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Abstract In this work we further advance theoretical investigation of radiation by the electric dipole under the assumption that wavelength is much smaller than charge separation distance of an electric dipole, which in turn is much smaller than a distance up to the point of observation. Specifically, two cases were considered. In the first case phase delay between oscillations of the charge magnitudes was taken into account. In the second case, opposite current wave travel direction was considered. These results generalize the classical solution for electric dipole radiation, and they show that under the above assumptions the electric dipole emits both long-range longitudinal electric and transverse electromagnetic waves. It was shown that if phase delay is included in the derivation for the radiation of the electric dipole with oscillating charge magnitudes, then classical solution used in most of the textbooks is invalid. Longitudinal and transverse electric fields emitted by the electric dipole with current wave traveling in opposite direction are displayed for specific values of the dipole system parameters. For this case total power emitted by electric and electromagnetic waves are calculated and compared. It was shown that under the standard assumption that charge separation distance is much smaller than wavelength and non-zero phase delay between charge oscillations: a) classical solution incorrectly describes the radiation from the electric dipole; b) intensity of transverse electromagnetic waves are on par with intensity of longitudinal electric waves; c) total radiated power is proportional to the fourth degree of frequency. In case wavelength is much smaller than charge separation distance: a) the classical solution is invalid and it overestimates the total radiated power; b) longitudinal electric waves are dominant and transverse electromagnetic waves are negligible; c) total radiated power is proportional to the second degree...
of frequency. All derived potential and force fields were summarized and presented in the table for later usage.
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1 Introduction

This paper is the continuation of the [7]. Let us briefly remind the content of the previous paper. In that work we extended the results of the classical electric dipole radiation, which are usually obtained by using the standard assumptions

\[ d \ll \lambda \ll r \]  

where \( d \) is distance between two point charges, \( \lambda \) is wavelength of the emitted electromagnetic wave and \( r \) is distance from midpoint of the dipole up to the observation point. The generalization in the first paper was done by taking the following assumptions

\[ \lambda \ll d \ll r \]  

The results indicate that the electric dipole under the new assumptions emits both long-range longitudinal electric and transverse electromagnetic waves. We pointed out that, due to synchronous oscillations of charges and hence their potentials, the results for the longitudinal electric wave are valid for the case \( \frac{d}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N} \), here \( \mathbb{N} \) is a set of natural numbers \( 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty \). The results for the transverse electromagnetic wave were already valid for the general case \( \frac{d}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( \mathbb{R} \) is a set of real numbers.

In this work we will further analyze radiation field of the electric dipole and will generalize it even further. There are two main points that will be considered. The first point is that results for longitudinal electric wave radiation of the electric dipole valid for the more general case \( \frac{d}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R} \) will be obtained. We will achieve that by considering phase delay between electric potentials of the two point charges. The second point is that the electric dipole radiation due to the current wave traveling in the opposite (with respect to the one considered in the first paper) travel direction will be considered.

2 Theoretical Derivation

2.1 Phase information for scalar potential

In order to understand better the problem that we will solve in this work, let us briefly go over the initial steps of the derivation in the previous paper [7]. The electric dipole is the same as before, Fig. 1. Spherical coordinate system is used again. As before, \( \mathbf{a} \) denotes radius vector from charge location at retarded time \( t_r \), up to the point of observation, where potential fields are being measured, \( \mathbf{z} = |\mathbf{z}| \), \( \mathbf{r} \) denotes radius vector from the origin of the reference coordinate system up to the point of observation, \( r = |\mathbf{r}| \), while \( \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi} \) specify the unit vectors of the spherical frame. All other settings of the problem are the same as the one solved before. Therefore we urge readers to consult the first paper if something is unclear in this paper. We assume that charges are stationary, i.e. not moving, but the
magnitude of their charge changes over time. The assumptions in [2] can be cast in the following dimensionless form

\[
\frac{d}{r} \ll 1 \quad \text{(3a)}
\]
\[
\frac{c}{\omega d} \ll 1 \quad \text{(3b)}
\]
\[
\frac{c}{\omega r} \ll 1 \quad \text{(3c)}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the frequency of the wave. In the standard classical derivation of the dipole radiation inverse of assumption (3b) is used

\[
\frac{c}{\omega d} \gg 1 \quad \text{(4)}
\]

The following approximations can be written for \( r_+ \) and \( r_- \):

\[
r_\pm \approx r(1 \mp \frac{d}{2r} \cos \theta) \quad \text{(5a)}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{r_\pm} \approx \frac{1}{r} (1 \pm \frac{d}{2r} \cos \theta) \quad \text{(5b)}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{r_\pm^2} \approx \frac{1}{r^2} (1 \pm \frac{d}{r} \cos \theta) \quad \text{(5c)}
\]

Also following approximation, obtained by using (5a), will be useful for us later

\[
\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r_\pm}{c})) \approx \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \cos \left( \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \right) \mp \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \sin \left( \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \right) \quad \text{(6a)}
\]
\[
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r_\pm}{c})) \approx \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \cos \left( \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \right) \pm \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \sin \left( \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \right) \quad \text{(6b)}
\]

In the first paper we assumed that at the initial time \( t = 0 \) the upper charge has positive charge \( q_0 \), while the lower charge has negative charge \(-q_0\). In another words, charges are assumed to vary according to cosine function for later times

\[
q(t_r) = \pm q_0 \cos \omega t_r \quad \text{(7)}
\]
This resulted in the following scalar potentials of the upper and lower charges:

\[ V_+ (r, t) = \frac{q(\hat{r}, t_r)}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = \frac{q_0 \cos \omega t_r}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = \frac{q_0 \cos \omega (t - \frac{r^+}{c})}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r^+} \]

\[ V_- (r, t) = \frac{q(\hat{r}, t_r)}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = -\frac{q_0 \cos \omega t_r}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = -\frac{q_0 \cos \omega (t - \frac{r^-}{c})}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r^-} \]

This is the main limitation of the first paper. In essence, the specific functions for charges given in \( \text{[2]} \) mean that phase difference between charge magnitude oscillations is exactly \( \pi \). This specific phase difference then is carried over to potentials in \( \text{[3]} \). Even though the current and its corresponding vector potential were obtained for the general case without assuming any specific phase difference between charge magnitudes (in other words valid for \( \frac{\pi}{2} \in \mathbb{R} \)), the electric charges and their corresponding scalar potentials were obtained for specific phase difference only. In another words, vector potential obtained in the first paper is valid for any phase difference, while scalar potential is valid only for one specific phase difference case. This also means that in the first paper boundary condition (charge conservation \( \dot{q} = I \)) between lower charge and current are satisfied only when \( \frac{\pi}{2} \in \mathbb{N} \). For any other value of this ratio charge conservation \( \dot{q} = I \) is not satisfied at the lower charge. For the upper charge it is always satisfied. Therefore strictly speaking the results of the first paper for longitudinal electric wave are valid only when \( \frac{\pi}{2} \in \mathbb{N} \).

In order to consider the general case, we need to introduce the phase delay \( \chi \) between charge magnitudes. Specifically, we will introduce the phase delay \( \chi \) for the lower charge

\[ q(t_r) = -q_0 \cos (\omega t_r + \chi) \]

This would give us the following expressions for scalar potentials of the two charges

\[ V_+ (r, t) = \frac{q_0 \cos \omega t_r}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = \frac{q_0 \cos \omega (t - \frac{r^+}{c})}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r^+} \]

\[ V_- (r, t) = -\frac{q_0 \cos \omega t_r + \chi}{4\pi \epsilon_0 z} = -\frac{q_0 \cos (\omega (t - \frac{r^-}{c}) + \chi)}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r^-} \]

Then scalar potential field of a dipole will have the following form

\[ V(r, t) = V_+ (r, t) + V_- (r, t) = \frac{q_0}{4\pi \epsilon_0} \left( \cos \omega \left( t - \frac{r^+}{c} \right) - \cos \omega \left( t - \frac{r^-}{c} \right) + \chi \right) \]

(11)

If \( \text{[3a]} \), \( \text{[6a]} \) and \( \text{[6b]} \) are used to open the brackets in above equation and later \( \text{[3a]} \) is used for the obtained expression, then we get

\[ V(r, t) \approx \frac{q_0}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r} \left[ \cos \omega \left( t - \frac{r^+}{c} \right) \left( \cos \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \right) (1 - \cos \chi) - \sin \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \sin \chi \right] + \sin \omega \left( t - \frac{r^-}{c} \right) \left[ \cos \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \sin \chi + \sin \frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta \left( -1 - \cos \chi \right) \right] \]

(12)

The scalar potential \( \text{[12]} \) does not depend on \( \phi \) or \( \phi_\hat{r} \), and therefore its gradient in spherical coordinate system is

\[ \nabla V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \hat{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta} \]

(13)
By repeating the steps of the first paper and by using the (3a) to the resultant expression, we get gradient of the scalar potential

$$\nabla V(r, t) \approx \frac{q_0}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \frac{r}{c}} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin(\frac{w d}{2c} \cos \theta) (1 + \cos \chi) - \cos(\frac{w d}{2c} \cos \theta) \sin \chi \right) + \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \cos(\frac{w d}{2c} \cos \theta) (1 - \cos \chi) - \sin(\frac{w d}{2c} \cos \theta) \sin \chi \right) \right) \hat{r}$$

(14)

We note that if we set $\chi = 0$ in (12) and in (14), then we obtain the corresponding expressions from the first paper.

As it was mentioned before, derivations of the current and of the vector potential in the first paper are already valid for the general case $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we will simply use those results. Let us just provide expression for the current wave, which we used in the first paper

$$I(t_r) = -q_0 \omega \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( \frac{d}{2} - z \right) + \omega t_r \right) \hat{z}$$

(15)

Parameter $\chi$ carries phase information between two charge magnitude oscillations. The phase information for the current at the lower charge location is carried by the $\omega d \frac{c}{2}$ term. Therefore there might be a link between $\chi$ and $\omega d \frac{c}{2}$. Our next task is to find this relation. For that we will consider boundary condition for the current, which is essentially charge conservation law, at the lower charge.

Current at the lower charge (9) is its time differential

$$I_+ = \frac{dq(t_r)}{dt_r} = q_0 \omega \sin (\omega t_r + \chi) = q_0 \omega(\sin (\omega t_r) \cos \chi + \cos (\omega t_r) \sin \chi)$$

(16)

On the other hand, current at the lower charge can also be found from (15) by substituting $z = -\frac{d}{2}$

$$I_- = -q_0 \omega \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d + \omega t_r \right) = -q_0 \omega(\sin (\omega t_r) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d \right) + \cos (\omega t_r) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d \right))$$

(17)

Now we can equate currents given by above two equations. However there is one caveat. Positive current for the upper charge (which increases its positive charge) is equal to negative current for the lower charge (which decreases its positive charge). Vice versa negative current for the upper charge (which decreases its positive charge) is equal to positive current for the lower charge (which increases its positive charge). Therefore a single directional (DC) current will have one sign for the upper charge and the opposite sign for the lower charge. Current (15), which was used to obtain (17), represents current for the upper charge, because it was originally obtained (in the first paper) by time differentiation of the upper charge. Therefore in order to correctly apply the physical meaning of the current (17) to the lower charge we have to multiply it with negative sign. Therefore by equating $I_+$ from (16) to $-I_-$ from (17), we obtain the following identity

$$\chi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} d = \frac{\omega d}{2c}$$

(18)

At last we found the link between phase of charge oscillations $\chi$ and the current phase $\frac{\omega d}{2c}$. 
Now we need to substitute (18) into (14), and after applying some basic trigonometric identities, we arrive at:

$$\nabla V(r, t) \approx \frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0 rc} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos\theta) \right) \right) + \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos\theta) \right) \right) \right) \hat{r}$$

(19)

The last expression is then used to find the electric field through $E = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$.

The electric field of a dipole can be written as $E = E_r \hat{r} + E_\theta \hat{\theta}$ and its radial (longitudinal) $E_r$ components is

$$E_r = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0 rc} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos\theta) \right) \right) + \cos\theta \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos\theta) \right)}{1 + \cos\theta} \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos\theta) \right) \right) + \cos\theta \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos\theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos\theta) \right)}{1 - \cos\theta} \right)$$

(20)

It can be seen from (19) that divergence of scalar potential has component only along $\hat{r}$, and so new results for scalar potential influence only longitudinal electric field component. Transverse electric field $E_\theta$ and magnetic field $B_\phi$ components depend only on vector potential $A$. As we have stated before, results for vector potential derived in the first paper are already valid for the general case $\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we will not write $E_\theta$ and $B_\phi$ here.

Now let us briefly summarize what we have achieved so far. As was mentioned before, vector potential $A$, and therefore any of its derivative expressions, were already evaluated for the general case (for any $\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$ or any $\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$) in the first paper. However the specific form of the scalar potential $V$ and therefore of its any derivative expressions, used in the first paper, restricted its extension to only discrete values of the $\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$ ratio. In order to remove the restriction imposed by the scalar potential, we derived in this paper its general form valid for any $\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$. We notice by comparison with the results of the first paper that the new expression for $V$ only changes the longitudinal electric field component $E_r$, while transverse electric $E_\theta$ and magnetic $B_\phi$ fields are unaffected by the new scalar potential $V$. This is expected, because gradient of the scalar potential in (19) has component only along radial direction and so only radial electric field is changed.

2.2 Opposite current direction

As it was mentioned in the first paper, the assumed current wave (15) has travel direction from the lower charge to the upper charge, or in another words in the positive $\hat{z}$ direction, Fig. 1. However there are two alternative current wave travel directions, which yield the same charge oscillations as a function of time. In other
words, if we switch the current direction in \((15)\) to the opposite one, charge oscillations of the two charges as a function of time will not change. Therefore another major item that we want to consider in this paper is the electric dipole radiation under the current wave which travels in the opposite \((-\hat{z})\) direction.

\[
\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -q_0 \omega \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( -\frac{d}{2} + z \right) + \omega t \right) \hat{z} \tag{21}
\]

Again \((21)\) simplifies to old classical expression for current used to derive classical results from textbook under assumption \(\lambda \gg d\). Equation \((21)\) represents AC current wave in space and in time, “flowing” or traveling in the direction of negative charge. Next we need to evaluate the vector potential

\[
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{-\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\mathbf{r}} \, dz = -\frac{\mu_0 q_0 \omega}{4\pi} \hat{z} \int_{-\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( -\frac{d}{2} + z \right) + \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \, dz \tag{22}
\]

Using the same approximations as before (where the sign depends on whether \(z\) is above 0 or below it)

\[
z \approx r \left( 1 \mp \frac{z \cos \theta}{r} \right) \tag{23a}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{z} \approx \frac{1}{r} \left( 1 \pm \frac{z \cos \theta}{r} \right) \tag{23b}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{z^2} \approx \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{2z \cos \theta}{r} \right) \tag{23c}
\]

and using some trigonometric identities, sine term inside the integral \((22)\) can be written as a sum of four terms

\[
\int_{-\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( -\frac{d}{2} + z \right) + \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) \frac{1}{z} \, dz =
\]
\[
\int_{-\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} \left( \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( -\frac{d}{2} + z \right) \right) \cos \left( \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) + \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( -\frac{d}{2} + z \right) \right) \sin \left( \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) \right) \frac{1}{z} \, dz =
\]
\[
\int_{-\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}} \left( -\sin \left( \frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda} \right) + \cos \left( \frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda} \right) \right) \cos \left( \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) +
\]
\[
\left( \cos \left( \frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda} \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda} \right) \right) \sin \left( \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right) \right) \frac{1}{z} \, dz \tag{24}
\]

Evaluating the integral in \((22)\) can be done by integrating separately each of the four terms in \((24)\). However all these integrals were already evaluated in the first paper. Therefore we will just provide the result. The terms of the expression for \(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)\) can be grouped, simplified using trigonometric identities and assumptions
and (3c), then we obtain the result which retains the most significant terms

\[ A(r, t) \approx -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{rc} \hat{z} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta} \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) \right) + \right. \\
\left. \frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta} \frac{\omega d}{2c} \left( 2 - \cos \theta \right) \right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta} \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) + \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \\
\frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta} \left( \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \left( 2 - \cos \theta \right)) - \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) \right) \right) \\
(25)\]

Next let us find time derivative of vector potential

\[ \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(r, t) = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{rc} \hat{z} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta} \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) + \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \right. \\
\left. \frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta} \frac{\omega d}{2c} \left( 2 - \cos \theta \right) \right) - \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta} \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \\
\frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta} \left( \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \left( 2 - \cos \theta \right)) - \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) \right) \right) \\
(26)\]

Let us remind again that the same charge oscillations, which means the same scalar potential fields, can be obtained by two different current waves traveling at opposite directions. Therefore scalar potential (12) and its gradient (19) are valid for both current wave travel directions. Finally, by using the identity \( \hat{z} = \cos \theta \hat{r} - \sin \theta \hat{\theta} \), we can combine (19) and (26) to find the radial and transverse components of electric field E.

\[ E_r = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{rc} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) - \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} (2 - \cos \theta)) \right) + \\
\frac{\cos\theta}{1 + \cos\theta} \left( -\sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \frac{\cos\theta}{1 - \cos\theta} \left( \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \sin(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} (2 - \cos \theta)) \right) \right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \\
\frac{\cos\theta}{1 + \cos\theta} \left( \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) - \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} \cos \theta) \right) + \frac{\cos\theta}{1 - \cos\theta} \left( \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c} (2 - \cos \theta)) - \cos(\omega d \frac{2c}{c}) \right) \right) \\
(27a)\]
\[
E_\theta = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{r c} \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) + \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) \right) + \right.
\]
\[
\left. \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \right)
\]
\[
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \right.
\]
\[
\left. \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left( \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) \right) \right) \right)
\]

(27b)

Next magnetic field \( B \) can be found as \( \nabla \times A \) from (25), which results in \( B = B_\phi \hat{\phi} \), where transverse component of magnetic field is

\[
B_\phi = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{r c^2} \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) (2 + \cos \theta) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \right.
\]
\[
\left. \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta)) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \right)
\]
\[
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta)) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \right.
\]
\[
\left. \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left( \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) \right) \right) \right)
\]

(28)

3 Results

Let us first check the obtained solutions if they will give standard electric dipole radiation results under the assumption (4). This would give us the following approximations

\[
\sin(\frac{\omega d}{c}) \approx \frac{\omega d}{c} \quad (29a)
\]
\[
\cos(\frac{\omega d}{c}) \approx 1 \quad (29b)
\]

However let us remind that in the first paper when (29) was applied to the transverse electric \( E_\theta \) and magnetic \( B_\phi \) field components, they were reduced to the standard classical results, while longitudinal electric field \( E_r \) vanished. In this paper the scalar potential with phase delay led to new result for \( E_r \), while results for \( E_\theta \) and \( B_\phi \) remain unchanged from the first paper. Therefore it is suffice to
consider the behavior of $E_r$ from (20) under (29)

$$E_r \approx -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta - \frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \right.$$ 

$$\frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left((\frac{\omega d}{2c}) - (\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta))\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta - (\frac{\omega d}{2c})\right) +$$

$$\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left(1 - 1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} (1 - 1) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} (1 - 1)\right)$$

$$= \frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega^2 d}{rc^2} \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))$$

(30)

The last result is very interesting. It tells that if phase information is included in the scalar potential, then the radial component of electric field does not disappear under (4). This fact can be explained by the following. Vector potential $A$ and its derivative terms, valid for the general case $\frac{d}{\chi} \in \mathbb{R}$ and given in the first paper, reduce to their standard classical results under the assumption (4). That is why transverse electric and magnetic fields reduce to their classical counterparts under (4). Scalar potential $V$ in (12) and its derivative term in (19), derived in this paper and valid for the general case $\frac{d}{\chi} \in \mathbb{R}$, do not reduce to their corresponding standard classical results. Therefore the longitudinal electric field also does not reduce to its classical result. Here we see that classical solution, given in the textbooks, for the electric dipole radiation (with oscillating charge magnitudes) is not correct, because assuming that $\chi = 0$ leads to the $d = 0$ from (18). While for the rest of derivation assumption that $d \approx 0$ is used.

Next thing to do is to check if radiation results for opposite direction of the current wave also simplify to their classical results. If we apply (29) to (27) and (28), the following is obtained

$$E_r \approx -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta - \frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \right.$$ 

$$\frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left((\frac{\omega d}{2c}) - (\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta))\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta - (\frac{\omega d}{2c})\right) +$$

$$\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left(1 - 1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} (1 - 1) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} (1 - 1)\right)$$

$$= \frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega^2 d}{rc^2} \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))$$

(31a)

$$E_\theta \approx -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} ((\frac{\omega d}{2c}) + (\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta)) + \right.$$ 

$$\frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} ((\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) - (\frac{\omega d}{2c})) +$$

$$\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left((\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} (1 - 1) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} (1 - 1)\right)$$

$$- \frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\omega^2 d}{rc^2} \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \sin \theta$$

(31b)
Finally we will summarize the results obtained so far in the following table. Note that the results in the table are valid for the electric dipole with motionless charges, but oscillating charge magnitudes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case: results of this paper</th>
<th>Case: results of this and previous paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B_{\phi} \approx -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega}{r c^2}\left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta}(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)) - \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table><p>ight) + \right. | V = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \left[ \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\left(\cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos \theta)\right) + \right. |
| \left. \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta}\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} - \left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos \theta\right)\right)\right) + \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta}(1 - 1) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta}(1 - 1)\right) = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta | \left. \frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \right) |
| \text{Case: standard classical} \quad \text{Validity: } \frac{d}{\lambda} = 0, \text{ any current wave traveling direction. Strictly speaking, all force and potential fields should be equal to zero. However just for the sake of comparison the standard results are given for this case.} \quad V = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\cos \theta | \left. \frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \right) |
| A = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c^3}\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))(\cos \theta - \sin \theta) | E = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\theta} |
| E = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\theta} | B = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\phi} |
| \text{Case: results of this and previous paper} \quad \text{Validity: } \frac{d}{\lambda} \approx 0, \text{ any current wave traveling direction.} \quad V = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))(\cos \theta - 1) | \left. \frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\phi} \right) |
| A = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c^3}\sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))(\cos \theta - \sin \theta \hat{\theta}) | E = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))(-\hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{\theta}) |
| E = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\theta} | B = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\phi} |
| \text{Case: results of this and previous paper} \quad \text{Validity: } \frac{d}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ current wave traveling along } \hat{z} \text{ direction.} \quad V = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\omega d}{r c} \left( \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))(\cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\cos \theta)) + \right. | \left. \frac{\omega^2 d}{r c^3}\cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))\sin \theta \hat{\phi} \right) |</p>
\[
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c}))\left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta) \right)\right)
\]

\[
A = -\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi c_0} \frac{1}{rc} (\cos \theta \hat{r} - \sin \theta \hat{\theta}) \\
\left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right)\right) + \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right) + \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) \\

\]

\[
E = E_r \hat{r} + E_\theta \hat{\theta} \\
E_r = -\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi c_0} \frac{1}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta) \right)\right) + \right. \\
\left.\frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right)\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) \\

\]

\[
E_\theta = -\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi c_0} \frac{1}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta) \right)\right) + \right. \\
\left.\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right)\right) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 + \cos \theta) \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) + \right. \\
\frac{\sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) \\

\]

\[
B = B_\phi \hat{\phi}, \text{ where } B_\phi = \frac{E_\phi}{c} \\

\]

Case: results of this paper
Validity: \( \frac{d}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R} \), current wave traveling along \( -\hat{z} \) direction,

\[
V = -\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi c_0} \frac{1}{rc} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right)\right) + \right. \\
\left.\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta) \right)\right)\right) \\

\]

\[
A = -\frac{\omega_0}{4\pi c_0} \frac{1}{rc} \hat{z} \left(\cos(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right)\right) + \right. \\
\left.\frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) + \\
\sin(\omega(t - \frac{\tau}{c})) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) + \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right)\right) + \right. \\
\left.\frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \cos \theta \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega t}{2c} \right)\right)\right) \\

\]
\[ E = E_r \hat{r} + E_\theta \hat{\theta} \]

\[ E_r = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{c^2 r} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) \right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( -\sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) \right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) \right) \]

\[ E_\theta = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\omega}{c^2 r} \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c})) \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) + \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta) - \sin(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) + \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left( \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c} (2 - \cos \theta)) - \cos(\frac{\omega d}{2c}) \right) \right) \]

\[ B = B_\phi \hat{\phi}, \text{ where } B_\phi = \frac{E_\theta}{c} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Summary of derived results for potentials and fields for four cases.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The following observations can be made about the obtained force fields and summarized in the Table 1. All electric and magnetic fields are far-fields, due to inverse dependence on \( r \). For all cases \( B_\phi = \frac{E_\theta}{c} \), \( B_\Delta \) and \( E_\Delta \) are in phase, they are mutually perpendicular and they are perpendicular to the travel direction \( \hat{r} \). Therefore \( B_\Delta \) and \( E_\Delta \) comprise transverse electromagnetic component of the radiation. For all cases \( E_r \) is directed radially outward in the travel direction \( \hat{r} \) without any magnetic counterpart. Thus \( E_r \) is longitudinal electric component of the radiation. Total electric field and total magnetic field are mutually perpendicular.

Next the plots of electric and magnetic fields for the case of current wave with opposite travel direction were obtained. These plots will be drawn for the same values of the system parameters as in the first paper: \( q_0 = 10^{-9} \) Q, \( d = 1 \) m, \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \) m, \( r = r_0 = 10^4 \) m, \( t = 1 \) s, \( \omega = \frac{2\pi}{50} = 6 \cdot 10^{12} \pi \) rad/s. It was observed that for these parameters electric and magnetic fields are non-zero at \( \theta \) angles close to 0 and \( \pi \). Therefore in Fig. 2 and in Fig. 3 longitudinal and transverse electric fields are shown for \( 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \). Variation of the dimensionless parameter \( 0 \leq \frac{r}{\lambda} \leq 1 \) corresponds to \( r_0 \leq r \leq r_0 + \lambda \). Longitudinal and transverse electric fields had to be scaled as \( E^*_r = E_r \cdot 10^{-6} \) and as \( E^*_\theta = E_\theta \cdot 10^{-4} \), respectively. These figures also show the effect of the distance \( d \), which is varied as \( d = (10000 + i0.2) \lambda \).
for $i = 0, 1, ..., 9$, on the electric fields. By comparing Fig. 2 and 3 the following observations can be made (valid for the chosen values of parameters):

- Maximum and minimum of transverse electric field lie not exactly but close to $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$, while max and min values of longitudinal electric wave lie exactly on $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$.
- Radiation of longitudinal and transverse electric waves happens in very narrow beam.
- Shape of the longitudinal and transverse electric field wavelets for current wave traveling in $-\hat{z}$ direction are identical to the corresponding wavelets for current wave traveling in $\hat{z}$ direction.

In the next step the total radiated power is estimated. Due to the fact that Poynting vector considers energy transfer of transverse electromagnetic waves only, we have to consider energy transfer by longitudinal electric field separately. However the steps for calculation are similar to the ones presented in the first paper. Therefore without much elaboration we present the results. Longitudinal electric field given in Table 1 for the general case $\frac{c}{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ can be written as

$$E_r(t) = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0rc} \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right) \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))A + \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))B \right)$$

where for current wave traveling in $\hat{z}$ direction $A = \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$ and $B = \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$. While for current wave traveling in opposite $-\hat{z}$ direction $A = \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$ and $B = \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c} \cos \theta\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right) + \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$. For both cases $A$ and $B$ do not depend on time. Net average power radiated by the longitudinal electric field of the dipole is given as

$$W_r = \frac{q_0^2\omega^2}{32\pi\epsilon_0c} \int_0^\pi (A^2 + B^2) \sin \theta d\theta$$

As expected, the average radiated longitudinal power of the dipole does not depend on $r$.

Transverse electric field can be written as

$$E_\theta = -\frac{q_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0rc} \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right) \left( \cos(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))C + \sin(\omega(t - \frac{r}{c}))D \right)$$

where for current wave traveling in $\hat{z}$ direction $C = \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$ and $D = \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 + \cos \theta)\right)\right)$. For current wave traveling in opposite direction $C = \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right)\right)$ and $D = \frac{\sin \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\omega d}{2c}(2 - \cos \theta)\right)\right)$. For both cases $C$ and $D$ do not depend on time.
Fig. 2 Longitudinal electric field as a function of $r$ and $\theta$ for different $\omega_d^2 c$ and for current wave traveling in $-\hat{z}$ direction. For clarity the scaled electric field $E^*_r = E_r \cdot 10^{-6}$ (reduced by million) is plotted.
Fig. 3 Transverse electric field as a function of $r$ and $\theta$ for different $\omega_d^2$ and for current wave traveling in $-\hat{z}$ direction. For clarity the scaled electric field $E_\theta = E_\theta \cdot 10^{-4}$ (reduced by ten thousand) is plotted.
Fig. 4 Average longitudinal power (33), average transverse power (35), average total power (36), and total power according to classical solution (37) radiated by the dipole as a function of $\frac{d}{\lambda}$ for current wave traveling in direction: a) $\hat{z}$, b) $-\hat{z}$.

$\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ do not depend on time. The average net power radiated by the transverse electric and magnetic waves is

$$W_t = \frac{q_0^2 \omega^2}{16\pi \varepsilon_0 c} \int_0^\pi (\mathcal{C}^2 + \mathcal{D}^2) \sin 0 d\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

The average total power (energy per period) radiated by the electric dipole is equal to the sum of longitudinal (33) and transverse (35) powers

$$W_{\text{total}} = W_r + W_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

The classical result for total power (transverse only) radiated by the dipole with the assumption (4) is

$$W_{\text{old}} = \frac{q_0^2 d^2 \omega^4}{12\pi \varepsilon_0 c^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

The longitudinal power (33), transverse power (35), total power (36) and total power according to classical solution (37) radiated by the dipole as a function of $\frac{d}{\lambda}$ are shown in Fig. 4. Ratio $\frac{d}{\lambda}$ is varied by fixing $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ and by changing $d$. Given the dipole with charge separation $d$, its “fundamental wavelength” and “fundamental frequency” can be defined as $\lambda_0 = d$ and $\omega_0 = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda_0}$. For both current wave traveling directions total power according to the classical solution (37), which is the transverse power, has constant slope in the log scale plot. For $\frac{d}{\lambda} \ll 1$, which means for $\omega \ll \omega_0$, total power (36) consists of transverse power (35) and of longitudinal power (33), both of which are non-negligible. Total power (36) is larger than power by the classical solution. In this region $W_{\text{total}} \sim \omega^4$. For $\frac{d}{\lambda} \gg 1$, or for $\omega \gg \omega_0$, total power consists of mostly longitudinal power, which is several orders of magnitude larger than transverse power. In this region transverse power is negligible. Total power from classical solution is several orders of magnitude larger than total power (36), however due to the assumption (4) classical solution is not valid in this region. Total power $W_{\text{total}} \sim \omega^2$. It is interesting to note that transverse power (35) has some dip around $\omega \sim \omega_0$ (or $\frac{d}{\lambda} \sim 1$) for both current wave traveling directions, while the same dip exists for longitudinal power (33) only for current wave traveling in $-\hat{z}$ direction. Except of the above mentioned dip, current
wave traveling direction does not affect the behavior of powers as a function of $\lambda$. Ratio of longitudinal to transverse power is shown in Fig. 5 for both current wave traveling directions. This figure shows that for $\frac{d}{\lambda} \ll 1$ longitudinal power is on par with transverse power, while for $\frac{d}{\lambda} \gg 1$ transverse power is negligible. Current wave traveling direction affects the ratio of powers in the region $\omega \sim \omega_0$, while in regions $\omega \ll \omega_0$ and $\omega \gg \omega_0$ the ratio behavior does not depend on current wave direction. The ratio of longitudinal to transverse powers has a limit of 0.75 in the region $\omega \ll \omega_0$.

Radiation pattern of the electric dipole can be obtained by plotting (as a function of $\theta$) $A^2 + B^2$ for longitudinal electric field and by plotting $C^2 + D^2$ for transverse electric and magnetic fields.

### 4 Discussion

We can summarize the radiation results for the electric dipole with charges fixed in space, but oscillating charge magnitudes, as the following. For $0 \leq \omega \ll \omega_0$, where $\omega_0$ is fundamental frequency of an electric dipole, the transverse power is on par with longitudinal power. Contrary to the results of the first paper, longitudinal power is non negligible and total power does not coincide with the classical solution. Classical solution, due to the fact that it does not account for the phase information for the scalar potential $[18]$, is not valid in this region. Total radiated power is proportional to fourth degree of the frequency. For $\omega \gg \omega_0$ the longitudinal power is dominant and transverse power is negligible. In this region the classical solution overestimates the total radiated power, which is proportional to second degree of the frequency. Classical solution is invalid again due to the assumption $\omega \gg \omega_0$. Therefore we conclude that classical solution predicts correctly only the transverse radiated power when $d = 0$. We note that expressions for currents for both traveling directions (\hat{z} and $-\hat{z}$), lose their difference under the classical assumption $d \ll \lambda$. In other words, in the classical case there is no need to consider both current wave travel directions. This distinction only appears for the case $d \geq \lambda$. 
We note that in most of the textbooks the derivation of the dipole radiation is done using the electric dipole moment $p = qd$ in time or in frequency domains [6]. Utilizing $p$ for the derivation has certain advantages, such as its ability to combine two cases into one: when electric charges have constant charge and are oscillating in motion [1,5] or when charges are fixed in space and so do not move, but their charge magnitudes are oscillating [2,3]. In other words, from the perspective of the electric dipole moment, there is no difference between these two cases. Under the assumptions $d \ll \lambda$ and $\chi = 0$ these two cases lead to the same results. However using $p$ also has a certain drawback associated with it: electric dipole moment by definition means that the phase $\chi$ from (18) between two charges is zero. In other words, derivation of electric dipole radiation utilizing $p$ can not consider the case when there is phase delay between charge magnitude oscillations (charges are fixed in space and can not move). Similarly the case when charges have constant charge magnitude, but are oscillating in space with phase delay in their motion, is not trivial to consider with $p$. In other words, the case when positive charge moves according to $z(t) = A \cos \omega t$, while the negative charge moves as $z(t) = A \cos \omega t + \chi$, is not handled by $p$ yet. We emphasize again that results of electric dipole radiation with oscillating charge magnitudes given in the textbooks is not correct: in one part of the derivation $d = 0$ is used (for specific scalar potential phase difference), while in the other parts of derivation $d \approx 0$ is used.

In this paper we applied the non-zero phase delay for the case when charge magnitudes are oscillating, but their positions are fixed. We anticipate that application of the non-zero phase delay for case when charge magnitudes are fixed, but their positions are oscillating, will result in completely different radiation results. To the best of authors knowledge the results of this paper were never derived before due to the above-mentioned fact. We note that the extension of the case when charges have constant magnitude, but their positions are oscillating, can not be applied to the case $d \gg \lambda$, due to the relativistic restriction that charges can not move faster than $c$. We came to the conclusion that, due to the above considerations, the electric dipole with oscillating charge positions but with constant charge magnitudes is completely different system than the electric dipole with fixed charge positions but with oscillating charge magnitudes.

We hope that soon our theoretical results will be checked experimentally and either verified or rejected. We speculate again that Nikola Tesla probably discovered and worked experimentally with these longitudinal electric waves. Longitudinal electric waves emitted by spherical antenna were observed in [4]. Additionally, longitudinal vector-potential waves were detected in [8]. We believe that these vector-potential waves might be longitudinal electric waves, as can be seen from equations in Table [1].

5 Conclusion

In this work we further advanced theoretical investigation of radiation by the electric dipole with oscillating charge magnitudes under the assumption $\lambda \ll d \ll r$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength, $d$ is charge separation distance and $r$ is a distance up to the point of observation. Specifically, two cases were considered. In the first case phase delay between charge magnitudes was taken into account. In the second case,
opposite current wave travel direction was considered. These results generalize the classical solution for electric dipole radiation, and they show that under the above assumptions the electric dipole emits both long-range longitudinal electric and transverse electromagnetic waves. It was shown that if phase delay is included in the derivation, then classical solution used in most of the textbooks is invalid. Longitudinal and transverse electric fields emitted by electric dipole with current wave traveling in $-\hat{z}$ direction are displayed for specific values of the dipole system parameters. For this case also total power emitted by electric and electromagnetic waves are calculated and compared. It was shown that under the assumption $d \ll \lambda$ and non-zero phase delay: a) classical solution incorrectly describes the radiation of the dipole; b) intensity of transverse electromagnetic waves are on par with intensity of longitudinal electric waves; c) total radiated power is proportional to the fourth degree of frequency. In case $\lambda \ll d$: a) the classical solution is invalid and it overestimates the total radiated power; b) longitudinal electric waves are dominant and transverse electromagnetic waves are negligible; c) total radiated power is proportional to the second degree of frequency. All derived potential and force fields were summarized and presented in the table for later usage.
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