Extreme correlation and repulsive interactions in highly excited atomic anions

Matthew T. Eiles\(^1\) and Chris H. Greene\(^{1,2}\)

\(^1\)Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, 47907 West Lafayette, IN, USA
\(^2\)Purdue Quantum Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA.

(Dated: June 11, 2018)

At high energies, single-photon photodetachment of alkali negative ions populates final states where both the ejected electron and the residual valence electron possess high angular momenta. The photodetached electron interacts strongly with the anisotropic core, and thus the partial cross sections for these channels display non-Wigner threshold behavior reflecting these large, and occasionally repulsive, interactions. Our fully quantum mechanical theoretical study enables a deeper interpretation of these partial cross sections. Comparisons of the behavior in different channels and between different atomic species - sodium, potassium, and cesium - show the critical role of near-degeneracies in the energy spectrum and demonstrate that much of the behavior of the partial photodetachment cross sections stems from the permanent, rather than induced, electric dipole moments of these nearly-degenerate channels. This provides a concrete example of a system where negative dispersion forces play a decisive role.

Atomic negative ions are fertile sources of information about correlated electron behavior, shape and Fano-Feshbach resonances, and near-threshold behavior [1]. Negative ions of alkali atoms have an electron affinity around 0.5 eV and possess only one weakly bound state [2]. At higher energies a rich spectrum of rapidly autodetaching doubly excited states appears; many resulting resonance features have been mapped out theoretically and experimentally [3-7]. Much of the interest in negative ions stems from the fact that, unlike positive ions or neutral systems, they are bound together not by the Coulomb potential but instead by far weaker polarization potentials which reveal subtle correlation effects. Furthermore, since they are in essence two-electron systems they are theoretically tractable to high accuracy [8-10].

In the absence of dominant long-range Coulomb forces, the structure of anions is determined by strong long-range polarization potentials between the induced dipole moments of the extended electronic states and the additional electron [8]. These potentials cause the observed partial cross sections to rapidly deviate from the Wigner threshold law, \(\sigma \propto E^{2+1/2}\), typically limiting its validity to only a few meV above threshold [11,13] and necessitating improved theoretical descriptions [8,9,11,15]. This was first noticed in photodetachment experiments just above the first excited threshold, where the relevant ground state polarizabilities, \(\alpha_p\), are a few hundred atomic units [8,13]. These polarizabilities increase rapidly with the principal quantum number, approximately as \(n^2\); for states with \(n \approx 6\) and having large angular momenta, \(l_{\text{max}} \approx n - 1\), \(\alpha_p \approx 10^4 - 10^5\) atomic units. At sufficiently high \(n\) and maximal \(l\), \(\alpha_p\) can become negative, leading to an entirely repulsive potential [17].

These long-range induced dipole potentials typically dominate the low energy photodetachment spectrum of alkali anions. One fascinating exception occurs in \(\text{H}^-\) due to its “accidental” degeneracy. The degenerate states hybridize in the detached electron’s electric field and form permanent dipole moments, characterized by a set of dipole parameters \(a_i\) [18]. The resulting permanent dipole (hereafter called dipole) potentials differ remarkably from the induced dipole (hereafter called polarization) potentials, particularly if \(a_i < \frac{1}{4}\). In this case the potential supports an infinite number (which becomes finite if the degeneracy is broken, as always true in practice at some level) of doubly-excited states. Such sequences of resonances have been extensively studied theoretically and verified in an impressive series of experiments [19-21]. The dipole parameters \(a_i\) can also be positive, leading to repulsive potentials. One compelling question is if this dipole structure is present even in non-hydrogenic atoms, since the non-penetrating high-\(l\) states rarely interact with the non-hydrogenic core and become nearly degenerate. Many parallels between hydrogen and lithium have been observed at higher \((n \geq 4)\) thresholds [25-27], but connections with other atoms are largely unexplored.

Recently, the GUNILLA group at the University of Gothenburg measured partial cross sections for photodetachment into very excited channels: \(7s, 5f,\) and \(5g\) in potassium, \(5g\) in sodium, and \(10s, 6f, 6g,\) and \(6h\) in cesium [17,28,30]. These observations highlighted the dramatic role of the long-range interaction between the photodetached electron and the highly polarizable atomic core, especially in the unusual scenario where these interactions are repulsive. The present Letter supports these observations with a fully quantum-mechanical calculation utilizing comparisons between atomic species and three theoretical probes - partial cross sections computed with the eigenchannel R-matrix method, a study of the adiabatic potential energy curves, and an analysis of threshold scaling laws - to identify the essential physics and demonstrate that the hydrogen-like character of these highly excited states dominates, and thus the system is governed by permanent, rather than induced,
dipole potentials. This improved physical model leads to a far more satisfactory interpretation of the observed threshold behavior, especially at higher energies in which the polarization potentials lead to qualitatively incorrect predictions. To put this study in a more recent context, note that repulsive dispersion forces have been utilized or even designed to suppress undesirable and problematic inelastic collisions in quantum gases [31, 33] and it is of interest to explore them in a comparatively simple situation like that of the present study.

The eigenchannel R-matrix method has experienced success in describing two valence electron systems including atomic anions [10, 26, 34]. Only a brief discussion is given here as Ref. [10] and its references contain a detailed description. This calculation first determines the eigenspectrum of the neutral alkali atom, confined to the R-matrix volume, using a B-spline basis to solve the one-electron Schrödinger equation with an l-dependent model potential [4, 35]. The radius \( r_0 = 250 \text{a.u.} \) of the R-matrix volume encompasses the excited atomic core states so that only one electron has non-vanishing probability outside the volume; it also is large enough to include additional channel coupling. 98 closed-type one-electron radial orbitals, which vanish at both \( r = 0 \) and \( r = r_0 \), along with 2 open orbitals which do not vanish at \( r = r_0 \), are obtained for each partial wave \( l = 0 - 14 \).

These one-electron functions form a two-electron basis which is used to variationally compute the eigenchannel representation of the R-matrix [10, 26]. More than 18000 basis states in the final symmetry are used to ensure convergence for such large \( r_0 \). Multipole interactions extend beyond \( r_0 \), so (following the procedure outlined in Refs. [27, 37]) the coupled channel equations without exchange are propagated between \( r_0 \leq r \leq 2000 \text{a.u.} \) computed by the R-matrix, determine the K-matrix and dipole transition amplitudes, and therefore the cross sections. To understand the behavior of these partial cross sections it is advantageous to study the adiabatic potential energy curves, i.e. the eigenvalues of the potential matrix \( V_{ij}(r) \) at fixed \( r \) [27, 38], as well as its asymptotic behavior:

\[
V_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{l_i(l_i+1)}{2r^2} - E_i \right) \delta_{ij} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{l-\frac{1}{2}}^2 \frac{d_{ij}^l}{r^{l+1}}, & r > r_0 \\
\left( \frac{l_i(l_i+1)}{2r^2} - \alpha_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \right) E_i \delta_{ij}, & r \to \infty \\
\left( \frac{\alpha_{l-\frac{1}{2}}}{r^2} - E_i \right) \delta_{ij}, & r \to \infty, \{E_i \} \to E_n.
\end{cases}
\]

where \( E_i \) is the atomic energy, \( l_{2i} \) is the angular momentum of the detached electron, and \( d_{ij}^l \) is a transition matrix element for the \( k \)th multipole moment. The sum is truncated at \( k = 3 \). At large \( r \) the \( k = 1 \) term dominates and adiabatic diagonalization of \( V_{ij}(r) \) yields the second expression, containing a channel-dependent polarization potential proportional to \( \alpha_{l_1} \), the polarizability for the \( l_1 \)th atomic state. Note that a negative polarizability gives a repulsive polarization potential. In the quasi-degenerate subspace of energy \( \{E_i\} \) approximately equaling a hydrogenic energy \( E_n \), the third expression with a dipole potential becomes valid. Table I gives relevant dipole parameters \( a_i \). In the repulsive case \( a_i > 0 \) and the dipole potential is a centrifugal potential with positive non-integral angular momenta \( \lambda_i \); these become complex when \( a_i < 0 \):

\[
\lambda_i = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{a_i + \frac{1}{4}}.
\]

These potentials define threshold laws which govern the partial cross sections. Ref. [17-18] developed semiclassical arguments for the threshold behavior for large polarizabilities. For attractive potentials, the polarization potential reduces the centrifugal barrier, so the photodetachment process rapidly saturates at energies exceeding this barrier, typically a few µeV. In the more unusual case of a repulsive polarization potential, Ref. [17] developed an approximate threshold law using WKB arguments:

\[
\sigma \sim \exp\left[2.850\alpha_{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{1/4}\right].
\]

The final wave function must tunnel under the repulsive potential to overlap the initial state, so the transition dipole elements are small. In the final case of approximately degenerate thresholds, repulsive potentials lead to a threshold law

\[
\sigma \sim E_0^{\lambda_{\min}+\frac{1}{2}},
\]

while an attractive potential causes the partial cross sections to discontinuously begin at a finite value at threshold [18].

Fig. 1a shows calculated 7s and 5f partial cross sections, highlighting the accuracy of the R-matrix method by resolving the narrow resonance in the 7s channel and revealing the threshold behavior of the 5f partial cross section, which rises rapidly over a few µeV before saturating, in excellent agreement with experiment [17]. The induced and dipole threshold laws, both attractive in this channel, predict the same qualitative behavior. Fig. 1b shows this partial cross section, along with the 5g partial cross section, over the larger energy range studied in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>( \alpha(l, l_–) ), ( \alpha(l, l_+) )</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>( \alpha(l, l_–) ), ( \alpha(l, l_+) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na(3s)</td>
<td>0.00, 166</td>
<td>Na(5d)</td>
<td>4.93(6), 5.06(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(5f)</td>
<td>2.10(7), 2.12(7)</td>
<td>Na(5g)</td>
<td>-2.55(7), -2.56(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(4s)</td>
<td>0.00, 308</td>
<td>K(5f)</td>
<td>5.01(6), 5.05(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(5g)</td>
<td>-5.14(6), -5.18(6)</td>
<td>K(6f)</td>
<td>2.05(7), 2.08(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(6g)</td>
<td>4.46(7), 4.47(7)</td>
<td>K(6h)</td>
<td>-7.17(7), -7.19(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs(6s)</td>
<td>0.00, 445</td>
<td>Cs(6f)</td>
<td>7.57(6), 7.64(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs(6g)</td>
<td>1.70(7), 1.70(7)</td>
<td>Cs(6h)</td>
<td>-2.44(7), -2.45(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degenerate levels</td>
<td>( a_i = \lambda_i (\lambda_i + 1) )</td>
<td>5f&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; 5g&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>47.9, 31.5, 2.09, 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; 5f&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; 5g&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>57.6, 43.0, 23.3, 8.32, -18.8, -31.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; 6g&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; 6h&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;l&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>80.0, 61.6, 34.0, 15.0, -21.9, -38.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Channel-dependent static polarizabilities \( \alpha \) and dipole selection rules, \( l_- = l - 1, \ l_+ = l + 1 \), and (A) represents \( \times 10^4 \).
FIG. 1. Observed [17, 28, 29, 39] (dots) and calculated (solid) partial cross sections for a) potassium near the $5f$, $5g$ thresholds, b) potassium, c) sodium. Partial cross sections for the $7s$ (purple, potassium only), $5f$ (black), $5g$, and $5d$ (green, sodium only) are shown. Dashed curves show threshold law fits.

Refs. [17, 28]. Here, the $5f$ and $5g$ thresholds are, to an excellent approximation, degenerate, and in accordance with the dipole threshold law the $5f$ partial cross section rises essentially discontinuously at threshold. These calculations agree quite well with the experimental observations. This energy range was not previously computed theoretically, but the present calculations at lower energies agree with Ref. [3]. The $5d$ partial cross section rises sharply at threshold since both its polarization and dipole potentials are attractive. The $5g$ partial cross section rises slowly, consistent with repulsive polarization and dipole potentials. Several novel features that were not seen in potassium complicate the interpretation here. The $5f$ partial cross section rises initially, but then continues to climb slowly, apparently mixing aspects of both attractive and repulsive potentials. Furthermore, both its polarizabilities and dipole parameters are positive, leading to attractive polarization potentials but repulsive dipole potentials. Finally, the value for $\lambda_{\min}$ taken from Tab. I gives an unsatisfactory fit, whereas the fit shown in Fig. 1c uses $\lambda_{\min} = 1.03$, as if only the $5f$ and $5g$ thresholds were degenerate. Again the polarization threshold law gives an $\alpha_p$ that is orders of magnitude too small [29].

Figs. 2 and 3a show the adiabatic potential energy curves governing these processes, and a careful study of these curves resolves these complications. First, these potentials justify the assumption of degenerate thresholds over the range of energies considered here: the $5f$, $5g$ splitting is indistinguishable on the scale of Fig. 2a and relative to the range of energies explored experimentally. Additionally, the dipole potentials describe the adiabatic potentials far more accurately than the polarization potentials do, except at very low energy (panels b and c). This is strong evidence in support of the dipole potential. The potential curves for sodium shown in Fig. 3a also lead to these conclusions, but also indicate why the $5d$ partial cross section is more challenging to match to the dipole threshold law and why a smaller $\lambda_{\min}$ improves the fit. The $5d$ threshold is only approximately degenerate on this energy scale, so the potential curves are more poorly described by the dipole potentials than a generic phenomenon that is ubiquitous in the following calculations [11, 12]. The threshold behavior in the $5g$ channel is markedly different than in the $5f$ channel, and the slow climb above threshold was attributed to the repulsive polarization potential [17].

The dashed line in Fig. 1a is a fit to the experimental data with the dipole threshold law modulated by a Shore profile describing the observed resonance [33]. This fit and that of Ref. [17] are nearly indistinguishable. However, the latter fit yielded an atomic polarizability two orders of magnitude too small, suggesting that the agreement is fortuitous. In contrast, the successful fit to the dipole threshold law uses only an amplitude and the resonance profile as adjustable fit parameters, fixing $\lambda_{\min} = 1.03$ (Table I).

Further data is available in sodium. Fig. 1c shows $5d - 5g$ partial cross sections [29]. The $5d$ and $5f$ partial cross sections were only measured near threshold [39]. The calculations again reproduce the experimental observations. This energy range was not previously computed theoretically, but the present calculations at lower energies agree with Ref. [3]. The $5d$ partial cross section rises sharply at threshold since both its polarization and dipole potentials are attractive. The $5g$ partial cross section rises slowly, consistent with repulsive polarization and dipole potentials. Several novel features that were not seen in potassium complicate the interpretation here. The $5f$ partial cross section rises initially, but then continues to climb slowly, apparently mixing aspects of both attractive and repulsive potentials. Furthermore, both its polarizabilities and dipole parameters are positive, leading to attractive polarization potentials but repulsive dipole potentials. Finally, the value for $\lambda_{\min}$ taken from Tab. I gives an unsatisfactory fit, whereas the fit shown in Fig. 1c uses $\lambda_{\min} = 1.03$, as if only the $5f$ and $5g$ thresholds were degenerate. Again the polarization threshold law gives an $\alpha_p$ that is orders of magnitude too small [29].

Figs. 2 and 3a show the adiabatic potential energy curves governing these processes, and a careful study of these curves resolves these complications. First, these potentials justify the assumption of degenerate thresholds over the range of energies considered here: the $5f$, $5g$ splitting is indistinguishable on the scale of Fig. 2a and relative to the range of energies explored experimentally. Additionally, the dipole potentials describe the adiabatic potentials far more accurately than the polarization potentials do, except at very low energy (panels b and c). This is strong evidence in support of the dipole potential. The potential curves for sodium shown in Fig. 3a also lead to these conclusions, but also indicate why the $5f$ partial cross section is more challenging to match to the dipole threshold law and why a smaller $\lambda_{\min}$ improves the fit. The $5d$ threshold is only approximately degenerate on this energy scale, so the potential curves are more poorly described by the dipole potentials than
in potassium. Although these potentials are repulsive, and therefore the partial cross section should rise above threshold, this repulsion is very weak and the large energy separation between the 5d and 5f thresholds implies that the threshold behavior is not well described by pure dipole or polarization potentials. Excluding the 5d states from the degenerate subspace gives a dipole potential with $a_1 = 2.09$ (dot-dashed green curve) which is qualitatively closer to the real potential curves and gives the satisfactory threshold law fit in Fig. 1c.

The partial cross sections at the next highest atomic threshold elucidate further qualitative differences between dipole and polarization physics. These channels are more nearly degenerate, and inspection of Fig. 3 reveals that the potential curves are qualitatively very similar across atomic species due to the very small quantum defects of these states. The polarization and dipole potentials again differ qualitatively: four attractive (two repulsive) polarization potentials and four repulsive (two attractive) dipole potentials arise (Tab. I). Unlike in sodium the dipole potentials (particularly in the repulsive channels) excellently approximate the adiabatic potentials, suggesting that the observed behavior of the partial cross sections here is unambiguously caused by dipole potentials in this degenerate subspace.

Fig. 4 presents predicted partial cross sections in potassium. In contrast to the threshold behavior implied by the polarization potentials, but in accordance with the dipole potentials, only the 6f partial cross section begins at a finite value, while the 6g and 6h partial cross sections rise slowly. Fig. 4b shows the same channels in cesium along with measured results [30]. No previous theory calculations of these states exist. Relativistic spin-orbit effects, typically stronger in heavier atoms, are neglected in the calculation, but these effects are reduced for channels with high angular momentum and the calculations and observations are in good agreement. As previously, these results agree only with the predictions of the dipole potential. They are successfully fitted to the dipole threshold law using $\lambda_{\text{min}} = 3.4$ (Tab. I) and three Shore resonance profiles for the entire 6g partial cross section, while the 6h fit included only one resonance over a more limited range to better compare with the previous 5g channel fit in potassium [43]. Fits using the polarization threshold law again significantly underestimated $\alpha_p$, and furthermore this threshold law is strictly speaking invalid for the 6g channel due to its positive polarizability.

This conclusively shows that these experiments revealed repulsive dipole potentials, which will continue to control photodetachment physics at higher energy and angular momentum scales.

This Letter has elucidated the mechanism underlying the behavior of partial cross sections for photodetach-
ment into channels with very high $l$ and to study the repulsive interactions present in this system. The calculations and experiment agree excellently, and the adiabatic potential energy curves are consistent with dipole potentials rather than with the polarization potentials typically dominant in non-hydrogenic atoms. Although the qualitative predictions of both potentials are consistent with observed $5d$, $5f$, and $5g$ cross sections, they are quantitatively much better described by the dipole threshold law. The $6f$, $6g$, and $6h$ partial cross sections of potassium and cesium provide clear confirmation of the formation of repulsive dipole potentials in this system, as the polarization potentials here are qualitatively wrong at energies above the tiny threshold splitting and lead to incorrect predictions in that range.
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[43] The fit parameters are given in the supplementary material.