arXiv:1806.05902v2 [math.GT] 20 Jan 2019

COMMUTATOR SUBGROUPS OF SINGULAR BRAID GROUPS

SOUMYA DEY AND KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY

Abstract. The singular braids with n strands, $n \geq 3$, were introduced independently by Baez and Birman. It is known that the monoid formed by the singular braids is embedded in a group that is known as singular braid group, denoted by SG_n. There has been another generalization of braid groups, denoted by GVB_n, $n \geq 3$, which was introduced by Fang as a group of symmetries behind quantum quasi-shuffle structures. The group GVB_n simultaneously generalizes the classical braid group, as well as the virtual braid group on n strands.

We investigate the commutator subgroups SG_n' and GVB_n' of these generalized braid groups. We prove that SG_n' is finitely generated if and only if $n \geq 5$, and GVB_n' is finitely generated if and only if $n \geq 4$. Further, we show that both SG_n' and GVB_n' are perfect if and only if $n \geq 5$.

1. Introduction

The commutator subgroup or derived subgroup of a group G is the subgroup G' generated by the elements of the form $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. A quotient group G/N is abelian if and only if $G' \leq N$. Thus the group G' distinguishes the abelian factor groups of G from non-abelian ones. The quotient G/G' is isomorphic to $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$, the first homology group of G with integer coefficients. So, given a group G, the structure of its commutator subgroup G' reveals crucial information.

Throughout the following, we shall assume that $n \geq 3$, unless stated otherwise. The braid group on n strands B_n, classically known as Artin's braid group, is a central object of investigation due to their importance in several branches of mathematics, e.g. refer surveys [Par09], [BB05]. Recall that *Artin's braid group* B_n is generated by a set of $(n-1)$ generators: $\{ \sigma_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \}$, satisfying the following set of defining relations that we call as *braid relations*:

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \text{ if } |i-j| > 1;$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}.$$

The commutator subgroup B_n' of B_n is well-known from the work of Gorin and Lin [GL69] who obtained a finite presentation for B_n'. Simpler presentation for B_n' was obtained by Savushkina [Sav93]. Several authors have investigated commutators of larger classes of spherical Artin groups, e.g. [Zin75], [MR], [Ore12].

There have been several generalizations of Artin's braid groups in the literature. Many of these generalized braid groups are of importance in their own rights, e.g. [Bel04],

Date: March 9, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F36; Secondary 20F12, 20F05.

Key words and phrases. commutator subgroup, generalized virtual braid group, singular braid group, finite generation, perfectness.

[BG12], [Dam17], [Ver06], [Ver14]. In this paper, we consider two such families of generalized braid groups and investigate their commutator subgroups. We briefly introduce them below and note our main results. The relationship between several families of braid groups has been discussed in Section 2 and sketched in the diagram (2.1.1).

The geometric notion of singular braids was introduced independently by Baez in [B92] and Birman in [Bi93]. It was shown that such braids form a monoid. It is shown in [FKR98] that the Baez-Birman monoid on n strands is embedded in a group SG_n that is now known as *singular braid group* on n strands. The group SG_n has a presentation that has the same set of generators and defining relations as the monoid-presentation for the Baez-Birman monoid, with the only additional property that the elements are invertible in SG_n. The *singular braid group* SG_n is generated by a set of $2(n-1)$ generators: $\{\ \sigma_i,\ \rho_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\ \}$, where σ_i satisfy the braid relations as above, ρ_i satisfy the commuting relations:

$$\rho_i\rho_j = \rho_j\rho_i, \text{ if } |i-j| > 1; \tag{1.0.1}$$

and in addition there are the following mixed relations among σ_i, ρ_i:

$$\rho_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\rho_{i+1}; \tag{1.0.2}$$

$$\rho_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\rho_i; \tag{1.0.3}$$

$$\sigma_i\rho_j = \rho_j\sigma_i, \text{ if } i = j \text{ or } |i-j| > 1. \tag{1.0.4}$$

Singular braids are related to finite type invariants of knots and links, e.g. [Bel12, Chapter 3] and it is a natural problem to investigate their algebraic and geometric properties to understand these invariants. Investigation of topological properties of singular braids was initiated in [Ver98]. We refer to [Ver06, Ver14, Bel12] for more on singular braid groups and also other generalized braid groups. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let SG_n' denote the commutator subgroup of the singular braid group SG_n.*

(i) *SG_n' is finitely generated for all $n \geq 5$. Further, for $n \geq 5$, the rank of SG_n' is at most $2n-4$.*

(ii) *SG_3' and SG_4' are not finitely generated.*

(iii) *SG_n' is perfect if and only if $n \geq 5$.*

We consider another family of generalized braid groups, that was introduced by Fang in [Fan15]. He constructed this generalization as a group of symmetries behind quantum quasi-shuffle structures. Fang's *generalized virtual braid group* GVB_n is generated by a set of $2(n-1)$ generators: $\{\ \sigma_i,\ \rho_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\ \}$, where σ_i satisfy braid relations, ρ_i also satisfy braid relations, and in addition there are mixed relations (1.0.2), (1.0.3) as above, and

$$\sigma_i\rho_j = \rho_j\sigma_i, \text{ if } |i-j| > 1. \tag{1.0.5}$$

The group GVB_n simultaneously generalizes both Artin's braid group B_n and the virtual braid group VB_n. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let GVB_n' denote the commutator subgroup of the generalized virtual braid group GVB_n.*

(i) *GVB'_n is finitely generated for all $n \geq 4$. Further, for $n \geq 5$, the rank of GVB'_n is at most $3n - 7$.*

(ii) *GVB'_3 is not finitely generated.*

(iii) *GVB'_n is perfect if and only if $n \geq 5$.*

Recall that the virtual braid group VB_n on n strands is a certain generalization of the classical braid group B_n . It was introduced by L. Kauffman in [Kau99], also see [KL04], [BB09]. Virtual braids are subject of curiosity due to their connection with knot invariants, see [FKV05]. Recently commutator subgroups of the virtual braid groups VB_n have been investigated in [BGN18]. Theorem 1.2 shows that algebraically GVB'_n has similar properties as compared to VB'_n, as obtained in [BGN18]. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 shows a contrast between SG'_n and the groups VB'_n and GVB'_n, if we compare finite generation for $n = 4$.

The proofs of the theorems are obtained by application of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. Similar tools have been used in [BGN18] and [DG18] to obtain finite generations of the virtual and the welded braid groups. However, there are certain technical differences between the approaches of this paper and the above ones. We explain it below.

Note that the initial presentation for VB'_n in [BGN18], or WB'_n in [DG18], had generating set indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Due to the presence of the simple order two group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in the index set, the elimination process in [BGN18] or [DG18] was an one-variable process. On the other hand, the initial generating sets for both GVB'_n and SG'_n obtained by the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm are indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, the elimination process of the generators is a two-variable process here and seemingly more complicated. However, as we shall see, this complexity can be handled successfully and we can avoid this technical difficulty to prove the main theorems.

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 may be viewed as the first steps towards understanding of the finiteness properties of SG_n and GVB_n respectively. Using the method used in this paper, we have been unable to produce information about other finiteness properties of GVB_n and SG_n; it would be a curious problem to study the same. We note here that the finiteness properties of VB_n is also unknown, except for an analogous result to Theorem 1.2 in [BGN18]. However, finiteness properties of the welded braid group WB_n have been studied by Zaremsky in [Zar18]. In particular finite presentability of WB'_n follows from Zaremsky's work, though explicit finite presentation for WB'_n has not been obtained so far.

Bardakov, in [Ba04], introduced another generalization of braid groups which he called *universal braid groups* and denoted by UB_n. The group UB_n is generated by a set of $2(n-1)$ generators: $\{ \sigma_i,\ \rho_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \}$, where σ_i satisfy the braid relations, and in addition there are relations (1.0.1), (1.0.5) as defining relations. The speciality of UB_n is that it is an Artin group and there are surjective homomorphisms from UB_n onto all the other generalized braid groups, i.e. GVB_n, SG_n, VB_n and WB_n.

Since commutator subgroup is a verbal subgroup, a surjective homomorphism between two groups restricts to a surjective homomorphism between the commutator subgroups. In view of Theorem 1.1, and the fact that finite generation is quotient-closed property of groups, the following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 1.3. *Commutator subgroups of the universal braid groups UB_3 and UB_4 are not finitely generated.*

The following table summarizes the state of art concerning finite generation, finite presentability and perfectness of the commutator subgroups of different braid groups those are mentioned in this paper.

	Finitely generated	**Perfect**	**Finitely presented**
B'_n	for all n ([GL69])	iff $n \geq 5$ ([GL69])	for all n ([GL69])
VB'_n	iff $n \geq 4$ ([BGN18])	iff $n \geq 5$ ([BGN18])	**?**
WB'_n	for all n ([DG18])	iff $n \geq 5$ ([DG18])	iff $n \geq 4$ ([Zar18])
GVB'_n	iff $n \geq 4$ (Theorem 1.2)	iff $n \geq 5$ (Theorem 1.2)	**?**
SG'_n	iff $n \geq 5$ (Theorem 1.1)	iff $n \geq 5$ (Theorem 1.1)	**?**
UB'_n	Not f.g. for $n = 3, 4$ (Corollary 1.3); for $n \geq 5$ **?**	**?**	**?**

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we recall relationship between several braid groups using their group presentations and briefly describe the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. In Section 3, we compute a set of generators for both GVB'_n and SG'_n. In Section 4, we obtain a presentation for GVB'_n using the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. Using this presentation, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 6, we obtain a presentation for SG'_n by the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm and use that to prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Relationship between SG_n, GVB_n, B_n, VB_n and WB_n.** Let S_n, B_n, VB_n and WB_n denote the symmetric group on n letters, Artin's braid group on n strands, virtual braid group on n strands and welded braid group on n strands, respectively. Recall that the *symmetric group* S_n is generated by a set of $(n-1)$ generators: $\{\ \sigma_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\ \}$, satisfying the following set of defining relations:

$$\sigma_i^2 = 1;$$

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i, \text{ if } |i-j| > 1;$$

$$\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}.$$

The *virtual braid group* VB_n is generated by a set of $2(n-1)$ generators: $\{\ \sigma_i,\ \rho_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\ \}$, where ρ_i satisfy the braid relations, σ_i satisfy the symmetric group relations, and there are the following *mixed defining relations*:

$$\sigma_i\rho_j = \rho_j\sigma_i, \text{ if } |i-j| > 1;$$


$$\rho_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\rho_i.$$

The *welded braid group* WB_n is a quotient of VB_n obtained by including the following defining relations in the above presentation for VB_n:

$$\sigma_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}\rho_i\sigma_{i+1}.$$

The relationship between the groups S_n, B_n, VB_n, WB_n, GVB_n, SG_n and UB_n is given by the following diagram of surjective homomorphisms:

(2.1.1)



where α, β, γ, δ, ω are quotient maps defined by the normal subgroups generated by the words $\{\rho_i\}$, $\{\sigma_i^2\}$, $\{\sigma_i^2\}$, $\{\rho_i\}$, $\{\rho_i\}$ respectively; ζ is the quotient map defined by the normal subgroup generated by the forbidden relators; ξ is the quotient map defined by the normal subgroup generated by the relators in (1.0.2), (1.0.3), and the relators $\{\rho_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\rho_i^{-1}\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\}$; κ is the quotient map defined by the normal subgroup generated by the relators in (1.0.2), (1.0.3), and the relators $\{\sigma_i\rho_i\sigma_i^{-1}\rho_i^{-1}\}$.

Note that the map $\gamma : VB_n \to S_n$ defined above is different from the map from VB_n to S_n considered while defining *pure virtual braid groups*, e.g. in [BB09, Section 5].

2.2. **The Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm.**

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Suppose that, $\langle\ S \mid R\ \rangle$ be a presentation for G. A set Λ consisting of some words in the generators from S is called a *Schreier set of coset representatives* for H in G if
(i) every right coset of H in G contains exactly one word from Λ, and
(ii) for each word in Λ any initial segment of that word is also in Λ.

Theorem 2.2 (Schreier, 1927)**.** *For every $H \leq G$, a Schreier set of coset representatives for H in G exists.*

We describe the Reidemeister-Schreier method as an algorithm, which provides an effective way to deduce a presentation for a subgroup of a group with a given presentation. Let $H \leq G$, and $\langle\ S \mid R\ \rangle$ be a presentation for G. In order to deduce a presentation for H we proceed as follows.

Step 1: Find Λ, a Schreier set of coset representatives for H in G. One may use the Todd-Coxeter algorithm to find such a Schreier set, if $[G : H] < \infty$.

Step 2: Deduce a set of generators $\{\ S_{\lambda,a} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda,\ a \in S\ \}$ for H defined by:

$$S_{\lambda,a} = (\lambda a)(\overline{\lambda a})^{-1},$$

where for any $x \in G$, $\overline{x} \in \Lambda$ denotes the unique element in $\Lambda \cap Hx$.

Step 3: If for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $a \in S$, the words λa and $\overline{\lambda a}$ are freely equal, then collect the relations $S_{\lambda,a} = 1$ as part of the set of defining relations for H.

Step 4: Compute the remaining defining relators $\tau(\lambda\ r_\mu\ \lambda^{-1})$ for H, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and all the defining relators $r_\mu \in R$, where τ, called the *rewriting process*, is defined as

follows. For a word $a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_p}^{\epsilon_p}$ in the generators from S, with $\epsilon_j = 1$ or -1 for $1 \leq j \leq p$,

$$\tau(\ a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}\ \dots\ a_{i_p}^{\epsilon_p}\ ) \ :=\ S_{K_{i_1},a_{i_1}}^{\epsilon_1}\ \dots\ S_{K_{i_p},a_{i_p}}^{\epsilon_p},$$

$$\text{where}\ \ K_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \overline{a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_{j-1}}^{\epsilon_{j-1}}} & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \\ \\ \overline{a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_{i_j}^{\epsilon_j}} & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1. \end{cases}$$

The above algorithm will produce a presentation $\langle\ \overline{S}\ |\ \overline{R}\ \rangle$ for H where we have $\overline{S} = \{\ S_{\lambda,a} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda,\ a \in S\ \}$ and $\overline{R} = \{\ S_{\lambda_t,a_t} \mid \lambda_t \in \Lambda,\ a_t \in S,\ \lambda_t a_t$ freely equal to $\overline{\lambda_t a_t}\ \} \cup \{\ \tau(\lambda\ r_\mu\ \lambda^{-1}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda,\ r_\mu \in R\ \}$.

Refer [MKS04, Theorem 2.9] for detailed explanation and proofs.

3. A set of generators for GVB_n' and SG_n'

Let $G_n = GVB_n$ or SG_n. In order to deduce a set of generators for G_n', we will execute Step 1 and Step 2 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm as described in Section 2.2.

Define the map ϕ:

$$1 \to G_n' \to G_n \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to 1$$

where, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\phi(\sigma_i) = \widetilde{\sigma_1}$, $\phi(\rho_i) = \widetilde{\rho_1}$; here $\widetilde{\sigma_1}$ and $\widetilde{\rho_1}$ are the generators of the 2 copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. Here, Image(ϕ) is isomorphic to the abelianization of G_n, denoted as G_n^{ab}. To verify this, we abelianize the above presentation for G_n by inserting the relations $xy = yx$ in the presentation for all $x, y \in \{\ \sigma_i, \rho_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\ \}$. The resulting presentation is the following:

$$G_n^{ab} = <\ \sigma_1, \rho_1 \mid \sigma_1\rho_1 = \rho_1\sigma_1\ >$$

Clearly, G_n^{ab} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$. But as ϕ is onto, Image(ϕ) $= \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, Image($\phi$) is isomorphic to G_n^{ab}. Hence, ϕ defines the above short exact sequence.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *G_n' is generated by the words $\alpha_{m,k,i} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\sigma_i\rho_1^{-k}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m}$ and $\beta_{m,k,i} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\rho_i\rho_1^{-k}\rho_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m}$, where $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

Proof. Consider the Schreier set of coset representatives for G_n' in G_n:

$$\Lambda = \{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Following the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm, the group G_n' is generated by the set:

$$\{S_{\lambda,a} = (\lambda a)(\overline{\lambda a})^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda,\ a \in \{\sigma_i, \rho_i |\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\}.$$

Choose $\lambda = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k$ from Λ. For $a = \sigma_i$, $S_{\lambda,a} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\sigma_i\rho_1^{-k}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m}$. For $a = \rho_i$, $S_{\lambda,a} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\rho_i\rho_1^{-k}\rho_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m}$. Hence, G_n' is generated by the following elements:

$$\alpha_{m,k,i} = S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_i} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\sigma_i\rho_1^{-k}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m},$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i} = S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_i} = \sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\rho_i\rho_1^{-k}\rho_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-m},$$

where $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. □

4. Generators and Defining Relations for GVB'_n

In order to deduce a set of defining relations for GVB'_n, we will execute Step 3 and Step 4 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm as described in Section 2.2. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *GVB'_n has the following presentation.*

Set of generators:

$$\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{m,j}\mid m,k\in\mathbb{Z},\ 3\leq j\leq n-1\ \}$$

Set of defining relations: for all $m,k\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m,0,1}=1; \tag{4.0.1}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_j\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_j^{-1}\ =1,\ j\geq 3; \tag{4.0.2}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_j\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_j^{-1}\ =1,\ j\geq 4; \tag{4.0.3}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \alpha_j\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_j^{-1}\ =1,\ i,j\geq 3,\ |i-j|>1; \tag{4.0.4}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m,j}\ \beta_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ =1,\ j\geq 4; \tag{4.0.5}$$

$$\beta_{m,i}\ \beta_{m,j}\ \beta_{m,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ =1,\ i,j\geq 3,\ |i-j|>1; \tag{4.0.6}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,1}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ =1,\ j\geq 3; \tag{4.0.7}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ =1,\ j\geq 4; \tag{4.0.8}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \beta_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1,\ i\geq 4; \tag{4.0.9}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ =1,\ i,j\geq 3,\ |i-j|>1; \tag{4.0.10}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.11}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.12}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}=1,\ i\geq 3; \tag{4.0.13}$$

$$\beta_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m,k+2,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.14}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m,3}\ \beta_{m,k+2,2}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.15}$$

$$\beta_{m,i}\ \beta_{m,i+1}\ \beta_{m,i}\ \beta_{m,i+1}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,i+1}^{-1}\ =1,\ i\geq 3; \tag{4.0.16}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,1}\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.17}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,3}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.18}$$

$$\beta_{m,i}\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \beta_{m+2,i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\ =1,\ i\geq 3; \tag{4.0.19}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,1}\ =1; \tag{4.0.20}$$

$$\beta_{m,3}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ =1; \tag{4.0.21}$$

$$\beta_{m,i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{i+1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ =1,\ i\geq 3. \tag{4.0.22}$$

Proof. We execute Step 3 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. We consider all the pairs (λ, a) with $\lambda \in \Lambda = \{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k \mid m,k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, $a\in S=\{\sigma_i,\rho_i \mid i=1,2,\dots,n-1\}$. We need to find the pairs (λ,a) for which λa and $\overline{\lambda a}$ are freely equal.

Note that, $\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\ \sigma_i$ and $\overline{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\ \sigma_i}=\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k$ are freely equal if and only if $k=0$ and $i=1$. Also note that, $\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\ \rho_i$ and $\overline{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\ \rho_i}=\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1}$ are freely equal if and only if $i=1$. Hence, we get the following relations as some of the defining relations for GVB_n'.

$$S_{\sigma_1^m,\sigma_1}=1,\ \text{ i.e. }\ \alpha_{m,0,1}=1;$$
$$S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_1}=1,\ \text{ i.e. }\ \beta_{m,k,1}=1.$$

Now, following Step 4 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm, we calculate the terms $\tau(\lambda r_\mu\lambda^{-1})$ for each $\lambda\in\Lambda$ and for each of the defining relations $r_\mu=1$ of GVB_n, as follows:

$$r_1=\sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_j^{-1}=1,\ |i-j|>1;$$
$$r_2=\rho_i\rho_j\rho_i^{-1}\rho_j^{-1}=1,\ |i-j|>1;$$
$$r_3=\sigma_i\rho_j\sigma_i^{-1}\rho_j^{-1}=1,\ |i-j|>1;$$
$$r_4=\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}=1;$$
$$r_5=\rho_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\rho_i^{-1}\rho_{i+1}^{-1}=1;$$
$$r_6=\rho_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}=1;$$
$$r_7=\rho_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\rho_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}=1.$$

Choose any element $\lambda=\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k\in\Lambda$. We deduce the following.

$$\tau(\lambda r_1\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\sigma_j}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_j};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_2\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\rho_j}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\rho_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_j};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_3\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\rho_j}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_j};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_4\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\sigma_{i+1}}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+2}\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+2}\rho_1^k,\sigma_{i+1}}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_{i+1}};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_5\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\rho_{i+1}}\ S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+2},\rho_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+2},\rho_{i+1}}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\rho_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_{i+1}};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_6\lambda^{-1})=S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^{k+1},\sigma_{i+1}}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^{k+1},\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+2}\rho_1^k,\rho_{i+1}}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+1}\rho_1^k,\sigma_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\sigma_{i+1}};$$

$$\tau(\lambda r_7 \lambda^{-1}) = S_{\sigma_1^m \rho_1^k, \rho_{i+1}}\ S_{\sigma_1^m \rho_1^{k+1}, \sigma_i}\ S_{\sigma_1^{m+1} \rho_1^{k+1}, \sigma_{i+1}}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+2} \rho_1^k, \rho_i}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^{m+1} \rho_1^k, \sigma_{i+1}}\ S^{-1}_{\sigma_1^m \rho_1^k, \sigma_i}.$$

Hence we get the following set of defining relations for GVB'_n.

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1; \tag{4.0.23}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,1} = 1; \tag{4.0.24}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,j}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,j} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{4.0.25}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m,k+1,j}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k+1,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,j} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{4.0.26}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m+1,k,j}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,j} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{4.0.27}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i+1}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,i+1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,i+1} = 1; \tag{4.0.28}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m,k+1,i+1}\ \beta_{m,k+2,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k+2,i+1}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k+1,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,i+1} = 1; \tag{4.0.29}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i+1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,k,i+1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,i+1} = 1; \tag{4.0.30}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i+1}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,i+1}\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,k,i}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,i+1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,i} = 1. \tag{4.0.31}$$

For $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$, (4.0.26) gives the relations:

$$\beta_{m,k,1}\ \beta_{m,k+1,j}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k+1,1}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,j} = 1,\ j \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (4.0.24) and the above relations we get:

$$\beta_{m,k+1,j} = \beta_{m,k,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Iterating the above relations we have:

$$\beta_{m,k,j} = \beta_{m,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.0.32}$$

For $j = 1,\ i \geq 3$, (4.0.27) gives the relations:

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,i}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,1} = 1,\ i \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (4.0.24) and the above relations we get:

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,i} = \alpha_{m,k,i},\ i \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Iterating the above relations we have:

$$\alpha_{m,k,i} = \alpha_{m,0,i},\ i \geq 3,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.0.33}$$

For $k = 0,\ i = 1$, (4.0.25) gives the relations:

$$\alpha_{m,0,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,0,j}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,0,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,0,j} = 1,\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (4.0.23) and the above relations we have:

$$\alpha_{m+1,0,j} = \alpha_{m,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Iterating the above relations we get:

$$\alpha_{m,0,j} = \alpha_{0,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.0.34}$$

(4.0.33) and (4.0.34) together gives:

$$\alpha_{m,k,j} = \alpha_{0,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m,k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.0.35}$$

Using (4.0.35) we replace $\alpha_{m,k,j}$ by $\alpha_{0,0,j}$ for all $(m,k) \neq (0,0)$ and $j \geq 3$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,j}$ with $(m,k) \neq (0,0),\ j \geq 3$, from the set of generators. After this replacement we denote $\alpha_{0,0,j}$ simply by α_j for $j \geq 3$.

Using (4.0.32) we replace $\beta_{m,k,j}$ by $\beta_{m,0,j}$ for all $k \neq 0,\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,j}$ with $k \neq 0,\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, from the set of generators. After this replacement we denote $\beta_{m,0,j}$ simply by $\beta_{m,j}$ for $j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lastly, using (4.0.24) we replace $\beta_{m,k,1}$ by 1, for all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,1}$ from the set of generators.

After incorporating all the replacements and notation changes as described above we get the following set of generators for GVB'_n:

$$\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{m,j} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1\ \},$$

and the following list of defining relations for GVB'_n.

To start with, we have the relations (4.0.1). (same as (4.0.23))

For (4.0.25) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.2).
Case 2: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$; gives the relations: (4.0.3).
Case 3: $i,j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.4).

For (4.0.26) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 2: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$; gives the relations: (4.0.5).
Case 3: $i,j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.6).

For (4.0.27) we have the following 5 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.7).
Case 2: $j = 1,\ i \geq 3$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 3: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$; gives the relations: (4.0.8).
Case 4: $j = 2,\ i \geq 4$; gives the relations: (4.0.9).
Case 5: $i,j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.10).

For (4.0.28) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.11).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (4.0.12).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.13).

For (4.0.29) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.14).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (4.0.15).

Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.16).

For (4.0.30) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.17).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (4.0.18).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.19).

For (4.0.31) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (4.0.20).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (4.0.21).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (4.0.22).

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

5.1. **Infinite generation of GVB'_3.** From Lemma 4.1 we have the following presentation for GVB'_3:

Generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$.

Defining Relations: for all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1; \tag{5.1.1}$$

$$\beta_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k+2,2}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1; \tag{5.1.2}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,1}\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1; \tag{5.1.3}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,1}\ = 1; \tag{5.1.4}$$

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1. \tag{5.1.5}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *GVB'_3 is not finitely generated.*

Proof. From (5.1.4) we have:

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ =\ \alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,1}.$$

Replacing these values of $\beta_{m,k,2}$ in the other relations and removing the generators $\beta_{m,k,2}$ from the set of generators we obtain an equivalent presentation for GVB'_3 as follows:

Generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$;

Defining relations: For all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1; \tag{5.1.6}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+2,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+2,1}\ = \tag{5.1.7}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m,k+2,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+2,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+3,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+3,1};$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+2,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+3,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+3,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2} = 1; \tag{5.1.8}$$

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1. \tag{5.1.9}$$

Now, consider the words $w_{m,k} = \alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}$ in GVB'_3 for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now let's construct the quotient group GVB'_3/W, where $W = \overline{\langle w_{m,k} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle}$, the normal subgroup generated by the words $w_{m,k}$. We obtain a presentation for GVB'_3/W by inserting the relations $\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2} = 1,\ m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the last presentation for GVB'_3; and the obtained presentation for GVB'_3/W is as follows:

Generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$;

Defining relations:

$$\alpha_{m+2,k,1} = \alpha^{-1}_{m-1,k,1}; \tag{5.1.10}$$

$$\alpha^{-1}_{m-1,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,1}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha_{m-1,k,1} = 1; \tag{5.1.11}$$

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1. \tag{5.1.12}$$

Now, we consider the words $v_{m,k} = \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,1}\ \alpha_{m-1,k,1}$ in GVB'_3/W for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. And we consider the quotient group $(GVB'_3/W)/V$, where $V = \overline{\langle v_{m,k} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle}$, the normal subgroup generated by the words $v_{m,k}$. Similar to what we did earlier, we obtain a presentation for $(GVB'_3/W)/V$ by inserting the relations $\alpha_{m+1,k,1} = \alpha_{m-1,k,1}$, $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the above presentation for GVB'_3/W; and the obtained presentation for $(GVB'_3/W)/V$ is as follows:

Generators: $\{\ \alpha_{0,k,1},\ \alpha_{1,k,1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$;

Defining relations:

$$\alpha_{0,0,1} = \alpha_{1,0,1} = 1; \tag{5.1.13}$$

$$\alpha_{1,k,1} = \alpha^{-1}_{0,k,1}. \tag{5.1.14}$$

Clearly, from (5.1.14) we can remove the generators $\alpha_{1,k,1}$ and a free presentation for the group $(GVB'_3/W)/V$ as follows:

$$(GVB'_3/W)/V = \langle\ \alpha_{0,k,1},\ k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\ \rangle.$$

Hence, we have obtained the following quotient maps:

$$GVB'_3 \xrightarrow{\phi} GVB'_3/W \xrightarrow{\psi} (GVB'_3/W)/V \cong \langle\ \alpha_{0,k,1},\ k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\ \rangle \cong F^\infty,$$

which gives us an onto homomorphism $\psi \circ \phi$ from the group GVB'_3 to the free group of infinite rank F^∞. This proves that GVB'_3 is not finitely generated. □

5.2. **Finite generation of GVB_4'.**

Lemma 5.2. *GVB_4' is finitely generated.*

Proof. To prove this lemma, we will apply different Tietze transformations to the presentation for GVB_4' deduced in Lemma 4.1.
From Lemma 4.1 we have the following presentation for GVB_4':

Generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,3} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$.

Defining relations: for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.1}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \beta_{m+1,3}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,1}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.2}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,1}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.3}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.4}$$

$$\beta_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m,k+2,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.5}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m,3}\ \beta_{m,k+2,2}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.6}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,1}\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.7}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,3}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.8}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,1}^{-1} = 1; \tag{5.2.9}$$

$$\beta_{m,3}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1. \tag{5.2.10}$$

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1. \tag{5.2.11}$$

From (5.2.2), putting $k = 1$, we get:

$$\beta_{m+1,3} = \beta_{m,3}\ \alpha_{m,1,1}. \tag{5.2.12}$$

Using the above relation finitely many times we get:

$$\beta_{m,3} = \beta_{0,3}\ \alpha_{0,1,1} \dots \alpha_{m-1,1,1},\ \text{if } m \geq 1; \tag{5.2.13}$$

$$\beta_{m,3} = \beta_{0,3}\ \alpha_{-1,1,1}^{-1}\ \dots\ \alpha_{m,1,1}^{-1},\ \text{if } m \leq -1. \tag{5.2.14}$$

So, we can remove $\beta_{m,3}$, for all $m \neq 0$, from the set of generators by replacing these values in all the other relations.

After the above replacement (5.2.10) becomes:

$$\beta_{0,3}\ \alpha_{0,1,1} \dots \alpha_{m-1,1,1}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1,\ \text{for } m \geq 1; \tag{5.2.15}$$

$$\beta_{0,3}\ \alpha_{-1,1,1}^{-1}\ \dots\ \alpha_{m,1,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1,\ \text{for } m \leq -1. \tag{5.2.16}$$

$$\beta_{0,3}\ \alpha_{0,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{0,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1,\ \text{for } m = 0. \tag{5.2.17}$$

From the above relations it is clear that we can express $\beta_{m,k,2}$ in terms of elements from $\{\ \alpha_{m,1,1},\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{0,3} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$. We remove all $\beta_{m,k,2}$ from the generating set after replacing these values in all other relations.

Next we note that, after the above replacement in (5.2.5), iterating the transformed relation finitely many times we can express each $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ in terms of elements from the set

$$\{\ \alpha_{m,0,2},\ \alpha_{m,1,2},\ \alpha_{m,2,2},\ \alpha_{m,1,1},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{0,3} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}.$$

But using (5.2.4) we can further simplify the expression for $\alpha_{m,k,2}$, and we write $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ in terms of elements from the set

$$\{\ \alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_{0,1,2},\ \alpha_{1,1,2},\ \alpha_{0,2,2},\ \alpha_{1,2,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{0,3},\ \alpha_{m,1,1} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}.$$

Then we replace these values of $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ in other relations and remove $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ from the generating set.

Finally we note that (5.2.1) is still unchanged after all the above replacements. Using this relation we have:

$$\alpha_{m,k,1} = \alpha_3^{-m}\ \alpha_{0,k,1}\ \alpha_3^{m}.$$

We replace these values in all the relations and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,1}$ with $m \neq 0$ from the generating set. Then using the relation (5.2.7) we express all $\alpha_{0,k,1}$ in terms of the elements $\alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_{0,1,2},\ \alpha_{1,1,2},\ \alpha_{0,2,2},\ \alpha_{1,2,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{0,3},\ \alpha_{0,1,1}$ and remove all $\alpha_{0,k,1}$ except $\alpha_{0,0,1}$. But, by (5.2.11), $\alpha_{0,0,1} = 1$, hence is removed from the set of generators.

Hence we have shown that GVB_4' can be generated by the finite set of generators:

$$\{\alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_{0,1,2},\ \alpha_{1,1,2},\ \alpha_{0,2,2},\ \alpha_{1,2,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{0,3},\ \alpha_{0,1,1}\}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

5.3. **Finite generation of GVB_n', $n \geq 5$.**

Lemma 5.3. *GVB_n' has a generating set with $3n - 7$ generators, for all $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. To prove this lemma, we will apply different Tietze transformations to the presentation for GVB_n', for $n \geq 5$, deduced in Lemma 4.1.
From (4.0.17) we get:

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ = \alpha_{m-1,k,1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m-2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m-2,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m-1,k+1,1}.$$

We replace these values of $\beta_{m,k,2}$ in all other defining relations and remove $\beta_{m,k,2}$ from the set of generators.

Note that the above substitution does not change the relation (4.0.8), which gives:

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2} = \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,j},\ j \geq 4.$$

Here we need $n \geq 5$. Choosing $j = 4$, we replace $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ by $\beta_{m,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m,0,2}\ \beta_{m+1,4}^{k}$ in all other relations, and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ with $k \neq 0$ from the generating set.

After this replacement (4.0.12) becomes:

$$\beta_{m,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m,0,2}\ \beta_{m+1,4}^{k}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m+2,0,2}\ \beta_{m+3,4}^{k}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \beta_{m+2,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m+1,0,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m+1,4}^{k}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ = 1.$$

Note that, using the above relation finitely many times, we can express $\alpha_{m,0,2}$ in terms of $\alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{m,4}$. And, we remove all $\alpha_{m,0,2}$ except $\alpha_{0,0,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,0,2}$ from the generating set.

Now look at the relations (4.0.2) and (4.0.7). Note that both the relations are untouched after all the above substitutions. The relation (4.0.7) gives us:

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,1} = \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,1}\ \beta_{m+1,j}$$

Note here that, $\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1$. So for all $j \geq 3$, using the above relation finitely many times we deduce that,

$$\alpha_{m,k,1} = \beta_{m,j}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m,0,1}\ \beta_{m+1,j}^{k} = \beta_{m,j}^{-k}\ \beta_{m+1,j}^{k} \tag{5.3.1}$$

Now, if we put the values of $\alpha_{m,1,1}$ obtained from above relation in (4.0.2) we have:

$$\beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_l\ \beta_{m+2,j}^{-1}\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_l^{-1} = 1, \text{ for any } j,\ l \geq 3. \tag{5.3.2}$$

We remove all $\alpha_{m,k,1}$ from the set of generators by replacing the values of $\alpha_{m,k,1}$ as in (5.3.1) in all the relations.

And finally, for every $j \geq 3$, using (5.3.2) we can express $\beta_{m,j}$ in terms of $\beta_{0,j},\ \beta_{1,j},\ \alpha_j$. So for each $j \geq 3$, we can remove all $\beta_{m,j}$ with $m \neq 0, 1$ from the set of generators.

Hence, we can generate GVB'_n, for all $n \geq 5$, with the finite generating set:

$$\{\ \alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{0,j},\ \beta_{1,j} \mid 3 \leq j \leq n-1\ \}$$

which has $3n - 7$ elements.

Hence, the proof of the lemma is complete. □

5.4. **Perfectness of GVB'_n.**

Lemma 5.4. *GVB'_n is perfect for $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. We abelianize the presentation for GVB'_n as in Lemma 4.1 by inserting the relations of the type $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = 1$ for all x, y in the generating set, and obtain a presentation for $(GVB'_n)^{ab}$. We will now show that $(GVB'_n)^{ab} \cong \langle 1 \rangle$.

In the abelianized presentation we observe the following:

(1) From (4.0.2) we get $\alpha_{m+1,k,1} = \alpha_{m,k,1}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\alpha_{m,k,1} = \alpha_{0,k,1}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) From (4.0.3) we get $\alpha_{m+1,k,2} = \alpha_{m,k,2}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\alpha_{m,k,2} = \alpha_{0,k,2}$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Note that here we need $n \geq 5$.)

(3) From (4.0.11) using the above 2 observations we get: $\alpha_{0,k,1} = \alpha_{0,k,2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(4) From (4.0.12) we get: $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{0,k,2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(5) From (4.0.13) we get $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ for all $3 \leq i \leq n-2$.

(6) From all the above observations we have:

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_{0,0,2} = \alpha_{0,0,1} = 1$$

$$\implies \alpha_{m,k,1} = \alpha_{m,k,2} = \alpha_i = 1, \text{ for all } m, k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

(7) From (4.0.20) and observation (6) we get: $\beta_{m,k,2} = 1$ for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(8) From (4.0.21) and observations (6) and (7) we get: $\beta_{m,3} = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(9) From (4.0.22) we get: $\beta_{m,i+1} = \beta_{m+2,i}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Putting $i = 3$ we get $\beta_{m,4} = \beta_{m+2,3} = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Repeated use of this relation for increasing i's gives us: $\beta_{m,i} = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq i \leq n-1$.

From the above observations we conclude that, in the presentation for $(GVB'_n)^{ab}$ all the generators are equal to 1. So, $(GVB'_n)^{ab} \cong \langle 1 \rangle$. Hence, GVB'_n is perfect for $n \geq 5$. □

Lemma 5.5. *GVB'_3 and GVB'_4 are not perfect.*

Proof. To prove this lemma, we shall use the concept of 'adorability' of a group defined by Roushon in [Rou04]. We recall that a group G is called *adorable* if $G^i/G^{i+1} = 1$ for some i, where $G^i = [G^{i-1}, G^{i-1}]$ and $G^0 = G$ are the terms in the derived series of G. The smallest i for which the above property holds, is called the *degree of adorability* of G, denoted as $doa(G)$.

Let $k = 3$ or 4. Suppose, if possible, GVB'_k is perfect. Then GVB_k is adorable. It follows from (2.1.1), the welded braid group WB_k is a homomorphic image of GVB_k. It follows from [Rou04, Lemma 1.1] that homomorphic image of an adorable group is adorable. But, it is proved in [DG18, Corollary 1.4] that WB_k is not adorable. Hence, GVB_k is not adorable. So, in particular, GVB'_3 and GVB'_4 are not perfect. □

5.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Combining Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.4, and Lemma 5.5, we have the proof of Theorem 1.2.

6. Singular Braid Groups: Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The group SG'_n has the following presentation.*
Set of generators:

$$\{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{m,j} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1\ \}$$

Set of defining relations: for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_j\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_j^{-1} = 1,\ j \geq 4; \tag{6.0.1}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \alpha_j\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_j^{-1} = 1,\ i, j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.2}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m,j}\ \beta_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1} = 1,\ j \geq 4; \tag{6.0.3}$$

$$\beta_{m,i}\ \beta_{m,j}\ \beta_{m,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1} = 1,\ i, j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.4}$$

$$\beta_{m+1,j}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1} = 1,\ j \geq 3; \tag{6.0.5}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ = 1,\ j \geq 4; \tag{6.0.6}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \beta_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1,\ i \geq 4; \tag{6.0.7}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \beta_{m+1,j}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \beta_{m,j}^{-1}\ = 1,\ i,j \geq 3,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.8}$$

$$\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.9}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.10}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} = 1,\ i \geq 3; \tag{6.0.11}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.12}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,3}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.13}$$

$$\beta_{m,i}\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \beta_{m+2,i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\ = 1,\ i \geq 3; \tag{6.0.14}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.15}$$

$$\beta_{m,3}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ = 1; \tag{6.0.16}$$

$$\beta_{m,i+1}\ \alpha_i\ \alpha_{i+1}\ \beta_{m+2,i}^{-1}\ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ = 1,\ i \geq 3; \tag{6.0.17}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1} = 1; \tag{6.0.18}$$

$$\alpha_i\ \beta_{m+1,i}\ \alpha_i^{-1}\ \beta_{m,i}^{-1} = 1. \tag{6.0.19}$$

Proof. We execute Step 3 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm as we did in case of GVB_n' and we get the same relations:

$$S_{\sigma_1^m,\sigma_1} = 1, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \alpha_{m,0,1} = 1;$$
$$S_{\sigma_1^m\rho_1^k,\rho_1} = 1, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \beta_{m,k,1} = 1.$$

Note that SG_n and GVB_n both have the braid relations among σ_i, and the relations (1.0.1), (1.0.2), (1.0.3), (1.0.5) common in their presentations. So, certain defining relations in SG_n' are obtained immediately from the proof of Lemma 4.1. What remains to do is to re-write the defining relations for SG_n which are disjoint from the set of defining relations for GVB_n. In the following we sketch all the computations for completeness.

Following Step 4 of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm, we calculate the terms $\tau(\lambda r_\mu \lambda^{-1})$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for each of the defining relations $r_\mu = 1$ of SG_n, as follows:

$$r_1 = \sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_j^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1;$$
$$r_2 = \rho_i\rho_j\rho_i^{-1}\rho_j^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1;$$
$$r_3 = \sigma_i\rho_j\sigma_i^{-1}\rho_j^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1;$$
$$r_4 = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1} = 1;$$

$$r_6 = \rho_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1} = 1;$$
$$r_7 = \rho_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\rho_i^{-1}\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1} = 1;$$
$$r_8 = \sigma_i\rho_i\sigma_i^{-1}\rho_i^{-1} = 1.$$

Thus we get the following set of defining relations for SG'_n.

$$\alpha_{m,0,1} = 1; \tag{6.0.20}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,1} = 1; \tag{6.0.21}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,j}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,j}^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.22}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m,k+1,j}\ \beta_{m,k+1,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,j}^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.23}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m+1,k,j}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,j}^{-1} = 1,\ |i-j| > 1; \tag{6.0.24}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i+1}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,i}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,i+1}^{-1} = 1; \tag{6.0.25}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i+1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,i}\ \beta_{m+2,k,i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,i+1}^{-1} = 1; \tag{6.0.26}$$

$$\beta_{m,k,i+1}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,i+1}\ \beta_{m+2,k,i}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,i+1}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,i}^{-1} = 1; \tag{6.0.27}$$

$$\alpha_{m,k,i}\ \beta_{m+1,k,i}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,i}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,i}^{-1} = 1. \tag{6.0.28}$$

As we did in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we do similar computations to observe that:

$$\alpha_{m,k,j} = \alpha_{0,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m,k \in \mathbb{Z};$$
$$\beta_{m,k,j} = \beta_{m,0,j},\ j \geq 3,\ m,k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using the above relations, we replace $\alpha_{m,k,j}$ by $\alpha_{0,0,j}$ for all $(m,k) \neq (0,0)$ and $j \geq 3$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,j}$ with $(m,k) \neq (0,0),\ j \geq 3$, from the set of generators. After this replacement we denote $\alpha_{0,0,j}$ simply by α_j for $j \geq 3$.

Similarly, we replace $\beta_{m,k,j}$ by $\beta_{m,0,j}$ for all $k \neq 0,\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,j}$ with $k \neq 0,\ j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, from the set of generators. After this replacement we denote $\beta_{m,0,j}$ simply by $\beta_{m,j}$ for $j \geq 3,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using (6.0.21) we replace $\beta_{m,k,1}$ by 1, for all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,1}$ from the set of generators.

Finally, putting $i = 1$ in (6.0.28) and using (6.0.20) we get: $\alpha_{m,k,1} = 1$ for all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We replace $\alpha_{m,k,1}$ by 1, for all $m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in all the defining relations, and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,1}$ from the set of generators.

After incorporating all the replacements and notation changes as described above we get the following set of generators for SG'_n:

$$\{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{m,j} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1\ \},$$

and the following list of defining relations for SG'_n.

For (6.0.22) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$ or, $j = 1,\ i \geq 3$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 2: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$ or, $j = 2,\ i \geq 4$; gives the relations: (6.0.1).
Case 3: $i, j \geq 3,\ |i - j| > 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.2).

For (6.0.23) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$ or, $j = 1,\ i \geq 3$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 2: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$ or, $j = 2,\ i \geq 4$; gives the relations: (6.0.3).
Case 3: $i, j \geq 3,\ |i - j| > 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.4).

For (6.0.24) we have the following 5 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1,\ j \geq 3$; gives the relations: (6.0.5).
Case 2: $j = 1,\ i \geq 3$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 3: $i = 2,\ j \geq 4$; gives the relations: (6.0.6).
Case 4: $j = 2,\ i \geq 4$; gives the relations: (6.0.7).
Case 5: $i, j \geq 3,\ |i - j| > 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.8).

For (6.0.25) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.9).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (6.0.10).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (6.0.11).

For (6.0.26) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.12).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (6.0.13).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (6.0.14).

For (6.0.27) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives the relations: (6.0.15).
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (6.0.16).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (6.0.17).

For (6.0.28) we have the following 3 possible cases:
Case 1: $i = 1$; gives no nontrivial relation.
Case 2: $i = 2$; gives the relations: (6.0.18).
Case 3: $i \geq 3$; gives the relations: (6.0.19).

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *SG'_n is finitely generated for all $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. To prove this lemma, we will apply different Tietze transformations to the presentation for SG'_n deduced in Lemma 6.1.

From (6.0.7) we get:

$$\beta_{m,k,2} \ = \ \alpha_4^{-m}\ \beta_{0,k,2}\ \alpha_4^m.$$

From (6.0.3) we get:

$$\beta_{m,k,2} \;=\; \beta_{m,4}^{-k}\ \beta_{m,0,2}\ \beta_{m,4}^{k}.$$

Using the above 2 sets of relations we can express each $\beta_{m,k,2}$ in terms of $\beta_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_4,\ \beta_{m,4}$. Note that, here we need $n \geq 5$. We replace these values of $\beta_{m,k,2}$ in all other defining relations and remove all $\beta_{m,k,2}$, except $\beta_{0,0,2}$, from the set of generators.

From (6.0.5) we get: for each $j \geq 3$, $\beta_{m,j} = \beta_{0,j}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We replace $\beta_{m,j}$ by $\beta_{0,j}$ in all other relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,j}$ with $m \neq 0$ from the generating set.

After the above substitution, the relation (6.0.6) gives:

$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2} = \beta_{0,j}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{0,j},\ j \geq 4.$$

Choosing $j = 4$, we replace $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ by $\beta_{0,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m,0,2}\ \beta_{0,4}^{k}$ in all other relations, and remove all $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ with $k \neq 0$ from the generating set.

After this replacement (6.0.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{0,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m+1,0,2}\ \beta_{0,4}^{k}\ \beta_{0,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m+2,0,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{0,4}^{k}\ \beta_{0,4}^{-k}\ \alpha_{m,0,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{0,4}^{k}\ &= 1\\ \iff \alpha_{m+1,0,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,0,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,0,2}^{-1}\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Note that, using the above relation finitely many times, we can express $\alpha_{m,0,2}$ in terms of $\alpha_{0,0,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,0,2}$. And, we remove all $\alpha_{m,0,2}$ except $\alpha_{0,0,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,0,2}$ from the generating set.

Hence, we can generate SG_n', for all $n \geq 5$, with the finite generating set:

$$\{\ \alpha_{0,0,2},\ \alpha_{1,0,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{0,j} \mid 3 \leq j \leq n-1\ \},$$

containing $2n-4$ elements.

Hence, proof of the lemma is complete. □

Now, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *SG_n' is perfect for all $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 5$. We abelianize the presentation for SG_n' as given in Lemma 6.1 by inserting the commuting relations $xy = yx$ into the set of defining relations, for all $x, y \in \{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_j,\ \beta_{m,j} \mid m,k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1\}$. We observe the following.

From (6.0.1) we get: $\alpha_{m+1,k,2} = \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \forall m,k \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \alpha_{m,k,2} = \alpha_{0,k,2},\ \forall m,k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We replace $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ by $\alpha_{0,k,2}$ in all the relations, and we remove all $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ from the set of generators except $\alpha_{0,k,2}$.

From (6.0.10) we get: $\alpha_{0,k,2} = \alpha_3,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
From (6.0.11) we get: $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1}$.
From (6.0.9) we get: $\alpha_{0,k,2} = 1$.

Hence we have $1 = \alpha_{0,k,2} = \alpha_j$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1$. We replace all $\alpha_{0,k,2},\ \alpha_j$ by 1 in all defining relations, and remove them from the set of generators.

From (6.0.3) and (6.0.7) we get: $\beta_{m,k,2} = \beta_{0,0,2},\ \forall m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
From (6.0.5) we get: $\beta_{m+1,j} = \beta_{m,j},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1$.
$$\implies \beta_{m,j} = \beta_{0,j},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z},\ 3 \leq j \leq n-1.$$
Hence, we replace all $\beta_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,j}$ by $\beta_{0,0,2},\ \beta_{0,j}$ respectively, in all defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,j}$ from the set of generators except $\beta_{0,0,2},\ \beta_{0,j}$.

From (6.0.13) we get: $\beta_{0,0,2} = \beta_{0,3}$.
From (6.0.14) we have: $\beta_{0,3} = \beta_{0,4} = \cdots = \beta_{0,n-1}$.
From (6.0.12) we have: $\beta_{0,0,2} = 1$.
So, we have $1 = \beta_{0,0,2} = \beta_{0,j}$ for all $3 \leq j \leq n-1$. Hence, we replace $\beta_{0,0,2},\ \beta_{0,j}$ by 1 in all defining relations, and remove them from the set of generators.

After all these replacements, clearly, we are left with the trivial group. Hence, for $n \geq 5$, $(SG'_n)^{ab} \cong \{1\}$, i.e. SG'_n is perfect. This proves the lemma. □

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *SG'_3/SG''_3 is isomorphic to the free abelian group of infinite rank $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Note that, SG'_3 has the following presentation:

set of generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}$,

set of defining relations: for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1;$$
$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1;$$
$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,2}\ = 1;$$
$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta^{-1}_{m,k,2} = 1.$$

We abelianize the above presentation for SG'_3 by inserting the commuting relations $xy = yx$ into the set of defining relations, for all $x, y \in \{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,k,2} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In the abelianization $(SG'_3)^{ab} = SG'_3/SG''_3$ we observe the following.

We have $\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,2}\ = 1 \iff \beta_{m,k,2} = \alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+1,2}$.
We replace all $\beta_{m,k,2}$ by $\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k+1,2}$ in all the defining relations, and remove all $\beta_{m,k,2}$ from the set of generators. So, apart from all the commuting relations, we get the following defining relations for $(SG'_3)^{ab}$.

$$\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1;$$
$$\alpha_{m+3,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+3,k+1,2} = \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2};$$
$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+1,k,2} = 1.$$

Note that applying the relations: $\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha^{-1}_{m,k,2}\ = 1$, all the other relations above become trivial relations. Hence we get the following presentation for $(SG'_3)^{ab}$:

$\langle\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ m,k\in\mathbb{Z}\mid \alpha_{m+2,k,2}=\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ ,\ [\alpha_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_{m',k',2}]=1,\ \forall m,m',k,k'\in\mathbb{Z}\ \rangle$,

where $[x,y]=x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.

Finally, we note that using the relations $\alpha_{m+2,k,2}=\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}$, for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can express any $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ in terms of $\alpha_{0,k,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,k,2}$. So we remove all $\alpha_{m,k,2}$ with $m\neq 0,1$, from the set of generators after replacing them in terms of $\alpha_{0,k,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,k,2}$ in all the surviving defining relations. And we find that $(SG_3')^{ab}$ has the following presentation:

$$\langle\ \alpha_{0,k,2},\ \alpha_{1,k,2},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}\mid [\alpha_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_{m',k',2}]=1,\ \forall m,m'\in\{0,1\},\ \forall k,k'\in\mathbb{Z}\ \rangle,$$

which is a presentation for the free abelian group of countably infinite rank, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$.

Hence the proof is complete. □

We have the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.5. *SG_3' is not perfect.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.4, we know that SG_3'/SG_3'' is not trivial. Hence $SG_3'\ncong SG_3''$. Hence SG_3' is not perfect. □

Lemma 6.6. *SG_3' is not finitely generated.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.4, it follows that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$ is a quotient group of SG_3'. As $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$ is not finitely generated, it follows that SG_3' is also not finitely generated. □

Lemma 6.7. *SG_4' is not finitely generated, and also not perfect.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.1 we have the following presentation for SG_4':

set of generators: $\{\ \alpha_{m,k,2},\ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{m,k,2},\ \beta_{m,3}\mid m,k\in\mathbb{Z}\ \}$,

set of defining relations: for all $m,k\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\beta_{m+1,3}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\alpha_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+2,k,2}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_3\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \beta_{m+2,3}^{-1}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\beta_{m,k,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k+1,2}\ \alpha_{m+1,k,2}^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\beta_{m,3}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}\ \alpha_3\ \beta_{m+2,k,2}^{-1}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \alpha_{m,k,2}^{-1}\ =1;$$
$$\alpha_{m,k,2}\ \beta_{m+1,k,2}\ \alpha_{m,k+1,2}^{-1}\ \beta_{m,k,2}^{-1}=1;$$
$$\alpha_3\ \beta_{m+1,3}\ \alpha_3^{-1}\ \beta_{m,3}^{-1}=1.$$

Note that, if we replace all α_3 and $\beta_{m,3}$ by 1 in the above presentation, we get exactly same presentation as we have for SG_3'. Hence, SG_3' is isomorphic to the quotient of SG_4'

by the normal subgroup generated by the set $\{ \alpha_3,\ \beta_{m,3} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$.

Using Lemma 6.6 we conclude that SG_4' is not finitely generated.

Using Lemma 6.5 we conclude that SG_4' is not perfect. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. is obtained by combining Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.3, Lemma 6.5, Lemma 6.6, and Lemma 6.7.

References

[B92] John C. Baez. Link invariants of finite type and perturbation theory *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 26(1):43–51, 1992.

[Ba04] Valerij G. Bardakov. The virtual and universal braids *Fund. Math.*, Volume 184, Pages 1–18, 2004.

[BB09] Valerij G. Bardakov and Paolo Bellingeri. Combinatorial properties of virtual braids. *Topology Appl.*, Volume 156, Issue 6, Pages 1071-1082, 2009.

[BB09] Valerij G. Bardakov and Paolo Bellingeri. Combinatorial properties of virtual braids. *Topology Appl.*, Volume 156, Issue 6, Pages 1071-1082, 2009.

[BGN18] Valeriy G. Bardakov, Krishnendu Gongopadhyay, and Mikhail V. Neshchadim. Commutator subgroups of virtual and welded braid groups. *Int J. Algebra Comput.*, Online first, doi: 10.1142/S0218196719500127, 2018.

[BB05] Joan S. Birman and Tara E. Brendle. Braids: a survey. In *Handbook of knot theory*, pages 19–103. Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005.

[Bel04] Paolo Bellingeri. On presentations of surface braid groups. *J. Algebra*, 274(2):543–563, 2004.

[Bel12] Paolo Bellingeri. *Topological generalizations of braid groups: combinatoric properties and applications to knot theory.* Mémoire d'HDR soutenue à Caen le 10 décembre 2012.

[BG12] Paolo Bellingeri and Sylvain Gervais. Surface framed braids. *Geom. Dedicata*, 159:51–69, 2012.

[Bi93] Joan S. Birman. New points of view in knot theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)*, 28(2):253–287, 1993.

[Dam17] Celeste Damiani. A journey through loop braid groups. *Expo. Math.*, 35(3):252–285, 2017.

[DG18] Soumya Dey and Krishnendu Gongopadhyay. Commutator subgroups of welded braid groups. *Topology Appl.*, 237:7–20, 2018.

[Fan15] Xin Fang. Generalized virtual braid groups, quasi-shuffle product and quantum groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):1717–1731, 2015.

[FKV05] Roger Fenn, Louis H. Kauffman, and Vassily O. Manturov, Virtual knot theory—unsolved problems. *Fund. Math.* , 188:293–323, 2005.

[FKR98] Roger Fenn, Ebru Keyman, and Colin Rourke. The singular braid monoid embeds in a group. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, Volume 7, no. 7, 881–892, 1998.

[GL69] E. A. Gorin and V. Ja. Lin. Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients, and certain questions of the algebraic theory of braids. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 78 (120):579–610, 1969.

[Kau99] Louis H. Kauffman. Virtual knot theory. *European J. Combin.*, 20(7):663–690, 1999.

[KL04] Louis H. Kauffman and Sofia Lambropoulou. Virtual braids. *Fund. Math.*, 184:159–186, 2004.

[MKS04] Wilhelm Magnus, Abraham Karrass, and Donald Solitar. *Combinatorial group theory.* Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, second edition, 2004. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations.

[MR] Jamie Mulholland and Dale Rolfsen. Local indicability and commutator subgroups of Artin groups. *ArXiv*, arXiv:math/0606116.

[Ore12] S. Yu. Orevkov. On the commutants of Artin groups. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 442(6):740–742, 2012.

[Par09] Luis Paris. Braid groups and Artin groups. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II*, volume 13 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 389–451. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009.

[Rou04] S. K. Roushon. Topology of 3-manifolds and a class of groups. II. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3)*, 10(Special Issue):467–485, 2004.

[Sav93] A. G. Savushkina. On the commutator subgroup of the braid group. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, (6):11–14, 118 (1994), translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, 48(6): 911, 1993.

[Ver98] V. V. Vershinin. On homological properties of singular braids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* , 350(6): 2431–2455, 1998.

[Ver06] V. V. Vershinin. Braids, their properties and generalizations. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 4*, volume 4 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 427–465. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2006.

[Ver14] V. V. Vershinin. About presentations of braid groups and their generalizations. In *Knots in Poland. III. Part 1*, volume 100 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 235–271. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014.

[Zar18] Matthew C. B. Zaremsky. Symmetric automorphisms of free groups, BNSR-invariants, and finiteness properties. *Michigan Math. J.*, 67(1):133–158, 2018.

[Zin75] V. M. Zinde. Commutants of Artin groups. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 30(5(185)):207–208, 1975.

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Bhopal, Bhopal Bypass Road, Bhauri Bhopal 462 066 Madhya Pradesh, India

E-mail address: soumya.sxccal@gmail.com

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, P. O. Manauli, Punjab 140306, India

E-mail address: krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in, krishnendug@gmail.com