

BOOLEAN-LIKE ALGEBRAS OF FINITE DIMENSION: FROM BOOLEAN PRODUCTS TO SEMIRING PRODUCTS

A. BUCCIARELLI, A. LEDDA, F. PAOLI, AND A. SALIBRA

ABSTRACT. We continue the investigation, initiated in [21], of Boolean-like algebras of dimension n (n BA), algebras having n constants e_1, \dots, e_n , and an $(n+1)$ -ary operation q (a “generalised if-then-else”) that induces a decomposition of the algebra into n factors through the so-called n -central elements. Varieties of n BAs share many remarkable properties with the variety of Boolean algebras and with primal varieties. Putting to good use the concept of a central element, we extend the Boolean power construction to that of a semiring power and we prove two representation theorems: (i) Any pure n BA is isomorphic to the algebra of n -central elements of a Boolean vector space; (ii) Any member of a variety of n BAs with one generator is isomorphic to a Boolean power of this generator. This yields a new proof of Foster’s theorem on primal varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Janusz Czelakowski began his scientific career with a series of investigations on partial Boolean algebras, in the context of a semantical analysis of quantum logic (see e.g. [6, 7, 8]). A different variation on the concept of a Boolean algebra will be at the centre of this paper: the notion of a *Boolean-like algebra of finite dimension*.

In a number of papers [22, 24, 23, 21] we attempted to combine an algebraic approach to if-then-else statements in programming languages with a general theory of direct decompositions in universal algebra. A *Church algebra of dimension 2* is an algebra \mathbf{A} whose type contains a ternary term q (having the if-then-else connective as an intended interpretation) and two constants 0 and 1 (representing falsity and truth, respectively); it has the property that for every $a, b \in A$, $q^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{\mathbf{A}}, a, b) = a$ and $q^{\mathbf{A}}(0^{\mathbf{A}}, a, b) = b$. An element e of a Church algebra \mathbf{A} of dimension 2 is called *2-central* if \mathbf{A} can be decomposed as the product $\mathbf{A}/\theta(e, 0) \times \mathbf{A}/\theta(e, 1)$, where $\theta(e, 0)$ ($\theta(e, 1)$) is the smallest congruence on \mathbf{A} that collapses e and 0 (e and 1). *Boolean-like algebras of dimension 2*, investigated in [22] under the name of *Boolean-like algebras*, are Church algebras of dimension 2

Date: October 26, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Boolean algebras, Boolean-like algebras of finite dimension, Primal algebras, Boolean products, Semiring products.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 08B25; Secondary: 08B05, 08A70.

Corresponding author: Francesco Paoli, paoli@unica.it .

where every element is 2-central – the chosen denomination being justified by the fact that the variety of all such algebras in the language $(q, 0, 1)$ is term-equivalent to the variety of Boolean algebras.

It is all too natural to generalise this viewpoint to cover the case of decompositions of algebras into finitely many factors. In [21], we considered algebras \mathbf{A} having n designated elements $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and an $n + 1$ -ary operation q (a sort of “generalised if-then-else”) that induces a decomposition of \mathbf{A} into n , rather than just 2, factors. These algebras were called, naturally enough, *Church algebras of dimension n* (n CA), while algebras \mathbf{A} all of whose elements induce an n -ary factorisation of this sort (i.e., are n -central) were given the name of *Boolean-like algebras of dimension n* (n BAs). Free \mathcal{V} -algebras (for \mathcal{V} a variety), lambda algebras, semimodules over semirings – hence, in particular, Boolean vector spaces – give rise to Church algebras which, in general, have dimension greater than 2.

Varieties of n BAs share many remarkable properties with the variety of Boolean algebras. We showed that any variety of n BAs is generated by the n BAs of cardinality n . In the pure case (i.e., when the type includes the generalised if-then-else q , the n constants, and nothing else), the variety is generated by a unique algebra \mathbf{n} of universe $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$, so that any pure n BA is, up to isomorphism, a subalgebra of \mathbf{n}^I , for a suitable set I . Another remarkable property of the 2-element Boolean algebra is the definability of all finite Boolean functions in terms e.g. of the connectives AND, OR, NOT. This property is inherited by the algebra \mathbf{n} : all finite functions on $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ are term-definable, so that the variety of pure n BAs is primal. More generally, a variety of an arbitrary type with one generator is primal if and only if it is a variety of n BAs.

In the present paper, we explore further the connections between Boolean algebras of finite dimension and primal algebras, as well as other consolidated topics in universal algebra, like the theory of *Boolean powers*. Although Boolean powers, for which see e.g. [3, 19], emerged in the literature in the context of individual classes of algebras, like rings [1], Post algebras [20] or Banach algebras [11], they were given a systematic and general treatment in the work of Foster [9]. This construction is important and useful in that it permits to transfer properties of Boolean algebras to other, usually lesser-known, classes of algebras. Applications include answers to the decision problem for the first-order theories of certain varieties [4], as well as representation results like Foster’s celebrated theorem on primal varieties [5, Thm. 7.4], according to which any member of a variety generated by a primal algebra is a Boolean power of the generator.

In this paper, we parlay the theory of n -central elements into an extension to arbitrary semirings of the technique of Boolean powers. We algebraically define the semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ of an arbitrary algebra \mathbf{E} by a semiring R as an algebra over the set of central elements of a certain semimodule. We obtain the following results:

- If the semiring R is a Boolean algebra, then the algebraically defined semiring power is isomorphic to the classical, topologically defined, Boolean power [5].
- For every semiring R , the semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power of \mathbf{E} by the Boolean algebra of the complemented and commuting elements of R .

We also prove two representation theorems. We first show that any pure n BA \mathbf{A} contains a Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$; then we represent \mathbf{A} , up to isomorphism, as the n BA of n -central elements of the Boolean vector space $(B_{\mathbf{A}})^n$. In the second representation theorem it is shown that any n BA in a variety of n BA's with one generator is isomorphic to a Boolean power, a result from which Foster's theorem follows as a corollary.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The notation and terminology in this paper are pretty standard. For concepts, notations and results not covered hereafter, the reader is referred to [5, 18] for universal algebra and to [13] for semirings and semimodules. As to the rest, superscripts that mark the difference between operations and operation symbols will be dropped whenever the context is sufficient for a disambiguation.

2.1. Factor Congruences and Decomposition.

Definition 1. A tuple $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ of congruences on \mathbf{A} is a family of complementary factor congruences if the function $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}/\theta_i$ defined by $f(a) = (a/\theta_1, \dots, a/\theta_n)$ is an isomorphism. When $|I| = 2$, we say that (θ_1, θ_2) is a pair of complementary factor congruences.

A factor congruence is any congruence which belongs to a family of complementary factor congruences.

Theorem 1. A tuple $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ of congruences on \mathbf{A} is a family of complementary factor congruences exactly when:

- (1) $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \theta_i = \Delta$;
- (2) $\forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, there is $u \in A$ such that $a_i \theta_i u$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Therefore (θ_1, θ_2) is a pair of complementary factor congruences if and only if $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$ and $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \nabla$. The pair (Δ, ∇) corresponds to the product $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is a trivial algebra; obviously $\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{A}/\nabla$ and $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{A}/\Delta$. The set of factor congruences of \mathbf{A} is not, in general, a sublattice of $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$.

Factor congruences can be characterised in terms of certain algebra homomorphisms called *decomposition operators* (see [18, Def. 4.32] for additional details).

Definition 2. A decomposition operator on an algebra \mathbf{A} is a function $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following conditions:

D1: $f(x, x, \dots, x) = x$;

D2: $f(f(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}), \dots, f(x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nn})) = f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn})$;

D3: f is an algebra homomorphism from \mathbf{A}^n to \mathbf{A} .

Axioms (D1)-(D3) can be equationally expressed.

There is a bijective correspondence between families of complementary factor congruences and decomposition operators, and thus, between decomposition operators and factorisations of an algebra.

Theorem 2. *Any decomposition operator $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ on an algebra \mathbf{A} induces a family of complementary factor congruences $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$, where each $\theta_i \subseteq A \times A$ is defined by:*

$$a \theta_i b \text{ iff } f(a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a) = a \quad (b \text{ at position } i).$$

Conversely, any family $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ of complementary factor congruences induces a decomposition operator f on \mathbf{A} : $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = u$ iff $a_i \theta_i u$, for all i , where such an element u is provably unique.

2.2. Semimodules and Boolean vector spaces. A *semiring* R [13] is an algebra $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that $(R, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid, $(R, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid, and the following equations hold:

SR1: $x0 = 0x = 0$;

SR2: $x(y + z) = xy + yz$;

SR3: $(y + z)x = yx + zx$.

Thus, in particular, rings with unit are semirings in which every element has an additive inverse. Thus, in particular, rings with unit are semirings in which every element has an additive inverse. Actually, the set of all ideals of a given ring form an idempotent semiring under addition and multiplication of ideals. Also, any bounded distributive lattice $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a commutative, idempotent semiring under join and meet.

An n -tuple of elements r_1, \dots, r_n of a semiring is *fully orthogonal* if $r_1 + \dots + r_n = 1$ and $r_i r_j = 0$ for every $i \neq j$.

Definition 3. *If R is a semiring, a (left) R -semimodule (see [13]) is a commutative monoid $(V, +, \mathbf{0})$ for which we have a function $R \times V \rightarrow V$, denoted by $(r, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto r\mathbf{v}$ and called scalar multiplication, which satisfies the following conditions, for all elements $r, s \in R$ and all elements $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$:*

SM1: $(r \cdot s)\mathbf{v} = r(s\mathbf{v})$;

SM2: $r(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = r\mathbf{v} + r\mathbf{w}$ and $(r + s)\mathbf{v} = r\mathbf{v} + s\mathbf{v}$;

SM3: $0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ and $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$.

An R -semimodule is called: (i) a *module* if R is a ring; (ii) a *vector space* if R is a field; (iii) a *Boolean vector space* if R is a Boolean algebra (see [14] for basic facts on Boolean vector spaces). The elements of an R -semimodule are called *vectors*.

We present an example of an R -semimodule that fails, in general, to be a module.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, /, \backslash, 0, 1)$ be a bounded integral residuated lattice [10]. Then $R(\mathbf{L}) = (L, \vee, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a semiring and $(L, \wedge, 1)$ is an $R(\mathbf{L})$ -semimodule (see e.g. [12]). The scalar multiplication is given by $rv := v/r$, for all $r, v \in L$.

If V is an R -semimodule and $E \subseteq V$ then we denote by

$$R\langle E \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mathbf{e}_i : r_i \in R, \mathbf{e}_i \in E, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

the set of linear combinations of elements of E . The set E is called a *free basis* of V if $R\langle E \rangle = V$ and each vector in V can be expressed as a linear combination of elements in E in exactly one way. An R -semimodule having a free basis E is denoted by $R\langle E \rangle$ and is called the *R -semimodule freely generated by E* .

If $\mathbf{v} \in R\langle E \rangle$, then there exist $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n \in E$ and scalars $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ such $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mathbf{e}_i$.

The *coordinates* $v_{\mathbf{d}}$ ($\mathbf{d} \in E$) of a vector $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mathbf{e}_i$ w.r.t. the free basis E are defined as follows:

$$v_{\mathbf{d}} = \begin{cases} r_i & \text{if } \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n) \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n. \end{cases}$$

Each element \mathbf{v} of $R\langle E \rangle$ can be represented by the formal series $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E} v_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{e}$, where almost all scalars $v_{\mathbf{e}}$ coincide with 0.

The next example will play an important role in this paper.

Example 2. (*n*-Sets) Let X be a set. An n -subset of X is a sequence (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) of subsets Y_i of X . We denote by $\text{Set}_n(X)$ the family of all n -subsets of X . $\text{Set}_n(X)$ can be viewed as the universe of a Boolean vector space over the powerset $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with respect to the following operations:

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) + (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = (Y_1 \cup Z_1, \dots, Y_n \cup Z_n)$$

and, for every $Z \subseteq X$,

$$Z(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = (Z \cap Y_1, \dots, Z \cap Y_n).$$

$\text{Set}_n(X)$ is freely generated by the n -sets $\mathbf{e}_1 = (X, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset), \dots, \mathbf{e}_n = (\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, X)$. Thus, an arbitrary n -set (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) has the canonical representation $Y_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + Y_n \mathbf{e}_n$ as a vector.

2.3. Church algebras of finite dimension. In [21] we introduced *Church algebras of dimension n* , algebras having n designated elements $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and an operation q of arity $n + 1$ (a sort of “generalised if-then-else”) satisfying $q(\mathbf{e}_i, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$. The operator q induces, through the so-called n -central elements, a decomposition of the algebra into n factors.

Definition 4. *An algebra \mathbf{A} of type τ is a Church algebra of dimension n (an n CH, for short) if there are term definable elements $\mathbf{e}_1^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{e}_2^{\mathbf{A}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{\mathbf{A}} \in A$ and a term operation $q^{\mathbf{A}}$ of arity $n + 1$ such that, for all $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, $q^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{e}_i^{\mathbf{A}}, b_1, \dots, b_n) = b_i$. A variety \mathcal{V} of type τ is a variety of algebras of dimension n if every member of \mathcal{V} is an n CH with respect to the same terms $q, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$.*

If \mathbf{A} is an n CH, then we call the algebra $\mathbf{A}_0 = (A, q^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{e}_1^{\mathbf{A}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{\mathbf{A}})$ the *pure reduct* of \mathbf{A} .

Church algebras of dimension 2 were introduced as Church algebras in [16] and studied in [22]. Examples of Church algebras of dimension 2 are Boolean algebras (with $q(x, y, z) = (x \wedge z) \vee (\neg x \wedge y)$) or rings with unit (with $q(x, y, z) = xz + y - xy$). Next, we list some relevant examples of Church algebras having dimension greater than 2.

Example 3. (Semimodules) *Let V be an R -semimodule freely generated by a finite set $E = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. Then we define an operation q of arity $n + 1$ as follows (for all $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \mathbf{e}_j$ and $\mathbf{w}^i = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^i \mathbf{e}_j$):*

$$q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \mathbf{w}^i = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \cdot w_k^i \right) \mathbf{e}_k.$$

Under this definition, V becomes an n CH. Each \mathbf{w}^i in the definition of q can be viewed as the i -th column vector of an $n \times n$ matrix M . The operation q does nothing but express in an algebraic guise the application of the linear transformation encoded by M to the vector \mathbf{v} .

A special instance of the previous example, described below, will play a role in what follows.

Example 4. (n -Sets) *Consider the Boolean vector space $\text{Set}_n(X)$ from Example 2. Retaining the notation from that example, the q operator defined for generic semimodules in Example 3 can be given here an explicit description as follows, for all $\mathbf{y}^i = Y_1^i \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + Y_n^i \mathbf{e}_n$:*

$$q(\mathbf{y}^0, \mathbf{y}^1, \dots, \mathbf{y}^n) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i^0 \cap Y_1^i, \dots, \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i^0 \cap Y_n^i \right).$$

In [26], D. Vaggione introduced the notion of *central element* in order to study algebras whose complementary factor congruences can be replaced by certain elements of their universes. Usually, if a manageable characterization of such

elements is available, one gets important insights into the structure theories of the algebras at issue. To list a few examples, central elements coincide with central idempotents in rings with unit, with complemented elements in FL_{ew} -algebras, which form the equivalent algebraic semantics of the full Lambek calculus with exchange and weakening, and with members of the centre in ortholattices. In [22], T. Kowalski and three of the present authors investigated central elements in Church algebras of dimension 2. In [21], the idea was generalised to Church algebras of arbitrary finite dimension.

Definition 5. *If \mathbf{A} is an n CH, then $c \in A$ is called n -central if the sequence of congruences $(\theta(c, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, \theta(c, \mathbf{e}_n))$ is an n -tuple of complementary factor congruences of \mathbf{A} . A central element c is nontrivial if $c \notin \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$.*

The following characterisation of n -central elements, as well as the subsequent elementary result about them, were also proved in [21].

Theorem 3. *If \mathbf{A} is an n CH of type τ and $c \in A$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) c is n -central;
- (2) $\bigcap_{i \leq n} \theta(c, \mathbf{e}_i) = \Delta$;
- (3) for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $q(c, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is the unique element such that $a_i \theta(c, \mathbf{e}_i) q(c, a_1, \dots, a_n)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (4) The following conditions are satisfied:
 - B1:** $q(c, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = c$.
 - B2:** $q(c, x, x, \dots, x) = x$ for every $x \in A$.
 - B3:** If $\sigma \in \tau$ has arity k and \mathbf{x} is a $n \times k$ matrix of elements of A , then $q(c, \sigma(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathbf{x}_n)) = \sigma(q(c, \mathbf{x}^1), \dots, q(c, \mathbf{x}^k))$.
- (5) The function f_c , defined by $f_c(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q(c, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is a decomposition operator on \mathbf{A} such that $f_c(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = c$.

For any n -central element c and any $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{x} of elements of A , a direct consequence of (B1)-(B3) gives

$$\mathbf{B4:} \quad q(c, q(c, \mathbf{x}_1), \dots, q(c, \mathbf{x}_n)) = q(c, x_1^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^n).$$

For any $n \times (n+1)$ matrix \mathbf{y} of elements of A , another consequence of (B1)-(B3) gives

$$q(c, q(\mathbf{y}_1), \dots, q(\mathbf{y}_n)) = q(q(c, \mathbf{y}^0), q(c, \mathbf{y}^1), \dots, q(c, \mathbf{y}^n)).$$

Proposition 1. *Let \mathbf{A} be an n CH. Then the set of all n -central elements of \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of the pure reduct of \mathbf{A} .*

Hereafter, we denote by $\mathbf{Ce}_n(\mathbf{A})$ the algebra $(\mathbf{Ce}_n(\mathbf{A}), q, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$ of all n -central elements of an n CH \mathbf{A} .

Example 5. Let \mathbf{A} be an arbitrary algebra (not necessarily an $n\text{CH}$) of type τ and F be the set of all functions from A^n into A . Consider the $n\text{CH}$ $\mathbf{F} = (F, \sigma^{\mathbf{F}}, q^{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{e}_1^{\mathbf{F}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{\mathbf{F}})_{\sigma \in \tau}$, whose operations are defined as follows (for all $f_i, g_j \in F$ and all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$):

- (1) $\mathbf{e}_i^{\mathbf{F}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_i$;
- (2) $q^{\mathbf{F}}(f, g_1, \dots, g_n)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(g_1(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, g_n(a_1, \dots, a_n))$;
- (3) $\sigma^{\mathbf{F}}(f_1, \dots, f_k)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sigma^{\mathbf{A}}(f_1(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, f_k(a_1, \dots, a_n))$, for every $\sigma \in \tau$ of arity k .

Let \mathbf{G} be any subalgebra of \mathbf{F} containing all constant functions. It is possible to prove that a function $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ is an n -central element of \mathbf{G} if and only if it is an n -ary decomposition operator of the algebra \mathbf{A} commuting with every element $g \in G$ (for every $a_{ij} \in A$):

$$f(g(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}), \dots, g(a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nn})) = g(f(a_{11}, \dots, a_{n1}), \dots, f(a_{1n}, \dots, a_{nn})).$$

The reader may consult [23] for the case $n = 2$.

The following example provides an application of the n -central elements to lambda calculus.

Example 6. (Lambda Calculus) We refer to Barendregt's book [2] for basic definitions on lambda calculus. Let $\text{Var} = \{a, b, c, a_1, \dots\}$ be the set of variables of the lambda calculus (λ -variables, for short), and let x, y, x_1, y_1, \dots be the algebraic variables ("holes" in the terminology of Barendregt's book). Once a finite set $I = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of λ -variables has been fixed, we define n constants \mathbf{e}_i and an operator q_I as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \lambda a_1 \dots a_n. a_i; \quad q_I(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (\dots ((xy_1)y_2) \dots) y_n;$$

The term algebra of a λ -theory is an $n\text{CH}$ with respect to the term operation q_I and the constants $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. Let Λ_β be the term algebra of the minimal λ -theory $\lambda\beta$, whose lattice of congruences is isomorphic to the lattice of λ -theories. Let $\Omega = (\lambda a. aa)(\lambda a. aa)$ be the canonical looping λ -term. It turns out that Ω can be consistently equated to any other closed λ -term (see [2, Proposition 15.3.9]). Consider the λ -theory $T_i = \theta(\Omega, \mathbf{e}_i)$ generated by equating Ω to the constant \mathbf{e}_i above defined. By Theorem 3 Ω is a nontrivial central element in the term algebra of the λ -theory $T = \bigcap_{i \leq n} \theta(\Omega, \mathbf{e}_i)$, i.e., the quotient Λ_β/T . It is possible to prove that any model of T , not just its term model, is decomposable. On the other hand, the set-theoretical models of λ -calculus defined after Scott's seminal work [25] are indecomposable algebras. Hence, none of these set-theoretical models has T as equational theory. This general incompleteness result has been proved in [15] for the case $n = 2$ (see also [17]).

2.4. Boolean-like algebras of finite dimension. Boolean algebras are a prototypical example of Church algebras of finite dimension, namely of dimension 2, in which all elements are 2-central. It turns out that, among the n -dimensional

Church algebras, those algebras all of whose elements are n -central inherit many of the remarkable properties that distinguish Boolean algebras.

Definition 6. An n CH \mathbf{A} is called a Boolean-like algebra of dimension n (n BA, for short) if every element of \mathbf{A} is n -central.

By Proposition 1, the algebra $\mathbf{Ce}_n(\mathbf{A})$ of all n -central elements of an n CH \mathbf{A} is a canonical example of n BA. The class of all n BA's of type τ is a variety of n CHs axiomatised by the identities B1-B3 in Theorem 3.

Example 7. The algebra $\mathbf{n} = (\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}, q^n, \mathbf{e}_1^n, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^n)$, where

$$q^n(\mathbf{e}_i, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$$

for every $i \leq n$, is a pure n BA.

Example 8. (n -Partitions) Let X be a set. An n -partition of X is an n -subset (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) of X such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i = X$ and $Y_i \cap Y_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. The set of n -partitions of X is closed under the q -operator defined in Example 2 and constitutes the algebra of all n -central elements of the Boolean vector space $\text{Set}_n(X)$ of all n -subsets of X . Notice that the algebra of n -partitions of X , denoted by $\text{Par}(X)$, is isomorphic to the n BA \mathbf{n}^X .

Several remarkable properties of Boolean algebras find some analogue in the structure theory of n BA's.

- Theorem 4.**
- (1) An n BA \mathbf{A} is subdirectly irreducible if and only if $|A| = n$.
 - (2) Any variety \mathcal{V} of n BA's is generated by the finite set $\{\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V} : |A| = n\}$. In particular, the variety of pure n BA's is generated by the algebra \mathbf{n} .
 - (3) If an n BA \mathbf{A} has a minimal subalgebra \mathbf{E} of cardinality n , then $V(\mathbf{A}) = V(\mathbf{E})$.
 - (4) Every n BA \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of $\mathbf{B}_1^{I_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{B}_k^{I_k}$ for some sets I_1, \dots, I_k and some n BA's $\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_k$ of cardinality n .
 - (5) Every pure n BA \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to a subdirect power of \mathbf{n}^I , for some set I .

However, we cannot assume that any two n -element algebras in an arbitrary variety \mathcal{V} of n BA's are isomorphic, for such algebras may have further operations over which we do not have any control.

A subalgebra of the n BA $\text{Par}(X)$ of the n -partitions on a set X , defined in Example 8, is called a *field of n -partitions on X* . The Stone representation theorem for n BA's follows.

Corollary 1. Any pure n BA is isomorphic to a field of n -partitions on a suitable set X .

3. APPLICATIONS OF n BAS TO BOOLEAN POWERS

For an algebra \mathbf{E} of a given type and a Boolean algebra B , the Boolean power of \mathbf{E} by B is the algebra $\mathcal{C}(B^*, \mathbf{E})$ of all continuous functions from the Stone space B^* of B to E , where E is given the discrete topology and the operations of E are lifted to $\mathcal{C}(B^*, \mathbf{E})$ pointwise (see, e.g., [5]). Boolean powers can be traced back to the work of Foster [9] in 1953 and turned out to be a very useful tool in universal algebra for exporting properties of Boolean algebras into other varieties.

The continuous functions from B^* to a discrete space E determine finite partitions of B^* by clopen sets. By Corollary 1 such partitions can be canonically endowed with a structure of n BA. Thus it is natural to algebraically rephrase the theory of Boolean powers by using central elements and, by the way, to generalise Boolean powers to arbitrary semiring powers. We define the semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ of an arbitrary algebra \mathbf{E} by a semiring R as an algebra whose universe is the set of central elements of a certain semimodule; the operations of \mathbf{E} are extended by linearity.

We obtain the following results:

- (i) The central elements of an R -semimodule are characterised by those finite sets of elements of R that are fully orthogonal, idempotent and commuting (Theorem 5).
- (ii) If R is a Boolean algebra, then the algebraically defined semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power $\mathcal{C}(R^*, \mathbf{E})$ (Theorem 6).
- (iii) For every semiring R , the semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power $\mathcal{C}(C(R)^*, \mathbf{E})$ of \mathbf{E} by the Boolean algebra $C(R)$ of complemented and commuting elements of R (Theorem 7).

Let \mathbf{E} be an algebra of type τ and R be a (non necessarily commutative) semiring. Consider the R -semimodule V freely generated by the set E . A vector of V is a linear combination $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E} v_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{e}$, where $v_{\mathbf{e}} \in R$ is a scalar and $v_{\mathbf{e}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $\mathbf{e} \in E$. Let us observe that $\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E} v_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{e}$ *always converges*, since it involves only finitely many $e \in E$.

Every operation $g^{\mathbf{E}}$ of the algebra \mathbf{E} can be linearly lifted to an operation g^V on V :

$$g^V(\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_k \in E} (v_{\mathbf{d}_1}^1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_{\mathbf{d}_k}^k) g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_k)$$

For every finite nonempty $I = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\} \subseteq E$, we define (cf. Example 3):

$$q_I^V(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\mathbf{e}_i} \mathbf{w}^i = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in E} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_{\mathbf{e}_i} w_{\mathbf{d}}^i \right) \mathbf{d},$$

and we call a vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ *I-central* if it is an n -central element with respect to the operation q_I in the algebra

$$V_{\mathbf{E}} = (V, +^V, f_r^V, g^V, q_J^V, \mathbf{e}^V)_{r \in R, g \in \tau, J \subseteq \text{fin } E, \mathbf{e} \in E},$$

where f_r^V is the unary operation defined by $f_r^V(\mathbf{v}) = r\mathbf{v}$.

The next Theorem shows that a vector is *I-central* in $V_{\mathbf{E}}$ if and only if it can be written uniquely as an R -linear combination of fully orthogonal and commuting idempotents.

Theorem 5. *Let $I = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\} \subseteq E$. A vector $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in E} a_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{d} \in V$ is *I-central* in the algebra $V_{\mathbf{E}}$ iff the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $a_{\mathbf{d}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in E \setminus I$;
- (ii) $a_{\mathbf{e}_1} + \dots + a_{\mathbf{e}_n} = 1$;
- (iii) $a_{\mathbf{e}_i} x = x a_{\mathbf{e}_i}$, for all $x \in R$ and $\mathbf{e}_i \in I$;
- (iv) $a_{\mathbf{e}_i} a_{\mathbf{e}_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ a_{\mathbf{e}_i} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$ for all $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \in I$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) We check identities (B1)-(B3) of Theorem 3 for an element $\mathbf{a} \in V$ satisfying hypotheses (i)-(iv). Since no danger of confusion will be impending, throughout this proof we write a_i for $a_{\mathbf{e}_i}$ ($\mathbf{e}_i \in I$).

B1: $q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{a}$, by (i).

B2: $q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{b}) = a_1 \mathbf{b} + \dots + a_n \mathbf{b} = (\sum_{j=1}^n a_j) \mathbf{b} = 1 \mathbf{b}$, by (ii), and $1 \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$.

B3:

$$\begin{aligned} q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}^1 + \mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n + \mathbf{v}^n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\mathbf{w}^i + \mathbf{v}^i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{w}^i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}^i \\ &= q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n) + q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^n) \end{aligned}$$

$$q_I(\mathbf{a}, r\mathbf{w}^1, \dots, r\mathbf{w}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (r\mathbf{w}^i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i r) \mathbf{w}^i = \sum_{i=1}^n (r a_i) \mathbf{w}^i$$

by (iii), and $\sum_{i=1}^n (r a_i) \mathbf{w}^i = r q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $g \in \tau$ to be a binary operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
g^V(q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{t}^1, \dots, \mathbf{t}^n), q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n)) &= g^V(a_1 \mathbf{t}^1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{t}^n, a_1 \mathbf{w}^1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{w}^n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i a_j) g^V(\mathbf{t}^i, \mathbf{w}^j) \text{ (linearity)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g^V(\mathbf{t}^i, \mathbf{w}^i) \text{ (iv)} \\
&= q_I(\mathbf{a}, g^V(\mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{w}^1), \dots, g^V(\mathbf{t}^n, \mathbf{w}^n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^n \in V$, $J = \{\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_k\} \subseteq E$, and Y be a $k \times n$ matrix of vectors of V . We denote by Y^j the j -th column of Y and by Y_i its i -th row. If $1 \leq s \leq n$ then we have: $q_J(\mathbf{c}^s, Y^s) = q_J(\mathbf{c}^s, Y_1^s, \dots, Y_k^s) = \sum_{l=1}^k c_{\mathbf{d}_l}^s Y_l^s$ and

$$(3.1) \quad q_I(\mathbf{a}, q_J(\mathbf{c}^1, Y^1), \dots, q_J(\mathbf{c}^n, Y^n)) = \sum_{s=1}^n a_s q_J(\mathbf{c}^s, Y^s) = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^n (a_s c_{\mathbf{d}_l}^s) Y_l^s.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mathbf{c}^j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h} \in E} c_{\mathbf{h}}^j \mathbf{h} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_{\mathbf{h}}^j \right) \mathbf{h}
\end{aligned}$$

and $J = \{\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_k\}$, we get the conclusion by applying (iii)-(iv) and (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
q_J(q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^n), q_I(\mathbf{a}, Y_1), \dots, q_I(\mathbf{a}, Y_k)) &= \sum_{l=1}^k q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^n)_{d_l} q_I(\mathbf{a}, Y_l) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k ([\sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_{d_l}^j] [\sum_{s=1}^n a_s Y_l^s]) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k (\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_{d_l}^j a_s) Y_l^s \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^n (a_s c_{d_l}^s) Y_l^s \\
&= q_I(\mathbf{a}, q_J(\mathbf{c}^1, Y^1), \dots, q_J(\mathbf{c}^n, Y^n)).
\end{aligned}$$

(\Rightarrow) Since \mathbf{a} is I -central, then by (B1) we have that $\mathbf{a} = q(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{e}_i$. This implies (i). Item (ii) follows by (B2), because $1\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 = q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1) = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i) \mathbf{e}_1$ and each vector in V can be expressed as a linear combination of elements in E in exactly one way.

To show (iii) we consider the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
(ra_1)\mathbf{e}_1 &= r(a_1\mathbf{e}_1) \\
&= rq_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) \\
&= q_I(\mathbf{a}, r\mathbf{e}_1, r\mathbf{0}, \dots, r\mathbf{0}) \text{ (B3)} \\
&= a_1(r\mathbf{e}_1) \\
&= (a_1r)\mathbf{e}_1
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $ra_1 = a_1r$ for every $r \in R$. Similarly for the other coordinates of \mathbf{a} .

From (B3)

$$q_I(\mathbf{a}, q_I(\mathbf{c}^1, Y^1), \dots, q_I(\mathbf{c}^n, Y^n)) = q_I(q_I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^n), q_I(\mathbf{a}, Y_1), \dots, q_I(\mathbf{a}, Y_n)).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{s=1}^n a_s \left(\sum_{l=1}^n c_l^s Y_l^s \right) = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (a_s c_l^s) Y_l^s =_{\text{B3}} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_l^j a_s \right) Y_l^s.$$

Fix $\mathbf{e} \in I$, a row l and column s . Let $Y_l^s = \mathbf{e}$ and $Y_r^i = \mathbf{0}$ for all $(i, r) \neq (s, l)$. Then

$$a_s c_l^s = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_l^j a_s.$$

We get $a_s = a_s a_s$ of item (iv) by putting $c_l^s = 1$ and $c_l^j = 0$ for all $j \neq s$. The last condition $a_j a_s = 0$ ($j \neq s$) is obtained by defining $c_l^s = 0$, $c_l^j = 1$ and $c_l^i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. \square

Definition 7. A vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ is called *finitely central* if \mathbf{v} is I -central for some nonempty finite subset I of E . We denote by $E[R]$ the set of all finitely central elements of $V_{\mathbf{E}}$.

Lemma 1. (i) The set $E[R]$ is a subuniverse of the algebra $(V, g^V)_{g \in \tau}$.
(ii) If E has finite cardinality n , then $E[R]$ is closed under the operation q_E^V and the algebra $(E[R], g^V, q_E^V, \mathbf{e}^V)_{\mathbf{e} \in E, g \in \tau}$ is an $n\text{BA}$.

Proof. (i) Without loss of generality, assume $g \in \tau$ to be a binary operator. Let \mathbf{v} be I -central and \mathbf{t} be J -central (for I, J finite subsets of E). Then we set:

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{w} = g^V(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \in E} (v_{\mathbf{d}} t_{\mathbf{e}}) g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}).$$

We show that \mathbf{w} is H -central, where $H = \{g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) : \mathbf{d} \in I, \mathbf{e} \in J\}$. Let

$$H_{\mathbf{c}} = \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \in I \times J : g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{c}\}.$$

Then, by (3.2) $w_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \in H_{\mathbf{c}}} v_{\mathbf{d}} t_{\mathbf{e}}$, is the \mathbf{c} -coordinate of \mathbf{w} . The conclusion follows from Theorem 5 by verifying that $w_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$ for $\mathbf{c} \notin H$, $\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in H} w_{\mathbf{e}} = 1$, $w_{\mathbf{d}} w_{\mathbf{d}} = w_{\mathbf{d}}$, $w_{\mathbf{d}} w_{\mathbf{e}} = 0$ for $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{e}$, and for all $x \in R$, $w_{\mathbf{e}} x = x w_{\mathbf{e}}$.

(ii) If an element \mathbf{v} is I -central, then by Theorem 5 \mathbf{v} is also J -central for every finite J such that $I \subseteq J \subseteq E$. Then the conclusion follows because E is finite and all elements of $E[R]$ are E -central, so that $E[R]$ is the set of all E -central elements of the algebra $(V, g^V, q_E^V, \mathbf{e}^V)_{g \in \tau, \mathbf{e} \in E}$. \square

Let us now introduce the following relevant notion:

Definition 8. The algebra $\mathbf{E}[R] = (E[R], g^{\mathbf{E}[R]})_{g \in \tau}$, called the *semiring power of \mathbf{E} by R* , is the algebra of finitely central elements of the algebra $V_{\mathbf{E}}$.

If R is a Boolean algebra and \mathbf{E} an arbitrary algebra, let $\mathcal{C}(R^*, \mathbf{E})$ be the set of continuous functions from the Stone space R^* to E , giving E the discrete topology. $\mathcal{C}(R^*, \mathbf{E})$ is a subuniverse of the algebra \mathbf{E}^{R^*} , and it is called the *Boolean power of \mathbf{E} by R* [5].

Theorem 6. If R is a Boolean algebra, then $\mathbf{E}[R]$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power $\mathcal{C}(R^*, \mathbf{E})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}_i \in I} v_{\mathbf{e}_i} \mathbf{e}_i \in E[R]$ for some $I \subseteq_{\text{fin}} E$. Given an ultrafilter $F \in R^*$, by Theorem 5 there exists exactly one $\mathbf{e}_i \in I$ such that $v_{\mathbf{e}_i} \in F$. Then we define $f_{\mathbf{v}} : R^* \rightarrow E$ as follows, for every $F \in R^*$:

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(F) = \text{the unique } \mathbf{e}_i \in I \text{ such that } v_{\mathbf{e}_i} \in F.$$

The map $\mathbf{v} \mapsto f_{\mathbf{v}}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{E}[R]$ to $\mathcal{C}(R^*, \mathbf{E})$. \square

In the remaining part of this section we prove that every semiring power is isomorphic to a Boolean power.

Let R be a semiring. An element $r \in R$ is said to be

- (i) *complemented* if there exists an element $s \in R$ such that $r + s = 1$ and $rs = 0$;
- (ii) *commuting* if, for all $t \in R$, $rt = tr$.

The complement of an element r is unique, and will be denoted by r' . Indeed, if s is another complement of r then we have: $r' = r'(r + s) = r's$ and $s = (r + r')s = r's$.

We will write $C(R)$ for the set of complemented and commuting elements of R . Every element of $C(R)$ is idempotent, because $r = r(r + r') = r^2 + rr' = r^2$.

The following lemma shows that $C(R)$ is in fact a Boolean algebra with respect to the operations $r \vee s = r + r's$, $r \wedge s = rs$ and the above defined complementation.

Lemma 2. *$C(R)$ is a Boolean algebra.*

Proof. First, we show that $C(R)$ is closed under complementation. If $r \in C(R)$, then we prove that r' is commuting: $r't = r't(r + r') = r'tr + r'tr' = r'rt + r'tr' = 0 + r'tr' = r'tr'$. By symmetry we also have $tr' = r'tr'$.

If $r, s \in C(R)$, then we prove that $r's'$ is the complement of $r \vee s$: $(r \vee s) + r's' = r + r's + r's' = r + r'(s + s') = r + r' = 1$ and $(r \vee s)r's' = (r + r's)r's' = rr's' + r'sr's' = 0 + 0 = 0$, by commutativity. Moreover, it is not difficult to check that $r \vee s$ is commuting.

As regards idempotency, $r \vee r = r + r'r = r + 0 = r$.

For De Morgan Laws, $(r \vee s)' = r's'$ and $(rs)' = r' + rs'$, since $(r + r's)r's' = rr's' + r'sr's' = 0 + r'^2ss' = 0$ and $r + r's + r's' = r + r'(s + s') = r + r' = 1$.

Concerning commutativity, $r \vee s = r + r's = (s + s')(r + r's) = sr + s'r + sr's + s'r's = sr + s'r + sr's = s(r + r') + s'r = s + s'r = s \vee r$.

Finally, $(r \vee s) \vee t = r + r's + (r \vee s)'t = r + r's + r's't = r + r'(s + s't) = r \vee (s \vee t)$, i.e. associativity holds true.

We leave to the reader the verification of the other laws. □

The following lemma presents two useful properties of $C(R)$.

Lemma 3. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C(R)$.*

- (a) $(a_1 + \dots + a_n)(a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n) = a_1 + \dots + a_n$.
- (b) *If $a_i a_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), then $a_1 + \dots + a_n = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n$.*

Proof. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i\right)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i\right)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The proof is by induction.

($n = 2$): $a_1 \vee a_2 = (a_1 \vee a_2) + a_1 a_2 = a_1 + a'_1 a_2 + a_1 a_2 = a_1 + (a_1 + a'_1) a_2 = a_1 + a_2$.

($n > 2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \cdots \vee a_n &= a_1 \vee \left(\bigvee_{j=2}^n a_j\right) \\
 &= a_1 \vee \left(\sum_{j=2}^n a_j\right) \\
 &= a_1 + \left(\sum_{j=2}^n a_j\right) \\
 &= a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n
 \end{aligned}$$

by applying the basic case of induction to a_1 and $\sum_{j=2}^n a_j$. \square

Theorem 7. *Let R be a semiring and \mathbf{E} be an algebra. The semiring power $\mathbf{E}[R]$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power $\mathcal{C}(C(R)^*, \mathbf{E})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6 it is sufficient to prove that $\mathbf{E}[R]$ coincides with $\mathbf{E}[C(R)]$.

Let $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \mathbf{e}_i \in E[R]$. By Theorem 5 the coordinates v_1, \dots, v_n are idempotent, commuting and orthogonal, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1$ and $v_i v_j = 0$, if $i \neq j$. Since $v_i + \sum_{j \neq i} v_j = 1$ and $v_i (\sum_{j \neq i} v_j) = 0$, then we get $v_i \in C(R)$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. By $v_1 + \cdots + v_n = 1$ and by Lemma 3(a) we derive $v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_n = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{v} \in E[C(R)]$.

For the converse, let $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \mathbf{e}_i \in E[C(R)]$. Then the coordinates v_1, \dots, v_n are idempotent, commuting and orthogonal in the Boolean algebra $C(R)$, i.e., $\bigvee_{i=1}^n v_i = 1$ and $v_i \wedge v_j = v_i v_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$). By Lemma 3(b), we derive $v_1 + \cdots + v_n = 1$. Thus, $\mathbf{v} \in E[R]$.

The operations on $\mathbf{E}[R]$ and those on $\mathbf{E}[C(R)]$ coincide. \square

4. REPRESENTATION THEOREMS

In this section we discuss two representation theorems. Actually, we show that any pure n BA \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to the algebra of n -central elements of a certain

Boolean vector space, namely, the free n -generated Boolean vector space over the Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$ defined in Section 4.1 (Theorem 8).

Moreover, for any finite n BA \mathbf{A} , any algebra in the variety $V(\mathbf{A})$ is isomorphic to a Boolean power of the generator \mathbf{A} (Theorem 9). A notable consequence of this result and of Theorem 10 is Foster's Theorem for primal varieties (cfr. [5, Thm. 7.4]).

We will see that the main technical tool will be the concept of an “inner” Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$ contained inside every n BA \mathbf{A} . We use $B_{\mathbf{A}}$ to define the coordinates $a_1, \dots, a_n \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$ of every element $a \in A$. Then, the map that associate to every $a \in A$ its coordinates (a_1, \dots, a_n) provides the embedding of \mathbf{A} into the n BA of n -central elements of the Boolean vector space $B_{\mathbf{A}}^n$.

4.1. The inner Boolean algebra of an n BA. Any n CA \mathbf{A} accommodates within itself Church algebras of dimension m , for any $m < n$. Indeed, if \mathbf{A} is an n CA, and $m < n$, set

$$(4.1) \quad p_m(a, a_1, \dots, a_m) = q(a, a_1, \dots, a_m, \mathbf{e}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n).$$

It is straightforward to verify that \mathbf{A} is an m CA with respect to the defined p_m and $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$. A tedious but straightforward computation shows the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let x be an n -central element of a pure n CA \mathbf{A} . Then x is m -central iff $p_m(x, y, y, \dots, y) = y$, for all $y \in A$.*

Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA. The set $B_{\mathbf{A}} = \{x \in A : p_2(x, y, y) = y\}$ of the 2-central elements of \mathbf{A} with respect to the ternary term operation p_2 and constants $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ is a Boolean algebra (see [22]).

Definition 9. *Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA. The Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$, whose operations are defined as follows:*

$$x \wedge y = p_2(x, \mathbf{e}_1, y); \quad x \vee y = p_2(x, y, \mathbf{e}_2); \quad \neg x = p_2(x, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1); \quad 0 = \mathbf{e}_1; \quad 1 = \mathbf{e}_2,$$

is called the Boolean algebra of the coordinates of \mathbf{A} .

As a matter of notation, we write $q(x, y, z, \bar{u})$ for $q(x, y, z, u, \dots, u)$. The next lemma gathers some useful properties of the algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Lemma 5. *Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA, $y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $a \in A$. Then we have:*

- (i) $q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) = y$.
- (ii) $q(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$.
- (iii) $B_{\mathbf{A}} = \{q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) : a \in A\}$.

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) &= q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, p_2(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, p_2(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1)) && \text{by } y \in B_{\mathbf{A}} \\
&= q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n), \dots, q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)) && \text{by Def. of } p_2 \\
&= q(y, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) && \text{by (B4)} \\
&= y.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $a \in A$ and $\hat{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$. As a is n -central, then by Lemma 4 $q(a, \hat{x}) \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$ iff $p_2(q(a, \hat{x}), z, z) = z$, for any $z \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(q(a, \hat{x}), z, z) &= q(q(a, \hat{x}), z, z, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n) \\
&= q(a, \dots, q(x_i, z, z, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n), \dots) && \text{by (B3)} \\
&= q(a, \dots, p_2(x_i, z, z), \dots) && \text{by Def. of } p_2 \\
&= q(a, z, \dots, z, \dots, z) && \text{by (B2)} \\
&= z && \text{by (B2)}
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) By (i)-(ii) applied to $q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1)$. □

4.2. The coordinates of an element. Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA, and σ be a permutation of $1, \dots, n$. For any $a \in A$, we write a^σ for $q(a, \mathbf{e}_{\sigma 1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\sigma n})$. In particular, if $(2i)$ is the transposition defined by $(2i)(2) = i$, $(2i)(i) = 2$ and $(2i)(k) = k$ for $k \neq 2, i$, then we have

$$a^{(2i)} = q(a, \mathbf{e}_{(2i)1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(2i)n}) = q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i-1}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n).$$

We write $q(a, \mathbf{e}_i/2; \mathbf{e}_2/i)$ for $a^{(2i)}$.

Definition 10. Let $(B_{\mathbf{A}})^n$ be the Boolean vector space of dimension n over $B_{\mathbf{A}}$. The vector of the coordinates of an element $a \in A$ is a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (B_{\mathbf{A}})^n$, where

$$a_i = q(a^{(2i)}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1).$$

Observe that by Lemma 5(ii) $a_i \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$ for every i . The next lemma shows that the coordinate a_i admits a simpler description.

Lemma 6. If \mathbf{A} is a pure n BA and $a \in A$, then $a_i = q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1)$, where \mathbf{e}_2 is at position i .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i &= q(a^{(2i)}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) && \text{by Def.} \\
&= q(q(a, \mathbf{e}_i/2; \mathbf{e}_2/i), \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) \\
&= q(q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i-1}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n), \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1) \\
&= q(a, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1) && \mathbf{e}_2 \text{ at position } i \\
&= q(a, \mathbf{e}_2/i; \mathbf{e}_1/\bar{i}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 9. By Theorem 5 an n -subset of X (see Example 8) is n -central iff it is an n -partition of X . If $P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is an n -partition of X , then the i -th coordinate of P is $(X \setminus P_i, P_i, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset)$.

The following lemma follows directly from the definition.

Lemma 7. If $a \in B_{\mathbf{A}}$, then $a_1 = \neg a$; $a_2 = a$; $a_k = 0$ ($3 \leq k \leq n$).

The coordinates of the result of an application of q to elements of A can be expressed as the result of an application of the Boolean operations of $B_{\mathbf{A}}$ to the coordinates of the arguments.

Lemma 8. Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA. For every $a, b^1, \dots, b^n \in A$ we have:

- (i) The coordinates of a are fully orthogonal, i.e., $\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ and $a_i \wedge a_k = 0$, for every $i \neq k$.
- (ii) $q(a, b^1, \dots, b^n)_i = q(a, (b^1)_i, \dots, (b^n)_i) = \bigvee_{j=1}^n (a_j \wedge (b^j)_i)$, where a_j is the j -th coordinate of a and $(b^j)_i$ is the i -th coordinate of b^j .

The join \bigvee and the meet \wedge are taken in the Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Proof. It suffices to check the previous identities in the n -element generator \mathbf{n} of the variety of pure n BA's. \square

Lemma 9. Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA. If $a, b \in A$ have the same coordinates, i.e. $a_i = b_i$ for all i , then $a = b$.

Proof. By Theorem 4 \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{n}^I , for an appropriate I . It is routine to verify in \mathbf{n}^I that if two elements have the same coordinates then they coincide, whence the same is true for \mathbf{A} . \square

4.3. The main theorems. We recall that an algebra \mathbf{A} is a retract of an algebra \mathbf{B} , and we write $\mathbf{A} \triangleleft \mathbf{B}$, if there exist two homomorphisms $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Theorem 8. Let \mathbf{A} be a pure n BA, $\text{Ce}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^n)$ be the n BA of n -central elements of the Boolean vector space $B_{\mathbf{A}}^n$, $\mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$) be the semiring power of \mathbf{n} (resp. \mathbf{A}) by $B_{\mathbf{A}}$. Then we have:

$$\mathbf{A} \cong \text{Ce}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^n) \cong \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}] \triangleleft \mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}].$$

Proof. We prove that $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$. Define the map $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ as follows, for any $a \in A$:

$$f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are the coordinates of a . The map f is well-defined, because the coordinates of a are fully orthogonal in $B_{\mathbf{A}}$. Moreover, f is injective by Lemma

9 and it preserves the operation q :

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{\mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]}(f(a), f(b^1), \dots, f(b^n)) &= q^{\mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{e}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^1 \mathbf{e}_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^n \mathbf{e}_i) \\
&= a_1(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^1 \mathbf{e}_i) + \dots + a_n(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^n \mathbf{e}_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \wedge b_i^1) \mathbf{e}_i + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \wedge b_i^n) \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n ((a_i \wedge b_i^1) \vee \dots \vee (a_i \wedge b_i^n)) \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n q^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b^1, \dots, b^n)_i \mathbf{e}_i && \text{by Lem. 8(ii)} \\
&= f(q^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b^1, \dots, b^n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that f is surjective. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$, so that $a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n = 1$ and $a_i \wedge a_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Recall from Section 4.1 the definition of the ternary operator p_2 . We will show that $f(b) = \mathbf{a}$, where

$$b = p_2(a_1, p_2(a_2, p_2(a_3, p_2(\dots p_2(a_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n-1})) \dots), \mathbf{e}_3), \mathbf{e}_2), \mathbf{e}_1).$$

We write $b^{n-1} = p_2(a_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n-1})$ and $b^i = p_2(a_i, b^{i+1}, \mathbf{e}_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-2$) in such a way that $b = b^1$.

As $\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ and $a_i \wedge a_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), we have that the complement $\neg a_i$ of a_i is equal to $\bigvee_{j \neq i} a_j$. For an arbitrary $x \in A$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(a_i, x, \mathbf{e}_i)_k &= q(a_i, x, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_3, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)_k \\
&= q(a_i, x_k, (\mathbf{e}_i)_k, (\mathbf{e}_3)_k, \dots, (\mathbf{e}_n)_k) && \text{by Lemma 8(ii)} \\
&= ((a_i)_1 \wedge x_k) \vee ((a_i)_2 \wedge (\mathbf{e}_i)_k) && \text{by Lemma 8(ii)} \\
&= (\neg a_i \wedge x_k) \vee (a_i \wedge (\mathbf{e}_i)_k) && \text{by Lemma 7}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{e}_1 = 0$ is the bottom and $\mathbf{e}_2 = 1$ is the top of $B_{\mathbf{A}}$, then by Lemma 6 we obtain:

$$p_2(a_i, x, \mathbf{e}_i)_k = \begin{cases} (\neg a_i \wedge x_i) \vee (a_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_2) = (\neg a_i \wedge x_i) \vee a_i & \text{if } k = i \\ (\neg a_i \wedge x_k) \vee (a_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_1) = \neg a_i \wedge x_k & \text{if } k \neq i \end{cases}$$

If the coordinates x_j are equal to 0 for every $j \leq i$, then we derive:

$$(\forall j \leq i. x_j = 0) \Rightarrow p_2(a_i, x, \mathbf{e}_i)_k = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } k = i \\ 0 & \text{if } k < i \\ \neg a_i \wedge x_k & \text{if } k > i \end{cases}$$

If $i = n-1$ and $x = \mathbf{e}_n$, then we have:

$$(b^{n-1})_k = p_2(a_{n-1}, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n-1})_k = \begin{cases} a_{n-1} & \text{if } k = n-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k < n-1 \\ \neg a_{n-1} \wedge (\mathbf{e}_n)_n = \neg a_{n-1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 = \neg a_{n-1} & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$$

By iterating we get

$$(b^i)_k = p_2(a_i, b^{i+1}, \mathbf{e}_i)_k = \begin{cases} a_k & \text{if } i \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k < i \\ \neg \bigvee_{j=i}^{n-1} a_j & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$$

Hence, recalling that $b = b^1$, we have the conclusion $f(b) = \mathbf{a}$.

The definition of the isomorphism $\text{Ce}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^n) \cong \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ is straightforward.

Since $\mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$, then the retraction $\mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}] \triangleleft \mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ can be defined as follows. By Theorem 4 \mathbf{A} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{n}^I for some set I . If $i \in I$, then we denote by $\pi_i : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ the projection in the i -th component of \mathbf{n}^I . Then we define $g : \mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}] \rightarrow \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$ as follows, for every $\sum_{a \in A} v_a a \in \mathbf{A}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$: $g(\sum_{a \in A} v_a a) = \sum_{a \in A} v_a \pi_i(a)$. It is easy to check that g determines a retraction. \square

We are now ready to extend the above result to any similarity type.

Theorem 9. *Let \mathbf{A} be an n BA of type τ , whose minimal subalgebra \mathbf{E} has finite cardinality n . Then we have:*

$$\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{E}[B_{\mathbf{A}}] \cong \mathcal{C}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^*, \mathbf{E}).$$

Proof. As the algebra \mathbf{E} is an expansion of the n BA \mathbf{n} by operations of type τ , it is sufficient to prove that the map $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]$, defined in the proof of Theorem 8, is a homomorphism for the type τ . The other isomorphism follows from Theorem 6.

Let now $g \in \tau$ be an operator that is supposed to be binary to avoid unnecessary notational issues. We observe that, for $a, b \in A$,

$$g^{\mathbf{E}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]}(f(a), f(b)) = g^{\mathbf{E}[B_{\mathbf{A}}]}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{e}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i \wedge b_j) g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{e}_k,$$

where

$$w_k = \bigvee_{\{(i,j): g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{e}_k\}} a_i \wedge b_j.$$

We have to show that $f(g^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b))$ is equal to $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \mathbf{e}_k$, that is, $g^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b)_k = w_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since by hypothesis the variety $V(\mathbf{A})$ generated by \mathbf{A} coincides with the variety $V(\mathbf{E})$ generated by \mathbf{E} , then we get the conclusion if the variety $V(\mathbf{E})$ satisfies the following identities:

$$g(x, y)_k = g(x_k, y_k) = \bigvee_{\{(i,j): g^{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{e}_k\}} x_i \wedge y_j,$$

where $g(x, y)_k$, x_i, y_j, x_k, y_k are coordinates. The first identity follows, because $g^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y)_k = g(g^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y), \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1)_{(B3)} = g^{\mathbf{A}}(x_k, y_k)$, where \mathbf{e}_2 is at k -position. The second identity can be easily checked in generator \mathbf{E} by considering that $B_{\mathbf{E}} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$. \square

One of the most remarkable properties of the 2-element Boolean algebra, called *primality* in universal algebra [5, Sec. 7 in Chap. IV], is the definability of all finite Boolean functions in terms of a certain set of term operations, e.g. the connectives AND, OR, NOT. This property is inherited by n BA's.

Definition 11. (1) Let \mathbf{A} be a nontrivial τ -algebra. \mathbf{A} is primal if it is of finite cardinality and, for every function $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ ($n \geq 0$), there is a τ -term $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = t^{\mathbf{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.
 (2) A variety \mathcal{V} is primal if $\mathcal{V} = V(\mathbf{A})$ for a primal algebra \mathbf{A} .

It was shown in [21] that:

Theorem 10. Let \mathbf{A} be a finite τ -algebra of cardinality n . Then \mathbf{A} is primal if and only if it is an n BA.

It follows that, if \mathbf{A} is a primal algebra of cardinality n , then the variety generated by \mathbf{A} is a variety of n BAs. Notice that varieties of n BAs generated by more than one algebra are not primal.

As a corollary to Theorem 9 and Theorem 10, we obtain Foster's Theorem for primal algebras:

Corollary 2. If \mathbf{P} is a primal algebra of cardinality n , then any $\mathbf{A} \in V(\mathbf{P})$ is isomorphic to the Boolean power $\mathcal{C}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^*, \mathbf{P})$, for the Boolean algebra $B_{\mathbf{A}}$ defined in Section 4.1.

Proof. By Theorem 10 \mathbf{P} is an n BA. If $\mathbf{A} \in V(\mathbf{P})$, then the minimal subalgebra of \mathbf{A} coincides with \mathbf{P} itself, because the constants e_1, \dots, e_n belongs to A and are closed under the operations of the algebra. By Theorem 9 \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(B_{\mathbf{A}}^*, \mathbf{P})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Arens R.F., Kaplansky I., "Topological representations of algebras", *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 63, 1948, pp. 457-481.
- [2] Barendregt H.P., *The lambda calculus: Its syntax and semantics*, North-Holland, 1984.
- [3] Burris S.N., "Boolean powers", *Algebra Universalis*, 5, 1975, pp. 341-360.
- [4] Burris S.N., McKenzie R., *Decidability and Boolean Representations*, Memoirs of the AMS, 32, 1981, no. 246.
- [5] Burris S.N., Sankappanavar H.P., *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [6] Czelakowski J., "Some remarks on transitive partial Boolean algebras", *Bulletin of the Section of Logic*, 2, 3, 1973, pp. 166-174.
- [7] Czelakowski J., "Another approach to partial Boolean algebras", *Bulletin of the Section of Logic*, 2, 3, 1973, pp. 175-177.
- [8] Czelakowski J., "Partial Boolean algebras in the broader sense", *Studia Logica*, 38, 1979, pp. 1-16.
- [9] Foster A.L., "Generalized Boolean theory of universal algebras. Part I", *Math. Zeitschrift*, 58, 1953, pp. 306-336.
- [10] Galatos N., Jipsen P., Kowalski T., Ono H., *Residuated Lattices: An Algebraic Glimpse At Substructural Logics*, volume 151 of Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [11] Gel'fand I.M., "Normierte Ringe", *Mat. Sb.* 9, 1941, pp. 3-24.
- [12] Gerla B., "Many valued logics and semirings", *Neural Networks World*, 5, 2003, pp. 467-480.

- [13] Golan J.S., *Semirings and their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [14] Gudder S., Latrémolière F., “Boolean inner-product spaces and Boolean matrices”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 431, 2009, pp. 274–296.
- [15] Manzonetto G., Salibra A., “Boolean algebras for lambda calculus”. Proceedings of the *21st Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS 2006)*, August 12–15, Seattle, USA, pp. 317–326, 2006.
- [16] Manzonetto G., Salibra A., “From lambda calculus to universal algebra and back”. in: *33th Int. Symp. on Math. Found. of Computer Science*, LNCS 5162, 2008, pp. 479–490.
- [17] Manzonetto G., Salibra A., “Applying universal algebra to lambda calculus” *J. Logic Comput.*, 20, 2010, pp. 877–915.
- [18] McKenzie R.N., McNulty G.F., Taylor W.F., *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties*, Wadsworth Brooks, Monterey, CA, 1987.
- [19] Pinus A.G., “Boolean constructions in universal algebra”, *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 47, 4, 1992, pp. 157–198.
- [20] Rosenbloom P.C., “Post algebras. I, Postulates and general theory”, *American Journal of Mathematics*, 64, 1942, pp. 167–188.
- [21] Salibra A., Bucciarelli A., Ledda A., Paoli F., “Classical logic with n truth values as a symmetric many-valued logic”, *Foundations of Science*, 2020, Online First, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10699-020-09697-7>.
- [22] Salibra A., Ledda A., Paoli F., Kowalski T., “Boolean-like algebras”, *Alg. Universalis*, 69(2), 2013, pp. 113–138.
- [23] Salibra A., Ledda A., Paoli F., “Boolean product representations of algebras via binary polynomials”, In J. Czelakowski (Ed.), *Don Pigozzi on Abstract Algebraic Logic, Universal Algebra, and Computer Science*, Outstanding Contributions to Logic Vol. 16, Springer-Verlag, 2018, pp. 297–321.
- [24] Salibra A., Ledda A., Paoli F., “Factor varieties”, *Soft Computing*, 21(6), 2017, pp. 1443–1454.
- [25] Scott D. S., “Continuous lattices”. In: *Toposes, algebraic geometry and logic*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [26] Vaggione D., “Varieties in which the Pierce stalks are directly indecomposable”, *Journal of Algebra*, 184, 1996, pp. 424–434.

A. BUCCIARELLI, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS
Email address: buccia@irif.fr

A. LEDDA, F. PAOLI, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI
Email address: antonio.ledda@unica.it, paoli@unica.it

A. SALIBRA, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS
Email address: salibra@unive.it