

THE ORBIT SPACES OF GROUPOIDS WHOSE C^* -ALGEBRAS ARE CCR

DANIEL W. VAN WYK

ABSTRACT. Let G be second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid with a continuous Haar system. We show that if the groupoid C^* -algebra of G is CCR then the orbits of G are closed and the stabilizers of G are CCR. In particular, we remove the assumption of amenability in a theorem of Clark.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the compact operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is called CCR, if for every irreducible representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ we have $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, and \mathcal{A} is called GCR if, for every irreducible representation π , we have $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \supset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.

In [2, Theorem 6.1] Clark gives the following CCR characterization for C^* -algebras of groupoids:

Theorem (Clark). *Let G be a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system. Suppose that all the stabilizers of G are amenable. Then the full C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G is CCR if and only if the orbit space of G is T_1 and the stabilizers of G are CCR.*

Clark's theorem generalizes a CCR characterization for transformation group C^* -algebras of Williams [22] to groupoids. However, Gootman's analogous GCR characterization [9] for transformation group C^* -algebras does not assume amenable stabilizers. Williams deals with possibly non-separable transformations groups, and therefore assumes amenable stabilizers at points where the stabilizer map is discontinuous. The lack of an amenability assumption in Gootman's GCR characterization led Clark to conjecture that the amenability hypothesis in the groupoid case is unnecessary [2]. In [21] Clark's conjecture is shown to be correct in the GCR case. In this paper we show that Clark's conjecture is also true in the CCR case.

We point out that the techniques used in the GCR case ([21]) are very different from the techniques we use in this paper. In [21] we relied on the fact a C^* -algebra is GCR if and only if it is a type I C^* -algebra ([19]). We could therefore use von Neumann algebras and the theory of direct integrals by constructing a direct integral representation of the groupoid C^* -algebra and give a necessary condition for the direct integral representation to be type I. Every CCR C^* -algebra is GCR, but the converse does not hold in general. Hence the "GCR - type I equivalence" is not helpful in the CCR case.

Careful analysis of Clark's proofs shows the amenability hypothesis is used to show continuity of a function from the orbit space of the groupoid into the spectrum of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* "20, 37, 46, 47."

Key words and phrases. Groupoids, Operator Algebras, C^* -Algebras, Representations, Liminal, CCR.

C^* -algebra of the groupoid ([2, Lemma 5.4]). Continuity of this function is only used to show that if $C^*(G)$ is CCR, then (i) the orbit space is T_1 and (ii) the stabilizers are CCR. However, Clark uses the continuity of this function only indirectly to prove statement (ii), since statement (ii) is shown under the assumption that the orbits are closed (that is, that the orbit space is T_1). Hence, once we show that if $C^*(G)$ is CCR then the orbit space of G is T_1 , then (ii) will follow with exactly the same argument that Clark uses.

We obtained a broad outline for a CCR proof for transformations groups from Astrid an Huef, which she credited to Elliot Gootman. Before considering the groupoid case, we worked out the details in the transformation group case based on Gootman's outline and Williams' proof for possibly non-separable transformation groups. However, even in the transformation group case we needed to make adjustments to make the arguments work. Specifically, we realized that we need to generalize to vector valued function spaces, because we consider induced representations other than those induced from trivial representations. Our CCR proof for groupoid C^* -algebras uses similar arguments to those that we used for transformation groups, which again required some work to generalize groupoids. To our knowledge no CCR proof has been published for second-countable transformation groups, that does not require amenability. Thus, our result is new even for transformation groups.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 1 the necessary definitions and formulas needed are given. In Section 2 our main goal is to prove Proposition 2.6, which shows the equivalence of induced representations of $C^*(G)$ to representations by multiplication operators of the C^* -algebra $C_0(G^{(0)})$ of continuous functions that vanish at infinity on the unit space of G . To do this we work in the multiplier algebra of $C^*(G)$ and thus describe a $*$ -homomorphism of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ into this multiplier algebra. Section 3 contains main result, Theorem 3.5, which crucially relies on Lemma 3.4, also presented in this section. Lemma 3.4 will give us a desired contradiction to an equivalence of representations as multiplication operators, which is established in Theorem 3.5. Lastly, in Section 4 we give two examples. The first is an example of a groupoid with non-amenable stabilizers where it's associated C^* -algebra is GCR but not CCR. The second is an example of a groupoid with non-amenable stabilizers whose C^* -algebra is CCR.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout G is a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid with unit space $G^{(0)}$, and a continuous Haar system $\{\lambda^u\}_{u \in G^{(0)}}$ (see [15] for these definitions). Let r and s denote the *range* and *source* maps, respectively, from G onto $G^{(0)}$. Let $u \in G^{(0)}$. Then $G^u := r^{-1}(u)$, $G_u := s^{-1}(u)$ and the *stabilizer* at u is $G_u^u := r^{-1}(u) \cap s^{-1}(u)$. Note that each stabilizers is a group. For $x \in G$, the map $R(x) := (r(x), s(x))$ defines an equivalence relation \sim on $G^{(0)}$. Let $[u] := \{v \in G^{(0)} : u \sim v\}$ denote the *orbit* of u . The *orbit space* $G^{(0)}/G$ is the quotient space for this equivalence relation.

Throughout $C_c(X)$ denotes the continuous compactly supported functions from the topological space X into \mathbb{C} . If $f, g \in C_c(G)$, then

$$f * g(x) := \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) d\lambda^{r(x)}(y)$$

and

$$f^*(x) := \overline{f(x^{-1})},$$

define convolution and involution operations on $C_c(G)$, respectively. With these operations $C_c(G)$ is a $*$ -algebra. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and $B(\mathcal{H})$ the bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A *representation* of $C_c(G)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : C_c(G) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|\pi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_I$, where $\|f\|_I$ is the I-norm on $C_c(G)$ (see [15] for the I-norm). Then $C^*(G)$ is the completion of $C_c(G)$ in the norm

$$\|f\| := \{\sup \|\pi(f)\| : \pi \text{ is a representation of } C_c(G)\}.$$

We assume all representations are non-degenerate.

Throughout we induce representations which are irreducible. Following Ionescu and Williams' construction of induced representations [10], we induce from closed subgroupoids. Below we give the required details of what we need from [10]. All our induced representations are from closed subgroups, which we view as subgroupoids. This view only matters when taking the integrated form of a representation of a subgroup, as the integrated form of a groupoid representation has a modular function in the integrand whereas the group version does not (see (1.1) below).

We now give the necessary formulas of induced representations that we will need. The reader is referred to [10] for more details on induced representations. For the remainder of this section let $u \in G_u$, let $\phi, \psi \in C_c(G_u)$ and let $f \in C_c(G)$. Since G_u is closed in G , every $\phi \in C_c(G_u)$ has an extension f_ϕ to $C_c(G)$. Then $C_c(G_u)$ is a right $C_c(G_u)$ -pre-Hilbert module with the inner product given by

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{C_c(G_u)}(t) := \int_G \overline{\psi(\gamma)} \phi(\gamma t) \lambda_u(\gamma) = f_\psi^* * f_\phi,$$

as long as we remember that the product $f_\psi^* * f_\phi$ is restricted to G_u . For all $\gamma \in G_u$ the equation

$$f \cdot \phi(\gamma) := \int_G f(\eta) \phi(\eta^{-1} \gamma) d\lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\eta) = f * f_\phi$$

defines an action of $C_c(G)$ as adjointable operators on $C_c(G_u)$. Here the product $f * f_\phi$ is restricted to G_u . The completion $\overline{C_c(G_u)}$ of $C_c(G_u)$ gives a right $\overline{C_c(G_u)}$ -Hilbert module on which $C^*(G)$ acts as adjointable operators ([13, Lemma 2.16]).

Suppose $\omega : G_u \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Fix $a \in C_c(G_u)$, $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ and let β^u be a Haar measure on G_u with corresponding modular function Δ_u . Let $\pi_\omega : C^*(G_u) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the integrated form of ω , that is,

$$(1.1) \quad \pi_\omega(a)h := \int_{G_u} a(r) \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta^u(r),$$

(see [15, Proposition 1.7]). Let $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$ be the algebraic tensor product. Define an inner product on $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(1.2) \quad (\phi \otimes h \mid \psi \otimes k) := \left(\pi_\omega(\langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{C^*(G_u)}) h \mid k \right),$$

for all elementary tensors $\phi \otimes h, \psi \otimes k \in C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$. Denote the completion of $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$ with respect to this inner product by $\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}}$. Define the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{G_u}^G \pi_\omega : C_c(G) \rightarrow B(\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}})$ by

$$\text{Ind}_{G_u}^G \pi_\omega(f)(\phi \otimes h) := (f \cdot \phi) \otimes h.$$

By [13, Proposition 2.66], $\text{Ind}_{G_u}^G \pi_\omega$ extends to give a representation of $C^*(G)$ as bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}}$.

Finally, any irreducible representation of a stabilizer induces to an irreducible representation of $C^*(G)$, [10, Theorem 5].

2. REPRESENTATIONS BY MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS EQUIVALENT TO INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

Let $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ denote the multiplier algebra of $C^*(G)$, and let $C_0(G^{(0)})$ denote the continuous functions on $G^{(0)}$ that vanish at infinity. For $u \in G^{(0)}$ and an irreducible representation π of $C^*(G_u^r)$ we let L_u denote the extension of $\text{Ind}_{G_u^r}^G \pi$ to $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$. In this section we show that, for every $u \in G^{(0)}$, there is a representation M_u of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ which acts as multiplication operators on an appropriate L^2 -space. Moreover, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $V : C_0(G^{(0)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ such that M_u is unitarily equivalent to $L_u \circ V$.

Fix $u \in G^{(0)}$. For $\gamma \in G_u$, let

$$\dot{\gamma} := \gamma G_u^u = \{\gamma t : t \in G_u^r\}.$$

Then

$$G_u/G_u^u := \{\dot{\gamma} : \gamma \in G_u\}$$

is a partition of G_u and we give G_u/G_u^u the quotient topology.

The following two lemmas are almost certainly well-known. We include their proofs for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff groupoid. Fix $u \in G^{(0)}$. Then the quotient map $q : G_u \rightarrow G_u/G_u^u$ is open.*

Proof. Suppose that $V \subset G_u$ is open. We claim that, for every fixed $a \in G_u^u$, $Va := \{\gamma a : \gamma \in V\}$ is open. To see this, define

$$\phi_a(\gamma) := \gamma a,$$

for every $\gamma \in G_u$. Then, since multiplication is continuous in G , it follows that ϕ_a is continuous. It is not hard to see that ϕ_a is bijective, and that $\phi_{a^{-1}}(\gamma) := \gamma a^{-1}$ is a continuous inverse for ϕ_a . Thus ϕ_a is a homeomorphism of G_u , and $Va = \phi_a(V)$ is open as claimed.

Since Va is open, we also have that

$$(2.1) \quad q^{-1}(q(V)) = \bigcup_{a \in G_u^u} Va.$$

is open. By the definition of a quotient topology $q(V)$ is open in G_u/G_u^u if and only if $q^{-1}(q(V))$ is open in G_u . Thus, Equation (2.1) shows that $q(V)$ is open in G_u/G_u^u , completing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid. Fix $u \in G^{(0)}$. Then G_u/G_u^u is second-countable locally compact and Hausdorff in the quotient topology.*

Proof. Since G_u is closed in G , it follows that G_u is second-countable locally compact and Hausdorff. It is not hard to see that G_u is a proper right G_u^u -space with the groupoid operation for the action, and that the orbit space of this G_u^u -space is precisely G_u/G_u^u . Then G_u/G_u^u is second-countable and locally compact by [23, Lemma 3.35]. Moreover, since the action is proper, it follows from [23, Corollary 3.43] that G_u/G_u^u is Hausdorff. \square

In Lemma 2.3 below we combine and restate [2, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3] as they form an important part of this section.

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $u \in G^{(0)}$. Let β be a Haar measure on G_u^u with modular function Δ_u . Then*

- (i) *there is a non-negative, continuous function $b : G_u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any compact subset $K \subset G_u$, the support of b and $KG_u^u := \{\gamma t : \gamma \in K, t \in G_u^u\}$ have compact intersection, and*

$$\int_{G_u^u} b(\gamma t) d\beta(t) = 1,$$

for all $\gamma \in G_u$;

- (ii) *the function*

$$Q(f)(\dot{\gamma}) := \int_{G_u^u} f(\gamma t) d\beta(t)$$

defines a surjection from $C_c(G)$ onto $C_c(G_u/G_u^u)$;

- (iii) *there is a continuous function $\rho_u : G_u \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that, for $\gamma \in G_u$ and $t \in G_u^u$,*

$$\rho_u(\gamma t) = \rho_u(\gamma) \Delta_u(t);$$

and

- (iv) *there is a Radon measure σ_u on G_u/G_u^u such that*

$$\int_{G_u} f(\gamma) \rho_u(\gamma) d\lambda_u(\gamma) = \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(\gamma t) d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}),$$

for all $f \in C_c(G_u)$.

For the remainder of this section fix $u \in G^{(0)}$, an irreducible representation ω of G_u^u acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let σ_u be the measure on G_u/G_u^u given by Lemma 2.3(iv). Consider the set

$$\mathcal{L}^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u) := \{f : G_u/G_u^u \rightarrow \mathcal{H} : \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is measurable and} \\ \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \|f(\dot{\gamma})\|^2 d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) < \infty \end{array}\}.$$

Let $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{L}^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ where functions agreeing almost everywhere are equivalent. Then $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(f | g)_{L^2} := \int_{G_u/G_u^u} (f(\dot{\gamma}) | g(\dot{\gamma}))_{\mathcal{H}} d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}).$$

As is common in the literature we will not distinguish between a class in $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ and a representative of the class in $\mathcal{L}^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$. Define $M_u : C_0(G^{(0)}) \rightarrow B(L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u))$ by

$$(2.2) \quad (M_u(\phi)f)(\dot{\gamma}) := \phi(r(\gamma))f(\dot{\gamma}),$$

where $\phi \in C_0(G^{(0)})$, $f \in L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$ and $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$. Then M_u is a representation of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ as multiplication operators on $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$.

Remark 2.4. We motivate the terminology ‘multiplication operator’. Assume that $G^{(0)}/G$ is T_0 . Then by [14, Theorem 2.1(i)] the map $\Phi : G_u/G_u^u \rightarrow [u]$, given by $\Phi(\dot{\gamma}) := r(\gamma)$, is a homeomorphism. We can push the measure σ_u forward to get a

measure σ_u^* on $[u]$. Then $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ is isomorphic to $L^2([u], \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u^*)$, where the isomorphism is given by $f \mapsto f \circ \Phi^{-1}$. Define $N_u : C_0(G^{(0)}) \rightarrow B(L^2([u], \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u^*))$ by

$$(N_u(\phi)g)(v) := \phi(v)g(v).$$

Then N_u is a representation of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ as multiplication operators in the conventional sense and

$$(M_u(\phi)f)(\dot{\gamma}) = \phi(r(\gamma))f(\dot{\gamma}) = \phi(r(\gamma))(f \circ \Phi^{-1})(r(\gamma)) = (N_u(\phi)(f \circ \Phi^{-1}))(r(\gamma)).$$

Hence M_u is a representation of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ as multiplication operators up to isomorphism. \square

Next we describe the $*$ -homomorphism of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ into the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ of $C^*(G)$. By [15] there is a $*$ -homomorphism of $C^*(G^{(0)})$ into $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ (described below). However, note that $C_0(G^{(0)})$ is isomorphic to $C^*(G^{(0)})$. To see this, note that if $f, g \in C_c(G)$, then their convolution product $f * g$ restricted to $G^{(0)}$ is just their pointwise product. Then the identity map id from $C_c(G^{(0)}) \subset C_0(G^{(0)})$ to $C_c(G^{(0)}) \subset C^*(G^{(0)})$ is a $*$ -isomorphism which is bounded in the I -norm, and hence extends to an isomorphism of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ onto $C^*(G^{(0)})$. Fix $\phi \in C_0(G^{(0)})$, $f \in C_c(G)$ and $\gamma \in G$. Define

$$(2.3) \quad ((V\phi)f)(\gamma) := \phi(r(\gamma))f(\gamma), \text{ and}$$

$$(f(V\phi))(\gamma) := \phi(s(\gamma))f(\gamma).$$

Then $V\phi$ acts as double centralizers on $C_c(G)$ (see the discussion on p.59 of [15]). By [15, Lemma 1.14] the action of $V\phi$ as double centralizers extends to $C^*(G)$, and thus the map $V : \phi \mapsto V\phi$ is a $*$ -homomorphism of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ into $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$.

To show that $L_u \circ V$ is unitarily equivalent to M_u we need an isomorphism $P : C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$ which intertwines these representations. We define such a P in Lemma 2.5 below. For this we use a Borel cross section $c : G_u/G_u^u \rightarrow G_u$. This means that c is a function that assigns to each equivalence class $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$ a fixed representative in G_u such that $q(c(\dot{\gamma})) = \dot{\gamma}$, where $q : G_u \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G_u$ is the quotient map. To see that a Borel cross section exists, note that since G is Hausdorff, it follows that G_u is closed in G . Thus G_u is locally compact in addition to being second-countable and Hausdorff. Therefore G_u is Polish. Then, since the quotient map q is open (Lemma 2.1) and $q^{-1}(\dot{\gamma})$ is a closed set in G_u for every $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$, it follows that there exists a Borel cross section $c : G_u/G_u^u \rightarrow G_u$, [1, Theorem 3.4.1]. Let $\kappa : G_u \rightarrow G_u^u$ be the function defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \dot{\gamma} = c(\dot{\gamma})\kappa(\gamma),$$

for each $\gamma \in G_u$. Note: if $\gamma \in G_u$ and $t \in G_u^u$, then $\kappa(\gamma t) = \kappa(\gamma)t$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\omega : G_u^u \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be an irreducible unitary representation. Let $f \in C_c(G_u)$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma \in G_u$, let β be a Haar measure on G_u^u and let ρ_u be the function given in Lemma 2.3(iii). Define*

$$P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) := \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t h \, d\beta(t).$$

Then P maps $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$ into $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma_u)$.

Proof. We have to show that $P(f \otimes h)$ is measurable and square integrable. The function $P(f \otimes h)$ is measurable if and only if for all $k \in \mathcal{H}$ the map

$$(2.5) \quad \dot{\gamma} \mapsto (P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \mid k)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} (\omega_t h \mid k)_{\mathcal{H}} d\beta(t)$$

is measurable. To show that (2.5) is measurable we employ Tonelli and Fubini's theorems, [17, Theorem 8.8]. Consider the measure space $(G_u/G_u^u \times G_u^u, \sigma_u \times \beta)$. Define $F : G_u/G_u^u \times G_u^u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(2.6) \quad F(\dot{\gamma}, t) := f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} (\omega_t h \mid k)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Note that c is a Borel cross section and is thus measurable. Multiplication $G_u \times G_u^u \rightarrow G_u$ is continuous, and thus measurable. Hence $(\dot{\gamma}, t) \mapsto f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)$ and $(\dot{\gamma}, t) \mapsto \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2}$ are both measurable functions on $G_u/G_u^u \times G_u^u$. The inner product $(\omega_t h \mid k)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is continuous in t , and we can view this inner product as the composition of the projection onto the second coordinate together with a continuous function in t , which is then also measurable on $G_u/G_u^u \times G_u^u$. The pointwise product of measurable functions is measurable, and so F is measurable on $G_u/G_u^u \times G_u^u$. We show that $F \in L^1(\sigma_u \times \beta)$, so that we can deduce the measurability of (2.5) from Fubini's theorem ([17, Theorem 8.8(c)]). Let $F_{\dot{\gamma}}(t) := F(\dot{\gamma}, t)$. By Theorem 8.8(b) of [17], for F to be in $L^1(\sigma_u \times \beta)$, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |F|_{\dot{\gamma}}(t) d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) < \infty.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |F|_{\dot{\gamma}}(t) d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\ &= \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)| \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} |(\omega_t h \mid k)_{\mathcal{H}}| d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\ (2.7) \quad & \leq \|h\| \|k\| \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)| \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in C_c(G_u)$ and ρ are continuous, we have that the pointwise product $|f| \rho_u^{1/2} \in C_c(G_u)$. Apply Lemma 2.3(iv) to (2.7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |F|_{\dot{\gamma}}(t) d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\ & \leq \|h\| \|k\| \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} |f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)| \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\ (2.8) \quad & = \|h\| \|k\| \int_{G_u} |f(\gamma)| \rho_u(\gamma)^{1/2} d\lambda_u(\gamma) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Theorem 8.8(b) of [17], we have that $F \in L^1(\sigma_u \times \beta)$. Then by Theorem 8.8(c) of [17], the map (2.5) is measurable.

Next we show square integrability. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \|P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\
 &= \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \left\| \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t h d\beta(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) \\
 (2.9) \quad &< \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \left(\int_{G_u^u} |f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)| \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) \right)^2 d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3(ii) it follows that

$$Q(|f|\rho_u^{-1/2})(\dot{\gamma}) := \int_{G_u^u} |f(c(\dot{\gamma})t)| \rho_u(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t)$$

is a function in $C_c(G_u/G_u^u)$. Then $Q(|f|\rho_u^{-1/2})^2$ is also in $C_c(G_u/G_u^u)$. Now (2.9) is equal to

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \int_{G_u/G_u^u} Q(|f|\rho_u^{-1/2})^2 d\sigma_u(\dot{\gamma}) < \infty,$$

which shows that $P(f \otimes h)$ is square integrable. This proves our claim. \square

Recall that $V : C_0(G^{(0)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ is a $*$ -homomorphism and that $L_u : \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) \rightarrow B(\overline{C_c(G_u)} \odot \mathcal{H})$ denotes the extension of $\text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega$ to $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that G is a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system $\{\lambda^u\}_{u \in G^{(0)}}$. Fix $u \in G^{(0)}$ and suppose that $\omega : G_u^u \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is an irreducible unitary representation and π_ω its integrated form. Then M_u is unitarily equivalent to $L_u \circ V$.*

Proof. Let ρ_u, Δ_u and σ_u be as is in Lemma 2.3. To simplify notation we suppress the subscript u . Let $f \in C_c(G_u), h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma \in G_u$. Then by Lemma 2.5

$$P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) := \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t h d\beta(t),$$

defines a map $P : C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$, where β is the Haar on G_u^u . We claim that P is isometric and maps onto a dense subspace, so that it extends to a unitary operator of the completion $\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}}$ onto $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$.

We first show that P is isometric on $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$. Let $f, g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. We need to show that

$$(P(f \otimes h) \mid P(g \otimes k))_{L^2} = (f \otimes h \mid g \otimes k).$$

We break up the calculations so that they are easier to follow. Note that for $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \mid P(g \otimes k)(\dot{\gamma})) \\
 &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t h d\beta(t) \mid \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})s) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1/2} \omega_s k d\beta(s) \right) \\
 &= \int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1/2} (\omega_t h \mid \omega_s k) d\beta(t) d\beta(s) \\
 &\quad (\text{now apply Lemma 2.3(iii)}) \\
 (2.10) \quad &= \int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma}))^{-1} \Delta(t)^{-1/2} \Delta(s)^{-1/2} (\omega_{s^{-1}t} h \mid k) d\beta(t) d\beta(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $r = s^{-1}t$ and apply Lemma 2.3(iii) so that

$$\rho(c(\dot{\gamma}))^{-1}\Delta(t)^{-1/2}\Delta(s)^{-1/2} = \rho(c(\dot{\gamma}))^{-1}\Delta(r)^{-1/2}\Delta(s)^{-1} = \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1}\Delta(r)^{-1/2}.$$

Then (2.10) is

$$= \int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})sr) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1} \Delta(r)^{-1/2} (\omega_r h \mid k) d\beta(r) d\beta(s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (P(f \otimes h) \mid P(g \otimes k))_{L^2} \\ &= \int_{G_u/G_u^u} (P(f \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \mid P(g \otimes k)(\dot{\gamma})) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) \\ &= \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})sr) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1} \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \\ & \quad (\omega_r h \mid k) d\beta(r) d\beta(s) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) \\ (2.11) \quad &= \int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f(c(\dot{\gamma})sr) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1} \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \\ & \quad (\omega_r h \mid k) d\beta(s) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) d\beta(r). \end{aligned}$$

Focussing on the inner two integrals we can view f as a function f_r of $c(\dot{\gamma})$ and s only, with r fixed; i.e. $f_r(c(\dot{\gamma})s) := f(c(\dot{\gamma})sr)$. Then (2.11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f_r(c(\dot{\gamma})s) \overline{g(c(\dot{\gamma})s)} \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})s)^{-1} \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta(s) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) d\beta(r) \mid k \right) \\ & \quad (\text{now apply Equation 2.4 to substitute } c(\dot{\gamma}) \text{ by } \gamma\kappa(\gamma)^{-1}) \\ &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} \left(\int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f_r(\gamma\kappa(\gamma)^{-1}s) \overline{g(\gamma\kappa(\gamma)^{-1}s)} \rho(\gamma\kappa(\gamma)^{-1}s)^{-1} d\beta(s) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) \right) \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta(r) \mid k \right) \\ &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} \left(\int_{G_u/G_u^u} \int_{G_u^u} f_r(\gamma t) \overline{g(\gamma t)} \rho(\gamma t)^{-1} d\beta(t) d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) \right) \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta(r) \mid k \right) \\ & \quad (\text{now apply Lemma 2.3(iv) to the two inner integrals}) \\ &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} \left(\int_{G_u} f_r(\gamma) \overline{g(\gamma)} d\lambda_u(\gamma) \right) \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta(r) \mid k \right) \\ & \quad (\text{now apply Equation 1.1 to the inner integral}) \\ &= \left(\int_{G_u^u} \langle g, f \rangle_{C^*(G_u^u)}(r) \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \omega_r h d\beta(r) \mid k \right) \\ &= \left(\pi_\omega(\langle g, f \rangle_{C^*(G_u^u)}) h \mid k \right) \quad (\text{recall } \pi_\omega \text{ is given by Equation 1.1}) \\ &= (f \otimes h \mid g \otimes k) \quad (\text{by Equation 1.2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(P(f \otimes h) \mid P(g \otimes k))_{L^2} = (f \otimes h \mid g \otimes k)$, showing P is isometric.

Next we show that P maps onto a dense subspace of $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$. For this part of the proof we adapt techniques from Proposition 5.4 in [23] for transformation groups to our groupoid setting. Suppose that $F \in C_c(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H})$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then it will suffice to show that there is an f in the image $\text{Im}(P)$ of P such that

$$(2.12) \quad \|f - F\|_{L^2} < \epsilon^2.$$

Let $D := \text{supp}(F)$ and let C be a compact neighbourhood of D . We need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.7. *Fix $\dot{\gamma} \in D$. Then there is a $F_\gamma \in C_c(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H})$ such that $F_\gamma \in \text{Im}(P)$ and*

$$(2.13) \quad \|F_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}) - F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. Since $t \mapsto \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma}))$ is continuous there is a neighbourhood N_u of (the fixed) u in G_u^u such that

$$(2.14) \quad \|\omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) - F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}},$$

whenever $t \in N_u$.

Let $N_{c(\dot{\gamma})}$ be a neighbourhood of $c(\dot{\gamma})$ in G_u , and let $N_{c(\dot{\gamma})}N_u = \{\eta t : \eta \in N_{c(\dot{\gamma})}, t \in N_u\}$. Let $g \in C_c(G_u)^+$ be such that $\text{supp}(g) \subset N_{c(\dot{\gamma})}N_u$, $g(c(\dot{\gamma})) \neq 0$ and

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) = 1.$$

Then for $k \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|k\| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(P(g \otimes F(\dot{\gamma}))(\dot{\gamma}) | k))_{\mathcal{H}} - (F(\dot{\gamma}) | k)_{\mathcal{H}}| \\ &= \left| \left(\int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) - F(\dot{\gamma}) | k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) - \left(\int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) \right) F(\dot{\gamma}) | k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} (\omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) - F(\dot{\gamma}) | k)_{\mathcal{H}} d\beta(t) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho(c(\dot{\gamma})t)^{-1/2} \|\omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) - F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}} d\beta(t) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}}, \quad (\text{by applying (2.14) and (2.15)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus putting

$$F_\gamma := P(g \otimes F(\dot{\gamma}))$$

gives the desired inequality (2.13), and then $F_\gamma \in \text{Im}(P)$.

We show that F_γ is continuous with compact support. We first show continuity. Note: for g as in (2.15) we have

$$\int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\eta})t) \rho(c(\dot{\eta})t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t) = \int_{G_u^u} g(\zeta t) \rho(\zeta t)^{-1/2} d\beta(t),$$

for all $\zeta \in \dot{\eta}$, because then $c(\dot{\eta})G_u^u = \eta G_u^u = \zeta G_u^u$. Suppose that $\dot{\eta}_i \rightarrow \dot{\eta}$ in G_u/G_u^u and $\delta > 0$. We show that $F_\gamma(\dot{\eta}_i) \rightarrow F_\gamma(\dot{\eta})$. Since (i) G_u is a right G_u^u -space, (ii) the quotient map $q_u : G_u \rightarrow G_u/G_u^u$ is open by Lemma 2.1, and (iii) $\{\eta_i\}$ is a sequence such that $q_u(\eta_i) = \dot{\eta}_i \rightarrow q_u(\eta) = \dot{\eta}$, it follows from Lemma 3.38 in [23] that there is a subsequence $\{\eta_{i_j}\}$ and a sequence $\{s_{i_j}\} \subset G_u^u$ such that $\eta_{i_j}s_{i_j} \rightarrow \eta$. We will only use the subsequence. Thus we relabel all i_j -indices to i only. Then since g and ρ are

continuous, so is their pointwise product. Hence there is an i_0 such that for all $i > i_0$ we have

$$|g(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} - g(\eta t) \rho(\eta t)^{-1/2}| < \frac{\delta}{\|F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \beta(\text{supp}(g))}.$$

Then

$$\int_{G_u^u} |g(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} - g(\eta t) \rho(\eta t)^{-1/2}| d\beta(t) < \frac{\delta}{\|F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_\gamma(\dot{\eta}_i) - F_\gamma(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\eta}_i)t) \rho(c(\dot{\eta}_i)t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) - \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\eta})t) \rho(c(\dot{\eta})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(c(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) - \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\eta)t) \rho(c(\eta)t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \int_{G_u^u} g(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) - \int_{G_u^u} g(\eta t) \rho(\eta t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left\| \int_{G_u^u} (g(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} - g(\eta t) \rho(\eta t)^{-1/2}) \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \|F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{G_u^u} |g(\eta_i s_i t) \rho(\eta_i s_i t)^{-1/2} - g(\eta t) \rho(\eta t)^{-1/2}| d\beta(t) \\ &< \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus F_γ is continuous.

Now we consider the $\text{supp}(F_\gamma)$. Since the quotient map $q_u : G_u \rightarrow G_u/G_u^u$ is continuous, it follows that $q_u(\text{supp}(g))$ is compact in G_u/G_u^u . Since $q_u(\text{supp}(g))$ is compact and G_u/G_u^u is Hausdorff (Lemma 2.2), it follows that $q_u(\text{supp}(g))$ is closed in G_u/G_u^u . Thus, to show that $\text{supp}(F_\gamma)$ is compact, it will suffice to show that $\text{supp}(F_\gamma) \subset q_u(\text{supp}(g))$. Suppose that $\dot{\eta}$ is such that $F_\gamma(\dot{\eta}) \neq 0$. Then

$$F_\gamma(\dot{\eta}) = \int_{G_u^u} g(c(\dot{\eta})t) \rho(c(\dot{\eta})t)^{-1/2} \omega_t(F(\dot{\gamma})) d\beta(t) \neq 0.$$

Since g and ρ are positive and non-zero, it follows that $g(c(\dot{\eta})t) \rho(c(\dot{\eta})t)^{-1/2} \neq 0$ for some $t \in G_u^u$. That is, $c(\dot{\eta})t \in \text{supp}(g)$ for some $t \in G_u^u$. Note $q_u(c(\dot{\eta})t) = q_u(c(\dot{\eta})) = \dot{\eta}$. Thus $\text{supp}(F_\gamma) \subset q_u(\text{supp}(g))$, and so F_γ has compact support. Hence F_γ has all the required properties and the lemma's proof is finished. \square

We now continue with the proof of Proposition 2.6. By Lemma 2.7 we can find for every $\dot{\gamma} \in \text{supp}(F)$ a

$$F_\gamma = P(g_{\dot{\gamma}} \otimes F(\dot{\gamma})) \in C_c(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H})$$

such that

$$(2.16) \quad \|F_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}) - F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}}.$$

Since F and every F_γ are continuous, there is for every $\dot{\gamma} \in D$ an open neighbourhood $N_{\dot{\gamma}}$ of $\dot{\gamma}$ such that $N_{\dot{\gamma}} \subset C$, and for all $\dot{\eta} \in N_{\dot{\gamma}}$ we have

$$(2.17) \quad \|F_\gamma(\dot{\eta}) - F_\gamma(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \|F(\dot{\gamma}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3\sigma(C)^{1/2}}.$$

Applying inequalities (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18) we see that, for all $\dot{\eta} \in N_{\dot{\gamma}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\gamma}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|F_{\gamma}(\dot{\eta}) - F_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|F_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}) - F(\dot{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|F(\dot{\gamma}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma(C)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since D is compact and contained in $\cup_{\dot{\gamma} \in D} N_{\dot{\gamma}}$, there are $\dot{\gamma}_1, \dot{\gamma}_2, \dots, \dot{\gamma}_n$ such that $D \subset \cup_{i=1}^n N_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$. Also, since G_u/G_u^u is Hausdorff and every $N_{\dot{\gamma}_i} \subset C$, it follows that every $N_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$ has compact closure. Then there is a partition of unity $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ subordinate to $\{N_{\dot{\gamma}_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

- (i) $\psi_i \in C_c(G_u/G_u^u)$,
- (ii) $0 \leq \psi_i(\dot{\gamma}) \leq 1$ for all $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$,
- (iii) $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subset N_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$,
- (iv) $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\gamma}) = 1$ for all $\dot{\gamma} \in D$, and
- (v) $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\gamma}) \leq 1$ for all $\dot{\gamma} \notin D$

Let $\dot{\gamma} \in D$ and suppose that $\dot{\gamma} \in N_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$. Let $g_{\dot{\gamma}}$ be as in (2.15). For $\eta \in G_u$ put

$$g'_{\dot{\gamma}}(\eta) := \psi_i(q_u(\eta))g_{\dot{\gamma}}(\eta).$$

Then $g'_{\dot{\gamma}} \in C_c(G_u/G_u^u)$ and

$$(\psi_i F_{\dot{\gamma}_i})(\dot{\eta}) = P(g'_{\dot{\gamma}} \otimes F(\dot{\gamma}_i))(\dot{\eta}).$$

Hence $\psi_i F_{\dot{\gamma}_i} \in \text{Im}(P)$, and so is $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$. Then for all $\dot{\eta} \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta}) F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta}) F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta}) F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \|(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta})) (F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta}))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \|F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma(C)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta}) F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta})$ vanishes outside of C for every $\dot{\eta} \in D$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i F_{\dot{\gamma}_i} - F\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{G_u/G_u^u} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(\dot{\eta}) F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}(\dot{\eta}) - F(\dot{\eta})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\sigma(\dot{\eta}) \\ &< \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma(C)^{1/2}}\right)^2 \sigma(C) \\ &= \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f := \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i F_{\dot{\gamma}_i}$ satisfies (2.12). We have shown that P is isometric and maps onto a dense subspace. Hence we can extend P to a unitary operator from $\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}}$ onto $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}, \sigma)$.

Lastly we show that P intertwines the representations M_u and $L_u \circ V$. Let $f \in C_c(G)$, $g \in C_c(G_u)$, $\phi \in C_0(G^{(0)})$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Recall that $\text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega$ is defined through an action of $C_c(G)$ on the right $C_c(G_u^u)$ -pre-Hilbert module $C_c(G_u)$. Specifically the action is given by $f \cdot g = f * g$, and extends to give a representation of $C^*(G)$. The representation $\text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega$ acts on the completion of $C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the inner product

$$(f \otimes h \mid g \otimes k) = (\pi_\omega(\langle g, f \rangle_u) h \mid k).$$

The action is given by

$$\text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega(f)(g \otimes h) = f \cdot g \otimes h = f * g \otimes h.$$

The extension L_u of $\text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega$ to $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ is characterized by

$$L_u(m)(f \cdot g \otimes h) = L_u(mf)(g \otimes h) = \text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_\omega(mf)(g \otimes h) = (mf) \cdot g \otimes h,$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$. Recall that

$$((V\phi)f)(\gamma) = \phi(r(\gamma))f(\gamma).$$

We want to show that

$$(2.19) \quad PL_u(V\phi) = M_u(\phi)P.$$

Because the action of $C^*(G)$ on $\overline{C_c(G_u)}$ is non-degenerate, the set

$$\{a \cdot x : a \in C^*(G), x \in \overline{C_c(G_u)}\}$$

is dense in $\overline{C_c(G_u)}$. By the continuity of the action,

$$\{f \cdot g : f \in C_c(G), g \in C_c(G_u)\}$$

is dense in $\overline{C_c(G_u)}$. It follows that for $f \in C_c(G)$, $g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the elementary tensors $f \cdot g \otimes h$ span a dense subset of $\overline{C_c(G_u) \odot \mathcal{H}}$. Hence, by the continuity of these operators, to see that

$$PL_u(V\phi) = M_u(\phi)P,$$

it suffices to check that

$$(PL_u(V\phi))(f \cdot g \otimes h) = M_u(\phi)P(f \cdot g \otimes h).$$

Let $\dot{\gamma} \in G_u/G_u^u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (PL_u(V\phi))(f \cdot g \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) &= P(L_u(V\phi))(f \cdot g \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \\ &= P(L_u(V\phi f))(g \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \\ &= P((V\phi f) \cdot g \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \\ &= \int_{G_u^u} ((V\phi f) \cdot g)(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho((c(\dot{\gamma})t))^{-1/2} \omega_t h \, d\beta(t) \\ (2.20) \quad &= \int_{G_u^u} ((V\phi f) * g)(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho((c(\dot{\gamma})t))^{-1/2} \omega_t h \, d\beta(t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\eta \in G^{r(c(\dot{\gamma})t)}$ then

$$r(\eta) = s(\eta^{-1}) = r(c(\dot{\gamma})t) = r(\gamma).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ((V\phi f) * g)(c(\dot{\gamma})t) &= \int_G (V\phi f)(\eta) g(\eta^{-1}c(\dot{\gamma})t) \, d\lambda^{r(c(\dot{\gamma})t)} \\ &= \int_G \phi(r(\eta)) f(\eta) g(\eta^{-1}c(\dot{\gamma})t) \, d\lambda^{r(c(\dot{\gamma})t)} \\ &= \phi(r(\gamma)) \int_G f(\eta) g(\eta^{-1}c(\dot{\gamma})t) \, d\lambda^{r(c(\dot{\gamma})t)} \\ (2.21) \quad &= \phi(r(\gamma)) (f * g)(c(\dot{\gamma})t). \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.21), we have (2.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{G_u^u} \phi(r(\gamma)) f * g(c(\dot{\gamma})t)(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho((c(\dot{\gamma})t))^{-1/2} \omega_t h d\beta(t) \\
&= \phi(r(\gamma)) \int_{G_u^u} (f \cdot g)(c(\dot{\gamma})t) \rho((c(\dot{\gamma})t))^{-1/2} \omega_t h d\beta(t) \\
&= \phi(r(\gamma)) P((f \cdot g) \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}) \\
&= M_u(\phi) P((f \cdot g) \otimes h)(\dot{\gamma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence P intertwines the representations on a dense subspace. By continuity we have $PL_u(V\phi) = M_u(\phi)P$, and the proof is finished. \square

3. CHARACTERIZING CCR GROUPOID C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section we prove our main theorem, Theorem 3.5, which shows that if a groupoid C^* -algebra is CCR, then the orbit space of the groupoid is T_1 and the stabilizers of G are CCR. We use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a CCR C^* -algebra. Suppose that π, τ are non-zero irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} acting on \mathcal{H}_π and \mathcal{H}_τ , respectively. If $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \tau$, then π is unitarily equivalent to τ .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is CCR and π and τ are non-zero irreducible representations, we have that $\ker \pi$ and $\ker \tau$ are maximal closed two-sided ideals in \mathcal{A} by [8, Corollary 4.1.11]. Thus, $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \tau$ implies that $\ker \pi = \ker \tau$.

Because \mathcal{A} is CCR it is also GCR. Since $\ker \pi = \ker \tau$ and π and τ are irreducible, it follows that π is unitarily equivalent to τ by [8, Theorem 4.3.7]. \square

We fix some notation. Let $u \in G^{(0)}$. The trivial representation $1_u : G_u^u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $1_u(t) = 1$, for every $t \in G_u^u$. If $\pi_{1_u} : C^*(G_u^u) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the integrated form of the 1_u , then we let

$$l^u := \text{Ind}_{G_u^u}^G \pi_{1_u}$$

denote the induced representation of $C^*(G)$. Similarly, if C is any subgroup in G , say $C \subset G_u^u$, we let l^C denote the representation of $C^*(G)$ induced from the (integrated form of the) trivial representation of C . Note: since l^C is not induced from a stabilizer, it may not be irreducible.

Lemma 3.2 below essentially appears as part the proofs of Clark's Lemma 5.4 in [2] for groupoids and Williams' Lemma 4.9 in [22] for transformation groups. Clark and Williams both prove a continuity result which uses an assumption that the stabilizers are amenable. Here, in Lemma 3.2, we separate out the part of their proofs that do not use amenability of the stabilizers.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset [u]$ and $u_n \rightarrow v$ in $G^{(0)}$. Then there exists a closed subgroup $C \subset G_v^v$ such that $\ker(l^{u_n}) \subset \ker(l^C)$ for every n .*

Proof. Since $\{u_n\} \subset [u]$, we have that $l^{u_n} \sim l^{u_m}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, [2, Lemma 5.1]. Let Σ denote the set of all closed subgroups of G . Then $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a compact Hausdorff space in the Fell topology. Thus $\{G_{u_n}^{u_n}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Say, after relabelling, that $G_{u_n}^{u_n} \rightarrow C$ in $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset\}$. We claim that $C \neq \emptyset$ and that $C \subset G_v^v$. To see this, recall from the characterization of convergence in the Fell topology (Lemma H.2 in [23]) that since $G_{u_n}^{u_n} \rightarrow C$ and $u_n \in G_{u_n}^{u_n}$ with $u_n \rightarrow v$, it follows that $v \in C$. Thus $C \neq \emptyset$. Since $v \in C$ and group identities are unique, we get that $C \subset G_v^v$.

We first show for all $a \in C^*(G)$ and ‘appropriate’ $g, h \in C_c(G)$,

$$(l^{u_n}(a)g \mid h)_{u_n} \rightarrow (l^C(a)g \mid h)_C$$

weakly. We will shortly explain what we mean by ‘appropriate’, and then show that we can find such g and h .

From [16, Corollary 1.4] there is a continuous choice of Haar measures on Σ . Specifically, the map from Σ into \mathbb{C} given by

$$(3.1) \quad H \mapsto \int_H f(t) \Delta_H(t) d\beta^H(t)$$

is continuous for every $f \in C_c(G)$ (see also the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [21] for details on the inclusion of the modular function in the integrand). Let $w \in G^{(0)}$ and let H be a closed subgroup of G_w^w . Let $f \in C_c(G)$ and $g, h \in C_c(G_w)$. Recall that the representation l^H acts on the completion of $C_c(G_w)$ with respect to the inner product

$$(l^H(f)g \mid h)_H := \int_H (h^* * f * g)(t) \Delta_H(t)^{-1/2} d\beta^H(t).$$

We claim that since $G_{u_n}^{u_n} \rightarrow C$, it follows from the continuity of (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad (l^{u_n}(f)g \mid h)_{u_n} \rightarrow (l^C(f)g \mid h)_C.$$

Now, by ‘appropriate’ g and h above we mean that g and h have to be defined on G_{u_n} for all n and on $C \subset G_v^v$. Since the source map is continuous all the sets G_w ($w \in G^{(0)}$) are closed. Thus they are also locally compact in the relative topology from G . Then by Lemma 1.42 in [23] we can extend any continuous compactly supported function on G_w to $C_c(G)$. Thus every $g \in C_c(G_w)$ is the restriction of some $\tilde{g} \in C_c(G)$ and it suffices to take $f, g, h \in C_c(G)$. Then, since $G_{u_n}^{u_n} \rightarrow C$, we see that (3.2) follows from the continuity of (3.1).

Now let $\epsilon > 0$, $g, h \in C_c(G)$ and $a \in C^*(G)$. Then for any fixed n there is an $f \in C_c(G)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|a - f\|_{C^*(G)} < \min \left\{ \frac{\epsilon}{3\|g\|_{u_n}\|h\|_{u_n}}, \frac{\epsilon}{3\|g\|_C\|h\|_C} \right\}.$$

For n large enough, (3.2) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality together with (3.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(l^{u_n}(a)g \mid h)_{u_n} - (l^C(a)g \mid h)_C| \\ & \leq |(l^{u_n}(a)g \mid h)_{u_n} - (l^{u_n}(f)g \mid h)_{u_n}| + |(l^{u_n}(f)g \mid h)_{u_n} - (l^C(f)g \mid h)_C| + \\ & \quad |(l^C(f)g \mid h)_C - (l^C(a)g \mid h)_C| \\ & \leq \|l^{u_n}(a - f)\| \|g\|_{u_n} \|h\|_{u_n} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \|l^C(f - a)\| \|g\|_C \|h\|_C \\ & \leq \|a - f\|_{C^*(G)} \|g\|_{u_n} \|h\|_{u_n} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \|a - f\|_{C^*(G)} \|g\|_C \|h\|_C \\ & < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have now verified (3.2).

Next we show that $\ker(l^{u_n}) \subset \ker(l^C)$ for every n . By Lemma 5.1 in [2] the map from $G^{(0)}/G$ into the spectrum $C^*(G)^\wedge$ given by $[u] \mapsto [l^u]$ is well-defined. Since $u_n \in [u]$ for all n , we have $l^{u_m} \sim l^{u_n}$ for all m and n . Thus $\ker(l^{u_m}) = \ker(l^{u_n})$ for all m and n . Let $a \in \ker(l^{u_n})$ and let $g, h \in C_c(G)$. Then $(l^{u_n}(a)g \mid h)_{u_n} = 0$. Since $(l^{u_n}(a)g \mid h)_{u_n} \rightarrow (l^C(a)g \mid h)_C$ for every $g, h \in C_c(G)$, it follows that $(l^C(a)g \mid h)_C =$

0 for every $f, g, h \in C_c(G)$. Hence $l^C(a) = 0$ and thus $a \in \ker(l^C)$, completing the proof. \square

Before we prove our main result (Theorem 3.5 below) we need a last lemma that will be vital. This is Lemma 3.4 below and is a vector-valued version of Lemma 4.15 from [22]. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 and their proofs were shown to us by Dana Williams. We begin with the set-up needed for these two lemmas.

Suppose that Y is a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff space, μ is Radon measure on Y and \mathcal{H} a separable Hilbert space. For $y \in Y$, $h \in L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ and each $\psi \in L^\infty(Y)$ we obtain a multiplication operator L_ψ in $B(L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}, \mu))$ defined by

$$L_\psi(h)(y) := \psi(y)h(y).$$

Such operators are called diagonal operators.

Suppose that X, Y and Z are second-countable locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Let μ_Y and μ_Z be Radon measures on Y and Z , respectively. So μ_Y and μ_Z correspond to linear functionals on $C_c(Y)$ and $C_c(Z)$, respectively, via the Riesz representation theorem [17, Theorem 2.14]. Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ and $j : Z \rightarrow X$ be continuous injections and let \mathcal{H}_Y and \mathcal{H}_Z be separable Hilbert spaces. Let $M_Y : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y))$ be given by

$$(M_Y(\phi)f)(y) := \phi(i(y))f(y).$$

Similarly let $M_Z : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^2(Z, \mathcal{H}_Z, \mu_Z))$ be given by

$$(M_Z(\phi)g)(z) := \phi(j(z))g(z).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{L} denote the algebra of all diagonal operators in $B(L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y))$ and \mathcal{L}' its commutant. If $T \in M_Y(C_0(X))'$ then $T \in \mathcal{L}'$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}^b(Y)$ denote the bounded Borel functions on Y and suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{B}^b(Y)$ and $T \in M_Y(C_0(X))'$. We have to prove that $(L_\psi T)h = (TL_\psi)h$ for every $h \in L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y)$. By continuity it will suffice to find a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subset C_0(X)$ such that $M_Y(\phi_n) \rightarrow L_\psi$ in the strong operator topology.

We may assume $\psi(y) \leq 1$ for every $y \in Y$. Since Y is second-countable and locally compact we can write $Y = \bigcup_n K_n$, where each K_n is a compact subset of Y with K_n contained in the interior of K_{n+1} . Since $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is continuous it follows that $i(K_n)$ is compact in X for every n . Hence there is a $\phi_n \in C_c(X)$ such that $0 \leq |\phi_n(x)| \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$ and $\phi_n(i(y)) = \psi(y)$ for every $y \in K_n$ (see for example Lemmas 1.41 and 1.42 in [23]).

Fix $y \in Y$, $h \in L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y)$ and let 1_{K_n} denote the characteristic function on K_n . Since $Y = \bigcup_n K_n$ and $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ there is a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \in K_n$ for every $n > n_0$. Then for all $n > n_0$ we have

$$\|\phi_n(i(y))h(y) - \psi(y)h(y)\| = 0.$$

Hence $(\phi_n \circ i)h \rightarrow \psi h$ pointwise. Since $\|\phi_n(i(y))h(y)\| \leq \|h(y)\|$ for all $y \in Y$ and $h \in L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y)$, it follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that $\psi h \in L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_n \int_Y \|\phi_n(i(y))h(y) - \psi(y)h(y)\|^2 d\mu_Y(y) \\ &= \lim_n \|M_Y(\phi_n)h - L_\psi h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M_Y(\phi_n) \rightarrow L_\psi$ strongly, which is sufficient. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X, Y, Z, \mu_Y, \mu_Z, i, j, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mathcal{H}_Z, M_Y$ and M_Z be as above. If $i(Y) \cap j(Z) = \emptyset$, then M_Y and M_Z have no unitarily equivalent sub-representations.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{E} is a non-zero invariant subspace of $L^2(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y, \mu_Y)$ for M_Y , and $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{E} . Then $P_{\mathcal{E}} \in M_Y(C_0(X))'$. Hence by Lemma 3.3 we have $P_{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{L}'$ and thus $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is decomposable by [23, Theorem F.21]. Hence $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ can be written as a direct integral of Borel operators $P : y \mapsto P(y), y \in Y$ (see for example Appendix F in [23] or [7] for definitions and more on decomposable operators and direct integrals). Since P is determined μ_Y -a.e. and $P = P^2 = P^*$ we can modify P on null sets and assume that $P(y) = P(y)^2 = P(y)^*$ for every $y \in Y$. The set $E := \{y \in Y : \|P(y)\| = 1\}$ must have non-zero measure. Hence for any non-null Borel set $B \subset E$, the direct integral

$$P_{\mathcal{E}}^B = \int_Y^{\oplus} 1_B(y) P(y) d\mu_Y(y)$$

is a non-zero projection in \mathcal{L}' . Therefore $P_{\mathcal{E}}^B$ determines a sub-representation M_Y^B of M_Y^E determined by $P_{\mathcal{E}}$. The point is that every sub-representation of M_Y has the form M_Y^B for some non-null Borel set B . The same conclusions apply to any non-zero invariant subspace \mathcal{F} of $L^2(Z, \mathcal{H}_Z, \mu_Z)$ for M_Z .

Suppose that a sub-representation M_Y^E of M_Y is equivalent to a sub-representation M_Z^F of M_Z . Since μ_Y is a Radon measure there is a compact set $C \subset E$ with $\mu_Y(C)$ non-zero. Since C is a Borel set, M_Y^C is a sub-representation of M_Y^E and is equivalent to a sub-representation M_Z^A of M_Z^F . Also, since μ_Z is a Radon measure there is a compact set $D \subset A$ with $\mu_Z(D)$ non-zero and M_Z^D equivalent to a sub-representation of M_Z^A . By Urysohn's lemma (see for example Lemma 4.41 in [23]) and since $i(Y) \cap j(Z) = \emptyset$, we can find a $f \in C_0(X)$ such that $f(c) = 1$ for all $c \in C$ and $f(d) = 0$ for all $d \in D$. Then M_Z^C is a non-zero operator but M_Z^D is the zero operator. This contradicts the equivalence of M_Z^D to a sub-representation of M_Y^C , which completes the proof. \square

We now prove our main CCR result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system. If $C^*(G)$ is CCR then $G^{(0)}/G$ is T_1 and the stabilizers of G are CCR.*

Proof. Assume $C^*(G)$ is a CCR C^* -algebra. We first show that the orbit space is T_1 . To do this it will suffice to show that orbits are closed in $G^{(0)}$. We prove the orbits are closed by contradiction. Suppose that $C^*(G)$ is CCR and that there is a $u \in G^{(0)}$ such that $[u]$ is not closed in $G^{(0)}/G$. Let $v \in \overline{[u]} \setminus [u]$. Then there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [u]$ such that $u_n \rightarrow v$. We will show that the trivial representation of G_u^u induced up to $C^*(G)$ is equivalent to an irreducible representation of G_v^v induced up to $C^*(G)$. By using Lemma 3.4 this equivalence then leads to a contradiction, so that $[u]$ must be closed.

Since $\{u_n\} \subset [u]$ and $u_n \rightarrow v$ in $G^{(0)}$, we have by Lemma 3.2 that there exists a closed subgroup $C \subset G_v^v$ such that $\ker(l^{u_n}) \subset \ker(l^C)$ for all n . By [2, Lemma 5.1] the map $[u] \mapsto [l^u]$ from $G^{(0)}$ into the spectrum $C^*(G)^\wedge$ of $C^*(G)$ is well defined. Also, since $\{u_n\} \subset [u]$, we have $l^{u_n} \sim l^u$ for every n . Thus we have

$$(3.4) \quad \ker(l^u) \subset \ker(l^C).$$

We claim that there is a $\pi \in C^*(G_v^v)^\wedge$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \ker(\text{Ind}_C^{G_v^v} 1) \subset \ker(\pi).$$

To see this, note that if $\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1$ is irreducible then the claim follows immediately by taking $\pi = \text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1$. If $\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1$ is not irreducible then $\ker(\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1)$ is a closed two-sided ideal in $C^*(G_v)$. By Proposition A.17 in [13] every ideal in a C^* -algebra is equal to the intersection of all the primitive ideals containing it. Thus

$$\ker(\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1) = \cap \{P \in \text{Prim}(C^*(G_v)) : \ker(\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1) \subset P\},$$

and so there is a $P \in \text{Prim}(C^*(G_v))$ such that $\ker(\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1) \subset P$. Since P is a primitive ideal, it follows that $P = \ker(\pi)$ with $\pi \in C^*(G_v)^\wedge$, proving the claim.

By [10, Theorem 4] we may induce representations in stages. That is, since we have the inclusion of (sub)groupoids $C \subset G_v \subset G$, the representations $\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G (\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1)$ and $l^C = \text{Ind}_C^G 1$ are equivalent. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \ker(l^C) = \ker(\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G (\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1)).$$

Combining the inclusions from (3.4), (3.6) and (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \ker(l^u) \subset \ker(l^C) = \ker(\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G (\text{Ind}_C^{G_v} 1)) \subset \ker(\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi).$$

Now, since l^u and $\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi$ are irreducible representations of $C^*(G)$ ([10, Theorem 5]) and $\ker(l^u) \subset \ker(\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi)$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $l^u \sim \text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi$. Let L_u and L_v denote the extensions of l^u and $\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi$, respectively, to the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ of $C^*(G)$. Then $l^u \sim \text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi$ implies that $L_u \sim L_v$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.6, we have that $L_u \circ V$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation M_u of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ by multiplication operators on $L^2(G_u/G_u^u, \mathcal{H}_u, \sigma_u)$. Similarly, for the extension L_v of $\text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \pi$ to $\mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$ we have that $L_v \circ V$ is unitarily equivalent to a representation M_v of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ as multiplication operators on $L^2(G_v/G_v^v, \mathcal{H}_v, \sigma_v)$. Then it follows that

$$M_u \sim L_u \circ V \sim L_v \circ V \sim M_v.$$

However, since $[u] \cap [v] = \emptyset$ the equivalence $M_u \sim M_v$ contradicts Lemma 3.4. Hence $[u]$ is closed in $G^{(0)}/G$, showing that the orbit space $G^{(0)}/G$ is T_1 .

Lastly, we need to show that the stabilizers of G are CCR. However, since the orbits of G are closed by the proof above, exactly the same argument as in [2, Theorem 6.1] shows that the stabilizers of G are CCR. \square

We can combine Theorem 3.5 and Clark's Theorem 6.1 in [2] to formulate an improved characterization of CCR groupoids C^* -algebras without amenability:

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a second-countable, locally compact and Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system. Then $C^*(G)$ is CCR if and only if the stabilizers of G are CCR and $G^{(0)}/G$ is T_1 .*

4. EXAMPLES

We give two simple examples of groupoids that illustrate the improved GCR ([21, Theorem 5.3]) and CCR characterizations of groupoid C^* -algebras. The first example is a groupoid with non-amenable stabilizers, which is GCR but not CCR. The second example is a group-bundle groupoid with non-amenable stabilizers which is CCR.

- (1) Fix any $n \geq 2$. Consider the group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices with real entries. The map from $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ to \mathbb{R}^{n^2} given by

$$(a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{nn})$$

is a bijection. Via this bijection we give $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ the topology obtained from the product topology on \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Then $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a second-countable, locally compact and Hausdorff group. Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$A \cdot x := \frac{1}{\det(A)} x$$

defines an action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Since the \det is a continuous function and scalar multiplication is continuous in \mathbb{R}^n , it follows that this action is continuous. Hence we have a second-countable, locally compact and Hausdorff transformation group $(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with a groupoid $G := \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the orbits, orbit space and stabilizers correspond to their transformation group analogues (see Example 3.3 in [6] for the details). Suppose that β is a Haar measure on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let δ_x denote the point mass measure. Then $\{\beta \times \delta_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is a Haar system for G .

Consider the stabilizers. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of all $n \times n$ matrices with determinant 1 (i.e. the special linear group). Then the stabilizer at x is

$$G_x^x = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R}) : A \cdot x = x\} = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R}) : \det(A) = 1\} = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}).$$

The stabilizer at 0 is

$$G_0^0 = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R}) : A \cdot 0 = 0\} = \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note that all the stabilizers are non-amenable (see for example Ex 1.2.6 on p.28 in [18]), and they do not vary continuously (there is a discontinuity at 0). The stabilizers are CCR ([11]), and thus also GCR.

We show that the orbit space is T_0 , but not T_1 . Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The orbit of x is given by

$$[x] := \{A \cdot x : A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})\} = \{cx : c \in \mathbb{R}, c \neq 0\}.$$

With the exception of 0, each orbit is a straight line in \mathbb{R}^n through the origin, but with the origin excluded (since $\det(A) \neq 0$). Thus these orbits are not closed as subset of \mathbb{R}^n . That is, the orbit space cannot be T_1 . Since the orbit space is not T_1 , Theorem 3.5 implies that $C^*(G)$ is not CCR (Note: since the stabilizers are not amenable, we cannot draw this conclusion from either [2, Theorem 6.1] nor [22, Proposition 4.17].)

Since the orbits are open in their closures, it follows that the orbits are locally closed ([23, Lemma 1.25]). Then the equivalence relation $R(\gamma) := (r(\gamma), s(\gamma))$, $\gamma \in G$, on the unit space is an F_σ set ([21, Lemma 5.1]), and it follows from [14, Theorem 2.1] that the orbit space is T_0 . Thus, because the stabilizers of G are GCR and the orbit space is T_0 , it follows from Theorem 5.3 in [21] that $C^*(G)$ is GCR.

- (2) The next example is a CCR group-bundle groupoid. Suppose that $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ is a family of groups indexed by a countable set \mathbb{I} . Let $G := \{(i, t) : i \in \mathbb{I}, t \in G_i\}$. Then G is a groupoid, where $(i, s), (j, t) \in G$ are composable if and only if $i = j$, and then $(i, s)(i, t) := (i, st)$ and $(i, t)^{-1} := (i, t^{-1})$. Then G is called a group-bundle groupoid. Suppose that G is second-countable, locally compact and Hausdorff with a Haar system. Let e_i denote the identity of the group G_i . Then $r(i, t) = s(i, t) = (i, e_i)$. Also, the unit space $G^{(0)}$ is homeomorphic to the orbit space $G^{(0)}/G$ and since the unit space is Hausdorff the orbit space is also Hausdorff. Hence the orbit space is always T_0 and T_1 . Thus we have following corollary to Theorem 3.6:

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose that $G = \sqcup_{i \in \mathbb{I}} G_i$ is a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff group-bundle groupoid with a Haar system. Then $C^*(G)$ is CCR if and only if $C^*(G_i)$ is CCR for every $i \in \mathbb{I}$.*

By Theorem 5.3 in [21] the same statement holds for GCR groupoid C^* -algebras.

For a specific example, fix $n \geq 2$ and take $G = \sqcup_i \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the disjoint union topology (see Example 2.1.5 in [20] for details on the disjoint union topology). Since the stabilizers are all the same, it follows that the stabilizer map is continuous. Thus by [16, Lemmas 1.1 and 1.3], G has a Haar system $\{\lambda^n\}$, where each λ^n is a Haar measure of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ (see Example 2.1.5 in [20] that the Haar system is indeed given by the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$). Every stabilizer $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is CCR ([11]). Thus $C^*(G)$ is CCR by Corollary 4.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is a product of work done in the author's doctoral thesis. I thank my advisors Astrid an Huef and Lisa Orloff Clark for their guidance, support and endless patience throughout my studies. In particular, I thank Astrid for a carefully reading this paper and for valuable comments. I thank Dana Williams for bringing Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 to my attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARVESON, W. *An invitation to C^* -algebras*. Springer, 1976.
- [2] CLARK, L. CCR and GCR groupoid C^* -algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 56 (2007), 2087–2110.
- [3] CLARK, L. Classifying the types of principal groupoid C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 57 (2007).
- [4] GOEHLE, G. The Mackey machine for crossed products by regular groupoids. II. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 42 (2012), 873–900.
- [5] GOEHLE, G. Groupoid C^* -algebras with Hausdorff spectrum. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 88 (2013).
- [6] CLARK, L., AND AN HUEF, A. Principal groupoid C^* -algebras with bounded trace. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136 (2008), 623–634.
- [7] DIXMIER, J. *Von Neumann algebras*. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981.
- [8] DIXMIER, J. *C^* -algebras*. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1982.
- [9] GOOTMAN, E. The type of some C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras associated with transformation groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 48 (1973), 93–106.
- [10] IONESCU, M., AND WILLIAMS, D. P. Irreducible representations of groupoid C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (2009), 1323–1332.
- [11] HARISH-CHANDRA Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups III. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76 (1954), 234–253.
- [12] MURPHY, G. *C^* -algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press. Inc., 1990.
- [13] RAEBURN, I., AND WILLIAMS, D. *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -algebras*. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [14] RAMSAY, A. The Mackey-Glimm dichotomy for foliations and other Polish groupoids. *J. Functional Analysis*, 94 (1990), 358–374.
- [15] RENAULT, J. *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*. Lecture notes in Mathematics, Vol 793, Springer, 1980.
- [16] RENAULT, J. The ideal structure of groupoid crossed product C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 25 (1991), 3–36.
- [17] RUDIN, W. *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [18] RUNDE, V. *Lectures on Amenability* Springer, 2014.
- [19] SAKAI, S. On type I C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18 (1967), 861–863.
- [20] VAN WYK, D. *The structure of GCR and CCR groupoid C^* -algebras* PhD thesis, University of Otago, 2017, <http://hdl.handle.net/10523/7583>.

- [21] VAN WYK, D. The orbit spaces of groupoids whose C^* -algebras are GCR. *J. Operator Theory (in press)*, 2018.
- [22] WILLIAMS, D. The topology on the primitive ideal space of transformation group C^* -algebras and CCR transformation group C^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 266 (1981), 335-359.
- [23] WILLIAMS, D. *Crossed Products of C^* -Algebras*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF SANTA CATARINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO TRINDADE CEP 88.040-900, FLORIANÓPOLIS-SC, BRAZIL
E-mail address: dwvanwyk79@gmail.com