Adiabatic potentials of cesium \((nD_J)_2\) Rydberg-Rydberg macrodimers
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Electrostatic multipole interactions generate long-range Rydberg-Rydberg macrodimers. We calculate the adiabatic potentials of cesium \((nD_J)_2\) Rydberg macrodimers for principal quantum numbers \(n\) ranging from 56 to 62, for \(J = 3/2\) and \(5/2\), and for the allowed values of the conserved sum of the atomic angular-momentum components along the internuclear axis, \(M\). For most combinations \((n, M, J)\) exactly one binding potential exists, which should give rise to Rydberg macrodimer states. We study the dependence of the adiabatic potentials on the size of the two-body basis sets used in the calculation, and on the maximal order, \(q_{\text{max}}\), of the multipole terms included in the calculation. We determine the binding energies and lengths of the binding adiabatic potentials, and investigate their scaling behaviors as a function of the effective principal quantum number; these parameters are relevant to experimental preparation of Rydberg-atom macrodimers. Avoided crossings between adiabatic potentials affect the well shapes and the scaling behaviors differently in two distinct domains in \(n\). We discuss an experimental scheme for preparing \((nD_J)_2\) Rydberg-atom macrodimers using two-color double-resonant photoassociation.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Ee, 33.20.-t, 34.20.Cf

I. INTRODUCTION

Rydberg atoms, highly excited states with large principal quantum number \(n \gtrsim 10\), have been of interest in recent years due to their exaggerated properties \(^1\), for example large sizes and electric-dipole transition matrix elements \((\sim n^2)\), and strong long-range van der Waals interactions \((\sim n^{11})\). The strong interaction between Rydberg atoms results in an excitation blockade effect \(^2\), \(^3\), which has led to a variety of interesting investigations and applications, including quantum logic gates \(^6\), \(^7\), single-photon sources \(^8\), \(^9\), single-photon transistor \(^10\) and many-body systems and entanglement \(^11\), \(^12\). Including a wider class of electric-multipole interactions in the analysis, one finds adiabatic potentials with minima that may support bound Rydberg-Rydberg molecules \(^14\), \(^15\), \(^16\), \(^17\). These molecules are macrodimers, as their bond lengths are \(\sim 4n^2\) and can easily exceed 1 \(\mu\)m. Rydberg macrodimers have been predicted theoretically \(^14\) and observed experimentally in cold atomic gases with cesium \(^12\), \(^18\), including \(nD\) \((n + 2)D\) macrodimers \(^15\), \(nS_n'F\) and \(nP_nP\) molecules for \(22 \leq n \leq 32\) \(^16\), \(43P_{44S}\) \(^17\) molecules bound by long-range dipolar interaction, and \((62D_J)_2\) Rydberg-atom macrodimers. Certain Rydberg macrodimers are predicted to possess abundant vibrational states, large permanent dipole moments and exotic adiabatic potentials, which can be used to study vacuum fluctuations \(^19\), \(^20\), quench ultracold collisions \(^14\), and measure correlations in quantum gases \(^15\), \(^21\).

The adiabatic potentials play an important role for the preparation of Rydberg macrodimers. The Rydberg macrodimers are identified by the assignment of photoassociation resonances to minima of the adiabatic potentials. In the present work, we investigate the adiabatic potentials of cesium Rydberg-atom pairs below the \((nD_J)_2\) \((n = 56 - 62)\) asymptotes, calculated considering the electrostatic multipole interaction between Rydberg-atom pairs. We explore the effect of the basis size and the maximum interaction order on the potentials. We discuss the bonding energies of \((nD_J)_2\) Rydberg dimers, the corresponding equilibrium internuclear distances, and the effect of avoided potential crossings as a function of \(n\).

II. MULTIPOLE INTERACTION HAMITONIAN

For calculating the interaction of a Rydberg-atom pair, we consider two \(nD_J\) Rydberg atoms, denoted \(A\) and \(B\), with an interatomic separation \(\mathbf{R}\). To simplify the calculation, the quantization axis and \(\mathbf{R}\) are both chosen along the \(z\)-axis, see Fig. 1(a). The relative positions of the Rydberg electrons of are \(\mathbf{r}_A\) and \(\mathbf{r}_B\). The interatomic distance \(R\) is larger than the LeRoy radius \(^22\), \(R_{LR}\), i.e. the electronic wave functions do not overlap, and is small enough that radiation retardation effects \(^23\) are not important. The Hamiltonian of the Rydberg-atom pair is written as:

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B + \hat{V}_{\text{int}},
\]

where \(\hat{H}_A(B)\) is the Hamiltonian of atom \(A(B)\), and \(\hat{V}_{\text{int}}\) denotes the multipole interaction between the Rydberg-atom pair. \(\hat{V}_{\text{int}}\) is taken as \(^10\), \(^18\), \(^24\), \(^25\).

* Corresponding author: zhaojm@sxu.edu.cn
We diagonalize the Hamiltonian of the Rydberg-atom pair on a dense grid of the internuclear separation, \( R \). Considering global azimuthal symmetry, the projection of the sum of the electronic angular momenta, \( M = m_{JA} + m_{JB} \), is conserved. For the homonuclear diatomic system in this work, the inversion symmetry is employed to define symmetrized basis states \( \left| 2\ell \right> \), with \( \ell = 1 \) for even-parity states, \( \left| \Psi_g \right> \), and \( \ell = -1 \) for odd-parity states, \( \left| \Psi_u \right> \), that are not coupled by \( \hat{V}_{\text{int}} \). In order to obtain the adiabatic potential curves of the Rydberg-atom pair, the Hamiltonian matrix is separately diagonalized for even- and odd-parity states using various basis sizes (Sec. III) and values for the maximal multipole order, \( q_{\text{max}} \) (Sec. IV), for a range of principal quantum numbers (Sec. V).

III. BASIS SIZE DEPENDENCE

Numerical calculations of potential curves require a suitable basis set, which, together with \( q_{\text{max}} \), determines the number of interaction matrix elements used. The single-atom basis states are denoted \( |n(Jm_J)\rangle \), and the corresponding Rydberg-atom pair basis states are denoted \( |n_A\ell_AJ_{A}m_{JA}\rangle \otimes |n_B\ell_BJ_{B}m_{JB}\rangle \), with Rydberg atoms \( A \) and \( B \). For the quantum defects of the atomic energies \( \delta \) and the fine structure coupling constants in \( \hat{H}_A \) and \( \hat{H}_B \), we publish the values. For high-\( n \) (\( n \approx 60-62 \) here), the atomic hyperfine coupling and the molecular rotation energy are orders of magnitude smaller than the molecular interaction energy \( \hat{V}_{\text{int}} \); they are therefore neglected. For the single-atom basis states, the range of effective principal quantum numbers is chosen as \( \text{int}(n_{\text{eff}}) - \delta < n_{\text{eff}} < \text{int}(n_{\text{eff}}) + \delta + 1 \), where \( n_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective quantum number of the Rydberg state of interest, \( \text{int}(n_{\text{eff}}) \) denotes the integer part of \( n_{\text{eff}} \), and \( \delta \) is a parameter for the principal quantum number range. Further, the single-atom orbital angular momentum space is restricted to \( \ell \leq \ell_{\text{max}} \) and \( m_J \leq m_{J_{\text{max}}} \), for both atoms \( A \) and \( B \). The energies of the two-body molecular states are measured relative to a state of interest, which in our case is of the type \( nD_JnD_{J'} \), with \( J, J' = 3/2 \) or 5/2. The two-body basis is then further truncated to two-body states with energy defects less than an upper limit of 25 – 30 GHz from the molecular state of interest.

To test basis-size dependence, we calculate adiabatic potentials of 60\( D_{5/2} \)-atom pairs with two different basis sizes for \( M = 0 \) and \( q_{\text{max}} = 6 \). One case is 54.9 < \( n_{\text{eff}} \) < 60.1 (\( \delta = 2.1 \)), and \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 4 \), and \( J, |m_J| \leq 4.5 \), which results in a number of 4984 two-body basis states (\( = 2 \times 2492 \) symmetrized two-body states), see Fig. 2(a). The other case is for 53.9 < \( n_{\text{eff}} \) < 61.1 (\( \delta = 3.1 \)), and \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 5 \), and \( J, |m_J| \leq 5.5 \), corresponding to a number of 11864 two-body basis states (\( = 2 \times 5932 \text{ symmetrized two-body states} \)). The calculated potential curves are shown in Fig. 2(b). For larger \( |M| \)-values, considered further below, the number of two-body states drops.
In the range of potential excitation rates do not exhibit minima that could give rise to bound macrodimer states, except one binding potential. In the range \( R \lesssim 2.1 \mu m \), in Fig. 2(b), there are more potential curves, with some crossings, than in Fig. 2(a). However, these are not expected to produce observable effects, as there are no prominent wells associated with any of these steep, short-range potentials. In the range \( R \gtrsim 2.1 \mu m \), the differences between the small- and large-basis calculations are more subtle. Both cases have one potential curve with a wide minimum conducive to bound molecular states. Close inspection of Fig. 2(a) shows that the potential well with large oscillator strength exhibits a binding energy of \( V_{\text{binding}} \approx 47.7 \) MHz, at a binding length of \( R_{\text{equ}} \approx 2.17 \) \( \mu m \). Increasing the basis size leads to small changes in these parameters. In Fig. 2(b), we find a binding energy that is 15\% less than in Fig. 2(a), equivalent to about 8 MHz, and a binding length that is 2\% larger, corresponding to an increase of about 50 nm. These changes are attributed to level repulsion from additional levels in Fig. 2(b), which push the potential minimum up and out by some amount. The changes are large enough to become observable in an experiment.

In a second test, we have considered the case \((62D_{9/2})_2\) with \( M = 3 \), for basis truncation parameters up to \( 54.9 \lesssim n_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 64.9 \), and \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 7 \), and \( J, |m_J| \leq 7.5 \), with a maximum two-body energy defect 30 GHz (basis size up to \( 2 \times 4857 \) symmetrized two-body states). We have seen that the potential minima with large oscillator strengths shift by amounts up to about 10 MHz, and that the increase in \( |m_J| \)-range has the largest effect.

In the present work, we cannot make a definite statement about whether convergence has been reached in Fig. 2(b), because at this time it is not practical for us to increase the two-body basis size far beyond about 12000. Additional work on convergence could possibly be performed at lower principal quantum numbers, where basis sizes are generally smaller, or by implementing the calculations on a high-performance computing platform. In the following sections, we use the same quantum-number range as in Fig. 2(a), unless noted otherwise.

**IV. DEPENDENCE ON MULTIPOLAR ORDER**

In Eq. (2), the electrostatic multipole interactions in \( V_{\text{inter}} \) scale as \( 1/R^{q+1} \) [outer sum in Eq. (2)]. The multipole series proceeds through dipole-dipole \((dd)\), dipole-quadrupole/quadrupole-dipole \((dq/qd)\), dipole-octupole/octupole-dipole \((do/od)\), quadrupole-quadrupole \((qq)\), dipole-hexadecupole/hexadecupole-dipole \((dh/hd)\) interactions, and so on, where the first (second) letter stands for atom \( A(B) \). In our notation, the interaction series is terminated at the maximum order, \( q_{\text{max}} \). For example, for \( q_{\text{max}} = 2 \) only the dipole-dipole \((dd)\) interaction is included. The case \( q_{\text{max}} = 3 \) includes \( dd, dq \) and \( qd \) interactions, \( q_{\text{max}} = 4 \) includes \( dd, do, od, dh, qq, \) and \( hd \) interactions, and so on. Since our calculation is based on numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), it includes the multipole interactions up to order \( q_{\text{max}} \) in a non-perturbative fashion, in all orders perturbation theory. For instance, for \( q_{\text{max}} = 2 \) the second-order dipole-dipole interaction, i.e. the usual van der Waals interaction, is automatically included.

To show how the value of \( q_{\text{max}} \) modifies the adiabatic potentials, in Fig. 3 we present the calculated adiabatic potential curves for the same quantum-number range as in Fig. 2(a), with \( q_{\text{max}} = 3 \) and 6. Significant effects of interaction orders higher than \( dd \) can be seen near the potential minima. In Fig. 3, the higher-order interactions push the potential well up by \( \sim 6 \) MHz. Generally we have found that values of \( q_{\text{max}} \) of 5 or 6 are sufficient to model the adiabatic potentials. Also, if the Rydberg-atom state pair has a strong resonant coupling to another state pair via an accidental Förster resonance of multipole order \( q \leq 4 \), at large distances \( R \) the interaction energy scales as \( 1/R^{q+1} \), due to the \( R \)-scaling in Eq. (2). If there is no low-order Förster resonance, at large distances the dipolar van der Waals interaction usually dominates (scaling \( \propto 1/R^6 \)).
increase in \( q_{\text{max}} \) was found to be very modest, in comparison with the increase as a function of the basis size. Therefore, we usually use \( q_{\text{max}} = 6 \).

V. DEPENDENCE ON PRINCIPAL QUANTUM NUMBER

To determine the scaling behavior of the well depths and binding lengths with principal quantum number \( n \), we have performed a series of calculations of the adiabatic potentials for \( nD_J \) Rydberg-atom pairs with \( n = 56 \) to 62, and \( J = 3/2 \) or 5/2. The basis truncation parameters were \( \delta_l = 2.1, \ell \leq 4 \), and \( J_m J \mid m_J \leq 4.5 \), and maximal multipole order \( q_{\text{max}} = 6 \). From the calculations, for each \( n \) we have extracted the adiabatic potentials below the \((nD_J)_2\) asymptotes that exhibit a binding potential well, which should give rise to Rydberg macrodimers states. For most combinations \((n, M, J)\) exactly one such binding potential exists. For those, we determine the binding energies, \( V_{\text{min}} \), and corresponding binding lengths, \( R_{\text{equ}} \). These parameters are relevant to the preparation of Rydberg-atom macrodimers in experiments.

In Fig. 4, we present \( V_{\text{min}} \) and \( R_{\text{equ}} \) for \((nD_{5/2})_2\)-atom pairs. It is seen that the binding energy \( |V_{\text{min}}| \) decreases and binding length \( R_{\text{equ}} \) increases with increasing \( n \). For all cases of \( M, V_{\text{min}} \) exhibits a pronounced discontinuity between \( n = 58 \) and 59, which will be discussed further below in Fig. 5. In the following, we explain the results for \( M = 3 \) in detail: the results for all \( M \) are then summarized in tables. Due to the discontinuity, we perform partial allometric-function fits of the well depth over separate ranges \( n = 56-58 \) and \( n = 59-62 \),

\[
y = a(n - \delta_l)^b = a(n_{\text{eff}})^b, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \delta_l = 2.46 \) is the D-state quantum defect, \( a \) and \( b \) are the fitting parameters, with \( b \) denoting the power scaling in \( n_{\text{eff}} \). For \( M = 3 \), the fit parameters \( b_{V_{\text{min}}} = -4.08 \pm 0.18 \) for \( n = 56-58 \) and \( -4.76 \pm 0.52 \) for \( n = 59-62 \). The respective fit parameter for the binding length \( R_{\text{equ}} \), \( b_{R_{\text{equ}}} = 2.31 \pm 0.03 \). To compare the fit results for the various cases, in Table I we display the parameters \( V_{\text{min}} \) and \( R_{\text{equ}} \), and in Table II we list the corresponding fit parameters \( b \) for all combinations \((n, M, J)\). Averaging over \( M \), it is \( b_{V_{\text{min}}} (\text{ave}) = -4.7 \) and \( b_{R_{\text{equ}}} (\text{ave}) = 2.5 \). Therefore, the potential depth \( V_{\text{min}} \) scales faster than the Kepler frequency (which scales as \( n^{-3} \)), and the binding length faster than the Rydberg-atom size (which scales as \( n^2 \)).

To elucidate the origin of the discontinuities in Fig. 4, in Fig. 5 we plot the adiabatic potential curves for \( M = 3 \), for the cases \( n = 59 \) (a) and \( n = 58 \) (b). For \( n \geq 59 \), the potential exhibits one well, while for \( n \leq 58 \) the binding adiabatic potential is intersected by a repulsive potential that strongly couples with the binding potential, cutting the latter into two split wells. The two wells are separated by an avoided crossing that, in the case of Fig. 5(b), is centered at \(-120 \) MHz and has a gap size of about 40 MHz. For \( n \geq 59 \), the avoided crossing moves out of the binding adiabatic potential, towards lower values of \( R \), leaving merely a ledge [see circle in Fig. 5(a)].

VI. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD FOR PREPARING \((nD_J)_2\) RYDBERG-RYDBERG MACRODIMERS

Based on the calculations above, we put forward an experimental proposal for preparing \((nD_J)_2\) Rydberg-Rydberg macrodimers using a two-color double-resonant photoassociation method. The level diagram and two-color excitation sketch are displayed in Fig. 1(b). The first color (laser pulse \( A \)) resonantly excites Rydberg atom-\( A \) (seed atoms) from the ground state, and the second color (laser pulse \( B \)) is detuned relative to pulse \( A \) by an amount equal to the molecular binding energy. This sequence excites \( B \)-Rydberg-atoms close to
TABLE I. The binding energy, $V_{\text{min}}$ in MHz, and corresponding equilibrium internuclear distance, $R_{\text{eq}}$ in $\mu$m, of the adiabatic potentials for Rydberg-atom macrodimers, $(nD_J)$ $(n = 56 - 62, J = 5/2, 3/2)$ and $M = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$nD_J$</th>
<th>$(nD_{5/2})$</th>
<th>$(nD_{3/2})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M = 0$</td>
<td>$V_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>$R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-159.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>-170.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-147.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>-157.1 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-35.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fits parameters $b$ from Eq. 6 for the binding energy, $b_{V_{\text{min}}}$, and binding length, $b_{R_{\text{eq}}}$, due to the discontinuities at $n=58/59$ ($J=5/2$) and at $n=59/60$ ($J=3/2$), we have performed separate fits for the respective low- and high-$n$ domains (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$nD_J$</th>
<th>$b_{V_{\text{min}}}$</th>
<th>$b_{R_{\text{eq}}}$</th>
<th>$b_{V_{\text{min}}}^\prime$</th>
<th>$b_{R_{\text{eq}}}^\prime$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n = 56 - 58$</td>
<td>59 - 62</td>
<td>56 - 59</td>
<td>56 - 60</td>
<td>56 - 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.74 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-6.60 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-7.96 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.65 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-6.60 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-8.18 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4.22 ± 0.17</td>
<td>-6.70 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-9.21 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.98 ± 0.18</td>
<td>-4.59 ± 0.37</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-7.96 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>-4.65 ± 0.57</td>
<td>-4.81 ± 0.36</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. Adiabatic potentials for $(59D_{5/2})$ (a) and for $(58D_{5/2})$ (b) Rydberg pairs with $M = 3$. The truncation parameters are the same as in Fig. 2(a), and $q_{\text{max}} = 6$. The figure visualizes that an avoided crossing between two adiabatic potentials leads to a higher-$n$ domain with one binding well (a) and a lower-$n$ domain with two wells (b); more details see text.

FIG. 6. Calculations (symbols) of binding energy, $V_{\text{min}}$, (a) and corresponding binding length, $R_{\text{eq}}$ (b) and fits (solid lines) for $(nD_{3/2})$ Rydberg macrodimers. The figure is analogous to Fig. 4.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have numerically calculated the adiabatic potentials of cesium $nD_J$ Rydberg-atom pairs generated by electrostatic multipole interactions between Rydberg atoms. We have tested the convergence of the results as a function of the basis size and maximal multipole interaction order. We have found that a maximum order of 6...
is sufficient for convergence. However, for the high quantum numbers used in our work, it is not certain that basis sizes of about 12 thousand - the approximate limit in the present study - is sufficient to guarantee convergence; uncertainties of the potential depths on the order of 10 MHz may persist. We have determined the scaling behavior of the potential depth and binding length as function of the effective principal quantum number, $n_{\text{eff}0}$, and discussed our findings. We have seen that avoided crossings between adiabatic potentials lead to the emergence of double-well structures, which cause discontinuities of the well depths as a function of $n_{\text{eff}0}$. We also provide a two-color double-resonant photoassociation scheme for preparing $(nD_J)^2$ Rydberg-Rydberg macrodimers in experiments. Future work will deal with the convergence issue of Rydberg-pair molecule calculations, using larger product Hilbert spaces, as well as a survey of Rydberg-pair molecules with different angular-momentum quantum numbers (that may also be different for the two atoms involved). The results provide guidance for experimental work.
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