \in L_b(\ell^p, E),
\]
where the series converges in \(L_{0}(\mathbb{C}, E)\).

d) If \(E\) is a Fréchet space, then \((\mathbb{C})\|; E\) and \(\mathbb{C}\|; E\) have BAP.

If \(p = q = 2\), we see that the replacement of the map \(x \mapsto \langle ., x \rangle\) by \(\Psi\) repaired the defect of Corollary 4.19 being restricted to \(\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}\).

\[4.21. \text{Remark.} \quad \text{If } p = 1 \text{ and } \ell^p \text{ is replaced by } c_0(\mathbb{N}), \text{ then Corollary 4.20 remains valid. In particular, we have } \ell^1 \epsilon E \cong \ell^1 \otimes_c E \cong \ell^1(E) \text{ if } E \text{ is complete where the last isomorphism follows from } \text{[10], 16.5.2 Theorem, p. 359]. This result can be found in [10, 16.5, p. 359-360] as well.}\]

We close this subsection with spaces of Lebesgue integrable functions. We consider the measure space \((\mathbb{R}[0, 1], \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}[0, 1], \lambda))\) of Lebesgue measurable sets and use the notation \(\mathcal{L}^p[0, 1] := \mathcal{L}^p([0, 1], \lambda)\). The Haar system \(h_n: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}\), given by \(h_1(x) := 1\) for all \(x \in [0, 1]\) and

\[
h_{2^k+j}(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & (2j - 2)/2^{k+1} \leq x < (2j - 1)/2^{k+1}, \\ -1, & (2j - 1)/2^{k+1} \leq x < 2j/2^{k+1}, \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases}
\]

for \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\) and \(1 \leq j \leq 2^k\) forms a Schauder basis of \(\mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\) for every \(1 \leq p < \infty\) and the associated coefficient functionals are

\[
\zeta_n^\mathcal{F}(f) := \int_{[0, 1]} f(x) h_n(x) d\lambda(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

(see [23, Satz I, p. 317]). Let \(1 < p, q < \infty\) with \(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\). The well-known isomorphism \(\mathcal{L}^p[0, 1] \cong \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\) is given by the map

\[
\Psi: \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1] \to \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1], \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{[0, 1]} g(x) f(x) d\lambda(x)],
\]

and therefore \(\zeta_n^\mathcal{F}(f) = \Psi(f)(h_n)\) for all \(f \in \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). As a consequence of Theorem 4.17 we have:

\[4.22. \text{Corollary.} \quad \text{Let } E \text{ be an lcHs and } 1 < p, q < \infty \text{ with } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \]

\[a) \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E \text{ resp. } \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E \text{ is sequentially dense in } \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E \text{ resp. } \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E \text{ and}\]

\[
S_{\mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(\delta_{h_n}) \Psi(h_n), \quad u \in \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E,
\]

\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]} (h_n \otimes u(s_n^\mathcal{K})), \quad u \in \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E,
\]

where the series converge in \(L_{0}(\mathcal{L}^q[0, 1], E)\) resp. \(\mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E.\)

\[b) \text{If } E \text{ is complete (resp. quasi-, sequentially complete), then}\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E \cong \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E \cong \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E
\]

with \(* = c\) (resp. \(qc\), \(sc\)). Further,

\[
u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(h_n) \otimes u(\delta_{h_n}), \quad u \in \mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E,\]

\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \otimes f(s_n^\mathcal{K}), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E,
\]

where the series converge in \(\mathcal{L}^q[0, 1]\|; E \text{ resp. } \mathcal{L}^p[0, 1]\|; E.\)
c) If $E$ is a semi-Montel (resp. complete semi-Montel) space, then
\[ L_b(L^q[0,1], E) \cong L^q[0,1] E \cong L^q[0,1] \hat{\otimes} E \]
with $* = qc$ (resp. $c$) and
\[ A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(h_n) \otimes A(h_n), \quad A \in L_b(L^q[0,1], E), \]
where the series converges in $L_b(L^q[0,1], E)$.

d) If $E$ is a Fréchet space, then $L^q[0,1] E$ and $L^p[0,1] E$ have BAP.

After all the examples we considered the following natural question arises.  

4.23. Problem. Let $(T^E_m, T^E_m)_{m \in M}$ be a consistent family for $(FV, E)$ and $(f_n)$ a Schauder basis of $FV(\Omega)$ with associated coefficient functionals $(\zeta^E_K)$. Is there always an equivalent consistent family $(T^E_m, \tilde{T}^E_m)_{m \in \tilde{M}}$ for $(FV, E)$, i.e. it is also a consistent defining family for $(FV, E)$, such that for every $n$ there is $m \in \tilde{M}$ and $z \in \omega_m$ with $\zeta^E_n = \tilde{T}^E_m, z$?
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