

APPROACHING CENTRAL PROJECTIONS IN AF-ALGEBRAS

DANIELE MUNDICI

ABSTRACT. Let A be a unital AF-algebra whose Murray-von Neumann order of projections is a lattice. For any two equivalence classes $[p]$ and $[q]$ of projections we write $[p] \sqsubseteq [q]$ iff for every primitive ideal \mathfrak{p} of A either $p/\mathfrak{p} \preceq q/\mathfrak{p} \preceq (1-q)/\mathfrak{p}$ or $p/\mathfrak{p} \succeq q/\mathfrak{p} \succeq (1-q)/\mathfrak{p}$. We prove that p is central iff $[p]$ is \sqsubseteq -minimal iff $[p]$ is a characteristic element in $K_0(A)$. If, in addition, A is liminary, then each extremal state of $K_0(A)$ is discrete, $K_0(A)$ has general comparability, and A comes equipped with a centripetal transformation $[p] \mapsto [p]^\triangleright$ that moves p towards the center. The number $n(p)$ of \triangleright -steps needed by $[p]$ to reach the center has the monotonicity property $[p] \sqsubseteq [q] \Rightarrow n(p) \leq n(q)$. Our proofs combine the K_0 -theoretic version of Elliott's classification, the categorical equivalence Γ between MV-algebras and unital ℓ -groups, and Łoś ultraproduct theorem for first-order logic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every C^* -algebra A in this paper will be unital and separable. The ideals of A will be closed and two-sided. We let $\text{proj}(A)$ be the set of projections of A , and $\text{prim}(A)$ be the space of primitive ideals of A with the Jacobson topology, [14, §3.1].

Following [3], by an *AF-algebra* we mean the norm closure of the union of an ascending sequence of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, all with the same unit.

Two projections p, q of AF algebra A are (Murray-von Neumann) *equivalent*, in symbols $p \sim q$, if there is an element $x \in A$ (necessarily a partial isometry) such that $p = x^*x$ and $q = xx^*$. We write $p \preceq q$ if p is equivalent to a subprojection $r \leq q$. The reflexive and transitive \preceq -relation is preserved under equivalence, and \preceq has the antisymmetry property $p \preceq q \preceq p \Rightarrow p \sim q$, because A is stably finite, [13, Theorem IV.2.3]. The resulting ordering on equivalence classes of projections in A is called the *Murray-von Neumann order* of A .

Let $L(A)$ be the set of equivalence classes $[p]$ of projections p of A . Elliott's partial addition in $L(A)$ is defined by setting $[p] + [q] = [p + q]$ whenever p and q are orthogonal. One then obtains a countable partially ordered “local” semigroup, which by Elliott's classification [17], is a complete classifier of AF-algebras. The adjective “local” means that the addition operation in $L(A)$ is not always defined. $L(A)$ inherits a partial order from the \preceq relation, and Elliott's partial addition is monotone with respect to this order.

When the Murray-von Neumann order of an AF-algebra A is a lattice we say that A is an *AF ℓ -algebra*.

The theory of AF ℓ -algebras is grounded in the following result, which will also be basic for the present paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be an AF algebra and $L(A)$ the Elliott partially ordered local semigroup of A .*

Date: March 1, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L35. Secondary: 06D35, 06F20, 19A49, 46L80, 47L40.

Key words and phrases. AF algebra, Elliott classification, Elliott local semigroup, Murray-von Neumann order, Grothendieck K_0 group, MV algebra, liminary C^* -algebra, Effros-Shen algebra, Behnke-Leptin algebras, Farey AF algebra.

(i) [27] *Elliott's partially defined addition $+$ in $L(A)$ has at most one extension to an associative, commutative, monotone operation $\oplus: L(A)^2 \rightarrow L(A)$ satisfying the following condition: For each projection $p \in A$, $[1_A - p]$ is the smallest element $[q] \in L(A)$ with $[p] \oplus [q] = [1_A]$. The unique semigroup $(S(A), \oplus)$ expanding the Elliott local semigroup $L(A)$ exists iff A is an AFL -algebra.*

(ii) [17] *Let A_1 and A_2 be AFL -algebras. For each $j = 1, 2$ let \oplus_j be the extension of Elliott's addition given by (i). Then the semigroups $(S(A_1), \oplus_1)$ and $(S(A_2), \oplus_2)$ are isomorphic iff so are A_1 and A_2 .*

(iii) [27] *For any AFL -algebra A the semigroup $(S(A), \oplus)$ has the structure of a monoid $(E(A), 0, \neg, \oplus)$ with an involution operation $\neg[p] = [1_A - p]$. The Murray-von Neumann lattice order of equivalence classes of projections $[p], [q]$ is definable by the involutive monoidal operations of $E(A)$, upon setting $[p] \vee [q] = \neg(\neg[p] \oplus [q]) \oplus [q]$ and $[p] \wedge [q] = \neg(\neg[p] \vee \neg[q])$ for all $[p], [q] \in E(A)$.*

(iv) [22, Theorem 3.9] *Up to isomorphism, the map $A \rightarrow (E(A), 0, \neg, \oplus)$ is a one-one correspondence between AFL -algebras and countable abelian monoids with a unary operation \neg satisfying the equations:*

$$\neg\neg x = x, \quad \neg 0 \oplus x = \neg 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x.$$

These involutive monoids are known as MV-algebras. Let Γ be the categorical equivalence between unital ℓ -groups and MV-algebras. Then $(E(A), 0, \neg, \oplus)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(K_0(A))$.

(v) (From (ii)-(iv) via [16].) *For any AFL -algebra A the dimension group $K_0(A)$ (which is short for $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A])$) is a countable lattice ordered abelian group with a distinguished strong order unit (for short, a unital ℓ -group). All countable unital ℓ -groups arise in this way. Let A and A' be AFL -algebras. Then $K_0(A)$ and $K_0(A')$ are isomorphic as unital ℓ -groups iff A and A' are isomorphic.*

We refer to [10] and [26] for background on MV-algebras. The following characterization ([26, Proposition 4.13]) will find repeated use throughout this paper:

Proposition 1.2. *Let J be an ideal (= kernel of a homomorphism) of an MV-algebra B . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The quotient B/J is an MV-chain, meaning that the underlying order of B/J is total.*
- (ii) *Whenever J coincides with the intersection of two ideals H and K of B , then either $J = H$ or $J = K$.*

An ideal of B will be said to be *prime* if it satisfies the two equivalent conditions above. For every MV-algebra B we let

$$\text{Spec}(B) \tag{1}$$

denote the space of prime ideals of B endowed with the Zariski (hull-kernel) topology, ([26, Definition 4.14]).

Corollary 1.3. *In any AFL -algebra A we have:*

- (i) *K_0 induces an isomorphism*

$$\eta: \mathfrak{i} \mapsto K_0(\mathfrak{i}) \cap E(A)$$

between the lattice of ideals of A and the lattice of ideals of $E(A)$. Under this isomorphism, primitive ideals of A correspond to prime ideals of $E(A)$.

- (ii) *The isomorphism η is a homeomorphism of the space $\text{prim}(A)$ of primitive ideals of A with the Jacobson topology, onto the space $\text{Spec}(E(A))$ of prime ideals of $E(A)$.*

(iii) Suppose I is an ideal of the countable MV-algebra B . Let the AF ℓ -algebra A be defined by $E(A) = B$, in view of Theorem 1.1(iv). Let \mathfrak{i} be the ideal of A defined by $\eta(\mathfrak{i}) = I$. Then B/I is isomorphic to $E(A/\mathfrak{i})$.

(iv) For every ideal \mathfrak{i} of A , the map

$$\left[\frac{p}{\mathfrak{i}} \right] \mapsto \frac{[p]}{\eta(\mathfrak{i})}, \quad p \in \text{proj}(A)$$

is an isomorphism of $E(A/\mathfrak{i})$ onto $E(A)/\eta(\mathfrak{i})$. In particular, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ the MV-algebra $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is totally ordered and A/\mathfrak{p} has comparability of projections in the sense of Murray-von Neumann.

(v) The map $J \mapsto J \cap \Gamma(K_0(A))$ is an isomorphism of the lattice of ideals of $K_0(A)$ (i.e., kernels of unit preserving ℓ -homomorphisms of $K_0(A)$ into unital ℓ -groups) onto the lattice of ideals of $E(A)$. Further,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{K_0(A)}{J}\right) \cong \frac{\Gamma(K_0(A))}{J \cap \Gamma(K_0(A))}.$$

Proof. (i) From [13, Proposition IV.5.1] and [19, p.196, 21H] one gets an isomorphism between the lattice of ideals of A and the lattice of ideals of the ℓ -group $K_0(A)$. The preservation properties of Γ , [10, Theorems 7.2.2, 7.2.4] then yield the desired isomorphism. For the second statement, combine [3, Theorem 3.8] with the characterization given in Proposition 1.2 of prime ideals of an MV-algebra.

(ii) follows from (i), by definition of the topologies of $\text{prim}(A)$ and of $\text{Spec}(E(A))$.

(iii) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Correspondingly ([13, IV.15], [16, Corollary 9.2]) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow 0,$$

whence

$$K_0\left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{i}}\right) \cong \frac{K_0(A)}{K_0(\mathfrak{i})}.$$

The preservation properties of Γ under quotients [10, Theorem 7.2.4], together with Theorem 1.1(iv)-(v) yield

$$E\left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{i}}\right) \cong \Gamma\left(K_0\left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{i}}\right)\right) \cong \frac{\Gamma(K_0(A))}{K_0(\mathfrak{i}) \cap \Gamma(K_0(A))} \cong \frac{E(A)}{\eta(\mathfrak{i})} = \frac{B}{I}.$$

(iv) Combine (i) and (iii) with the preservation properties of K_0 for exact sequences and the preservation properties of Γ under quotients. The MV-algebra $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is totally ordered by Proposition 1.2, because, by (ii), $\eta(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$ whenever $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$. By Theorem 1.1(iv), A/\mathfrak{p} has comparability of projections.

(v) This follows by another application of [10, Theorems 7.2.2, 7.2.4]. \square

2. CENTRAL PROJECTIONS IN AF ℓ -ALGEBRAS

MV-algebras were invented by C.C.Chang [8] to give an algebraic proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms. For any MV-algebra D we let

$$\text{Boole}(D) = \{a \in D \mid a \oplus a = a\}.$$

As observed by Chang in [8, Theorems 1.16-1.17], $\text{Boole}(D)$ is a subalgebra of D which turns out to be a boolean algebra.

The following theorem and its extension Theorem 2.2 make precise the intuition that commutative AF-algebras stand to boolean algebras as AF ℓ -algebras stand to MV-algebras:

Theorem 2.1. *For every projection p of an AF ℓ -algebra A the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $p/\mathfrak{p} \in \{0, 1\} \subseteq A/\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$.
- (ii) $[p] \in \text{Boole}(E(A))$.
- (iii) p is central in A .
- (iv) $[p]$ is a characteristic element of $K_0(A)$, in the sense that $[p] \wedge [1_A - p]$ exists and equals 0, [20, Definition p.127].

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) From the assumption $[p] \oplus [p] = [p]$ it follows that $[p]/P \oplus [p]/P = [p]/P$, whence $[p]/P \in \text{Boole}(E(A)/P) = \{0, 1\}$ for each $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$, because $E(A)/P$ is totally ordered (Proposition 1.2). Let \mathfrak{p} be the primitive ideal of A given by $\eta(\mathfrak{p}) = P$, with η the isomorphism of Corollary 1.3(i). Then $[p/\mathfrak{p}] \in \text{Boole}(E(A/\mathfrak{p})) = \{0, 1\}$, because by Corollary 1.3(iv), $E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E(A)/P$ is totally ordered. Since P is an arbitrary prime ideal of $E(A)$, then \mathfrak{p} is an arbitrary primitive ideal of A . We conclude that $p/\mathfrak{p} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) The hypothesis implies that p/\mathfrak{p} is central in A/\mathfrak{p} for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$. Then p is central in A .

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) By way of contradiction assume p central in A but $[p] \notin \text{Boole}(E(A))$. By [10, Corollary 1.2.14],

$$\bigcap \{P \mid P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))\} = \{0\}.$$

Therefore, for some $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$, $[p]/P$ does not belong to $\text{Boole}(E(A)/P)$. In view of Corollary 1.3(i), let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ be defined by $\eta(\mathfrak{p}) = P$. Then

$$\frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is central and } \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \{0, 1\} \subseteq \frac{A}{\mathfrak{p}}. \quad (2)$$

Claim. The projections p/\mathfrak{p} and $(1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$ of A/\mathfrak{p} are not Murray-von Neumann comparable.

Arguing by way of contradiction, let us suppose p/\mathfrak{p} and $(1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$ are comparable, say,

$$u^*u = \frac{1_A - p}{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad uu^* = \frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}},$$

for some partial isometry $u \in A/\mathfrak{p}$ and $q/\mathfrak{p} \in \text{proj}(A/\mathfrak{p})$. From

$$\frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1_A - p}{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1_A - p}{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1_A - p}{\mathfrak{p}} = u^*uu^*u = u^* \frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}} u \leq u^* \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} u = u^*u \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1_A - p}{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{p}{\mathfrak{p}} = 0,$$

against (2). Our claim is settled.

On the other hand, since P is prime, then $E(A)/P$ is totally ordered, by Proposition 1.2. By Corollary 1.3(iii)-(iv), A/\mathfrak{p} has comparability, which contradicts our claim.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). By Theorem 1.1(iv)-(v) and definition of Γ ([22, Definition 2.4]), we can write $E(A) = \{x \in K_0(A) \mid 0 \leq x \leq u\}$, where u is the order-unit of $K_0(A)$, coinciding with the unit element $1 = [1_A]$ of $E(A)$. By [22, Theorem 2.5] the lattice order of $E(A)$ agrees with the restriction to $E(A)$ of the lattice order of $K_0(A)$. The desired conclusion now follows from [20, Theorem 8.7, p.130]. \square

The ordering \sqsubseteq and the map $\sigma^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The rest of this section is devoted to proving the following extension of Theorem 2.1:

Theorem 2.2. *Assume A is an AFl-algebra. For any $x, y \in E(A)$ let us write $x \sqsubseteq y$ iff for every prime ideal P of $E(A)$*

$$(y/P < \neg y/P \text{ implies } x/P \leq y/P) \text{ and } (y/P > \neg y/P \text{ implies } x/P \geq y/P),$$

with \leq the underlying total order of $E(A)/P$, (Corollary 1.3(i)). Then \sqsubseteq endows $E(A)$ with a partial order (reflexive, transitive, antisymmetric) relation. Further, for every $p \in \text{proj}(A)$, the equivalent conditions (i)-(iv) in Theorem 2.1 are equivalent to $[p]$ being \sqsubseteq -minimal in $E(A)$.

The following transformation will play a key role in the sequel: Let $\tau = \tau(X)$ be an MV-term in the variable X , [10, Definition 1.4.1]. For any MV-algebra B and $a \in B$, by induction on the number of operation symbols in τ let us define

$$a_X = a, \quad a_{\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2} = a_{\tau_1} \oplus a_{\tau_2}, \quad a_{\neg \tau} = \neg a_\tau. \quad (3)$$

This transforms a into an element $a_\tau \in B$. The ambient algebra B will always be clear from the context. For every ideal I of B , induction on the number of operation symbols in τ yields

$$(a/I)_\tau = a_\tau/I. \quad (4)$$

Following [10, p.8], for any two MV-terms ρ, τ we let $\rho \odot \tau$ denote the MV-term $\neg(\neg\rho \oplus \neg\tau)$. Correspondingly, for any two elements a, b of an MV-algebra B we write

$$a \odot b \text{ as an abbreviation of } \neg(\neg a \oplus \neg b). \quad (5)$$

Let Free_1 denote the free one-generator MV-algebra. As a special case of McNaughton representation theorem, ([10, Corollary 3.2.8, Theorem 9.1.5]), Free_1 is the MV-algebra of all *one-variable McNaughton functions*, those continuous piecewise (affine) linear functions $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ whose linear pieces have integer coefficients. Further, the identity function $\pi_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ freely generates Free_1 .

Proposition 2.3. *With the notation of (3) and (5), let the MV-term σ be defined by $\sigma = (X \odot (X \oplus X)) \oplus (X \odot X)$. Let us write σ^* instead of $(\pi_1)_\sigma$.*

- (i) *For all $x \in [0, 1]$, $\sigma^*(x) = (x \odot (x \oplus x)) \oplus (x \odot x) = \min(1, \max(0, 3x))$.*
- (ii) *More generally, for any cardinal $\kappa > 0$, let f belong to the free κ -generator MV-algebra Free_κ (the algebra of McNaughton functions over the Tychonoff cube $[0, 1]^\kappa$, [10, Theorem 9.1.5]). Then $f_\sigma = \sigma^* \circ f$, with \circ denoting composition.*

Proof. (i) A routine verification. See Figure 1. (ii) By induction on the number of operation symbols in an MV-term coding f . \square

Following tradition, by the *standard* MV-algebra $[0, 1]$ we mean the real unit interval equipped by the operations $\neg y = 1 - y$ and $y \oplus z = \min(1, y + z)$. There will never be danger of confusion between the standard MV-algebra and the real unit interval.

Proposition 2.4. *For any MV-algebra D and $c \in D$, $c_\sigma \sqsubseteq c$.*

Proof. Consider the conjunction ψ of the following statements in the language of MV-algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \text{ (IF } (z < \neg z) \text{ THEN } (z \odot (z \oplus z)) \oplus (z \odot z) \leq z) \\ \forall z \text{ (IF } (z > \neg z) \text{ THEN } (z \odot (z \oplus z)) \oplus (z \odot z) \geq z), \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 1. The graph of the function $\sigma^*(x) = (x \odot (x \oplus x)) \oplus (x \odot x) = \min(1, \max(0, 3x))$, and of some of its constituents. $\sigma^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a member of the free one-generator MV-algebra $Free_1$ consisting of all one-variable McNaughton functions. As usual, $x \odot x$ is an abbreviation of $\neg(\neg x \oplus \neg x)$.

in first-order logic with the usual connectives, quantifiers and identity. Here \leq is the natural order of any MV-algebra: $a \leq b$ iff $\neg a \oplus b = 1$, [10, p.9]. ψ is satisfied by the standard MV-algebra. This is so because ψ says $\forall z (z \odot (z \oplus z)) \oplus (z \odot z) \sqsubseteq z$. i.e., $\forall z z_\sigma \sqsubseteq z$, which is easily verified in $[0, 1]$.

By Łoś ultraproduct theorem [9, Theorem 4.1.9, Corollary 4.1.10], ψ is satisfied by every ultrapower $[0, 1]^*$ of the standard MV-algebra.

By Di Nola's representation theorem, [10, 9.5.1], every MV-chain is embeddable in some ultrapower of $[0, 1]$. Since ψ is a universal sentence, we have thus shown:

$$\text{for each element } z \text{ of every MV-chain, } z_\sigma \sqsubseteq z. \quad (6)$$

To conclude the proof, arguing by way of contradiction, suppose there is an MV-algebra D and $c \in D$ such that $c_\sigma \sqsubseteq c$ fails. By definition, there is $P \in \text{Spec}(D)$ such that in the quotient MV-chain D/P , (Proposition 1.2), we either have $c/P < \neg c/P$ and $c_\sigma/P > c/P$, or $c/P > \neg c/P$ and $c_\sigma/P < c/P$. Say without loss of generality, $c/P < \neg c/P$ and $c_\sigma/P > c/P$. By (4), $c_\sigma/P = (c/P)_\sigma$. So c/P is a counterexample of (6) in D/P , and the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Every MV-chain C satisfies the conjunction of the following two sentences of first-order logic:*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \text{ (IF } 0 < x < \neg x \text{ THEN } (x \odot (x \oplus x)) \oplus (x \odot x) < x) \\ \forall x \text{ (IF } \neg x < x < 1 \text{ THEN } (x \odot (x \oplus x)) \oplus (x \odot x) > x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is enough to deal with the first sentence, denoted χ . First of all, observe that χ is a universal sentence of first-order logic in the language of MV-algebras: Thus, “ $0 < x$ ” means “NOT($0 = x$)”. Also, “ $x < \neg x$ ” means “($x \leq$

$\neg x$) AND NOT $(x = \neg x)$ ", i.e., " $(\neg x \oplus \neg x = 1)$ AND NOT $(x = \neg x)$ ". Similarly, " $x_\sigma < x$ " means "NOT $(x_\sigma = x)$ AND $(\neg x_\sigma \oplus x = 1)$ ". As we have seen, the MV-term x_σ is definable from x and the MV-algebraic operations. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, χ is satisfied by the standard MV-algebra. By Łoś theorem, χ is satisfied by any ultrapower $[0, 1]^*$, whence it is satisfied by C , because C can be embedded into some ultrapower of $[0, 1]$, by Di Nola's theorem. \square

Proposition 2.6. *For any MV-algebra B , \sqsubseteq is a partial order relation on B .*

Proof. Reflexivity is trivial. To verify transitivity, let us assume $x \sqsubseteq y \sqsubseteq z$ but $x \sqsubseteq z$ fails (absurdum hypothesis). There is a prime ideal P of B such that, without loss of generality, $x/P < \neg x/P$ but $z/P \not\leq x/P$, whence

$$z/P > x/P,$$

because B/P is totally ordered, by Proposition 1.2. From $x \sqsubseteq y$ we have $y/P \leq x/P$. Thus, by the contrapositive property, ([10, Lemma 1.1.4(i)]), $\neg y/P \geq \neg x/P > x/P \geq y/P$. From $y \sqsubseteq z$ we now get $z/P \leq y/P \leq x/P$, a contradiction.

To check the antisymmetry property, suppose

$$x \sqsubseteq y \sqsubseteq x \tag{7}$$

but $x \neq y$, (absurdum hypothesis). Thus $\text{dist}(x, y) \neq 0$, where

$$\text{dist}(x, y) = (x \odot \neg y) \oplus (y \odot \neg x), \tag{8}$$

is Chang's *distance function*, [8, p.477], [10, Definition 1.2.4]. By Proposition 1.2 there is a prime ideal P of B such that $\text{dist}(x/P, y/P) \neq 0$, i.e., $x/P \neq y/P$. We now argue by cases:

If $x/P < \neg x/P$ and $y/P < \neg y/P$ then from (7) we obtain $x/P \leq y/P \leq x/P$, whence $x/P = y/P$, a contradiction.

If $x/P > \neg x/P$ and $y/P > \neg y/P$ we similarly obtain a contradiction with $x/P \neq y/P$.

If $x/P < \neg x/P$ and $y/P > \neg y/P$, combining (7) with the contrapositive property [10, Lemma 1.1.4(i)], we obtain $y/P \leq x/P < \neg x/P \leq \neg y/P$, whence $y/P < \neg y/P$, which is impossible.

If $x/P = \neg x/P$ and $y/P = \neg y/P$ then an easy verification similarly shows that $x/P = y/P$, another contradiction.

Without loss of generality the last possible case is $x/P = \neg x/P$ and $y/P < \neg y/P$. Then by (7), $x/P \leq y/P$, whence $\neg x/P = x/P \leq y/P < \neg y/P$. Again by the contrapositive property [10, Lemma 1.1.4(i)], the two inequalities $x/P \leq y/P$ and $\neg x/P < \neg y/P$ are contradictory.

Having thus obtained a contradiction in all possible cases, we have completed the proof. \square

End of the proof of Theorem 2.2. Trivially, every $b \in \text{Boole}(E(A))$ satisfies $b/P \in \{0, 1\} \subseteq E(A)/P$ for every prime ideal P of $E(A)$. Thus b is \sqsubseteq -minimal. Conversely, for any element b of $E(A)$ we will prove

$$\text{If } b \notin \text{Boole}(E(A)) \text{ then } b \text{ is not } \sqsubseteq\text{-minimal.} \tag{9}$$

By way of contradiction assume $b \notin \text{Boole}(E(A))$ and b is \sqsubseteq -minimal. Following [26, Definition 4.14], for any MV-algebra B let

$$\mu(B) \tag{10}$$

denote the maximal spectral space of B equipped with the hull-kernel (Zariski) topology inherited from $\text{Spec}(B)$ by restriction. By [26, Proposition 4.15], $\mu(B)$ is a nonempty compact Hausdorff subspace of the prime spectral space $\text{Spec}(B)$. For

each $M \in \mu(B)$ there is a unique embedding of B/M into the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$, [26, Theorem 4.16]. So for each $a \in B$ there is a unique $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a/M = \alpha$. We will throughout identify a/M and α without fear of ambiguity.

Claim 1: For all $M \in \mu(E(A))$ we have $b/M \in \{0, 1/2, 1\}$.

By way of contradiction, suppose $0 < b/M < 1/2$. (The case $1/2 < b/M < 1$ is similar). By Proposition 2.5, in the MV-chain $E(A)/M \subseteq [0, 1]$ we have $b_\sigma/M < b/M$, whence $b_\sigma \neq b$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.4, $b_\sigma \sqsubseteq b$. It follows that b is not \sqsubseteq -minimal, a contradiction.

Claim 2: For all $M \in \mu(E(A))$, if $b/M = 0$ then $b/P = 0$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$ contained in M .

Otherwise (absurdum hypothesis), there is a maximal ideal M with $b/M = 0$, and a prime ideal $P \subseteq M$ with $b/P > 0$. Thus

$$0 < b/P < \neg b/P.$$

(For otherwise $b/P \oplus b/P = 1$ whence a fortiori $b/M \oplus b/M = 1$, and $b/M \geq 1/2$, which is impossible.) By Proposition 2.5, in the MV-chain $E(A)/M \subseteq [0, 1]$ we have $(b/P)_\sigma < b/P$, whence by (4), $b_\sigma/P = (b/P)_\sigma \neq b/P$ whence $b_\sigma \neq b$. By Proposition 2.4, $b_\sigma \sqsubseteq b$, thus contradicting the \sqsubseteq -minimality of b .

Similarly,

Claim 3: For all $M \in \mu(E(A))$, if $b/M = 1$ then $b/P = 1$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$ contained in M .

Claim 4: For all $M \in \mu(E(A))$, if $b/M = 1/2$ (i.e., $b/M = \neg b/M$) then $b/P = \neg b/P$, for all $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$ contained in M .

Otherwise (absurdum hypothesis), there is a maximal ideal M and a prime ideal $P \subseteq M$ with $b/M = 1/2$ and $b/P \neq \neg b/P$, say without loss of generality $b/P < \neg b/P$ in the MV-chain $E(A)/P$. If $b/P = 0$, i.e., if $b \in P$, then $b \in M$ whence $b/M = 0$, which is impossible. So $b/P > 0$. By Proposition 2.5, in the MV-chain $E(A)/P$ we have $(b/P)_\sigma < b/P$, whence $b_\sigma/P = (b/P)_\sigma \neq b/P$ and $b_\sigma \neq b$. By Proposition 2.4, $b_\sigma \sqsubseteq b$, again contradicting the \sqsubseteq -minimality of b .

We have thus shown that every prime ideal P of $E(A)$ belongs to precisely one of the following three sets:

$$Y_0 = \{P \in \text{Spec}(E(A)) \mid b \in P\}, \quad Y_1 = \{P \in \text{Spec}(E(A)) \mid \neg b \in P\}$$

$$Y_{1/2} = \{P \in \text{Spec}(E(A)) \mid b/P = \neg b/P, \text{ i.e., } \text{dist}(b/P, \neg b/P) \in P\},$$

where $\text{dist}(x, y) = (x \odot \neg y) \oplus (y \odot \neg x)$ is Chang's distance function, (see (8)).

To conclude, let $c \in E(A)$ be defined by $c = b \odot b = \neg(\neg b \oplus \neg b)$. For each $P \in \text{Spec}(E(A))$ the element $c/P = b/P \odot b/P$ equals 1 if $b/P = 1$, equals 0 if $b/P = 0$, and equals 0 if $b/P = \neg b/P$. It follows that $c \sqsubseteq b$, because, as we have just seen, the prime quotients of b/P have no other possibilities. Our hypothesis $b \notin \text{Boole}(E(A))$ implies $Y_{1/2} \neq \emptyset$, whence there is prime ideal R of $E(A)$ such that $b/R = \neg b/R$. Since $c/R = 0$, then $c \neq b$, a contradiction with the \sqsubseteq -minimality of b . The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. \square

Figure 2 is an illustration of the equivalence classes $[p]$ and $[p]_\sigma$ for p a projection in the AF ℓ -algebra \mathfrak{M}_2 defined by $E(\mathfrak{M}_2) =$ the free two-generator MV-algebra Free_2 consisting of all McNaughton functions over the unit real square $[0, 1]^2$. \mathfrak{M}_2 is well defined by Theorem 1.1(iv). As n tends to ∞ , letting $\varsigma(n) = \sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma$ (n times) the grey zone in $[p]_{\varsigma(n)}$ gets thinner and thinner, and the density plot of $[p]_{\varsigma(n)}$ is almost everywhere white or black.




FIGURE 2. Left column: the graph and the density plot of the Murray-von Neumann equivalence class $[p]$ of a projection of the AFL -algebra \mathfrak{M}_2 defined by $E(\mathfrak{M}_2) =$ the free two-generator MV-algebra Free_2 . Right column: the graph and the density plot of the transformed equivalence class $[p]_\sigma \in E(\mathfrak{M}_2)$.

3. THE SPECIAL CASE OF LIMINARY C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH BOOLEAN SPECTRUM

In this section we consider a class of C^* -algebras whose central projections have a particularly simple realization.

As the reader will recall, a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space is said to be *boolean*.

Theorem 3.1. *For any (always unital and separable) liminary C^* -algebra A the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A has a boolean (primitive) spectrum.*
- (ii) *A is an AFL -algebra.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) From [4, Step(i), p.80] it follows that A is an AF-algebra. Now by [18, Theorem 1], $K_0(A)$ is lattice-ordered. Finally, by Theorem 1.1(iv)-(v), A is an AFL -algebra.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Since A is liminary, all its primitive ideals are maximal, [14, 4.1.11(ii), 4.2.3]. Since A is an AFL -algebra, by Corollary 1.3(i) every prime ideal of $E(A)$ is maximal. In symbols, by (1) and (10),

$$\text{Spec}(E(A)) = \mu(E(A)). \quad (11)$$

This is a necessary and sufficient condition for $E(A)$ to be *hyperarchimedean*, [10, Theorem 6.3.2]. Since the intersection of all prime ideals of $E(A)$ is zero, ([10, Corollary 1.2.14]), then $E(A)$ is *semisimple*, [10, p.72]. By [10, Proposition 1.2.10],

for every prime ideal P of $E(A)$, the quotient $E(A)/P$ has no nonzero ideals, because P is maximal. Equivalently, $E(A)/P$ is *simple*, [10, Theorem 3.5.1]. By [26, Proposition 4.15], $\mu(E(A))$ is a nonempty compact Hausdorff space. By [26, Theorem 4.16], $E(A)$ is isomorphic to a separating MV-algebra of continuous $[0, 1]$ -valued functions on $\mu(E(A))$. Since $E(A)$ is hyperarchimedean, from [10, Corollary 6.3.5] it follows that $\mu(E(A))$ is a *boolean space*. By Corollary 1.3(ii), $\text{prim}(A)$ is boolean. \square

The following theorem provides a useful representation of $E(A)$ as an MV-algebra of continuous rational-valued functions over $\text{prim}(A)$:

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose the liminary C^* -algebra A satisfies the two equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1. For every projection q of A let the dimension map $d_q : \text{prim}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ be defined by*

$$d_q(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{\dim \text{range } \pi(q)}{\dim \pi} \quad (\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)), \quad (12)$$

where π is an arbitrary irreducible representation of A such that $\ker(\pi) = \mathfrak{p}$.

- (I) *The map $[q] \in E(A) \mapsto d_q \in [0, 1]^{\text{prim}(A)}$ is an isomorphism of $E(A)$ onto the MV-algebra of dimension maps of A , with the pointwise operations of the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$.*
- (II) *Each dimension map is continuous and has a finite range.*
- (III) *(Separation) For any two distinct $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{prim}(A)$ there is $p \in \text{proj}(A)$ such that $d_p(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ and $d_p(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$. (Equivalently, there is $r \in \text{proj}(A)$ with $d_r(\mathfrak{p}) \neq d_r(\mathfrak{q})$.)*

Proof. Any two irreducible representations of A with the same kernel are equivalent ([14, Theorem 4.3.7(ii)]), and finite-dimensional ([14, 4.7.14(b)]). Thus the actual choice of the representation π with $\ker(\pi) = \mathfrak{p}$ is immaterial in (12), and the dimension map d_q is well defined. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ and irreducible representation π of A with $\ker \pi = \mathfrak{p}$, the quotient A/\mathfrak{p} is simple, because \mathfrak{p} is maximal. So upon setting $d = \dim \pi$ we have

$$A/\mathfrak{p} \cong M_d, \text{ the } C^*\text{-algebra of } d \times d \text{ complex matrices.} \quad (13)$$

(I) We first show that d_q depends on q only via its Murray-von Neumann equivalence class $[q]$. For the proof we prepare:

Claim 1: For any $p, q \in \text{proj}(A)$,

$$p \sim q \quad \text{iff} \quad p/\mathfrak{p} \sim q/\mathfrak{p} \quad \text{for each } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A).$$

Trivially, $p \sim q$ implies $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim q/\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$. Conversely, assuming $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim q/\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, the continuity of the norm ensures that a partial isometry connecting p and q at a primitive ideal of A can be lifted to a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} , which we may safely suppose to be clopen, because the topology of $\text{prim}(A)$ is boolean. Let S be the set of ideals $\mathfrak{z} \in \text{prim}(A)$ such that both p/\mathfrak{z} and q/\mathfrak{z} are nonzero. Since S is compact, a finite number of such clopen neighbourhoods $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_k$ covers S . Without loss of generality, $\mathcal{N}_i \cap \mathcal{N}_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Adding up the associated partial isometries, we obtain $p \sim q$. Our first claim is settled.

Claim 2: For any $p, q \in \text{proj}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$,

$$d_p(\mathfrak{p}) = d_q(\mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{iff} \quad p/\mathfrak{p} \sim q/\mathfrak{p}.$$

As we already know, all primitive ideals of A/\mathfrak{p} are maximal. Moreover, by (13), the finite-dimensional C^* -algebra A/\mathfrak{p} is an isomorphic copy of the C^* -algebra M_d of $d \times d$ complex matrices, with $d = \dim \pi$, and π any irreducible representation with

kernel \mathfrak{p} . Thus $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim q/\mathfrak{p}$ iff $\dim \text{range } \pi(p) = \dim \text{range } \pi(q)$ iff $d_p(\mathfrak{p}) = d_q(\mathfrak{p})$. Our second claim is settled.

Claims 1 and 2 jointly show that the map

$$\theta: [q] \in E(A) \mapsto d_q \in [0, 1]^{\text{prim}(A)} \quad (14)$$

is well defined. A direct inspection shows that θ is an isomorphism of $E(A)$ onto the MV-algebra $\theta(E(A))$ of dimension maps, with the pointwise operations of the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$,

$$\theta: E(A) \cong \{\text{MV-algebra of dimension maps on } \text{prim}(A)\}. \quad (15)$$

(II) From [26, Theorem 4.16] we have an isomorphism

$$* : [q] \in E(A) \mapsto [q]^* \in [0, 1]^{\mu(E(A))} \quad (16)$$

of the semisimple MV-algebra $E(A)$ onto a *separating* MV-algebra of continuous $[0, 1]$ -valued functions over the maximal spectral space $\mu(E(A))$. For every $q \in \text{proj}(A)$, the continuous function $[q]^*$ is defined by the following stipulation: For every maximal ideal $N \in \mu(E(A))$,

$$[q]^*(N) = \text{the only real number corresponding to } \frac{[q]}{N} \quad (17)$$

in the *unique* embedding of the simple MV-algebra $E(A)/N$ into the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$. Therefore, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, letting $\eta(\mathfrak{p})$ be the prime (automatically maximal) ideal of $E(A)$ corresponding to \mathfrak{p} by Corollary 1.3(i), we can write

$$[q]^*(\eta(\mathfrak{p})) = \text{the only real number corresponding to } \frac{[q]}{\eta(\mathfrak{p})}. \quad (18)$$

More generally, by [10, Corollary 7.2.6], for any MV-algebra B there is at most one embedding ξ of B into the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$. Thus whenever such embedding ξ exists, we may identify any $b \in B$ with the real $\xi(b) \in [0, 1]$ without fear of confusion. By [10, Corollary 3.5.4], for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, the finite simple MV-algebra $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$, as well as its isomorphic copy $E(A)/\eta(\mathfrak{p})$, are uniquely embeddable onto a subalgebra of the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$. Specifically, let π be an irreducible representation of A with $\ker \pi = \mathfrak{p}$, and $d = \dim \pi$. Let the MV-chain \mathbb{L}_d be defined by $\mathbb{L}_d = \{0, \frac{1}{d}, \dots, \frac{d-1}{d}, 1\}$. Then from

$$E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E(M_d) \cong \mathbb{L}_d \subseteq [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad E(A)/\eta(\mathfrak{p}) \cong E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathbb{L}_d \subseteq [0, 1] \quad (19)$$

we have unique embeddings of $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ and $E(A)/\eta(\mathfrak{p})$ into \mathbb{L}_d . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ and $q \in \text{proj}(A)$, recalling the definition of the isomorphism $E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E(A)/\eta(\mathfrak{p})$ in Corollary 1.3(iii), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d_q(\mathfrak{p}) &= \frac{\dim \text{range } \pi(q)}{\dim \pi} \in \mathbb{L}_d, \quad \text{with } d = \dim \pi \quad \text{and } \ker \pi = \mathfrak{p} \\ &= \text{the unique rational in } \mathbb{L}_d \text{ corresponding to } [\pi(q)] \in E(M_d) \text{ by (13)} \\ &= \text{the unique image in } \mathbb{L}_d \text{ of } \left[\frac{q}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \in E(A/\mathfrak{p}), \quad \text{by (19)} \\ &= \text{the unique image in } \mathbb{L}_d \text{ of } \frac{[q]}{\eta(\mathfrak{p})} \in \frac{E(A)}{\eta(\mathfrak{p})} \text{ in } \mathbb{L}_d, \text{ by (19)} \\ &= [q]^*(\eta(\mathfrak{p})), \quad \text{by (18)}. \end{aligned}$$

The composite function $d_q = [q]^* \circ \eta: \text{prim}(A) \rightarrow [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is continuous. Since $E(A)^*$ is hyperarchimedean, the range of d_p is finite by [11, Lemma 4.6]. For all $q \in \text{proj}(A)$, from (14)-(15) we get

$$\theta([q]) = d_q = [q]^* \circ \eta. \quad (20)$$

(III) First of all, the two separation properties are equivalent: for the nontrivial direction, let us write $d_r(\mathbf{p}) < d_r(\mathbf{q})$. Let \mathcal{O} be an open interval such that $d_r(\mathbf{p}) < b < d_r(\mathbf{q})$ for all $b \in \mathcal{O}$. By [10, Lemma 3.1.9], the free MV-algebra Free_1 contains a McNaughton function τ^* whose graph has three linear pieces as the graph of σ^* , with the additional property that the non-constant linear piece of τ^* is nonzero only over a nonempty open segment contained in \mathcal{O} . Then the composite function $\tau^* \circ d_r$ has value 0 at \mathbf{p} and value 1 at \mathbf{q} . Since $\tau^* \circ d_r$ is obtainable from d_r by finitely many applications of the pointwise operations \neg and \oplus , then $\tau^* \circ d_r$ is a dimension map of A .

Having thus proved the equivalence of the two separation properties, the isomorphism $*$ in (16) maps $E(A)$ onto the *separating* MV-algebra of continuous $[0, 1]$ -valued functions over the maximal spectral space $\boldsymbol{\mu}(E(A))$. By (16) and (20), the MV-algebra of dimension maps separates points. \square

In the light of Theorem 3.2, if A satisfies the two equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1, identifying via η the primitive ideal space $\text{prim}(A)$ with the maximal spectral space $\boldsymbol{\mu}(E(A))$, we will henceforth realize $E(A)$ as the MV-algebra of dimension maps

$$E(A) = \theta(E(A)) = E(A)^*. \quad (21)$$

In particular, any $f \in \text{Boole}(E(A))$ will be identified with a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose the liminary C^* -algebra A satisfies the two equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1. We then have:*

- (i) *Every finite subset of $E(A)$ generates a finite subalgebra of $E(A)$. In other words, $E(A)$ is locally finite.*
- (ii) *Every clopen $W \subseteq \text{prim}(A)$ is the zeroset of some $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map.*
- (iii) *For each dimension map d_p and rational $\rho \in [0, 1]$ there is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map b such that $d_p^{-1}(\rho) = b^{-1}(0)$.*
- (iv) *Each extremal state s of $K_0(A)$ is discrete, in the sense that $s(K_0(A))$ is a cyclic subgroup of \mathbb{R} , [20, p.70].*
- (v) *$K_0(A)$ has general comparability, [20, p.131].*

Proof. (i) From [11, Theorem 5.1(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)], in view of (19) and Corollary 1.3(i).

(ii) Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.2(III), for every $x \in W$ some dimension map $r \in E(A)$ vanishes precisely over a clopen neighbourhood of x contained in W . Since 0 is isolated in the range of r , replacing if necessary r by

$$r_W = \underbrace{r \oplus \cdots \oplus r}_{\text{suitably many summands}}$$

we may assume r_W to be $\{0, 1\}$ -valued. By compactness, W is covered by finitely many pairwise disjoint clopens W_1, \dots, W_m and corresponding $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension maps r_{W_1}, \dots, r_{W_m} , where for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, the function r_{W_i} vanishes precisely over W_i . The zeroset of the dimension map $r_{W_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge r_{W_m}$ coincides with W .

(iii) By Theorem 3.2, the range of d_p is finite and d_p is continuous. It follows that $d_p^{-1}(\rho)$ is a clopen subset of $\text{prim}(A)$. Now apply (ii).

(iv) By Theorems 3.1 and 1.1(iv)-(v), $K_0(A)$ is a lattice-ordered abelian group and $E(A) = \Gamma(K_0(A))$. By [20, Theorem 12.18], the extremal states of $K_0(A)$ coincide with the unit preserving ℓ -homomorphisms of $K_0(A)$ into the additive group \mathbb{R} of real numbers endowed with the usual order. So let $s: K_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an extremal state. The kernel of s is a maximal ideal of $K_0(A)$. Corollary 1.3(v) yields a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{s} of A such that $\ker s = K_0(\mathfrak{s})$. Since, as we have seen, ([13, IV.15], [16, Corollary 9.2]), K_0 preserves exact sequences, then $K_0(A/\mathfrak{s}) \cong K_0(A)/\ker s$. Again, Corollary 1.3(v) yields a unique maximal ideal M of $E(A)$ such that $M = \eta(\mathfrak{s}) = K_0(A) \cap \Gamma(K_0(A))$. We then have isomorphisms

$$E\left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{s}}\right) \cong \frac{E(A)}{\eta(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \frac{\Gamma(K_0(A))}{K_0(A) \cap E(A)} \cong \frac{\Gamma(K_0(A))}{K_0(\mathfrak{s})} \cong \Gamma\left(\frac{K_0(A)}{\ker s}\right). \quad (22)$$

By ([22, Definition 2.4]), $E(A) = \Gamma(K_0(A))$ coincides with the unit interval of $K_0(A)$ equipped with the order-unit $1 = [1_A]$, and with the operations

$$x \oplus y = u \wedge (x + y) \quad \text{and} \quad \neg y = 1 - y.$$

Since M is a maximal ideal of $E(A)$, by [26, Theorem 4.16], $E(A)/M \cong E(A/\mathfrak{s})$ are uniquely isomorphic to the same finite MV-subalgebra L of $[0, 1]$. By [10, Corollary 3.5.4], $L = \{0, 1/m, \dots, (m-1)/m, 1\}$ for a uniquely determined integer $m \geq 1$. Since Γ is a categorical equivalence, from $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, m) = L$ it follows that $K_0(A/\mathfrak{s}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, m)$, showing that the state s is discrete.

(v) We prepare:

Claim 1: For any $p, q \in \text{proj}(A)$ there are clopens $X, Y \subseteq \text{prim}(A) = \text{maximal ideal space of } A$, such that for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{prim}(A)$

$$\mathfrak{m} \in X \Leftrightarrow d_p(\mathfrak{m}) \leq d_q(\mathfrak{m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m} \in Y \Leftrightarrow d_p(\mathfrak{m}) \geq d_q(\mathfrak{m}).$$

As a matter of fact, recalling the notational stipulation (5), by [10, Lemma 1.1.2], $d_p(\mathfrak{m}) \leq d_q(\mathfrak{m})$ iff $(d_p \odot \neg d_q)(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. Similarly, $d_p(\mathfrak{m}) \geq d_q(\mathfrak{m})$ iff $(d_q \odot \neg d_p)(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. Now the zeroset $f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \text{prim}(A)$ of any dimension map f is clopen, because the range of f is finite and f is continuous. Conversely, by (iii), every clopen subset of $\text{prim}(A)$ is the zeroset of some $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map f , i.e., (Theorem 2.1(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)), the zeroset of some characteristic element of $K_0(A)$. Our first claim is settled.

A routine variant of the proof of Claim 1 yields:

Claim 2: For any $p, q \in \text{proj}(A)$ there are clopens $X, Y \subseteq \text{prim}(A)$ such that for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{prim}(A)$,

$$\mathfrak{m} \in X \Leftrightarrow d_p(\mathfrak{m}) < d_q(\mathfrak{m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m} \in Y \Leftrightarrow d_p(\mathfrak{m}) \geq d_q(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Next let

$$\text{maxspec}(K_0(A))$$

denote the maximal spectral space of $K_0(A)$, By [10, Theorems 7.2.2, 7.2.4] and Corollary 1.3(ii),

$$\text{maxspec}(K_0(A)) \cong \boldsymbol{\mu}(E(A)) \cong \text{prim}(A) \quad (23)$$

and $\text{maxspec}(K_0(A))$ can be safely identified with $\text{prim}(A)$ and with $\boldsymbol{\mu}(E(A))$. By [22, Theorems 3.8-3.9], $K_0(A)$ is (isomorphic to) the unital ℓ -group of functions on $\text{prim}(A)$ generated by the dimension maps, with the constant $u = 1 = [1_A]$ as

the unit, and with the pointwise ℓ -group operations of \mathbb{R} . By Theorem 3.2, each function in $K_0(A)$ is continuous, rational-valued, and has a finite range.

As explained in [20, p.126], to prove that $K_0(A)$ has general comparability, for all $h, k \in K_0(A)$ we must find a direct product decomposition

$$K_0(A) = G_1 \times G_2$$

such that the G_1 -components of h and k satisfy $h_1 \leq k_1$ while the G_2 -components of h and k satisfy $h_2 \geq k_2$. By the translation invariance of the lattice order of $K_0(A)$ and the defining property of the unit u of $K_0(A)$, replacing, if necessary, h, k by $h + mu, k + mu$ (for a suitably large integer m), we may assume $h, k \geq 0$.

Claim 3: There is a clopen $X_1 \subseteq \text{maxspec}(K_0(A))$ coinciding with the set X_1 of maximal ideals of N of $K_0(A)$ such that $h/N \leq k/N$.

As a matter of fact, let

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_2}$$

be elements of $\Gamma(K_0(A)) = E(A)$ having the following properties:

$$x_i \oplus x_{i+1} = x_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i = h, \quad y_i \oplus y_{i+1} = y_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} y_i = k.$$

Their existence is ensured by [22, Proposition 3.1(i)]. (Actually, these sequences are uniquely determined by h and k , up to a tail of zeros.) Adding a finite tail of zeros to the shortest sequence, we may assume $n_1 = n_2 = n$ without loss of generality. Recalling the notational stipulation (5), for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $X_{1,i}$ be the zero set of the dimension map $x_i \odot \neg y_i$. The identification (23) yields

$$X_{1,i} = \{N \in \text{maxspec}(K_0(A)) \mid x_i/N \leq y_i/N \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

because ([10, Lemma 1.1.2]),

$$x_i/N \odot \neg y_i/N = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_i/N \leq y_i/N.$$

Now by [22, Proposition 3.1(ii)], for any $N \in \text{maxspec}(K_0(A))$ the inequality $h/N \leq k/N$ is equivalent to the simultaneous occurrence of the inequalities

$$x_1/N \leq y_1/N, \dots, x_n/N \leq y_n/N.$$

As a consequence, the set $X_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_{1,i}$ satisfies

$$N \in X_1 \Leftrightarrow h/N \leq k/N. \quad (24)$$

Since the range of every dimension map f is finite and f is continuous, each $X_{1,i}$ is a clopen subset of $\text{maxspec}(K_0(A))$, and so is X_1 . Thus X_1 has the desired properties, and our third claim is settled.

The complementary clopen $X_2 = \text{maxspec}(K_0(A)) \setminus X_1$ has the property that for every maximal ideal N of $K_0(A)$, $N \in X_2 \Leftrightarrow h/N > k/N$.

In view of (iii), for each $j = 1, 2$ let e_j be the uniquely determined $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map satisfying $e_j^{-1}(0) = X_j$. Each e_j is a characteristic element of $K_0(A)$, (Theorem 2.1). Let I_j be the ideal of $K_0(A)$ generated by e_j . The ℓ -homomorphisms of $K_0(A)$ into itself induced by the two ideals I_1, I_2 provide the desired direct product decomposition $K_0(A) \cong K_0(A)/I_1 \times K_0(A)/I_2$. Up to isomorphism, every $g \in K_0(A)$ splits into its restrictions $g_1 = g \upharpoonright X_1$ and $g_2 = g \upharpoonright X_2$. The $K_0(A)/I_1$ components of h and k satisfy $h_1 \leq k_1$. The $K_0(A)/I_2$ components satisfy $h_2 > k_2$. A fortiori, $K_0(A)$ has general comparability. \square

Central projections as fixpoints.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose the liminary C^* -algebra A satisfies the two equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1. For all $p, q \in \text{proj}(A)$ we have:*

- (1) (Fixpoint) *The sequence $[p] \sqsupseteq [p]_\sigma \sqsupseteq [p]_{\sigma \circ \sigma} \sqsupseteq [p]_{\sigma \circ \sigma \circ \sigma} \sqsupseteq \dots$ is eventually constant.*
- (2) *Let $n(p)$ be the least integer m such that*

$$[p] \underbrace{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n \text{ times}} = [p] \underbrace{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n+1 \text{ times}}.$$

Then

- (i) *If p is central or $p \sim 1_A - p$, $n(p) = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $n(p) = 0$ then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, either $p/\mathfrak{p} \in \{0, 1\}$ or $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$.*
- (iii) *Suppose for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$,*

$$[p]/\mathfrak{p} \sim [1_A - p]/\mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow [q]/\mathfrak{p} \sim [1 - q]/\mathfrak{p}.$$

Then $[p] \sqsubseteq [q] \Rightarrow n(p) \leq n(q)$.

- (3) *Let the set $\mathcal{C}_p \subseteq E(A)$ be defined by*

$$\mathcal{C}_p = \{[r] \in E(A) \mid r \text{ is a central projection in } A \text{ such that } [r] \sqsubseteq [p]\}.$$

- (I) \mathcal{C}_p *nonempty.*
- (II) \mathcal{C}_p *is a singleton iff for no $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ we have $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$.*
- (III) *When \mathcal{C}_p is a singleton, the unique element $[r] \in \mathcal{C}_p$ equals the fixpoint*

$$[p] \underbrace{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n(p) \text{ times}}.$$
- (IV) *If $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$ then*

$$\underbrace{[p] \sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n(p) \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{[p] \sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n(p) \text{ times}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{[p] \sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n(p) \text{ times}} \odot \underbrace{[p] \sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{n(p) \text{ times}}$$

are two distinct elements of \mathcal{C}_p .

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.2, throughout we will identify $E(A)$ with the separating MV-algebra of all dimension maps. Thus each $[p] \in E(A)$ is a continuous rational-valued function d_p with a finite range over the boolean space $\mu(E(A)) = \text{Spec}(E(A)) \cong \text{prim}(A)$.

- (1) The value $1/2$ is isolated in $\text{range}(d_p)$. The definition of the map $\sigma^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ immediately yields the desired conclusion.

To prove (2) we argue as follows:

- (i) If p is central, $\text{range}(d_p) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$, whence $n(p) = 0$, because $\sigma^*(0) = 0$ and $\sigma^*(1) = 1$. If $p \sim 1_A - p$ then $d_p(\mathfrak{p}) = 1/2$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$. From $\sigma^*(1/2) = 1/2$ it follows that $n(p) = 0$.
- (ii) If $n(p) = 0$ then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, $p/\mathfrak{p} \in \{0, 1\}$ or $p/\mathfrak{p} \sim (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$, because $\sigma^*(t) = t$ iff $t \in \{0, 1/2, 1\}$.
- (iii) The hypothesis means $d_p^{-1}(1/2) = d_q^{-1}(1/2)$. The conclusion then follows by definition of \sqsubseteq and $n(p)$.

- (3) Let $f_p = [p]_{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma} = (d_p)_{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}$ ($n(p)$ times). Let $C \subseteq \text{prim}(A)$ be defined by $C = f_p^{-1}(1/2)$. By Theorem 3.2, C is clopen.

If $C = \emptyset$, then $f_p = (f_p)_\sigma$ by definition of $n(p)$. For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{prim}(A)$, either $f_p(\mathfrak{p}) < 1/2$, in which case $f_p(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$, or else $f_p(\mathfrak{p}) > 1/2$, in which case $f_p(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Thus f_p is the only element of \mathcal{C}_p . This proves (I)-(IV) for the present case.

If $C \neq \emptyset$, then $f_p \odot f_p$ pushes the graph of $f_p \upharpoonright C$ down to 0, leaving unaltered the rest of f_p ; evidently $f_p \odot f_p$ is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued dimension map and $f_p \odot f_p = (f_p \odot f_p)_\sigma$. Similarly, $f_p \oplus f_p$ pushes the graph of $f_p \upharpoonright C$ up to 1, leaving the rest unaltered. So $f_p \oplus f_p = (f_p \oplus f_p)_\sigma$. This completes the proof of (I)-(IV). \square

Intuitively, the map $[p] \mapsto [p]^\triangleright = [p]_\sigma$ is “centripetal” in the sense that $[p]^\triangleright \sqsubseteq [p]$, and a finite number of iterations of the map leads to a unique \triangleright -fixpoint, in such a way that if p is central then $[p] = [p]^\triangleright$. If p is not central and $p/\mathfrak{p} \neq (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$ for every primitive ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , then the \triangleright -fixpoint $[q]$ of $[p]$ arises from some central projection q of A . If $p/\mathfrak{p} = (1_A - p)/\mathfrak{p}$ for some primitive ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , then the same holds (not for $[q]$, but) for $[q] \oplus [q]$, or for $[q] \odot [q]$.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

AF ℓ -algebras include many interesting classes of AF algebras, well beyond the trivial examples of commutative AF algebras and finite-dimensional C^* -algebras. Nontrivial examples are given by the CAR algebra and, more generally, Glimm’s UHF algebras, [16, 5], the Effros-Shen C^* -algebras \mathfrak{F}_θ for irrational $\theta \in [0, 1]$, [16, p.65], which play an interesting role in topological dynamics, [6, 7, 28]. Further examples are provided by AF ℓ -algebras whose K_0 -group has general comparability, [20, Proposition 8.9, p.131]. Non-simple examples include the Behncke-Leptin C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_{m,n}$ with a two-point dual [1], and AF algebras with a directed set of finite dimensional $*$ -subalgebras, [21].

The “universal” AF algebra \mathfrak{M} of [22, §8] is an AF ℓ -algebra. It is defined by

$$E(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{Free}_\omega = \text{the free countably generated MV-algebra.}$$

Every AF algebra with comparability of projections is a quotient of \mathfrak{M} by a primitive essential ideal, [22, Corollary 8.7]. Every (possibly non-unital) AF algebra may be embedded into a quotient of \mathfrak{M} , [22, Remark 8.9]. One more example is given by the Farey AF ℓ -algebra \mathfrak{M}_1 introduced in [23]. It is defined by $E(\mathfrak{M}_1) = \text{Free}_1$. By [28], every irrational rotation C^* -algebra is embeddable into some (Effros-Shen) simple quotient of \mathfrak{M}_1 , [23, Theorem 3.1 (ii)]. \mathfrak{M}_1 , in turn, is embeddable into Glimm’s universal UHF algebra, [25, Theorem 1.5]. As shown in [24, 25], the AF algebra \mathfrak{A} more recently considered by Boca [2] coincides with \mathfrak{M}_1 . For an account of the interesting properties and applications of \mathfrak{M}_1 see [2, 15, 23, 24, 25].

Liminary C^* -algebras with boolean spectrum, and more generally with Hausdorff spectrum, are considered by Dixmier [14, passim]. Liminary C^* -algebras with boolean spectrum *per se* are the main topic of [12]. Here the authors consider the analogue of Kaplansky’s problem for these algebras, and prove that the Murray von Neumann order of projections alone is sufficient to uniquely recover the C^* -algebraic structure. Thus *If two liminary C^* -algebras with Boolean spectrum have order-isomorphic Murray von Neumann posets, then they are isomorphic.*

Problem 4.1. Extend the characterization

$$p \text{ central in } A \Leftrightarrow [p] \sqsubseteq\text{-minimal} \Leftrightarrow [p] \text{ characteristic in } K_0(A) \Leftrightarrow [p] \text{ a fixpoint}$$

outside the domain of AF ℓ -algebras.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.Behnke, H.Leptin, C^* -algebras with a two-point dual, J. Functional Analysis, 10 (1972) 330–335.
- [2] F.Boca, An AF algebra associated with the Farey tessellation, Canad. J. Math, 60 (2008) 975–1000.
- [3] O. Bratteli, Inductive limits of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 171 (1972) 195–234.
- [4] O. Bratteli, G.A.Elliott, Structure spaces of approximately finite dimensional C^* -algebras II, J. Functional Analysis, 30 (1978) 74–82.
- [5] O. Bratteli, D.W.Robinson, Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I, II, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [6] O. Bratteli, P.Jorgensen, K.H.Kim, F.Roush, Non-stationarity of isomorphism between AF-algebras defined by stationary Bratteli diagrams, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 20 (2000) 1639–1656.
- [7] O. Bratteli, P.Jorgensen, K.H.Kim, F.Roush, Decidability of the isomorphism problem for stationary AF-algebras and the associated ordered simple dimension groups, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 21 (2001) 1625–1655. Erratum, *ibid.*, 22 (2002) 633.
- [8] C.C.Chang, Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1958) 467–490, *ibid.*, 93 (1959) 74–80.
- [9] C.C.Chang, H.J.Keisler, Model Theory, Third Edition, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [10] R. Cignoli, I.M.L.D'Ottaviano, D.Mundici, Algebraic Foundations of many-valued Reasoning, Trends in Logic, Vol.7, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [11] R. Cignoli, E.Dubuc, D.Mundici, Extending Stone duality to multisets, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 189 (2004) 37–59.
- [12] R. Cignoli, G.A.Elliott, D.Mundici, Reconstructing C^* -algebras from their Murray von Neumann order, Advances in Mathematics, 101 (1993) 166–179.
- [13] K.R. Davidson, C^* -Algebras by Example, Fields Institute Monographs Volume 6, 1996.
- [14] J.Dixmier, C^* -algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [15] C.Eckhardt, A noncommutative Gauss map, Math. Scand., 108 (2011) 233–250.
- [16] E.G.Effros, "Dimensions and C^* -algebras", CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1981.
- [17] G.A.Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras, J. Algebra, 38 (1976) 29–44.
- [18] G.A.Elliott, D. Mundici, A characterization of lattice-ordered abelian groups, Math. Zeitschrift, 213 (1993) 179–185.
- [19] K.R.Goodearl, Notes on Real and Complex C^* -Algebras, Shiva Math. Series, vol. 5, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- [20] K.R.Goodearl, Partially ordered abelian groups with interpolation, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 20, American Math. Society, 1986.
- [21] A.J.Lazar, AF algebras with directed sets of finite dimensional $*$ -subalgebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 275 (1983) 709–721.
- [22] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF C^* -algebras in Lukasiewicz sentential calculus, J. Functional Analysis, 65 (1986) 15–63.
- [23] D.Mundici, Farey stellar subdivisions, ultrasimplicial groups, and K_0 of AF C^* -algebras, Advances in Mathematics, 68 (1988) 23–39.
- [24] D.Mundici, Recognizing the Farey-Stern-Brocot AF algebra, Dedicated to the memory of Renato Caccioppoli, Rendiconti Lincei Mat. Appl., 20 (2009) 327–338.
- [25] D.Mundici, Revisiting the Farey AF algebra, Milan Journal of Mathematics, 79 (2011) 643–656.
- [26] D.Mundici, Advanced Lukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras, Trends in Logic, vol. 35, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [27] D.Mundici, G.Panti, Extending addition in Elliott's local semigroup, Journal of Functional Analysis, 117 (1993) 461–471.
- [28] M.Pimsner and D.Voiculescu, Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF-algebra, J. Operator Theory, 4 (1980) 201–210.

(D. Mundici) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE "ULISSE DINI", UNIVERSITY OF FLORENCE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A, I-50134 FLORENCE, ITALY
E-mail address: mundici@math.unifi.it