Dynamics of supernova bounce in laboratory
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We draw attention to recent High Explosive (HE) experiments which provide compression of macroscopic amount of matter to high, even record, values of pressure in comparison with other HE experiments. The observed bounce after the compression corresponds to processes in core-collapse supernova explosions after neutrino trapping. Conditions provided in the experiments resemble those in core-collapse supernovae, permitting to use them for laboratory astrophysics. A unique feature of the experiments is compression at low entropy. The values of specific entropy are close to those obtained in numerical simulations during the process of collapse in supernovae explosions, and much lower than obtained at laser ignition facilities, another type of high-compression experiments. Both in supernovae and HE experiments the bounce undergoes at low entropy, so the HE experiments provide a new platform to realize some supernova collapse effects in laboratory, especially to study hydrodynamics of collapsing flows and the bounce. Due to good resolution of diagnostics in the compression of macroscopic amounts of material with essential effects of non-ideal plasma in EOS, and observed development of 3D instabilities, these experiments may serve as a useful benchmark for astrophysical hydrodynamic codes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Astrophysics gathers data mainly from observations with no hope for full-scale experiments under laboratory conditions [1–4], especially on supernovae – one of the most energetic events in the Universe. Nevertheless, recent progress in high energy laboratory experiments [1–4] allow us to simulate in some extend conditions in astrophysics. Main sites of such experiments are cumulative High Explosive (HE) generators [1–4], lasers [5, 6], pulsed high-current facilities (Z-machine) [1–3, 7, 8]. Here we consider HE driver shock wave generator employed at explosive facilities. Pressure most recently obtained there is a record high for such type of HE experimental facilities: the values of pressure $P \sim 100 \text{ Mbar}$ are reached in hydrogen isotope deuterium [9] as we report here. Though it is significantly lower than the pressure inside exploding stars, these experiments provide compression of macroscopic amount of matter at low entropy, leading to a shock wave bounce that corresponds to processes in core–collapse supernova explosions. Such a similarity appears due to the growth of the stiffness in the equation of state due to strong quantum degeneracy effects for free electron component of strongly non-ideal deuterium plasma, the effect that is emphasized by low-entropy conditions. Thus these experiments provide a new platform to realise some supernova collapse effects in laboratory.

Supernova explosions represent one of the most energetic and exciting objects in the Universe [2, 3], causing high interest of researchers. The records of those events are found in ancient chronicles during the millennia of written history, but the real scientific study of supernovae began only in twentieth century. During last decades a lot of theoretical models have been proposed [10], none of which could describe all stages of this complex and extreme phenomenon.
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At the same time, the general picture of the explosion is quite well established [10]. For initial stellar masses \( \sim (10 - 25)M_\odot \) the explosion occurs due to the core collapse. In a stationary star the internal pressure is exactly compensated by gravity. After exhaustion of nuclear fuel in the core of a star, the equation of state changes, leading to the decrease of adiabatic exponent when internal pressure is unable to resist the growth of gravitational force in the core. This eventually leads to unimpeded compression, or collapse. Unless another change of the equation of state happens, the process would go to infinity. In reality at high density due to degeneracy and non-ideality of plasma the stiffness of the equation of state rises dramatically, which leads to the bounce of infalling matter and formation of the outgoing shock, which finally may produce the supernova explosion. This process is complicated by other physical effects, most important of which is generation of huge neutrino flux (see Sec. V).

Today there is no hope to approach in laboratory the ultra-extreme conditions in plasma that appear in supernova explosions [1–4], but we try to qualitatively reproduce the hydrodynamical phenomena occurring in collapsing material: the effects of degeneracy, nonideal plasma and bounce. The similar physics appears in explosive experiments [11, 12] with deuterium plasma discussed in the paper.

The unique high energy experiments were specially designed to reach high levels of pressure and density. It is done as a result of a special assembly: a multi-layer system, that maintains a quasi-isentropic regime of compression, with low levels of entropy generation. The achievements of recent years in symmetry control of explosive compression allow us to reach high values of pressure: at the final stage the deuterium plasma has pressure \( P = 114 \pm 20 \text{ Mbar} \), and this is the record for shock waves experiments with high-explosives.

Generation of high-pressure, high-temperature matter by multiple reverberating shock waves is well known [13–15] but are restricted by the pressure level \( \sim 1 - 5 \text{ Mbar} \). There is no real competition with high-explosive generators at the pressure level \( \sim 50 - 100 \text{ Mbar} \).

Another benefit of the explosive experiment is in significantly lower values of specific entropy compared to laser one. This feature is important for comparison with core-collapsing supernovae, where the values of entropy are also low.

### II. BASIC IDEA OF SIMILARITY

Here we introduce briefly the scheme of the experiment, it is discussed in more detail in Section IV. The installation has a specially designed experimental geometry (the target – gas, surrounded by a shell-pusher, that is accelerated by high-explosives outside the shell), that is carefully adjusted with used explosive intensity, for effective compression of the target. Using it we can observe various stages of plasma dynamics, as is depicted on X-ray images in Figures 1 and 2.

![Figure 1: X-ray images of spherical devices for two variants of experiments: with a single and a double–shell schemes. Each picture shows both initial and the moment of maximum compression states](image)

First, we have neutral gas, that is ionized by the first shock to almost ideal plasma, followed by transition to non-ideal plasma [1–4], and finally to degenerate plasma. One can ensure that at certain stages of compression of the steel shell-pusher the thermodynamic trajectory of compressed deuterium (which mimics the “collapse” of an
Figure 2: $R(t)$ diagrams for shells in experimental device filled with deuterium producing record high compression.

a) X-ray images of the shell 2 (see Fig. 6) as a function of time, where $t_0$ is the initial state, $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the compression phases, $t_3$ is the moment of maximum compression ("bounce") and $t_4$ is the expansion phase; light and red circles are the outer and inner boundaries of the shell 2, respectively.

b) experimental data and calculated $R(t)$ diagrams, symbol □ denotes the results of electrocontact technique, symbols + and × are used for X-ray data from the model experiment, and symbols ◊ and ○ for the data of the basic experiment.

SN core) enters the region of soft EOS. Then the rate of the shell braking by the pressure of the compressed gas goes down. The shell is still compressible (driven by products of an high explosion outside), and accelerates. At a time when the whole deuterium goes into a phase of a strongly non-ideal $\Gamma = e^2 kT/n^{1/3} \gg 1$ strongly degenerate $n\lambda^3 = n (\hbar^2/2mkT)^{3/2} \gg 1$ plasma, rigidity and resistance of deuterium plasma, hence, the rate of shell braking sharply increase. This cause a “bounce” of falling matter, and a shock wave born at the bounce will run out into the shell.

All this pattern was observed in X-rays and gamma-rays and the dynamics of the system is carefully measured. This can be seen in Fig. 2 where the $R(t)$ diagram of the shells is shown.

The dynamics of the explosive experiment described above is similar to the process of collapse during supernova explosions. Using a sophisticated one–dimensional code designed for core collapse simulations (see section V), we have obtained the trajectories of matter inside the collapsing star – see Fig. 3. The dynamics can be shown with so-called mass coordinates $m$, which show the mass enclosed by the radius $r$ (the relation to ordinary coordinates is simple $dm/dr = 4\pi r^2 \rho$). Similar graphs can be obtained for the density, temperature, and other quantities. Curves are divided in two types of behavior: for $m < 0.6$ they are smooth, and have an “angle” for $m \geq 0.6$. Such a difference signifies the appearance of the shock wave somewhere between $m = 0.5$ and $m = 0.6$. For higher values of $m$ the matter falls until it meets the shock front and is accelerated sharply: this leads to the formation of the “angle” in the pattern of the flow. Naturally, the only mechanism of bounce is not enough for the explosion to occur: the outward moving shock wave stalls and is transformed into the standing accretion shock. Additional physics is required to revive this shock wave: neutrino contribution, multi- dimensional effects in the flow, or others. This problem is actively discussed in the literature [17–26].
III. EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE

The simplest experimental device for studying compressibility is a gas-filled metal shell of spherical shape surrounded by a block of a high explosive (HE) – see Fig. 3. Schematically, the process of matter compression in such a construction is shown in Fig. 4 in the radius-time coordinates. After the end of detonation of explosives, a shock wave (SW) is formed in the metallic shell, which then enters into the investigated substance. After its reflection from the center of the system and from the inner boundary of the moving shell a system of weak shock waves is formed in the region of the investigated substance (red lines) that compress and heat it up. Additional compression is provided by the shell smoothly converging to the center. Thus, the circulation of shock waves and smooth compression by the shell transform shock-wave compression into quasi-isentropic compression. In the final result, due to the growth of pressure inside the material being studied, the shell stops (at radius $R$ in Fig. 5), and then bounces back. Smaller is the jump in entropy in the first and subsequent shock waves, closer the compression process approaches the isentropic one. In such systems, conditions for a longer retention of the substance at high pressure are more favourable as compared with loading by a single shock wave. With this loading method, various isentropes are achieved, with parameters depending on the mass of explosives and the geometry of the experimental devices. Those parameters can be changed.
in a wide range. With the use of such a single-stage construction hydrogen was compressed in VNIIIF to density of \(\approx 2 \text{ g/cm}^3\) by pressure \(P \approx 1300 \text{ GPa}\) \([27, 28]\). A similar construction was used also in Ref. \([29]\), where the deuterium plasma was compressed to a density of \(4 \text{ g/cm}^3\) at pressure of 1800 GPa.

In recent years an experimental spherical two-stage device has been developed and widely used to increase the compression ratio of plasma, schematically shown in Fig. 6. The compression of the gas and plasma in such a construction is effected by the action of steel spherical shells (1) and (2) accelerated to the axis or centre of symmetry of the device by the products of explosion of a powerful condensed explosive (3) made on the basis of an octogen and a system of shock waves circulating in the cavity of the shell. The inner cavity of the shell (2) is protected from the direct action of the explosive layer by the softening layer from the test gas, which largely eliminates the ejection of metal particles into the internal plasma cavity. To further reduce perturbations from the initiation system, a plexiglass gasket (4) is used between the explosive unit (3) and the outer shell (1).

Using such devices, the compressibility of deuterium plasma in the pressure region up to \(P \approx 5500 \text{ GPa}\) was investigated in paper \([16]\). Two X-ray images for a single-stage and two-stage spherical devices at the moment of maximum compression and in the initial state borrowed from paper \([16]\) are shown in Fig. 1 in the main text. A new type of experimental spherical device with separated cavities was recently developed to study the properties of plasma at high compression ratios. At a ratio of the initial gas pressures in the inner and outer cavities \(\approx 1:9\) with such a construction, a helium plasma was compressed in ref. \([30]\) by a factor of 600 with a pressure \(P \approx 3000 \text{ GPa}\). A spherical device similar to that described in paper \([16]\) was recently used in the experiment on the compression
of deuterium plasma with a pressure of $10^4$ GPa \cite{31}. To compress the deuterium plasma in the claimed pressure region, a condenser with the mass $m \approx 85$ kg TNT was more powerful than in Ref. \cite{16}. A number of X-ray images (Roentgenograms) obtained in the new experiment is shown in Fig. 2 in the main text.

To select gas-dynamic testing programs that describe the process of plasma compression as a function of time, including the movement of shock waves along the elements of the structure and the motion of the shells in the initial phase (when the effect of the gas is practically absent), a preliminary model gas-dynamic experiment was performed with a hemispherical block simulating the geometry of the structure and the technology of the full experiment. In the preliminary experiment, the movement of shocks along the plexiglass, the movement of the shells in the initial phase was recorded and the velocity of the inner boundary of the shell 1 in Fig. 5 was measured.

The experimental data obtained are shown in Fig. 2 (b) together with the results of the gas-dynamic calculation performed. From the graph in Fig. 2 (b), it can be seen that the gasdynamic calculation well describes the control points of the shock motion: data from electroncontact technique in the plexiglass shell (symbol ■), the dynamics of outer shell boundaries by x-ray images (symbols + and ×) and the data of the basic experiment (◇ and ○).

As follows from the analysis of the calculation performed, at the moment of maximum compression in a deuterium plasma, the pressure reached $P = (11400 \pm 2000)$ GPa and the temperature $T = 36500$ K with the measured density of the compressed plasma $\rho = 10.0 \pm 1.3$ g/cm$^3$, and the compression value $\sigma = \rho/\rho_0 = 300$. The calculated value of the mean density $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 11.1$ g/cm$^3$ agrees with the experimentally measured value within 11%. The criterion for the validity of the found maximum pressure value is a good agreement between the experimental data and the calculated $R(t)$ diagram.

The pressure obtained in experiments of other type – laser facilities, e.g. NIF \cite{32}, may be higher, approaching $P \sim 100$ Gbar, but at significantly smaller spatial scales and short lifetimes. The explosive experiment reaches maximum pressure at $t_{\text{expl}} \sim 1$ mm scale and $t_{\text{expl}} \sim 1 \mu$s, while for laser facilities these are $l_{\text{las}} \sim 10\mu$m scale and $t_{\text{expl}} \sim 0.1–1$ ns. The latter significantly complicates the diagnostics. The larger scale of explosion-driven compression allows one to get much more details \cite{14} of the hydrodynamic flows and shocks.

### IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENT

To detect the position of the shells that compress the test substance, iron-free pulse betatrons (BIM) are widely used in devices with large metal masses and high explosives \cite{33, 34}. The average density of the compressed substance is measured along the inner boundary of the shell with the compressed plasma at the moment of its maximum compression (the “bounce” moment). Because the mass of the compressed matter is preserved, its density for a spherical device is calculated from the expression:

$$\rho = \rho_0 (R_0/R_{\text{min}})^n$$

(4.1)

Where $\rho_0$ is the initial gas density, $R_0$ and $R_{\text{min}}$ are the inner shell radius in the initial state and at the moment of its “bounce”, respectively; $n = 2$ or $n = 3$ for cylindrical or spherical geometry, respectively.

The scheme of the experiment on the modern X-ray radiographic complex is shown in Fig. 4, cf. paper \cite{16}. A shadow image of the boundaries of the inner shell compressing the gas under investigation was obtained by simultaneously using bremsstrahlung of three powerful betatrons (1) with an electron limiting energy of $\approx 60$ MeV located at 45$^\circ$ angles to each other in a protecting concrete structure (2). A feature of the radiographic complex is the possibility of each radiator to operate in a three-pulse mode, which allows one to register up to nine phases of the shell motion in one experiment and thus to trace the entire dynamics of target compression. When studying the motion of the shell for each betatron, an individual optoelectronic detection system is used. The latter is activated synchronously with the betatron pulses, which makes it possible to obtain three independent X-ray images. To eliminate the effect of scattered radiation on highly sensitive recorders (3), the size of the recording field in each of the three projections is limited to lead collimators (4). To protect the betatrons (1) and optoelectronic X-ray detectors (3), aluminum cones (6) are used. As gamma converters in this system, single crystals of sodium iodide activated with tellurium NaI (TI) Ø 150 mm ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 410$ nm, decay time 250 ns) and lutetium silicate LSO Ø 80 mm ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 420$ nm, decay time 50 ns) are used.

#### A. Experimental data

The experimental results of this work are shown in Table I and in Fig. 9 together with data on the compressibility of deuterium plasma to pressures $P \approx 5500$ GPa from Ref. \cite{16}, in which the density jump with $(\partial P/\partial \rho)_{\text{S}} = 0$ in the range $\Delta \rho = 1.46 – 1.68$ g/cm$^3$ is registered at temperature $T \approx 3700$ K and pressure $P \approx 150$ GPa, and a change $\rho/\rho_0 = 300$. The calculated value of $\rho_{\text{calc}} = 11.1$ g/cm$^3$ agrees with the experimentally measured value within 11%.
in the slope of the derivative \(dP/d\rho\) after the density jump (regardless of the magnitude \(\Delta \rho\) of this jump), which in Refs. \[3, 16, 35\] is associated with a plasma phase transition. The result of current work indicates a new change in the slope of the derivative \(dP/d\rho\) at densities above \(\rho \sim 5 \text{ g/cm}^3\) in a compressed plasma of deuterium. The resulting collection of data points to the need for a more thorough study of the behaviour of compressed deuterium plasma in the pressure region 4000-10000 GPa. Creation of the necessary experimental devices for this is not particularly difficult.

B. Analogy with astrophysical situations

It is often discussed in literature \[4\] that the conditions similar to those at the centres of giant planets are achieved in laboratory experiments with strong compression of matter. We point out that explosion-driven experiments with quasi-isentropic compression lead to appreciable degeneracy of matter, the stiffness of matter grows and “bounce” is produced as envisaged in astrophysical simulations of core collapses. Thus those results are interesting for laboratory astrophysics in quite different direction from planetary studies: one can experimentally investigate here the effect of the “bounce” – similar to the bounce in collapsing supernovae. Moreover, dimensionless specific entropy is low in accordance with supernova core collapse simulations, and experimentally observed phase transition of the first kind may be relevant for understanding exotic mechanisms of supernova explosions. In addition, spallation of the surface of compressed samples are similar to another phenomenon already observed in supernova, namely, so-called shock breakout \[36–38\]. Not only a new dimension for laboratory astrophysics is open by the new experiments: a new tool appears for validation of codes used in applied science and in astrophysics.

C. Details of experiment

Our goal is to simulate physics that resembles SN collapse in the laboratory: the unique technique of generation and diagnostics of matter in the extreme states in laboratory is developed which allows matter compression by the energy of high explosive charges (see, e.g., a review \[39\]). Already achieved is the record pressure in large volume \(\sim 50 \text{ Mbar}\) (paper \[16\]) and the record compression ratio \(\rho/\rho_0 \sim 600\) (paper \[30\]). Pressures \(P \sim 100 \text{ Mbar}\) (in large volumes) have been achieved just very recently, see Table.1.

Two kinds of production of overdense matter are used:

(i) the shock compression by intense shock waves (both direct and reflected);

(ii) a quasi-isentropic compression of the material in a series of shock wave “reverberation” created by collapsing massive spherical and cylindrical steel shells pushed by detonation products of high explosive charges.

Very accurate simultaneity of initiation of spherical and cylindrical high explosive charges, achieved thanks to years of elaborating this technique, provides a high degree of symmetry of collapsing steel shell which allows to achieve a high degree of spherical symmetry of the material motion until the final moment of maximum compression of the sample (when density grows by a factor of hundreds!). The symmetry of the driving shell is preserved until it stops before the ensuing “bounce”.

Extremely precise control of the parameters of the initial state is provided as well as control by X-ray patterns of the position of a moving (collapsing) shell, and parameters of the compressible material. The average density of the material is directly measured in the experiment from the position of the shell on all its way until the time of stopping just before its “bounce”.

The pressure of compressed plasma is extracted from sophisticated hydrodynamic calculations of the whole structure dynamics: the collapsing steel shell and the matter compressed in the shock-wave reverberations. The codes used in such simulations well–describe multicomponent dynamics of arbitrary matter variants: gases, solid phases, plasma etc, what was tested many times by comparison with similar type experiments.

The start of the process: hitting of a sample by an explosion-accelerated collapsing steel shell. The first shock wave is converging towards the centre and reflects there. After the reflection - a much weaker diverging shock appears, then a reflection of this shock on the the steel shell which is continuing to collapse. Then again (even weaker) shock converging towards the center, etc., up to the moment of a final stopping of the shell.

Calculations show that the bulk of entropy growth occurs in the first shock wave. Further compression in a series of increasingly weak reverberating shocks can be a good approximation to treat it as isentropic. Therefore, the term: the quasi-isentropic compression \[3, 27, 28\].

The numbers for specific entropy for various experiments are shown in the Table \[1\]. They show that also in this respect the experiments reported here are close to the conditions inside a collapsing supernova core.
The Table II shows main parameters achieved in various runs of high explosive experiments. The most important quantity for comparison with core collapsing supernovae is the specific entropy per baryon (last column). It is close indeed to the predictions of core collapse simulations, see, e.g. figure 7.

The great difference between different types of high–compression experiments (HE, lasers etc.) is in entropy production. The entropy in typical laser experiments is appreciably higher than in supernova core collapse, in contrast with explosives experiments, where the entropy is maintained low – see Tab. II.

In addition we note that other important work on high compression has been done – see e.g. papers [40, 41], but these experiments also do not reproduce SN physics.

Figure 7: Entropy in a collapsing core. Zero time is the moment of bounce. Left panel shows entropy profiles as a function of Lagrangean mass \( m \) before the bounce. Right panel: the same after the bounce.

V. STELLAR COLLAPSE SIMULATION

The description of hydrodynamic equations solver used in this paper is given in Ref. [42]. The matter equation of state is taken according to paper [43] with high-density non-ideality effects included [44]. The most important part of supernova simulation procedure, – the neutrino transport scheme, – is divided into two parts: for the inner opaque stellar core’s domain we use neutrino heat conduction theory, first developed in paper [45] with additional scattering effects [46]. For the outer semi-opaque and transparent domain we use the scheme, proposed in paper [47] with a few modifications [42].

Many simulations of core-collapsing supernovae show that bounce pushes shock wave, but later it stalls. Revival of the shock is needed for a successful explosion and it is the most important problem in SNe that should be solved in future. The physics of shock revival is not reflected in experiments that we describe, but a study of the bounce with a laboratory tool that we propose is essential, as this stage forms initial conditions for shock stalling and revival stages.

The inner part of the core collapses to nuclear density, where the nuclear equation of state (EOS) stiffens due to the repulsive core of the nuclear force [48]. Collapse is halted abruptly on a millisecond timescale. The core of the newly born proto-neutron star overshoots its new equilibrium, then bounces back (“core bounce”) into the still infalling outer core, creating a shock wave. This shock first moves out dynamically, but quickly loses energy by work done to break up infalling iron-group nuclei into neutrons, protons, and alpha particles and also by copious emission of neutrinos.

For a successful explosion to occur, the supernova mechanism must revive the shock within one second. Otherwise the steady accretion stream of outer core and shell material will push the proto-neutron star over its maximum mass (set by the nuclear EOS) and black hole formation results (e.g., paper [49]). The primary candidate mechanism for driving typical CCSN explosions is the neutrino mechanism [17–20]. Neutrinos dominate the CCSN energetics. The essence of the neutrino mechanism is that a fraction (~10%) of the outgoing \( \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e \) luminosity is deposited in a heating region behind the front of the stalled shock. This offsets the balance between accretion ram pressure and
total pressure behind the shock, eventually leading to a runaway explosion [50]. However, the neutrino mechanism fails to explode ordinary massive stars in spherical symmetry (1D). Extensive work [21–26] in axisymmetry (2D) and in 3D has shown that multi-dimensional (multi-D) fluid dynamics may play a crucial role in the explosion mechanism.

This is very similar to figure 2 where snapshots of the record high compression of the deuterium gas is reproduced. The development of multi-D distortions is clearly visible for the moment $t_4$.

VI. THERMODYNAMICS

The compressed matter is described in the context of SAHA–EOS model [51] and the corresponding SAHA–D code (see Ref. [10] and references therein) in terms of the so-called quasi–cheminal representation (“chemical picture”), in other words, by the method of “free energy minimization”. Mutual transformations of components are described according to the equations of chemical and ionization equilibrium (such as Saha equation) with corrections for non-ideality. The point is that hot dense hydrogen plasmas in experiments [27–29] is strongly non-ideal and strongly degenerate i.e. corresponding dimensionless parameters and corrections are not small: Coulomb parameter $\Gamma_D \equiv e^2/(r_D kT) \gg 1$, electron degeneracy parameter $n_e \lambda_e^3 \gg 1$ (here $r_D = (4\pi e^2 \sum n_i Z_i^2 / kT)^{1/2}$ – the Debye radius, $\lambda_e = (\hbar^2/2\pi m_e kT)^{1/2}$ – thermal de Broglie wave–length).

The most “rigorous” approaches – ab initio, or FPEOS (First Principe EOS), based on so-called Quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC) and Quantum Molecular Dynamic (QMD) ones, – claim to reach the status of “numerical experiment”, although it remains to be seen, are they more or less accurate than real experiments. They are very time-consuming and cumbersome, even in the main reference variant VASP (Vienna ab initio simulation package, plane wave density functional code for quantum molecular dynamics simulations, see e.g. paper [52]). These methods allow to calculate directly only a part of thermodynamic quantities, the so-called “summation” values of pressure $P(\rho, T)$ and internal energy $U(\rho, T)$. They do not produce directly the truly thermodynamic quantities, such as entropy, free energy, and chemical potential. VASP technique also predicts dissociative-plasma phase transition (e.g. Ref. [53]). For a discussion of the possible analogies with core-collapsing SNe this is important.

![Figure 9: Two isentropes: 1 is the isentropic curve from the point M1 with the use of VNIEF EOS for deuterium, and 2 is the isentrope based on EOS SAHA from Fig. 15 in paper [10] above 150 GPa, which miraculously enters a new point MB5.](image)
A. Summary for thermodynamics

HE-driven experimental results in paper [16] and all the theoretical models (SAHA, DFT / MD, CH EOS, Sesame, Urlyn) in one way or another include a phase transition of the 1st kind with a significant jump in density in the area of 1.5 – 4 Mbar.

The basic analogy, which can conceptually relate thermal and fluid dynamics in explosive experiments with the processes occurring in supernovae of type II (CCSN) may be formulated as follows.

With specially selected geometry of experiment and explosion intensity one can ensure that at the final stages of compression (“collapse”) of the steel shell the thermodynamic trajectory of compressed deuterium would be just beginning to enter the two-phase domain of the phase transition. This means that from the moment of entering the central portion of the deuterium in the two-phase zone the stiffness (“resistance”) of deuterium plasma, and with it the rate of the shell braking, stop growing. The shell is still being compressed (“pushed”) by the products of explosion from outside, is accelerating. At the moment when the whole deuterium gas goes into “atomic phase” (read “phase of a strongly degenerate plasma”), the stiffness and resistance of the deuterium plasma increase abruptly, and with it the rate of the shell braking does. A shock wave will run out in the shell, which will cause a rarefaction Riemann wave on the outer boundary and perhaps even a so-called “spallation”. There will be a “rebound” (or just bounce) of the shell. All these dynamics can, in principle, be observed in gamma-ray probing the dynamics of the process.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The similarity in dynamics seen in Figs. 2 and 3 is a basic analogy, which can conceptually relate thermal and fluid dynamics in explosive experiments with the processes occurring in type Ib/c and type II supernovae (Core-Collapsing Supernovae, CCSN), and permits us to use the explosive experiment as a laboratory site to study hydrodynamics of...
the collapse and bounce. Not only a new dimension for laboratory astrophysics is open by the new experiments: a new tool appears for validation of codes used in applied science and in astrophysics.

The simulations of explosive experiments with a hydrodynamic code, that describes the dynamics of the whole structure: the steel shell, the gas–target, high explosive and detonation products, agrees well with the results of the experiment. An important part of the simulations are equations of state, that describe all transitions that occur with matter being investigated. As was emphasized above these transitions are crucial for the proposed analogy between supernovae and explosive experiment. The equations of state used in experiment description are the realization of theoretical models [3, 4], which is published elsewhere [16]. Another important feature of the experiment is low entropy regime of compression, this fact brings the experiments closer to condition in real supernovae, where entropy of collapsing material is also quite low.

We conclude that such an experimental tool opens new horizons in laboratory astrophysics. It allows one to study the process of collapse: the compressed matter mimics this astrophysical phenomenon. High pressures together with low entropy lead to degeneracy of plasma and, therefore, simulate the stiffness of the equation of state in a real collapsing star, leading to bounce of the shell. This process is an inevitable part of collapse and its hydrodynamics can now be studied in laboratory. Full control of initial conditions in laboratory gives a possibility to investigate the role of additional effects on the hydrodynamics of collapse, e.g. perturbations that violate the spherical symmetry of the system (this resembles asymmetries in initial star configuration, like rotation, magnetic field, etc.).
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Table I: Parameters of deuterium plasma compressed by pressure $P \approx 11400$ GPa (experimental values and simulation with VNIIEF EOS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run</th>
<th>$R_0$, mm</th>
<th>$R_{\text{min}}$, mm</th>
<th>$\rho_0$, g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{exp}}$, g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{calc}}$, GPa</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{calc}}$, g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{calc}}$, kK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>10.1$^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>11400$^{+2000}_{-2000}$</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Deuterium plasma state at the time of maximum compression in quasi-isentropic compression experiments (VNIIEF, Sarov), calculated using EOS SAHA. Given are values of pressure $P$, density $\rho$, temperature $T$, degeneracy parameter for free electrons $n_e\lambda^3$, and specific entropy $S$ (per gram and per nucleon).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run</th>
<th>$P$, GPa</th>
<th>$\rho$, g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>$T$, kK</th>
<th>$n_e\lambda^3$</th>
<th>$S$, J/g/K</th>
<th>$S$, $1/k_B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.71</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5450</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>69.16</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB5</td>
<td>11400$^{+2000}_{-2000}$</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIF</td>
<td>$1.4 \cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$5 \cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>