

Observable Chiral Gravitational Waves from Inflation in String Theory

Evan McDonough^{1,*} and Stephon Alexander^{1,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. 02903*

We consider gravitational wave production during inflation in type IIB string theory, and the possibility of observable gravitational waves in small field inflation. We show that the gauge field excitations on a set of coincident D7 branes, itself critical for moduli stabilization and hence intrinsic to inflation in string theory, coupled with axion fields from bulk fluxes, can act as a spectator sector during inflation. This results in a large production of chiral gravitational waves, even for relatively small values of the axion-gauge field coupling. We extend this to include a monodromy for the axion, and demonstrate that in both cases an observable level of gravitational waves is produced in small field inflation in string theory, with a spectrum that is maximally chiral. Finally, we demonstrate the consistency with moduli stabilization and with arbitrary (large or small field) inflationary dynamics of the host model, considering as an explicit example Kahler Moduli Inflation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Observable primordial gravitational waves from inflationary cosmology are typically associated with large field models, as required by the Lyth bound [1]. Unfortunately, these models generically suffer from the η -problem [2], motivating an analysis from a UV complete framework, such as string theory. However, even in string theory, large field inflation faces severe challenges, notably from back-reaction on string theory moduli [3–5] and the Weak Gravity Conjecture [6].

Fortunately, intrinsic to string theory realizations of inflation is the existence of much more structure than simply an inflaton and its potential energy, e.g. [7–9] (for a comprehensive review see [5]). All constructions require that the additional moduli, potentially numbering in the hundreds, be stabilized. We interpret this not as a drawback or complication, but a *feature* of inflation in string theory, which may lead to distinct observational signatures.

With this goal in mind, in this work we consider the production of gravitational waves from the dynamics of these additional fields, and find that an observable level of (chiral) gravitational waves can generically arise in both large and small field models of inflation in string theory.

More concretely, the stabilization of string theory moduli is most often achieved (e.g. [10–12]) via a combination of fluxes and *gaugino condensation*. The latter is captured by a simple superpotential,

$$W = e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N}\hat{f}_{D7}}, \quad (1)$$

where N is the rank of the $SU(N)$ gauge theory on world-volume of N coincident D7 branes, and $\hat{f}_{D7}$ is the gauge kinetic function. This generates exponential potentials for volume moduli and oscillatory potentials for axions, accompanied by couplings $\text{Re}\hat{f}_{D7}F^2$ and $\text{Im}\hat{f}_{D7}F\tilde{F}$ of the moduli to the $SU(N)$ gauge field. This complex system of

interacting axions and non-Abelian gauge fields is present in all string inflation scenarios, and could in principle be evolving during inflation. Similarly, the possibility that a string theory axion could be slowly-rolling but not itself be the driver of inflation was first considered in [13] (see also [14]).

In [15] it was shown that the dynamics of precisely such a ‘spectator sector’, i.e. a set of fields decoupled from the inflaton and subdominant in energy density, could lead to significant production of gravitational waves on cosmological scales. This construction evades the Lyth bound [1], raising the interesting possibility that observable gravitational waves may not require large field inflation [16]. Moreover, this occurs without a significant production of scalar perturbations, in contrast with other mechanisms for gravitational wave production in string theory [17].

In this paper we realize variants of [15] via the interacting system of a C_2 axion and the $SU(N)$ gauge fields on a set of D7-branes. Worldvolume fluxes on an internal two-cycle generate a C_2 dependence of the gauge kinetic function, giving rise to an oscillatory potential for the axion and an axion-gauge field coupling that is enhanced by a factor of N . We extend this to include a monodromy potential for the axion [18, 19], and show these scenarios can be consistently realized in the large volume scenario (LVS) [11, 12], without backreaction, and independent of the details of inflation.

We demonstrate that gravitational waves are copiously produced, though for a periodic axion potential the production generically (i.e. barring extremely large N) occurs only for the first few e-folds of inflation. With the inclusion of a monodromy potential, the gravitational wave production is long lasting, occurring for tens of e-folds.

Provided that the CMB pivot scale exited the horizon while gravitational waves are being produced, the result of both cases is an amplification of the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and a net *chirality* on large scales. This leads to an tensor-to-scalar ratio of $r \simeq 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ in small field inflation, observable by next generation Cosmic Microwave Background B-mode polarization experiments [20], along with parity violating CMB cross correlations [21, 22], of

* evan_mcdonough@brown.edu

† stephon_alexander@brown.edu

which the observational prospects have been studied in [23, 24]. This is particularly interesting given the role of chiral gravitational waves in models of leptogenesis [25–29] and dark matter [30].

Finally, as a concrete and complete model, we embed this into Kahler Moduli Inflation [31], with moduli stabilization occurring as in the LVS. This inflation model predicts $r \leq 10^{-10}$, and we show that with the inclusion of the spectator sector, this can be raised to an observable level.

The structure of this paper is as follows: we first review the mechanism for production of gravitational waves put forward in [15], and its generalization from $SU(2)$ to $SU(N)$. In Section III we discuss the realization of this via C_2 axions and gaugino condensation on branes carrying worldvolume flux, and in Section IV we consider the effect of a monodromy potential for the axion. In Section V we implement this in the context of the Large Volume Scenario, and demonstrate the consistency with moduli stabilization and arbitrary inflationary dynamics. In section VI we consider an example realization in the context of Kahler Moduli Inflation. We close in section VII with a discussion of directions for future work.

II. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM AXION-GAUGE FIELD DYNAMICS

A. The DFF Model: Spectator $SU(2)$

In this work we study the production of gravitational waves in slight variants of the model [15]. This is a spectator version of the inflationary model [32, 33] (see also [34]), which in turn builds upon [35, 36]. The models we study have the nice feature that while the inflation model [32, 33] is ruled out on observational grounds [33], the spectator models inherit the scalar perturbation predictions (e.g. n_s) of their ‘host’ model, which can be arbitrarily chosen.

This can be succinctly described the matter Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi[\phi] + \mathcal{L}_{GW}[\chi, F], \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is the inflaton and its potential, while $\mathcal{L}_{GW}$ is the axion-gauge field system responsible for the production of gravitational waves. The field χ slowly rolls down its potential during inflation, and the fixed sign of $\dot{\chi}$ results in a gravitational wave spectrum that is maximally chiral.

In [29] it was shown that the chiral gravitational waves in a variant of this scenario can lead to successful baryogenesis, and in [30] that model was used to construct a new class of dark matter models, dubbed the Dark Baryon Superfluid. The observational predictions of [15] were further refined in [37–39], where it was shown that a large tensor non-Gaussianity can be produced in these models, without an accompanying production of scalar non-Gaussianity (see also Appendix A). Similar phenomena can also arise in the case that the gauge field is

Abelian, given suitable values of the model parameters [40].

The Lagrangian for the gravitational wave sector in [15] is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_{GW} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\chi)^2 - U(\chi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda\chi}{4f}F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the non-Abelian field strength with coupling g . During inflation the dynamics of the universe are predominantly determined by the inflaton ϕ , with the axion and gauge field vastly subdominant in energy density. Moreover, as in [32, 33], the gauge field A_μ is chosen to take the isotropic configuration,

$$\langle A_0^a \rangle = 0, \quad \langle A_i^a \rangle = \delta_i^a a(t) Q(t). \quad (4)$$

The equations of motion for the axion-gauge field system are then given by,

$$\ddot{\chi} + 3H\dot{\chi} + U_{,\chi} = -\frac{3g\lambda}{f}Q^2(\dot{Q} + HQ), \quad (5)$$

$$\ddot{Q} + 3H\dot{Q} + (\dot{H} + 2H^2)Q + 2g^2Q^3 = \frac{g\lambda}{f}\dot{\chi}Q^2. \quad (6)$$

The potential for χ and initial conditions for χ and Q are chosen that they are both slowly-rolling during inflation. There is an attractor solution wherein Q and χ non-trivially balance one another, which occurs provided

$$\frac{\lambda Q}{f} \gg 1, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda Q}{f} \cdot \frac{gQ}{H} \gg 1. \quad (8)$$

This imposes constraints on the parameters of the model, as we will come back to shortly.

The slowly rolling attractor solution is given by [41],

$$Q \simeq \left[\frac{-f}{3g\lambda H} U_{,\chi} \right]^{1/3}, \quad \dot{\chi} \simeq \frac{2fg}{\lambda} Q. \quad (9)$$

These equations accurately describe the evolution of the spectator axion-gauge field system during inflation provided that the parameters $\{g, \lambda, f, \mu\}$ are such that the conditions (7) (8) are satisfied.

B. Gravitational Waves

The color-spatial fluctuations in the gauge field $\delta A_{\mu a}$ can be decomposed into two scalars (e.g. $\delta Q \delta_{ia}$), two vectors (e.g. $\partial_i M_a$), and two tensor polarizations ($\delta A_{ia} = \delta_a^j t_{ij}$). The tensor fluctuations t_{ij} are amplified due to the dynamics of χ , and this sources gravitational waves at linear order in perturbations, via the combination $\langle A \rangle \delta A \sim Q t_{ij}$. For a detailed discussion see e.g. [29, 33, 41, 42].

The equations of motion for the coupled metric and gauge field tensor fluctuations are given by [41]

$$\partial_x^2 t_{R,L} + \left[1 + \frac{2m_Q \xi}{x^2} \mp \frac{m_Q + \xi}{x} \right] t_{R,L} \simeq 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\partial_x^2 \psi_{R,L} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{x^2} \right) \psi_{R,L} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{RL}}^\psi, \quad (11)$$

where $x \equiv k/aH$, $\psi_{R,L} \equiv aM_{Pl}h_{ij}/2$ is the canonically normalized gravitational wave mode function, and $t_{R,L} \equiv aM_{Pl}t_{ij}/2$ is the gauge field tensor mode function. The parameters ξ and m_Q are defined as

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\lambda \dot{\chi}}{2fH}, \quad m_Q \equiv \frac{gQ}{H}, \quad (12)$$

which are positive during the slow-roll phase of χ . This leads to an exponential growth of a single handedness of t , with solution [41],

$$t_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}(m_Q + \xi)} W_{\alpha, \beta} \left(-\frac{2ik}{aH} \right). \quad (13)$$

There is no corresponding growth of scalar fluctuations provided that $m_Q > \sqrt{2}$ [15].

This growth of t_R is converted to a growth of ψ_R via the source term on the right-hand-side of (11),

$$\mathcal{S}_{R,L}^\psi \equiv (2\sqrt{\epsilon_E}/x) \partial_x t_{R,L} + (2\sqrt{\epsilon_B}/x^2)(m_Q \mp x) t_{R,L}, \quad (14)$$

where ϵ_E and ϵ_B are the slow-roll parameters of the electric and magnetic energy densities in the gauge field,

$$\epsilon_E \equiv \frac{(\dot{Q}^2 + HQ)^2}{H^2 M_{Pl}^2}, \quad \epsilon_B \equiv \frac{g^2 Q^4}{H^2 M_{Pl}^2}. \quad (15)$$

After solving for the ψ using the Green's function method, the resulting sourced tensor power spectrum is given by

$$P_h^s(k) \simeq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{Pl}^2} \cdot \epsilon_B e^{3.62m_Q} |_{k=aH}, \quad (16)$$

where m_Q and ϵ_B are evaluated at $k = aH$. The tensor-to-scalar ratio is then given by a sum of the single-field and sourced tensor fluctuations,

$$r \simeq r_{infl} \cdot (1 + \epsilon_B e^{3.6m_Q}) |_{k=k_*}, \quad (17)$$

where r_{infl} is the single-field inflation prediction for r and k_* is the CMB pivot scale.

C. Generalization to $SU(N)$

The gauge group of interest in the present work is not simply $SU(2)$, but $SU(N)$, where N is the number of coincident D7-branes. In the original moduli stabilization proposal of KKLT [10], gaugino condensation occurs on a

stack of $N = 20\pi$ D7-branes, and we will consider similar values of N here.

This introduces two important effects: (1) a rescaling of the string theory coupling λ , which we will see in detail in Section III, and (2) the possibility of multiple excited independent subgroups of $SU(N)$. In this subsection we focus on the latter, proceeding along the lines of Appendix A of [29] and [43].

The production of gravitational waves in [15] is intrinsically dependent on the group $SU(2)$, and hence one must first split the $SU(N)$ into disjoint $SU(2)$ subgroups, of which the maximum number is $N/2 \bmod 2$.

While in this work we consider only a single $SU(2)$ subgroup to be excited, in principle one could consider a set of $\mathcal{N}$ $SU(2)$ subgroups with non-vanishing field strengths. The equations of motion take a simple form if the $SU(2)$ subgroups have a common field strength (which can be achieved e.g. by initializing with a common initial condition), in which case the background equations of motion are equivalent to the single $SU(2)$ case (5) (6) with the replacement [29]

$$g \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{N}}g, \quad Q \rightarrow \frac{Q}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}. \quad (18)$$

Under this replacement, and ignoring the string theoretic rescaling of λ , the value of m_Q is unmodified while $\epsilon_B \rightarrow \epsilon_B/\mathcal{N}$. On the other hand, the gravitational wave equation of motion now has $\mathcal{N}$ statistically uncorrelated sources. The net effect is that the tensor to scalar ratio is *unchanged* from the single $SU(2)$ case,

$$r \rightarrow r_{infl} \cdot \left(1 + \mathcal{N} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_B}{\mathcal{N}} e^{3.6m_Q} \right) = r_{infl} \cdot (1 + \epsilon_B e^{3.6m_Q}). \quad (19)$$

With this in mind, in this work we consider for simplicity the case that a single $SU(2)$ subgroup is excited, that is, we take $\mathcal{N} = 1$.

We now consider the values of the other parameters describing the model.

D. Constraints on Model Parameters for a Consistent Realization

In order for the phenomena studied here to arise generically, there should exist a large region of parameter space that (i) realizes a self-consistent cosmological evolution of the axion and gauge field as a spectator sector, (ii) gives phenomenologically interesting results, and (iii) is self consistent from the perspective of the underlying string compactification.

To satisfy (i) we require that the slow-roll attractor exists, which requires (7) and (8) be satisfied. The first of these can re-phrased as a constraint on f/H as a function of λ and m_Q :

$$\frac{f}{H} \ll \frac{\lambda m_Q}{g}. \quad (20)$$

Interestingly, the slow-roll solution does not necessarily require $\lambda \gg 1$.

In order to have a sizeable amplification of the gravitational wave power spectrum, and hence satisfy (ii), it is required that

$$m_Q > 1, \quad (21)$$

which in conjunction with (20) implies that (8) is satisfied.

On the other hand, the time duration of the amplification can be approximated as the duration of slow-roll evolution. The slow-roll solution for χ can be written as,

$$\frac{1}{f} \frac{d\chi}{dN} = \frac{2m_Q}{\lambda}. \quad (22)$$

In the absence of a monodromy potential, the amount of slow-roll evolution of χ is bounded by $\Delta\chi \simeq \pi f$, and in this case the number of e-folds of production is given by,

$$N_{GW} \lesssim \frac{\pi\lambda}{2m_Q}. \quad (23)$$

Hence, efficient gravitational wave production ($m_Q > 1$) will occur for more than a single e-fold for only for $\lambda > 1$. This is modified in the presence of a monodromy potential, as we study in section IV.

Regarding (iii), string theory examples generically lead to small values of the axion-gauge field coupling λ [5]. This is an obstacle to driving inflation using solely this configuration, as the number of e-folds of inflation is given in that case by [32]

$$N_{infl} \lesssim \frac{3}{5}\lambda, \quad (24)$$

independent of f . In contrast, the spectator axion we study here need not slowly-roll for the full 60 e-folds of inflation, and thus the model can accommodate much smaller values of λ . We will see this in more detail in sections II-VI.

As an example, consider tuning μ to make $m_Q = 5$, and fix $\lambda = 20$. In this case, the production lasts for $N_{GW} \simeq 4$ e-folds of inflation. If in addition $g = 10^{-2}$ and $H_{inf} = 10^{-7} M_{Pl}$ the constraint on f reads:

$$f \ll 10^{-3} M_{Pl}, \quad (25)$$

which allows for a large range of f . More generally, the model building challenge for these models, assuming a small λ , is to engineer a small enough f to allow for slow roll dynamics. This is in stark contrast to the model building challenge of Natural Inflation [35, 36], where one must engineer a super-Planckian decay constant (for example, by alignment [44, 45]), in conflict with the Weak Gravity Conjecture [6].

From this we conclude that there is a large parameter space of consistent and phenomenologically interesting models of an axion-gauge field spectator sector, and we will now proceed to study their realization in string theory.

III. C_2 AXIONS AND GAUGINO CONDENSATION ON MAGNETIZED BRANES

The C_2 axion was considered as a candidate for natural inflation in [46] and [47]. Here we use these constructions to build the C_2 axion as a spectator field, whose interactions with the gauge fields on a stack of D7 branes leads to an amplification of gravitational waves on large scales.

A. Salient Points

1. Oscillatory Potential: Gaugino condensation on D7 branes carrying worldvolume flux generates an oscillatory potential for the C_2 axion c of the form [46]

$$V = \Lambda^4 \left[1 - \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{N} M c \right) \right] \quad (26)$$

$$\Lambda^4 \simeq \frac{|W_0|A}{\mathcal{V}^2 N} \tau e^{-a\tau}, \quad (27)$$

where M is the number of units of flux on the branes, τ is the 4-cycle volume modulus, and $\{a, A\}$ are the parameters of the non-perturbative superpotential. We provide further details on this in section V.

2. Decay Constant: As shown in equation (20), the slow-roll attractor can be realized via a suitably small decay constant f . This naturally arises in warped flux compactifications due to the ‘warping down’ of the decay constant [48, 49]. For example, in the Klebanov-Strassler geometry [50] the axion decay constant is given by

$$\tilde{f} \simeq e^{-\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{K}{M g_s}} f, \quad (28)$$

where M and K are the number of RR and NS flux quanta respectively, and f is the decay constant in the unwarped compactification. For $K \simeq M$ and at weak string coupling $g_s \ll 1$, this leads to a large suppression of the decay constant.

In a compactification with *multiple* warped throats, the decay constant is given by [48],

$$\tilde{f} \simeq \frac{f}{\sqrt{\sum_i c_i^{-2} h_i^{-2}}}, \quad (29)$$

where the c_i are a set of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constants, and h_i is the warp factor at the tip of the i^{th} warped throat, which for Klebanov-Strassler is simply $h_i = e^{-\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{K_i}{M_i g_s}}$. It follows that the axion decay constant is effectively set by the longest throat in the compactification [48].

This generates a large λ/f , necessary for the slow roll evolution of the axion gauge field system, while not affecting the gauge coupling of the stack of D7’s, which we take to be localized far from the longest throat in the compactification.




FIG. 1. Spectator C_2 with a periodic potential, for a model with $r_{\text{infl}} = 10^{-5}$ and with coupling $\lambda = 10$ (left curves) and $\lambda = 50$ (right curves). [**Left Panel**]: Amplification of the tensor power spectrum $P_h(k)$ for modes that exit the horizon in the first 10 e-folds of inflation. The red dashed lines corresponds to a scale-invariant spectrum with $r = .01$ and $r = 0.1$ respectively. [**Right Panel**]: The net helicity fraction of the gravitational wave power spectrum .

3. Coupling to Gauge Fields: As per equation (23), the number of e-folds of slow-roll evolution is determined by the size of λ , independent of f .

Details of the couplings of the various string theory axions can be found in e.g. [51]. The coupling of C_2 arises from Chern-Simons interaction on a D-brane carrying worldvolume flux,

$$\int C_2 \wedge F_2 \wedge F_2 \wedge F_2 = \int d^4x M c F \tilde{F}, \quad (30)$$

where M is defined as

$$M = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma_2} F_2. \quad (31)$$

The coupling to the canonically normalized gauge field is $g^2 M$, where $g^2 = 1/\tau$ is the gauge coupling. When this coupling is defined as a ratio to the decay constant, $\lambda/4f$ with f read off from the oscillatory potential, one finds¹

$$\lambda = \frac{Ng^2}{2\pi} = \frac{N}{2\pi\tau}, \quad (32)$$

independent of the warping down of f or the number of units of flux M . Sizeable values of λ can result if the C_2 axion wraps a small cycle in the compactification, e.g. for $\tau = 2$, one can achieve $\lambda = 5$ by $N = 62$.

The allowed values for N , and hence λ , can be probed by demanding consistency with tadpole cancellation, which in turn relates N to the topology of the internal space, as discussed in [52]. Ref. [52] found manifolds allowing for $N = \mathcal{O}(10^3)$, and with this in mind here we consider $N = \mathcal{O}(1) \times 10^2$.

The global structure of the compactification is further constrained by demanding weak coupling to the standard model $U(1)$, which is necessary to avoid the overproduction of scalar non-Gaussianities known to arise in Abelian models (see Appendix A for a detailed discussion). This is easily achieved if the standard model is realized on a large cycle $\tau_{\text{S.M.}} \gg 1$, which we will assume to be the case here.

B. The Model and Gravitational Wave Signal

Putting the puzzle pieces together, we arrive at the coupled axion gauge field system

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\chi)^2 - \mu^4 \cos\left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right) - \frac{1}{4}F^2 + \frac{\lambda\chi}{4f}F\tilde{F}, \quad (33)$$

with the parameters specified by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^4 &= \frac{|W_0|A}{\mathcal{V}^2 N} \tau e^{-2\pi\tau/N}, \quad f = \frac{\tilde{f}N}{2\pi M} \\ \lambda &= \frac{N}{2\pi\tau}, \quad g^2 = \frac{1}{\tau} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is the warped-down axion decay constant, and f is defined as the factor appearing inside the cosine potential.

We numerically solve for the evolution of the $\{\chi, Q\}$ system in an inflationary background, and from this compute the gravitational wave signal. The amplification of the tensor power spectrum, for a host inflation model with $r_{\text{inf}} = 10^{-5}$ ($H_{\text{inf}} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-7} M_{\text{Pl}}$), is given in the left panel of Figure 1, where the two black curves correspond to $\lambda = 10$ and $\lambda = 50$. The other parameters are chosen as,

$$\mu = 8.4 \times 10^{-6} M_{\text{Pl}}, \quad 1.2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\text{Pl}},$$

¹ Carefully accounting for the $(1/4)$ factor convention [51].

$$g^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f = 10^{-12} M_{Pl}, \quad \chi_* = \frac{\pi f}{40}, \quad (35)$$

where the two values of μ correspond to the $\lambda = 10$ and 50 cases respectively, and χ_* is the initial value of χ . Achieving these values of μ generically requires $A \ll 1$, which we will see is also required by moduli stabilization.

For $\lambda = 10$, corresponding to $N \simeq 126$, the amplification of gravitational waves occurs for roughly an e-fold of inflation, and hence a small range of k around the CMB pivot scale. On the other hand, for $\lambda = 50$, corresponding to $N \simeq 628$, the amplification lasts for $\simeq 5$ e-folds.

In both cases the amplification tracks the evolution of $m_Q \propto Q \propto \sin^{1/3}(\chi/f)$, leading to a peaked structure, with the peak corresponding to the moment when $\chi = \pi f/2$. For larger values of λ , the amplification lasts longer, scaling roughly linearly with λ , while maintaining the same peaked structure. This implies that both the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and the tensor tilt n_t depend sensitively on the time at which the CMB pivot scale exited the horizon, as observed previously in [16].

The chirality of gravitational waves is also substantially impacted. One can define the helicity of the gravitational wave power spectrum as

$$\mathcal{H}_{R-L}(k) = \frac{P_{hR} - P_{hL}}{P_{hR} + P_{hL}}, \quad (36)$$

where $P_{hL,R}$ refers to the tensor power spectrum of the left, right tensor modes. The gravitational waves produced by the non-Abelian gauge fields are purely right-handed, leading to a sizeable helicity on large scales. This is shown in the right panel of Figure 1. As discussed in [21, 22], this can be probed observationally by parity violating CMB cross-correlations EB and TB.

The hallmark of this scenario is the short duration of gravitational wave production, occurring for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ - $\mathcal{O}(10)$ e-folds of inflation. This can be modified by allowing for a large hierarchy between N and τ , or by a *monodromy* for the axion, which we now proceed to study.

IV. C_2 AXION MONODROMY

An alternative construction is to include a monodromy potential [18, 19] for the C_2 axion. This monodromy potential arises e.g. from the DBI action of an NS5 brane, leading to a potential of the form,

$$V_{mono} = \mu^4 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\chi_c}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\chi}{f}\right)^2}, \quad (37)$$

where μ is given by [19]

$$\mu^4 \equiv f \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{g_s^2 (2\pi)^5 \alpha'^2}, \quad (38)$$

where ϵ encodes a warp factor dependence, and the value of χ_c dictates the transition from a linear to quadratic

potential. As in [19], we consider the case that $\chi_* \gg \chi_c$, where the potential is approximated as $V \simeq \mu^4 \chi/f$.

As suggested in [53], axion monodromy can be straightforwardly accommodated into supergravity, and moduli stabilization, in the framework of F-term Axion Monodromy [54]. As a simple example, following [55], one can realize this via the spontaneous symmetry breaking of a nilpotent superfield [56–58], via

$$\delta K = \frac{1}{\mathbf{V}(G, \overline{G})} \cdot S \overline{S}, \quad \delta W = MS, \quad (39)$$

with M a constant and S satisfying the nilpotency constraint $S^2 = 0$. In [59] this was dubbed ‘ $\overline{D3}$ induced geometric inflation’, but it applies more generally to brane and anti-brane constructions that spontaneously break supersymmetry [60].

The resulting correction to the scalar potential is decoupled from the other pieces, due to the nilpotency of S . The new term takes a simple form,

$$\delta V = |D_S W|^2 = M^2 \mathbf{V}(G, \overline{G}). \quad (40)$$

The monodromy potential (37) is then realized by the replacement $\chi = (G - \overline{G})/\sqrt{2}i$ in (37).

The monodromy potential allows the axion to roll over a large distance in field space. This occurs provided that the monodromy potential dominates over the non-perturbative oscillatory potential, which is indeed the case when τ_s is stabilized at larger values. This qualitatively changes the gravitational wave signal, which is now amplified for a large number of e-folds, and this substantially softens the constraint on λ .

The $\{\chi, Q\}$ system can again be numerically solved, and the resulting tensor power spectrum is shown in the left panel of Figure 2, where we take $\lambda = 2$ and assume a host inflation model with $r = 10^{-6}$. The initial condition for χ is taken to be $\chi_* = -200f$, and we take $\mu = 1.861 \times 10^{-6} M_{Pl}$.

This leads to an amplification of the tensor power spectrum by a factor of 10^4 , lifting the tensor-to-scalar ratio to $r = 10^{-2}$. The helicity fraction is shown in the right panel of Figure 2, where again we see that the gravitational wave power spectrum is maximally helical on large scales.

In contrast with the case studied in Section III, the gravitational wave production lasts for a large number of e-folds, even for $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Additionally, the peaked structure of the amplification is no longer present and the gravitational wave spectrum on large scales is indistinguishable from large field inflation with $r_{infl} = 10^{-2}$.

V. REALIZATION IN THE LARGE VOLUME SCENARIO

We now come to the consistency with moduli stabilization. Before studying the C_2 axion and D7-branes with




FIG. 2. Spectator C_2 with a monodromy potential, with $r_{infl} = 10^{-6}$ and with $\lambda = 2$. [Left Panel]: Amplification of the tensor power spectrum $P_h(k)$ for modes that exit the horizon in the first 40 e-folds of inflation. The red dashed line corresponds to a scale-invariant spectrum with $r = 10^{-2}$. [Right Panel]: The net helicity fraction of the gravitational wave power spectrum.

worldvolume fluxes, we first study generalities of moduli stabilization and the possibility of gravitational wave production via interactions of the other axions of string theory.

A. Moduli Stabilization and Axions in the Large Volume Scenario

String theory flux compactifications [61, 62] generically lead to many axions in the four-dimensional effective field theory [51]. However, not all of these, and indeed the vast majority of these, cannot realize the mechanism of [15], either because they are too heavy or because their dynamics would lead to destabilization of the internal space.

In this work we focus on the large volume scenario for moduli stabilization [11, 12]. The complex structure moduli and axio-dilaton are stabilized by the flux induced superpotential,

$$W_0 = \int (F_3 - SH_3) \wedge \Omega, \quad (41)$$

where $S = 1/g_s + iC_0$. This provides the “universal axion” C_0 with a mass that is generically of similar size to that of the dilaton, and hence stabilization of the dilaton prevents C_0 from having a parametrically small mass ($m_{C_0}^2 \ll H^2 \ll m_{g_s}^2$), though some possible exceptions to this have been studied in [63]. This prevents C_0 from slowly-rolling during inflation, and thus the C_0 axion is not a suitable candidate for the spectator axion of [15].

We now turn to the Kahler moduli. We consider an internal manifold is of the ‘Swiss Cheese’ type, with one large bounding 4-cycle of size τ_b and many smaller 4-cycles of size τ_i . The volume is given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \alpha \left(\tau_b^{3/2} - \sum_{i=2}^{h_{1,1}} \lambda_i \tau_i^{3/2} \right), \quad (42)$$

where α and λ_i are model-dependent positive constants. Moduli stabilization is achieved by a combination of α' corrections, appearing in the Kahler potential as [64]

$$K = -2 \log \left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\hat{\xi}}{2} \right), \quad \hat{\xi} = -\frac{\chi \zeta(3)}{2(2\pi)^3 g_s^{3/2}}, \quad (43)$$

and by introducing a non-perturbative superpotential for one or more of the small cycles. The superpotential is given by

$$W = W_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{h_{1,1}} A_i e^{-a_i T_i}. \quad (44)$$

The scalar potential can be expanded in a series of $1/\mathcal{V}$, to give

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{i=2}^{h_{1,1}} \frac{8a_i^2}{3\lambda_i} A_i^2 \sqrt{\tau_i} e^{-2a_i \tau_i} \\ & + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2} \sum_{i=2}^{h_{1,1}} 4a_i \tau_i e^{-a_i \tau_i} W_0 \cos(a_i \theta_i) \\ & + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^3} \frac{3|W_0|^2 \xi}{4} + \delta V_{up}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where δV_{up} is an ‘uplift’ potential, responsible for making the post-inflation local minimum de Sitter ².

The axions θ_i each have an oscillatory potential, which one might hope could lead to the axion-spectator behaviour necessary for gravitational waves. However,

² The uplift term is conjectured to arise e.g. from anti-D3 branes [10] or D7 worldvolume fluxes [65], though this has been subject to considerable debate e.g. [58, 66–68]. This term will not directly enter into the current analysis, which is focused on inflation, and hence we do not study it further.

the stabilization of τ_i requires that the second term in (45) be negative and hence that the θ_i be stabilized at $\cos(a_i\theta_i) = -1$ [69]. In contrast, in the example cosmologies considered by DFF [15] the axion spectator begins its cosmological evolution at $\cos(\chi/f) = 0$, in which case the second term in (45) vanishes and the corresponding τ_i will runaway to infinity, decompactifying the internal space. Hence, as is the case for C_0 , none of the θ_i are suitable candidates to be the axion spectator of [15].

With the θ_i stabilized at $\cos(a_i\theta_i) = -1$ moduli stabilization can proceed. Provided that one cycle is parametrically smaller than the others, $\tau_s \ll \tau_i$, such that the τ_s term dominates the superpotential, then one can analytically find stabilized solutions by varying with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ and τ_s . The result is

$$\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle = \frac{3\lambda_s \sqrt{\tau_s} W_0 e^{a_s \tau_s}}{4aA}, \quad \langle \tau_s \rangle = \left(\frac{\hat{\xi}}{2\lambda_s} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (46)$$

The remaining moduli τ_i can be similarly fixed via their non-perturbative superpotentials, or else undergo inflationary dynamics.

Finally, we note that the τ_b axion θ_b also cannot realize [15]. The gauge kinetic function of the C_4 axions coupling to gauge fields is given by [51]

$$\hat{f}_{D7} = T. \quad (47)$$

And hence the coupling of θ_b to canonically normalized gauge fields on a stack of D7 branes wrapping the τ_b cycle, rescaled by the argument of the oscillatory potential, is given by $\lambda = g^2 N / (2\pi) = N / (2\pi\tau_b)$, as in equation (32). Since $\tau_b \simeq \mathcal{V}^{2/3}$ is required to be large, sizeable values of λ require extremely large values of N , and hence an extremely large number of condensing branes.

B. Dynamics of C_2 axion

We now come to the C_2 axion. An important feature of the large volume scenario is that volume stabilization does not involve the τ_i and hence is decoupled from the inflationary dynamics, up to perturbative corrections. We will demonstrate that the same is true once dynamics are included for a C_2 axion, thus allowing for arbitrary inflationary dynamics to be added in to the model.

In the presence of worldvolume fluxes, the D7 gauge kinetic function is modified to include the two-form fields as [46, 47]³

$$\hat{f}_{D7} = T + f^i G_i + \frac{1}{2g_s} f^i f_i, \quad (48)$$

where f^i are the F_2 flux quanta and G_i contain the two-form fields,

$$G^\alpha = \bar{S} b^\alpha + i c^\alpha, \quad (49)$$

where again $S = e^{-\phi} + iC_0$ and

$$c^\alpha = \int_{\Sigma_{2\alpha}} C_2, \quad b^\alpha = \int_{\Sigma_{2\alpha}} B_2. \quad (50)$$

Considering only a single C_2 axion, the Kahler potential is given by [46, 47]

$$K = -2 \log \left[\tau_b^{3/2} - \lambda_s (\tau_s + \gamma_c \tau_G^2)^{3/2} \right], \quad (51)$$

where $\tau_G \equiv G + \bar{G}$, and $\gamma_c = c_{+--}/g_s$, with c_{+--} the triple-intersection number of the even and odd cycles. This breaks the shift symmetry of the B_2 axion, while leaving that of C_2 intact.

The D7 world-volume fluxes introduce further subtleties, notably in the analysis of anomaly cancellation and of D-terms, which have been studied in detail in the context of D3/D7 inflation [70]. Anomaly cancellation requires additional fluxes and brane sources, which is most easily studied in M-theory [70], where IIB world-volume fluxes are described by localized contributions to the bulk G_4 flux [71, 72]. On the IIB side, the induced D-term takes the form [73, 74],

$$D = \frac{\alpha' t_\alpha}{2\mathcal{V}} \kappa_{bc}^\alpha (b^b - f^b) \mathcal{W}^c, \quad (52)$$

where t_α are the two-cycle volumes, κ_{bc}^α are the triple intersection numbers, and $\mathcal{W}^c$ is the wrapping number of the brane. This leads to additional stabilization of B_2 , and again leaves C_2 unaffected.

A potential for C_2 can be generated by gaugino condensation on the small cycle τ_s , as studied in section III. Here we consider that this occurs by either the condensation of a product group or else of two independent brane stacks. The superpotential is then given by a sum of two non-perturbative terms⁴,

$$W = W_0 + A_s e^{-a_s T_s} + A_c e^{-a_c (T_s + MG)}, \quad (53)$$

with M the units of F_2 flux. Moreover, we have absorbed the $f_i f^i$ term in $\hat{f}_{D7}$ into the definition of A_c , and will consider the magnetized gaugino condensate to be a subdominant contribution to the superpotential,

$$A_c \ll A_s. \quad (54)$$

³ We do not consider the possibility of multiple windings, which have the effect of rescaling $\hat{f}_{D7}$ [46].

⁴ We emphasize that both non-perturbative terms must necessarily arise from gaugino condensation, and not instantons. An analysis of the latter would require a sum over *all* instanton configurations, which would generically introduce a G dependence in the exponent of the first term.




FIG. 3. Kahler Moduli Inflation with a spectator C_2 . The peaked curve is an oscillatory potential with $\lambda = 50$, while the flat curve is the monodromy potential with $\lambda = 2$. Dashed lines indicate (from bottom to top), $r = 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}$ and 10^{-1} .

which is the case if $f_i f^i$ is large. This could also arise if e.g. A_c has a dependence on τ_b as $e^{-a_b \tau_b}$, or could effectively be the case if $a_c \ll a_s$.

The scalar potential is given by, setting $a_c = a_s$ and $\gamma_c = 1$ for simplicity, and with the θ_i and B_2 axions stabilized,

$$V = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{8a_s^2}{3\lambda_s} A_s^2 \sqrt{\tau_s} e^{-2a_s \tau_s} \left[1 + \frac{A_c}{A_s} \cos(a_c M c) \right] - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2} 4a_s A_s \tau_s e^{-a_s \tau_s} W_0 \left[1 - \frac{A_c}{2A_s} \cos(a_c M c) \right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^3} \frac{3|W_0|^2 \xi}{4} + \delta V_{up} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{A_c}{A_s}\right)^2\right). \quad (55)$$

Comparing with (45) we see that c -induced corrections to the scalar potential scale as A_c/A_s , and hence moduli stabilization of $\{\tau_s, \mathcal{V}\}$ proceeds unchanged provided that $A_c \ll A_s$.

It follows from this that the dynamics of C_2 will not destabilize the internal space, nor generate any potential for the τ_i . The latter implies that the C_2 spectator scenario is consistent with arbitrary inflationary dynamics for the τ_i moduli.

Finally, in the stabilized minimum the first two lines in (55) are of the same order of magnitude, and the oscillatory potential for c is precisely of the form anticipated in section III.

VI. EXAMPLE HOST INFLATION MODEL: KAHLER MODULI INFLATION

As a concrete model example, we now consider Kahler Moduli Inflation [31]. This inflation scenario arises from

supplementing (53) with a superpotential for a Kahler modulus τ_ϕ , of the form $A_\phi e^{-a_\phi T_\phi}$. The resulting model is of the DFF form (2), with inflationary potential given by

$$V(\phi) \simeq V_0 \left[1 - \alpha \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}} \right)^{4/3} e^{-\beta(\phi/M_{Pl})^{4/3}} \right], \quad (56)$$

where $\phi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4\lambda_\phi}{3\mathcal{V}}} \tau_\phi^{3/4}$ is the canonically normalized inflaton, and the parameters are $V_0 \simeq W_0^2/\mathcal{V}^3$, $\alpha \simeq \mathcal{V}^{5/3}$, and $\beta \simeq \mathcal{V}^{2/3}$. Typical values of the volume in this scenario are $10^5 - 10^7$, while 10^4 can be achieved by a suitably small W_0 [69].

The precise observational predictions for Kahler Moduli Inflation have been studied by [75], and are given by

$$n_s \simeq 1 - \frac{2}{\Delta N_*}, \quad r \simeq \frac{4}{81\beta^{3/2}N_*^2} \log^{5/2} \left(24\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}} \alpha \Delta N_* \right), \quad (57)$$

where ΔN_* is the number of e-folds before the end of inflation when the CMB pivot scale exited the horizon. For typical values of the parameters, this evaluates to [31]

$$0.960 \leq n_s \leq 0.967, \quad r \leq 10^{-10}. \quad (58)$$

This value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio is not observable in the foreseeable future. The corresponding energy scale of inflation is $V_{inf}^{1/4} \simeq 10^{13} - 10^{14}$ GeV, and the field excursion is well within the small field regime.

As an example of the amplification of r due to gauge field production, we consider a model at the upper bound,

$r = 10^{-10}$. We consider both an oscillatory potential with

$$\lambda = 50, \quad g^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f = 10^{-12} M_{Pl}, \quad \mu = 5.89 \times 10^{-8} M_{Pl},$$

corresponding to $\tau_s = 2$ and $N = 628$, and a monodromy potential with

$$\lambda = 2, \quad g^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f = 10^{-15} M_{Pl}, \quad \mu = 2.48 \times 10^{-8} M_{Pl},$$

corresponding to $\tau_s = 2$ and $N = 13$.

The resulting tensor power spectra are shown in Figure 3. As in the example in section III, the oscillatory potential case exhibits a peaked structure, and in this example rises above that of a scale-invariant spectrum with $r = 10^{-3}$ for roughly 3 e-folds of inflation. The observed tensor-to-scalar ratio r , and also the tensor tilt n_t , thus depend sensitively on the time at which the pivot scale left the horizon. This is not the case for the monodromy potential, which exhibits an amplification to $r = 10^{-3}$ for a large range of N_e .

Thus we see that both spectator scenarios can realize an observably large tensor-to-scalar ratio in Kahler Moduli Inflation.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work we have studied the gravitational wave production due to excitations of non-Abelian gauge fields on D7 branes, the presence of which is intrinsic to string inflation scenarios, due to the necessity of moduli stabilization. Worldvolume fluxes on the branes lead to an oscillatory potential for an axion, and an axionic coupling to the gauge fields. This leads to a realization of the spectator scenario [15], and is easily extended to include a monodromy potential for the axion.

The former case leads to a production of gravitational waves at the beginning of inflation, lasting for a few e-folds of expansion. The tensor mode power spectrum is greatly amplified during this time, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio can be lifted to an observable level, $r \simeq 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ [20]. The latter case leads to sustained production of gravitational waves, and a large amplification of r even for $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

This indicates that an observable level of gravitational waves is possible in small field inflation in string theory, once the full structure of model realizations is taken into account. Moreover, the resulting gravitational wave spectrum is maximally chiral, distinguishing it from other sources of gravitational wave production. To further quantify and constrain the observational signatures will require a full CMB polarization analysis, which we leave to future work.

We have demonstrated that this can be incorporated into the Large Volume Scenario, and argued that there is negligible backreaction on moduli stabilization, or on the inflationary dynamics of the host model. However, a more

detailed analysis is certainly warranted, especially given the backreaction issues known to affect monodromy models [3, 4], and recent results concerning the cosmological backreaction of gravitational waves [76]. Related to this, in order to fully characterize the model predictions it is necessary to perform a scan of the self-consistent parameter space, both of the parameters describing the string compactification and of those describing the cosmological model.

Finally, we mention the connection of this work to the dark matter scenario [30]. That work connected the inflationary production of chiral gravitational waves to the simultaneous generation of dark and visible particle-antiparticle asymmetries, and via the condensed matter physics of gauge theories [77], to a model of superfluid dark matter. The present work is a first step in the string theory realization of that scenario.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Keshav Dasgupta, Jerome Quintin, Ryo Namba, and Edward Wilson-Ewing, for insightful comments and suggestions. EM is supported in part by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada via a PDF fellowship.

Appendix A: Scalar Non-Gaussianities

As shown in [15], the spectator sector considered here leads to negligible induced scalar perturbations, and hence no change to the power spectrum. However, the contribution of the spectator sector to scalar non-Gaussianities requires close attention. This was recently computed in [39], and confirmed to be small. Here we will put their results in context.

There are two contributions to non-Gaussianities in axion models:

1. Direct production of highly non-Gaussian inflaton perturbations.
2. Non-Gaussianity of the inflaton-sourced curvature perturbation induced by new interaction vertices.

To understand these, it is useful to first review non-Gaussianities in the simple case of axion inflation coupled to an Abelian gauge field [78, 79]. In that case, the dominant effect is the direct production of non-Gaussian perturbations, occurring at second-order in perturbation theory via inverse decay $A_i A^i \rightarrow \delta\varphi$ of gauge fluctuations A_i to inflaton fluctuations $\delta\varphi$. The associated gravitational wave production is similarly given by $h_{ij} \simeq A_i A_j$. Since both these effects occur at second-order in A_i , achieving an observable tensor-to-scalar ratio is incompatible with satisfying observable bounds on non-Gaussianities.

If the axion is instead taken to be a spectator χ , the inverse decay $A_i A^i \rightarrow \delta\chi$ produces entropy perturbations

$\delta\chi$, whose contribution to the curvature perturbation is suppressed by ρ_χ/H (see e.g. [80]). In this way, the effect 1. can be neutralized. However, effect 2. is still present, and the coupling $\sqrt{-g}\chi F\tilde{F}$ introduces an interaction vertex [81],

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{\lambda\dot{\chi}}{4fH}\zeta F\tilde{F}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The presence of this term can be seen by performing a time-translation $t \rightarrow t - \delta\varphi/\dot{\varphi}$ to the uniform φ gauge ($\delta\varphi = 0$), where φ the inflaton, which simultaneously transforms $\delta\chi$ as $\delta\chi \rightarrow \delta\chi + (\dot{\chi}/H)\zeta$ [81].

The non-Gaussianities induced by (A1) can be computed via a Feynman diagram with a loop of gauge fields A_i and three external lines of ζ . The result is that the curvature perturbation three-point function $\langle\zeta\zeta\zeta\rangle$ inherits the exponential growth of A_i , and is again generally in conflict with observations, unless $\lambda \ll 1$ (in which case no interesting phenomenology occurs), or else if $\dot{\chi}$ is non-zero only for a few e-folds of inflation [40].

We now come to the model studied in this paper, where a spectator axion is coupled to *non*-Abelian gauge fields in the isotropic configuration. The suppression of effect 1. is even more pronounced than in the Abelian spectator case, since the gravitational waves are produced at linear order in fluctuations $h_{ij} \simeq \langle A_i \rangle \delta A_j$, while the inverse decay is second order. The scalar sector is complicated by the presence of genuinely scalar perturbations $\langle A_i \rangle = Q \rightarrow Q + \delta Q$, but as shown in [15], this system is free from instabilities provided $m_Q > \sqrt{2}$.

Effect 2. has been calculated for the model studied here in Appendix C of [39]. There it was shown that demanding perturbativity of the curvature perturbation (i.e. that the one-loop correction to the power spectrum is subdominant to the tree-level contribution) ensures that the induced non-Gaussianity is well within observational bounds, and that both of these conditions can be satisfied for a broad range of parameter space (including that studied here).

-
- [1] D. H. Lyth, *What would we learn by detecting a gravitational wave signal in the cosmic microwave background anisotropy?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 1861 [[hep-ph/9606387](#)].
 - [2] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, *False vacuum inflation with Einstein gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 6410 [[astro-ph/9401011](#)].
 - [3] J. P. Conlon, *Brane-Antibrane Backreaction in Axion Monodromy Inflation*, *JCAP* **1201** (2012) 033 [[1110.6454](#)].
 - [4] A. Hebecker, P. Mangat, F. Rompineve and L. T. Witkowski, *Tuning and Backreaction in F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B894** (2015) 456 [[1411.2032](#)].
 - [5] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, *Inflation and String Theory*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2015, 10.1017/CBO9781316105733, [[1404.2601](#)].
 - [6] A. Hebecker, F. Rompineve and A. Westphal, *Axion Monodromy and the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 157 [[1512.03768](#)].
L. E. Ibanez, M. Montero, A. Uranga and I. Valenzuela, *Relaxion Monodromy and the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 020 [[1512.00025](#)].
B. Heidenreich, M. Reece and T. Rudelius, *Weak Gravity Strongly Constrains Large-Field Axion Inflation*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 108 [[1506.03447](#)].
J. Brown, W. Cottrell, G. Shiu and P. Soler, *On Axionic Field Ranges, Loopholes and the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 017 [[1504.00659](#)].
J. Brown, W. Cottrell, G. Shiu and P. Soler, *Fencing in the Swampland: Quantum Gravity Constraints on Large Field Inflation*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 023 [[1503.04783](#)].
T. Rudelius, *Constraints on Axion Inflation from the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JCAP* **1509** (2015) 020 [[1503.00795](#)].
 - [7] S. H. S. Alexander, *Inflation from D - anti-D-brane annihilation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 023507 [[hep-th/0105032](#)].
 - [8] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R.-J. Zhang, *The Inflationary brane anti-brane universe*, *JHEP* **07** (2001) 047 [[hep-th/0105204](#)].
 - [9] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, *D-brane inflation, in 4th European Meeting From the Planck Scale to the Electroweak Scale (Planck 2001) La Londe les Maures, Toulon, France, May 11-16, 2001*, 2001, [hep-th/0105203](#).
 - [10] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, *De Sitter vacua in string theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046005 [[hep-th/0301240](#)].
 - [11] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, *Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications*, *JHEP* **03** (2005) 007 [[hep-th/0502058](#)].
 - [12] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, *Large-volume flux compactifications: Moduli spectrum and D3/D7 soft supersymmetry breaking*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 007 [[hep-th/0505076](#)].
 - [13] S. H. S. Alexander and S. J. Gates, Jr., *Can the string scale be related to the cosmic baryon asymmetry?*, *JCAP* **0606** (2006) 018 [[hep-th/0409014](#)].
 - [14] T. Kobayashi and S. Mukohyama, *Curvatons in Warped Throats*, *JCAP* **0907** (2009) 032 [[0905.2835](#)].
 - [15] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello and T. Fujita, *Primordial Gravitational Waves from Axion-Gauge Fields Dynamics*, *JCAP* **1701** (2017) 019 [[1608.04216](#)].
 - [16] T. Fujita, R. Namba and Y. Tada, *Does the detection of primordial gravitational waves exclude low energy inflation?*, *Phys. Lett.* **B778** (2018) 17 [[1705.01533](#)].

- [17] M. Mirbabayi, L. Senatore, E. Silverstein and M. Zaldarriaga, *Gravitational Waves and the Scale of Inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 063518 [1412.0665].
- [18] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, *Gravity Waves and Linear Inflation from Axion Monodromy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 046003 [0808.0706].
- [19] E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, *Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 106003 [0803.3085].
- [20] CMB-S4 collaboration, K. N. Abazajian et al., *CMB-S4 Science Book, First Edition*, 1610.02743.
- [21] A. Lue, L.-M. Wang and M. Kamionkowski, *Cosmological signature of new parity violating interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 1506 [astro-ph/9812088].
- [22] C. R. Contaldi, J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Anomalous CMB polarization and gravitational chirality*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 141101 [0806.3082].
- [23] V. Gluscevic and M. Kamionkowski, *Testing Parity-Violating Mechanisms with Cosmic Microwave Background Experiments*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 123529 [1002.1308].
- [24] M. Gerbino, A. Gruppuso, P. Natoli, M. Shiraishi and A. Melchiorri, *Testing chirality of primordial gravitational waves with Planck and future CMB data: no hope from angular power spectra*, *JCAP* **1607** (2016) 044 [1605.09357].
- [25] S. H.-S. Alexander, M. E. Peskin and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Leptogenesis from gravity waves in models of inflation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 081301 [hep-th/0403069].
- [26] A. Maleknejad, *Chiral Gravity Waves and Leptogenesis in Inflationary Models with non-Abelian Gauge Fields*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 023542 [1401.7628].
- [27] A. Maleknejad, *Gravitational leptogenesis in axion inflation with $SU(2)$ gauge field*, *JCAP* **1612** (2016) 027 [1604.06520].
- [28] P. Adshead, A. J. Long and E. I. Sfakianakis, *Gravitational Leptogenesis, Reheating, and Models of Neutrino Mass*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 043511 [1711.04800].
- [29] R. R. Caldwell and C. Devulder, *Axion Gauge Field Inflation and Gravitational Leptogenesis: A Lower Bound on B Modes from the Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry of the Universe*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 023532 [1706.03765].
- [30] S. Alexander, E. McDonough and D. N. Spergel, *Chiral Gravitational Waves and Baryon Superfluid Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **1805** (2018) 003 [1801.07255].
- [31] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, *Kahler moduli inflation*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 146 [hep-th/0509012].
- [32] P. Adshead and M. Wyman, *Chromo-Natural Inflation: Natural inflation on a steep potential with classical non-Abelian gauge fields*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 261302 [1202.2366].
- [33] P. Adshead, E. Martinec and M. Wyman, *Perturbations in Chromo-Natural Inflation*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 087 [1305.2930].
- [34] S. Alexander, A. Marciano and D. Spergel, *Chern-Simons Inflation and Baryogenesis*, *JCAP* **1304** (2013) 046 [1107.0318].
- [35] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, *Natural inflation with pseudo - Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 3233.
- [36] F. C. Adams, J. R. Bond, K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, *Natural inflation: Particle physics models, power law spectra for large scale structure, and constraints from COBE*, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 426 [hep-ph/9207245].
- [37] A. Agrawal, T. Fujita and E. Komatsu, *Large tensor non-Gaussianity from axion-gauge field dynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 103526 [1707.03023].
- [38] B. Thorne, T. Fujita, M. Hazumi, N. Katayama, E. Komatsu and M. Shiraishi, *Finding the chiral gravitational wave background of an axion- $SU(2)$ inflationary model using CMB observations and laser interferometers*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 043506 [1707.03240].
- [39] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello, R. J. Hardwick, H. Assadullahi, K. Koyama and D. Wands, *Non-Gaussianity from Axion-Gauge Fields Interactions during Inflation*, 1806.05474.
- [40] R. Namba, M. Peloso, M. Shiraishi, L. Sorbo and C. Unal, *Scale-dependent gravitational waves from a rolling axion*, *JCAP* **1601** (2016) 41 [1509.07521].
- [41] E. Dimastrogiovanni and M. Peloso, *Stability analysis of chromo-natural inflation and possible evasion of Lyth's bound*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 103501 [1212.5184].
- [42] A. Maleknejad, *Axion Inflation with an $SU(2)$ Gauge Field: Detectable Chiral Gravity Waves*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 104 [1604.03327].
- [43] R. R. Caldwell and C. Devulder, *Gravitational Wave Opacity from Gauge Field Dark Energy*, 1802.07371.
- [44] J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles and M. Peloso, *Completing natural inflation*, *JCAP* **0501** (2005) 005 [hep-ph/0409138].
- [45] K. Choi, H. Kim and S. Yun, *Natural inflation with multiple sub-Planckian axions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 023545 [1404.6209].
- [46] C. Long, L. McAllister and P. McGuirk, *Aligned Natural Inflation in String Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 023501 [1404.7852].
- [47] I. Ben-Dayan, F. G. Pedro and A. Westphal, *Towards Natural Inflation in String Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 023515 [1407.2562].
- [48] K. Dasgupta, H. Firouzjahi and R. Gwyn, *On The Warped Heterotic Axion*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 056 [0803.3828].
- [49] S. Franco, D. Galloni, A. Retolaza and A. Uranga, *On axion monodromy inflation in warped throats*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 086 [1405.7044].
- [50] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and χ SB resolution of naked singularities*, *JHEP* **08** (2000) 052 [hep-th/0007191].
- [51] M. Cicoli, M. Goodsell and A. Ringwald, *The type IIB string axiverse and its low-energy phenomenology*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 146 [1206.0819].
- [52] J. Louis, M. Rummel, R. Valandro and A. Westphal, *Building an explicit de Sitter*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 163 [1208.3208].
- [53] A. Retolaza, A. M. Uranga and A. Westphal, *Bifid Throats for Axion Monodromy Inflation*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 099 [1504.02103].
- [54] F. Marchesano, G. Shiu and A. M. Uranga, *F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 184 [1404.3040].
- [55] E. McDonough and M. Scalisi, *Inflation from Nilpotent*

- Kähler Corrections, *JCAP* **1611** (2016) 028 [1609.00364].
- [56] M. Rocek, *Linearizing the Volkov-Akulov Model*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978) 451.
E. A. Ivanov and A. A. Kapustnikov, *General Relationship Between Linear and Nonlinear Realizations of Supersymmetry*, *J. Phys.* **A11** (1978) 2375.
U. Lindstrom and M. Rocek, *CONSTRAINED LOCAL SUPERFIELDS*, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 2300.
R. Casalbuoni, S. De Curtis, D. Dominici, F. Feruglio and R. Gatto, *Nonlinear Realization of Supersymmetry Algebra From Supersymmetric Constraint*, *Phys. Lett.* **B220** (1989) 569.
Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, *From Linear SUSY to Constrained Superfields*, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 066 [0907.2441].
- [57] R. Kallosh and T. Wrase, *Emergence of Spontaneously Broken Supersymmetry on an Anti-D3-Brane in KKLT dS Vacua*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 117 [1411.1121].
- [58] E. A. Bergshoeff, K. Dasgupta, R. Kallosh, A. Van Proeyen and T. Wrase, *D3 and dS*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 058 [1502.07627].
- [59] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Roest and Y. Yamada, *$\overline{D3}$ induced geometric inflation*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 057 [1705.09247].
- [60] K. Dasgupta, M. Emelin and E. McDonough, *Fermions on the antibrane: Higher order interactions and spontaneously broken supersymmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017) 026003 [1601.03409].
- [61] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh and S. Sethi, *M theory, orientifolds and G - flux*, *JHEP* **08** (1999) 023 [hep-th/9908088].
- [62] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, *Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097].
- [63] R. Blumenhagen, D. Herschmann and E. Plauschinn, *The Challenge of Realizing F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation in String Theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 007 [1409.7075].
- [64] K. Becker, M. Becker, M. Haack and J. Louis, *Supersymmetry breaking and alpha-prime corrections to flux induced potentials*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 060 [hep-th/0204254].
- [65] C. P. Burgess, R. Kallosh and F. Quevedo, *De Sitter string vacua from supersymmetric D terms*, *JHEP* **10** (2003) 056 [hep-th/0309187].
- [66] K. Dasgupta, R. Gwyn, E. McDonough, M. Mia and R. Tatar, *de Sitter Vacua in Type IIB String Theory: Classical Solutions and Quantum Corrections*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 054 [1402.5112].
- [67] N. Cabo Bizet and S. Hirano, *Revisiting constraints on uplifts to de Sitter vacua*, 1607.01139.
- [68] J. Polchinski, *Brane/antibrane dynamics and KKLT stability*, 1509.05710.
- [69] J. J. Blanco-Pillado, D. Buck, E. J. Copeland, M. Gomez-Reino and N. J. Nunes, *Kahler Moduli Inflation Revisited*, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 081 [0906.3711].
- [70] K. Dasgupta, C. Herdeiro, S. Hirano and R. Kallosh, *D3 / D7 inflationary model and M theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 126002 [hep-th/0203019].
- [71] K. Dasgupta, P. Franche, A. Knauf and J. Sully, *D-terms on the resolved conifold*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 027 [0802.0202].
- [72] K. Dasgupta, M. Emelin and E. McDonough, *Non-Kähler resolved conifold, localized fluxes in M-theory and supersymmetry*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 179 [1412.3123].
- [73] H. Jockers and J. Louis, *D-terms and F-terms from D7-brane fluxes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B718** (2005) 203 [hep-th/0502059].
- [74] T. W. Grimm, M. Kerstan, E. Palti and T. Weigand, *Non-Fluxed Instantons and Moduli Stabilisation in IIB Orientifolds and F-theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 066001 [1105.3193].
- [75] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, *Encyclopædia Inflationaris*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **5-6** (2014) 75 [1303.3787].
- [76] R. Brandenberger and T. Takahashi, *Back-Reaction of Gravitational Waves Revisited*, 1805.02424.
- [77] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schäfer, *Color superconductivity in dense quark matter*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** (2008) 1455 [0709.4635].
- [78] N. Barnaby and M. Peloso, *Large Nongaussianity in Axion Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 181301 [1011.1500].
- [79] N. Barnaby, R. Namba and M. Peloso, *Phenomenology of a Pseudo-Scalar Inflaton: Naturally Large Nongaussianity*, *JCAP* **1104** (2011) 009 [1102.4333].
- [80] K. A. Malik and D. Wands, *Adiabatic and entropy perturbations with interacting fluids and fields*, *JCAP* **0502** (2005) 007 [astro-ph/0411703].
- [81] R. Z. Ferreira and M. S. Sloth, *Universal Constraints on Axions from Inflation*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 139 [1409.5799].