Dynamic linear elasticity using a Helmholtz decomposition and boundary elements
A vibrating sphere in an infinite elastic medium
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Abstract The displacement field for three-dimensional (3D) dynamic elasticity problems in the frequency domain can be decomposed into a sum of a longitudinal and a transversal part known as a Helmholtz decomposition. The Cartesian components of both the longitudinal and transverse fields satisfy scalar Helmholtz equations that can be solved using a desingularized boundary element method framework. The curl free longitudinal and divergence free transversal conditions can also be cast as additional scalar Helmholtz equations. The numerical implementation of this approach is benchmarked against the 3D elastic wave field generated by a rigid vibrating sphere embedded in an infinite linear elastic medium for which an analytical solution has been derived. In the static zero frequency limit, the Helmholtz decomposition becomes non-unique, and both the longitudinal and transverse components contain divergent terms that are proportional to the inverse square of the frequency. However, these divergences are equal and opposite so that their sum, that is the physical displacement field, remains finite in the zero frequency limit.
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1 Introduction

Numerical modeling using dynamic linear elasticity theory has found applications in many fields. It has been used in areas such as geological surveys, earth-soil interaction, sound reduction, crack detection [1] or even in earthquake propagation studies [2]. Currently, there is renewed interest in this area due to advances in the development of ultrasonic and microfluidic based devices for trapping of biological cells and micro particles [3].

The study of dynamic linear elasticity can be broadly separated into three major domains: analytical solutions, numerical solutions based on the 3D finite element method and formulations using the boundary element approach:

1. An extensive review of different analytic treatments of the theory of dynamic elasticity is given by Sternberg [4] who, according to Gurtin [5] in his classic survey, tried to introduce the concept of elasticity in “a form palatable to both engineers and mathematicians”. However, this approach is only suitable for problems with simple geometries, whereas with more general and complex geometries, numerical solutions must be employed.

2. One of the existing numerical approaches is the finite element method (FEM). Although the approach is general, actual implementation can become complicated when domain geometry with regions of different elastic properties are considered, e.g. composite systems with inclusions of different materials. In addition to the need to specify complex 2D boundaries it is also necessary to discretise the 3D domain where multi-scale grids can give rise to spurious refractions or dispersion in wave propagation problems. In cases where an infinite domain is involved, one further needs to construct the effective outer boundary condition in order to satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition at infinity.

3. Another approach is the boundary element method (BEM) that involves the solution of surface integral equations [6], [7]. Although the resulting matrix system is dense, one only needs to deal with a surface mesh coinciding with the geometry of the domain boundaries thereby reducing a 3D problem to a 2D problem, see for example Rizzo et al. [8], or Beskos [2], [9]. This approach involves handling of at least weakly singular but integrable kernels in the integral equations [10], unless a recently developed desingularization method is employed [11].

The objective of this paper is to introduce a formulation of the dynamic elasticity problem in the frequency domain using the boundary element method that can readily handle general geometries. The key idea is to use the Helmholtz decomposition of the dynamic elastic equation as described in Landau and Lifshitz [12] and work directly with the displacement vector field, \( \mathbf{u} \) which is decoupled into the sum of a transversal field \( \mathbf{u}_T \) and a longitudinal field \( \mathbf{u}_L \). The solution can then be framed in terms of a set of scalar Helmholtz equations that are coupled by given boundary conditions. Furthermore, these Helmholtz equations, all of the form

\[
\nabla^2 f + k^2 f = 0, \quad (1)
\]
with \( k \) the constant wavenumber, can be solved with a recently developed BEM method that does not involve singular integrals. This facilitates the use of quadratic elements and the evaluation of surface integrals by standard quadratures. It results in high accuracy with a low degree of freedom from using a fewer number of surface nodes.

The theory concerning dynamic linear elasticity is introduced in Sect. 2. A rigid sphere executing harmonic oscillatory motion with a constant amplitude in an infinite linear elastic material will be chosen as a benchmark example. The analytical solution for this problem is given in Sect. 3 since to the best of our knowledge, it has not been presented elsewhere in the literature. The concept of the desingularized boundary element method is presented in Sect. 4. Some results for the aforementioned vibrating rigid sphere are presented in Sect. 5 including plots of the displacement field in the 3D domain. Although a simple example has been used as a proof of concept, nevertheless it illustrates the underlying physics and theoretical intricacies. For example, it is found that in the limit of very low wave numbers, each of the decomposed longitudinal and transversal fields will develop a large term of equal magnitude but of opposite sign so that their sum reduces to the correct static solution. Consequently, the BEM framework should be used with caution in the low frequency limit and a discussion of this issue is given in Sect. 6. For moderate wave numbers these problems do not occur. Concluding remarks are given in Sect. 7.

2 Dynamic linear elastic waves

2.1 The Navier equation

In the time domain, the application of Newton’s second law of motion to a material element gives the general relation between the stress tensor \( \Sigma \) and the displacement field \( \mathbf{U} \) as

\[
\nabla \cdot \Sigma = \rho \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{U}}{\partial t^2},
\]

with material density, \( \rho \), and time, \( t \). Assuming a harmonic time variation with angular frequency \( \omega \) for both the stress tensor, \( \Sigma = \sigma \mathbf{e}^{-i\omega t} \) and displacement vector, \( \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{e}^{-i\omega t} \) one obtains in the frequency domain:

\[
\nabla \cdot \sigma = -\rho \omega^2 \mathbf{u}.
\]

The strain tensor \( \epsilon \) is given in terms of the gradient of the displacement field \( \mathbf{u} \) and its transpose:

\[
\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + [\nabla \mathbf{u}]^T).
\]

For a linear elastic isotropic and homogeneous material, the stress tensor, \( \sigma \) and the strain tensor \( \epsilon \) are related by

\[
\frac{\sigma}{2\mu} = \left[ \frac{c_L^2}{2c_T^2} - 1 \right] \text{tr}(\epsilon) \mathbf{I} + \epsilon,
\]

where \( \mu \) is the shear modulus, \( c_L \) and \( c_T \) are the longitudinal and transversal wave speeds, and \( \text{tr}(\epsilon) \) is the trace of the strain tensor.
with \( \mathbf{I} \) the identity tensor, the trace operator \( \text{tr}(\epsilon) \equiv \epsilon_{ii} \) (adopting the convention of implied summation repeated indices of Cartesian tensors), the constants \( c_L \) and \( c_T \) are the longitudinal dilatational and transversal shear wave velocities, respectively, that are defined in terms of the Lamé constants \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) \cite{12}:

\[
c_L^2 = \frac{(\lambda + 2\mu)}{\rho}, \quad (6a)
\]

\[
c_T^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}. \quad (6b)
\]

Introducing Eq. 5 into Eq. 3 we obtain

\[
(c_L^2 - c_T^2) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + c_T^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \omega^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (7a)
\]

\[
c_L^2 \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - c_T^2 \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u} + \omega^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (7b)
\]

Eq. 7b can be derived from Eq. 7a when the identity: \( \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \) is used.

This form of the Navier equation is the starting point of our subsequent analysis. It will be shown that Eq. 7b can be used for the analysis of dynamic linear elasticity by applying a Helmholtz decomposition to the displacement field. It turns out that the remaining equations can all be expressed in terms of Helmholtz equations.

### 2.2 The Helmholtz decomposition applied to dynamic linear elasticity

In this section a Helmholtz decomposition will be applied to the Navier equation (Eq. 7b). It is well known \cite{12} that the displacement vector \( \mathbf{u} \) can be decomposed into a transversal and a longitudinal part as

\[
\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_T + \mathbf{u}_L, \quad (8)
\]

in which the transversal \( \mathbf{u}_T \) and the longitudinal \( \mathbf{u}_L \) displacements satisfy

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_T = 0, \quad (9)
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_L = \mathbf{0}. \quad (10)
\]

We now define two wave numbers, one for the transversal component \( k_T = \omega/c_T \) and one for the longitudinal component \( k_L = \omega/c_L \) (noting that \( k_T^2 > 2k_L^2 \)). Substituting Eq. 6 into Eq. 7, and taking into account the conditions of Eqs. 9 and 10 it can easily be seen that both \( \mathbf{u}_T \) and \( \mathbf{u}_L \) satisfy the vector Helmholtz wave equation \cite{12}:

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_T + k_T^2 \mathbf{u}_T = \mathbf{0}, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_L + k_L^2 \mathbf{u}_L = \mathbf{0}. \quad (12)
\]

These furnish six scalar Helmholtz equations, for each of the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) component of the transversal and longitudinal displacement. However, the divergence and curl free conditions of Eq. 9 and Eq. 10 still need to be satisfied separately. It turns out that we can also cast these conditions as additional Helmholtz scalar equations.
2.3 Longitudinal waves

The zero curl condition, Eq. 10, of the longitudinal part of the displacement vector, also commonly referred to as compression waves, $u_L$ can be satisfied by introducing a scalar potential $\phi$, where

$$u_L \equiv \nabla \phi. \quad (13)$$

Eq. 12 and Eq. 10 can then be replaced by the scalar Helmholtz equation:

$$\nabla^2 \phi + k_L^2 \phi = 0. \quad (14)$$

2.4 Transversal waves

The zero divergence condition, Eq. 9, of the transversal part of the displacement vector, also commonly referred to as shear waves, $u_T$ can be satisfied by the following general vector identity

$$\nabla^2 (x \cdot u_T) - x \cdot \nabla^2 u_T = 2 \nabla \cdot u_T, \quad (15)$$

with $x$ being the position vector: $x = (x, y, z)$. Substituting Eqs. 9 and 11 into Eq. 15 gives

$$\nabla^2 (x \cdot u_T) + k_T^2 (x \cdot u_T) = 0. \quad (16)$$

This is just another Helmholtz equation for the scalar function $(x \cdot u_T)$. The origin of $x$ can be chosen arbitrarily as can be seen by taking the dot product of a constant vector, $b$ with Eq. 14 and subtracting this from Eq. 16 the result will be a similar equation as Eq. 16 but with the vector $x$ replaced by $(x - b)$. Thus the transversal part can be described with four scalar Helmholtz equations: one for each of the 3 components of $u_T$ and one for $(x \cdot u_T)$.

Such an approach has been used successfully in electromagnetic scattering problems [13] where the electric field $E$ is divergence free: $\nabla \cdot E = 0$ and satisfies the vector wave equation $\nabla^2 E + k^2 E = 0$ [14], [15].

2.5 Solution strategy

To summarize the above findings, the dynamic linear elastic problem can be expressed in terms of four Helmholtz equations with wavenumber $k_T$; three for the $x, y, z$ components of $u_T$ (Eq. 11) and one for the scalar function $(x \cdot u_T)$ in Eq. 16 and another Helmholtz equation with wavenumber $k_L$ for the longitudinal potential $\phi$ (Eq. 14). In the current implementation, the Helmholtz equations are solved with a boundary element method, which relates a function on the surface to its normal derivative (see also Sect. 4). In order to retrieve the longitudinal displacement vector $u_L$, the following formula can be employed

$$u_L = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} n + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_1} t_1 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_2} t_2. \quad (17)$$
in which ∂/∂n = n · ∇ is the normal derivative, n is the unit normal vector, ∂/∂t_1 = t_1 · ∇ and ∂/∂t_2 = t_2 · ∇ are the two tangential derivatives along the unit tangential vectors t_1 and t_2 on the surface.

Essentially, the above described approach is a combination of the soundwave scalar Helmholtz solution for longitudinal waves of Sect. 2.3 (see also [11]) and the transversal wave approach similar to the one used in electromagnetic scattering (for more details see [14] and [15]).

3 An analytical solution for a vibrating sphere

The analytical solution for a radially oscillating sphere as described in Lautrup [16] is well known but unfortunately it is less suitable as a numerical test case, since the transversal component is zero due to symmetry considerations. Here we consider a sphere with radius a, with the origin of the coordinate system located at the center of the sphere. It executes harmonic displacement of constant amplitude so that in the frequency domain, the prescribed displacement on the surface of the sphere is u = u_0, with u_0 a constant vector. The i^{th} component (i = x, y, z) of the analytical solution for such a case is

\[ u_i = c_1 \left[ e^{ik_T r} \left[ 1 + G(k_T a) \right] - e^{i k_L a} \left( \frac{k_T^2}{k_L^2} \right) G(k_L a) \right] \frac{2a}{r} u_0^i \\
+ c_1 \left[ e^{ik_T r} F(k_T a) - e^{i k_L a} \left( \frac{k_T^2}{k_L^2} \right) F(k_L a) \right] \frac{2a}{r^3} x_i(x_j u_0^j) \\
- c_2 e^{i k_L r} \left[ \delta_{ij} (ik_L a - 1) + x_i x_j k_L^2 F(k_L a) \right] \frac{a^3}{r^3} u_0^j \]

where r is the radial coordinate, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function and the Einstein summation convention is taken over repeating indices. The functions F(x) and G(x) are defined as

\[ F(x) = -1 - \frac{3i}{x} + \frac{3}{x^2} \]
\[ G(x) = i - \frac{1}{x}. \]

The terms proportional to e^{ik_T r} correspond to the divergence free transversal part and the terms proportional to e^{ik_L r} correspond to the curl free longitudinal part. The constants c_1 and c_2 can conveniently be expressed in terms of four other constants A, B, C and D as c_1 = -B/(DA - BC) and c_2 = A/(DA - BC) that are defined as:

\[ A = 2e^{ik_T a} F(k_T a) - 2e^{ik_L a} (k_L/k_T)^2 F(k_L a) \]
\[ B = -e^{ik_L a} (k_L a)^2 F(k_L a) \]
\[ C = 2e^{ik_T a} \left[ 1 + G(k_T a) \right] - 2e^{ik_L a} (k_L/k_T)^2 G(k_L a) \]
\[ D = -e^{i k_L a} (ik_L a - 1) \]
It can be verified by direct substitution shows that Eq. 18 is indeed a solution of the Navier equation with the boundary condition $u_i = u_i^0$ on the surface $r = a$ and it decays for large values of $r$.

Perhaps also worth mentioning, although we will not use it in the current work, is the solution with zero tangential stress boundary condition. That is, the boundary condition $u_i = u_i^0$ is replaced by $(\sigma_{ij} n_j) t_i = 0$ and $u_i n_i = u_i^0 n_i$ on the surface of the sphere. The constants $A$, $C$ and $D$ then have to be replaced by $A'$, $C'$ and $D'$ ($B$ remains the same) as

$$A' = e^{ikr}a (ikTa - 1) + A,$$  \hfill (22a)

$$C' = C + A,$$  \hfill (22b)

$$D' = D + B.$$  \hfill (22c)

4 The desingularized boundary element method for Helmholtz problems

In Sects. 2.2 - 2.4 it was shown that the problem of dynamic linear elasticity can be expressed in terms of five scalar Helmholtz equations in the form of Eq. 1; four of them with wavenumber $k_T$ (Eqs. 11 and 16) and another one with wavenumber $k_L$ (Eq. 14). Here it will be shown how a scalar Helmholtz equation can be solved efficiently using the framework of the boundary element method. The boundary element method has the advantage that only values of the unknown function on boundaries, $S$, need to be found, and from which values anywhere in the 3D domain can be calculated. Thus the boundary element method is especially suited for an object embedded in an infinite domain. In the context of Helmholtz equations, a further advantage of the boundary element method is the fact that the Sommerfeld radiation condition at infinity is automatically satisfied. Some recent advances in the boundary element method include the concept of full desingularization \[17\], which allows for high accuracy with reduced implementation effort.

The classical boundary element method is expressed as (see for example Becker \[18\], Kirkup \[19\] or any classical textbook on boundary element methods)

$$c(x_0)\phi(x_0) + \int_S \phi(x) \frac{\partial H}{\partial n} dS = \int_S \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial n} H dS$$  \hfill (23)

in which the Green function for the Helmholtz equation is defined as $H = H(x, x_0) = e^{ikr}/r$, with $k$ the wavenumber, $r = |x - x_0|$, and $x_0$ and $x$ the observation and integration point, respectively, that are always on the boundary. The variable $c(x_0)$ is the solid angle when $x_0$ is on the boundary and $c = 4\pi$ when $x_0$ is situated in the domain. The boundary element method relates the potential $\phi$ to its normal derivative $\partial \phi/\partial n$, where $\partial/\partial n = n \cdot \nabla$ (the unit normal vector on the surface $S$ is $n = n(x)$ and points out of the domain). If, for example, $\phi$ (or $\partial \phi/\partial n$) is specified as a given boundary condition, then Eq. 23 can be solved for $\partial \phi/\partial n$ (or $\phi$). If the surface $S$ is discretized into $N$ nodes, Eq. 23 can be written with respect to each
node (corresponding to a different $x_0$), and then an $N \times N$ matrix system will result which can be solved numerically.

A relatively new concept, first introduced by Klaseboer et al. [20] is to replace $\phi(x)$ in Eq. 23 by a known analytical function $\chi(x)$ that also satisfies the Helmholtz equation, so that

$$c(x_0)\chi(x_0) + \int_S \chi(x) \frac{\partial H}{\partial n} dS = \int_S \frac{\partial \chi(x)}{\partial n} H dS.$$  \hfill (24)

In addition, $\chi(x)$ can be constructed to have the following properties

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \chi(x) = \phi(x_0)$$  \hfill (25)

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{\partial \chi(x)}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial \phi(x_0)}{\partial n}$$  \hfill (26)

so that when Eq. 24 is subtracted from Eq. 23 a fully desingularized boundary element method will emerge [17]:

$$\int_S (\phi - \chi) \frac{\partial H}{\partial n} dS = \int_S (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n}) H dS.$$  \hfill (27)

Conveniently, the term with the solid angle $c(x_0)$ no longer appears in Eq. 27. In this work, we can take

$$\chi(x) = \phi(x_0) \cos y + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial \phi(x_0)}{\partial n} \sin y$$  \hfill (28)

$$y = kn(x_0) \cdot (x - x_0)$$  \hfill (29)

so that Eq. 27 can then be written in full as:

$$4\pi \phi(x_0) + \int_S \left[ \phi(x) - \phi(x_0) \cos y + \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial \phi(x_0)}{\partial n} \sin y \right] \frac{\partial H}{\partial n} dS =$$

$$\int_S \left[ \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial \phi(x_0)}{\partial n} \cos y [n(x_0) \cdot n(x)] + k \phi(x_0) \sin y [n(x_0) \cdot n(x)] \right] H dS.$$  \hfill (30)

Note that the terms with $\cos y$ perform the actual desingularization since $y$ tends towards zero as $x$ approaches $x_0$, which cancels out the $1/r$ singularity caused by the Green function $H$ and its normal derivative. Also $n(x_0) \cdot n(x)$ tends towards unity when $x$ approaches $x_0$. In Eq. 29 the terms with $\phi(x_0)$ and $\frac{\partial \phi(x_0)}{\partial n}$ will end up on the diagonal of a resulting matrix system after a discretisation and numerical Gaussian integration has been performed. The term with $4\pi \phi(x_0)$ originates from the fact that the choice of Eq. 28 when put into Eq. 24 will cause a contribution from the surface at infinity, which turns out to be exactly $4\pi \phi(x_0)$. This term is only present for external problems (such as the ones described in the current work) and should be omitted for internal problems.

This framework is free of any weak, strong or hyper singularities associated with the usual implementation of the boundary element method in dynamic linear elasticity. Simple Gauss quadratures can therefore be employed for each element including the previously singular ones. In the current implementation, integration over quadratic six noded triangular elements was used with quadratic shape functions [14].
The normal derivative of \((\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_T)\) can be expressed in terms of the normal component of \(\mathbf{u}_T\) and the dot product of \(\mathbf{x}\) with the normal derivative of \(\mathbf{u}_T\) as:

\[
\frac{\partial(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_T)}{\partial n} = \mathbf{u}_T \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_T}{\partial n} = u_{Ty} n_x + u_{Tz} n_y + u_{Tx} n_z + x \frac{\partial u_{Ty}}{\partial n} + y \frac{\partial u_{Tz}}{\partial n} + z \frac{\partial u_{Tx}}{\partial n}
\]  

(31)

The tangential derivatives in Eq. 17 were calculated using the average of the tangential derivatives on each neighboring element of a node. In the current implementation we used an iterative method with an LU-decomposition framework, such that effectively only two \(N \times N\) matrix systems need to be solved (one for \(k_T\) and one for \(k_L\)). To start the iterative process an estimation for the normal component of the transversal displacement is \(u_{Tn}^m = \mathbf{u}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}\) is assumed (for the first iteration \(m = 1\) and \(u_{1Tn}^m = 0\)). Then for the next iteration the normal derivative of the potential is calculated as

\[
\frac{\partial \phi^{m+1}}{\partial n} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial n} + \alpha [\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} - u_{Tn}^m],
\]

(32)

where a relaxation factor \(\alpha\) was used. With the boundary element method (for \(k_L\)) an estimation for \(\phi^{m+1}\) can now be found. Its tangential derivatives in the \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) direction can be calculated and \(\mathbf{u}_T^{m+1}\) is given by Eq. 17. Since on the boundary \(\mathbf{u}_T = \mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_L\), with \(\mathbf{u}_0 = (U, 0, 0)\) prescribed, the transversal vector \(\mathbf{u}_T^{m+1}\) can be obtained. \(\mathbf{u}_T^{m+1}\) is then decomposed into its \(x, y\) and \(z\) components, and for each component we apply the boundary element method (now for \(k_T\)) to get \(\frac{\partial u_{Tx}^{m+1}}{\partial n}, \frac{\partial u_{Ty}^{m+1}}{\partial n}\) and \(\frac{\partial u_{Tz}^{m+1}}{\partial n}\). To satisfy the last Helmholtz equation corresponding to Eq. 16, the scalar \(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_T^{m+1}\) is given and its normal derivative is calculated with the boundary element method (again for \(k_T\)). Since \(\frac{\partial u_{Tn}^{m+1}}{\partial n}, \frac{\partial u_{Ty}^{m+1}}{\partial n}\) and \(\frac{\partial u_{Tz}^{m+1}}{\partial n}\) are already known, with the help of Eq. 31 a new estimate for \(u_{Tn}^{m+1}\) can be obtained. Then the iterative loop can be repeated until convergence is obtained. There are alternative approaches to solve the system of equations, some discussion on such solutions will be presented in Sect. 5.

5 Results

Plane waves are one of the few solutions that can be obtained analytically. For example a vector potential \(\phi_L = \exp(ik_L \cdot \mathbf{x})\) will result in a displacement field \(\mathbf{u}_L = ik_L \exp(i k_L \cdot \mathbf{x})\), which presents a longitudinal wave traveling in the \(k_L\) direction since \(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}_L = \mathbf{0}\). For this plane wave \(k_L \times \mathbf{u}_L = \mathbf{0}\), however this need not be the case for a general longitudinal wave. Similarly a transversal plane wave can be described with \(\mathbf{u}_T = (i k_T \times \mathbf{A}) \exp(i k_T \cdot \mathbf{x})\) for any constant vector \(\mathbf{A}\), since \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_T = \mathbf{0}\). The direction in which the wave travels, \(k_T\), is now perpendicular to the displacement vector \(\mathbf{u}_T\), but again this is not the case for more general waves. For most other solutions of the governing equations, we have to take recourse to numerical methods. In such instances we can employ the numerical framework as described in Sect. 4.

Results will now be shown for the vibrating sphere with \(\mathbf{u}_0 = (U, 0, 0)\) and numerical BEM results are compared to the analytical solution of Sect. 3. In all
Fig. 1 Sphere with radius $a$ vibrating in the $x$-direction defined by the boundary condition: $u = (U, 0, 0)$ on the sphere surface $r = a$ at $k_L a = 2.0$ and $k_T a = 1.0$. Surface and field plot of the displacement field vector $u$ scaled by $U$. Analytical results for $u_T$ and $u_L$ are given in the top left and right images and corresponding numerical BEM results are shown in the lower images. For this particular case $u_T$ is the dominant term. For corresponding movies to these figures see Sect. 8.

examples, the sphere is represented by a mesh with 180 quadratic elements and $N = 362$ nodes. The field values were obtained through post-processing on a $40 \times 40$ grid covering an area of $10a \times 10a$ of the 3D domain outside the sphere. In Fig. 1 we compare analytical and numerical results for $u_L$ and $u_T$ with $k_L a = 2.0$, $k_T a = 1.0$. For this particular parameter set, $u_T$ is the dominant term. The agreement between theory and numerical results is excellent. Another set of comparisons with $k_T a = 4.0$ and $k_L a = 2.0$ is shown in Fig. 2 for which the $u_L$ component is slightly more prominent. In Fig. 3 the total field $u$ is shown for both parameter sets.

Once the (complex) displacements fields: $u$, $u_T$ or $u_L$, are obtained, we can make use of the fact that when this solution is multiplied by a constant phase factor, i.e. $u \exp(i\alpha)$, it is also a solution of the system. This was used to reconstruct the solution in the time domain and get the solution at different time intervals. The movie files so created are available as supplementary material. For a list of movie files see Sect. 8.
Fig. 2 As for Fig. 1 but with parameters $k_T a = 4.0$ and $k_L a = 2.0$. The $u_T$ and $u_L$ vectors are now comparable in magnitude. For corresponding movies see Sect. 8.

Instead of the iterative solution framework discussed in Sect. 4, a direct solution using a bigger matrix system was also investigated. One option is to solve directly for the 5 unknowns $\phi$, $\partial \phi / \partial n$, $\partial u_T x / \partial n$, $\partial u_T y / \partial n$, and $\partial u_T z / \partial n$ resulting in a matrix system which is $5N \times 5N$ in size (where $N$ is the number of nodes). Here we still solve five Helmholtz equations, but now do so simultaneously without iteration. Another option is not to work with the potential representation for $u_L = \nabla \phi$, but work directly with the $u_L$ vector and its normal derivatives, this will result in a system of $9N \times 9N$ equations. Here, we do not follow the above mentioned approaches for the following reasons: firstly, the matrix system is very large, resulting in rather long computational times. Secondly, the condition number of the $5N$ and $9N$ systems appears to be quite large resulting in spurious solutions for the decomposed vectors (nevertheless, the field vectors of the total displacement field appears to remain very accurate).

The advantage of the current iterative method over a full tensor description like the one used by Rizzo et al. [8] is that our method uses $N \times N$ matrices, while they use $3N \times 3N$ matrices (since there are three components for the displacement and traction in 3D). The current approach is also conceptually simpler than that of Rizzo et al. [8], since there are no singular integrals to be considered. Moreover,
with their method, one cannot get the transversal and longitudinal components which might have important physical implications since they travel at different speeds $c_L$ and $c_T$ as given by Eq. [6]. This is apparent in earthquake science with the clear distinction between arrival times of $P$ waves and $S$ waves.

6 Discussion, the zero frequency divergence

One final issue worth mentioning is the appearance of a zero frequency divergence of the decomposed displacement vectors $u_L$ and $u_T$. Eq. [18] can alternatively be written as:

$$u_i = c_1 a U_{ij} u_0^j - c_2 a^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} e^{i\kappa_L r} u_0^j.$$  \hspace{2cm} (33)

The term with $c_1$ is actually proportional to the Green function of the dynamic linear elastic problem $U_{ij}$, and the term proportional to $c_2$ is a dipole tensor. Let us investigate the analytical solution when the frequency $\omega$ goes to zero. By doing a Taylor expansion of $e^{ix}$ to the second order i.e. $e^{ix} = 1 + ix - x^2/2 + o(x^3)$, where $x$ is either $k_T r$ or $k_L r$, in the limit of the zero frequency, $k_L \rightarrow 0, k_T \rightarrow 0$, and the terms in Eq. [18] can be approximated by

$$\lim_{k_L, k_T \rightarrow 0} e^{ix} [1 + G(x)] = -\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{2},$$  \hspace{2cm} (34)

$$\lim_{k_L, k_T \rightarrow 0} e^{ix} G(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{2},$$  \hspace{2cm} (35)

$$\lim_{k_L, k_T \rightarrow 0} e^{ix} F(x) = \frac{3}{x^2} + \frac{1}{2}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (36)
The first term with \( c_1 \) in front in Eq. \( \text{(18)} \) can now be approximated with

\[
\lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} \left\{ e^{ik_T r} [1 + G(k_T r)] - \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} e^{ik_L r} G(k_L r) \right\}
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{k_T^2 r^2} - \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_T^2 r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} \right].
\]

(37)

It can be seen that the transversal (the term proportional to \( e^{ik_T r} \) in front) and the longitudinal (the term proportional to \( e^{ik_L r} \)) part both diverge with \( 1/k_T^2 \), but the singularities cancel each other out when they are summed. Similarly, the second term with \( c_1 \) in front in Eq. \( \text{(18)} \), now becomes

\[
\lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} \left\{ e^{ik_T r} F(k_T r) - \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} e^{ik_L r} F(k_L r) \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{k_T^2 r^2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} \left[ \frac{3}{k_T^2 r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_T^2}{k_T^2} \right].
\]

(38)

Again the transversal and longitudinal part both diverge with \( 1/k_T^2 \), but cancel each other out. The term in Eq. \( \text{(18)} \) proportional to \( c_2 \) does not diverge. The constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) can also be expressed in the zero frequency limit as:

\[
c_1^0 = \lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} c_1 = \lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} \frac{-B}{DA - BC} = \frac{3}{4 + 2k_L^2/k_T^2},
\]

\[
c_2^0 = \lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} c_2 = \lim_{k_L, k_T \to 0} \frac{A}{DA - BC} = \frac{1 - k_L^2/k_T^2}{4 + 2k_L^2/k_T^2}.
\]

(39)

(40)

Thus in the limit of \( k_L, k_T \to 0 \), the displacement field becomes

\[
u_1 = a c_1^0 \left[ \frac{u_1^0}{r} + \frac{x_i x_j u_{ij}^0}{r^3} \right] + \frac{k_L^2}{k_T^2} \left[ \frac{u_1^0}{r} - \frac{x_i x_j u_{ij}^0}{r^3} \right] + a c_2^0 \left[ \frac{u_1^0}{r^3} - \frac{3x_i x_j u_{ij}^0}{r^3} \right].
\]

(41)

In Eq. \( \text{(11)} \) the first two terms, \( u_1^0/r + x_i x_j u_{ij}^0/r^3 \), represent a so-called Stokeslet that is a divergence free part of the solution. The terms with \( k_L^2/k_T^2 \) in front represent the curl free part. The last part that is proportional to \( c_2^0 \) is both divergence and curl free, which makes the Helmholtz decomposition non-unique in the zero frequency case. Both Eqs. \( \text{(11)} \) and \( \text{(12)} \) then revert back to the Laplacian. Even though \( k_L \) and \( k_T \) are both zero, their ratio in Eq. \( \text{(11)} \) remains finite since from Eq. \( \text{(6)} \) one can obtain

\[
\frac{k_L^2}{k_T^2} = \frac{c_L^2}{c_T^2} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}.
\]

(42)

The fact that the transversal and longitudinal part of Eqs. \( \text{(37)} \) and \( \text{(38)} \) diverge when the frequency approaches zero poses some limitations on the proposed boundary element framework where we separated the solution into a divergence and a curl free part. Note that the Rizzo \[8\] solution does not diverge in this limit since it does not use the Helmholtz decomposition of splitting \( u \) into \( u_T \) and \( u_L \) but works with the total displacement \( u \) and the traction instead, however, strong singularities will show up in their method at zero frequency. Since the divergence


occurs in the Green function $U_{ij}$, it is highly likely that any $u_T, u_L$ decomposition for an arbitrary object will exhibit the same singular behavior.

Note that this divergence is totally unrelated to the zero frequency catastrophe encountered in certain numerical implementations of electromagnetic scattering (see for example Chew [21]), since it originates there from the decoupling of the electric and magnetic field at zero frequency, whereas in the current case the cause of the divergence is the Helmholtz decomposition of the displacement field.

7 Conclusion

The dynamic linear elasticity problem was tackled by working with the displacement field, $u$, using a Helmholtz decomposition. The transversal and longitudinal components were all solved with desingularized Helmholtz boundary element methods, with one scalar Helmholtz equation for the longitudinal part and four scalar Helmholtz equations for the transversal part. It was shown that the approach is viable by comparing it to an analytical solution for a vibrating sphere for two different sets of parameters with $ka$ around unity. Theoretically it was shown that the framework will fail for very low $ka$ numbers, since the transversal and longitudinal part both diverge. However, the total displacement remains well-behaved and finite. Thus the current framework works best for moderately high $ka$ numbers.

8 Complementary material description

The following movies are available as complementary material and correspond to the test cases described in the text:

1. **01m_Theory_uTotal_kT2_kL1.mp4** shows the total displacement field $u$ for the parameters $k_T a = 2.0$ and $k_L a = 1.0$. At several radii away from the sphere, the main displacement occurs around the $z$-axis in the horizontal direction. The contour plots correspond to the $x$-component of the $u$ vector.

2. **02m_Theory_uTotal_kT2_kL1b.mp4**: as the previous movie, but now the contour plots are for the $z$-component of the $u$ vector.

3. **03m_Theory_uT_kT2_kL1.mp4**: the same parameters as for the previous movies, but now the transversal components $u_T$ are shown. The main transversal waves move away from the sphere along the $z$-axis. The $x$-component is shown as a contour plot.

4. **04m_Theory_uL_kT2_kL1.mp4**: the same parameters as for the previous movies, but now the longitudinal components $u_L$ are shown (with the $x$-component again as a contour plot). The main longitudinal waves are moving along the $x$-axis.

5. **05m_Theory_uTotal_kT4_kL2.mp4** shows the total displacement field $u$ for the parameters $k_T a = 4.0$ and $k_L a = 2.0$. Due to these higher $ka$ numbers the wavelengths are shorter. The contour plots are for the $x$-component. The overall pattern at some distance away from the sphere appears to be more ‘radial’ in nature than for the parameters $k_T a = 2.0$ and $k_L a = 1.0$.

6. **06m_Theory_uTotal_kT4_kL2b.mp4** is the same as the previous movie, but now with the contour plot for the $z$-component.
7. **07m_Theory_uT_kT4_kL2.mp4**: as for the previous two movies, but now the transversal decomposed vector field \( u_T \) is shown. It appears to ‘radiate’ mainly in the \( z \)-direction.

8. **08m_Theory_uL_kT4_kL2.mp4**: as for the previous three movies, now for the longitudinal decomposed vector field \( u_L \). This time the waves ‘radiate’ outwards mainly in the \( x \)-direction.

The movie files are best appreciated when the player is put in the “loop” mode. The vectors on the surface of the sphere have been suppressed in the plotting routine in order to see the vectors in the field better. All the results shown in the above movie files are theoretical results, the numerical results are virtually indistinguishable.
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