Rodlike counterions at heterogeneously charged surfaces
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We study the spatial and orientational distribution of rodlike counterions (such as mobile nanorods) as well as the effective interaction mediated by them between two plane-parallel surfaces that carry fixed (quenched) heterogeneous charge distributions. The rodlike counterions are assumed to have an internal charge distribution, specified by a monovalent monopolar moment and a finite quadrupolar moment, and the quenched surface charge is assumed to be randomly distributed with equal mean and variance on the two surfaces. While equally charged surfaces are known to repel within the traditional mean-field theories, the presence of multivalent counterions has been shown to cause attractive interactions between uniformly charged surfaces due to the prevalence of strong electrostatic couplings that grow rapidly with the counterion valency. We show that the combined effects due to electrostatic correlations (caused by the coupling between the mean surface field and the multivalent, monopolar, charge valency of counterions) as well as the disorder-induced interactions (caused by the coupling between the surface disorder field and the quadrupolar moment of counterions) lead to much stronger attractive interactions between two randomly charged surfaces. The interaction profile turns out to be a nonmonotonic function of the intersurface separation, displaying an attractive minimum at relatively small separations, where the ensuing attraction can exceed the maximum strong-coupling attraction (produced by multivalent monopolar counterions between uniformly charged surfaces) by more than an order of magnitude.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electrostatic interactions are ubiquitous in colloidal, soft and biological systems [1], and have been the focus of intense study for decades, yielding ever more sophisticated frameworks for their detailed understanding. Throughout this long history, we have witnessed a consistent refinement and sophistication of the methodological approaches as well as fine-tuning of the physical models ever since the original formulation of the mean-field Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) theory, and the primitive model of a Coulomb fluid (comprising mobile charged species in a base fluid), were introduced [2,11]. In part, this is due to a constantly increasing resolution of the experimental setups that can detect minute variations in direct interactions between charged nano- or macromolecular surfaces in a Coulomb fluid [12,14] and, in part it is due to the increased reliability of the simulation methods that have been able to incorporate ever more realistic features pertaining to experimentally accessible and observable systems [15–17]. In fact, it was the advanced simulations [18] that led to a paradigm shift, allowing for a simple conceptual framework to replace the mean-field picture for highly charged systems. This eventually led to advanced theories that could be applied to strongly coupled Coulomb systems [6,12,19–29]. The old PB picture was then upgraded to a dichotomy between the weak- and the strong-coupling approaches, delimiting the exact behavior of a Coulomb system at any value of electrostatic coupling, relevant not only conceptually but also directly applicable to the interpretation of experiments [50].

While these advances in upgrading the mean-field imagery of the PB theory for the primitive model Coulomb fluids are interesting in themselves [6], we are presently more concerned with generalizations of the basic physical models on which the PB formulation is based. The charged point-particle model for mobile ions in a Coulomb fluid neglects all ion-specific effects and includes only the ion valency, giving thus a one-parameter model, where the ions differ only in the amount of charge they bear. One straightforward way to amend this drawback, sharing some of the conceptual simplicity with the original PB theory, is to take into account the excess static ionic dipolar polarizability of the ions [21–57]. This can be further generalized by accounting for the next order quadrupolar polarizability [58,59], which, interestingly enough, eliminates some of the pesky infinities appearing in the nonpolarizable case. Another train of thought is to scrutinize the effects of the dipolar moment in the ionic charge distribution [60,61], or even higher order multipoles such as the quadrupolar moment, relevant for extended ions such as charged nanorods [1,40,42,43,62–64].

Another important feature at the very basis of the PB theory is the assumption that the charge distributions on
the nano-/macromolecular surfaces bounding a Coulomb fluid are known and controllable. This is often not the case as the surface charge distribution can heavily depend on the method of preparation of the sample, leading to a disordered component in the surface charge density. The coupling between electrostatic interactions and charge disorder has been discussed in some important cases, including surfactant-coated surfaces, random polyelectrolytes and polyampholytes, neutral heteropolymers with sequence-specific polarizabilities, nanoporous materials, graphene layers, and in the context of Casimir interactions predominantly relevant at the nanoscale. In all these cases, surface charge distributions often show a disordered component of the quenched type (i.e., they are not thermalized with the surrounding Coulomb fluid) that leaves its fingerprint on various system properties, in particular on effective intersurface/intermolecular forces.

Molecular quadrupoles are important for rodlike ions that are particularly prominent in the biological context: Short fragments of DNA and some of its condensing counterions, mostly notably physiological polyamines such as spermidine and spermine, are rodlike. F-actin is an anionic rodlike polyelectrolyte, cellular scaffold microtubules are rodlike, and the behavior of f d filamentous phages in solution can be understood based on a charged rod model. Strong electrostatic interactions were actually first invoked for the rodlike tobacco mosaic virus already in the seminal work of Bernal and Fankuchen, which is also one of the first cases of the application of the PB theory to biological systems. Charged rodlike viruses and viruslike nanoparticles have been used to assemble functional materials. In particular, surface alignment of filamentous plant-virus-derived nanoparticles (PVN) have been accomplished to enable the formation of PVN films, whose formation is controlled after the assembly or fabrication of the materials, leading its fingerprint on various system properties, in particular on effective intersurface/intermolecular forces.

Motivated by the numerous occurrences of charged rodlike particles in soft-/biomatter context, we were invariably led to investigate and clarify the electrostatic interactions engendered by a Coulomb fluid consisting of mobile rodlike counterions between charged bounding surfaces. This particular angle was discussed in both weak- and strong-coupling regimes in a previous publication by incorporating multipolar effects due to the extended internal charge of rodlike counterions and assuming that the bounding surfaces are planar and carry uniform surface charge densities. In what follows, we will advance further and combine the analysis of rodlike charge multipoles next to heterogeneously charged, planar bounding surfaces, characterized not only by their mean charge densities, but also a quenched disorder component in their charge distribution.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Our model and theoretical framework are introduced in Section II. The analytical aspects of our results are discussed in Section III with further numerical analyses presented in Section IV. The paper is concluded in Section V.

II. MODEL AND FRAMEWORK

Our model consists of two fixed, charged, plane-parallel surfaces positioned normal to the z-axis at $z = \pm d/2$, with $d$ being the intersurface separation filled with a polarizable solvent (e.g., water), containing charged mobile nanorods as rodlike surface ‘counterions’ (see Fig. 1). As our main goal is to elucidate the interplay between the multipolar nature of the internal counterion-charge distribution and the surface charge heterogeneity, we make several assumptions to circumvent some of the inherent complexities of the problem, and also to facilitate an analytical approach, illuminating the underlying physics.

First, we assume that the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_m$ is uniform across the system, presenting no discontinuity and, hence, no image charges, across the bounding surfaces.
(see, however, Refs. 9, 38, 39, 43, 97, 101 and references therein for typical effects due to image charges, which are to be considered within the present context elsewhere [122]). This implies that electrostatic interactions in three dimensions are determined by the free-space Coulomb kernel,

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_m |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (1)$$

Secondly, the rodlike counterions are assumed to be structurally rigid with uniaxial, mirror symmetric, internal charge distribution w.r.t. their center of charge (of position vector $\mathbf{R}$). This excludes any dipolar or odd-ordered multipolar moments, as is the case for (trivalent) spermidine and (tetravalent) spermine [102,109]. The tensorial quadrupolar moment is defined through

$$\hat{Q} = t n \otimes n$$

with $\mathbf{n}$ being the particle director, to be parameterized by a set of angular variables $\omega$. We further assume that the rodlike counterions are sufficiently short (as will be quantified later in Section IIIE), allowing for the following perturbative multipolar representation for their single-particle, charge density operator at the arbitrary observation point $\mathbf{r}$ in space,

$$\hat{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{r}}{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') + \cdots. \quad (2)$$

We shall only deal with terms up to the quadrupolar one, as the higher-order terms (hexadecapole and above) turn out to be negligible within the regime of interest here.

Thirdly, we assume that the planar surfaces have quenched, spatially heterogeneous, charge distribution, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, given by statistically identical, Gaussian, probability weights on the two surfaces with no intersurface correlations. We thus have equal mean surface charge densities, $\sigma$, and charge disorder variances, $g$, on the surfaces (also, with no loss of generality, we take $\sigma, q \geq 0$). Therefore, the mean and the two-point correlation function are

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = -\sigma \rho_0 \left[ \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{d}/2) + \delta(z + \mathbf{d}/2) \right], \quad (3)$$

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}') - \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = g e_0^2 \left[ \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{d}/2) + \delta(z + \mathbf{d}/2) \right] \delta(z - z') C(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}'), \quad (4)$$

where the brackets $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represent ensemble averages over different realizations of the disorder. The chosen form of the transverse disorder correlator, $C(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}')$, reflects the statistical homogeneity assumed in the transverse directions, $\mathbf{g} = (x, y)$. To model ‘patchy’ surface disorder with finite in-plane correlation length $\xi$, we adopt a Yukawa-type in-plane correlator in the form

$$C(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}') = \frac{1}{2\pi\xi^2} K_0 \left( \frac{|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}'|}{\xi} \right). \quad (5)$$

where $K_0(\cdot)$ is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function. In Fourier space spanned by the transverse wavevector $\mathbf{k}$, we get the Lorentzian form

$$C(k) = \frac{1}{\xi^2 k^2 + 1}, \quad k = |\mathbf{k}|. \quad (6)$$

The case of uncorrelated disorder is trivially reproduced by setting $\xi = 0$, giving $C(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}') = \delta(\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}')$, or $C(k) = 1$.

Finally, since mobile nanorods are often multivalent, they are expected to cause significant non-mean-field effects. These can generally be quantified using a dimensionless electrostatic coupling parameter, which scales with the third power of the counterion valency (see Eq. [109]) and, for tri- and tetravalent counterions, its magnitude can be in few hundreds [81,11]. Despite its intriguing non-mean-field features, the behavior of Coulomb fluids at such large couplings has been shown to be described well (against exact solutions [123,124], computer simulations [6,11,25,32] and even experiments [8,50]) using a virial expansion scheme, especially in its leading-order (single-particle) form known as the strong-coupling theory [24]. This is the approach we will adopt here as well.

Because of the quenched nature of the surface charge disorder, thermodynamic quantities of the system follow after proper sample-averaging is performed over the sample free energy $\mathcal{F}_N$ [125,126], defined based on the partition function for a given realization of the quenched charge distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. This can be done most conveniently using a grand-canonical formulation that, after necessary analytical manipulations, can be transformed back to a canonical one with $N$ counterions, to ensure the global electroneutrality of the system set by the relation

$$2\sigma A = qN, \quad (7)$$

where $A$ is the (infinite) area of each bounding surface. The aforementioned procedure is outlined in Appendix A. The disorder-averaged canonical free energy of the $N$-particle system considered here is then obtained as

$$\mathcal{F}_N = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$$

$$- N k_B T \ln \int d\mathbf{R} d\mathbf{r} \Omega(\mathbf{R}) e^{-\beta u(\mathbf{R}, \omega)}, \quad (8)$$

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$, with $T$ being the absolute ambient temperature and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant. The indicator function, $\Omega(\mathbf{R})$, is defined to be one in the spatial regions accessible to counterions and zero otherwise. The first and second terms in Eq. (8) give, respectively, the mean and the variance of the energy contributed by direct (bare) interactions between the surface charges (inclusive of the irrelevant self-interactions for each surface). The second term turns out to be a constant independent of the intersurface distance in the present context and, as such, will not be scrutinized any further (see Refs. 83, 97, for the cases in which this term is of primary role).

The last term in Eq. (8) gives the free energy contribution of the multivalent counterions to the leading order.
It involves the single-particle interaction energy between individual counterions and the surface charges, \( u(R, \omega) \), which can be decomposed as

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(R, \omega) &= u^{(0)}(R, \omega) + u^{(\text{dis})}(R, \omega). \\
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

The first and second terms in the expression give the mean and the variance of the single-particle interaction energy between counterions and the surface charge distributions. They are obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^{(0)}(R, \omega) &= \int \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}r' \rho_c(r; R, \omega)G(r, r')\rho_0(r'), \\
  u^{(\text{dis})}(R, \omega) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \int \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}r' \mathrm{d}r'' \rho_c(r; R, \omega)G(r', r'') \\
  &\times \mathcal{G}(r''', r'''')\rho_c(r''''; R, \omega). \\
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

(11)

We will also be interested in the disorder-averaged number density profile of counterions,

\[
c(r) = \frac{N \Omega(r) \int \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{e}^{-\beta u(r, \omega)}}{\int \mathrm{d}R \mathrm{d}\omega \Omega(R) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta u(R, \omega)}},
\]

(12)

and in the orientational order of rodlike counterions to be quantified using the tensorial quantity and in the orientational order of rodlike counterions to within the slit region as

\[
S_{zz}(r) = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}\omega \left( \frac{3n^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta u(r, \omega)}}{\int \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{e}^{-\beta u(r, \omega)}}.
\]

(13)

The theoretical framework outlined above is applicable to any geometries for fixed bounding surfaces carrying quenched, Gaussian-distributed, disorder charges and is also applicable to any choice of rigid, internal, charge distribution for the mobile counterions as long as the system is kept within the strong-coupling regime (Section III E). Possible extension to include salt screening and dielectric image-charge effects will be straightforward [122].

### III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

#### A. Dimensionless representation

The results to be discussed later for the plane-parallel geometry can efficiently be expressed using a dimensionless representation obtained by dividing all energy scales with \( k_B T \) and all length scales with the Gouy-Chapman length, \( \ell_B = \frac{e_0^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_m k_B T} \) is the Bjerrum length. The normal coordinate, the inter-surface separation, and the in-plane disorder correlation length (‘patch’ size) are thus rescaled, respectively, as

\[
\tilde{z} = \frac{z}{\mu}, \quad \tilde{d} = \frac{d}{\mu}, \quad \tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\mu}.
\]

(14)

The quadrupolar moment, \( t \), of the rodlike counterions or, equivalently, their effective elongation (length) \( 2\ell \), defined through \( \ell \equiv \sqrt{2t / q} \), are rescaled as

\[
\tilde{t} = \frac{t}{q\mu^2} = \frac{\ell^2}{2}.
\]

(15)

This effective length is the only length-scale, which is associated with the internal structure of counterions in our model. For a charged rod, the effective length can generally be different from its actual end-to-end distance, as the former corresponds to the end-to-end length of an equivalent dumbbell, having the same mono-/quadrupolar moments as the charged rod (Appendix B).

The mean surface charge density, \( \sigma \), and the surface charge variance, \( q \), bring in other characteristic length-scales, which lead to additional dimensionless parameters; namely, the electrostatic coupling parameter

\[
\Xi = 2\pi q^2 \ell_B^2 \sigma,
\]

(16)

which coincides with the rescaled Bjerrum length, that is, \( \Xi = q^2 \ell_B / \mu \), and the disorder coupling (or strength) parameter

\[
\chi = 2\pi q^2 \ell_B^2 g.
\]

(17)

The electrostatic coupling parameter does not appear explicitly in our analytical expressions as the strong-coupling formulation used here formally corresponds to the leading-order theory obtained in the large \( \Xi \) limit [24]. \( \Xi \) will, however, appear explicitly, when the regime of applicability of the theory to realistic systems, having finite values of \( \Xi \), is considered; see Section III E and Appendix C.

Finally, the Fourier-transformed transverse disorder correlator in rescaled representation reads

\[
\tilde{C}(\tilde{k}) = \frac{1}{\xi^2 \tilde{k}^2 + 1},
\]

(18)

while the counterion density profile, \( c(\tilde{z}) \), the canonical free energy, \( F_N \), and the pressure \( P \) exerted on the bounding surfaces are rescaled, respectively, as

\[
c(\tilde{z}) = \frac{c(\mu \tilde{z})}{2\pi \ell_B^2 \sigma^2}, \quad \tilde{F} = \frac{\beta F_N}{N}, \quad \tilde{P} = \frac{\beta P}{2\pi \ell_B^2 \sigma^2}.
\]

(19)

#### B. General expressions

We now proceed by calculating the single-particle (counterion-surface) interaction energy, \( u(R, \omega) \), defined
through Eqs. (9)-(11). Focusing on the slit region between the two surfaces, where counterions are permitted to disperse, the single-particle interaction energy can be expressed as

\[ \tilde{u}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = \tilde{u}^{(0)}(\tilde{z}, \theta) + \tilde{u}^{(\text{dis})}(\tilde{z}, \theta). \]  

(20)

Using Eq. (10), \( \tilde{u}^{(0)} \) is found to be a constant,

\[ \tilde{u}^{(0)} = \tilde{d}, \]

(21)

reflecting the fact that the bare external electric field in the slit vanishes on average due to taking (equal) mean charge densities on the two surfaces.

Using Eq. (11), we find the contribution originating from the surface charge disorder, which can be decomposed into three different terms as

\[ \tilde{u}^{(\text{dis})}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = \tilde{u}_{\text{qq}}(\tilde{z}) + 2\tilde{u}_{\text{qt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta) + \tilde{u}_{\text{tt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta). \]  

(22)

These terms can be calculated by expressing the spatial integrals over the transverse coordinate, \( \theta \), as integrals over the transverse (Fourier) wavevector, \( \mathbf{k} \), with rescaled norm \( \tilde{k} = k\mu \). We thus find (i) the contribution due merely to the monopolar charge of the counterions,

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{qq}}(\tilde{z}) = -\frac{\chi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}} C(\tilde{k}) \left[ e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} - \tilde{z})} + e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} + \tilde{z})} \right], \]

(23)

(ii) the contribution originating from both monopolar and quadrupolar moments of the counterion charge,

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{qt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = -\frac{\chi I}{2} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} \right) \times \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tilde{k} \tilde{k} C(\tilde{k}) \left[ e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} - \tilde{z})} + e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} + \tilde{z})} \right], \]

(24)

and (iii) the contribution due purely to the quadrupolar moment of counterions,

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{tt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = -\frac{\chi I^2}{2} \left( \cos^4 \theta + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 (2\theta) + \frac{3}{8} \sin^4 \theta \right) \times \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tilde{k} \tilde{k}^3 C(\tilde{k}) \left[ e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} - \tilde{z})} + e^{-2\tilde{k}(\tilde{d} + \tilde{z})} \right]. \]

(25)

It is evident that the contributions involving the quadrupolar moment (being the only terms that also depend on counterion orientation) will be present only if a finite degree of surface charge disorder is present. In other words, multipolar terms emerge provided the counterions would experience non-uniform local fields. In any case, the disorder-induced terms (23)-(25) are found to be directly proportional to the disorder coupling strength.

Defining the dimensionless integrals

\[ I_{\nu}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tilde{k} \tilde{k}^{\nu-1} C(\tilde{k}) e^{-\tilde{k}x}, \]

(26)

the above-mentioned terms can be expressed as

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{qq}}(\tilde{z}) = -\frac{\chi}{2} \left[ I_{0}(d - 2\tilde{z}) + I_{0}(d + 2\tilde{z}) \right], \]

(27)

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{qt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = -\frac{\chi I}{2} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} \right) \times \left[ I_{2}(d - 2\tilde{z}) + I_{2}(d + 2\tilde{z}) \right], \]

(28)

\[ \tilde{u}_{\text{tt}}(\tilde{z}, \theta) = -\frac{\chi I^2}{2} \left( \cos^4 \theta + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 (2\theta) + \frac{3}{8} \sin^4 \theta \right) \times \left[ I_{4}(d - 2\tilde{z}) + I_{4}(d + 2\tilde{z}) \right]. \]

(29)

It is to be noted that \( \tilde{u}_{\text{qq}}, \tilde{u}_{\text{qt}} \) and \( \tilde{u}_{\text{tt}} \) depend explicitly on \( \tilde{d} \), even though \( \tilde{d} \) is not explicitly shown as an argument variable for them.

The rescaled number density profile of counterions (12) can now be evaluated as

\[ \tilde{c}(\tilde{z}) = \frac{2\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{z})}{\int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) e^{-\tilde{u}(\tilde{z}, \theta)}}, \]

(30)

where the indicator function \( \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{z}) \) will be specified more precisely later (see Section III E). The orientational order parameter (13) is also given by

\[ S(\tilde{z}) = \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) P_{2}(\cos \theta) e^{-\tilde{u}(\tilde{z}, \theta)}}{\int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) e^{-\tilde{u}(\tilde{z}, \theta)}}, \]

(31)

where \( P_{2}(\cos \theta) = (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)/2 \) is the Legendre polynomial of second degree and we have omitted the \( \tilde{z} \) subscript from the previous notation used in Eq. (13). Finally, the rescaled free energy of the plane-parallel system follows from Eq. (8) as

\[ \tilde{F} = -\frac{\tilde{d}}{2} - \ln \int d\tilde{z} d(\cos \theta) \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{z}) e^{-\tilde{u}(\tilde{z}, \theta)}, \]

(32)

giving the effective interaction pressure (on the bounding surfaces due to the disorder-induced and the counterion-induced Coulomb interactions in the system) as

\[ \tilde{P} = -2 \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial \tilde{d}}. \]

(33)

C. Uncorrelated disorder: Surface singularities

In the special case of uncorrelated surface charge disorder (\( \xi = 0 \)), we have \( \tilde{C}(\tilde{k}) = 1 \), and

\[ I_{\nu}(x) = x^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu), \]

(34)

where \( \Gamma(\nu) \) is the Gamma function. In this case, the disorder-induced contributions to the single-particle interaction energy follow in closed form by inserting the explicit form of \( I_{\nu}(\cdot) \) in expressions (27)-(29). Interestingly enough, these terms all turn out to be singular at
the bounding surfaces located at \( \tilde{z} = \pm d/2 \); that is, in an interval of sufficiently small size \( \zeta_{\pm} \equiv (d/2) \mp \tilde{z} \) (note that \( |\tilde{z}| < d/2 \) and, hence, \( \zeta_{\pm} > 0 \), we have

\[
\tilde{u}_{aq} \sim \frac{\chi}{2} \ln \zeta_{\pm}, \quad \tilde{u}_{qt} \sim -\frac{\chi^2}{2} \zeta_{\pm}^{-2}, \quad \tilde{u}_{tt} \sim -\frac{\chi^2}{2} \zeta_{\pm}^{-4}.
\] (35)

These expressions also indicate that the counterion-surface interactions arising from charge disorder are attractive and become shorter-ranged (and even more singular at the surfaces) at the higher orders of multipoles. Thus, rodlike counterions are expected to accumulate more strongly at randomly charged surfaces as compared with pointlike counterions of identical monopolar charge.

The monopolar disorder-induced singularity leads to an algebraic divergence in the counterion density profiles, \( \tilde{c}(\tilde{z}) \sim \zeta_{\pm}^{-1/2} \), on approach to the boundaries, as discussed in detail elsewhere \([82, 85]\). The multipolar terms \( \tilde{u}_{qt} \) and \( \tilde{u}_{tt} \), on the other hand, produce essential singularities of the forms \( \sim \exp(\zeta_{\pm}^{-2}) \) and \( \sim \exp(\zeta_{\pm}^{-4}) \), respectively, in the counterion density at the boundaries.

One must however note that, in our treatment of higher-order multipoles introduced initially through Eq. (2), we have assumed that the higher-order terms are perturbatively small. Hence, we must ensure that the terms of growing multipolar order in the single-particle interaction (Eq. (22)) also become increasingly small. Equation (35) indicates that this condition is met for

\[
\tilde{c} \zeta_{\pm}^{-2} < 1,
\] (36)

or, equivalently, \( \zeta_{\pm} > \tilde{\ell}/\sqrt{2} \); see Eq. (15).

**D. Correlated (patchy) disorder**

For correlated surface charge disorder, we use the Lorentzian correlator \([18]\). In this case, the integrals \( I_\nu(x) \), Eq. (26), are given in terms of the hypergeometric functions. We only require \( I_0 \), \( I_2 \) and \( I_4 \) that can be expressed using sine/cosine integrals, \( \text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x ds \sin s/s \) and \( \text{Ci}(x) = -\int_x^\infty ds \cos s/s \), as

\[
I_0(x) = -\ln\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) + \text{Ci}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)
- \sin\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \text{Si}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right),
\]

\[
I_2(x) = -\tilde{\xi}^{-2} \left(I_0(x) + \ln\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right),
\]

\[
I_4(x) = -\tilde{\xi}^{-4} \left(I_2(x) - \frac{\tilde{\xi}^2}{x^2}\right),
\] (39)

with an irrelevant additive constant omitted in Eq. (37). One can verify that \( I_0(x) \) remains finite in the limit \( x \to 0 \), meaning that the logarithmic singularity due to the purely monopolar contribution, \( \tilde{u}_{aq} \), is automatically regularized, when the surface charge disorder has a finite in-plane correlation. The mixed mono-quadrupolar and the purely quadrupolar terms, \( \tilde{u}_{qt} \) and \( \tilde{u}_{tt} \), involving \( I_2 \) and \( I_4 \), respectively, still show singularities, albeit weaker ones as compared with their counterparts in the case of uncorrelated disorder, Eq. (35). In general, as \( \tilde{\xi} \) is increased, the disorder effects decrease, and eventually vanish for \( \tilde{\xi} \to \infty \), even if the disorder strength parameter, \( \chi \), is fixed.

**E. Regime of validity and choice of parameters**

Before proceeding further with our numerical analyses, which will require specific numerical values to be assigned to the system parameters, we shall first discuss the regime of applicability of the current approach over the parameter space. This can be achieved through the following validity criteria.

**Strong-coupling criterion.** The strong-coupling framework used here (see Section II and Appendix A) is based on a single-particle expression for the partition function of the system obtained on the leading order from a systematic virial and 1/\( \Xi \) expansion \([24]\). This mirrors the fact that, at large electrostatic coupling strengths, \( \Xi \gg 1 \), counterions are isolated in increasingly large correlation holes within strongly correlated (or, even, Wigner crystalline) quasi-two-dimensional layers, which they form over (oppositely) charged boundaries. Being confirmed by extensive numerical simulations \([6–12, 25–42]\), this picture implies that the limiting theory for the two-surface geometry remains valid as long as the inter-surface separation, \( d \), is smaller than the lateral spacing, \( 2a_{\perp} \), between counterions that are strongly attracted to the charged planar surfaces at sufficiently large couplings. This lateral spacing is given approximately as \( a_{\perp} \approx (q/2\pi\sigma)^{1/2} \) or, in rescaled units, \( \tilde{a}_{\perp} \approx \sqrt{\tilde{\Xi}} \). This leads to the well-established criterion that the limiting theory can safely be applied to systems with finite electrostatic coupling \( \tilde{\Xi} \), provided

\[
\tilde{d} < 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Xi}}.
\] (40)

Outside this regime of validity, the finite-\( \tilde{\Xi} \) corrections to the limiting theory become important \([25, 26, 45]\).

**Multipole-expansion criterion.** The condition for the validity of the multipole expansion scheme, \( \zeta_{\pm} > \tilde{\ell}/\sqrt{2} \) (see Eq. (36)), can be satisfied by adopting a finite cutoff or closest-approach distance, \( a \), or in rescaled units \( \tilde{a} = a/\mu \), in such a way that

\[
\frac{\tilde{\ell}}{\sqrt{2}} < \tilde{a} < \zeta_{\pm}.
\] (41)

This means that the rescaled indicator function, which is used to confine the counterions to the slit region between the surfaces, is to be defined as

\[
\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{z}) \to \tilde{\Omega}\left(\tilde{z}, \frac{\tilde{a}}{d}\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{\tilde{a}}{d} - |\tilde{z}|\right),
\] (42)
where $\Theta(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside step function. This ensures that the counterions do not enter the interfacial regions $\zeta_{\pm} < \tilde{a}$ and, hence, the essential singularities mentioned above are properly regularized. The condition (41) also justifies an implicit assumption made through Eq. (42) that the indicator function is independent of the counterion orientation.

**Gaussian criterion.**—In taking a Gaussian distribution for the quenched charge disorder on the bounding surfaces, we have implicitly assumed that the variations of the surface charge around its mean is small (see Ref. [84]). This assumption generally agrees with the multipole-expansion criterion as well, because the higher-order multipoles are more strongly enhanced as the disorder $\xi$ is increased (Section III B). This sets another validity criterion for the current approach as

$$\frac{\tilde{a}}{\sigma} = \frac{q\chi}{\tilde{\xi}} < 1. \quad (43)$$

**Steric-interaction criterion.**—Due to their strong accumulation at the charged surfaces, multivalent (rodlike) counterions, which in actual systems will be of finite lengths, could interact sterically, when their interspacing is smaller than their size. Thus, being also ignored in our model, such excluded-volume effects can be avoided by taking the effective rod elongation $\tilde{\ell} < a_{\perp}$, which condition is automatically fulfilled once the closest-approach distance (which, by construction, fulfills $2a < d$) is chosen to satisfy Eq. (41).

In what follows, the rescaled parameter is varied in such a way as to satisfy the above criteria and also to represent real-life examples of rodlike counterions, when transformed back to actual units, as further discussed in Appendix C. In particular, the disorder coupling parameter is increased from $\chi = 0$ up to around (or slightly above) 10, the rescaled quadrupolar moment of rodlike counterions from $\tilde{\ell} = 0$ up to 2 (or, equivalently, their effective half-length from $\tilde{\ell} = 0$ up to 2; see Eq. (15)), and the rescaled intersurface distance from its minimum value of $\tilde{d} = 2\tilde{a}$ up to around $7\tilde{a}$. The rescaled in-plane correlation length, $\tilde{\xi}$, is varied over a wide range of values. The closest-approach distance will be set equal to its minimum admissible value (see Eq. (41)) as

$$\tilde{a} = \tilde{\ell}/\sqrt{2} = \tilde{\ell}. \quad (44)$$

### IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. Counterion density profile

We first concentrate on the case of rodlike counterions confined between two planar surfaces covered with *uncorrelated* disordered charge distributions ($\xi = 0$). The density profiles of counterions can be computed using Eq. (30) and the appropriate expressions from Sections III B and III C. The results are shown in dimensionless scale in Fig. 2(a) for fixed $\tilde{d} = 5$, $\tilde{\ell} = 1$ (hence, $\tilde{a} = 1$ through Eq. (44)), and different values of $\chi = 0, \cdots, 10$ (with the corresponding curves appearing from top to bottom at $\tilde{z} = 0$). The density profiles are shown only within the interval $|\tilde{z}| < \tilde{d}/2 - \tilde{a}$ accessible to counterions.

The reference case of rodlike counterions between uniformly charged surfaces with $\chi = 0$ is shown as gray dashed line. The (strong-coupling) density profile in this case is spatially uniform across the slit region between the surfaces and is straightforwardly obtained as

$$\tilde{c}_0(\tilde{z}) = \frac{2}{\tilde{d} - 2\tilde{a}} \tilde{\Omega} \left( \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{d}} \right). \quad (45)$$

The even distribution of counterions in the slit in this case corroborates the previously obtained result [43] that higher-order multipoles (beyond the monopolar charge) of the internal charge distribution of counterions make no contribution to the strong-coupling behavior of the system, unless there are field sources (e.g., dielectric...
image charges as in Ref. [43], or surface charge disorder, as in the present context) creating spatially varying external potentials within the slit.

As seen in the plot, counterions are accumulated near the charged surfaces, at the outer extremities of the shown interval at $|\tilde{z}_s| = d/2 - \tilde{a} = 1.5$, and are depleted from the midplane at $\tilde{z} = 0$, when the surfaces possess a nonvanishing degree of charge disorder $\chi > 0$. The ‘contact’ density $\tilde{c}(\pm \tilde{z}_s)$ is increased by 100% for moderately large disorder coupling parameters $\chi \sim 2$, and rapidly grows as $\chi$ is further increased. This reflects the singular nature of the disorder-induced attractive interactions that enter through $\tilde{u}^{(\text{dis})}$, Eq. (22), and diverge at the position of the two surfaces.

To disentangle the effects due to the surface charge disorder and those from the quadrupolar moment of counterions, we show the density profile of counterions in Fig. 2(a) with $\chi = 5$, $\tilde{d} = 5$ and $\tilde{a} = 1$, by considering three separate cases: $\tilde{t} = 1$ (reproduced from panel (a)), the special case with $\tilde{t} = 0$ (where quadrupolar effects are set to zero, while the disorder effects are still included through the monopolar counterion-surface interaction terms), and the reference case with $\chi = 0$ (where both quadrupolar and disorder effects are absent). The deviations between the two latter cases (compare orange solid curve and gray dashed line) represent the effects due merely to the coupling between the monopolar moment of counterions and the disordered charges on the two surfaces, through the term $\tilde{u}_{q_t}$ in Eq. (22). The density profile for $\tilde{t} = 0$ (corresponding, e.g., to spherical counterions of radius $a$) in the slit can be obtained as

$$\tilde{c}(\tilde{z}) \bigg|_{\tilde{t}=0} = \frac{2C_0^{-1}(\chi, \tilde{a}/\tilde{d})}{(\tilde{d} - 2\tilde{a})} \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\tilde{z}^2}{\tilde{d}^2} \right)^{-\chi/2} \tilde{\Omega} \left( \tilde{z}, \tilde{a}/\tilde{d} \right),$$

where $C_0(\chi, \tilde{a}/	ilde{d}) = 2\Xi_2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \left( 1 - \frac{2\tilde{a}}{\tilde{d}} \right)^2 \right)$, with special values $C_0(0, \tilde{a}/\tilde{d}) = 1$, which leads to Eq. (45), and $C_0(\chi, 0) = 2^{-1+\chi} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma (1 - \chi/2) / \Gamma (3/2 - \chi/2)$, which reproduces the previously obtained result for pointlike counterions in Refs. [82, 87].

Figure 2(b) also shows that, although the surface accumulation (or, midplane depletion) of counterion is highly enhanced by the monopolar term alone, the combined effects due to the cooperation between the surface charge disorder and the quadrupolar moment of counterions, entering through the terms $\tilde{u}_{q_t}$ and $\tilde{u}_{t\tilde{t}}$ in Eq. (22), can lead to a sizably larger effect (compare blue and orange curves). This can be quantified using the quantity $\Delta c(\tilde{z})$ which is plotted in Figure 3 for fixed $\chi = 5$, $\tilde{d} = 5$, and for different values of $\tilde{t}$, where $\tilde{a}$ is suitably adjusted through Eq. (14). The regions of (midplane) depletion with $\Delta c(\tilde{z}) < 0$ and (near-surface) enhancement of counterion density with $\Delta c(\tilde{z}) > 0$ are clearly discerned. It is interesting to note that different curves plotted for

![FIG. 3. (a) Orientational order parameter, $S$, of rodlike counterions as a function of the rescaled normal coordinate $\tilde{z}$ between two randomly charged surfaces with no in-plane disorder correlations ($\tilde{\xi} = 0$), and for fixed $\tilde{d} = 5$, $\tilde{t} = 1$ ($\tilde{a} = 1$), and different values of $\chi$, as indicated on the graph. (b) Same as (a) but $S$ is plotted at the closest-approach distance to the surfaces $|\tilde{z}_s| = d/2 - \tilde{a}$ as a function of $\chi$ for different values of $\tilde{t}$ and fixed $\tilde{d} = 5$, with suitably adjusted $\tilde{a}$, Eq. (14). Inset shows the same quantity as a function of the rescaled disorder correlation length, $\tilde{\xi}$, where we have fixed $\chi = 5$.](image-url)
pears to remain nearly isotropic for small to moderately large values of $\chi$, especially in and around the midplane region. Closer to the bounding surfaces and/or for sufficiently large $\chi$, as shown in Figs. [a] and [b], the order parameter takes larger positive values approaching one. This means that the combined effects due to the disorder-induced and the quadrupole-induced interactions tend to orient the rodlike counterions along the $z$-axis perpendicular to the planar surfaces. This physical picture is qualitatively different from the one obtained for rodlike counterions between uniformly charged bounding surfaces with dielectric image charges, where counterions predominantly align parallel to the surfaces [33].

When the charge disorder on the bounding surfaces has a finite correlation length $\xi$, our numerical results support the general observation in Section III.D that the disorder effects gradually diminish as the correlation length is increased to infinity. Consequently, the counterion density profile becomes increasingly more even (not shown) and the counterion orientation (Fig. [b] inset) becomes more isotropic, as $S$ drops to smaller values, tending to zero as $\xi$ is increased to infinity.

C. Effective interaction pressure

Figure [a] shows the effective interaction pressure acting on randomly charged surfaces ($\xi = 0$) with mobile rodlike counterions in between as obtained from Eqs. [32] and [33]. The results are shown in rescaled units as a function of the intersurface distance for different values of the disorder coupling parameter, $\chi$, as indicated on the graph, at fixed $\tilde{t} = 1$ and $\tilde{a} = 1$ (colored solid curves). We also show the interaction pressure for the same parameter values by setting $\tilde{t} = 0$ (colored dashed curves). For comparison, the reference case of counterions between uniformly charged surfaces ($\chi = 0$) is also plotted as a gray dashed curve, which is analytically obtained as

$$P_0(\tilde{d}) = -1 + \frac{2}{\tilde{d} - 2\tilde{a}}, \quad (48)$$

which, as noted before, coincides with the result in the case a monopolar system of counterions ($\chi = \tilde{t} = 0$), provided other system parameters are kept the same. $P_0(\tilde{d})$ decreases monotonically with $\tilde{d}$ and becomes attractive for $\tilde{d} > 2 + 2\tilde{a}$. This attraction is a direct consequence of the strong-coupling electrostatics due to multivalent counterions as extensively reviewed before [6] [11] [36].

The differences between the colored dashed curves ($\tilde{t} = 0$) and the reference, gray dashed curve thus represent the effects, stemming merely from the surface charge disorder, without accounting for the additional quadrupolar effects, that can be identified by the differences found between the colored dashed curves and the corresponding solid curves (same $\chi$ values) in the figure. One can also infer that, while the disorder alone can produce a more attractive (negative) pressure profile, with a mildly non-monotonic shape, the combination of quadrupolar and disorder effects produces a much more attractive profile across the range of small to intermediate intersurface separations, with a pronounced local minimum, whose location shifts toward small values of intersurface separation, as $\chi$ is increased. The pressure profiles for $\tilde{t} > 0$ (solid) and $\tilde{t} = 0$ (dashed curves) merge at sufficiently large separations, indicating that the large-separation behavior of the interaction pressure is primarily determined by the surface charge distribution and the net monopolar charge of the counterions, which are kept the same for the different curves shown in the plot.

The maximum intersurface attraction pressure can be larger, by more than an order of magnitude in the figure, than the largest value obtained in the reference, non-disordered, case as $P_0 \to -1$, when $\tilde{d} \to \infty$ (this limiting pressure can be as larger as a few atm in actual units; see Appendix C).

In the situation where surfaces bear disordered charge distributions of finite in-plane correlation length, $\xi$, the attractive pressure mediated between the bounding surfaces decreases in magnitude as the correlation length is increased. Hence, the electrostatic effects due to charge
disorder are generally weakened, approaching the reference case of no charge disorder as shown in Fig. 4(b).

V. SUMMARY

We have studied the strong-coupling electrostatics of mobile rodlike counterions (charged nanorods) confined within a slit region between heterogeneously charged plane-parallel surfaces immersed in a bathing polar solution. The counterions possess both monopolar and quadrupolar moments in their internal charge distribution. While the monopolar moment couples only to the mean charge density of the bounding surfaces, quadrupolar moments are generally weakened, approaching the reference case of no charge disorder as shown in Fig. 4(b).

Our results are based on a few simplifying assumptions that can be improved within the same theoretical framework as used in this work. These include the image charges from interfacial dielectric discontinuities, the added salt, and the finite spatial extension of the counterions that can be included using dumbbell-like particles [9, 40–43, 62–64]. The surface charge can show variable degrees of quenched and annealed behavior [84, 92], constituting another interesting direction for future investigation of charged nanorods at heterogeneously charged boundaries.
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Appendix A: Overview of strong-coupling approach

For a given realization $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{r})$ of the quenched charge distribution, the Hamiltonian of the system under con-
Hence, the properly averaged free energy of the canonical partition function can be written as
\[
\mathcal{H}_N = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r} \left[ \hat{\rho}_N(\mathbf{r}) + \rho(\mathbf{r}) \right] G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \left[ \hat{\rho}_N(\mathbf{r}') + \rho(\mathbf{r}') \right],
\]
where we have omitted the self-energy terms that are of no consequence in the present context (see, however, Ref. [43] and defined the total charge density operator of counterions as \( \hat{\rho}_N(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\rho}_i(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}_i, \omega_i) \) (see Section II for the definitions). The canonical partition function of the system with \( N \) rodlike counterions thus reads
\[
Z_N[\rho] = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\omega_i \Omega(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_N}, \tag{A2}
\]
where \( \lambda_i \) is the thermal wavelength of counterions. An efficient field-theoretic representation can be obtained for the grand-canonical partition function, \( \mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \lambda_N Z_N \) (with \( \lambda_0 \) being the bare fugacity), through a standard Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation over the fluctuating potential field \( \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \) [2]. We thus arrive (up to an irrelevant prefactor) at
\[
\mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] = \int \frac{D\varphi}{\sqrt{\det v}} e^{-\beta S_\lambda[\varphi; \rho]}. \tag{A3}
\]
The effective electrostatic field-action is given by
\[
S_\lambda[\varphi; \rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \varphi(\mathbf{r}) G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \varphi(\mathbf{r}') + i \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) - \lambda k_B T \int d\mathbf{r} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \Omega(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\beta \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_i(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) \varphi(\mathbf{r})}, \tag{A4}
\]
where \( \lambda = \lambda_0/\lambda_i^2 \) is the redefined fugacity, \( G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\varepsilon_0 e_m \nabla^2 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \) is the inverse Coulomb kernel, and \( \hat{\rho}_i(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) \) the single-particle charge density operator [2]. In the case of quenched disorder [125, 126], the thermodynamic free energy of the system follows by averaging the sample free energy (as opposed to averaging the sample partition function itself as would be required for annealed disorder [24, 97, 98, 101]) over the disorder field as \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda = -k_B T \langle \ln \mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] \rangle \). It is clear that the grand-canonical formulation does not generally respect the global electroneutrality of the system, which can be restored (after the necessary calculations, e.g., explicit evaluation of the partition function \( \mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] \), are performed) by transforming the final results back to the canonical ensemble. This can be done by fixing the fugacity \( \lambda = \lambda(N) \) from the standard relation \( N = -\beta \partial \mathcal{F}_\lambda / \partial \ln \lambda \), with \( N \) being set by the electroneutrality condition \([7] \). Hence, the properly averaged free energy of the canonical system is obtained by Legendre transformation as \( \mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_\lambda(N) + N k_B T \ln \lambda(N) \).

The calculation of \( \mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] \) seldom yields itself to exact solution [2, 128, 124] rather than approximate methods or numerical simulations. As mentioned in the text, since charged nanorods typically possess a large net charge valency, one can use a virial expansion, in combination with a \( 1/\ell \) expansion, to derive the limiting, strong-coupling, behavior of the system [21]. Despite its asymptotic nature, the strong-coupling theory, and its recent generalizations [9], provide a simple, and yet powerful, analytical framework, which can successfully be used within the validity regimes that cover wide ranges of realistic parameter values, as shown by numerous simulations [6, 11, 25–42] and also experiments [8, 50]. The limiting theory can nevertheless be improved using systematic calculations of higher-order corrections [21, 26, 43], or through alternative approximation methods [16, 19].

To establish the limiting theory in the present context, we virial-expand the grand-canonical partition function as \( \mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] \approx \mathcal{Z}_0[\rho] + \lambda \mathcal{Z}_1[\rho] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \), giving the leading-order sample free energy \( \beta \mathcal{F}_\lambda[\rho] = -\ln \mathcal{Z}_0[\rho] - \lambda \mathcal{Z}_1[\rho] / \mathcal{Z}_0[\rho] \), with
\[
\mathcal{Z}_0[\rho] = \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') \right], \tag{A5}
\]
\[
\mathcal{Z}_1[\rho] = \lambda \mathcal{Z}_0[\rho] \int d\mathbf{r} d\omega \Omega(\mathbf{R}) \exp \left[ -\beta \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_i(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') \right]. \tag{A6}
\]
The above expressions can be averaged over the (Gaussian) disorder field using Eqs. [3] and [4]. One then obtains the thermodynamic free energy \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \langle \mathcal{F}_\lambda[\rho] \rangle \) as
\[
\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - \lambda k_B T \int d\mathbf{r} d\omega \Omega(\mathbf{R}) e^{-\beta u(\mathbf{R}, \omega)}, \tag{A7}
\]
where \( u(\mathbf{R}, \omega) \) is obtained as in Eqs. [9], [10]. Upon the transformation back to the canonical ensemble, we find the fugacity
\[
\lambda = -\frac{N}{\int d\mathbf{R} d\omega \Omega(\mathbf{R}) e^{-\beta u(\mathbf{R}, \omega)}}, \tag{A8}
\]
which enables one to reproduce the canonical expressions for the free energy, counterion density and orientational order parameter as in Eqs. [8], [12] and [13].

Appendix B: Effective dumbbell model

While actual rodlike counterions have a finite elongation (length) \( 2\ell_0 \), our model takes into account the rod length only through its quadrupolar moment \( t \). This brings in the notation of effective rod length \( \ell \), where \( \ell = \sqrt{2\ell_0} \), Eq. [15], can be interpreted as the half-length of an equivalent dumbbell having the same monopolar and quadrupolar moments, as noted in the text. This can be seen by constructing such a charged dumbbell with two pointlike end-caps each carrying a charge of \( qe/2 \) and being placed uniaxially and at equal distances \( \ell \) on this can be done by fixing the fugacity \( \lambda = \lambda(N) \) from the standard relation \( N = -\beta \partial \mathcal{F}_\lambda / \partial \ln \lambda \), with \( N \) being set by the electroneutrality condition [7]. Hence, the properly averaged free energy of the canonical system is obtained by Legendre transformation as \( \mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_\lambda(N) + N k_B T \ln \lambda(N) \).

The calculation of \( \mathcal{Z}_\lambda[\rho] \) seldom yields itself to exact solution [2, 128, 124] rather than approximate methods or numerical simulations. As mentioned in the text, since charged nanorods typically possess a large net charge valency, one can use a virial expansion, in combination with a \( 1/\ell \) expansion, to derive the limiting, strong-coupling, behavior of the system [21].
the two side of its center of charge $\mathbf{R}$, giving the charge density operator $\hat{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) = (q_\omega/2) \{ \delta(\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{R} + \ell)) + \delta(\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{R} - \ell)) \}$. Expanding this expression in powers of $\ell = \ell_n$ gives

$$\hat{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, \omega) = e_0 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{(2j)}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)^{2j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

where the nonzero multipole moments are defined in accordance with the general expression \([2]\) as

$$t^{(2j)} \equiv q_j^2 (2j)! = \left( \frac{2t^{(2j)}}{(2j)!} \right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

with the quadrupolar moment obtained as

$$t \equiv t^{(2)} = q^2/2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

### Appendix C: Choice of parameter values

Since our results are given in dimensionless units, they can be mapped to a wide range of actual parameter values, provided the validity criteria for the current theoretical framework are fulfilled (Section IIIIE). Here, we give examples of actual parameter values that correspond to the range of dimensionless parameters used in our numerical analysis in Section IV and discuss the regime of admissible actual parameters, where our theoretical predictions may be applicable.

The strong-coupling criterion \([40]\), which can also be expressed as $\Xi > \delta^2/4$ gives a general necessary condition on any potential comparisons that may be attempted between the present results and real-life examples and also computer simulations. Thus, since we have taken $\ell = \sqrt{2t} \leq 2$, the closest-approach distance $a = \ell/\sqrt{2}$, and intersurface distances $d \leq 7a \approx 5\ell$, our analytical results are expected to hold, when they are applied to actual systems with electrostatic coupling parameter $\Xi > 25$. Needless to say that the range of rescaled intersurface distances, $\hat{d}$, analyzed through our approach can be increased, provided the applicable range of $\Xi$ is also consistently shifted to larger values.

In an aqueous solvent at room temperature $T = 293$ K with the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_m \approx 80$ and the Bjerrum length $\ell_B \approx 0.71$ nm, and using Eq. \([16]\), the above constraint on $\Xi$ translates into $q^3 = \Xi/(2\pi\ell_B^2) \gtrsim 7.89$. For tri- (tetra-) valent counterions with $q = 3$ ($q = 4$), one is thus required to take the mean surface charge densities of $\sigma \gtrsim 0.29$ nm$^{-2}$ ($\sigma \gtrsim 0.12$ nm$^{-2}$) and, using Eqs. \([10]\) and \([17]\), the disorder variances of $g/\sigma \lesssim 0.12\chi$ ($g/\sigma \lesssim 0.16\chi$), all falling well within the experimentally accessible regime. Clearly, choosing a smaller value of $\chi$ results in a more restricted range of admissible values for $g$. Taking sufficiently large values of $\chi$, on the other hand, renders the preceding conditions on $g$ obsolete, as they could be superseded by the Gaussian criterion \([43]\).

The estimated numerical ranges for $\sigma$ and $g$ can be widened even further by taking solvents, or solvent mixtures, with lower dielectric constants \([128]\). For instance, using $\varepsilon_m = 40$, we find a Bjerrum length twice that in water; hence, weaker constraints on $\sigma$ as $q^3\sigma \gtrsim 1.97$, or $\sigma \gtrsim 0.07$ nm$^{-2}$ ($\sigma \gtrsim 0.03$ nm$^{-2}$) for tri- (tetra-) valent counterions.

The chosen range of $\ell$ or $\hat{\ell} \leq 2$ corresponds to $\ell \leq 2\mu$ in actual units, where the Gouy-Chapman length $\mu = q^2\ell_B/\Xi$ (Section IIIA). Thus, in aqueous solvents at room temperature, the strong-coupling criterion for the validity of our results (across the chosen range of $\delta$ in Section IV translates into the conditions $\mu \lesssim 0.26$ nm ($\mu \lesssim 0.45$ nm) or $\ell \lesssim 0.52$ nm ($\ell \lesssim 0.9$ nm) for the effective half-length of rodlike tri- (tetra-) valent counterions. In the exemplary case of a low-dielectric solvent with $\varepsilon_m = 40$ and using the same coupling parameter constraint $\Xi > 25$, we find weaker constraints on the effective half-length, $\ell \lesssim 1$ nm, ($\ell \lesssim 1.8$ nm) of rodlike tri- (tetra-) valent counterions in actual units to ensure the validity criteria of our theoretical approach.

Spermidine (spermine) is a charged nanorod of actual end-to-end distance $2\ell_0 \approx 1.09$ nm ($2\ell_0 \approx 1.55$ nm), consisting of a linear array of three (four) equi-distanced monovalent groups \([103]\), giving quadrupolar moment of $t = \ell_0^2$ nm$^2$ ($t = 10\ell_0^2/9$ nm$^2$) and effective half-length of $\ell \approx 0.45$ nm ($\ell \approx 0.55$ nm), respectively; see Eq. \([15]\) and Appendix B. Therefore, both examples of spermidine and spermine belong to the regime of effective lengths in water or other low-dielectric solvents, where our strong-coupling approach is expected to be applicable. In the case of spermine with $T = 293$ K, $\varepsilon_m = 40$, and with the exemplary values of $\sigma = 0.07$ nm$^{-2}$ and $g/\sigma = 0.35$, we find $\mu \approx 0.24$ nm and $\Xi \approx 56.76$; also, $\chi \approx 4.97$, $\ell \approx 1.04$ and $\hat{a} \approx 1.02$, which fall safely within the range of rescaled parameter values used in Section IV. The characteristic pressure in this case is given by $2\pi\ell_0g^2/\beta \approx 1.75$ atm, see Eq. \([19]\), which can be used to convert the dimensionless pressure values reported in Section IV C.
