

CATEGORIFICATION OF VERMA MODULES AND INDECOMPOSABLE PROJECTIVE MODULES IN THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ FOR $\mathfrak{sl}_2$

BEN COX AND MEE SEONG IM

ABSTRACT. We categorify Verma and indecomposable projective modules in the category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ using a tensor product decomposition theorem of T. J. Enright and work of J. Chuang and R. Rouquier [CR08], A. Licata and A. Savage [LS13] and M. Khovanov [Kho10].

1. INTRODUCTION

We answer Raphaël Rouquier’s question of categorifying Verma modules in the setting of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. That is, we obtain $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorifications $\mathcal{V}_s$ and $\mathcal{T}_r$ of Verma modules V_s for s an integer and their indecomposable projective covers T_r , where r is a nonnegative integer. We define our notion of an $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification below. Verma modules play a fundamental role in the representation theory of Kac-Moody Lie algebras and in the geometry of infinite partial flag variety. Verma modules also correspond to δ -function distributions on orbits under the action by the Borel and to certain holomorphic functions on these orbits (cf. [CG10], [HTT08], [Sega], [Segb], [Segc]), so the categorification of these modules play a fundamental role in the theory of categorification in the Lie algebra setting.

Naisse and Vaz used techniques of [CR08], [Lau11], and [FKS06] to produce a geometric approach using infinite Grassmannians and infinite partial flag varieties to categorify Verma modules for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (cf. [NV16]).

The motivation of our construction goes as follows: a classical result in the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ states that any Verma module V_λ of highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ can be realized in terms of operators x, ∂_x of the Heisenberg algebra acting on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$ with one indeterminate x . For this reason, the Heisenberg algebra is also realized as a Weyl algebra, or a ring of differential operators. Now let $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the infinite-rank Heisenberg algebra defined over nonnegative integers $\mathbb{N}$ with generators 1 and p_i, q_i , where $i \in \mathbb{N}$, together with relations $p_i q_j = q_j p_i + \delta_{ij}$. M. Khovanov in [Kho10] constructs a conjectured categorification $\mathcal{H}$ of the infinite-rank Heisenberg algebra $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and shows the existence of a map ρ from $\mathcal{H}$ to the category $\text{End}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}[S_n]\text{-mod})$ of functors on the

Date: December 5, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B45, 16W25, 20C08, 15A69, 33D80.

Key words and phrases. Categorification of Verma and indecomposable projective modules, tensor product of categories, quotient categories, affine Hecke algebras, diagrammatic algebras.

Both authors thank the Department of Mathematics at the University of California at Santa Cruz for providing conducive working environment in May-June of 2015. MSI would like to thank the College of Charleston for their hospitality in September of 2015 and BC would like to thank the United States Military Academy for their hospitality in October of 2015. BC is partially supported by Simons Collaboration Grant #319261 and MSI is supported by NSF-AWM Mentoring Grant.

direct sum of categories of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ -modules (more recently, a proof of the surjectivity of the ring homomorphism from $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $K_0(\mathcal{H})$ has been announced in [WWW⁺13]). $\mathcal{H}$ is the Karoubi envelope of the category $\mathcal{H}'$ defined as a strict monoidal category generated by two objects Q_+ and Q_- and morphisms between tensor products of such objects are given by planar diagrams mod out by certain relations. The category of endofunctors can be viewed as a type of categorification of a Fock space. Under the appropriate assignment of functors:

$$\rho(F) = Q_+, \quad \rho(E) = -Q_+(Q_-)^2,$$

which correspond to $\rho(f) = x$ and $\rho(e) = -x\partial_x^2$, we arrive at the weak $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification (cf. Definition 3.1) of the highest weight Verma module with highest weight 0. We denote this categorification by $\mathcal{V}_0$. The objects of $\mathcal{V}_0$ are sums of the functors obtained from

$$\Lambda_+^n := (Q_+^n, e'(n)), \quad S_-^n := (Q_-^n, e(n)), \quad e(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma, \quad e'(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma \in \mathbb{k}[S_n],$$

and the morphisms (which are viewed as natural transformations) are certain planar diagrams modulo relations given by Khovanov. In the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -geometric categorification construction in [NV16], F is only a left adjoint of E (they are not biadjoints). Similarly in our construction, F is only a left adjoint of E .

For a complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, T. J. Enright defines the categories $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1 ([Enr79], Definition 3.2). *We define $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to be the category of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules such that the following properties hold:*

- (1) *M is a weight module for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, with $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} M_{\mu}$ where $M_{\mu} = \{m \in M : h.m = \mu m\}$,*
- (2) *f acts injectively on M ,*
- (3) *e acts locally nilpotently on M .*

Definition 1.2 ([Enr79], page 8). *For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ containing $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we define $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as the category of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules whose underlying $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module lies in $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Then*

- (1) *if M is indecomposable, then $M \cong V_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ or $M \cong T_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$,*
- (2) *M is an (infinite) direct sum of indecomposable modules.*

Remark 1.4 ([Enr79], Proposition 3.11.(i)). *The Verma module V_{λ} ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) and the projective covers T_{λ} ($\lambda \geq 0$ an integer) are precisely all the indecomposable objects of the category $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

Let L_n denote the irreducible highest weight module for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ of highest weight n of dimension $n+1$ and let V_{λ} be the Verma module with highest weight λ , where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In the annals paper by Enright ([Enr79], Proposition 3.12), the author gives a tensor product decomposition for $L_n \otimes V_{\lambda}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$:

$$L_n \otimes V_{\lambda} \cong \bigoplus_{r \in I'} T_{\lambda+r} \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} V_{\lambda+s}, \tag{1}$$

where I' and I''' are explicitly described finite sets of integers (see Proposition 2.3) and $T_{\lambda+r}$ are indecomposable projective covers of Verma modules $V_{\lambda+r}$. We categorify a version of this decomposition to construct our categorification of Verma modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

The final piece of motivation comes from the work of J. Chuang and R. Rouquier on the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification $\mathcal{L}_n$ of the modules L_n . These categories have endofunctors E and F defined on them that decategorify to the action of e and f on L_n . Moreover there are natural transformations $X = \oplus_i X_i \in \text{End}(F)$ and $T = \oplus_i T_i \in \text{End}(F^2)$ such that X_i and T_i satisfy functorial versions of the relations for the affine Hecke algebra.

Putting the above together, we define the category $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$ in such a manner so that its objects are of the form $\oplus_{k,l} M_k \boxtimes N_l$, where M_k is an object of $\mathcal{L}_n$ and N_l is an object of $\mathcal{V}_0$, and morphisms are of the form $\sum_{k,l} f_k \otimes g_l$, where $f_k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_n}(M_k, M'_k)$ and $g_l \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(N_l, N'_l)$. We then define the categories $\mathcal{T}_r$ and $\mathcal{V}_s$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, as certain subcategories of $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$. As a consequence there are functors E and F defined on $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$ that leave the subcategories $\mathcal{T}_r$ and $\mathcal{V}_s$ stable. We prove that these subcategories are categorifications of the modules T_r and V_s , respectively, whereby the functors E and F decategorify to the action of e and f on $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules T_r and V_s . Categories $\mathcal{V}_s$ and $\mathcal{T}_r$ have as objects sums of submodules generated by applications of E and F .

We now state one of the main results in this paper:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $I = I' \cup I'' \cup I'''$ be as above. For $n \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a direct sum decomposition of categories*

$$\bigoplus_{r \in I'} \mathcal{T}_r \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} \mathcal{V}_s,$$

which is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$, where $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$ is the category whose objects are sums of tensor products of objects of the two categories $\mathcal{L}_n$ and $\mathcal{V}_0$ and whose morphism likewise are sums of tensor products of morphisms. In particular, we obtain $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorifications $\mathcal{V}_s$ and $\mathcal{T}_r$ of Verma modules V_s and their projective covers T_r , respectively, where $s \in I'''$ and $r \in I'$.

Here the tensor product category $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$ is made into an $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification (see Section 3) using comultiplication of affine Hecke algebra, compatible with the actions of E and F , given as a Lie algebra action. We also construct natural transformations $X \in \text{End}(F)$ and $T \in \text{End}(F^2)$ acting on the tensor product of categories $\mathcal{L}_n$ and $\mathcal{V}_0$, compatible with the (nondegenerate and degenerate) affine Hecke algebra structure.

For $s \in I'$, $r = -s - 2$, and $0 \leq i \leq (n+r)/2$, define

$$p_i = 4^{\frac{n+r}{2}-i} \frac{n+r+2}{n+r-2i+2} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 \prod_{\nu=i}^{\frac{n+r-2}{2}} (n-\nu), \quad (2)$$

where if $i = 0$, we define

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 := 1.$$

Let $v_j \in L_n$, a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -irreducible representation with highest weight n . Then

$$v_j = \frac{1}{j!} f^j v_0, \text{ where } 0 \leq j \leq n$$

satisfying:

$$f.v_i = (i+1)v_{i+1}, \quad e.v_i = (n-i+1)v_{i-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h.v_i = (n-2i)v_i. \quad (3)$$

Now, we make an identification $V_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[x]$ of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -Verma module V_0 with highest weight 0 with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$, let $w_k := x^k \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Then

$$f.w_k = w_{k+1}, \quad e.w_k = -k(k-1)w_{k-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h.w_k = -2kw_k. \quad (4)$$

Consider the highest weight vector $u_n := v_0 \otimes w_0 \in L_n \boxtimes V_0$ of highest weight n . We restate the second part of Theorem 1.5 as follows:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{V}_s)$ be the split Grothendieck group of the category of sums of submodules generated by applications of E and F . Then*

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{V}_s) \xrightarrow{\cong} V_s \quad \text{sending} \quad [U_s] \mapsto u_s, \quad (5)$$

where

$$U_s = \boxplus_{j=0}^{\frac{n-s-2}{2}} (M_j \boxtimes N_{\frac{n-s}{2}-j})^{\boxplus p_j} \quad \text{and} \quad u_s = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-s-2}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n-s}{2}-j},$$

which is a weight vector of weight s . Furthermore, if s is a nonnegative integer, then

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{V}_s) \xrightarrow{\cong} V_s \quad \text{also sends} \quad [U_{-s-2}] \mapsto u_{-s-2},$$

where

$$U_{-s-2} = \boxplus_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} (M_j \boxtimes N_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j})^{\boxplus p_j} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{-s-2} = f^{s+1}u_s = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j},$$

with weight $-s-2$. The isomorphism of split Grothendieck groups also respects a map of functors:

$$[F := Q_+ \otimes -] \mapsto f = x \quad \text{and} \quad [-E := Q_+ Q_- Q_- \otimes -] \mapsto -e = -x \partial_x^2.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{V}_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} V_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[x]$, where the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action on V_0 is the action by the noncommutative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle x, \partial_x \rangle / \langle \partial_x x - x \partial_x - 1 \rangle$.

The projective cover T_r is generated by $\{f^k a_{-r-2} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{f^k u_r : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where

$$a_{-r-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+r}{2}} q_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}-j} \quad \text{and} \quad u_r = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-r-2}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n-r}{2}-j}, \quad (6)$$

where the q_i 's satisfy the recurrence relation in Equation (23).

Theorem 1.7. *Let r be a nonnegative integer. We have an isomorphism of modules:*

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{T}_r) \xrightarrow{\cong} T_r \quad \text{sending} \quad [A_{-r-2}] \mapsto a_{-r-2} \quad \text{and} \quad [U_r] \mapsto u_r, \quad (7)$$

where

$$A_{-r-2} = \boxplus_{j=0}^{\frac{n+r}{2}} (M_j \boxtimes N_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}-j})^{\boxplus q_j} \quad \text{and} \quad U_r = \boxplus_{j=0}^{\frac{n-r-2}{2}} (M_j \boxtimes N_{\frac{n-r}{2}-j})^{\boxplus p_j},$$

and a_{-r-2} is a generator of the submodule T_r given in Equation (6) and u_r is a generator in T_r isomorphic to V_r . This isomorphism also gives a map of functors.

Remark 1.8. *We emphasize on the positivity of the coefficients p_i and q_j in Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.*

In Section 2.1, we recall that all Verma modules V_λ for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ for the highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ can be realized using the Heisenberg algebra action on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$ in one indeterminant. In the case when $\lambda = 0$, Khovanov has a categorification $\mathcal{H}$ of the Heisenberg algebra $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with infinitely-many generators p_i and q_i , with $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, which “acts” on the category $\text{End}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} S_n\text{-mod})$ of functors whose Grothendieck group is the Fock space with highest weight $\lambda = 0$.

The categorification using degenerate affine Hecke algebras has been constructed by Khovanov in [Kho10], while nondegenerate affine Hecke algebras were used in [LS13].

For us $\mathcal{L}$ is an additive category, $\mathcal{T}_r$ is a category of projective modules and $\mathcal{V}_0$ is an additive category. $\mathcal{T}_r$ has objects that are indecomposable, but $\mathcal{T}_r$ is not triangularizable. Thus by a result of Adelman, the abelianization of $\mathcal{T}_r$ is zero.

1.1. Adelman’s abelianization. Here we review the construction of an abelian category from an additive one using the technique due to M. Adelman [Ade73] as it deserves to be better known.

Suppose M is an additive category and then consider the category $M^{\rightarrow\rightarrow}$ whose objects are double arrows

$$A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \quad (8)$$

where A' , A , and A'' are all objects in M and the horizontal maps are morphisms in M . The morphisms in the category $M^{\rightarrow\rightarrow}$ are triples x', x, x'' where the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' \\ x' \downarrow & & x \downarrow & & \downarrow x'' \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B'' \end{array}$$

is commutative.

In this category one defines an equivalence relation as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{a'} & A & \xrightarrow{a} & A'' \\ \alpha' \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha'' \\ B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & B'' \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{a'} & A & \xrightarrow{a} & A'' \\ \beta' \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta'' \\ B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & B'' \end{array}$$

if there exists morphisms $s_1 : A \rightarrow B'$ and $s_2 : A'' \rightarrow B$ such that a homotopy condition is satisfied

$$b's_1 + s_2a = \alpha - \beta. \quad (9)$$

The quotient category $M^{\rightarrow\rightarrow}/\equiv$ is denoted by $\text{Ab}(M)$.

The kernel of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{a'} & A & \xrightarrow{a} & A'' \\ \alpha' \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha'' \\ B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & B'' \end{array}$$

is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' \oplus B' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A'' \\ (1,0) \downarrow & & (1,0) \downarrow & & \downarrow (0,1), \\ B' & \xrightarrow{b'} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & B'' \end{array}$$

where

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ \alpha' & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The cokernel of $(\alpha', \alpha, \alpha'')$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B' & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' \oplus A & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B \oplus A'' & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B'' \oplus A'', \end{array}$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b' & \alpha \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} b & \alpha'' \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One then identifies the category $\mathbf{M}$ with the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbf{M})$ consisting of double arrows of the form $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ where A is an object in $\mathbf{M}$.

Theorem 1.9 ([Ade73], Theorem 1.14). *Suppose $F : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is an additive functor with $\mathbf{B}$ being an abelian category. Then there exists a unique exact functor $K : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} & \xrightarrow{I_{\mathbf{M}}} & \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbf{M}) \\ & \searrow F & \swarrow K \\ & \mathbf{B} & \end{array}$$

is commutative up to natural equivalence (the functor K is also unique up to natural equivalence).

In the above, $I_{\mathbf{M}}$ is defined on objects as $I_{\mathbf{M}}(A)$ is the equivalence class of $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and $I_{\mathbf{M}}(A \rightarrow B)$ is the equivalence class of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We leave it as an exercise to show for Enright's category that $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{I}) = 0$, and thus $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.

The category $\mathcal{V}_0$ has objects Q_+ , which are induction (graphical) functors, and morphisms X and T .

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Modules and their bases. The idea behind our construction of the categorification of a Verma module for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ goes back to the tensor product decomposition formula of Enright for modules in category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Let L_n be the $n + 1$ dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module with highest weight n .

2.1.1. Finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules L_n . The commutator identity $[e, f^k] = k f^{k-1}(h - k + 1)$ is used to prove the following:

Lemma 2.1 ([Hum78]). *The finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module L_n with highest weight n has basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^n$, where $v_j = \frac{1}{j!} f^j v_0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$. In particular,*

$$f.v_i = (i + 1)v_{i+1}, \quad e.v_i = (n - i + 1)v_{i-1}, \quad h.v_i = (n - 2i)v_i. \quad (10)$$

2.1.2. Verma modules V_λ for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}h$ its Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}e$ is Borel subalgebra and $\mathbb{C}_\lambda = \mathbb{C}v_\lambda$ the one dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$ -module defined by $h.v_\lambda = \lambda v_\lambda$, with $e.v_\lambda = 0$ for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $V_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be the Verma module with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem,

$$V_\lambda = \mathbb{C}[f]v_\lambda = \bigoplus_m V_{\lambda, \lambda-2m},$$

where v_λ is the highest weight vector with weight λ and

$$V_{\lambda, \lambda-2m} = \mathbb{C}f^m v_\lambda = \{w \in V_\lambda : h.w = (\lambda - 2m)w\},$$

the $\lambda - 2m$ weight space.

Next, Lemma 2.2 is a classical result due to Sophus Lie.

Lemma 2.2. *Consider the ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We define $\rho : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[x])$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f) &:= x, \\ \rho(e) &:= -x\partial_x^2 + \lambda\partial_x, \\ \rho(h) &:= -2x\partial_x + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Then ρ defines an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation isomorphic to V_λ .

In particular the Verma module $V_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[x]$, with basis w_k , where $w_k = x^k$, with

$$\rho(f)(w_k) = f.w_k = w_{k+1}, \quad \rho(e)(w_k) = e.w_k = -k(k-1)w_{k-1}, \quad \rho(h)(w_k) = h.w_k = -2kw_k. \quad (11)$$

2.1.3. Indecomposable projective modules T_r . The Casimir operator for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is defined to be the element

$$\Omega = h^2 + 2h + 4fe, \quad (12)$$


in $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ consider the following left ideals of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$:

$$\mathfrak{M}_r := U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\{h + n + 2, e^{r+2}, (\Omega - r^2 - 2r)^2\}, \quad (13)$$

and define

$$T_r := U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/\mathfrak{M}_r. \quad (14)$$

Let 1_r denote the image of 1 in T_r . Then T_r is an indecomposable projective module in the category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (see [Enr79]) and the structure of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module T_r has the form:



Each vertex above constitutes a weight vector in a basis for $T_r = U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/\mathfrak{M}_r$ and upward arrows (including the upward arrows at 45 degrees) denote the action of e and downward arrows denote the action of f on a basis vector. The right most column, which includes weight vectors $f^i e^{r+1}$, where $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a submodule of T_r which is isomorphic to V_r and weight vectors along the left column are isomorphic to V_{-r-2} after one quotients out T_r by the submodule isomorphic to V_r . Upward facing braces indicate that the vector below them is a highest weight vector. The weight vector 1_r has weight $-r-2$ and is a generator of T_r . We thus have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow V_r \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow V_{-r-2} \rightarrow 0,$$

and the action by $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ on weight vectors in T_r is described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(f^i e^j) &= f^{i+1} e^j, \\ e(f^i e^j) &= f^i e^{j+1} + (2ij - i^2 - i(n+1))f^{i-1} e^j, \\ h(f^i e^j) &= (2j - 2i - n - 2)f^i e^j. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.4. Tensor product of a standard and a Verma module. Proposition 2.3 from [Enr79] (cf. Proposition 3.12) gives us a decomposition of the tensor product of a standard module and a Verma module into a decomposition of irreducible modules.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. The weights of L_n are in the set $I = \{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\}$, and define sets as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} I' &= \{r \in I : \lambda + r \geq 0 \text{ and } -(\lambda + r) - 2 - \lambda \in I\}, \\ I'' &= \{-r - 2\lambda - 2 : r \in I'\}, \text{ and} \\ I''' &= I \setminus (I' \cup I''). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a decomposition of $I = I' \dot{\cup} I'' \dot{\cup} I'''$ into disjoint sets, and the tensor product of L_n and V_λ decomposes as:

$$L_n \otimes V_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{r \in I'} T_{\lambda+r} \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} V_{\lambda+s}. \quad (15)$$

In the setting of our paper, we begin by choosing the case $\lambda = 0$ so that we have the decomposition

$$L_n \otimes V_0 \cong \bigoplus_{r \in I'} T_r \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} V_s, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I' &= \begin{cases} \{1, 3, 5, \dots, n-4, n-2\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \{0, 2, 4, \dots, n-4, n-2\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ I'' &= \begin{cases} \{-n, -n+2, \dots, -3\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \{-n, -n+2, \dots, -2\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ I''' &= \begin{cases} \{-1, n\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \{n\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let M be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module. Define*

$$M[c] := \{a \in M : (\Omega - c)^i \cdot a = 0 \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\},$$

the c -generalized eigenspace of the Casimir operator in M . We say M has a Casimir decomposition if $M = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} M[c]$ as modules, and that M has a simple Casimir decomposition if $(\Omega - c) \cdot M[c] = 0$ for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.5 is proved in [Enr79]:

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -weight module, where $e \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ acts nilpotently. Then M has a Casimir decomposition.*

Lemma 2.6. *If an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module M has a Casimir decomposition, then $(\Omega - c)^2 \cdot M[c] = 0$.*

Enright also gives the following definition:

Definition 2.7. *For a complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, the category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[c]$ is the collection of all $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules M in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ such that $M = M[c]$.*

Definition 2.7 implies for $n \geq -1$, the modules V_{-n-2} , V_n and T_n are in the category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[n(n+2)]$.

We now refer to [Ben07] for the decomposition of tensor product of modules. Using the above bases for L_n and V_0 , we will construct a basis for T_r and V_s . That is, we know

that $\bigoplus_{r \in I'} T_r \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} V_s \cong L_n \otimes V_0$ has basis $\{v_i \otimes w_k : 0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0\}$. After constructing a basis for T_r and V_s , we replace the basis $\{v_i \otimes w_k\}$ with the tensor product $M_n \boxtimes N_k$ of modules, where M_n is an object in category $\mathcal{L}_n$ and N_k is an object in $\mathcal{V}_0$.

The Casimir $\Omega = 4fe + h^2 + 2h$ acts on $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ by $\Omega.v_\lambda = (4fe + h^2 + 2h)v_\lambda = (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda)v_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda + 2)v_\lambda$. Thus $(\Omega - \lambda(\lambda + 2))v_\lambda = 0$. Now, for a fixed s and a fixed n , we will find the highest weight vector u_s of V_s with weight s in terms of a sum of tensor products of vectors in $L_n \otimes V_0$. If the coefficients of the sum are positive integers, one can lift this sum to a sum of tensor products of modules $M_n \boxtimes N_k \in \mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$.

Proposition 2.8. *For $s \in I'$ set $r = -s - 2$. For $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n+r}{2}$, set*

$$p_i := 4^{\frac{n+r}{2}-i} \frac{n+r+2}{n+r-2i+2} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 \prod_{\nu=i}^{\frac{n+r-2}{2}} (n-\nu), \quad (17)$$

where if $i = 0$, then

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 := 1.$$

Then p_i is a positive integer, and $u_n := v_0 \otimes w_0$ is a highest weight vector in $L_n \otimes V_0$ of highest weight n and

$$u_s := \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+r}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}-j}, \quad (18)$$

a highest weight vector of weight s . Moreover

$$u_{-s-2} = f^{s+1} u_s := \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j}, \quad (19)$$

a highest weight vector of weight $-s - 2$.

Proof. When $s = n$, where $s \in I'''$, it is clear that $u_n = u_s = v_0 \otimes w_0$ is a highest weight vector of weight s . Now let $s \in I'$. By the Decomposition Theorem (16) and the structure of the module T_s , there is only one highest weight vector of weight s up to a scalar. As

$$h.(v_i \otimes w_k) = (n - 2i - 2k)v_i \otimes w_k,$$

the highest weight vector u_s of V_s is a linear combination of $v_i \otimes w_k$ satisfying $n - 2i - 2k = s$. We will determine coefficients $\alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{C}$ using the equation

$$u_s = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n - 2i - 2k = s}} \alpha_{ik} v_i \otimes w_k, \text{ where } V_s = \mathbb{C}[f]u_s.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = eu_s &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \alpha_{ik} e(v_i \otimes w_k) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \alpha_{ik} (n-i+1)v_{i-1} \otimes w_k \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \alpha_{ik} (-k(k-1))v_i \otimes w_{k-1} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{-1 \leq i' \leq n-1, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i'-2k-2=s}} \alpha_{i'+1,k} (n-i')v_{i'} \otimes w_k + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k' \geq -1 \\ n-2i-2k'-2=s}} \alpha_{i,k'+1} (-(k'+1)k')v_i \otimes w_{k'} \\
 &\quad \text{since } i-1 = i', k-1 = k' \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i' \leq n-1, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i'-2k-2=s}} \alpha_{i'+1,k} (n-i')v_{i'} \otimes w_k + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k' \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k'-2=s}} \alpha_{i,k'+1} (-(k'+1)k')v_i \otimes w_{k'} \\
 &\quad \text{since } v_{-1} = w_{-1} = 0 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k-2=s}} (\alpha_{i+1,k}(n-i) - \alpha_{i,k+1}(k+1)k)v_i \otimes w_k + \alpha_{n,k+1}(-(k+1)k)v_n \otimes w_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have $\alpha_{n,k+1} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{i+1,k}(n-i) - \alpha_{i,k+1}(k+1)k = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $k \geq 0$, and $n-2i-2k-2 = s$. The latter equation could be rewritten as

$$\alpha_{i+1,k} = \frac{(k+1)k}{n-i} \alpha_{i,k+1} \text{ where } n-2i-2k-2 = s, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and } k \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, since $k = (n-2i-s-2)/2$, we can rewrite Equation (20) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{i+1,(n-s-2i-2)/2} &= \frac{(n-s-2i)(n-s-2i-2)}{4(n-i)} \alpha_{i,(n-s-2i)/2} \\
 &= \frac{n-i-1}{4^{i+1}(n-s)(n-s-2i-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{i+1} \frac{(n-s-2j)^2}{n-j} \alpha_{0,(n-s)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, or as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{i,(n-s-2i)/2} &= \frac{(n-s-2i+2)(n-s-2i)}{4(n-i+1)} \alpha_{i-1,(n-s-2i+2)/2} \\
 &= \frac{(n-s-2i)}{4^i(n-s)} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(n-s-2j)^2}{n-j} \alpha_{0,(n-s)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$. If we set $r = -s-2$, then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$\alpha_{i,(n+r-2i+2)/2} = \frac{(n+r+2)}{4^i(n+r-2i+2)} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(n+r-2j)^2}{(n-j)} \alpha_{0,(n+r+2)/2}.$$

Note that since $\frac{n+r-2i}{2} = k \geq 0$, we have $\frac{n+r}{2} \geq i$. As a consequence, we assume $\alpha_{0,(n+r+2)/2} \neq 0$ in order to have all the coefficients $\alpha_{i,(n+r-2i+2)/2} \neq 0$ for $i \leq \frac{n+r}{2}$, and we also assume $\alpha_{i,(n+r-2i+2)/2} = 0$ for $i \geq \frac{n+r+2}{2}$. Moreover if we set the initial term as

$$\alpha_{0,(n+r+2)/2} = 4^{\frac{n+r}{2}} \prod_{\nu=\frac{n-r+2}{2}}^n \nu,$$

then $\alpha_{i,(n+r-2i+2)/2}$ will be positive integers for all $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n+r}{2}$. Hence we may take the highest weight vector u_s to be

$$u_s = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+r}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}-j},$$

where p_i is the positive integer given by

$$p_i = 4^{\frac{n+r}{2}-i} \frac{n+r+2}{n+r-2i+2} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 \prod_{\nu=i}^{\frac{n+r-2}{2}} (n-\nu),$$

where $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n+r}{2}$ and $0 \leq s = -r-2 \leq n-2$, and if $i = 0$, we define

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (n+r-2j)^2 := 1.$$

Now observe that if

$$w = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \gamma_{ik} v_i \otimes w_k,$$

a weight vector with nonnegative coefficients $\gamma_{ik} \in \mathbb{N}$, then setting $v_{-1} = 0$ and $w_{-1} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} fw &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \gamma_{ik} (f v_i \otimes w_k + v_i \otimes f w_k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \gamma_{ik} (i+1) v_{i+1} \otimes w_k + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=s}} \gamma_{ik} v_i \otimes w_{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k+2=s}} (i\gamma_{i-1,k} + \gamma_{i,k-1}) v_i \otimes w_k, \end{aligned}$$

which also has coefficients $i\gamma_{i-1,k} + \gamma_{i,k-1}$ as non-negative integers. Hence by induction, every vector $f^l u_s$ has non-negative coefficients in front of the summands $v_i \otimes w_k$. In particular,

$$u_{-s-2} = f^{s+1} u_s := \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} q_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j}, \quad (21)$$

where q_j are non-negative integers and $n-2i-2k-2 = -s-2$. The fact that the coefficients above are nonnegative integers tells us that we are dealing with an additive category.

Now for the comultiplication $\Delta : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given by $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, we get

$$\Delta(f^{s+1}) = \Delta(f)^{s+1} = (f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f)^{s+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+1} \binom{s+1}{k} f^k \otimes f^{s+1-k}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-s-2} &= f^{s+1} u_s = \sum_{k=0}^{s+1} \binom{s+1}{k} f^k \otimes f^{s+1-k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+r}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}-j} \right) \\ &= p_0 v_0 \otimes f^{s+1} w_{\frac{n+r+2}{2}} + q_1 v_1 \otimes w_{\frac{n+s}{2}} + \cdots + q_{\frac{n+s}{2}} v_{\frac{n+s}{2}} \otimes w_1 \\ &= p_0 v_0 \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}} + q_1 v_1 \otimes w_{\frac{n+s}{2}} + \cdots + q_{\frac{n+s}{2}} v_{\frac{n+s}{2}} \otimes w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Given the commutator $[e, f^{s+1}] = (s+1)f^s(h-s)$, one of course has $eu_{-s-2} = 0$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} eu_{-s-2} &= p_0 v_0 \otimes ew_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}} + \cdots + q_i (ev_i \otimes w_{\frac{n+s-2i+2}{2}} + v_i \otimes ew_{\frac{n+s-2i+2}{2}}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + q_{\frac{n+s}{2}} ev_{\frac{n+s}{2}} \otimes w_1 \\ &= p_0 v_0 \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}} + \cdots + q_i (n-i+1)v_{i-1} \otimes w_{\frac{n+s-2i+2}{2}} \\ &\quad - q_i \left(\frac{n+s-2i+2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n+s-2i}{2} \right) v_i \otimes w_{\frac{n+s-2i}{2}} + q_{i+1} (n-i)v_i \otimes w_{\frac{n+s-2i}{2}} \\ &\quad - q_{i+1} \left(\frac{n+s-2i}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n+s-2i-2}{2} \right) v_{i+1} \otimes w_{\frac{n+s-2i-2}{2}} \\ &\quad + \cdots + q_{\frac{n+s}{2}} \left(\frac{n-s+2}{2} \right) v_{\frac{n+s-2}{2}} \otimes w_1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us

$$q_{i+1}(n-i) = q_i \left(\frac{n+s-2i+2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n+s-2i}{2} \right) = q_i(k+1)k$$

since $k = \frac{n+s-2i}{2}$. Since $q_0 = p_0$, we have $q_i = p_i$ for all i . □

Proposition 2.9. *For $s \in I'$, there is a vector in the tensor product $L_n \otimes V_0$ of the form*

$$a_{-s-2} := \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} q_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j} \quad (22)$$

of weight $-s-2$ which generates the projective submodule T_s , where for $0 \leq i \leq (n+s)/2$, q_i 's are positive integers satisfying the relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= q_{i-2}(i-1)ik(k+1)^2(k+2) - q_{i-1} \left(ik(k+1)(2i(n+2-i) - (n+2) - 2k^2) \right) \\ &\quad + q_i \left((i(n-i+1) - k(k-1))^2 - ik(k+1)(n-i+1) - (i+1)(k-1)k(n-i) \right) \\ &\quad + q_{i+1}(n-i) \left(n+2i(n-i) - 2(k-1)^2 \right) + q_{i+2}(n-i-1)(n-i), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $k = (n - 2i + s + 2)/2$.

Proof. We want to find a weight vector a_{-s-2} of weight $-s-2$ such that $(\Omega - c)^2.a_{-s-2} = 0$ but $(\Omega - c).a_{-s-2} \neq 0$, where $c = s(s+2)$, since such an a_{-s-2} would be a generator of T_s as a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. Similar to the calculation for the highest weight vector of a Verma module, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega.(v_i \otimes w_k) &= (4fe + h^2 + 2h).(v_i \otimes w_k) \\ &= -4k(k-1)(i+1)v_{i+1} \otimes w_{k-1} + 4(n-i+1)v_{i-1} \otimes w_{k+1} \\ &\quad + (4(n-i+1)i + (n-2i-4k+2)(n-2i))v_i \otimes w_k.\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_s = s(s+2) = (n-2i-2k)(n-2i-2k+2) = (n-2i)^2 - 4k(n-2i) + 4k^2 + 2(n-2i-2k)$ and $c_s = c_{-s-2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\Omega - c_s).(v_i \otimes w_k) &= 4(i(n-i+1) - k(k-1))v_i \otimes w_k - 4k(k-1)(i+1)v_{i+1} \otimes w_{k-1} \\ &\quad + 4(n-i+1)v_{i-1} \otimes w_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now as

$$a_{-s-2} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik}(v_i \otimes w_k)$$

is a weight vector of weight $-s-2$ in the projective module T_{-s-2} , we want to show that there exist coefficients β_{ik} that are integers and such that a_{-s-2} is a generator of T_{-s-2} . So as mentioned above we want $(\Omega - c_s).a_{-s-2} \neq 0$ but $(\Omega - c_s)^2.a_{-s-2} = 0$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Omega - c_s).a_{-s-2} &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik} \left(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1) \right) v_i \otimes w_k \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1, k \geq 1 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik} (4k)(k-1)(i+1) v_{i+1} \otimes w_{k-1} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik} 4(n-i+1) v_{i-1} \otimes w_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik} \left(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1) \right) v_i \otimes w_k \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i' \leq n, k' \geq 0 \\ n-2i'-2k'=-s-2}} \beta_{i'-1, k'+1} (4(k'+1))(k')(i') v_{i'} \otimes w_{k'} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i'' \leq n-1, k'' \geq 1 \\ n-2i''-2k''=-s-2}} \beta_{i''+1, k''-1} 4(n-i'') v_{i''} \otimes w_{k''} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{ik} \left(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1) \right) v_i \otimes w_k - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{i-1, k+1} 4ki(k+1) v_i \otimes w_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \beta_{i+1, k-1} 4(n-i) v_i \otimes w_k \quad \text{since } \beta_{-1, k+1} := 0 \text{ and } \beta_{i+1, -1} := 0 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \left(\beta_{ik} (4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1)) - \beta_{i-1, k+1} 4ki(k+1) + \beta_{i+1, k-1} 4(n-i) \right) v_i \otimes w_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $i' = i+1$, $k' = k-1$, $i'' = i-1$, and $k'' = k+1$ hold in the second equality.

Let $\zeta_{ik} := \beta_{ik}(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1)) - \beta_{i-1,k+1}4ki(k+1) + \beta_{i+1,k-1}4(n-i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (\Omega - c_s)^2 \cdot a_{-s-2} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \left(\zeta_{ik}(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1)) - \zeta_{i-1,k+1}4ki(k+1) + \zeta_{i+1,k-1}4(n-i) \right) v_i \otimes w_k \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \left(\left(\beta_{ik}(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1)) - \beta_{i-1,k+1}4ki(k+1) + \beta_{i+1,k-1}4(n-i) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(4i(n-i+1) - 4k(k-1) \right) - \left(\beta_{i-1,k+1}(4(i-1)(n-i+2) - 4k(k+1)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \beta_{i-2,k+2}4(k+1)(i-1)(k+2) + \beta_{i,k}4(n-i+1) \right) 4ki(k+1) \\
 &\quad + \left(\beta_{i+1,k-1}(4(i+1)(n-i) - 4(k-1)(k-2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \beta_{i,k}4k(k-1)(i+1) + \beta_{i+2,k-2}4(n-i-1) \right) \cdot 4(n-i) \Big) \cdot v_i \otimes w_k \\
 &= 16 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \\ n-2i-2k=-s-2}} \left(\beta_{i-2,k+2}(i-1)ik(k+1)^2(k+2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \beta_{i-1,k+1}(ik(k+1)(2i(n+2-i) - (n+2) - 2k^2)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \beta_{ik} \left(\left(i(n-i+1) - k(k-1) \right)^2 - ik(k+1)(n-i+1) - (i+1)(k-1)k(n-i) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \beta_{i+1,k-1}(n-i) \left(n+2i(n-i) - 2(k-1)^2 \right) + \beta_{i+2,k-2}(n-i-1)(n-i) \right) v_i \otimes w_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

This means the coefficient of each $v_i \otimes w_k$ must equal zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \beta_{i-2,k+2}(i-1)ik(k+1)^2(k+2) - \beta_{i-1,k+1} \left(ik(k+1)(2i(n+2-i) - (n+2) - 2k^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{ik} \left(\left(i(n-i+1) - k(k-1) \right)^2 - ik(k+1)(n-i+1) - (i+1)(k-1)k(n-i) \right) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{i+1,k-1}(n-i) \left(n+2i(n-i) - 2(k-1)^2 \right) + \beta_{i+2,k-2}(n-i-1)(n-i)
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, $k \geq 0$, and $n-2i-2k = -s-2$. Note that $n+s$ must be even since $n+s = 2(i+k-1)$.

When $k=0$, we have $i = \frac{n+s+2}{2}$ and $(i(n-i+1))^2\beta_{i0} = 0$. Since $s \in I'$, $0 \leq s \leq n-2$ if n is even or $1 \leq s \leq n-2$ if n is odd. So $i \neq 0$ and $i \leq n$. Thus, $\beta_{i0} = 0$ for all i .

Now, provided $2 \leq i < \frac{n+s+2}{2}$ (with $n-2i-2k = -s-2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta_{i-2, \frac{n+s+6-2i}{2}} \\
 &= \frac{16}{i(i-1)(n+s+6-2i)(n+s+4-2i)^2(n+s+2-2i)} \left(\beta_{i-1, \frac{n+s+4-2i}{2}} \cdot \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{i(n+s+2-2i)(n+s+4-2i)}{4} \left(2i(n-i+2) - (n+2) - 2 \left(\frac{n+s+2-2i}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta_{i, \frac{n+s+2-2i}{2}} \left(\left(i(n-i+1) - \frac{(n+s+2-2i)(n+s-2i)}{4} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{n+s+2-2i}{4} (i(n-i+1)(n+s+4-2i) + (n+s-2i)(i+1)(n-i)) \right) \\
& - \beta_{i+1, \frac{n+s-2i}{2}} (n-i) \left(n+2i(n-i) - \frac{(n+s-2i)^2}{2} \right) - \beta_{i+2, \frac{n+s-2-2i}{2}} (n-i-1)(n-i).
\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 1$, $i = \frac{n+s}{2}$ and the recursion in (24) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 3(n+s-2)(n+s)\beta_{\frac{n+s-4}{2},3} - \frac{n+s}{2}(n^2+2n-s^2+4s-8)\beta_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2} \\
&+ \frac{(n-s+2)(n+s)}{16}(n^2+2n-s^2+2s-8)\beta_{\frac{n+s}{2},1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the recurrence relation in Equation (20). Setting

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{\frac{n+s-4}{2},3} &= \alpha_{\frac{n+s-4}{2},3}, \\
\beta_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2} &= \alpha_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2} = \frac{12}{(n-s+4)}\alpha_{\frac{n+s-4}{2},3}, \\
\beta_{\frac{n+s}{2},1} &= \alpha_{\frac{n+s}{2},1} = \frac{4}{(n-s+2)}\alpha_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2} = \frac{48}{(n-s+2)(n-s+4)}\alpha_{\frac{n+s-4}{2},3},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the relation

$$(n+s-2) - \frac{2(n^2+2n-s^2+4s-8)}{(n-s+4)} + \frac{(n^2+2n-s^2+2s-8)}{(n-s+4)} = 0$$

as one should for the expansion of the highest weight vector u_{-s-2} .

Now pick $\beta_{i,k}$ as rational numbers so as to satisfy (25) with $\beta_{\frac{n+s}{2},1} = \alpha_{\frac{n+s}{2},1}$ but $\beta_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2} \neq \alpha_{\frac{n+s-2}{2},2}$. This will give us a generator a_{-s-2} with rational coefficients that satisfies

$$(\Omega - c_{-s-2})^2 \cdot a_{-s-2} = 0 \text{ and } (\Omega - c_{-s-2}) \cdot a_{-s-2} \neq 0.$$

After clearing denominators, we may assume $\beta_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In conclusion, we have

$$u_{-s-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} p_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j} \quad (26)$$

with $p_j > 0$ integers and

$$a_{-s-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} q_j v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j} \quad (27)$$

with $q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now there exists $m > 0$ such that

$$a_{-s-2} + m u_{-s-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+s}{2}} (q_j + m p_j) v_j \otimes w_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}-j} \quad (28)$$

and $q_j + mp_j > 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n+s}{2}$. Thus we can replace a_{-s-2} with $a_{-s-2} + mu_{-s-2}$ as the following conditions:

$(\Omega - c_{-s-2})^2 \cdot (a_{-s-2} + mu_{-s-2}) = 0$ and $(\Omega - c_{-s-2}) \cdot (a_{-s-2} + mu_{-s-2}) = (\Omega - c_{-s-2}) \cdot a_{-s-2} \neq 0$ are still satisfied. $\square$

Since the “new” vector $a_{-s-2} + mu_{-s-2}$ will have positive integer coefficients, this vector will correspond to the equivalence class of a sum of exterior tensor products of modules of the form

$$(M_0 \boxtimes N_{\frac{n+s+2}{2}})^{\oplus(q_0+mp_0)} \oplus (M_1 \boxtimes N_{\frac{n+s}{2}})^{\oplus(q_1+mp_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus (M_{\frac{n+s}{2}} \boxtimes N_1)^{\oplus(q_{\frac{n+s}{2}}+mp_{\frac{n+s}{2}})}$$

in $\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$.

Corollary 2.10. *The set $\{f^k a_{-r-2} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \cup \{f^k u_r : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a basis of the projective module T_r . Consequently, T_r has a basis consisting of nonnegative sums of vectors of the form $v_i \otimes w_l$.*

Proof. It follows from [Enr79] that the above set is a basis. The fact that the coefficients can be taken to be positive integers is what is proven above. $\square$

Remark 2.11. *If one considers the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of $L_1 \otimes L_1 \cong L_2 \oplus L_0$ with respect to the basis $v_i \otimes v_j$, we have a highest weight vector of weight 0 of the form*

$$u_0 = v_0 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_0. \quad (29)$$

In particular, the coefficients of the tensors $v_i \otimes v_j$ in the decomposition of a highest weight vector inside of $L_m \otimes L_n$ can be negative. This is in contrast to Corollary 2.10.

2.2. Affine Hecke algebras. In this section, we follow the notation in [CR08]. Assume throughout that $\mathbb{k}$ is a field and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$.

2.2.1. Nondegenerate affine Hecke algebras. Here we take $q \neq 1$. Recall the affine Hecke algebra $H_n = H_n(q)$ is the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}$$

with defining relations

$$\text{eigenvalue relations:} \quad (30)$$

$$(T_i + 1)(T_i - q) = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\text{braid relations:} \quad (32)$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \quad (33)$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad (34)$$

$$\text{Laurent relations:} \quad (35)$$

$$X_i X_i^{-1} = X_i^{-1} X_i = 1, \quad (36)$$

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \quad (37)$$

$$\text{action relations:} \quad (38)$$

$$X_i T_j = T_j X_i \quad \text{if } i - j \neq 0, 1, \quad (39)$$

$$T_i X_i T_i = q X_{i+1}. \quad (40)$$

The subalgebra of $H_n(q)$ generated by $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ is denoted by $H_n^A(q)$ which is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The subalgebra of Laurent polynomials in $X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}$ is denoted by $P_n = \mathbb{k}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$.

2.2.2. Degenerate affine Hecke algebras. Here one sets $q = 1$. Recall the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $H_n(1)$ is the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with generators

$$T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, X_1, \dots, X_n$$

with defining relations

$$T_i^2 = 1, \tag{41}$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \tag{42}$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \tag{43}$$

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \tag{44}$$

$$X_i T_j = T_j X_i \quad \text{if } i - j \neq 0, 1, \tag{45}$$

$$X_{i+1} T_i = T_i X_i + 1. \tag{46}$$

The subalgebra of $H_n(1)$ generated by $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ is denoted by $H_n^A(1)$ which is isomorphic to the group algebra of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The subalgebra of polynomials in $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is denoted by $P_n = \mathbb{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

To obtain the relation $X_{i+1} T_i = T_i X_i + 1$ from $T_i X_i T_i = q X_{i+1}$ in the nondegenerate setting, we substitute X_i with the new generator $\overline{X}_i = \frac{1 - X_i}{1 - q}$ into both sides of $T_i X_i T_i = q X_{i+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i X_i T_i &= T_i (1 - (1 - q) \overline{X}_i) T_i = T_i^2 - (1 - q) T_i \overline{X}_i T_i = q - (1 - q) T_i - (1 - q) T_i \overline{X}_i T_i \\ &= q(1 - (1 - q) \overline{X}_{i+1}) = q - q(1 - q) \overline{X}_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

since $T_i^2 = q - (1 - q) T_i$. This means

$$T_i + T_i \overline{X}_i T_i = q \overline{X}_{i+1}.$$

Multiply on the right by T_i and let q approach 1 to obtain:

$$1 + T_i \overline{X}_i = \overline{X}_{i+1} T_i,$$

where we have applied the relation $T_i^2 = 1$ on the left-hand side.

3. WEAK $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ AND $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -CATEGORIFICATION

Let e, f, h be the usual basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In order to introduce an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification of a Verma module, we modify the definition in [CR08] and make it applicable to Enright's category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category and $\mathbb{k}$ is a commutative ring. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is called $\mathbb{k}$ -linear if the morphism sets $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ have the $\mathbb{k}$ -module structure for all $x, y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ and compositions of morphisms are $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear maps. Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{A}$ be an Artinian and Noetherian $\mathbb{k}$ -linear abelian category.

Recall that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category, then the *split Grothendieck group* of $\mathcal{A}$ is the free abelian group $K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{A})$ with generators $[A]$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and relations $[A] = [A'] + [A'']$ if $A \cong A' \oplus A''$.

Definition 3.1. Let $n \geq -1$. A weak $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[n(n+2)]$ -categorification of a module M from the category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[n(n+2)]$ consists of the data of a pair (E, F) of additive endo-functors of a category $\mathcal{A}$ such that:

(1) the following identity holds

$$B^2 + C^2 + 2n(n+2)C + (n(n+2))^2 I \cong BC + CB + 2n(n+2)B, \quad (47)$$

where

$$B = (EF)^2 + (FE)^2 + 2EF + 2FE, \quad C = EF^2E + FE^2F,$$

and I is the identity functor,

(2) $-e = [-E]$ acts locally nilpotently on the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation $M = \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_{\oplus}(\mathcal{A})$ and f acts as $[F]$,

(3) M is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module,

(4) the classes of the indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{A}$ are weight vectors,

(5) $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_{\mu}$ is a weight module, where $M_{\mu} := \{m \in M : [e, f]m = \mu m\}$.

Remark 3.2. Recall that $\text{Hom}(EM, N) \cong \text{Hom}(M, FN)$ for E and F adjoint functors, but $EM = 0$ for a module M corresponding to a highest weight vector, but $\text{Hom}(M, FN) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Thus our functors E and F are not adjoint for if E and F were adjoint, then we would have an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}_n}(FU_{-n}, U_{-n-2}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}_n}(U_{-n}, EU_{-n-2})$. However, $EU_{-n-2} = 0$ while $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}_n}(FU_{-n}, U_{-n-2})$ contains the identity morphism.

Remark 3.3. The element $f = [F]$ is required to be locally finite in [CR08], but we do not require this condition in Definition 3.1 since f is not locally finite for Verma modules. Moreover, the identity (47) follows from expanding out the equation

$$(\Omega - n(n+2))^2 = 0$$

by moving all summands with a negative coefficient to the right side and then replacing e and f by functors E and F , respectively.

Definition 3.4. An $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification is a weak $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification with the extra data of $X \in \text{End}(F)$ and $T \in \text{End}(F^2)$ such that

- (1) $(\mathbf{1}_F T) \circ (T \mathbf{1}_F) \circ (\mathbf{1}_F T) = (T \mathbf{1}_F) \circ (\mathbf{1}_F T) \circ (T \mathbf{1}_F)$ in $\text{End}(F^3)$,
- (2) $(T + \mathbf{1}_{F^2}) \circ (T - q \mathbf{1}_{F^2}) = 0$ in $\text{End}(F^2)$,
- (3) $T \circ (\mathbf{1}_F X) \circ T = \begin{cases} qX \mathbf{1}_F & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ X \mathbf{1}_F - T & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases}$ in $\text{End}(F^2)$,

We do not assume that $X - a$ is locally nilpotent in Definition 3.4 since $X - a$ is not locally nilpotent on the category $\mathcal{V}_0$.

4. KHOVANOV'S CONSTRUCTION OF THE CATEGORIFICATION $\mathcal{H}$ OF THE HEISENBERG ALGEBRA $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$

In these sections, we will discuss Khovanov and Licata-Savage's categorification of the integral form of the Heisenberg algebra.

4.1. The integral form of the Heisenberg algebra. A Heisenberg algebra is generated by p_i and q_i where i is in the infinite set I , which satisfy the relations:

$$p_i q_j = q_j p_i + \delta_{ij} 1, \quad p_i p_j = p_j p_i, \quad q_i q_j = q_j q_i.$$

Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the unital ring with generators a_n and b_n , where $n \geq 1$, that satisfy the relations:

$$a_n b_m = b_m a_n + b_{m-1} a_{n-1}, \quad a_n a_m = a_m a_n, \quad b_n b_m = b_m b_n,$$

where we set $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ and $a_n = b_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. Since any products of a_n 's and b_m 's could be rewritten as a linear combination with nonnegative integer coefficients of monomials of the form:

$$b_{m_1} b_{m_2} \cdots b_{m_k} a_{n_1} a_{n_2} \cdots a_{n_r}, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_k \text{ and } 1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_r, \quad (48)$$

monomials in (48) form a basis of $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $H = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Writing

$$A(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \cdots, \quad B(u) = 1 + b_1 u + b_2 u^2 + \cdots,$$

$a_n b_m = b_m a_n + b_{m-1} a_{n-1}$ could now be replaced with

$$A(t)B(u) = B(u)A(t)(1 + tu).$$

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(t) &= 1 + tA'(-t)A(-t) + \cdots \\ &= 1 + \tilde{a}_1 t + \tilde{a}_2 t^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots$ generate the same subalgebra generated by $a_1, a_2, \dots$ and that

$$\tilde{A}(t)B(u) = B(u)\tilde{A}(t) + \frac{tu}{1 - tu}.$$

Equating the coefficients, we obtain

$$\tilde{a}_n b_m = b_m \tilde{a}_n + \delta_{n,m} 1, \quad \tilde{a}_n \tilde{a}_m = \tilde{a}_m \tilde{a}_n, \quad b_n b_m = b_m b_n. \quad (49)$$

So the algebra H is isomorphic to the algebra generated by $\tilde{a}_n$ and b_m , where $n, m > 0$, together with the relations in (49). This implies H is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra and $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is its integral form.

Note that the one variable Heisenberg algebra has generators p and q with one defining relation $pq - qp = 1$, which appears as the algebra of operators in the quantization of the harmonic oscillator.

5. FUTURE WORK

In our second follow-up paper, we will address in more detail why we get a weak $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorification.

Moreover we will address the following of problems as a part of our future work.

- (i). For a positive integer n , one has $V_n/V_{-n-2} \cong L_n$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. It follows that the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{-n-2} \longrightarrow V_n \longrightarrow L_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

of modules exists, which is called the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) resolution of the $n + 1$ -dimensional module L_n . We cannot use $\Omega - n(n + 2)$ in the categorification construction because the functor sends all objects to the zero object, so this Casimir cannot be used to construct kernels and cokernels. Thus we need to find

another module homomorphism $V_n \rightarrow L_n$. The canonical map for $V_n \rightarrow L_n$ is to send the highest weight vector in V_n to the highest weight vector in L_n such that for $f^i u_s \in V_s \subseteq L_n \boxtimes V_0$ and $F^i U_s \in \mathcal{V}_s \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_0$ with $f^{s+j} u_s \mapsto F^{s+j} U_s$, and $f^{s+j} u_s \in V_{-s-2}$ for all $j \geq 1$. So our first question is stated as follows:

if categorification sends $f^i u_s \mapsto F^i U_s$, then find corresponding vector in L_s and object in $\mathcal{L}_s$ using [CR08].

These leads us to the question of whether it makes sense to talk about a quotient category $\mathcal{V}_n/\mathcal{V}_{-n-2}$ and if so, does it have the structure of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification that is naturally equivalent to the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification $\mathcal{L}_n$?

- (ii). As Enright in [Enr79] shows that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_r \longrightarrow T_r \longrightarrow V_{-r-2} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[r(r+2)]$ -modules, construct a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-r-2} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[r(r+2)]$ -categorifications. Construct a functor $\mathcal{T}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-r-2}$, and then $\mathcal{V}_r \cong \ker(\mathcal{T}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{-r-2})$. We believe that $\text{Im}(\Omega - r(r+2)) \cong \mathcal{V}_{-r-2}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_r$ is a monoidal, additive category, the notion of subtraction does not exist. Enright in [Enr79] uses the decomposition in (1) in an important way. We leave it as an open problem on how much of Enright's paper can be categorified.

- (iii). Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Deligne in [Del90] introduces the notion of a tensor product of abelian categories and shows $\mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_n$ exists and is an abelian category. Then one could ask for an equivalence of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorifications

$$\mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_n \cong \mathcal{L}_{m+n} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{|m+n|}. \quad (50)$$

- (iv). Since we now have $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorifications of V_s and T_r , does there exist a decomposition of categories whose decategorification leads to (16):

$$L_n \otimes V_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{r \in I'} T_{\lambda+r} \oplus \bigoplus_{s \in I'''} V_{\lambda+s} \quad (51)$$

for $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$?

- (v). The category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is closed under tensoring by L_n , T_r and V_s and so it leads to the question of what are the tensor product decomposition theorems for the tensor product of two $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -categorifications, such as

$$\mathcal{L}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{T}_\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_\mu, \quad \mathcal{V}_\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_\mu, \quad \mathcal{T}_\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{T}_\mu? \quad (52)$$

- (vi). How does one define the category $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_n, \mathcal{V}_m)$, etc. and once one has defined this, can we prove results like adjoint associativity

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_k \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{V}_m) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_n, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_k, \mathcal{V}_m))$$

or

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_k, \mathcal{L}_0) \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_m \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_k, \mathcal{V}_m)?$$

- (vii). Since the category of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules is an additive monoidal category, does the sum of the categorifications $\mathcal{T}_r$ and $\mathcal{V}_s$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a module category in the sense of Viktor Ostrik (cf. [Ost03])?

- (viii). There are a number of tensor product decomposition theorems for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -modules in [HT92]. Do these tensor product decomposition theorems categorify to interesting $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -equivalence of categorifications?

REFERENCES

- [Ade73] Murray Adelman, *Abelian categories over additive ones*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **3** (1973), 103–117.
- [Ben07] Nick Benes, *Decompositions of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ modules*, Master of Science thesis at the College of Charleston, 2007, pp. 1–38.
- [CG10] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010, Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [CR08] Joseph Chuang and Raphaël Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 1, 245–298.
- [Del90] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 111–195.
- [Enr79] Thomas J. Enright, *On the fundamental series of a real semisimple Lie algebra: their irreducibility, resolutions and multiplicity formulae*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 1, 1–82.
- [FKS06] Igor Frenkel, Mikhail Khovanov, and Catharina Stroppel, *A categorification of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and their tensor products*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **12** (2006), no. 3–4, 379–431.
- [HT92] Roger Howe and Eng-Chye Tan, *Nonabelian harmonic analysis*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, Applications of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.
- [Hum78] James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978, Second printing, revised.
- [Kho10] Mikhail Khovanov, *Heisenberg algebra and a graphical calculus*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1009.3295 (2010).
- [Lau11] Aaron D. Lauda, *Categorified quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and equivariant cohomology of iterated flag varieties*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011), no. 2, 253–282.
- [LS13] Anthony Licata and Alistair Savage, *Hecke algebras, finite general linear groups, and Heisenberg categorification*, Quantum Topol. **4** (2013), no. 2, 125–185.
- [NV16] Gregoire Naisse and Pedro Vaz, *An approach to categorification of Verma modules*, arXiv preprint arXiv: 1603.01555 (2016).
- [Ost03] Victor Ostrik, *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 177–206.
- [Sega] G. B. Segal, *Loop groups*, Workshop Bonn 1984 (Bonn, 1984), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1111, pp. 155–168.
- [Segb] Graeme Segal, *Representations of loop groups. I. Factorization theorems*, Topological quantum field theories and geometry of loop spaces (Budapest, 1989), pp. 16–25.
- [Segc] ———, *Representations of loop groups. II. The determinant bundle*, Topological quantum field theories and geometry of loop spaces (Budapest, 1989), pp. 26–35.
- [WWW⁺13] Na Wang, Zhixi Wang, Ke Wu, Jie Yang, and Zifeng Yang, *Categorification of the Heisenberg algebra and MacMahon function*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.4686v2 (2013).

CATEGORIFICATION OF VERMA AND INDECOMPOSABLE PROJECTIVE MODULES FOR $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ 23

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY, WEST POINT,
NY 10996 USA

E-mail address: meeseongim@gmail.com