The relation between the mechanical properties of a nonspecifically adherent, free membrane and its thermal fluctuations is well studied, both theoretically and experimentally. However, understanding this relationship is significantly more challenging for the biologically relevant case of membranes pinned by proteins to scaffolds such as the cytoskeleton, the extracellular matrix or another cell. For a free membrane, an expansion of the membrane profile into plane waves allows for analytical tractability and the calculation of the fluctuation properties. For a pinned membrane, the difficulty lies in the coupling of the plane wave modes, and analytic approaches to the problem were not successful so far. Here we calculate the mode coupling coefficients for the plane wave expansion, as well as the orthonormal fluctuating modes and the Green’s function for the system. We show that the mean membrane shape is linearly related to the spatial correlation function of the free membrane as well as of the pinned membrane, the latter two differing only by a constant factor. Most importantly, we provide a set of tools which can be used in the future to address biologically relevant questions like the interaction of cellular membranes with internal and external scaffolds.

I. INTRODUCTION

Living cells and many of the organelles internal to cells are bounded by membranes. These membranes are composed primarily of phospholipids and proteins, the latter pinning the membrane to the neighbouring structures. As such, protein complexes become spatially coordinated to perform a particular function. Furthermore, such coupling allows for the transmission of force. In the context of the plasma membrane, this attachment is promoted by complexes of proteins which couple the membrane to the cytoskeleton in the cell interior and simultaneously to the surrounding matrix or another cell at the exterior.

Already in the early theoretical works, it was demonstrated that protein-mediated attachments of the membrane affect its shape and fluctuations, a fact that was used to identify binding sites in cells and vesicles. In addition, it was demonstrated that a tensionless membrane suspended in a harmonic non-specific potential and pinned locally to a substrate, exhibits a deformation described by a Kelvin function, with fluctuations locally suppressed. Subsequent simulations and analytical modeling showed that the mean membrane shape and roughness depend non-trivially on the instantaneous bond density. Alternative approaches showed, furthermore, that pinning which experience strong frictional coupling in the membrane introduce corrections to the membrane tension. Polymeric anchors, on the other hand were found responsible for the rescaling of the bending stiffness of the composite membrane in a mode-dependent fashion. Another useful strategy relied on finding appropriate approximations to homogenize the pinning sites. As a result, a family of effective potentials that predict static properties of fluctuations were suggested in different regimes of fluctuation strength.

Many studies showed that membrane fluctuations depend on the properties of the pinning itself, such as the pinning’s length and mechanical stiffness. However, efforts to understand this coupling theoretically are scarce. The difficulty lays in the pinning-induced coupling of plane wave modes or spherical harmonics, which are otherwise independent in free membranes. The need to circumvent these technical problems led to the development of several computational approaches, which used the conveniences of Fourier transforms and plane wave basis sets, and allowed for the numerical evaluation of mode-coupling effects.

Potentially, a more convenient basis set than the plane waves would be a normal mode basis set. Even more advantageous would be to have access to the Green’s function for the pinned membrane, which would allow the application of a wealth of theoretical tools developed in analogous systems. Furthermore, while all three approaches are equivalent, the Green’s function approach is computationally simpler and could be easily extended for the investigations of systems with many pinnings and used in dynamics. Unfortunately, none of the three - the analytic form of mode-coupling coefficients, the orthonormal modes, or the Green’s function for a membrane pinned with a harmonic spring - have been reported in the literature so far.

In this manuscript, we successfully resolve all three approaches and provide a full analysis of the elastic coupling between the binding site and the membrane (Fig.
We first calculate the static Green’s function for the pinned membrane (section III), the orthonormal modes (section IV), and the mode coupling amplitudes for the plane wave expansion (section V). We use these newly developed tools to provide a comprehensive description of static properties of a pinned membrane in the full parameter range (section VI), focusing on the membrane’s mean shape, fluctuation amplitude and the two-point spatial correlation function. Besides showing that the three approaches yield equivalent description as expected, and recovering the limits known in the literature for tensionless membranes and rigid pinning, our analysis elucidates the interplay between the membrane rigidity and tension, the strength of the nonspecific potential and the pinning elasticity.

II. THEORETICAL SETUP

The system (Fig. 1) consists of one flexible pinning site (harmonic spring of an elastic constant \( \lambda \) and rest length \( l_0 \), placed at the lateral position \( r_0 \) ) that confines fluctuations of a tensed membrane (bending rigidity \( \kappa \), tension \( \sigma \)). The membrane resides in the minimum of a harmonic non-specific potential (strength \( \gamma \)) at a height \( h_0 \) above the substrate, except near \( r_0 \), where it could be displaced by the pinning.

The membrane shape is parametrized in the Monge gauge, such that \( u(r) \) denotes deviations from the shape of a flat membrane positioned in the minimum of the nonspecific potential along the lateral position \( r \).

This system (Supplementary Information (SI) section I) is governed by the energy functional

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int_A \left[ \kappa \left( \nabla^2 u(r) \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2} \left( \nabla u(r) \right)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} u(r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( u(r) - (l_0 - h_0) \right)^2 \delta(r - r_0) \right],
\]

which comprises the Helfrich-Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) (bending and tension terms in the integral on the right hand side) for a membrane in a nonspecific potential (third term) with the energetic contribution of a harmonic spring for the pinning (fourth term which includes a delta function \( \delta(r) \)) \( \Psi \). The integration goes over the projected membrane surface \( A \). Here and throughout the paper, the energy scale \( k_B T \) with Boltzmann constant \( k_B \) and absolute temperature \( T \), is set to unity.

Minimization of the Hamiltonian (eq. 1) provides the equation for the mean shape \( \langle u(r) \rangle \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma + \lambda \delta(r - r_0) & \quad \langle u(r) \rangle \\
= & \quad \lambda(l_0 - h_0) \delta(r - r_0).
\end{align*}
\]

Due to the immersion in a thermal bath, the membrane experiences deviations from the mean shape such that the membrane profile adopts a form

\[
u(r) = \langle u(r) \rangle + v(r).
\]

Brackets \( \langle ... \rangle \) indicate the canonical ensemble average and \( v(r) \) fluctuations around the mean shape. In this notation, \( \langle v(r)v(r') \rangle \) represents the spatial two-point correlation function and \( \langle v^2(r) \rangle \) is the spatial profile of the membrane mean square fluctuation amplitude. Using the equipartition theorem according to which each normal mode \( \psi_j(r) \) contributes a mean thermal energy \( k_B T/2 \), we can find the spatial two-point correlation as

\[
\langle v(r)v(r') \rangle = \sum_j \frac{\psi_j^2(r) \psi_j^2(r')}{E_j}.
\]

Here \( \psi_j(r) \) and \( E_j \) are given by the eigenfunction

\[
[k \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma + \lambda \delta(r - r_0)] \psi_j(r) = E_j \psi_j(r),
\]

which emerges from the second variation of the Hamiltonian (eq. 1).

III. GREEN’S FUNCTION APPROACH

A. Green’s function for the free membrane

Prior to addressing the problem of a pinned membrane, it is instructive to notice that the Green’s function \( g_f(r|r') \) for the free membrane (\( \lambda = 0 \)) is defined by

\[
[k \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma] g_f(r|r') = \delta(r - r').
\]

It is translationally invariant \( g_f(r|r') = g_f(r - r') \) and can be expressed as

\[
g_f(r - r') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{ik(r-r')} \frac{e^{i\lambda(r-r')}}{\kappa k^4 + \sigma k^2 + \gamma}.
\]
The solution of the integral on the right hand side of eq. 7 is given in 36 and is a function of modified Bessel functions of the second kind \( K_\nu \)

\[
g_f(r - r') = \frac{K_0(a_+|r - r'| - K_0(a_-|r - r'|))}{2\pi\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{|\lambda_0^m|}{4\sigma}\right)^2}}. \tag{8}
\]

Here \( \lambda_0^m = 8\sqrt{\kappa/\gamma} \), and the coefficients \( a_{\pm} \) are given in the form

\[
a_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\xi_0} \left[ \frac{4\sigma}{\lambda_0^m} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0^m}{4\sigma}\right)^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{9}
\]

with

\[
\xi_0 = \sqrt{\kappa/\gamma}. \tag{10}
\]

We note that the Green’s function eq. 8 has a solution that is real even if \( a_{\pm} \) are complex numbers.

The Green’s function \( g_f(r - r') \), and respectively \( g_f(0) \) can be recognized as the spatial correlation function \( \langle \psi_j(r)|\psi_j(r') \rangle \) 36 and the mean square fluctuation amplitude \( \langle \psi_j^2(r) \rangle \) of the free membrane 23, 27, 29. The later is commonly denoted by \( 1/\lambda_m \). 28, 29, 34. Hence,

\[
g_f(0) = \frac{1}{\lambda_m} = \frac{\text{arctan} \left( \sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_0^m}{4\sigma}\right)^2 - 1} \right)}{2\pi\sigma\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_0^m}{4\sigma}\right)^2 - 1}}, \tag{11}
\]

which for a tensionless case 40 simplifies to

\[
g_f(0)|_{\sigma = 0} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0^m}. \tag{12}
\]

Under this conditions, eq. 8 adopts the well-known form 6, 39

\[
g_f(r - r'_{\sigma = 0}) = -\frac{4}{\pi\lambda_0^m} \text{kei}_0 \left( \frac{|r - r'|}{\xi_0} \right), \tag{13}
\]

with \( \text{kei}_0 \) being the Kelvin function and \( \xi_0 \) being the lateral correlation length of the free tensionless membrane given by eq. 10.

### B. Green’s function for the pinned membrane

The Green’s function \( g(r|r') \) providing the response of a membrane at the position \( r \) due to a disturbance at the position \( r' \) is defined as

\[
[\kappa \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma + \lambda \delta(r-r_0)]g(r|r') = \delta(r-r'). \tag{14}
\]

With the use of eq. 6, eq. 14 can be recast as

\[
[\kappa \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma] [g(r|r') + \lambda g_f(r_0)r_g(r_0|r')] - g_f(r|r') = 0, \tag{15}
\]

which can be generally valid only if the second bracket explicitly vanishes. Consequently,

\[
g(r|r') = g_f(r|r') - \lambda g_f(r_0)g(r_0|r'). \tag{16}
\]

Setting \( r = r_0 \) in eq. 16 provides

\[
g(r_0|r') = \frac{g_f(r_0|r')}{1 + \lambda g_f(r_0)r_0} = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} g_f(r_0|r'), \tag{17}
\]

which, upon reinsertion into eq. 16 gives rise to the Green’s function for the pinned membrane

\[
g(r_0|r') = g_f(r - r') - \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} g_f(r - r_0)g(r_0 - r'). \tag{18}
\]

Although \( g(r|r') \) is comprised of the translationally invariant \( g_f(r - r') \), it itself is not generally translationally invariant.

### C. Representing shape and fluctuations

By construction, \( g(r|r_0) \) differs only by a prefactor from the solution of the shape equation 2

\[
\langle u(r) \rangle = \lambda(l_0 - h_0)g(r|r_0). \tag{19}
\]

Combining eqs. 17 and 19 gives the mean shape

\[
\langle u(r) \rangle = \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} (l_0 - h_0)g_f(r - r_0). \tag{20}
\]

In the tensionless case combining eqs. 13 and 20 yields

\[
\langle u(r) \rangle_{\sigma = 0} = -\frac{4}{\pi \lambda + \lambda_0^m} (l_0 - h_0) \text{kei}_0 \left( \frac{|r - r_0|}{\xi_0} \right), \tag{21}
\]

as shown previously 41, 29. In the limit of an infinitely stiff pinning \( \lambda \rightarrow \infty \), eq. 21 reproduces the result obtained in 6.

By comparing the bilinear expansion of the Green’s function in the eigenfunctions \( \psi_j \) (eq. 5)

\[
g(r|r') = \sum_j \psi_j(r)\psi_j^*(r') \frac{E_j}{E}, \tag{22}
\]

and eq. 3 we find

\[
\langle v(r)v(r') \rangle = g(r|r'), \tag{23}
\]

where the factor \( k_B T = 1 \) on the right hand side is implicit. Hence,

\[
\langle v(r)v(r') \rangle = g_f(r - r') - \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} g_f(r - r_0)g(r_0 - r'). \tag{24}
\]

Naturally, by setting \( r' = r \) in eq. 23 we obtain the fluctuation amplitude

\[
\langle v^2(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_m} - \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} g_f^2(r - r_0), \tag{25}
\]

with

\[
\langle v^2(r_0) \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda + \lambda_m}. \tag{26}
\]
IV. NORMAL MODES EXPANSION

A. Solution of the eigenmode equation

It remains to determine the normal modes $\psi_j$ given by eq. [5]. By placing the pinning at the origin ($r_0 = 0$), the solution of eq. [5] obeys radial symmetry with respect to the pinning site. Hence, the eigenmodes are a product of axial and radial functions, characterized by relevant mode numbers $m$ and $n$, respectively

$$\psi_{nm}(r) = R_{nm}(r)e^{im\phi},$$

(27)

where $(r, \phi)$ are polar coordinates of the position $r$. In this case, eq. [5] takes the form

$$[\kappa \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma + \lambda \delta(r)] \psi_{nm}(r) = E_{nm}\psi_{nm}(r),$$

(28)

where $E_{nm}$ are the eigenvalues corresponding to modes $(n, m)$. The square brackets on the left hand side enclose the energy operator which must be Hermitian (SI section II.A.1).

The general solution of eq. [28] emerges as a sum of Bessel functions (SI section II.B)

$$R_{nm}(r) = a_{nm} J_m(q_{nm} r) + b_{nm} Y_m(q_{nm} r) + c_{nm} K_m(Q_{nm} r) + d_{nm} I_m(Q_{nm} r),$$

(29)

with

$$Q_{nm} = \sqrt{q_{nm}^2 + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa}}.$$  

(30)

Here, $J_m$ and $Y_m$ are Bessel functions of the first and second kind, $K_m$ and $I_m$ are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively, and $a_{nm}$, $b_{nm}$, $c_{nm}$ and $d_{nm}$ are coefficients associated with the $n$ and $m$ mode numbers.

The corresponding eigenvalues in eq. [28] are given by

$$E_{nm} = \kappa q_{nm}^4 + \sigma q_{nm}^2 + \gamma,$$  

(31)

and the general solution $R_{nm}(r)$ is specified by appropriate boundary conditions.

**Boundary condition 1** - $R_{nm}(r)$ stays finite when $r \to 0$: The Bessel functions of the first kind, $J_m$ and $I_m$, inherently fulfill this boundary condition ($J_0(0) = I_0(0) = 1$ and $J_m(0) = I_m(0) = 0$ for $m > 0$). The remaining Bessel functions $Y_m$ and $K_m$ diverge for $r \to 0$. However, for $m = 0$, both Bessel functions diverge logarithmically such that the sum $b_0 Y_0(q_{nm} r) + c_0 K_0(Q_{nm} r)$ stays finite with $c_0 = 2b_0/\pi$, while for $m > 0$ such cancellation is not possible. Consequently,

$$R_{nm}(r) = a_{nm} J_m(q_{nm} r) + d_{nm} I_m(Q_{nm} r) + \delta_{n0} b_{nm} \left( Y_m(q_{nm} r) + \frac{2}{\pi} K_m(Q_{nm} r) \right),$$

(32)

where $\delta_{n0}$ is the Kronecker delta. The term multiplied by $\delta_{n0}$ is contributing only for $m = 0$.

**Boundary condition 2** - The integral of the eigenvalue equation over an infinitesimally small disk $D(e)$ centered at the pinning has to vanish,

$$\int_{D(e)} dr \left[ \kappa \nabla^4 - \sigma \nabla^2 + \gamma + \lambda \delta(r) \right] \psi_{nm}(r) = 0.$$  

(33)

By solving the integral for each mode, one obtains

$$b_{n0} = \Pi(q_{n0})(a_{n0} + d_{n0}),$$

(34)

where

$$\Pi(q) = \frac{\lambda}{8\kappa(q_{n0}^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2\kappa})} + \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \ln(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa q_{n0}}).$$

(35)

**Boundary conditions 3 and 4** - At the membrane edge, $r = P$, we have

$$R_{nm}(P) = 0$$  

(36)

$$\Delta R_{nm}(P) = 0.$$  

(37)

These boundary conditions arise in pair after imposing hermiticity of the operator in the eigenvalue eq. [28].

From eqs. [31] and [32], we obtain the asymptotic form of $R_{nm}(r)$ for a large membrane radius $P$ (SI section II.C.2)

$$R_{nm}(r) \sim a_{nm} \left\{ J_m(q_{nm} r) + \delta_{n0} \left[ \Pi(q_{n0}) \left( Y_m(q_{nm} r) + \frac{2}{\pi} K_m(Q_{nm} r) \right) \right] \right\},$$

(38)

with

$$q_{nm} \sim \frac{\pi}{P}.$$  

(39)

**Normalization** of the solution of the eigenvalue problem (SI section II.C.2), enforces to set

$$a_{nm} = \frac{i^m}{\sqrt{\left( 1 + \delta_{n0}(\Pi(n\Delta q))^2 \right)}}.$$  

(40)

Finally, by letting $P \to \infty$, $q_{nm} \to q \in \mathbb{R}$, the basis functions become $\psi_m(r, q)$, and are given by (SI section III.C.3)

$$\psi_m(r, q) = \frac{i^m e^{im\phi}}{\sqrt{1 + (\delta_{n0} \Pi(q))^2}} \times \left[ J_m(q r) + \delta_{n0} \Pi(q) \left( Y_m(q r) + \frac{2}{\pi} K_m \left( \sqrt{q^2 + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa}} \right) \right) \right].$$

(41)
Naturally, the orthogonality condition
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta(r - r') \psi_m(r, q) \psi_{m'}(r, q') \, dq \, dr = \frac{\delta(q - q')}{q} 2\pi \delta_{m, m'}. \] (42)
is satisfied, and the profile of an infinite pinned membrane can be expanded in the basis functions \( \psi_m(r, q) \) as (SI section II.C.4)
\[ u(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \, U_m(q) \psi_m(r, q), \] (43)
with
\[ U_m(q) = \frac{2\pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \, u_m(r) R_m^* (q, r), \] (44)
where
\[ u_m(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \, u(r) e^{-im\phi}. \] (45)

For vanishing \( \lambda \) (SI section II.C.5) the eigenmodes are given by the Bessel functions \( J_m(qr) \) for all \( m \), which is equivalent to a basis set constructed from plane waves in radial geometry, as demonstrated for a free membrane. For a non-vanishing \( \lambda \), on the other hand, the pinning properties affect explicitly only the eigenmode with \( m = 0 \).

**B. Representing shape and fluctuations**

Expansion of the mean shape of the membrane pinned at \( r_0 = 0 \) is given only by \( m = 0 \) modes (SI section II.D.2):
\[ \langle u(r) \rangle = \lambda(l_0 - h_0) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq R_0(r, q) R_0^*(0, q) \frac{E_q}{E_q}. \] (46)

At the pinning site \( r = 0 \)
\[ \langle u(0) \rangle = \lambda(l_0 - h_0) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq |\psi_{0}(0, q)|^2 \frac{E_q}{E_q} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \lambda_m} (l_0 - h_0). \] (47)

The fluctuation amplitude is trivially recovered using the equipartition theorem eq. [4] yielding
\[ \langle v^2(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \, \frac{\langle \psi_m(r, q)^2 \rangle}{E_q}. \] (48)

At the position of the pinning site
\[ \langle v^2(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \, \frac{q}{\kappa q^4 + \sigma q^2 + \gamma} \times \frac{(8\kappa q^2 + 4\sigma)^2}{\lambda^2 + [8\kappa q^2 + 4\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \ln (1 + \sigma/\kappa q^2)]^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda + \lambda_m}. \] (49)

The last equality, which coincides with eq. [25] was checked numerically to the machine precision for an arbitrary tension, and analytically for \( \sigma = 0 \).

**V. PLANE WAVE EXPANSION**

Relating the shape and the fluctuation amplitude to the properties of the free membrane should be also possible in the most commonly used plane wave expansion
\[ u(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \, u(k)e^{ikr}, \] (50)
where for the mean shape we find
\[ \langle u(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \langle u(k) \rangle e^{ikr}, \] (51)
and for the correlation function
\[ \langle v(r)v(r') \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk' \langle u(k)u(k') \rangle e^{ikr}e^{ik'r'} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk' \langle u(k) \rangle \langle u(k') \rangle e^{ikr}e^{ik'r'}. \] (52)

The disadvantage of this approach is the coupling of the modes, giving rise to expansion coefficients \( \langle u(k)u(k') \rangle \) that have so far not been calculated explicitly.

**A. Mode-coupling**

As previously discussed [9], the amplitudes \( \langle u(k) \rangle \) and the mode coupling coefficients \( \langle u(k)u(k') \rangle \) are defined as
\[ \langle u(k) \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[u] \, u(k) \exp \{-\mathcal{H}\}, \]
\[ \langle u(k)u(k') \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[u] \, u(k)u(k') \exp \{-\mathcal{H}\}, \] (53)
with \( Z \) being the partition function
\[ Z = \int \mathcal{D}[u] \exp \{-\mathcal{H}\}. \] (54)

Treating identities in eq. [53] as Gaussian integrals (SI section III), gives
\[ \langle u(k) \rangle = - \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} (h_0 - l_0) \frac{e^{-ikr_0}}{\kappa k^4 + \sigma k^2 + \gamma}, \] (55)
\[ \langle u(k)u(k') \rangle = \frac{\delta(k + k')}{\kappa k^4 + \sigma k^2 + \gamma} + \langle u(k) \rangle \langle u(k') \rangle - \frac{\lambda \lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m} \frac{e^{-ikr_0}}{\kappa k^4 + \sigma k^2 + \gamma} \frac{e^{-ik'r_0}}{\kappa k'^4 + \sigma k'^2 + \gamma}, \] (56)
This is appropriate for moderate fluctuations when the effects of the finite size of the pinning is smaller than membrane fluctuation amplitude [41].

Fourier transforming eqs. [55] and [56] we obtain the mean shape for a pinned membrane (eq. [20]) and the spatial correlations (eq. [24]), as found with the Green’s function approach.

VI. PROPERTIES OF THE MEAN SHAPE AND THE CORRELATION FUNCTION

While the previous sections reveal the formal framework describing the effect of the pinning on the fluctuations of the membrane, several results warrant further discussion. Specifically, inserting the solution for the mean shape eq. [20] into the Hamiltonian eq. [1] determines the total elastic energy stored in the system

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \lambda_m (h_0 - l_0)^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K} (h_0 - l_0)^2$$

Equation [57] shows that the deformation energy increases quadratically with the height separation between the free membrane and the pinning, while it vanishes for $h_0 = l_0$ as described previously [9, 42]. The prefactor $\mathcal{K}$ is an effective spring constant made up of two springs (the membrane and the pinning) connected in series, with $\lambda_m$ being the membrane spring constant. From this point of view, $\mathcal{K}$ can be seen as the effective elastic constant of the system [28, 29, 34].

The quadratic nature of eq. [57] is consistent with the quadratic form of the Hamiltonian eq. [1] and the "local" nature of the pinning. A further consequence is the linear relation between the mean shape and the correlation function from the pinning site

$$\langle u(r) \rangle = -\lambda (h_0 - l_0) \langle v(r)v(r_0) \rangle,$$

which emerges by inspection of eqs. [19] and [23]. Here, the spatially independent prefactor has a form of a force on a harmonic spring. As a result, both the shape and the correlation function have the same features but due to a minus sign on the left hand side of eq. [58] the trends are opposite. For instance, the well-documented overshoot of the membrane shape at distances of couple of correlation lengths from the pinning is reflected in the anticorrelations in the same range (Fig. 2). Likewise, the displacement of the mean shape from the minimum of the non-specific potential increases with the increased pinning stiffness $\lambda$ (Fig. 2a), while the amplitude of the pinning site correlation $\langle v(r)v(r_0) \rangle$ decreases (Fig. 2b).

Interestingly, following eqs. [17] and [20] the correlation function and the mean shape can also be expressed in terms of the correlation function for the free membrane

$$\langle u(r) \rangle = -\mathcal{K}(h_0 - l_0) \langle v_f(r)v_f(r_0) \rangle,$$

which emerges from the proportionality between pinned- and free-membrane correlation functions

$$\langle v(r)v(r_0) \rangle \equiv \langle v^2(r_0) \rangle = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda + \lambda_m}.$$
highly tensed membranes do so if $\lambda \gg \lambda_m^0$ (small $\lambda/\sigma$ in Fig. 3a). Moreover, since the decay of correlations from the pinning site is independent of $h_0$ and $l_0$ (i.e., from the mean deformation), determination of elastic properties of the pinning can be extracted directly from the change in the fluctuation amplitude between the pinned and the free states of the membrane.

Actually, both the mean shape and the correlations from the pinning site are given just by simple rescaling of the free membrane correlations, implying the insensitivity of the correlation length and the deformation range to the length and stiffness of the pinning. Hence, the decay length of the correlation function will be that of the free membrane correlation function. Accordingly, dependent on various regimes (see SI section IV for details), a power law and an oscillatory behaviour are dominated by an exponential decay of a length $\xi(\kappa, \sigma, \gamma) = \xi(\xi_0, \sigma/\lambda_m^0)$ identified through

$$\langle v_f(r)v_f(0) \rangle = g_f(r) \sim \xi^{-\infty} e^{-r/\xi(\kappa, \sigma/\lambda_m^0)}, \quad (61)$$

where

$$\xi = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \cos^{-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{\lambda_m^0}{4\sigma} \right)^2 - 1} \right) & \text{if } \sigma = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < \sigma < \frac{\lambda_m^0}{4}, \\ \frac{\sigma}{4\lambda_m^0} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_m^0}{4\sigma} \right)^2} \right)^{-1/2} & \text{if } \sigma > \frac{\lambda_m^0}{4} \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

Remarkably, increasing tension does not necessarily induce longer range height correlations, but instead small amounts of tension ($\sigma < \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m^0$) actually reduce the decay length of correlations (Fig. 4). For $\sigma > \frac{1}{4} \lambda_m^0$, the decay length monotonically increases with increasing tension and only once the tension reaches $\sigma = 5\lambda_m^0/16$ the decay length gets longer compared to a decay length of a tensionless free membrane.

Interestingly, if only bending contributes, $g_f(r) \sim r^2 \log r^2$ [43, 44], which is not a trivial limit of eq. (62) since the introduction of a nonspecific potential fundamentally changes the nature of fluctuations.

The long range nature of these correlations is significant in the context of interactions between pinnings on the membrane separated by a distance $x$. Following [41] the interaction energy between two pinnings is

$$V_2(x) = \frac{K(l_0 - h_0)^2}{1 + K g_f(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 1 - |K g_f(x)|^2 \right), \quad (63)$$

where the first term is the deformation energy stored in the system and the second term is the entropic cost associated with the suppression of fluctuations. Terms which are independent on the relative distance between
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**Figure 3.** Effect of the pinning on the membrane fluctuations (eq. 60). a) Varying $\sigma$ and $\lambda$. b) Varying $\lambda$ and $\lambda_m^0$.
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**Figure 4.** Correlation decay length $\xi(\xi_0, \sigma/\lambda_m^0)$. The dark grey lines mark the value $\sigma = (5/16)\lambda_m^0$, beyond which an increase in tension results in longer range correlations than in the tensionless case.
the two pinnings are omitted, since they drop out in the calculation of the force between two pinnings $F_2(x) = -\partial V_2(x)/\partial x$,

$$F_2(x) = \frac{K^2(l_0 - h_0)^2 g'_f(x)}{[1 + Kg_f(x)]^2} + \frac{Kg_f(x)g'_f(x)}{1 - K^2g_f(x)^2}. \tag{64}$$

It is immediately clear that the first and second term in eq. $64$ decay as $g'_f(x)$ and $g_f(x)g'_f(x)$, respectively. Hence, the long range behaviour of the force between two pinnings is simply given by the long range decay of the correlations for the free membrane. Notably, $V_2(x)$ in eq. $63$ and $F_2(x)$ in eq. $64$ can be expressed as a function of only mean shape or the correlation function of a membrane with a single pinning.

The universal exponential nature of this decay is contrasted by a body of work performed on forces between inclusions which locally bend a tensionless membrane (no external potential). In this case the decay follows a power law $\propto l^{-\nu}$, which is modified by the introduction of tension in a non-trivial way $\propto l^{-\nu} \exp(-l/l_0)$ However, as can be seen by the current work a confining external potential and a harmonic pinning that directly enter the Hamiltonian and the Green’s function operator change the nature of these forces.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied the effect of a pinning on the statics of a membrane fluctuating in a harmonic non-specific potential. We showed that the membrane and the pinning can be seen as two springs in series in the context of the energetics, as discussed previously. Hence, in the case when the length of the pinning does not coincide with the position of the undisturbed membrane in an effective potential, the deformation in the system depends on the effective spring constants of the pinning and the membrane (the later characterized by the inverse of the fluctuation amplitude in the absence of pinning). For stiff membranes, the pinning will deform, while for stiff pinnings, membrane deformation will be considerable. In the case of small tensions, the previously discussed overshoots will appear at some distance of the pinning. However, since the lateral correlation length of the membrane is not affected by the pinning properties, the range of the deformation is independent of the pinning. This is very different to the effect of tension, which directly affects the deformation range.

The pinning, on the other hand, has a major effect on the membrane fluctuation amplitude, which is an inverse function of the pinning stiffness. The correlation length and the long range exponential behaviour is, however, fully given by the correlation length of the free membrane. These correlations translate into long-range interactions between pinnings, which still decay exponentially, unlike in the case of simple membrane inclusions. This behaviour is independent of the amount of the deformation in the system, and is valid in the case of purely fluctuation mediated interactions ($h_0 = l_0$) between pinning sites, in which case the decay length of the force is halved, compared to the case of a deformed membrane ($h_0 \neq l_0$).

Given that membrane locally pinned by proteins or macromolecular assemblies are indeed ubiquitous in nature, a toolbox developed herein consisting of mode-coupling coefficients, orthonormal modes and the Green’s function of the system is highly useful. It can be used in future theoretical studies of membranes in different settings. Furthermore, we hope that the gained insight into the effects of pinnings on the membrane fluctuations and shape will help understanding the interplay between the membrane elasticity and the forces transmitted by the proteins in the biological context.

The results presented here, for instance, open the possibility for differentiating between actively and passively pinned membranes in experiments, just by measuring the shape and fluctuations around a binding site, which can be either a single protein or a microdomain. Another interesting application of the results is in the context of using membranes as probes of proteins binding. Typical examples of the latter are cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesion or the interaction between cytoskeleton and the plasma or nuclear membranes. The work presented herein could also be applied to AFM measurements of membrane-protein interactions, where vesicles are used as soft probes. As these are just some of the many systems where protein mediated pinning is important in the biological and biotechnological context, further developing a theory to account for the fluctuation dynamics of a permanently, but also stochastically pinned membranes appears as a natural and necessary extension of the current work, a task that we plan to undertake in our future work.
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