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#### Abstract

Let $n$ be any natural number. The $n$-centered operator is introduced for adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. Based on the characterizations of the polar decomposition for the product of two adjointable operators, $n$-centered operators, centered operators as well as binormal operators are clarified, and some results known for the Hilbert space operators are improved. It is proved that for an adjointable operator $T$, if $T$ is Moore-Penrose invertible and is $n$-centered, then its Moore-Penrose inverse is also $n$-centered. A Hilbert space operator $T$ is constructed such that $T$ is $n$-centered, whereas it fails to be $(n+1)$-centered.


## 1. Introduction

Much progress has been made in the study of the polar decomposition and its applications both for Hilbert space operators [5, 7, 8, 9, 19], and for adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules $[4,6,13,18,20]$. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathbb{B}(H)$ be the set of bounded linear operators on $H$. For any $T \in \mathbb{B}(H)$, let $T=U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of $T$. One application of the polar decomposition is the study of the centered operator, which was introduced by Morrel and Muhly in [16]. When $T$ is a centered operator, some characterizations of $\left|T^{n}\right|$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ were carried out in [16] and through which it was proved therein that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{n}=U^{n}\left|T^{n}\right| \text { is the polar decomposition for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By clarifying the polar decomposition for the product of two operators, the authors in [11] showed that (1.1) is also sufficient for a Hilbert space operator to be centered. Among other things, many other equivalent conditions for the centered operators were established in [10] for Hilbert space operators. These equivalent conditions were inspected for Hilbert $C^{*}$-module operators in our recent paper [15] and they are proved to be also true for an adjointable operator whenever its polar decomposition is guaranteed.

Another application of the polar decomposition is the study of the binormal operator (a kind of operators more general than the centered operators), which was introduced by Campbell in [2] and was studied in many literatures; see [3, 10] for example. The purpose of this paper is, in the general setting of adjointable

[^0]operators on $C^{*}$-modules, to give a new insight into (1.1) and give some new characterizations of the binormal operators.

Let $n$ be any natural number. The $n$-centered operator is introduced in this paper for adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. Based on the characterizations of the polar decomposition for the product of two adjointable operators, $n$-centered operators, centered operators as well as binormal operators are clarified. As a result, the main results of [11] are improved in the general setting of adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules; see Remarks 3.2, 3.4 and 3.6, and Corollary 4.5. Also, some new characterizations of the binormal operators are provided with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$; see Theorem 4.14 and Remark 4.15.

In addition for any natural number $n$, it is proved in this paper that for an adjointable operator $T$, if $T$ is Moore-Penrose invertible and is $n$-centered, then its Moore-Penrose inverse is also $n$-centered. Furthermore, a Hilbert space operator $T$ is constructed such that $T$ is $n$-centered, whereas it fails to be $(n+1)$-centered.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic knowledge about Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, and provide some elementary results about the commutativity, the range closures as well as the polar decomposition for adjointable operators. In Section 3, we study the polar decomposition for the product of two adjointable operators. The $n$-centered operators, centered operators and binormal operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules are studied in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the Moore-Penrose inverse of $n$-centered operators. In the last section, we focus on the construction of a Hilbert space operator $T$ such that $T$ is $n$-centered, whereas it is not $(n+1)$-centered.

## 2. Some preliminaries

Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces by allowing inner products to take values in some $C^{*}$-algebras instead of the complex field. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. An inner-product $\mathfrak{A}$-module is a linear space $E$ which is a right $\mathfrak{A}$-module, together with a map $(x, y) \rightarrow\langle x, y\rangle: E \times E \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that for any $x, y, z \in E, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, the following conditions hold:
(i) $\langle x, \alpha y+\beta z\rangle=\alpha\langle x, y\rangle+\beta\langle x, z\rangle$;
(ii) $\langle x, y a\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle a$;
(iii) $\langle y, x\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle^{*}$;
(iv) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle x, x\rangle=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=0$.

An inner-product $\mathfrak{A}$-module $E$ which is complete with respect to the induced norm $(\|x\|=\sqrt{\|\langle x, x\rangle\|}$ for $x \in E)$ is called a (right) Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module.

Suppose that $H$ and $K$ are two Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, let $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be the set of operators $T: H \rightarrow K$ for which there is an operator $T^{*}: K \rightarrow H$ such that

$$
\langle T x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle \text { for any } x \in H \text { and } y \in K
$$

We call $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ the set of adjointable operators from $H$ to $K$. For any $T \in$ $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$, the range and the null space of $T$ are denoted by $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$, respectively. In case $H=K, \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ which is abbreviated to $\mathcal{L}(H)$, is a $C^{*}$ algebra. Let $\mathcal{L}(H)_{s a}$ and $\mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$be the set of self-adjoint elements and positive
elements in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, respectively. The notation $T \geq 0$ is also used to indicate that $T$ is an element of $\mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. The set of positive elements of $\mathfrak{B}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{B}_{+}$. For any $a, b \in \mathfrak{B}$, let $[a, b]=a b-b a$ be the commutator of $a$ and $b$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $a$ and $b$ be two elements in a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$.
(i) If $a=a^{*}$ and $[a, b]=0$, then $[f(a), b]=0$ whenever $f$ is a continuous complex-valued function on the interval $[-\|a\|,\|a\|]$;
(ii) If $a, b \in \mathfrak{B}_{+}$, then $a b \in \mathfrak{B}_{+}$if and only if $[a, b]=0$.

Proof. (i) A proof can be found in [15, Proposition 2.3].
(ii) If $a b \in \mathfrak{B}_{+}$, then $a b=(a b)^{*}=b a$, hence $[a, b]=0$. Conversely, if $[a, b]=0$, then by (i) we have $a b=a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} b\right)=a^{\frac{1}{2}} b a^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathfrak{B}_{+}$.

Throughout the rest of this paper, $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra, $E, H$ and $K$ are Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-modules.

Definition 2.3. A closed submodule $M$ of $H$ is said to be orthogonally complemented if $H=M \dot{+} M^{\perp}$, where

$$
M^{\perp}=\{x \in H:\langle x, y\rangle=0 \text { for any } y \in M\}
$$

In this case, the projection from $H$ onto $M$ is denoted by $P_{M}$.
An elementary result on the commutativity of adjointable operators is as follows:

Lemma 2.4. [15, Propositions 2.4 and 2.6] Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$be such that $[S, T]=0$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $\left[S, T^{\alpha}\right]=0$ for any $\alpha>0$;
(ii) If in addition $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ is orthogonally complemented, then $\left[S, P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T)}\right]=0$.

A direct application of Lemma 2.4 (ii) is as follows:
Lemma 2.5. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$be such that both $\overline{\mathcal{R}(S)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ are orthogonally complemented. If $[S, T]=0$, then

$$
\left[S, P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T)}\right]=\left[T, P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(S)}\right]=\left[P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(S)}, P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T)}\right]=0
$$

Next, we state two results about the range closures of adjointable operators.
Lemma 2.6. [15, Proposition 2.7] Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(E, H)$ be such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(C)}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A B)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(A C)}$.
Lemma 2.7. ([14, Proposition 3.7] and [15, Proposition 2.9]) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.
(i) Then $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*} T\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T T^{*}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$;
(ii) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{\alpha}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ for any $\alpha>0$.

Definition 2.8. An element $U$ of $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is said to be a partial isometry if $U^{*} U$ is a projection in $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

Lemma 2.9. [15, Proposition 3.2] Let $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be a partial isometry. Then $U^{*}$ is also a partial isometry such that $U U^{*} U=U$.

For any $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, let $|T|$ denote the square root of $T^{*} T$. That is, $|T|=$ $\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\left|T^{*}\right|=\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Definition 2.10. [15, Definition 3.10] The polar decomposition of $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ can be characterized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=U|T| \text { and } U^{*} U=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}\left(T^{*}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is a partial isometry.
Lemma 2.11. [15, Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.8] Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:
(i) $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ are both orthogonally complemented;
(ii) There exists $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=U|T| \text { and } U^{*} U=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each case, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{*} & =U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right| \text { and } U U^{*}=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T)}  \tag{2.3}\\
\left|T^{*}\right| & =U|T| U^{*} \text { and } U|T|=\left|T^{*}\right| U \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.12. It follows from Lemma 2.11 and [15, Lemma 3.9] that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ has the (unique) polar decomposition if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ are both orthogonally complemented, and in this case $T^{*}=U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|$ is the polar decomposition of $T^{*}$.

## 3. The polar decomposition for the product of two operators

In this section, we study the polar decomposition for the product of two adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.
Lemma 3.1. (cf. [11, Theorem 2.1]) Let $T=U|T|$ and $S=V|S|$ be the polar decompositions of $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, respectively. Then $T S$ has the polar decomposition if and only if $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|$ has the polar decomposition.
Proof." "": Suppose $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|$ has the polar decomposition $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|=W_{1}| | T| | S^{*}| |$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=U W_{1} V|T S| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the polar decomposition of $T S$.
First, we show that $X=U W_{1} V$ is a partial isometry. By (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{1}^{*} W_{1} & =P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(\left|S^{*}\right||T|\right)}} \leq P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(S)}}=V V^{*} \\
W_{1} W_{1}^{*} & =P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(|T|\left|S^{*}\right|\right)}} \leq P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)}}=U^{*} U .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $U^{*} U W_{1}=W_{1}$ and $V V^{*} W_{1}^{*} W_{1}=W_{1}^{*} W_{1}$. It follows that

$$
X^{*} X=V^{*} W_{1}^{*} W_{1} V \text { and }\left(X^{*} X\right)^{2}=X^{*} X
$$

hence $X^{*} X$ is a projection, i.e., $X$ is a partial isometry.

Next, we prove that equation (3.1) is true. Note that $V|S|=S$, so we have

$$
\left\|T\left|\left|S^{*} \|^{2}=\left|S^{*}\right|\right| T\right|^{2}\left|S^{*}\right|=V|S| V^{*} \cdot|T|^{2} \cdot V|S| V^{*}=V \cdot|T S|^{2} \cdot V^{*}\right.
$$

which means by $V^{*} V=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(S^{*}\right)}}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\| S^{*} \|=V \cdot|T S| \cdot V^{*}, \text { hence } V \cdot|T S|=||T|| S^{*}| | \cdot V \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
U W_{1} V|T S|=U \cdot W_{1}| | T| | S^{*}| | \cdot V=U|T| \cdot\left|S^{*}\right| V=T S
$$

Finally, we prove that $X^{*} X=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(|T S|)}}$. In fact, from (3.2) we can obtain

$$
|T S|=V^{*} \cdot| | T| | S^{*}| | \cdot V=\left[V^{*}| | T| | S^{*} \|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \cdot\left[V^{*}| | T| | S^{*} \|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{*},
$$

hence by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{R}(|T S|)} & =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(\left.V^{*}| | T| | S^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V^{*}| | T| | S^{*}| |\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V^{*} W_{1}^{*} W_{1}\right)} \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V^{*} W_{1}^{*} W_{1} V V^{*}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V^{*} W_{1}^{*} W_{1} V\right)}=\mathcal{R}\left(X^{*} X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$" \Longrightarrow "$ : Suppose that $T S$ has the polar decomposition $T S=W_{2}|T S|$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T|\left|S^{*}\right|=U^{*} W_{2} V^{*}| | T\left|S^{*}\right| \mid \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the polar decomposition of $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|$.
First, we show that $Y=U^{*} W_{2} V^{*}$ is a partial isometry. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{2}^{*} W_{2}=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}\left(S^{*} T^{*}\right)} \leq P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(S^{*}\right)}}=V^{*} V \\
& W_{2} W_{2}^{*}=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T S)} \leq P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(T)}=U U^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $Y^{*} Y$ is a projection as illustrated before.
Next, we prove that equation (3.3) is true. Taking *-operation, by (3.2) we get

$$
|T S| V^{*}=V^{*}| | T| | S^{*}| |
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{*} W_{2} V^{*}| | T| | S^{*}| | & =U^{*} \cdot W_{2}|T S| \cdot V^{*}=U^{*} T \cdot S V^{*} \\
& =U^{*} U|T| \cdot\left|S^{*}\right| V V^{*}=|T|\left|S^{*}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we prove that $Y^{*} Y=P \overline{\mathcal{R}\left(| | T| | S^{*}| |\right)}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(\left\|T\left|\mid S^{*} \|\right)\right.\right.} & =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V|T S| V^{*}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V|T S|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(V|T S|)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V W_{2}^{*} W_{2}\right)} \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V W_{2}^{*} W_{2} V^{*} V\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(V W_{2}^{*} W_{2} V^{*}\right)}=\mathcal{R}\left(Y^{*} Y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.2. The preceding lemma was established in [11, Theorem 2.1] for Hilbert space operators, where only sufficiency was considered. An interpretation of this lemma can be made by using block matrices as follows:

Suppose that $T=U|T|$ and $S=V|S|$ are the polar decompositions. Let $X, Y, Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in \mathcal{L}(H \oplus H)$ be defined respectively by

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T & 0 \\
0 & S^{*}
\end{array}\right), Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S & 0 \\
0 & T^{*}
\end{array}\right), Z_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
U^{*} & 0 \\
0 & V
\end{array}\right) \text { and } Z_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
V^{*} & 0 \\
0 & U
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using equations $U^{*} T=|T|$ and $S V^{*}=\left|S^{*}\right|$, we get

$$
X Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T S & 0 \\
0 & S^{*} T^{*}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } Z_{1} X Y Z_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
|T|\left|S^{*}\right| & 0 \\
0 & \left|S^{*}\right||T|
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.11 that $T S$ has the polar decomposition $\Longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}(X Y)}$ is orthogonally complemented, and $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|$ has the polar decomposition $\Longleftrightarrow$ $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(Z_{1} X Y Z_{2}\right)}$ is orthogonally complemented. It is easy to verify that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(X Y)}$ is orthogonally complemented $\Longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}\left(Z_{1} X Y Z_{2}\right)}$ is orthogonally complemented.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that both $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}$ are orthogonally complemented. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) If $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$and $A B=B A$, then $A B=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(B)}|A B|$ is the polar decomposition;
(ii) If $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{\text {sa }}$ and there exist two projections $p, q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $A B=p q|A B|$ is the polar decomposition, then $A B=B A$.

Proof. (i) For simplicity, we put $p=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ and $q=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}(B)}$. Then $A B \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.2 (ii) and thus $|A B|=A B$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.4 (ii) we have

$$
[A, q]=[p, B]=[p, q]=0
$$

hence $p q$ is a projection. By Lemma 2.6 we have

$$
\overline{\mathcal{R}\left((A B)^{*}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(B A)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(B p)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(p B)}=\mathcal{R}(p q),
$$

therefore $p q|A B|=p q A B=A B$. Thus, we conclude from (2.1) that $A B=$ $p q|A B|$ is the polar decomposition of $A B$.
(ii) By assumption, $q p q=(p q)^{*} p q$ is a projection, hence $q p q=(q p q)^{2}=q p q p q$. It follows that $(q p q-q p)(q p q-q p)^{*}=0$, and thus

$$
q p=q p q=(q p q)^{*}=(q p)^{*}=p q
$$

which means that $p q$ is a projection. So $p q=(p q)^{*}(p q)=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(|A B|)}}$, hence

$$
A B=p q|A B|=|A B| \geq 0
$$

therefore $A B=(A B)^{*}=B^{*} A^{*}=B A$.
Remark 3.4. The special case of Lemma 3.3 (ii) above was considered in [11, Lemma 2.4], where $H$ is a Hilbert space, $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{+}$are arbitrary, whereas $p, q$ are restricted to be $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}$ and $q=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)}}$, respectively.

We state the main result of this section as follows:
Theorem 3.5. Let $T=U|T|$ and $S=V|S|$ be the polar decompositions of $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|=\left|S^{*}\right||T|$;
(ii) $T S=U V|T S|$ is the polar decomposition;
(iii) $T S=U V|T S|$.

Proof."(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii)": By Lemma 3.3 (i), $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|$ has the polar decomposition as

$$
|T|\left|S^{*}\right|=\left(U^{*} U V V^{*}\right)| | T| | S^{*}| | .
$$

Therefore, by (3.1) we know that

$$
T S=U\left(U^{*} U V V^{*}\right) V|T S|=U V|T S|
$$

is the polar decomposition of $T S$.
"(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii)" is obvious.
"(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i)": According to (3.2), we have

$$
U|T| \cdot\left|S^{*}\right| V=T S=U V|T S|=U| | T| | S^{*}| | \cdot V
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U Y V=U|Y| V, \text { where } Y=|T|\left|S^{*}\right| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $U^{*} U|T|=|T|,\left|S^{*}\right| V V^{*}=\left|S^{*}\right|$ and $|Y| V V^{*}=|Y|$, so from (3.4) we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=U^{*} U Y V V^{*}=U^{*} U|Y| V V^{*}=U^{*} U|Y| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $|Y|^{2}=|Y| U^{*} U|Y|$ and thus $|Y|\left(I-U^{*} U\right)|Y|=0$, therefore ( $I-$ $\left.U^{*} U\right)|Y|=0$. This together with (3.5) yields $Y=|Y| \geq 0$, hence $|T|\left|S^{*}\right|=$ $\left|S^{*}\right||T|$ by Lemma 2.2 (ii).

Remark 3.6. The equivalence of Theorem 3.5 (i) and (ii) was originally stated in [11, Theorem 2.3] for Hilbert space operators, whereas item (iii) of this theorem is newly added and is applied to the proof of Theorem 4.14 below.

## 4. n-centered operators, centered operators and binormal operators

In this section, we study $n$-centered operators, centered operators and binormal operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, respectively. First, we introduce the term of the $n$-centered operator as follows:
Definition 4.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then $T$ is said to be $n$-centered for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$
T^{k}=U^{k}\left|T^{k}\right| \text { is the polar decomposition for } k=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

To study $n$-centered operators, we need a lemma as follows:
Lemma 4.2. [15, Lemma 4.2] Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=$ $U|T|$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},\left|T^{l}\right|\right]=0 \quad \text { for any } k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text { with } k+l \leq n+1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\left[U^{s}|T|\left(U^{s}\right)^{*},\left|T^{t}\right|\right]=0$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s+t=n+2$;
(ii) $\left[U^{s}|T|\left(U^{s}\right)^{*},\left|T^{t}\right|\right]=0$ for any $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s+t=n+2$.

A characterization of $n$-centered operators is as follows:
Theorem 4.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered;
(ii) $\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},|T|\right]=0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. "(i) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{ii})$ ": Suppose that $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered. Then by Definition 4.1 $T^{k} \cdot T=U^{k} \cdot U\left|T^{k} \cdot T\right|$ is the polar decomposition of $T^{k} \cdot T$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then the equivalence of Theorem 3.5 (i) and (ii) indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U|T| U^{*},\left|T^{k}\right|\right]=\left[\left|T^{*}\right|,\left|T^{k}\right|\right]=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to item (ii) of this theorem by repeating use Lemma 4.2 for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$.
"(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i)": Suppose that $\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},|T|\right]=0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then (4.2) is satisfied by repeating use Lemma 4.2. Therefore, $T$ is an $n$-centered operator by Theorem 3.5.

Definition 4.4. [16] An element $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be centered if the following sequence

$$
\cdots, T^{3}\left(T^{3}\right)^{*}, T^{2}\left(T^{2}\right)^{*}, T T^{*}, T^{*} T,\left(T^{2}\right)^{*} T^{2},\left(T^{3}\right)^{*} T^{3}, \cdots
$$

consists of mutually commuting operators.
A direct application of Theorem 4.3 and [15, Theorem 4.6] yields the following corollary:

Corollary 4.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $T$ is a centered operator;
(ii) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}, T^{k}=U^{k}\left|T^{k}\right|$ is the polar decomposition;
(iii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}, T$ is an $n$-centered operator.

Remark 4.6. The implication $(\mathrm{i}) \Longrightarrow$ (ii) of the preceding corollary was first given by Morrel and Muhly in [16, Theorem I] for Hilbert space operators. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was later proved in [11, Theorem 3.2] for Hilbert space operators.

Next, we introduce the notations of $P_{n}(T)$ and $P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ as follows:
Definition 4.7. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{n}(T)$ and $P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(T)=U^{n}\left(U^{n}\right)^{*} \text { and } P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)=\left(U^{n}\right)^{*} U^{n} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is mentionable that if $T^{n}=U^{n}\left|T^{n}\right|$ is the polar decomposition, then both $P_{n}(T)$ and $P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ are projections. With the notations of (4.3), a characterization of the partial isometry can be given as follows:

Lemma 4.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $U^{n}$ is a partial isometry. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right), U U^{*}\right]=0$;
(ii) $U^{n+1}$ is a partial isometry;
(iii) $\left[P_{n}(T), U^{*} U\right]=0$,
where $P_{n}(T)$ and $P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ are defined by (4.3).

Proof. "(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii)": Assume that $\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right), U U^{*}\right]=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)^{2} & =U^{*} \cdot P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) U U^{*} \cdot P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) U \\
& =U^{*} \cdot U U^{*} P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \cdot P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) U \\
& =U^{*} P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) U=P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)$ is a projection, hence $U^{n+1}$ is a partial isometry.
"(ii) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{i}) "$ : Assume that $P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)$ is a projection. For simplicity, we put $p=P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ and $q=U U^{*}$. Then the equation $P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)=\left(P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)^{2}$ turns out to be $U^{*} p U=U^{*} p q p U$. Therefore,

$$
q p q=U U^{*} \cdot p \cdot U U^{*}=U \cdot U^{*} p q p U \cdot U^{*}=q p q p q
$$

which leads to $[p, q]=0$ as illustrated in the proof of Lemma 3.3 (ii). The proof of $($ i $) \Longleftrightarrow$ (ii) is then finished.
"(ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii)": Since $U^{n}$ is a partial isometry, we know from Lemma 2.9 that $\left(U^{*}\right)^{n}=\left(U^{n}\right)^{*}$ is also a partial isometry. Note also that the polar decomposition of $T^{*}$ is given by (2.3), so if we replace the pair $(T, U)$ with $\left(T^{*}, U^{*}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{n+1} \text { is a partial isometry } & \Longleftrightarrow\left(U^{*}\right)^{n+1} \text { is a partial isometry } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[P_{n}(T), U^{*} U\right]=0 \text { by }(\mathrm{i}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{ii})
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows of this section, we study binormal operators. To this end, a lemma is stated as follows:

Lemma 4.9. [15, Lemma 3.12] Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then for any $\alpha>0$, the following statements are valid:
(i) $U|T|^{\alpha} U^{*}=\left(U|T| U^{*}\right)^{\alpha}=\left|T^{*}\right|^{\alpha}$;
(ii) $U|T|^{\alpha}=\left|T^{*}\right|^{\alpha} U$;
(iii) $U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\alpha} U=\left(U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right| U\right)^{\alpha}=|T|^{\alpha}$.

Definition 4.10. [2] An element $T$ of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be binormal if $T^{*} T$ and $T T^{*}$ are commutative, that is, $\left[T^{*} T, T T^{*}\right]=0$.

Remark 4.11. Binormal operators are also known as weakly centered operators in [17]. It follows from Lemma 2.4 (i) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \text { is binormal } \Longleftrightarrow\left[|T|^{\alpha},\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}\right]=0, \forall \alpha>0, \forall \beta>0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in Definition 4.10 there is no demanding on the existence of the polar decomposition, in the case that $T$ has the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$, then $\left|T^{*}\right|=U|T| U^{*}$, hence Theorem 4.3 indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \text { is binormal } \Longleftrightarrow T \text { is } 2 \text {-centered. } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, suppose that $T$ is binormal and meanwhile $T$ has the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then by (4.4), Lemma 2.7 (ii) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[|T|^{\alpha}, U U^{*}\right]=\left[U^{*} U,\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}\right]=\left[U^{*} U, U U^{*}\right]=0, \forall \alpha>0, \forall \beta>0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[U|T|^{\alpha} U^{*},|T|^{\beta}\right]=\left[U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U,|T|^{\beta}\right]=0, \forall \alpha>0, \forall \beta>0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, from Lemma 4.9 (i) and (4.4), we can get

$$
\left[U|T|^{\alpha} U^{*},|T|^{\beta}\right]=\left[\left|T^{*}\right|^{\alpha},|T|^{\beta}\right]=0
$$

which gives $\left[U|T|^{\beta} U^{*},|T|^{\alpha}\right]=0$ by exchanging $\alpha$ and $\beta$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U \cdot|T|^{\beta} & =U^{*}\left(|T|^{\alpha} \cdot U|T|^{\beta} U^{*}\right) U=U^{*}\left(U|T|^{\beta} U^{*} \cdot|T|^{\alpha}\right) U \\
& =|T|^{\beta} \cdot U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.12. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{U}=U^{*} U^{2} \text { and } T_{\alpha, \beta}=|T|^{\alpha} U|T|^{\beta} \text { for any } \alpha>0, \beta>0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.13. Much attention has been paid to the case of $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ in the literatures, where $T_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ is known as the Aluthge transformation of $T$ [1].

Let $P_{k}(T)$ and $P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right)$ be defined by (4.3) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Direct computation yields that for each $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{U}^{n}=U^{*} U^{n+1},\left(\widetilde{U}^{n}\right)^{*} \widetilde{U}^{n}=P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right), \widetilde{U}^{n}\left(\widetilde{U}^{n}\right)^{*}=U^{*} U \cdot P_{n}(T) \cdot U^{*} U \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{U} \text { is a partial isometry } & \Longleftrightarrow P_{2}\left(T^{*}\right) \text { is a projection } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow U^{2} \text { is a partial isometry } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[U U^{*}, U^{*} U\right]=0 \text { by Lemma } 4.8
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $T$ is binormal, then $\widetilde{U}$ is a partial isometry.
We give some characterizations of the binormality as follows:
Theorem 4.14. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Let $\widetilde{U}$ and $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ be defined by (4.8) for $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $T^{2}=U^{2}\left|T^{2}\right|$ is the polar decomposition;
(ii) $T$ is binormal;
(iii) $\forall \alpha>0, \forall \beta>0, T_{\alpha, \beta}=\widetilde{U}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|$ is the polar decomposition;
(iv) $\forall \alpha>0, \forall \beta>0, T_{\alpha, \beta}=\widetilde{U}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|$;
(v) There exist $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ such that $T_{\alpha, \beta}=\widetilde{U}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|$.

In each case for any $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|=U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U \cdot|T|^{\beta}=|T|^{\beta} \cdot U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U  \tag{4.10}\\
& \left|T_{\alpha, \beta}^{*}\right|=|T|^{\alpha} \cdot\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}=\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta} \cdot|T|^{\alpha} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. "(i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii)": Item (i) of this theorem is true $\Longleftrightarrow T$ is 2-centered $\Longleftrightarrow T$ is binormal by (4.5).
"(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii)": Given any $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$, put

$$
A=|T|^{\alpha} \text { and } B=U|T|^{\beta}
$$

Since $|A|=A=|T|^{\alpha}$, by (2.1) and Lemma 2.7 we know that
$A=U^{*} U|A|$ is the polar decomposition.

Also, $B^{*} B=|T|^{\beta} U^{*} U|T|^{\beta}=|T|^{2 \beta}$, which gives $|B|=|T|^{\beta}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=U|B| \text { is the polar decomposition. } \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.9 (i), we have

$$
B B^{*}=U|T|^{2 \beta} U^{*}=\left(U|T| U^{*}\right)^{2 \beta}=\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 \beta}
$$

and thus $\left|B^{*}\right|=\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}$. It follows that

$$
\left[|A|,\left|B^{*}\right|\right]=\left[|T|^{\alpha},\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}\right]=0 \text { by }(4.4)
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.5 we conclude that

$$
T_{\alpha, \beta}=A B=\left(U^{*} U\right) U|A B|=\widetilde{U}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right| \text { is the polar decomposition. }
$$

"(iii) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{iv}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ " is clear.
"(v) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{ii}) ":$ Let $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ be such that $T_{\alpha, \beta}=\widetilde{U}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|$. Then from the proof of (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) above and the implication (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 3.5, we conclude that $\left[|T|^{\alpha},\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}\right]=0$, which in turn leads to $\left[|T|,\left|T^{*}\right|\right]=0$ by Lemma 2.4 (i) since $|T|=\left(|T|^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and $\left|T^{*}\right|=\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. Therefore, $T$ is binormal. This completes the proof of the equivalence of (i)-(v).

Assume now that $T$ is binormal. Then by (4.6),

$$
|T|^{\alpha} U|T|^{\beta}=|T|^{\alpha}\left(U U^{*}\right) \cdot U|T|^{\beta}=\left(U U^{*}\right)|T|^{\alpha} \cdot U|T|^{\beta},
$$

which is combined with (4.8) and (4.7) to get

$$
\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right|=\left(|T|^{\beta} U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} \cdot U U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U|T|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U \cdot|T|^{\beta}=|T|^{\beta} \cdot U^{*}|T|^{\alpha} U
$$

Finally, from (4.8) and Lemma 4.9 (i) we obtain

$$
\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}^{*}\right|=\left(|T|^{\alpha} U|T|^{\beta} U^{*} \cdot U|T|^{\beta} U^{*}|T|^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=|T|^{\alpha} \cdot U|T|^{\beta} U^{*}=|T|^{\alpha} \cdot\left|T^{*}\right|^{\beta}
$$

Remark 4.15. Putting $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 4.14, the binormality of an operator can be characterized in terms of its Aluthge transformation as did in [10].

## 5. The Moore-Penrose inverse of $n$-centered operators

In this section, we study the Moore-Penrose inverse of $n$-centered operators in the general setting of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.

Lemma 5.1. (cf. [14, Theorem 3.2] and [22, Remark 1.1]) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$. Then the closedness of any one of the following sets implies the closedness of the remaining three sets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}(T), \mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right), \mathcal{R}\left(T T^{*}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{R}\left(T^{*} T\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed, then $\mathcal{R}(T)=\mathcal{R}\left(T T^{*}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*} T\right)$.
Definition 5.2. [22] The Moore-Penrose inverse $T^{\dagger}$ of $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ (if it exists) is the unique element $X \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T X T=T, X T X=X,(T X)^{*}=T X \text { and }(X T)^{*}=X T \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is called M-P invertible if $T^{\dagger}$ exists, which is exactly the case that $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed [22, Theorem 2.2]; or equivalently by Lemma 5.1, one of the four ranges in (5.1) is closed. When $T$ is M-P invertible, we know from [21, Section 1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger},\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger} T^{\dagger}, \mathcal{R}\left(T^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(T^{*}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{N}\left(T^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(T^{*}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If furthermore $T \geq 0$, then $T^{\dagger} \geq 0$ and $\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\dagger}$, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{*}=T^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } T^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\dagger} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on observations above, two auxiliary lemmas are provided as follows:
Lemma 5.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{\text {sa }}$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that $T$ is $M$ - $P$ invertible and $T S=S T$. Then $T^{\dagger} S=S T^{\dagger}$.

Proof. A proof can be given by using the block matrix forms of $T$ and $S$.
Lemma 5.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be $M-P$ invertible. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T|^{\dagger}=\left|\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right| \text { and }\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}=\left|T^{\dagger}\right| \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (5.3)-(5.4), we have

$$
|T|^{\dagger}=\left(\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\dagger}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(T^{\dagger}\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(T^{\dagger}\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left|\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right|
$$

Replacing $T$ with $T^{*}$, we obtain $\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}=\left|\left(\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right|=\left|\left(\left(T^{*}\right)^{*}\right)^{\dagger}\right|=\left|T^{\dagger}\right|$.
The polar decomposition for the Moore-Penrose inverse of Hilbert space operators can be found in [12, Proposition 2.2]. The same is also true for adjointable operators described as follows:

Lemma 5.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be $M-P$ invertible and have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then $T^{\dagger}$ has the polar decomposition $T^{\dagger}=U^{*}\left|T^{\dagger}\right|$.

Proof. Put $X=U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}$. Then the expression of $T=\left|T^{*}\right| U$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T X=\left|T^{*}\right|\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{s a}, T X T=T \\
& X T=U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}\left|T^{*}\right| U \in \mathcal{L}(H)_{s a}, \quad X T X=X
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $T^{\dagger}=X$ by (5.2) and thus $T^{\dagger}=U^{*}\left|T^{\dagger}\right|$ by (5.5).
Since $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed, we have

$$
U^{*} U=T^{\dagger} T \text { and } U U^{*}=T T^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*} T^{*}
$$

so $\left(U^{*}\right)^{*} U^{*}=U U^{*}=P_{\mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right)}$. The conclusion then follows from (2.1).
To derive the main results of this section, we need a lemma as follows:
Lemma 5.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{k}(T),|T|\right]=\left[P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right),\left|T^{*}\right|\right]=0 \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{k}(T)$ and $P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right)$ are defined by (4.3).

Proof. Given any $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$. By Definition 4.1 and (2.3), both $U^{k}$ and $U^{k+1}$ are partial isometries such that

$$
\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k+1}\right)}=\mathcal{R}\left(U^{k+1}\right) \text { and } \overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)}=\mathcal{R}\left(U^{k}\right)
$$

which leads to

$$
P_{k+1}(T) U|T| \cdot T^{k}=P_{k+1}(T) T^{k+1}=T^{k+1}=U|T| \cdot T^{k}
$$

It follows that

$$
P_{k+1}(T) U|T| \cdot U^{k}=U|T| \cdot U^{k}
$$

Note that $\left[P_{k}(T), U^{*} U\right]=0$ by Lemma 4.8, so the equation above gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T| U^{k} & =U^{*} \cdot U|T| U^{k}=U^{*} \cdot P_{k+1}(T) U|T| U^{k}=U^{*} U \cdot P_{k}(T) U^{*} U|T| U^{k} \\
& =P_{k}(T) \cdot U^{*} U \cdot U^{*} U|T| U^{k}=P_{k}(T)|T| U^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $\left(U^{k}\right)^{*}$ from the right side, we obtain

$$
|T| P_{k}(T)=P_{k}(T)|T| P_{k}(T)=\left(P_{k}(T)|T| P_{k}(T)\right)^{*}=P_{k}(T)|T|
$$

Therefore, $\left[P_{k}(T),|T|\right]=0$. Replacing the pair $(U, T)$ with $\left(U^{*}, T^{*}\right)$, we get

$$
\left[P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right),\left|T^{*}\right|\right]=0 \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

Now we provide the technical result of this section as follows:
Lemma 5.7. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be $M-P$ invertible and have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $T$ is $n$-centered. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $T^{k}$ is $M-P$ invertible and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k} \text { for all } k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on $n$. The case $n=1$ is obvious. Assume that Lemma 5.7 is true for all $n$-centered operators. Now, suppose that $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered. Then clearly, $T$ is $n$-centered and thus by the inductive hypothesis, $T^{k}$ is M-P invertible for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and (5.8) is satisfied. We need only to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{n+1} \text { is M-P invertible and }\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{m}(T)$ and $P_{m}\left(T^{*}\right)$ be defined by (4.3) for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $T$ is $n$ centered, by Definition 4.1 we have

$$
P_{k}(T)=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}\left(T^{k}\right)} \text { and } P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right)=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}\left(\left(T^{k}\right)^{*}\right)} \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

which is combined with (5.8) to conclude that for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k} T^{k}=\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger} T^{k}=P_{\mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{k}\right)^{*}\right)}=P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& \mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{k}\right)^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(P_{k}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
& \mathcal{R}\left[\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k}\right)^{*}\right]=\mathcal{R}\left[\left(\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\right]=\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(P_{k}(T)\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, as $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered, by Lemma 5.6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{n}(T),|T|\right]=\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right),\left|T^{*}\right|\right]=0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations above together with Lemma 5.3 yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{n}(T),|T|^{\dagger}\right]=\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right),\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}\right]=0 . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}\right) & \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{\dagger}\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n}\right)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{\dagger} P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)} \text { by }(5.11) \text { for } k=n \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(U^{*}\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger} \cdot P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)} \text { by Lemma } 5.5 \text { and }(5.5) \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(U^{*} P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \cdot\left|T^{*}\right|^{\dagger}\right)} \text { by }(5.14) \\
& \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(\left(U^{n+1}\right)^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right)\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the pair $(U, T)$ with $\left(U^{*}, T^{*}\right)$, by Lemma 5.5 and (5.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger}=U\left|\left(T^{*}\right)^{\dagger}\right|=U|T|^{\dagger} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}\left[\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}\right)^{*}\right] & \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}\left[\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*}\left(\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n}\right)^{*}\right]}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left[\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{*} P_{n}(T)\right]} \text { by }(5.12) \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(U|T|^{\dagger} \cdot P_{n}(T)\right)} \text { by }(5.16) \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(U P_{n}(T) \cdot|T|^{\dagger}\right)} \text { by }(5.14) \\
& \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(U^{n+1}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(P_{n+1}(T)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $P_{n+1}(T)\left[\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}\right]^{*}=\left[\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}\right]^{*}$. Taking $*$-operation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} P_{n+1}(T)=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from Lemma 2.4 (ii), (5.6) and (5.13) we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[P_{n}(T), T^{\dagger} T\right] } & =\left[P_{n}(T), U^{*} U\right]=0  \tag{5.18}\\
{\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right), T T^{\dagger}\right] } & =\left[P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right), U U^{*}\right]=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove that $T^{n+1}$ is M-P invertible. To this end, we put

$$
X=\left(T^{n}\right)^{\dagger} T^{n} \cdot T T^{\dagger}
$$

Then by (5.8), (5.10) and (5.19),

$$
X=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n} T^{n} \cdot T T^{\dagger}=P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) T T^{\dagger}=T T^{\dagger} P_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)=T T^{\dagger} \cdot\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n} T^{n}
$$

hence the application of (5.2) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{n+1} & =T^{n} \cdot T=T^{n}\left(T^{n}\right)^{\dagger} T^{n} \cdot T T^{\dagger} T=T^{n} \cdot X \cdot T \\
& =T^{n} \cdot T T^{\dagger} \cdot\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n} T^{n} \cdot T=T^{n+1} \cdot\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} \cdot T^{n+1} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

which means clearly that $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{n+1}\right)$ is closed, hence $T^{n+1}$ is M-P invertible.
Since $T^{n+1}$ is M-P invertible and $T$ is $(n+1)$-centered, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} T^{n+1}=P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right) \text { and } T^{n+1}\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger}=P_{n+1}(T) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may combine (5.20), (5.21), (5.15) with (5.17) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} & =\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} \cdot T^{n+1} \cdot\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} \cdot T^{n+1}\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} T^{n+1} \cdot\left(T^{n+1}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =P_{n+1}\left(T^{*}\right) \cdot\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1} \cdot P_{n+1}(T)=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (5.9).
Theorem 5.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be M-P invertible and have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, T$ is n-centered if and only if $T^{\dagger}$ is $n$-centered.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is $n$-centered. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$, by Lemma 5.7 each $T^{k}$ is M-P invertible such that $\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k}$. Moreover, since $T$ is $n$-centered, we know that for $1 \leq k \leq n, T^{k}=U^{k}\left|T^{k}\right|$ is the polar decomposition, which gives the polar decomposition of $\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}$ as $\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(U^{k}\right)^{*}\left|\left(T^{k}\right)^{\dagger}\right|$ by Lemma 5.5. Therefore, $\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k}=\left(U^{*}\right)^{k}\left|\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{k}\right|$ for all $k=1,2, \cdots, n$, which means exactly that $T^{\dagger}$ is $n$-centered, since the polar decomposition of $T^{\dagger}$ is given by $T^{\dagger}=U^{*}\left|T^{\dagger}\right|$.

Conversely, if $T^{\dagger}$ is $n$-centered, then $T=\left(T^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger}$ is also $n$-centered. Therefore, the proof is complete.
Corollary 5.9. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be $M-P$ invertible and have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $T$ is centered if and only if $T^{\dagger}$ is centered;
(ii) $T$ is binormal if and only if $T^{\dagger}$ is binormal.

Proof. By Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 5.8, we know that
$T$ is centered $\Longleftrightarrow T$ is $n$-centered for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$
$\Longleftrightarrow T^{\dagger}$ is $n$-centered for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$
$\Longleftrightarrow T^{\dagger}$ is centered.
In view of (4.5), item (ii) of this corollary is also true.

## 6. An example of $n$-centered operators

In this section, we provide an example for $n$-centered operators. Let

$$
e^{i \theta}=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta, \text { where } \theta=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \pi
$$

Then clearly, $\cos \theta>\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{k} \neq 1 \text { for any } k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha$ be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \text { and } \sin \alpha=2 \cos \theta-1 \in(0,1) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $V=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 1 \\ \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & -\cos \alpha & 0\end{array}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is given by (6.2). Denote by $V^{k}=\left(V_{i j}^{(k)}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $V_{33}^{(k)}=V_{13}^{(1+k)} \neq 0$ for any $k \geq 2$.

Proof. The eigenvalues of $V$ are $\lambda_{1}=-1$, and

$$
\lambda_{2}=\frac{1+\sin \alpha}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3-2 \sin \alpha-\sin ^{2} \alpha}}{2} i=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta=e^{i \theta}, \lambda_{3}=\overline{\lambda_{2}},
$$

hence $\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=1+\sin \alpha=2 \cos \theta$. Direct computation yields $V=P D P^{-1}$, where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(-1, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\frac{\sin \alpha-1}{\cos \alpha} & \frac{\sin \alpha-\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\cos \alpha} & \frac{\sin \alpha-\lambda_{3}^{2}}{\cos \alpha} \\
-1 & \lambda_{2} & \lambda_{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
P^{-1} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & \frac{\cos \alpha}{1-\sin \alpha} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-2}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & -\frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} \\
\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & \frac{\cos \alpha}{1-\sin \alpha} \cdot \frac{1-\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} \\
\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & \frac{\cos \alpha}{1-\sin \alpha} \cdot \frac{1-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} & \frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
V^{k}=P D^{k} P^{-1}=\left(V_{i j}^{(k)}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{13}^{(k)}=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{k-1}+\lambda_{3}^{k-1}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2}, \\
& V_{33}^{(k)}=\frac{(-1)^{k+2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{k}+\lambda_{3}^{k}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $V_{33}^{(k)}=V_{13}^{(1+k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In what follows, we show that $V_{13}^{(k)} \neq 0$ for any $k \geq 3$.

Suppose on the contrary that $V_{13}^{(k)}=0$ for some $k \geq 3$. Then the expression of $V_{13}^{(k)}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k+1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{k-1}+\lambda_{3}^{k-1}=0 . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k=2 m$ for some $m \geq 2$, then by (6.3) we have $\cos \theta=\cos (2 m-1) \theta$, and thus

$$
0=\cos (2 m-1) \theta-\cos \theta=-2 \sin m \theta \sin (m-1) \theta
$$

It follows that $\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{2 m(m-1)}=1$, which is contradiction to (6.1). Similarly, if $k=2 m+1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (6.3) we can get

$$
\cos \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta \cdot \cos \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta=0
$$

In the case that $\cos \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos (2 m+1) \theta=2 \cos ^{2}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta-1=-1 \\
& \cos (4 m+2) \theta=2 \cos ^{2}(2 m+1) \theta-1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $\cos \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta=0$, then $\cos (4 m-2) \theta=1$. It follows that $\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{(4 m+2)(4 m-2)}=1$, which is also contradiction to (6.1).

Theorem 6.2. For any $n \geq 2$, there exist a Hilbert space $H$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $T$ is $n$-centered, whereas $T$ is not $(n+1)$-centered.

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be given by (6.2) and $\{g(m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be any unspecified sequence such that $0<g(m) \leq 4$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$
T_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \sec \alpha \cdot g(m+1) \\
g(m) & \tan \alpha \cdot g(m) & 0 \\
\tan \alpha \cdot g(m) & -g(m) & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3} .
$$

It is easy to verify that the polar decomposition of $T_{m}$ is given by $T_{m}=V\left|T_{m}\right|$, where $V$ is the unitary matrix given by Lemma 6.1, and

$$
\left|T_{m}\right|=\operatorname{diag}(\sec \alpha \cdot g(m), \sec \alpha \cdot g(m), \sec \alpha \cdot g(m+1))
$$

As in [3, Example 2], we put

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
T_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & T_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & T_{3} & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(H)
$$

where $H$ is the Hilbert space derived from countable number of copies of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, that is,

$$
H=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{i}\right): \xi_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \text { for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}<+\infty\right\}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ stands for the 2 -norm on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. It is easy to verify that

$$
T^{*} T=\operatorname{diag}\left(T_{1}^{*} T_{1}, T_{2}^{*} T_{2}, \cdots, T_{m}^{*} T_{m}, \cdots\right)
$$

and thus the polar decomposition of $T$ is given by $T=U|T|$, where

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
V & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & V & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & V & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \text { and }|T|=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left|T_{1}\right|,\left|T_{2}\right|, \cdots\right)
$$

It follows that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{diag}\left(0_{k \times k}, V^{k}\left|T_{1}\right|\left(V^{k}\right)^{*}, V^{k}\left|T_{2}\right|\left(V^{k}\right)^{*}, \cdots\right),
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},|T|\right]=0} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow V^{k}\left|T_{m}\right|\left(V^{k}\right)^{*} \cdot\left|T_{m+k}\right|=\left|T_{m+k}\right| \cdot V^{k}\left|T_{m}\right|\left(V^{k}\right)^{*}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\left|T_{l}\right|=\sec \alpha \cdot g(l) \cdot I+W_{l}$ be the decomposition of $\left|T_{l}\right|$, where

$$
W_{l}=\operatorname{diag}(0,0, \sec \alpha \cdot(g(l+1)-g(l)))
$$

Since $V$ is unitary, we have $V^{k}\left(V^{k}\right)^{*}=I$, hence (6.4) is equivalent to

$$
V^{k} \cdot W_{m} \cdot\left(V^{k}\right)^{*} \cdot W_{m+k}=W_{m+k} \cdot V^{k} \cdot W_{m} \cdot\left(V^{k}\right)^{*}
$$

which is furthermore equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{13}^{(k)} V_{33}^{(k)} \cdot(g(m+1)-g(m)) \cdot(g(m+k+1)-g(m+k))=0, \\
& V_{23}^{(k)} V_{33}^{(k)} \cdot(g(m+1)-g(m)) \cdot(g(m+k+1)-g(m+k))=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V^{k}$ is denoted by $V^{k}=\left(V_{i j}^{(k)}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$. Note that $V_{33}^{(1)}=0, V_{23}^{(2)}=\cos \alpha \neq 0$ and $V_{33}^{(k)}=V_{13}^{(1+k)} \neq 0$ for any $k \geq 2$. The discussion above indicates that $\left[U|T| U^{*},|T|\right]=0$ and for any $k \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},|T|\right]=0} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(g(m+1)-g(m)) \cdot(g(m+k+1)-g(m+k))=0, \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. If $n=2$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(m)=m \text { for } m=1,2,3,4 \text { and } g(m) \equiv 1 \text { for all } m \geq 5 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (6.5) is false for $k=2$ and $m=1$, therefore

$$
\left[U|T| U^{*},|T|\right]=0 \text { and }\left[U^{2}|T|\left(U^{2}\right)^{*},|T|\right] \neq 0
$$

By Theorem 4.3 we conclude that $T$ is 2 -centered, whereas $T$ is not 3 -centered.
In the case that $n \geq 3$, we put

$$
g(1)=1, g(2)=\cdots=g(n+1)=2 \text { and } g(m) \equiv 1 \text { for all } m \geq n+2 .
$$

Then for any $k=2, \cdots, n-1$, (6.5) is satisfied for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, whereas (6.5) is false for $k=n$ and $m=1$. Therefore,

$$
\left[U^{k}|T|\left(U^{k}\right)^{*},|T|\right]=0 \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \text { and }\left[U^{n}|T|\left(U^{n}\right)^{*},|T|\right] \neq 0
$$

By Theorem 4.3 we conclude that $T$ is $n$-centered, whereas $T$ is not $(n+1)$ centered.
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