

COUNTABLE APPROXIMATION OF TOPOLOGICAL G-MANIFOLDS, II: LINEAR LIE GROUPS G

QAYUM KHAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a matrix group. Topological G -manifolds with Palais-proper action have the G -homotopy type of countable G -CW complexes (3.2). This generalizes E. Elfving's dissertation theorem for locally linear G -manifolds (1996). Also we improve the Bredon–Floyd theorem from compact groups G .

1. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY MANIFOLDS

Definition 1.1. Let G be a topological group. Let X be a G -space, that is, a topological space equipped with a left G -action. For any $x \in X$, its **orbit type** (G_x) is the G -conjugacy class of its **isotropy group** $G_x := \{g \in G \mid gx = x\} \leq G$. The G -space X is G -**metrizable** if it has a G -invariant metric: $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$. The group G is **Lie** if G is a real-analytic manifold with $(a, b) \mapsto a^{-1}b$ analytic.

Proper in the sense of Bourbaki is [tD87, I:3.17] and of Palais is [Pal61, 1.2.2].

Definition 1.2. Let G be a topological group. Let X be a G -space. Define a map

$$\theta : G \times X \longrightarrow X \times X \quad ; \quad (g, x) \longrightarrow (x, gx).$$

The G -space X is **Bourbaki-proper** if θ is proper in the sense of Bourbaki: the product $\theta \times \text{id}_Z$ is a closed function for any topological space Z [Bou61, 10:1.1].

Now suppose G is locally compact and X is completely regular, both without any assumption of Hausdorff. The G -space X is **Palais(-proper)** if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that any $y \in X$ has a neighborhood V with

$$\langle U, V \rangle_G := \{g \in G \mid U \cap gV \neq \emptyset\}$$

precompact [Pal61, 1.2.2]. More generally, X is **Cartan(-proper)** if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that $\langle U, U \rangle_G \subset G$ is precompact [Pal61, 1.1.2].

We recall $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold [Bor60, I:3.3], without separable or metrizable. Our description uses Čech cohomology for Alexander–Spanier cohomology [Dow52].

Definition 1.3 (Borel). Let M be a locally compact, Hausdorff topological space. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then M is an n -**dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold** ($n\text{-cm}_{\mathbb{Z}}$) if:

- (1) $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \leq n$, that is, $\check{H}^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \check{H}^n(A; \mathbb{Z})$ is onto for all closed $A \subset M$
- (2) $\forall x \in M$: local Betti numbers $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(M, x) = 0 \ \forall i < n$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(M, x) = 1$ in the sense of Borel [Bor60, I:2.1] extending Aleksandrov (1935) and Čech (1934)
- (3) $\forall x \in M$: a local $\mathbb{Z}$ -orientation of M at x exists in the sense of [Bor60, I:3.2].

We generalize the Bredon–Floyd theorem [Bor60, VII:2.2] to noncompact groups, by adapting the circle of ideas within Floyd's initial argument for [Bor60, VI:1.1].

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a Lie group. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold with Bourbaki-proper G -action. Any compact set in M has only finitely many orbit types.*

Proof. Assume not. Then there exists an infinite sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ in some compact subset K of M such that no two of the isotropy groups G_{x_i} are conjugate in G . Since the action is proper, $C := \{g \in G \mid gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact [tD87, I:3.21]. In particular, since each G_{x_i} is a closed subset of C , each G_{x_i} is compact. Recall that the set $\text{Cpt}(G)$ of nonempty compact subsets of a metric space (G, d) admits the Hausdorff–Pompeiu metric d_{HP} , which is compact if the ambient metric space is compact [Mun00, 45:7]. Then the infinite sequence $\{G_{x_i}\}_{i=0}^\infty$ in the compact metric space $(\text{Cpt}(C), d_{HP})$ has a convergent subsequence, which we may reindex to be the original. By continuity of multiplication and inversion in G , the compact subset $H := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_i}$ is a subgroup of G . Thus H is a Lie group [Lee13, 20.10].

Let U be a compact neighborhood of the neutral element in G . On the one hand, since H is a compact Lie group acting on the $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold M , by the Bredon–Floyd theorem [Bor60, VII:2.2], the compact set $UK \subset M$ supports only finitely many H -orbit types. On the other hand, by the Montgomery–Zippin neighboring-subgroups theorem [Pal61, 4.2], there is a neighborhood N of H in G so any subgroup of G contained in N is U -conjugate to a subgroup of H . Since H is a limit, there exists i_0 such that $G_{x_i} \subset N$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Re-index so that $i_0 = 0$. Then there exists $u_i \in U$ such that $G_{u_i x_i} = u_i G_{x_i} u_i^{-1} \subset H$ for each i . Note $\{u_i x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is an infinite sequence in UK such that no two $G_{u_i x_i}$ are G -conjugate hence not H -conjugate, contradicting that UK has only finitely many H -orbit types. $\square$

2. EQUIVARIANT ABSOLUTE NEIGHBORHOOD RETRACTS

Recall X is a **G -ANR for the class $\mathcal{C}$** ($\mathcal{C}$ -absolute G -neighborhood retract) if X belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ and, for any closed G -embedding of X into a member of $\mathcal{C}$, there is a G -neighborhood of X with G -retraction to X . More generally, X is a **G -ANE for the class $\mathcal{C}$** ($\mathcal{C}$ -absolute G -neighborhood extensor) if, for any member B of $\mathcal{C}$ and closed G -subset A of B and any G -map $A \rightarrow X$, there is a G -extension $U \rightarrow X$ from some G -neighborhood U of A in B . Notice a G -ANE need not belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

Not long ago, S. Antonyan [Ant05, 5.7] made equivariant O. Hanner’s open-union theorem (see [Hu65, III:8.3]), providing a local-to-global principle for G -extensors.

Theorem 2.1 (Antonyan). *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subclass of the class $G\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ of paracompact Palais G -spaces with paracompact orbit space. Any union of open G -subsets that are G -ANEs for $\mathcal{C}$ is also a G -ANE for $\mathcal{C}$.*

Equivariant CW structures were found over very general groups, using the nerves of locally finite coverings of neighborhoods in certain G -Banach spaces [AE09, 1.1]. Recall that T. Matumoto defined the notion of a G -CW complex [Mat71, 1.2, 1.5].

Theorem 2.2 (Antonyan–Elfving). *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Suppose that X is a G -ANR for the class $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ of G -metrizable Palais G -spaces. Then X has the equivariant homotopy type of a G -CW complex with Palais action.*

Remark 2.3. Observe that the class $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ is a subclass of $G\text{-}\mathcal{P}$, as follows. Let X be a member of $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$. Since X is G -metrizable, the orbit space X/G has an induced metric given by an infimum. Then, since both X and X/G are metrizable, by Stone’s theorem [Mun00, 41.4], we have that both X and X/G are paracompact.

As classes, observe $\mathcal{C} \cap G\text{-ANE}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq G\text{-ANR}(\mathcal{C})$; a converse is [AAM14, 6.3].

Theorem 2.4 (Antonyan–Antonyan–Martín–Peinador). *Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Then $G\text{-ANR}(G\text{-}\mathcal{M}) = G\text{-}\mathcal{M} \cap G\text{-ANE}(G\text{-}\mathcal{M})$.*

The following technical notion over compact groups was introduced in [Jaw81]. We restate from [AAMV17, 2.2] the generalization over noncompact groups.

Definition 2.5 (Jaworowski). Let G be a Lie group. A Palais G -space X has **finite structure** if it has only finitely many orbit types and, for each orbit type (H) , the quotient map $X_{(H)} \rightarrow X_{(H)}/G$ is a G/H -bundle with only finitely many local trivializations. Here (H) is the conjugacy class of H in G , $X_{(H)} := \{x \in X \mid (G_x) = (H)\}$ is the (H) -stratum, $G_x := \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ is an isotropy group.

Remark 2.6. Any compact Lie group is **linear**: it has an isomorphic topological embedding into $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ for some n . This is a case of the following consequence of the Peter–Weyl theorem: any compact topological group G embeds into a product of unitary groups; if G has no small subgroups this product is finite [Kha18, 4.1].

Recall $X^H := \{x \in X \mid \forall g \in H : gx = x\}$ denotes the H -fixed subspace of X . In the following recent theorem [AAMV17, 6.1], the Jaworowski–Lashof criterion for G -ANRs [Jaw81] is generalized from compact Lie groups G to all linear ones.

Theorem 2.7 (Antonyan–Antonyan–Mata-Romero–Vargas-Betancourt). *Let G be a linear Lie group. Let X be a G -metrizable Palais G -space with finite structure. Then X is a G -ANR for the class of G -metrizable Palais G -spaces, if and only if X^H is an ANR for the class of metrizable spaces for each compact subgroup $H < G$.*

3. EQUIVARIANT TOPOLOGICAL MANIFOLDS

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a linear Lie group. Let M be a cohomology manifold over $\mathbb{Z}$ that is both separable and metrizable. Suppose M has Palais G -action and the fixed set M^H is ANR for the class of metrizable spaces for each compact subgroup H of G . Then M is G -homotopy equivalent to a countable proper G -CW complex.*

Proof. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold. Since M is separable and locally compact, there exists an increasing infinite sequence $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of open sets in M whose union is M and whose closures $\overline{M_i}$ in M are compact. By Theorem 1.4, the compact set $\overline{M_i}$, hence M_i , has only finitely many conjugacy classes of isotropy group. The G -saturation $GM_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} gM_i$ is also open [tD87, I:3.1(i)] and has only finitely many G -orbit types. Since $(GM_i)^H = GM_i \cap M^H$ is open in the ANR M^H , we have that $(GM_i)^H$ is also an ANR by Hanner’s global-to-local principle [Hu65, III:7.9].

Since G is a Lie group and $\overline{GM_i}$ is a Palais G -space, by Palais’ slice theorem [Pal61, 2.3.1, 2.1.2], $\overline{GM_i}$ has a covering $\mathcal{T}_i$ by G -tubes of varying orbit types. Furthermore, since $(\overline{GM_i})/G = \overline{M_i}/G$ is compact, $\mathcal{T}_i$ can be assumed finite. The stratum $(GM_i)_{(H)}$ of $GM_i \subset \overline{GM_i}$ has a single orbit type, so restriction of $\mathcal{T}_i$ to it gives a finite covering by local trivializations of a G/H -fiber bundle with structure group G . So the Palais G -space GM_i has finite structure. By Palais’ metrization theorem [Pal61, 4.3.4], the separable metrizable M , hence GM_i , is G -metrizable. Since G is linear, GM_i is a G -ANR for $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ (2.7), hence is a G -ANE for $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ (2.4).

Thus, by Remark 2.3 and Theorem 2.1, $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} GM_i$ is a G -ANE for $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$. Then, since M is also member of $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$, M is a G -ANR for $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we conclude M has the G -homotopy type of a proper G -CW complex.

We now make some remarks on how to guarantee only countably many G -cells. The proof of Theorem 2.2 starts in [AAR09, 5.2], with a closed G -embedding of X into a G -normed linear space L with Palais action on some G -neighborhood. Specifically, those authors take $L = E \times N$ [AAR09, 3.10], which is valid for any

G -metrizable Palais G -space X . Since our $X = M$ is locally compact, *alternatively* use the simpler and more classical G -Banach space $L = C_0(X)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(X) &:= \{f \in C(X) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ compact } K \subset X, \forall x \in X - K : |f(x)| < \varepsilon\} \\ \|f\| &:= \sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in X\}, \text{ which is well-defined.} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, E. Elfving in [Elf01, Propositions 2,3] showed the existence of a Kurotowski-like G -embedding of X into $C_0(X) - \{0\}$ on which the continuous G -action is Palais.

Since X is separable, there exists a countable dense subset $\Delta \subset X$. Since X is locally compact, the Alexandroff one-point compactification X^* exists. Since X is second-countable, so is X^* , hence X^* admits a metric d by the Urysohn metrization theorem [Mun00, 34.1]. Consider the countable collection $\Delta_d \subset C(X^*)$ defined by

$$\Delta_d := \{1\} \cup \{d(-, p) \in C(X^*) \mid p \in \Delta\}.$$

Since Δ_d contains a nonzero constant function and separates points because Δ is dense in X^* , by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem [Sto48, Corollary 3, p174], the countable subring $\mathbb{Q}\langle\Delta_d\rangle$, consisting of rational polynomials in the elements of Δ_d :

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle\Delta_d\rangle := \text{Im}(\mathbb{Q}[\Delta_d] \longrightarrow C(X^*))$$

is dense in $C(X^*)$. Hence $C_0(X) \subset C(X^*)$ is separable.

Then the G -neighborhood U of X in $C_0(X) - \{0\}$, on which the G -retraction $U \longrightarrow X$ is defined, is Lindelöf, as it is separable and metrizable. So in the proof of [AE09, Proposition 5.2], the rich G -normal cover $\mathcal{U}$ with index set $G \times \mathcal{M}$ can be assumed to have $\mathcal{M}$ a countable set. The geometric G -nerve $K(\mathcal{U})$ is indexed [AE09, p166] by certain finite subsets of $\mathcal{M}$. Thus the semisimplicial G -space $K(\mathcal{U})$ has only countably many G -cells, according to the proof of [AE09, Theorem 5.3], which relies on S. Illman [Ill00] and this in turn involves only countably many G -cells for a smooth G -manifold. Finally, since [AE09, Proposition 5.2] states that $K(\mathcal{U})$ G -dominates X , by a G -version of Mather’s trick (see second paragraph of [Kha18, Proof 2.5]), the G -CW complex for $X = M$ has only countably many G -cells.

For the convenience of the reader, we detail the conclusion of this last sentence. Since the G -CW complex $K(\mathcal{U})$ G -dominates X , there are G -maps $u : X \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$, $d : K(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow X$, and G -homotopy $h : X \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow X$ from $h_1 = d \circ u$ to $h_0 = \text{id}_X$. By G -cellular approximation, there exists a cellular G -map $\alpha : K(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$ that is G -homotopic to $u \circ d$ [tD87, II:2.1]. On the one hand, the mapping torus

$$\text{Torus}(\alpha) := \frac{K(\mathcal{U}) \times [0, 1]}{(x, 1) \sim (\alpha(x), 0)}$$

is a G -CW complex [tD87, I:1.11]. On the other hand it is G -homotopy equivalent to

$$\text{Torus}(u \circ d) \simeq_G \text{Torus}(d \circ u) \simeq_G \text{Torus}(\text{id}_X) = X \times S^1.$$

Thus $X \simeq X \times \mathbb{R}$ is G -homotopy equivalent to the infinite cyclic cover of $\text{Torus}(\alpha)$, namely the bi-infinite mapping telescope of α — a countable G -CW complex. $\square$

Finally, we generalize [Kha18, 2.5] from G being compact. Note that the manifold must be noncompact if G is noncompact in order for the action to be Cartan-proper.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a linear Lie group. Any topological G -manifold with Palais action has the equivariant homotopy type of a countable proper G -CW complex.*

Here, by **topological G -manifold** [Kha18, 2.2], we mean the H -fixed subspace is a topological (C^0) manifold for each closed subgroup H of a topological group G . Herein, a topological manifold shall be separable, metrizable, and locally euclidean.

Proof. Let M be a topological G -manifold with Palais action. By Hanner's local-to-global principle [Hu65, III:8.3], each manifold M^H is an ANR for the class of metrizable spaces. Also M is separable, metrizable, and a $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold. Therefore we are done by Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Thus more tractable are its Davis–Lück G -spectral homology groups [DL98, 3.7, 4.3], since we conclude countability of the G -CW complex that left-approximates.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a linear Lie group. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a G -map between topological G -manifolds with Palais actions. Then f is a G -homotopy equivalence if and only if $f^H : M^H \rightarrow N^H$ is a homotopy equivalence for each closed H of G .*

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 3.2 and the corresponding theorem for G -CW complexes [tD87, II:2.7], which is proven using G -obstruction theory. $\square$

In particular, we generalize the main result of Elfving's thesis [Elf96, 4.20]. The definition of *locally linear*, along with some discussion, is found in [Kha18, 3.6, 3.7]. Note any smoothable action is locally linear, but not vice versa; see [Bre72, VI:9.6].

Corollary 3.4 (Elfving). *Let G be a linear Lie group. Let M be a locally linear G -manifold with Palais action. If M has only finitely many orbit types, then M has the equivariant homotopy type of a G -CW complex.*

Proof. This special case now follows immediately from Corollary 3.2. $\square$

4. EXAMPLES THAT ARE NOT LOCALLY LINEAR

We continue the three families of uncountable examples of [Kha18, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3]. The purpose here is to show there do exist topological G -manifolds that are not locally linear when G is a noncompact linear Lie group with torsion. (All principal bundles are trivial if G is connected torsionfree, such as $G = \mathbb{R}$ for complete flows.)

Their common trick is that the diagonal action will become Palais [Pal61, 1.3.3], even though it is not on the first factor, using a homogenous space G/H with H compact for the second factor. These G/H are exactly those with transitive Palais G -action. The transitivity on the second factor guarantees the same quotient space as the first's. Any C^1 Palais action by a Lie group is C^ω [Ill95, Ill03]; ours are C^0 .

Indeed there is no contradiction to Palais' slice theorem [Pal61, 2.3.1, 2.1.2]. There does exist a G_x -slice for each point x of the Palais G -manifolds, but *not all the slices are euclidean*, and this is why in particular these slices are not G_x -linear.

Example 4.1 (Bing). Consider the double $D := E \cup_A E$ of the non-simply connected side E in S^3 of the Alexander horned sphere $A \approx S^2$, whose embedding is *not locally flat*. This double has obvious involution r_B that interchanges the two pieces and leaves the horned sphere fixed pointwise. Bing showed D is homeomorphic to S^3 [Bin52]. Thus r_B minus a fixed point (so on $\mathbb{R}^3$) negatively answers a question of Montgomery [Eil49, 39b], asking if the action is conjugate to an isometric one.

Consider the Lie group $G = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \rtimes_{-1} O_1$, a closed subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of G on S^3 by epimorphism to $O_1 \cong \langle r_B \rangle \leq \text{Homeo}(S^3)$. As noted above, the diagonal action of G on the product of S^3 and the homogenous space $\mathbb{R} = G/O_1$ is Palais. Then Corollary 3.2 applies to the topological G -manifold $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. In the orbit space $(S^3 \times \mathbb{R})/G = S^3/r_B = E$, the stratum A is not locally cofibrant, so the C^0 action of G on the 4-manifold $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ cannot be locally linear.

For each $n \geq 3$, Lininger [Lin70, 9, 10] applies [Bin64] to produce uncountably many inequivalent involutions on S^n with fixed set an $(n-1)$ -sphere and quotient not a manifold-with-boundary, so none is equivalent to a locally linear action. They arise from uncountably inequivalent embeddings in S^{n-1} of Cantor's space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$; in the form of multiparameter Antoine necklaces, the $n = 4$ case is due to Sher [She68].

Example 4.2 (Montgomery–Zippin). Adaptation of Bing's 1952 idea produces an involution r_{MZ} of S^3 whose fixed set is an *embedded circle* K that is not locally flat [MZ54, §2]. In Example 4.1, replacing r_B and A with r_{MZ} and K works verbatim. Note r_{MZ} preserves orientation and was first to negatively answer the C^0 version of a question of Paul A Smith [Eil49, 36], asking if the fixed circle is unknotted.

Alford gave uncountably many inequivalent involutions fixing a wild circle [Alf66].

Higher *codimension-two* examples are provided by Lininger. He uses rotation of the Alexander horned sphere A in 4-space to obtain a semifree U_1 -action on S^4 with fixed set a 2-sphere [Lin70, 7]. More generally, using Bing's later techniques [Bin64], he obtains uncountably many inequivalent semifree U_1 -actions on S^n whose fixed set is an $(n-2)$ -sphere and quotient not a manifold-with-boundary [Lin70, 8, 10].

Example 4.3 (Lininger). For each $k \geq 3$, there are uncountably many inequivalent *free* U_1 -actions on S^{2k-1} whose quotients are not C^0 manifolds [Lin69, Remark 2]. At the root of Lininger's work are Andrews–Curtis decomposition spaces [AC62]: non-euclidean quotients Q by a wild arc, any of whose product with $\mathbb{R}$ is euclidean.

Consider the Lie group $G = \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \rtimes U_1$, a closed subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbb{C}, u \in U_1 \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of G on S^{2k-1} by epimorphism to U_1 then use Lininger. The diagonal action of G on the product of S^{2k-1} and homogeneous space $\mathbb{C} = G/U_1$ is Palais, as well as free. The orbit space $(S^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{C})/G = S^{2k-1}/U_1$ is not a topological manifold, though the projection from $S^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ is a principal G -bundle. In particular, none in this uncountable family of free G -actions can be locally linear.

The same holds for $G = U_1 \times G'$ with G' a linear Lie group and $M = S^{2k-1} \times G'$.

We end with a family of examples whose linear Lie group G is *arbitrarily large*.

Example 4.4 (Lininger). For each $n > k + 1 \geq 3$, there are uncountably many inequivalent semifree SO_k -actions on S^n whose fixed set is a wild $(n-k-1)$ -sphere [Lin70, 11]. Again, the construction arises from the quotient by any wild arc [AC62].

The Lie group $G = \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{R}^k \rtimes SO_k$ is a closed subgroup of $GL_{2k}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^k, r \in SO_k \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of G on S^n by epimorphism to SO_k then use Lininger. The diagonal action of G on the product of S^n and homogeneous space $\mathbb{R}^k = G/SO_k$ is Palais. The orbit space $(S^n \times \mathbb{R}^k)/G = S^n/SO_k$ minus the singular set is not a manifold, so none in this uncountable family of semifree G -actions is locally linear.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Christopher Connell for various basic discussions and to Ian Hambleton for positing the avoidance of handlebody structures.

REFERENCES

- [AAM14] Natella Antonyan, Sergei Antonyan, and Elena Martín-Peinador. Equivariant embeddings of metrizable proper G -spaces. *Topology Appl.*, 163:11–24, 2014.
- [AAMV17] Natella Antonyan, Sergei Antonyan, Armando Mata-Romero, and Enrique Vargas-Betancourt. A characterization of G -ANR and G -AR spaces for proper actions of Lie groups. *Topology Appl.*, 231:292–305, 2017.
- [AAR09] Natella Antonyan, Sergei Antonyan, and Leonardo Rodríguez-Medina. Linearization of proper group actions. *Topology Appl.*, 156(11):1946–1956, 2009.
- [AC62] J J Andrews and M L Curtis. n -space modulo an arc. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 75:1–7, 1962.
- [AE09] Sergei A Antonyan and Erik Elfving. The equivariant homotopy type of G -ANRs for proper actions of locally compact groups. In *Algebraic topology—old and new*, number 85 in Banach Center Publ., pages 155–178. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2009.
- [Alf66] William R Alford. Uncountably many different involutions of S^3 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17:186–196, 1966.
- [Ant05] Sergei Antonyan. Orbit spaces and unions of equivariant absolute neighborhood extensors. *Topology Appl.*, 146/147:289–315, 2005.
- [Bin52] R H Bing. A homeomorphism between the 3-sphere and the sum of two solid horned spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 56:354–362, 1952.
- [Bin64] R H Bing. Inequivalent families of periodic homeomorphisms of E^3 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 80:78–93, 1964.
- [Bor60] Armand Borel. *Seminar on Transformation Groups*. Number 46 in Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960. With contributions by G Bredon, E E Floyd, D Montgomery, R Palais.
- [Bou61] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Structures topologiques*. Number 1 in Éléments de mathématique: Topologie générale. Actualités Sci. Indust. #1142, Paris, third (recast) edition, 1961.
- [Bre72] Glen E Bredon. *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*. Number 46 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [DL98] James F Davis and Wolfgang Lück. Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in K - and L -theory. *K-Theory*, 15(3):201–252, 1998.
- [Dow52] Clifford H Dowker. Homology groups of relations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 56:84–95, 1952.
- [Eil49] Samuel Eilenberg. On the problems of topology. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 50:247–260, 1949.
- [Elf96] Erik G J Elfving. The G -homotopy type of proper locally linear G -manifolds. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.*, (108):50, 1996.
- [Elf01] Erik G J Elfving. The G -homotopy type of proper locally linear G -manifolds, II. *Manuscripta Math.*, 105(2):235–251, 2001.
- [Hu65] Sze-Tsen Hu. *Theory of Retracts*. Wayne State University Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [Ill95] Sören Illman. Every proper smooth action of a Lie group is equivalent to a real analytic action: a contribution to Hilbert’s fifth problem. In *Prospects in topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994)*, volume 138 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 189–220. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [Ill00] Sören Illman. Existence and uniqueness of equivariant triangulations of smooth proper G -manifolds with some applications to equivariant Whitehead torsion. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 524:129–183, 2000.
- [Ill03] Sören Illman. The very-strong C^∞ topology on $C^\infty(M, N)$ and K -equivariant maps. *Osaka J. Math.*, 40(2):409–428, 2003.
- [Jaw81] Jan W Jaworowski. An equivariant extension theorem and G -retracts with a finite structure. *Manuscripta Math.*, 35(3):323–329, 1981.
- [Kha18] Qayum Khan. Countable approximation of topological G -manifolds: compact Lie groups G . *Topology Appl.*, 235:14–21, 2018.
- [Lee13] John M Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Number 218 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [Lin69] Lloyd L Lininger. On topological transformation groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20:191–192, 1969.

- [Lin70] Lloyd L Lininger. Actions on S^n . *Topology*, 9:301–308, 1970.
- [Mat71] Takao Matumoto. On G -CW complexes and a theorem of J H C Whitehead. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 18:363–374, 1971.
- [Mun00] James R Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2000.
- [MZ54] Deane Montgomery and Leo Zippin. Examples of transformation groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5:460–465, 1954.
- [Pal61] Richard S Palais. On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 73:295–323, 1961.
- [She68] Richard B Sher. Concerning wild Cantor sets in E^3 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19:1195–1200, 1968.
- [Sto48] Marshall H Stone. The generalized Weierstrass approximation theorem. *Math. Mag.*, 21:167–184, 237–254, 1948.
- [tD87] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation Groups*. Number 8 in De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON IN 47405 USA
E-mail address: `qkhan@indiana.edu`