

MORSE-NOVIKOV COHOMOLOGY FOR BLOW-UPS OF COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

LINGXU MENG

ABSTRACT. The weight θ -sheaf $\mathbb{R}_{X,\theta}$ helps us to reinterpret Morse-Novikov cohomologies via sheaf theory. We give several theorems of Künneth and Leray-Hirsch types. As applications, we prove that the θ -Lefschetz number is independent of θ and calculate the Morse-Novikov cohomologies of projective bundles. Based on these results, we give two blow-up formulae on (*not necessarily compact*) complex manifolds, where the self-intersection formulae play a key role in establishing the explicit expressions for them.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, all smooth manifolds are assumed to be *connected*, *paracompact* and all submanifolds (resp. complex submanifolds) are assumed to be *closed* (in the topological sense) *embedded smooth* (resp. *complex*) *submanifolds without boundary*. For a smooth manifold X and a closed one-form θ on X , let $\mathcal{A}^p(X)$ be the space of smooth p -forms and define $d_\theta : \mathcal{A}^p(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}(X)$ as $d_\theta \alpha = d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^p(X)$. Obviously, $d_\theta \circ d_\theta = 0$, so $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ is a complex. Denote its p -th cohomology by $H_\theta^p(X)$, which is called the *Morse-Novikov cohomology* [22, 24, 33], *Lichnerowicz cohomology* [3, 16], *adapted cohomology* [9, 30], or *d_θ -cohomology* [1, 2, 12]. In this article, we call it the *Morse-Novikov cohomology*. Similarly, $H_{\theta,c}^p(X)$ is defined as the p -th cohomology group of the complex $(\mathcal{A}_c^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ of the spaces of smooth forms with compact supports, which are called the p -th *Morse-Novikov cohomology with compact support*. Clearly, if $\theta = 0$, they are just the de Rham cohomology and the de Rham cohomology with compact support.

The Morse-Novikov cohomology was originally defined by A. Lichnerowicz [18] and D. Sullivan [29] in the context of Poisson geometry and infinitesimal computations in topology, respectively. It was well used to study the locally conformally Kählerian and locally conformally symplectic structures [1, 2, 3, 9, 12, 16, 30]. S. Novikov [23] introduced a generalization of the classical Morse theory to the case of circle-valued Morse functions. A. Pajitnov [27] observed the relation of the circle-valued Morse theory to the homology with local coefficients and the perturbed de Rham differential; see also [28, p. 414-416].

Unfortunately, the Morse-Novikov cohomology is much more difficult to calculate than the de Rham cohomology, since it depends on a closed one-form. Until now, we don't know much about the Morse-Novikov cohomology. For instance, the Morse-Novikov cohomology of compact manifolds is finitely dimensional [30] and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, Poincaré duality theorem hold for the Morse-Novikov cohomology [12]. For completely solvable solvmanifolds,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C56; Secondary 55N35, 32Q55.

Key words and phrases. Morse-Novikov cohomology; blow-up; weight θ -sheaf; self-intersection formula; Leray-Hirsch theorem.

the Morse-Novikov cohomology coincides with the cohomology of the corresponding Lie algebra [22]. A well-known result is that a compact Riemannian manifold X endowed with a parallel one-form θ has trivial Morse-Novikov cohomology [16, 24]. By Atiyah-Singer index theorem, the Euler characteristic of Morse-Novikov cohomology coincides with the classical Euler characteristic [3]. For a Morse's function f and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_{\lambda df}$ is just the Witten deformation, which was used to prove strong Morse inequalities by E. Witten [32]. Moreover, there are some results for specific examples, see [2, 12, 22, 25, 26]. More geometric, topological and dynamical applications of Morse-Novikov cohomology can be found in [10, 28].

On complex manifolds, if θ is a complex closed one-form, the cohomology $H_{\theta}^*(X)$ can be viewed as the cohomology of a flat bundle, i.e., the weight line bundle [23, 24, 33], or a locally constant sheaf of \mathbb{C} -modules of rank 1 [29]. As we know, the two viewpoints are equivalent. We will study the Morse-Novikov cohomologies by the language of locally constant sheaves, which is much more convenient.

The self-intersection formula is important in the intersection theory. We establish it for Morse-Novikov cohomologies as follows, which plays a key role in writing out the explicit expressions of blow-up formulae.

Lemma 1.1 (Self-intersection formulae). *Let Y be an oriented submanifold of an oriented smooth manifold X of codimension r . Denote by $i : Y \rightarrow X$ the inclusion and by $[Y] \in H_{dR}^r(X)$ the fundamental class of Y in X . Assume that θ is a closed one-form on X . Then*

$$i^* i_* \sigma = [Y]|_Y \cup \sigma.$$

for $\sigma \in H_{\theta|_Y}^*(Y)$ or $H_{\theta|_Y, c}^*(Y)$.

X.-D Yang and G. Zhao [33] proved that there exists a blow-up formula of Morse-Novikov cohomology under some assumptions on closed one-forms for compact locally conformally Kählerian manifolds, which generalized the corresponding result of singular cohomology for compact Kähler manifolds [17, Proposition 13.1][31, Theorem 7.31]. It seems difficult to write out the expression explicitly. We will establish blow-up formulae of Morse-Novikov cohomologies without additional assumptions for arbitrary complex manifolds. Moreover, we express them explicitly by Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Blow-up formulae). *Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of a complex manifold X along a complex submanifold Y of complex codimension r . Assume that θ is a closed one-form on X and $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^* \theta$. Set $E = \pi^{-1}(Y)$ and let $i_E : E \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the inclusion. Then*

$$\pi^* + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E*} \circ (h^{i-1} \cup) \circ (\pi|_E)^* \quad (1.1)$$

gives isomorphisms

$$H_{\theta}^k(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} H_{\theta|_Y}^{k-2i}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\tilde{\theta}}^k(\tilde{X}), \quad (1.2)$$

$$H_{\theta, c}^k(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} H_{\theta|_Y, c}^{k-2i}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\tilde{\theta}, c}^k(\tilde{X}) \quad (1.3)$$

for any k , where $\pi|_E : E \rightarrow Y$ is viewed as the complex projectivization $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{Y/X})$ of the normal bundle $N_{Y/X}$ of Y in X and $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(-1)) \in H_{dR}^2(E)$ is the first Chern class of the universal line bundle $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$ over E .

Remark 1.3. For a smooth manifold X , set $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}^k = \mathcal{A}_X^k \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X,\mathbb{C}}^k = \mathcal{D}_X^k \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. For a complex closed one-form θ , we can define the Morse-Novikov cohomology as the one in the real case. *All arguments in this article hold for both real and complex cases.* In what follows, we only consider the cases for *real* closed one-forms.

Using the expression (1.1), we can easily generalize Theorem 1.2 to the cases of the cohomologies with values in local systems by Mayer-Vietoris sequences [20]. After the first version [19] of the present paper, Y. Chen, S. Yang [6] and Y. Zou [34] used different proofs to obtain the blow-up formula on compact complex manifolds without proofs of self-intersection formula and expression (1.1). They depend more on sheaf theory (more precisely says, the local systems) and the compactness is necessary there. The present paper contains many interesting results and inimitable techniques for Morse-Novikov cohomology, which seem difficult to be generalized to the cohomology with the value in a general local system (see Sect. 6 for more explanations) and are valuable for the study of locally conformally Kählerian and locally conformally symplectic structures.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we study the weight θ -sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$ and its relationship with the Morse-Novikov cohomology. In Sect. 3, the Künneth theorems are established for Morse-Novikov cohomologies. As an application, we generalize a result of G. Bande and D. Kotschick on the Euler characteristic with a much more elementary proof. In Sects. 4-5, Theorem 4.2, Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are proved respectively. In Sect. 6, we explain the particularity of the Morse-Novikov cohomology compared with the cohomology with the value in a general local system.

Notations. We fix some notations in this article.

- H^* the graded vector space $\bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H^p$;
- $H_1^* \otimes H_2^*$ the graded vector space satisfies that $(H_1^* \otimes H_2^*)^p = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} H_1^r \otimes H_2^s$.

Assume that X is a smooth manifold and Y is a closed submanifold of X .

- $\mathcal{A}^p(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_c^p(X)$) the space of real-valued smooth p -forms (resp. smooth p -forms with compact supports) on X ;
- \mathcal{A}_X^p the sheaf of germs of real-valued smooth p -forms on X ;
- $\dim X$ the (real) dimension of X ;
- $\text{codim} Y$ the (real) codimension of Y in X .

In addition, assume that X is oriented.

- $\mathcal{D}^p(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_c^p(X)$) the space of real-valued p -currents (resp. p -currents with compact supports) on X ;
- \mathcal{D}_X^p the sheaf of germs of p -currents on X .

2. MORSE-NOVIKOV COHOMOLOGY

2.1. Weight θ -sheaf. Let X be an n -dimensional smooth manifold and θ a closed one-form on X . For an open subset $U \subseteq X$, define $d_{\theta|_U} : \mathcal{A}^p(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}(U)$ as $d_{\theta|_U} \alpha = d\alpha + \theta|_U \wedge \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^p(U)$. One easily checks that all $d_{\theta|_U}$ for open subsets $U \subseteq X$ give a morphism $d_\theta : \mathcal{A}_X^p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p+1}$ of sheaves of \mathbb{R} -modules. Clearly, $d_\theta \circ d_\theta = 0$.

Definition 2.1. The kernel of $d_\theta : \mathcal{A}_X^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^1$ is called the *weight θ -sheaf*, denoted by $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$.

Locally, $\theta = du$ for a smooth function u , so $d_\theta = e^{-u} \circ d \circ e^u$ and $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} = \mathbb{R}e^{-u}$. Hence, the weight θ -sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$ is a locally constant sheaf of \mathbb{R} -modules of rank 1 and there is a soft resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{A}_X^0 \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \mathcal{A}_X^1 \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \mathcal{A}_X^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion.

Assume that X is *oriented*. For any open subset $U \subseteq X$, define $d_{\theta|_U} : \mathcal{D}^p(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{p+1}(U)$ as $d_{\theta|_U} T = dT + \theta|_U \wedge T$ for $T \in \mathcal{D}^p(U)$. One easily checks that all $d_{\theta|_U}$ for open subsets $U \subseteq X$ give a morphism $d_\theta : \mathcal{D}_X^p \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p+1}$ of sheaves of \mathbb{R} -modules. For any $T \in \mathcal{D}^p(U)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c^{n-p-1}(U)$, $d_{\theta|_U} T(\alpha) = (-1)^{p+1} T(d_{-\theta|_U} \alpha)$, so $d_\theta \circ d_\theta = 0$. Locally, $d_\theta = e^{-u} \circ d \circ e^u$ on \mathcal{D}_X^* for a smooth function u , which implies $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} = \ker(d_\theta : \mathcal{D}_X^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^1)$. There is another soft resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{D}_X^0 \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \mathcal{D}_X^1 \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \mathcal{D}_X^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion.

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a smooth manifold and θ a closed one-form on X . Denote by $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_X$ the constant sheaf with stalk \mathbb{R} on X .

(1) There exists an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}_X$ if and only if θ is exact. More precisely, if $\theta = du$ for $u \in \mathcal{A}^0(X)$, then $h \mapsto e^u \cdot h$ gives an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_X$ of sheaves.

(2) For a closed one-form μ on X , the tensor product $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{R}}_X} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\mu} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta+\mu}$. In particular, the dual sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}^\vee \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,-\theta}$.

(3) Suppose that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a smooth map between smooth manifolds. Then the inverse image sheaf $f^{-1} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{Y,f^* \theta}$.

(4) Suppose that Y is a smooth manifold and η is a closed one-form on Y . Let pr_1 and pr_2 be projections of $X \times Y$ onto X and Y respectively. Then the external product $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{Y,\eta} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X \times Y, pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* \eta}$.

Proof. Assume that $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$ is a constant sheaf. Then $\{f \in \mathcal{A}^0(X) \mid d_\theta f = 0\} = \Gamma(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. By solving a simple first-order ordinary differential equation, θ is exact on X , see [12, Example 1.6] for details. Conversely, if $\theta = du$, $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} = \mathbb{R}e^{-u}$. We get (1). Locally, $\theta = du$ and $\mu = dv$ for smooth functions u and v . Then $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} = \mathbb{R}e^{-u}$, $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\mu} = \mathbb{R}e^{-v}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta+\mu} = \mathbb{R}e^{-u-v}$ locally. Evidently, products of functions give an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{R}}_X} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta+\mu}$ of sheaves, i.e., (2) holds. Locally, $\theta = du$ for smooth functions u and then $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} = \mathbb{R}e^{-u}$, $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{Y,f^* \theta} = \mathbb{R}e^{-f^* u}$. So the pullbacks of functions give an isomorphism $f^{-1} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{Y,f^* \theta}$, i.e., (3) holds. By (2) and (3), we get (4) immediately. \square

2.2. Morse-Novikov cohomology. Suppose that θ is a closed one-form on a smooth manifold X . The cohomologies $H_\theta^*(X) = H^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ and $H_{\theta,c}^*(X) = H^*(\mathcal{A}_c^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ are said to be the *Morse-Novikov cohomology* and *Morse-Novikov cohomology with compact supports* respectively. Let $(\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_\theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of the complex $(\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_\theta)$ of sheaves in the category of sheaves on X . Then it induces isomorphisms

$$H_\theta^*(X) = H^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X), d_\theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)) = H^*(X, \mathbb{R}_{X,\theta}),$$

$$H_{\theta,c}^*(X) = H^*(\mathcal{A}_c^\bullet(X), d_\theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)) = H_c^*(X, \mathbb{R}_{X,\theta}),$$

which are both denoted by ρ . That is to say, *the two kinds of Morse-Novikov cohomologies can be both viewed as the cohomologies of the weight θ -sheaf $\mathbb{R}_{X,\theta}$ via ρ* . For a d_θ -closed $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_c^*(X)$), denote by $[\alpha]_\theta$ (resp. $[\alpha]_{\theta,c}$) its class in $H_\theta^*(X)$ (resp. $H_{\theta,c}^*(X)$). Moreover, assume that X is *oriented*. The natural inclusion $(\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_\theta) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D}'_X^\bullet, d_\theta)$ induces an isomorphism $H_\theta^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{D}'^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ and $H_{\theta,c}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{D}'_c^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$. We will *not distinguish* $H_\theta^*(X)$ and $H^*(\mathcal{D}'^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$ (resp. $H_{\theta,c}^*(X)$ and $H^*(\mathcal{D}'_c^\bullet(X), d_\theta)$). For a d_θ -closed $T \in \mathcal{D}'^*(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'_c^*(X)$), denote by $[T]_\theta$ (resp. $[T]_{\theta,c}$) its class in $H_\theta^*(X)$ (resp. $H_{\theta,c}^*(X)$). Assume that u is a smooth function on X . The isomorphism $e^{-u} \cdot : (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_\theta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_{\theta+du})$ of complexes of sheaves induces isomorphisms

$$e^{-u} \cdot : H_\theta^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\theta+du}^*(X), [\alpha]_\theta \mapsto [e^{-u}\alpha]_{\theta+du}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$e^{-u} \cdot : H_{\theta,c}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\theta+du,c}^*(X), [\alpha]_{\theta,c} \mapsto [e^{-u}\alpha]_{\theta+du,c}. \quad (2.2)$$

For an open set $W \subseteq X$, we briefly write $H_{\theta|_W}^*(W)$ and $H_{\theta|_W,c}^*(W)$ as $H_\theta^*(W)$ and $H_{\theta,c}^*(W)$ respectively.

2.3. Pullback and Pushforward. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map between oriented smooth manifolds and θ a closed one-form on Y . Set $\tilde{\theta} = f^*\theta$ and $r = \dim X - \dim Y$. Define the *pullback* $f^* : H_\theta^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(X)$ as $[\alpha]_\theta \mapsto [f^*\alpha]_{\tilde{\theta}}$ and the *pushforward* $f_* : H_{\tilde{\theta},c}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\theta,c}^{*-r}(Y)$ as $[T]_{\tilde{\theta},c} \mapsto [f_*T]_{\theta,c}$. Moreover, if f is proper, we can also define $f^* : H_{\theta,c}^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{\theta},c}^*(X)$ and $f_* : H_\theta^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\theta}^{*-r}(Y)$, in the same way. Actually, the condition “*oriented*” is not necessary for the definitions of pullbacks. By Lemma 2.2 (3) and [5, II. 8.1], the pullback defined here is compatible with the ones defined on cohomologies of sheaves, namely,

$$\rho(f^*\sigma) = f^*\rho(\sigma) \quad (2.3)$$

for any $\sigma \in H_\theta^*(Y)$ (resp. $H_{\theta,c}^*(Y)$ if f is proper).

Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an open subset U into a (not necessarily orientable) smooth manifold X . For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on U , $j_!\mathcal{F}$ is the sheaf on X defined as

$$\Gamma(V, j_!\mathcal{F}) = \{ s \in \Gamma(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}) \mid \text{the support of } s \text{ is closed relative to } V \}$$

for every open subset V in X . By [14, p. 184, Corollary 7.3], there is a canonical isomorphism $H_c^*(X, j_!\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^*(U, \mathcal{F})$. For any sheaf \mathcal{G} on X , the adjunction morphism $j_!j^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces a morphism $H_c^*(X, j_!j^{-1}\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_c^*(X, \mathcal{G})$. Hence we obtain the morphism $j_! : H_c^*(U, j^{-1}\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_c^*(X, \mathcal{G})$; see [14, II. 6, III. 7] for more details. In particular, we have the morphism $j_! : H_c^*(U, \mathbb{R}_{U,\theta}) \rightarrow H_c^*(X, \mathbb{R}_{X,\theta})$. Denote by $j_* : \mathcal{A}_c^*(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_c^*(X)$ the extension by zero, which induces the morphism $j_* : H_{\theta,c}^*(U) \rightarrow H_{\theta,c}^*(X)$. If X is *oriented*, it coincides with the above pushforward j_* defined by currents.

Whenever X is *orientable or not*, we have

Proposition 2.3. *Via ρ , j_* is compatible with $j_!$ on the cohomology of sheaves, i.e., the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\theta,c}^*(U) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H_c^*(U, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{U,\theta}) \\ \downarrow j_* & & \downarrow j_! \\ H_{\theta,c}^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H_c^*(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}). \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet the complex $(\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet, d_\theta)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ and $j^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\bullet$ be injective resolutions of complexes \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet and $j^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ of sheaves, respectively. Then \mathcal{I}^\bullet and \mathcal{J}^\bullet are injective resolutions of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{U,\theta}$, respectively. Since $j_!$ is an exact functor, $j_!j^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet \rightarrow j_!\mathcal{J}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic. By [14, p. 41, 6.2], there exists a morphism $j_!\mathcal{J}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ of complexes such that the right triangle in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} j_!\mathcal{A}_U^\bullet = j_!j^{-1}\mathcal{A}_X^\bullet & \longrightarrow & j_!j^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & j_!\mathcal{J}^\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^\bullet & & \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

is commutative up to a homotopy. Clearly, the left square in (2.4) is commutative. Considering cohomologies with compact support for (2.4), we conclude the proof. \square

Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an open subset U into an n -dimensional *oriented* smooth manifold X . For a current $T \in \mathcal{D}^p(X)$, the current j^*T is defined as $\langle j^*T, \beta \rangle = \langle T, j_*\beta \rangle$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_c^{n-p}(U)$, where \langle, \rangle is the pair of the topological dual between currents and smooth forms with compact supports. Let θ be a closed one-form on X . Clearly, $d_{\theta|_U}(j^*T) = j^*(d_\theta T)$, so j^* induces $H_\theta^p(X) \rightarrow H_\theta^p(U)$. It coincides with the pullback j^* defined via forms as above, since $\int_U j^*\alpha \wedge \beta = \int_X \alpha \wedge j_*\beta$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^p(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_c^{n-p}(U)$.

2.4. Cup product. Let X be a smooth manifold and θ, μ closed one-forms on X . Then

$$d_{\theta+\mu}(\beta \wedge \gamma) = d_\theta\beta \wedge \gamma + (-1)^{\deg\beta}\beta \wedge d_\mu\gamma,$$

where β and γ are in $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ or $\mathcal{D}'^*(X)$, but not both in $\mathcal{D}'^*(X)$. So we can define a *cup product*

$$\cup : H_\theta^p(X) \times H_\mu^q(X) \rightarrow H_{\theta+\mu}^{p+q}(X)$$

as $([\alpha]_\theta, [\beta]_\mu) \mapsto [\alpha \wedge \beta]_{\theta+\mu}$ for any d_θ -closed $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^p(X)$ and d_μ -closed $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^q(X)$. It can also be defined by the wedge product between smooth forms and currents. The two definitions coincide. Similarly, we can define the cup products between $H_\theta^p(X)$ and $H_{\mu,c}^q(X)$ or $H_{\theta,c}^p(X)$ and $H_{\mu,c}^q(X)$. By Lemma 2.2 (2) and [5, II. 7.5], the cup product defined here is compatible with the one defined on cohomologies from sheaf theory, that is to say,

$$\rho(\sigma \cup \tau) = \rho(\sigma) \cup \rho(\tau) \quad (2.5)$$

for any $\sigma \in H_\theta^p(X)$ or $H_{\theta,c}^p(X)$ and $\tau \in H_\mu^q(X)$ or $H_{\mu,c}^q(X)$.

By [8, Corollary 3.3.12] and Lemma 2.2 (2), we get the *Poincaré duality theorem* for Morse-Novikov cohomologies as follows.

Corollary 2.4 ([12, Corollary 1.4]). *Let X be an oriented smooth manifold of dimension n and θ a closed one-form on X . Then*

$$PD : H_\theta^p(X) \rightarrow (H_{-\theta,c}^{n-p}(X))^*$$

is an isomorphism for any p , where $PD([\alpha]_\theta)([\beta]_{-\theta,c}) = \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta$ and $$ denote the algebraic dual of a vector space.*

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper smooth map between oriented smooth manifolds and let θ, μ be closed one-forms on Y . Set $\tilde{\theta} = f^*\theta$. Since

$$f_*(T \wedge f^*\beta) = f_*T \wedge \beta \quad (2.6)$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{D}'^*(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^*(Y)$, we have the *projection formula*

$$f_*(\sigma \cup f^*\tau) = f_*(\sigma) \cup \tau \quad (2.7)$$

for $\sigma \in H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(X)$ or $H_{\tilde{\theta},c}^*(X)$ and $\tau \in H_\mu^*(Y)$ or $H_{\mu,c}^*(Y)$. If $\sigma \in H_{\tilde{\theta},c}^*(X)$ and $\tau \in H_\mu^*(Y)$, the condition “proper” on f can be removed in (2.7). By the projection formula, we get

Corollary 2.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper surjective smooth map of oriented smooth manifolds with the same dimensions and $\deg f \neq 0$. Suppose that θ is a closed one-form on Y and set $\tilde{\theta} = f^*\theta$. Then $f^* : H_\theta^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(X)$ is injective and $f_* : H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(X) \rightarrow H_\theta^*(Y)$ is surjective. They also hold for the Morse-Novikov cohomology with compact support.*

2.5. Cartesian product. Suppose that θ and μ are closed one-forms on smooth manifolds X and Y respectively. Let pr_1 and pr_2 be projections from $X \times Y$ onto X and Y respectively. Set $\omega = pr_1^*\theta + pr_2^*\mu$. The map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto pr_1^*(\alpha) \wedge pr_2^*(\beta)$ induces the *cartesian products*

$$\begin{aligned} \times : H_\theta^p(X) \times H_\mu^q(Y) &\rightarrow H_\omega^{p+q}(X \times Y), \\ \times : H_{\theta,c}^p(X) \times H_{\mu,c}^q(Y) &\rightarrow H_{\omega,c}^{p+q}(X \times Y). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3) and (2.5), the cartesian products defined here are compatible with the ones defined on cohomologies of sheaves, i.e., $\rho(\sigma \times \tau) = \rho(\sigma) \times \rho(\tau)$ for any $\sigma \in H_\theta^p(X)$ (resp. $H_{\theta,c}^p(X)$) and $\tau \in H_\mu^q(Y)$ (resp. $H_{\mu,c}^q(Y)$).

3. KÜNNETH THEOREMS

Recall some constructions in [12, Lemma 1.1]. Consider the trivial bundle $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ over \mathbb{R}^n , where F is a smooth manifold. Suppose that Θ is a closed one-form on $\mathbb{R}^n \times F$. Let $pr_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow F$ be the second projection and $i_0 : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ an inclusion which maps f to $(0, f)$. Assume that t is the coordinate of the first factor of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F$. For any p , define the *contraction operator* $i(\partial/\partial t) : \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ as

$$i(\partial/\partial t)(\Upsilon)(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) = \Upsilon(\partial/\partial t, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})$$

for any $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ and arbitrary smooth tangent vector fields X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F$. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ map (t, x, f) to $((1-t)x, f)$, which gives a smooth homotopy between $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n \times F}$ and $i \circ pr_2$. Set $u_s = \int_0^s i(\partial/\partial t)(g^*\Theta)dt$. Define $K : \mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{R} \times F) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ as

$$K(\alpha) = \int_0^1 e^{u_t} \cdot i(\partial/\partial t)(g^*\alpha)dt. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$pr_2^* i_0^* \Theta - \Theta = du_1 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$e^{u_1} pr_2^* i_0^* \alpha - \alpha = d_\Theta K(\alpha) + K(d_\Theta \alpha) \quad (3.3)$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$, see the proof of [12, Lemma 1.1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let θ be a closed one-form on F and $\tilde{\theta} = pr_2^* \theta$. Then $pr_2^* : H_\theta^*(F) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ is an isomorphism and i_0^* is its inverse isomorphism.*

Proof. Assume that $g, i(\partial/\partial t)$ and u_s are defined as above, where $\Theta = \tilde{\theta}$. Clearly, $i(\partial/\partial t)(g^* \tilde{\theta}) = 0$, and then $u_s = 0$. By (3.3), $pr_2^* \circ i_0^* = id$ on $H_{\tilde{\theta}}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$. Notice that $pr_2 \circ i_0 = id_F$, from which our assertion follows. \square

3.1. A Künneth theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X, Y be smooth manifolds and let θ, μ be closed one-forms on X, Y respectively. Set $\omega = pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* \mu$, where pr_1, pr_2 are projections from $X \times Y$ onto X, Y respectively. Then the cartesian product gives an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$H_{\theta,c}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{\omega,c}^*(X \times Y).$$

Moreover, if $H_\theta^(X)$ or $H_\mu^*(Y)$ has finite dimension, the cartesian product also gives an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$H_\theta^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_\mu^*(Y) \rightarrow H_\omega^*(X \times Y).$$

Proof. By [5, II. 15.2], the first part holds. Following [4, II. Proposition 9.12], we easily get the second part. \square

Remark 3.3. If X is compact and $H_\mu^*(Y)$ is of finite dimension, the second part of Theorem 3.2 can be immediately obtained by [7, IV. Theorem (15.10)].

If $H_\theta^*(X)$ is of finite dimension, define $b_p(X, \theta) = \dim H_\theta^p(X)$ and $\chi(X, \theta) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p b_p(X, \theta)$, which are called the θ -betty number and θ -Euler-characteristic respectively. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a smooth self-map of an oriented compact smooth manifold X and let θ be a closed one-form on X satisfying $f^* \theta = \theta$. Then f induces an endomorphism $f^* : H_\theta^*(X) \rightarrow H_\theta^*(X)$. Define the θ -Lefschetz number of f as

$$L(f, \theta) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \text{tr}(f^*|_{H_\theta^p(X)}),$$

where $\text{tr}(f^*|_{H_\theta^p(X)})$ is the trace of the endomorphism $f^*|_{H_\theta^p(X)}$.

Example 3.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a smooth self-map of an oriented compact smooth manifold X and θ a closed one-form on X . The triple (X, θ, f) satisfies the assumptions in the definition of θ -Lefschetz number in the following cases:

- (1) $(X, 0, f)$ for any f . In this case, $L(f, 0) = L(f)$ is the classical Lefschetz number.
- (2) (X, θ, id_X) for any θ . In this case, $L(id_X, \theta) = \chi(X, \theta)$.
- (3) $(X, \theta, g \cdot)$, where X is a smooth manifold with an action by a group G , θ is a G -invariant one-form on X and $g \cdot : X \rightarrow X$ is the action on X by $g \in G$.

The θ -betti number, θ -Euler-characteristic and θ -Lefschetz number are generalizations of the corresponding concepts on the de Rham cohomology. Actually, the latter two coincide with the classical ones as follows.

Proposition 3.5. $L(f, \theta) = L(f)$. In particular, $\chi(X, \theta) = \chi(X)$.

Proof. Set $\dim X = n$. Let $\{[\alpha_i]_\theta\}$ be a basis of $H_\theta^*(X)$ and $\{[\beta_j]_{-\theta}\}$ their dual basis in $H_{-\theta}^*(X)$ under Poincaré duality, i.e., $\int_X \alpha_i \wedge \beta_j = \delta_{ij}$, where α_i, β_j are all of pure degrees and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Suppose that pr_1, pr_2 are two projections from $X \times X$ onto X . Let Δ be the diagonal of $X \times X$ and Γ_f the graph of f in $X \times X$. Let $i : \Delta \rightarrow X \times X$ and $i' : \Gamma_f \rightarrow X \times X$ be inclusions and let $l : X \rightarrow \Delta$ be the diagonal map and $l' : X \rightarrow \Gamma_f$ defined as $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$. Endow Δ and Γ_f with suitable orientations such that l and l' are diffeomorphisms of preserving orientations. By Theorem 3.2, the fundamental class $[\Gamma_f] \in H_{dR}^n(X \times X)$ can be written as $\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} pr_1^*[\alpha_i]_\theta \cup pr_2^*[\beta_j]_{-\theta}$ for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $f^*[\alpha_i]_\theta = \sum_j a_{ij}[\alpha_j]_\theta$. On one hand,

$$\int_{\Gamma_f} i'^*(pr_1^*\beta_i \wedge pr_2^*\alpha_j) = \int_X l'^*i'^*pr_1^*\beta_i \wedge l'^*i'^*pr_2^*\alpha_j = \int_X \beta_i \wedge f^*\alpha_j = (-1)^{\deg \alpha_i \deg \beta_i} a_{ji}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_f} i'^*(pr_1^*\beta_i \wedge pr_2^*\alpha_j) &= \int_{X \times X} [\Gamma_f] \wedge pr_1^*\beta_i \wedge pr_2^*\alpha_j \\ &= \sum_{k,l} c_{kl} (-1)^{(\deg \beta_i + \deg \alpha_j) \deg \beta_l} \cdot \int_{X \times X} pr_1^*(\alpha_k \wedge \beta_i) \wedge pr_2^*(\alpha_j \wedge \beta_l) \\ &= (-1)^{(\deg \beta_i + \deg \alpha_j) \deg \beta_j} c_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

So $c_{ij} = (-1)^{\deg \alpha_i \deg \beta_i + (\deg \beta_i + \deg \alpha_j) \deg \beta_j} a_{ji}$. The intersection number

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_f \cdot \Delta &= \int_{X \times X} [\Gamma_f] \cup [\Delta] \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \int_{\Delta} i^*pr_1^*\alpha_i \wedge i^*pr_2^*\beta_j \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \int_X \alpha_i \wedge \beta_j \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{\deg \alpha_i} a_{ii} \\ &= \sum_p (-1)^p \text{tr}(f^*|_{H_\theta^p(X)}) \\ &= L(f, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $L(f, \theta)$ is independent of θ . □

Remark 3.6. (1) For a compact complex manifold X , G. Bande and D. Kotschick [3] first pointed out that $\chi(X, \theta) = \chi(X)$. In fact, we can equip X with a Riemannian metric g and then define an operator d_θ^* as the formal L^2 -adjoint of d_θ with respect to g . Then $\chi(X, \theta)$ is

the index of the perturbed operator $d_\theta + d_\theta^*$. Notice that, $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto d_{t\theta} + d_{t\theta}^*$ is a continuous family of first-order elliptic operators, whose index is independent of t .

(2) Let Z be an oriented submanifold of an n -dimensional oriented smooth manifold X with codimension r . Assume that $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. In [7, p. 14, (2.14)], $\int_Z i^*(\bullet)$ on $\mathcal{A}_c^{n-r}(X)$ defines a current on X , which is closed. Its class in $H_{dR}^r(X)$ is denoted by $[Z]$. In [4, p. 51], the *Poincaré dual* $[\eta_Z]_{dR} \in H_{dR}^r(X)$ of Z is defined as $\int_Z i^* \omega = \int_X \omega \wedge \eta_Z$ for any closed $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_c^{n-r}(X)$. Then $[\eta_Z]_{dR} = (-1)^{r(n-r)}[Z]$. In this article, we use the notation $[Z] \in H_{dR}^r(X)$ and call it *the fundamental class* of Z .

3.2. A second Künneth theorem. Before giving another Künneth theorem, we prove a lemma, which will be frequently used in what follows.

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a smooth manifold and $\mathcal{P}(U)$ a statement for any open subset $U \subseteq X$. Assume that \mathcal{P} satisfies the conditions:*

(i) local condition: *There exists a basis \mathfrak{U} of the topology of X such that $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i)$ holds for any finitely many $U_1, \dots, U_l \in \mathfrak{U}$.*

(ii) disjoint condition: *Let $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ be a collection of disjoint open subsets of X . If $\mathcal{P}(U_n)$ hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_n)$ holds.*

(iii) Mayer-Vietoris condition: *For open subsets U, V of X , if $\mathcal{P}(U)$, $\mathcal{P}(V)$ and $\mathcal{P}(U \cap V)$ hold, then $\mathcal{P}(U \cup V)$ holds.*

Then $\mathcal{P}(X)$ holds.

Proof. We first prove:

(*) For open subsets U_1, \dots, U_r of X , if $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k U_{i_j})$ holds for any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq r$, then $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^r U_i)$ holds.

Obviously, (*) holds for $r = 1$. Suppose (*) holds for r . For $r+1$, set $U'_1 = U_1, \dots, U'_{r-1} = U_{r-1}$, $U'_r = U_r \cup U_{r+1}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k U'_{i_j}) = \mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k U_{i_j})$ holds for any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq r$, where $i_k \neq r$. Notice that $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{i_j} \cap U_r)$, $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{i_j} \cap U_{r+1})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{i_j} \cap U_r \cap U_{r+1})$ hold, so does

$$\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k U'_{i_j}) = \mathcal{P}((\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{i_j} \cap U_r) \cup (\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{i_j} \cap U_{r+1}))$$

for any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq i_k = r$ by the Mayer-Vietoris condition. By the inductive hypothesis, $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} U_i) = \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^r U'_i)$ holds. We proved (*).

Let \mathfrak{U}_f be the collection of open sets which is the finite union of open sets in \mathfrak{U} . We claim that

(**) $\mathcal{P}(V)$ holds for any finite intersection V of open sets in \mathfrak{U}_f .

Suppose $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^s U_i$, where $U_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_i} U_{i_j}$ and $U_{i_j} \in \mathfrak{U}$. Set $\Lambda = \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_s) \mid 1 \leq j_1 \leq r_1, \dots, 1 \leq j_s \leq r_s\}$ and $U_J = U_{1j_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{sj_s}$. Then $V = \bigcup_{J \in \Lambda} U_J$. For any $J_1, \dots, J_t \in \Lambda$,

$\mathcal{P}(U_{J_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{J_l})$ holds by the local condition. Hence $\mathcal{P}(V) = \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_{J \in \Lambda} U_J)$ holds by (*). We conclude (**)

By [11, p. 16, Proposition II], $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^l V_i$, where V_i is a countable disjoint union of open sets in \mathfrak{U}_f for $1 \leq i \leq l$. For any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l$, $\bigcap_{j=1}^k V_{i_j}$ is a countable disjoint union of finite intersections of open sets in \mathfrak{U}_f . By (**) and the disjoint condition, $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k V_{i_j})$ holds, so does $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by (*). We complete the proof. \square

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a smooth fiber bundle on a smooth manifold X . Set

$$cv = \{ Z \subseteq E \mid Z \text{ is closed in } E \text{ satisfying that } \pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow X \text{ is proper} \}.$$

The set $Z \in cv$ is called a *compact vertical support*. Evidently, $Z \in cv$, if and only if, $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap Z$ is compact for any compact subset $K \subseteq X$. By [5, IV. 5.3 (b), 5.5], cv is a paracompactifying family of supports on E . The sheaf \mathcal{C}_E^∞ of germs of smooth functions on E is cv -soft ([5, II. 9.4]), so is \mathcal{A}_E^p for any p ([5, II. 9.16]). By [5, II. 9.11], \mathcal{A}_E^p is cv -acyclic. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(E) = \Gamma_{cv}(E, \mathcal{A}_E^*)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_c^*(E) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(E) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(E)$. If X is compact, $\mathcal{A}_c^*(E) = \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(E)$ and if the fiber of E is compact, $\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(E) = \mathcal{A}^*(E)$.

Suppose that Θ is a closed one-form on E . By [5, II. 4.1], $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E) := H^*(\mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(E), d_\Theta)$ can be viewed as the cohomology of $\mathbb{R}_{E, \Theta}$ with supports in cv . We call $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E)$ the *compact vertical Morse-Novikov cohomology* of E . For a d_Θ -closed $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(E)$, denote by $[\alpha]_{\Theta, cv}$ its class in $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E)$.

For any open set $U \subseteq X$, set $E_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$. For open subsets $U, V \subseteq X$, we easily check that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(E_{U \cup V}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(E_U) \oplus \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(E_V) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(E_{U \cap V}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.4)$$

is an exact sequence of complexes (following [4, Proposition 2.3]), where all the differentials in complexes are d_Θ and $P(\alpha) = (\alpha|_{E_U}, \alpha|_{E_V})$, $Q(\beta, \gamma) = \beta|_{E_{U \cap V}} - \gamma|_{E_{U \cap V}}$.

Denote by E_x the fiber of E over $x \in X$ and by $i_x : E_x \rightarrow E$ the inclusion. For $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(E)$, $\text{supp}(i_x^* \omega) \subseteq E_x \cap \text{supp} \omega$ is compact, i.e., $i_x^* \omega \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(E_x)$. So i_x induces the pullback $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E) \rightarrow H_{\Theta|_{E_x}, c}^*(E_x)$ for any closed one-form Θ on E .

If E is an *oriented* manifold, $\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_E^\bullet$ induces an isomorphism $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{D}_{cv}^\bullet(E), d_\Theta)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{cv}^*(E) = \Gamma_{cv}(E, \mathcal{D}_E^*)$. For a d_Θ -closed $T \in \mathcal{D}_{cv}^*(E)$, denote by $[T]_{\Theta, cv}$ its class in $H_{\Theta, cv}^*(E)$. Moreover, assume that X and E are both *oriented* manifolds. Let $i : X \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion and $r = \text{rank} E$. For $T \in \mathcal{D}^*(X)$, $i_* T \in \mathcal{D}_{cv}^{*+r}(E)$. So i_* induce a morphism $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\Theta, cv}^{*+r}(E)$.

Let θ, μ be closed one-forms on smooth manifolds X, Y respectively and let pr_1, pr_2 be projections from $X \times Y$ onto X, Y respectively. The wedge product induces a cartesian product

$$H_\theta^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu, c}^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* \mu, cv}^*(X \times Y)$$

where $X \times Y$ is viewed as a trivial fiber bundle over X .

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a smooth manifold and let θ, μ be closed one-forms on X, \mathbb{R}^n respectively. Set $\omega = pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* \mu$, where pr_1, pr_2 are projections from $X \times \mathbb{R}^n$ onto X, \mathbb{R}^n*

respectively. The cartesian product gives an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$H_\theta^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{\omega,cv}^*(X \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $pr_1 : X \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ is viewed as a smooth fiber bundle.

Proof. For any open subset U in X , denote the cartesian product by

$$\Psi_U^p : (H_\theta^*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n))^p \rightarrow H_{\omega,cv}^p(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for any p . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(U)$ the statement that Ψ_U^p is an isomorphism for any p . Our goal is to prove that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ holds. One only needs to check the three conditions in Lemma 3.7. Clearly, \mathcal{P} satisfies the disjoint condition.

We claim that, $\mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if U is an open subset in X such that $\theta|_U$ is exact. Set $\theta|_U = dg$ for a smooth function g on U . Since \mathbb{R}^n is contractible, $\mu = df$ for a smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^n . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_\theta^*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_U^*} & H_{\omega,cv}^*(U \times \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \downarrow \cdot e^g \otimes \cdot e^f & & \downarrow \cdot e^{pr_1^* f + pr_2^* g} \\ H^*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_c^*(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\times} & H_{cv}^*(U \times \mathbb{R}^n), \end{array}$$

where the two vertical maps are isomorphisms. By [4, Proposition 6.18], the pullback of a generator of $H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}$ by pr_2^* is the Thom class of the vector bundle $U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ over U . By [4, Theorems 6.17, Remark 6.17.1], the bottom row is an isomorphism. Therefore, Ψ_U^* is isomorphic, i.e., $\mathcal{P}(U)$ holds. The claim follows. Let \mathfrak{U} be a basis of the topology of X satisfying that $\theta|_U$ is exact for any $U \in \mathfrak{U}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i)$ holds for $U_1, \dots, U_l \in \mathfrak{U}$, since θ is exact on $\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i$. So \mathcal{P} satisfies the local condition.

For open subsets U and V in X , there is a commutative diagram of Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (H_\theta^*(U \cap V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n))^{p-1} & \longrightarrow & (H_\theta^*(U \cup V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n))^p & \longrightarrow & (H_\theta^*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n))^p \oplus (H_\theta^*(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(\mathbb{R}^n))^p \cdots \\ \downarrow \Psi_{U \cap V}^{p-1} & & \downarrow \Psi_{U \cup V}^p & & \downarrow (\Psi_U^p, \Psi_V^p) \\ H_{\omega,cv}^{p-1}((U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\omega,cv}^p((U \cup V) \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\omega,cv}^p(U \times \mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H_{\omega,cv}^p(V \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cdots, \end{array}$$

where the bottom exact sequence is induced by (3.4). It implies that \mathcal{P} satisfies the Mayer-Vietoris condition by the five-lemma. \square

View $pr_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ as a trivial smooth fiber bundle and let Θ be a closed one-form on $X \times Y$. For an open subset $U \subseteq Y$, extendings by zero give a morphism $\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times Y)$. For convenience, the image in $\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times Y)$ of $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times U)$ is also denoted by α . If U and V are open subsets in Y , there is an exact sequence of *Mayer-Vietoris type* of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(X \times (U \cap V)) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(X \times U) \oplus \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(X \times V) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{A}_{cv}^\bullet(X \times (U \cup V)) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where all the differentials in complexes are d_Θ and $P(\alpha) = (\alpha, -\alpha)$, $Q(\beta, \gamma) = \beta + \gamma$. One can check it as the one for $\mathcal{A}_c^\bullet(\bullet)$, refer to [4, Proposition 2.7].

Assume that $Y = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$ is a disjoint union of smooth manifolds. For a form ω on $X \times Y$ and any compact subset $K \subseteq X$, $(K \times Y) \cap \text{supp} \omega$ is compact if and only if $(K \times Y_\alpha) \cap \text{supp} \omega$ is nonempty for only finitely many $\alpha \in I$ and they are all compact. So

$$\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times Y) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(X \times Y_\alpha),$$

where $X \times Y$ and $X \times Y_\alpha$ are viewed as smooth fiber bundles over X .

Now, we give another Künneth theorem as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *Let X and Y be smooth manifolds and let θ, μ be closed one-forms on X, Y respectively. Set $\omega = pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* \mu$, where pr_1, pr_2 are projections from $X \times Y$ onto X, Y respectively. The cartesian product gives an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$H_\theta^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(Y) \rightarrow H_{\omega,cv}^*(X \times Y),$$

where $pr_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is viewed as a smooth fiber bundle.

Proof. For any open set U in Y , the cartesian product is denoted by

$$\Psi_U^p : (H_\theta^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mu,c}^*(U))^p \rightarrow H_{\omega,cv}^p(X \times U)$$

for any p . Let $\mathcal{P}(U)$ be the statement that Ψ_U^p is an isomorphism for any p . The theorem is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ holds. We only need to check the three conditions in Lemma 3.7. Clearly, \mathcal{P} satisfies the disjoint condition. Let \mathfrak{U} be a basis of the topology of Y such that it is a good covering of Y . For any $U_1, \dots, U_l \in \mathfrak{U}$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^m , where $m = \dim Y$. By Lemma 3.8, $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i)$ holds, so \mathcal{P} satisfies the local condition. By a diagram of Mayer-Vietoris sequences and the five-lemma, \mathcal{P} satisfies the Mayer-Vietoris condition. \square

4. LERAY-HIRSCH THEOREMS

Lemma 4.1. *Let F be a smooth manifold and let $K : \mathcal{A}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times F) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$ be defined as (3.1). If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$, then $K(\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^{*-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times F)$.*

Proof. Let $pr_{23} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ be the projection map and $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times F$ map (t, x, f) to $((1-t)x, f)$. Set

$$C = pr_{23} (g^{-1}(\text{supp} \alpha) \cap ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F)).$$

Obviously, C is closed in $\mathbb{R}^n \times F$ and $g^{-1}(\text{supp} \alpha) \cap ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F) \subseteq [0, 1] \times C$. By [11, p. 179, Remark], $\text{supp} (i(\partial/\partial t)g^* \alpha) \cap ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F) \subseteq [0, 1] \times C$. So $\text{supp} K(\alpha) \subseteq C$.

For arbitrary compact subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let $h : [0, 1] \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ map (t, x) to $(1-t)x$. Then $h \times \text{id}_F$ is the restriction of g on $[0, 1] \times L \times F$. Choose a compact ball $B \supseteq L$ with the center at the original point. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(L) \cap \text{supp} K(\alpha) &\subseteq (L \times F) \cap pr_{23} (g^{-1}(\text{supp} \alpha) \cap ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times F)) \\ &= pr_{23} (([0, 1] \times L \times F) \cap g^{-1}(\text{supp} \alpha)) \\ &\subseteq pr_{23} ((h \times \text{id}_F)^{-1} ((B \times F) \cap \text{supp} \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $h \times id_F$ is proper and $(B \times F) \cap \text{supp} \alpha$ is compact, so $\pi^{-1}(L) \cap \text{supp} K(\alpha)$ is compact. We complete the proof. \square

We give a theorem of Leray-Hirsch type on Morse-Novikov cohomologies, which will be used to compute the cohomologies of projective bundles.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a smooth fiber bundle over a smooth manifold X and let θ, Ω be closed one-forms on X, E respectively. Set $\hat{\theta} = \pi^* \theta$.*

(1) *Assume that there exist classes e_1, \dots, e_r of pure degrees in $H_{\Omega}^*(E)$ such that their restrictions $e_1|_{E_x}, \dots, e_r|_{E_x}$ freely linearly generate $H_{\Omega|_{E_x}}^*(E_x)$ for every $x \in X$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \pi^*(\bullet) \cup e_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_{\theta}^{*-u_i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\hat{\theta}+\Omega}^*(E)$$

is an isomorphism, where $\text{dege}_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

(2) *Assume that there exist classes e_1, \dots, e_r of pure degrees in $H_{\Omega, cv}^*(E)$ such that their restrictions $e_1|_{E_x}, \dots, e_r|_{E_x}$ freely linearly generate $H_{\Omega|_{E_x}, c}^*(E_x)$ for every $x \in X$. Then*

$\sum_{i=1}^r \pi^(\bullet) \cup e_i$ gives two isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_{\theta, c}^{*-u_i}(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\hat{\theta}+\Omega, c}^*(E), \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_{\theta}^{*-u_i}(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\hat{\theta}+\Omega, cv}^*(E), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{dege}_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

(3) *Assume that there exist classes e_1, \dots, e_r of pure degrees in $H_{\Omega, c}^*(E)$, such that their restrictions $e_1|_{E_x}, \dots, e_r|_{E_x}$ freely linearly generate $H_{\Omega|_{E_x}, c}^*(E_x)$ for every $x \in X$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \pi^*(\bullet) \cup e_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_{\theta, c}^{*-u_i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\hat{\theta}+\Omega, c}^*(E)$$

is an isomorphism, where $\text{dege}_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. We only prove the second result in (2) and the others can be obtained similarly.

Let F be the general fiber of E and $\dim X = n$. For any open set $U \subseteq X$, set

$$\Psi_U^p = \sum_{i=1}^r \pi^*(\bullet) \cup e_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_{\theta, c}^{p-u_i}(U) \rightarrow H_{\hat{\theta}+\Omega, cv}^p(E_U),$$

where $E_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$. Let $\mathcal{P}(U)$ be the statement that Ψ_U^p is an isomorphism for any p . We aim to show that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ holds. It suffices to check the three conditions in Lemma 3.7. Clearly, \mathcal{P} satisfies the disjoint condition. By a commutative diagram of Mayer-Vietoris sequences and the five-lemma, \mathcal{P} satisfies the Mayer-Vietoris condition.

We claim that, $\mathcal{P}(U)$ holds if the open subset $U \subseteq X$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n such that E_U is smooth trivial. Let $\varphi_U : U \times F \rightarrow E_U$ be a smooth trivialization of E on U and let pr_1, pr_2 be projections from $U \times F$ onto U, F respectively, which satisfy $\pi \circ \varphi_U = pr_1$. Fixed a point $o \in U$, set $j_o : F \rightarrow U \times F$ as $f \mapsto (o, f)$. Clearly, $pr_2 \circ j_o = id_F$ and $i_o := \varphi_U \circ j_o$ is the embedding $F \hookrightarrow E_U$ of the fiber E_o over o into E_U . Set $e'_i = (\varphi_U)^* e_i$ in $H_{\varphi_U^* \Omega, cv}^*(U \times F)$,

$i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be a system of $d_{\varphi_U^* \Omega}$ -closed forms of pure degrees in $\mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(U \times F)$, such that $e'_i = [\beta_i]_{\varphi_U^* \Omega, cv}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $j_o^* e'_i = i_o^* e_i$ for any i . The linear independence of $i_o^* e_1, \dots, i_o^* e_r$ implies that e'_1, \dots, e'_r are also linearly independent, so mapping e_i to e'_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$ naturally give a linear isomorphism $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e'_1, \dots, e'_r\}$. By (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 4.1, there exists a smooth function u on $U \times F$ such that

$$pr_2^* i_0^* \Omega - \varphi_U^* \Omega = pr_2^* j_0^* \varphi_U^* \Omega - \varphi_U^* \Omega = du, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\beta_i - e^u \cdot pr_2^* j_0^* \beta_i = d_{\varphi_U^* \Omega} \gamma_i \quad (4.2)$$

for some $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^*(U \times F)$. Then

$$e^{-u} \cdot pr_1^* \alpha \wedge \beta_i = pr_1^* \alpha \wedge pr_2^* j_0^* \beta_i + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} d_{pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* i_0^* \Omega} (e^{-u} \cdot pr_1^* \alpha \wedge \gamma_i) \quad (4.3)$$

for any d_θ -closed form $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(U)$. Then $pr_1^* \alpha \wedge \gamma_i$ has a compact support for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(U)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_\theta^{p-u_i}(U) & \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=1}^r \bullet \otimes j_0^* e'_i} & (H_\theta^*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{i_0^* \Omega, c}^*(F))^p \\ \Psi_U^p \swarrow & \searrow \sum_{i=1}^r pr_1^*(\bullet) \cup e'_i & \downarrow \times \\ H_{\tilde{\theta} + \Omega, cv}^p(EU) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_U^*} H_{pr_1^* \theta + \varphi_U^* \Omega, cv}^p(U \times F) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\cdot e^{-u}} H_{pr_1^* \theta + pr_2^* i_0^* \Omega, cv}^p(U \times F) \end{array}$$

for any p , where the top map is clearly an isomorphism. By Theorem 3.9, the vertical map is an isomorphism, so is Ψ_U^p . The claim is verified. Let \mathfrak{U} be a basis of the topology of X such that it is a good covering of X and E_U is smooth trivial for any $U \in \mathfrak{U}$. For $U_1, \dots, U_l \in \mathfrak{U}$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n and $E_{U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_l}$ is smooth trivial, so $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{i=1}^l U_i)$ holds. Hence \mathcal{P} satisfies the local condition. \square

Remark 4.3. For $\Omega = 0$, H. Haddou [13] proved Theorem 4.2 (1) for the case that X has a finite good covering and Y. Kawahara [15] gave a holomorphic version of Theorem 4.2 (1) in the category of complex affine manifolds.

Let $\mathbb{P}(E)$ be the complex projectivization of a complex smooth vector bundle E of complex rank r on a smooth manifold X . Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1) = \{(l, v) \in \mathbb{P}(E) \times E \mid v \in l\}$ is a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$, which is said to be the *universal line bundle* over $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the complex projectivization of a complex smooth vector bundle E of complex rank r on a smooth manifold X and let θ be a closed one-form on X . Assume that $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^* \theta$ and $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)) \in H_{dR}^2(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is the first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*(\bullet) \cup h^i$ gives two isomorphisms*

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H_\theta^{*-i}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\theta^*(\mathbb{P}(E)), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H_{\theta, c}^{*-i}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\tilde{\theta}, c}^*(\mathbb{P}(E)). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. For every $x \in X$, $1, h, \dots, h^{r-1}$ restricted to the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}(E_x)$ is a basis of $H_{dR}^*(\mathbb{P}(E_x))$. By Theorem 4.2 (1) (2), we proved the conclusion. \square

Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is an *oriented* smooth vector bundle of rank r on a (*not necessarily orientable*) smooth manifold X and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^p(E)$. For a chart U on X satisfying that E_U is trivial, let $(x_1, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots, t_r)$ be the local coordinates of E such that $dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r$ gives the orientation of E . If $\omega = \sum_{|I|+|J|=p} f_{I,J}(t, x) dt_I \wedge dx_J$ on E_U , then

$$\sum_{|J|=p-r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} f_{1\dots r, J}(t, x) dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r \right) dx_J$$

defines a $(p-r)$ -form on U . For various charts of X , these local forms give a global one on X , denoted by $\pi_*\omega$.

Remark 4.5. Up to the sign $(-1)^{r(p-r)}$, $\pi_*\omega$ defined here coincides with the one defined in [4, p. 61-62]. Moreover, if X is *oriented*, $\pi_*\omega$ defined here is just the pushforward of ω as currents [7, p. 18, (2.16)].

By Thom isomorphism theorem [4, Theorem 12.2, (12.2.1)], $\pi_* : H_{cv}^*(E) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{*-r}(X)$ is an isomorphism. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^r(E)$ satisfy $\pi_*[\Phi]_{cv} = 1$ in $H_{dR}^0(X) = \mathbb{R}$. Then $[\Phi]_{cv} \in H_{cv}^r(E)$ is the *Thom class* of E . Evidently, $\pi_*\Phi = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}^0(X)$. In addition, assume that X is an *oriented* smooth manifold. Let $i : X \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion of the zero section of E and $r = \text{rank} E$. For $T \in \mathcal{D}'^*(X)$, $i_*T \in \mathcal{D}'_{cv}^{*+r}(E)$. So i_* induce a morphism $i_* : H_{\Theta|X}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\Theta, cv}^{*+r}(E)$.

Corollary 4.6 (Thom isomorphism). *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be an oriented smooth vector bundle of rank r on a smooth manifold X . Assume that θ is a closed one-form on X . Then $[\Phi]_{cv} \cup \pi^*(\bullet)$ gives isomorphisms $H_{\theta, c}^{*-r}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\theta, c}^*(E)$ and $H_{\theta}^{*-r}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\theta, cv}^*(E)$, which have the inverse isomorphism π_* . Moreover, if X is oriented, $[\Phi]_{cv} \cup \pi^*(\bullet)$ coincides with the pushforward i_* on both cases, where $i : X \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion of the zero section of E .*

Proof. By [4, Proposition 6.18], the restriction $[\Phi]_{dR}|_{E_x}$ is a generator of $H_{dR, c}^*(E_x)$. By Theorem 4.2 (2), $[\Phi]_{cv} \cup \pi^*(\bullet)$ gives the two isomorphisms. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(X)$, $\pi_*(\Phi \wedge \pi^*\alpha) = \alpha$, so $\pi_* : H_{\theta, c}^*(E) \rightarrow H_{\theta, c}^{*-r}(X)$ and $\pi_* : H_{\theta, cv}^*(E) \rightarrow H_{\theta}^{*-r}(X)$ are their inverse isomorphisms. If X is oriented, i_* is well-defined and $\pi_*i_* = id$. So $i_* = \pi_*^{-1} = [\Phi]_{cv} \cup \pi^*(\bullet)$. \square

5. BLOW-UP FORMULAE

Now, we prove Lemma 1.1 as follows.

Proof. Set $r = \text{codim} Y$. Let $N \cong N_{Y/X}$ (as smooth manifolds) be a tubular neighborhood of Y in X and denote by τ the projection of the vector bundle N over Y . Let $l : Y \rightarrow N$ and $j : N \rightarrow X$ be inclusions. Denote by $[Y]_N \in H_{dR}^r(N)$ the fundamental class of Y in N . Notice that j is smoothly homotopic to $i \circ \tau$. So $\theta|_N - \tau^*(\theta|_Y) = du$ for some $u \in \mathcal{A}^0(N)$. Since $\tau \circ l = id_Y$, $d(l^*u) = 0$, i.e., $l^*u = c$ is a constant. Replace u with $u - c$, then $l^*u = 0$. By (2.6),

$$e^{-u} \cdot l_*T = l_*(e^{-l^*u} \cdot T) = l_*T, \quad (5.1)$$

for any current T on Y .

By the localization principle ([4, Proposition 6.25]), there is a representative $\eta_Y \in \mathcal{A}^r(X)$ of $[Y]$ such that $\text{supp} \eta_Y \subseteq N$. Then $[\eta_Y|_N]_{dR} = [Y]_N$. We have $l_*(1) = \eta_Y|_N + dS$ for some $S \in \mathcal{D}^{r-1}(N)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*(Y)$ be a representative of $\sigma \in H_{\theta|_Y}^*(Y)$. As a current on N ,

$$\begin{aligned} l_*\alpha &= e^{-u} \cdot l_*\alpha = e^{-u} \cdot l_*l^*\tau^*\alpha \\ &= e^{-u} \cdot l_*(1) \wedge \tau^*\alpha \\ &= e^{-u} \cdot \eta_Y|_N \wedge \tau^*\alpha + d_{\theta|_N}(e^{-u} \cdot S \wedge \tau^*\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp}(l_*\alpha) \subseteq Y$, $j|_{\text{supp}(l_*\alpha)}$ is proper, which implies that $j_*(l_*\alpha)$ is defined well. Clearly, $j_*(l_*\alpha) = i_*\alpha$ and $j^*j_*(l_*\alpha) = l_*\alpha$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} i^*i_*\sigma &= l^*j^*[i_*\alpha]_{\theta} = l^*[j^*j_*l_*\alpha]_{\theta|_N} \\ &= l^*[l_*\alpha]_{\theta|_N} = l^*[e^{-u} \cdot \eta_Y|_N \wedge \tau^*\alpha]_{\theta|_N} \\ &= [Y]|_Y \cup \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_{cv}^r(N)$ be a representative of the Thom class of the vector bundle N satisfying $\tau_*\Phi = 1$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(Y)$ be a representative of $\sigma \in H_{\theta|_Y,c}^*(Y)$. By Lemma 4.6,

$$l_*\alpha = \Phi \wedge \tau^*\alpha + d_{\tau^*(\theta|_Y)}S \quad (5.2)$$

for some $S \in \mathcal{D}_c^{p+r-1}(N)$. Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$l_*\alpha = e^{-u} \cdot \Phi \wedge \tau^*\alpha + d_{\theta|_N}(e^{-u}S).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i^*i_*\sigma &= i^*j_*[l_*\alpha]_{\theta|_N,c} = i^*j_*[e^{-u} \cdot \Phi \wedge \tau^*\alpha]_{\theta|_N,c} \\ &= [l^*j^*j_*(e^{-u}\Phi \wedge \tau^*\alpha)]_{\theta|_Y,c} \\ &= [\Phi]|_Y \cup \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $j^*j_* = \text{id}$ on $\mathcal{A}_c^*(N)$. By [4, I. Proposition 6.24 (b)], $[\Phi]_{dR} = [Y]_N$ in $H_{dR}^r(N)$. Since $[Y]_N|_Y = [Y]|_Y$, $i^*i_*\sigma = [Y]|_Y \cup \sigma$.

We complete the proof. \square

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a smooth vector bundle of rank r on a smooth manifold X and Θ a closed one-form on E . Let $U \subseteq E$ be an open neighborhood of the zero section of E and denote by $i_U : X \rightarrow U$ the inclusion of the zero section of E into U . Then $i_U^* : H_{\Theta}^*(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, if X and E are oriented, then $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X,c}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Theta,c}^{*+r}(E)$ and $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Theta,cv}^{*+r}(E)$ are isomorphisms, where $i : X \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion of the zero section.*

Proof. Since $\pi|_U \circ i_U = \text{id}_X$, $i_U^* \circ (\pi|_U)^* = \text{id} : H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U) \rightarrow H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X)$. Define $g : U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ as $(e, t) \mapsto t \cdot e$. Then $g(\cdot, 0) = i_U \circ \pi|_U$ and $g(\cdot, 1) = \text{id}_U$, i.e., g gives a smooth homotopy between $i_U \circ \pi|_U$ and id_U . Clearly, $i_U \circ \pi|_U \circ g(e, t) = i_U \circ \pi|_U(e)$ is independently with t , so $i(\partial/\partial t)(g^*(\pi|_U)^*(\Theta|_X)) = 0$. By [12, Lemma 1.1], $(\pi|_U)^* \circ i_U^* = \text{id} : H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U) \rightarrow H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U)$. Hence $i_U^* : H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U) \rightarrow H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X)$ is an isomorphism. With similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we can choose

$u \in \mathcal{A}^0(U)$ such that $\Theta|_U - \pi^*(\Theta|_X)|_U = \Theta|_U - (\pi|_U)^* i_U^*(\Theta|_U) = du$ and $i_U^* u = 0$. By (2.1), $e^u \cdot : H_\Theta^*(U) \rightarrow H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U)$ is an isomorphism. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_\Theta^*(U) & \xrightarrow[e^u \cdot]{\cong} & H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X)}^*(U) \\ & \searrow i_U^* & \swarrow i_U^* \\ & H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) & \end{array}$$

is commutative, which implies that $i_U^* : H_\Theta^p(U) \rightarrow H_{\Theta|_X}^p(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Assume that X and E are oriented. By (2.6), $e^u \cdot i_* \alpha = i_*(e^{i^* u} \cdot \alpha) = i_* \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(X)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & H_{\Theta,c}^{*+r}(E) \\ & \nearrow i_* & \downarrow e^u \cdot \cong \\ H_{\Theta|_X,c}^*(X) & & \\ & \searrow i_* & \downarrow \\ & & H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X),c}^{*+r}(E). \end{array}$$

By (2.2) and Corollary 4.6, $e^u \cdot$ and $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X,c}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\pi^*(\Theta|_X),c}^{*+r}(E)$ are isomorphisms, so is $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X,c}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\Theta,c}^{*+r}(E)$. In the same way, $i_* : H_{\Theta|_X}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\Theta,cv}^{*+r}(E)$ is also an isomorphism. \square

Now, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Set $U = X - Y$ and $\tilde{U} = \tilde{X} - E$. Then $\pi|_{\tilde{U}} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is biholomorphic. Since π is proper, we can choose a tubular neighborhood $V \subseteq X$ of Y such that $\tilde{V} = \pi^{-1}(V)$ is contained in a tubular neighborhood of E in \tilde{X} . Set $W = U \cap V$ and $\tilde{W} = \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$. Then $\pi|_{\tilde{W}} : \tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is biholomorphic. There is a commutative diagram of Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^{k-1}(W) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(X) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(U) \oplus H_\theta^k(V) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(W) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^{k+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow (\pi|_{\tilde{U}})^* \oplus (\pi|_{\tilde{V}})^* & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^{k-1}(\tilde{W}) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(\tilde{U}) \oplus H_\theta^k(\tilde{V}) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^k(\tilde{W}) & \longrightarrow & H_\theta^{k+1}(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

By Corollary 2.5, π^* is injective. By the snake lemma ([14, p. 4]), the restrictions induce an isomorphism

$$\text{coker } \pi^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker } ((\pi|_{\tilde{U}})^* \oplus (\pi|_{\tilde{V}})^*) \cong \text{coker } (\pi|_{\tilde{V}})^*. \quad (5.3)$$

Let $i'_Y : Y \rightarrow V$ and $i'_E : E \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ be the inclusions. By Lemma 5.1, $i_Y^* : H_\theta^k(V) \rightarrow H_{\theta|_Y}^k(Y)$ and $i_E^* : H_\theta^k(\tilde{V}) \rightarrow H_{\theta|_E}^k(E)$ are isomorphisms. Since $\pi|_{\tilde{V}} \circ i'_E = i'_Y \circ \pi|_E$, i_E^* induces an isomorphism

$$\text{coker } (\pi|_{\tilde{V}})^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker } (\pi|_E)^*. \quad (5.4)$$

By Corollary 4.4, $(\pi|_E)^*$ is injective. Denote by $i_Y : Y \rightarrow X$ the inclusion. Combining (5.3) and (5.4), we have a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta}^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H_{\theta}^k(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker} \pi^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i_Y^* & & \downarrow i_E^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta|_Y}^k(Y) & \xrightarrow{(\pi|_E)^*} & H_{\theta|_E}^k(E) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\pi|_E)^* \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

Notice that $\mathcal{O}_E(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E)|_E$, so $h = [E]|_E$. By Lemma 1.1, $i_E^* i_{E*}(\bullet) = h \cup \bullet$ on $H_{\theta|_E}^*(E)$.

Suppose $\pi^* \alpha_k + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E*} (h^{i-1} \cup (\pi|_E)^* \beta_{k-2i}) = 0$, where $\alpha_k \in H_{\theta}^k(X)$ and $\beta_{k-2i} \in H_{\theta|_Y}^{k-2i}(Y)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Pull it back by i_E^* , we get

$$(\pi|_E)^* i_Y^* \alpha_k + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h^i \cup (\pi|_E)^* \beta_{k-2i} = 0.$$

By Corollary 4.4, $\beta_{k-2i} = 0$ for every i . So $\pi^* \alpha_k = 0$. By Corollary 2.5, $\alpha_k = 0$. Hence (1.1) is injective. For any $\gamma \in H_{\theta}^k(\tilde{X})$, by Corollary 4.4, there exist $\beta_{k-2i} \in H_{\theta|_Y}^{k-2i}(Y)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, such that $i_E^* \gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} h^i \cup (\pi|_E)^* \beta_{k-2i}$. Then

$$i_E^* \left[\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E*} (h^{i-1} \cup (\pi|_E)^* \beta_{k-2i}) \right] = (\pi|_E)^* \beta_k,$$

which is zero in $\text{coker}(\pi|_E)^*$. From (5.5),

$$\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E*} (h^{i-1} \cup (\pi|_E)^* \beta_{k-2i}) = \pi^* \alpha_k$$

for some $\alpha_k \in H_{\theta}^k(X)$, which implies that (1.1) is surjective. Hence (1.1) gives the isomorphism (1.2).

By Proposition 2.3 and [14, p. 186, 7.8], we have the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta,c}^k(U) & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta,c}^k(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta|_Y,c}^k(Y) \longrightarrow H_{\theta,c}^{k+1}(U) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow (\pi|_E)^* \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta,c}^k(\tilde{U}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta,c}^k(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{i_E^*} & H_{\theta|_E,c}^k(E) \longrightarrow H_{\theta,c}^{k+1}(\tilde{U}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

By Corollary 2.5, π^* is injective. By the snake lemma, i_E^* induces an isomorphism

$$\text{coker} \pi^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker}(\pi|_E)^*.$$

We get a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta,c}^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H_{\theta,c}^k(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker} \pi^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow i_Y^* & & \downarrow i_E^* & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\theta|_Y,c}^k(Y) & \xrightarrow{(\pi|_E)^*} & H_{\theta|_E,c}^k(E) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\pi|_E)^* \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (5.6)$$

With the same arguments as above, (1.1) gives the isomorphism (1.3). \square

Remark 5.2. After we finished the earlier version [19] of the present paper, Y. Zou [34] gave the following expression of the blow-up formula on compact complex manifolds with relative cohomology theory

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\theta}^k(\tilde{X}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\theta}^k(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} H_{\theta|_Y}^{k-2i}(Y) \\ \alpha &\mapsto (\pi_*\alpha, \alpha^{k-2}, \dots, \alpha^{k-2r+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where $\alpha^{k-2i} \in H_{\theta|_Y}^{k-2i}(Y)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ satisfy

$$i_E^*\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} h^i \cup (\pi|_E)^*\alpha^{k-2i}$$

for $\alpha \in H_{\theta}^k(\tilde{X})$. The compactness is necessary there, since the finiteness of the cohomology groups is used in his proof. Actually, (5.7) is inverse to ours from the proof of Theorem 1.2, so it is still an isomorphism on an arbitrary complex manifold.

6. COMPARISONS OF THREE METHODS

Suppose that X is a smooth manifold and \mathcal{V} is a local system of \mathbb{R} -modules of finite rank on X . Then \mathcal{V} has a natural soft resolution

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} &\rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}, id \otimes d) \\ &(\text{or also } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet}, id \otimes d), \text{ if } X \text{ is oriented}). \end{aligned}$$

Set $T^*(X, \mathcal{V}) = H^*((\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}, id \otimes d))$. Then $H^*(X, \mathcal{V}) \cong T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$.

Let θ be a closed one-form on X . The weight θ -sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$ is a local system of \mathbb{R} -modules of rank 1 on X . We can compute $H^*(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta})$ by $T^*(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta})$ and also by $H_{\theta}^*(X)$. Clearly, $f \otimes \alpha \mapsto f \cdot \alpha$ for all $f \in \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}$ (or also $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet}$, if X is oriented) give a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}, id \otimes d) &\rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet}, d_{\theta}) \\ &(\text{or also } (\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta} \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet}, id \otimes d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet}, d_{\theta}), \text{ if } X \text{ is oriented}) \end{aligned}$$

between the two soft resolutions of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$, which induces an isomorphism $T^*(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\theta}^*(X)$.

Now, there are three cohomology groups: $H_{\theta}^*(X)$, $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$, which are all the same up to isomorphisms for $\mathcal{V} = \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$. In the present work and the subsequent works [20, 6, 21], the following two combinations of cohomology groups

- (1) $H_{\theta}^*(X)$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{V} = \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$, and
- (2) $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$.

were studied respectively, where we can obtain the fruitful results on $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ by the sheaf theory. Evidently, the results obtained by the latter apply to more general cases and coincide with the ones obtained by the former when we take $\mathcal{V} = \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{X,\theta}$. Despite all this, the study on $H_{\theta}^*(X)$ itself can't be replaced by the ones on $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$. Many properties of $H_{\theta}^*(X)$ is difficult to obtain by studying $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$, because some subtle techniques only existing among smooth forms can be used on $H_{\theta}^*(X)$ but may not apply to $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ and $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ for a general local system \mathcal{V} . This point can be seen from the proofs of Theorem 4.2 and Lemmas 1.1, 5.1. Taking Theorem 4.2 for example, we look back on its

proof: To check the commutative diagram, we eliminated the effect of the twisted part coming from the total space E (i.e., Ω) by the relations (4.1)-(4.3), which mixed the information of twisted parts of both the base space X and the total space E (i.e., θ and Ω). Notice that, a local representative of a class of $H_\theta^*(X)$ is just a smooth form while the one of a class of $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ is a smooth form twisted with a section of \mathcal{V} . There seems not to be the analogous relations with (4.1)-(4.3) for smooth forms twisted with sections of sheaves. At this point, the sheaf theory seems also not to work. Therefore, Theorem 4.2 is difficult to be completely generalized to $T^*(X, \mathcal{V})$ or $H^*(X, \mathcal{V})$. Of course, if only the case with a trivial twisted part on E was considered, we will get a partial generalization of Theorem 4.2; see [20, Theorem 5.6][21, Theorem 5.2]. As we saw above, it is still necessary to study $H_\theta^*(X)$ itself.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his gratitude to the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions which greatly improved the manuscript. The author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12001500, 12071444), the Scientific and Technological Innovation Programs of Higher Education Institutions in Shanxi (Grant No. 2020L0290) and the Fundamental Research Program of Shanxi Province (Grant No. 201901D111141).

REFERENCES

- [1] Banyaga, A.: Some properties of locally conformal symplectic structures. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **77** (2), 383-398 (2002) [1](#)
- [2] Banyaga, A.: Examples of non d_ω -exact locally conformal symplectic forms. *J. Geom.* **87**, 1-13 (2007) [1](#), [2](#)
- [3] Bande, G., Kotschick, D.: Moser stability for locally conformally symplectic structures. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (7), 2419-2424 (2009) [1](#), [2](#), [9](#)
- [4] Bott, R., Tu, L.: *Differential forms in algebraic topology*. Graduate Texts in Math. **82**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin (1982) [8](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [5] Bredon, G.: *Sheaf theory. Second edition*. Graduate Texts in Math. **170**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997) [5](#), [6](#), [8](#), [11](#)
- [6] Chen, Y., Yang, S.: On the blow-up formula of twisted de Rham cohomology. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **56**, 277-290 (2019) [3](#), [20](#)
- [7] Demailly, J.-P.: *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/documents.html>. [8](#), [10](#), [16](#)
- [8] Dimca, A.: *Sheaves in topology*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin, New York (2004) [6](#)
- [9] Dragomir, S., Ornea, L.: *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*. Progress in Math. **155**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel (1998) [1](#)
- [10] Farber, M.: *Topology of closed one-forms*. Math. Surveys Monogr. **108**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2004) [2](#)
- [11] Greub, W., Halperin, S., Vanstone, R.: *Connections, curvature, and cohomology, vol. I*. Academic Press, New York (1972) [11](#), [13](#)
- [12] Haller, S., Rybicki, T.: On the group of diffeomorphisms preserving a locally conformal symplectic structure. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **17**, 475-502 (1999) [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [7](#), [8](#), [17](#)
- [13] Haddou, H.: On the Leray-Hirsch theorem for the Lichnerowicz cohomology. *Afrika Mat.* **16** (3), 33-42 (2005) [15](#)
- [14] Iversen, B.: *Cohomology of sheaves*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986 [5](#), [6](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [15] Kawahara, Y.: The twisted de Rham cohomology for basic constructions of hyperplane arrangements and its applications. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **34** (2), 489-505 (2005) [15](#)

- [16] León, M., López, B., Marrero, J. , Padrón, E.: On the computation of the Lichnerowicz-Jacobi cohomology. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44**, 507-522 (2003) [1](#), [2](#)
- [17] Lewis, J.: *A survey of the Hodge conjecture. Second edition.* CRM Monogr. Ser. **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999 [2](#)
- [18] Lichnerowicz, A.: Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées. *J. Diff. Geom.* **12** (2), 253-300 (1977) [1](#)
- [19] Meng, L.: Morse-Novikov cohomology for blow-ups of complex manifolds. ArXiv:1806.06622v1 (18 June, 2018) [3](#), [20](#)
- [20] Meng, L.: Mayer-Vietoris systems and their applications. ArXiv:1811.10500v3 (17 April, 2019) [3](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [21] Meng, L.: Blow-up formulae for twisted cohomologies with supports. ArXiv:2010.03102v1 (7 October, 2020) [20](#), [21](#)
- [22] Millionschikov, D.: Cohomology with local coefficients of solvmanifolds and Morse-Novikov Theory. ArXiv:math/0203067v1 (7 March, 2002) [1](#), [2](#)
- [23] Novikov, S.: The Hamiltonian formalism and a multivalued analogue of Morse theory (Russian). *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* **37**, 3-43 (1982) [1](#), [2](#)
- [24] Ornea, L., Verbitsky, M.: Morse-Novikov cohomology of locally conformally Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **59**, 295-305 (2009) [1](#), [2](#)
- [25] Otiman, A: Morse-Novikov cohomology of closed one-forms of rank 1. ArXiv:1607.01663v3 (20 July, 2016) [2](#)
- [26] Otiman, A: Morse-Novikov cohomology of locally conformally Kähler surfaces. *Math. Z.* **289**, 605-628 (2018) [2](#)
- [27] Pajitnov, A.: An analytic proof of the real part of the Novikov inequalities (in Russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **293** (6), 1305-1307 (1987); English translation, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **35** (2), 456-457 (1987) [1](#)
- [28] Pajitnov, A.: *Circle-valued Morse theory.* De Gruyter Studies in Math. **32**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006 [1](#), [2](#)
- [29] Sullivan, D.: Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **47**, 269-331 (1977) [1](#), [2](#)
- [30] Vaisman, I.: Remarkable operators and commutation formulas on locally conformal Kähler manifolds. *Compos. Math.* **40** (3), 287-299 (1980) [1](#)
- [31] Voisin, C.: *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry, vol. I.* Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **76**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003) [2](#)
- [32] Witten, E.: Supersymmetry and Morse theory. *J. Diff. Geom.* **17** (4), 661-692 (1982) [2](#)
- [33] Yang, X.-D., Zhao, G.: A note on the Morse-Novikov cohomology of blow-ups of locally conformal Kähler manifolds. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91**, 155-166 (2015) [1](#), [2](#)
- [34] Zou, Y.: On the Morse-Novikov cohomology for blowing up complex manifolds. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (1), 67-77 (2020) [3](#), [20](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030051, P.R. CHINA
Email address: menglingxu@nuc.edu.cn