Classification of quadratic and cubic PBW algebras on three generators

Natalia Iyudu and Stanislav Shkarin

Abstract

We give a complete classification of quadratic algebras A, with Hilbert series $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$, which is the Hilbert series of commutative polynomials on 3 variables. Koszul algebras as well as algebras with quadratic Gröbner basis among them are identified. We also give a complete classification of cubic algebras A with Hilbert series $H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$. These two classes of algebras contain all Artin–Schelter regular algebras of global dimension 3. As far as the latter are concerned, our results extend well-known results of Artin and Schelter by providing a classification up to an algebra isomorphism.

MSC: 17A45, 16A22

Keywords: Quadratic algebras, Cubic algebras, Koszul algebras, Hilbert series, Sklyanin algebras, PBW-algebras, potential algebras

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2 or 3 (some arguments break down in the absence of any of these assumptions). If B is a \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded vector space, B_m stands for the m^{th} component of B. We always assume that each B_m is finite dimensional, which allows to consider the obvious generating function of the sequence of dimensions of graded components called the *Hilbert series* of B:

$$H_B(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \dim B_m \ t^m \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

If V is an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} , then F = F(V) is the tensor algebra of V. For any choice of a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n in V, F is naturally identified with the free algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, always assumed to be degree graded. If R is a subspace of the n^2 -dimensional space V^2 , then the quotient A of F(V) by the ideal generated by R is called a *quadratic algebra* and denoted A(V, R). If R is a subspace of the n^3 -dimensional space V^3 , then the quotient A of F(V) by the ideal generated by R is called a *cubic algebra* and denoted B(V, R). In both cases, the ideal generated by R is known as the *ideal of relations* of A. We say that

A is a
$$PBW_S$$
-algebra if $H_A(t) = H_{\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}(t) = (1-t)^{-n}$.

These algebras are called PBW (Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt) by a number of authors, for example, Odesskii [17]. In the book by Polishchuk and Positselski [18], however, the term PBW algebra is reserved for a quadratic algebra with a quadratic Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations. We call them here PBW_B-algebras. More precisely, a quadratic algebra A = A(V, R) is a PBW_B -algebra if there are linear bases x_1, \ldots, x_n and g_1, \ldots, g_m in V and R respectively such that with respect to some compatible with multiplication well-ordering on the monomials in x_j, g_1, \ldots, g_m form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. References to Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt properties are relevant in both cases, in both cases we deal with generalisations of the PBW theorem on the Hilbert series of the universal enveloping of a Lie algebra: the series concept refers to the conclusion, while the basis concept refers to the method

of the proof of this theorem. However, one has to keep in mind that none of PBW_S or PBW_B yield the other: a PBW_B algebra may very well have exponential growth or be finite dimensional, while a PBW_S algebra may fail to even have a finite Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations.

Another concept playing an important role in this paper is Koszulity. A quadratic algebra A = A(V,R) is called *Koszul* if \mathbb{K} as a graded right A-module has a free resolution $\cdots \to M_m \to \cdots \to M_1 \to A \to \mathbb{K} \to 0$, where the second last arrow is the augmentation map and the matrices of the maps $M_m \to M_{m-1}$ with respect to some free bases consist of homogeneous elements of degree 1. If we pick a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n in V, we get a bilinear form on the free algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ defined by $[u, v] = \delta_{u,v}$ for every monomials u and v. The quadratic algebra $A^! = A(V, R^\perp)$, where $R^\perp = \{u \in V^2 : [r, u] = 0$ for each $r \in R\}$, is called the *dual algebra* of A. Note that up to an isomorphism $A^!$ does not depend on the choice of a basis in V. We shall use the following well-known properties of Koszul algebras:

every PBW_B-algebra is Koszul;
$$A$$
 is Koszul $\iff A^!$ is Koszul;
if A is Koszul, then $H_A(-t)H_{A^!}(t) = 1$. (1.1)

Artin and Schelter [1] characterize the regular algebras of global dimension 3. These naturally split into two classes: some quadratic algebras A satisfying $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$ and some cubic algebras A with $H_A = (1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$. As far as quadratic algebras are concerned, Artin and Schelter characterize a subclass of PBW_S quadratic algebras on three generators (additional properties imposed are Gorenstein and global dimension 3). The purpose of this article is to complete their characterization to incorporate all quadratic PBW_S algebras on three generators, identifying Koszul algebras on the way. We also characterize all cubic algebras with the Hilbert series $(1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$. We push it to the limit providing a canonical form up to isomorphism. For the sake of brevity we denote

 $\Omega = \{A : A \text{ is a quadratic algebra satisfying } H_A = (1-t)^{-3}\}.$

We split the class Ω into three disjoint parts: $\Omega = \Omega^0 \cup \Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$, where

$$\begin{split} \Omega^0 &= \{ A \in \Omega : A \text{ is } \text{PBW}_{\text{B}} \}, \\ \Omega^+ &= \{ A \in \Omega : H_{A^!} = (1+t)^3, \text{ but } A \text{ is not } \text{PBW}_{\text{B}} \}, \\ \Omega^- &= \{ A \in \Omega : H_{A^!} \neq (1+t)^3 \}. \end{split}$$

Note also that $\Omega \subset \Omega'$, where

 $\Omega' = \{A : A \text{ is a quadratic algebra satisfying } \dim A_1 = 3, \dim A_2 = 6 \text{ and } \dim A_3 = 10\}.$

Observe that $A \in \Omega^-$ can not be Koszul and therefore can not be PBW_B since the equality $H_A(-t)H_{A^!}(t) = 1$ fails.

As for cubic algebras we denote

$$\Lambda = \{A : A \text{ is a cubic algebra satisfying } H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}\}.$$

Note that $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$, where

 $\Lambda' = \{A : A \text{ is a cubic algebra satisfying } \dim A_1 = 2, \dim A_2 = 4, \dim A_3 = 6 \text{ and } \dim A_4 = 9\}.$

Let us mention, that as a consequence of this classification we were able to answer an old question of Ufnarovski, namely to provide an example of automaton algebra (one from the family N1 in Theorem 1.11), which does not have a finite Gröbner basis. The proof of this result one can find in [14].

Before stating the main result, we would like to say a few words about the key idea as well as to introduce some further notations, which will be used throughout the paper.

1.1 Quasipotentials

Notation 1.1. If V is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} , $k \ge 2$ and $Q \in V^{k+1} = V^{\otimes (k+1)}$, then there are the smallest (in the inclusion sense) subspaces

$$E_j = E_j(Q)$$
 of V and $F_j = F_j(Q)$ of V^k such that $Q \in E_1 \otimes F_1$ and $Q \in F_2 \otimes E_2$.

Clearly,

 $n_1(Q) = \dim E_1 = \dim F_1$ is the rank of Q as an element of $V \otimes V^k$,

while

$$n_2(Q) = \dim E_2 = \dim F_2$$
 is the rank of Q as an element of $V^k \otimes V$.

We also denote

 $R_Q = F_1 + F_2$, which is a subspace of V^k .

Lemma 1.2. Let n, k be integers such that $n, k \ge 2$, V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and R be an n-dimensional subspace of the n^k -dimensional space V^k . Assume also that A = F(V)/I, where I is the ideal generated by R. Then dim $A_{k+1} = n^{k+1} - 2n^2 + 1$ if and only if dim $(RV \cap VR) = 1$.

Proof. Obviously, dim $A_{k+1} = n^{k+1} - \dim I_{k+1}$ and $I_{k+1} = RV + VR$. Since dim $RV = \dim VR = n^2$, we have dim $I_{k+1} = 2n^2 - \dim (RV \cap VR)$. The result immediately follows.

Definition 1.3. Let n, k be integers such that $n, k \ge 2$, V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} , R be an n-dimensional subspace of the n^k -dimensional space V^k and I be the ideal in F(V) generated by R. The algebra A = F(V)/I is called a *quasipotential algebra* if dim $A_{k+1} = n^{k+1}-2n^2+1$. By Lemma 1.2, $RV \cap VR$ is one-dimensional and therefore is spanned by a single degree k + 1 homogeneous element Q of F(V). That is, $RV \cap VR = \operatorname{span} \{Q\}$. We call Q a *quasipotential* for A. We call $Q \in V^{k+1}$ a *quasipotential* if it is a quasipotential for some algebra.

Remark 1.4. Note that to be a quasipotential algebra is an isomorphism invariant. Moreover, the quasipotential Q of an algebra A is unique up to a scalar multiple and every linear substitution providing an isomorphism between two quasipotential algebras must transform the quasipotential of the first into the quasipotential of the second up to a non-zero scalar multiple.

Remark 1.5. By Lemma 1.2, all algebras in Ω' are quasipotential, each with a degree 3 quasipotential, while all algebras in Λ' are quasipotential, each with a degree 4 quasipotential.

Remark 1.6. Let Q be a quasipotential for a quasipotential algebra A and V, R be as in Definition 1.3. We easily have that $R_Q \subseteq R$, where $R_Q = F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)$ is introduced in Notation 1.1. In particular, if R_Q happens to be *n*-dimensional, we must have $R_Q = R$. That is, if $Q \in V^{k+1}$ is a quasipotential and dim $R_Q = n$, then there is exactly one algebra for which Q is the quasipotential.

The bulk of the paper is devoted to providing a canonical form of quasipotentials in the cases (n,k) = (3,2) and (n,k) = (2,3) under the natural action of $GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ by linear substitutions. In the case (n,k) = (3,2), this task can in a way be treated as an extension of the canonical form results for ternary cubics (these go way back to Weierstrass). It turns out that only in the case $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$, there are multiple algebras with desired Hilbert series corresponding to the same quasipotential. This case stands out a lot.

As usual, an *invariant* is some characteristic of an algebra from a given class, which remains the same when we replace an algebra by an isomorphic one. By Remark 1.4,

the ranks
$$n_1(Q)$$
 and $n_2(Q)$ are invariants for quasipotential algebras. (1.2)

Definition 1.7. Let n, k be integers such that $n, k \ge 2$, V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and $Q \in V^{k+1}$ be a quasipotential. We call Q a *twisted potential* if $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = n$. If a twisted potential Q is cyclicly invariant (that is, invariant under the linear map $C : V^{k+1} \to V^{k+1}$ defined by $x_0x_1 \ldots x_k \mapsto x_1 \ldots x_k x_0$), then Q is called a *potential*.

Remark 1.8. Assume that dim V = n and $Q \in V^{k+1}$ is a twisted potential. Then for every linear basis $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ in V, we have

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j x_j,$$

where both $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ and $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ are linear bases in the *n*-dimensional space $R = R_Q$. Thus we have a matrix $M_Q(X) \in GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ of coefficients of g_j with respect to the basis f_1, \ldots, f_n . Now if $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is another linear basis in V and $C \in GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ is the matrix of coefficients of x_j with respect to the basis y_1, \ldots, y_n , then a routine computation shows that $M_Q(Y) = BM_Q(X)B^{-1}$, where $B = C^T$ is the transpose of C. This observation yields that the Jordan normal form of $M_Q(X)$ is an invariant for the class of twisted potential algebras. Note also that a twisted potential Q is cyclicly invariant if and only if $M_Q(X)$ is the identity matrix for some (=for any) basis X in V. That is, Qis a potential if and only if $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = n$ and $M_Q(X)$ is the identity matrix for some (=for any) basis X in V.

Remark 1.9. The concepts of potential and twisted potential algebras go beyond degree graded algebras. However, in the case of degree graded algebras with certain non-degeneracy assumed, our definition is equivalent to the original definition of potential algebras of Kontsevich [15] (see [5, 9] for alternative equivalent definitions). What we call twisted potential algebras here and in [11] was first introduced under the name of algebras defined by multilinear forms by Dubois-Violette [7, 8]. Since we intend to never wander outside the class $\Omega' \cup \Lambda'$, we stick with the above definitions (ignore the non-graded case as well as the degenerate potentials).

Not all pairs of numbers between 1 and n occur as $(n_1(Q), n_2(Q))$ for a quasipotential Q.

Lemma 1.10. Let n, k be integers such that $n, k \ge 2$, V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and $Q \in V^{k+1}$ be a quasipotential. Then $n_1(Q) = n \iff n_2(Q) = n$.

Proof. Assume the contrary: $\min\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} < \max\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} = n$. Reversing the order of letters in each of the monomials featuring in Q yields another quasipotential Q' with $n_1(Q') = n_2(Q)$ and $n_2(Q') = n_1(Q)$. This allows us, without loss of generality, assume that $n_1(Q) = n$ and $n_2(Q) = m < n$. Let x_1, \ldots, x_m be a linear basis in $W = E_2(Q)$. Pick any x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n such that x_1, \ldots, x_n form a basis in V. Then

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j f_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j x_j,$$
(1.3)

where f_1, \ldots, f_n form a basis in the *n*-dimensional space $F_1(Q) \subset V^k$, while g_1, \ldots, g_m form a basis in the *m*-dimensional space $F_2(Q) \subset V^k$. Since dim $(F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)) \leq n$ (see Remark 1.6), we have $F_2(Q) \subset F_1(Q)$ and therefore,

each g_j is a linear combination of f_1, \ldots, f_n . (1.4)

Now by (1.3), $f_j \in V^{k-1}W$ for each j. By (1.4), $g_j \in V^{k-1}W$ for each j. Plugging this back into (1.3), we get $f_j \in V^{k-2}W^2$ for each j. According to (1.4), $g_j \in V^{k-2}W^2$ for each j. Iterating this procedure, we eventually see that $f_j \in W^k$ and $g_j \in W^k$ for all j. The latter plugged into the equality $Q = g_1 x_1 + \ldots + g_m x_m$ of (1.3), yields $Q \in W^{k+1}$, which is incompatible with the first equality in (1.3) since f_j are linearly independent. This contradiction completes the proof.

The proof of the main results goes along the following lines. Assuming $A \in \Omega'$, for the quasipotential Q for A, we have that one of following mutually exclusive options:

- Q is a cube (of a degree 1 element);
- $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ and Q is not a cube;
- $n_1(Q) = 1$ and $n_2(Q) = 2;$
- $n_1(Q) = 2$ and $n_2(Q) = 1;$
- $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2;$
- $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 3.$

All possibilities are covered since, $n_1(Q) = 3 \iff n_2(Q) = 3$ for $A \in \Omega'$ by Lemma 1.10. Since $n_j(Q)$ are invariants, we do not have to worry of algebras corresponding to different items of the above list being isomorphic to each other. In the case when Q is a cube: Q = zzz for a non-zero $z \in V$, we stratify further by isomorphism classes of the algebra $A_0 = A/I$, where I is the ideal generated by z (A_0 is uniquely determined by $A \in \Omega'$ up to an isomorphism). In each particular case, we use the Gröbner basis technique to figure out which of the algebras have the same series as the commutative polynomials. We also identify Koszul and PBW_B algebras among them.

Similarly, if $A \in \Lambda'$, for the quasipotential Q for A, we have that one of following mutually exclusive options:

- Q is a fourth power (of a degree 1 element);
- $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ and Q is not a fourth power;
- $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2.$

Again, all possibilities are covered since, $n_1(Q) = 2 \iff n_2(Q) = 2$ for $A \in \Lambda'$ by Lemma 1.10.

Before formulating main results, we introduce some more notation. Everywhere afterwards, θ and i are fixed elements of K satisfying

$$\theta^3 = 1 \neq \theta \quad \text{and} \quad i^2 = -1. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that θ does exist since K is algebraically closed and has characteristic different from 3, *i* does exist since K is algebraically closed and $i \notin \{1, -1\}$ since char K $\neq 2$.

1.2 Main results

The results are presented in tables. The first column provides a label for further references. Generators of algebras from Ω are denoted x, y, z, while generators of algebras from Λ are denoted x, y. We use the letters a, b, c, d for the parameters from \mathbb{K} (we never need more than 4 parameters). The exceptions column says which values of the parameters are excluded. The isomorphism column provides generators of a group action on the space of parameters such that corresponding algebras are isomorphic precisely when the parameters are in the same orbit. All isomorphisms are meant in the graded algebras sense. For shortness, we occasionally use the following notation. If $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in V$, then $u_1 \ldots u_n^{\heartsuit}$ stands for the sum of all n cyclic permutations of the word $u_1 \ldots u_n$. The PBW column indicates whether the algebra is PBW_B or not: the Y entry stands for the algebra being PBW_B, while the N entry for the opposite. Algebras featuring with **different labels** are **non-isomorphic**.

Theorem 1.11. I. An algebra A belongs to Ω and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ is the cube of a degree one element if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are **NON**-Koszul and therefore non-PBW_B.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms
R1	z^3	$xy+yx+zx+azy; y^2-xz+(1-a)yz-zx-azy; z^2$	none	trivial
R2	z^3	$xy+yx; y^2-xz-yz-zx-zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R3	z^3	$xy+yx; y^2-xz-zx; z^2$	none	trivial
R4	z^3	$xy - yx; y^2 - xz - zx; z^2$	none	trivial
R5	z^3	$\begin{array}{l} axy - a^2yx - a^2(a^2 - 1)zx - (a - 1)(a^3 + 1)zy;\\ y^2 - xz + (a - 2)yz - a^2zx - (a^2 - a + 1)zy; z^2 \end{array}$	$a \neq 0, a^2 \neq 1$	trivial
R6	z^3	$xy-ayx; y^2-xz-a^2zx; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a^2 \neq 1$	trivial
R7	z^3	$x^2 - xy - yz; yx - azx - bzy; z^2$	$a(1+\ldots+b^k) \neq 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R8	z^3	$x^2-xy; yx-azx+zy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq -1$	trivial
R9	z^3	$yx - bxz - azx - azy; y^2 - zx - zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R10	z^3	$yx-axz-zx; y^2-zx; z^2$	none	trivial
R11	z^3	$yx-xz; y^2-zx; z^2$	none	trivial
R12	z^3	$yx; y^2-zx; z^2$	none	trivial
R13	z^3	$xy-bzx-axz-ayz; y^2-xz-yz; z^2$	none	trivial
R14	z^3	$xy-azx-xz; y^2-xz; z^2$	none	trivial
R15	z^3	$xy-zx; y^2-xz; z^2$	none	trivial
R16	z^3	$xy; y^2 - xz; z^2$	none	trivial
R17	z^3	$xy-yz+zx; yx-xz+zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R18	z^3	$xy-yz-zx; yx-xz-zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R19	z^3	$xy-azx-zy; yx-xz; z^2$	$(1+a+\ldots+a^k) \neq 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R20	z^3	$x^2+yz+azy; y^2+xz+\frac{1}{a}zx; z^2$	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$
R21	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2-zx-czy; xz-azx-bzy; z^2$	$na + b \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R22	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2-zy; xz-azx-bzy; z^2$	$na + b \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R23	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2; xz-azx-bzy; z^2$	$na + b \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R24	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2-zx-bzy; zx-azy; z^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
R25	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2-zy; zx-azy; z^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
R26	z^3	$xy-yx-y^2; zx-azy; z^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
R27	z^3	$xy-ayx-zx-zy; xz+byz+czx+dzy; z^2$	$\begin{array}{l} a \neq 0, \ a \neq 1, \ b \neq 0 \\ d \neq a^{n} bc \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \end{array}$	$(a, b, c, d) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{d}{b}, \frac{c}{b}\right)$
R28	z^3	$xy-ayx-zx-zy; xz+bzx+czy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq 1, c \neq 0$	trivial
R29		$xy-ayx-zx; xz+yz+bzx+czy; z^2$	$\begin{array}{l} a \neq 0, \ a \neq 1 \\ c \neq a^n b \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{array}$	trivial
R30		$xy-ayx-zx; xz+bzx+zy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq 1$	trivial
R31	z^3	$xy-ayx-zx; yz+zx+bzy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq 1$	trivial
R32		$xy-ayx; xz+yz+bzx+czy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq 1$ $c \neq a^n b \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	trivial
R33		$xy-ayx; yz+bzx+zy; z^2$	$a \neq 0, \ a \neq 1$	trivial
R34		$xy-yx-zx-azy; xz-zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R35		$xy-yx-zy; xz-zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R36		$xy-yx; xz-zy; z^2$	none	trivial
R37		$xy-yz-czx; xz+ayz+bzx+zy; z^2$	$ab \neq 1$	trivial
R38		$xy-zx; xz+ayz+bzx+zy; z^2$	$ab \neq 1$	trivial
R39	z^3	$xy; xz+ayz+bzx+zy; z^2$	$ab \neq 1$	trivial

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
M1	xyz – axzy	xy; xz; yz - azy	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$	Y
M2	xyz - axzy + xzx	xy; xz; yz - azy + zx	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Υ
M3	xyz - axzy + xzx + xyx	xy; xz; yz - azy + zx + yx	$a \neq 0, a \neq 1$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$	Υ
M4	$xyz - xzy - xz^2 + xyx$	$xy; xz; yz - zy - z^2 + yx$	none	trivial	Y
M5	$xyz - xzy - xz^2 + xzx$	$xy; xz; yz - zy - z^2 + zx$	none	trivial	Y
M6	$xyz - xzy - xz^2$	$xy; xz; yz - zy - z^2$	none	trivial	Y
M7	xzy + xyx	xy; xz; zy + yx	none	trivial	Y
M8	xzy + xyx - xzx	xy; xz; zy + yx - zx	none	trivial	Υ

II. An algebra A belongs to Ω and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = 1$, $n_2(Q) = 2$ if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul.

III. An algebra A belongs to Ω and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = 2$, $n_2(Q) = 1$ if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
L1	zyx - ayzx	yx; zx; zy - ayz	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$	Y
L2	zyx - ayzx + xzx	yx; zx; zy - ayz + xz	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
L3	zyx - ayzx + xzx + xyx	yx; zx; zy - ayz + xz + xy	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$	Y
L4	$zyx - yzx - z^2x + xyx$	$yx; zx; zy - yz - z^2 + xy$	none	trivial	Y
L5	$zyx - yzx - z^2x + xzx$	$yx; zx; zy - yz - z^2 + xz$	none	trivial	Y
L6	$zyx - yzx - z^2x$	$yx; zx; zy - yz - z^2$	none	trivial	Υ
L7	yzx + xyx	yx; zx; yz + xy	none	trivial	Y
L8	yzx + xyx - xzx	yx; zx; yz + xy - xz	none	trivial	Y

IV. An algebra A belongs to Ω and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2$ if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul. The algebra in (S18) is an odd one out. It is well-defined and belongs to Ω' whenever char $\mathbb{K} \neq 2$. However it is in Ω only when \mathbb{K} is of characteristic zero. This explains the weird entry in the exceptions column.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
S1	xzy + xyx + yxy	xy; zy + yx; xz + yx	none	trivial	Υ
S2	$bxyz + xzy + xz^2 + x^2z + ayxz$	$xz; byz + zy + z^2; bxy + ayx + x^2$	$ab \neq 0$	trivial	Υ
S3	$x^2z + axyz + xzy + byxz$	$xz; ayz + zy; axy + byx + x^2$	$ab \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S4	$xz^2 + axyz + yxz + bxzy$	$xz; ayz + bzy + z^2; axy + yx$	$ab \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S5	axyz + xzy + byxz	xz; ayz + zy; axy + byx	$ab \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S6	$xyz + ayxz + yzy + yz^2$	$yz; axz + zy + z^2; xy + ayx$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S7	xyz + ayxz + yzy	yz; axz + zy; xy + ayx	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S8	$xyz - yxz + ay^2z + yzy + yz^2$	$yz; xz - zy - z^2; xy - yx + ay^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S9	$xyz - yxz + ay^2z + yzy$	$yz; xz - zy; xy - yx + ay^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S10	$xyz + axzy + yxy + x^2y$	$xy; yz + azy; axz + yx + x^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S11	xyz + axzy + yxy	xy; yz + azy; axz + yx	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S12	$xyz - xzy + axy^2 + yxy + x^2y$	$xy; yz - zy + ay^2; xz - yx - x^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S13	$xyz - xzy + axy^2 + yxy$	$xy; yz - zy + ay^2; xz - yx$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S14	$x^2z + axyz + xzy + yxz + y^3$	$ayz + zy - y^2; xz + y^2; axy + yx + x^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S15	$xz^2 + axyz + yxz + xzy + y^3$	$ayz + zy + z^2; xz + y^2; axy + yx - y^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S16	$axyz + xzy + yxz + y^3$	$ayz + zy; xz + y^2; axy + yx$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
S17	$\begin{array}{l} yxz - ayxy - azxz + ay^2z - yz^2 + az^3 \\ +a^2zxy - a^2y^3 - a^2z^2y + a^3zy^2 \\ +(a - 1 - a^3)zyz + (a^3 - a^2 + 1)yzy \end{array}$	$axy - xz + a^{2}y^{2} - (a^{3} + 1)zy + z^{2};$ $yx - azx + ay^{2} - (a^{3} + 1)zy + az^{2}; yz - azy$	$a \neq 0, a^k \neq 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$	$a \mapsto \frac{1}{a}$	Ν
S18	$4yxy + 4y^3 + 4zxy + 4zy^2 -2z^2y - 2yz^2 + 2zyz - z^3$	$yx+y^2+zx+zy-\frac{1}{2}z^2; xy+y^2-\frac{1}{2}z^2; yz-zy+\frac{1}{2}z^2$	$\operatorname{char} \mathbb{K} = 0$	trivial	Ν
S19	$axy^2 - axyz - xzy + x^2y$ $-azxy - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^3$	$\begin{array}{ccc} x^2 - xz - azx - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^2; & xy + \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4a}z^2; \\ y^2 - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4a^2}z^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{aligned} a \neq 0, \ a \neq -1, \ b^2 \neq (a+1)^2, \\ \frac{(1-a-b)^n}{1+a+b} \neq \frac{(1-a+b)^n}{1+a-b} \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{aligned}$	$(a,b)\mapsto (a,-b)$	Ν
S20	$axy^2 - axyz - xzy + x^2y$ $-azxy + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^3$	$ \begin{array}{ll} x^2 - xz - azx + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^2; & xy - \frac{(a+1)^2}{4a}z^2; \\ y^2 - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4a^2}z^2 \end{array} $	$a \neq 0, \ a \neq -1,$ $na \neq n+2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial	Ν

V. There is no algebra $A \in \Omega$ such that its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $\max\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} = 3$ and $\min\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} < 3$. There is no $A \in \Lambda$ such that its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $\max\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} = 2$ and $\min\{n_1(Q), n_2(Q)\} < 2$.

	The potential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
P1	$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + axyz^{\bigcirc} + bxzy^{\bigcirc}$	$ \begin{array}{l} x^2 + ayz + bzy;\\ y^2 + azx + bxz;\\ z^2 + axy + byx \end{array} $	$(a,b) \neq (0,0)$ $(a^{3},b^{3}) \neq (1,1)$ $(a+b)^{3} + 1 \neq 0$	$ \begin{array}{l} (a,b) \mapsto (\theta a, \theta b) \\ (a,b) \mapsto \left(\frac{\theta a + \theta^2 b + 1}{a + b + 1}, \frac{\theta^2 a + \theta b + 1}{a + b + 1}\right) \end{array} $	Ν
P2	$xyz^{\bigcirc} + axzy^{\bigcirc}$	yz + azy; zx + axz; xy + ayx	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto a^{-1}$	Y
P3	$(y+z)^3 + xyz^{\circlearrowright} + axzy^{\circlearrowright}$	$yz + azy; axz + zx + (y + z)^2;$ $xy + ayx + (y + z)^2$	$a \neq 0, a \neq -1$	$a \mapsto a^{-1}$	Y
$\mathbf{P4}$	$z^3 + xyz^{\bigcirc} + axzy^{\bigcirc}$	$yz + azy; axz + zx; xy + ayx + z^2$	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto a^{-1}$	Y
P5	$y^3 + xz^2 $ ^O $+ xyz^{O} - xzy^{O}$	$yz - zy + z^2; -xz + zx + y^2; xy - yx + xz + zx$	none	trivial	Y
P6	$xz^{2\heartsuit} + y^2z\heartsuit + xyz\heartsuit - xzy\circlearrowright$	$yz - zy + z^2; -xz + zx + yz + zy;$ $xy - yx + xz + zx + y^2$	none	trivial	Y
		$yz - zy; -xz + zx + y^2; xy - yx + z^2$	none	trivial	Y
$\mathbf{P8}$	$yz^{2\heartsuit} + xyz\heartsuit - xzy\circlearrowright$	$yz - zy; -xz + zx + z^2; xy - yx + yz + zy$	none	trivial	Y

VI. An algebra A belongs to Ω and is potential if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul.

VII. An algebra A belongs to Ω and is twisted potential and non-potential if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul.

	Twisted potential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
Τ1	bxyz + ayzx + czxy -abyxz - bcxzy - aczyx	xy - ayx; zx - bxz; yz - czy		$(a,b,c) \mapsto (b,c,a)$ $(a,b,c) \mapsto (a^{-1},c^{-1},b^{-1})$	Y
T2	$axyz + byzx + azxy -abyxz - a^2xzy - abzyx - az^3$	$xy - byx - z^2; zx - axz; yz - azy$	$\begin{array}{l} ab \neq 0\\ a \neq b \end{array}$	$(a,b)\mapsto (a^{-1},b^{-1})$	Υ
Т3	$\begin{array}{l} xzy^{\circlearrowright} -xyz^{\circlearrowright} -\frac{1+a}{2}yzy \\ +a(xz^{2}+z^{2}x+z^{2}y) \\ +\frac{1-a}{2}(y^{2}z+zy^{2}-2zxz-zyz) \end{array}$	$yz - zy - az^{2};$ $xz - zx - azy + \frac{a(1-a)}{2}z^{2};$ $xy - yx + (1-2a)zx + \frac{a-1}{2}y^{2} + \frac{(1+a)(1-2a)}{4}zy + \frac{a^{2}(1-a)}{2}z^{2}$	$a \neq \frac{1}{3}$	trivial	Y
T4	$\begin{array}{c} xzy^{\bigcirc} -xyz^{\bigcirc} +\frac{1}{3}xz^{2} +\frac{1}{3}z^{2}x \\ -\frac{2}{3}zxz +\frac{1}{3}y^{2}z +\frac{1}{3}zy^{2} -\frac{2}{3}yzy \\ +\frac{1}{3}z^{2}y -\frac{1}{3}zyz +\frac{a}{27}z^{3} \end{array}$	$yz - zy - \frac{1}{3}z^{2};$ $xz - zx - \frac{1}{3}zy - \frac{1}{9}z^{2};$ $xy - yx - \frac{1}{3}y^{2} + \frac{1}{3}zx + \frac{2}{9}zy + \frac{1-a}{27}z^{2}$	none	trivial	Y
T5	$\begin{array}{c}zyx+byxz+b^2xzy-bzxy-b^2xyz\\-yzx+(ab-1)zxz+az^2x+ab^2xz^2\end{array}$	$bxy+(1-ab)xz-yx-azx; bxz-zx; yz-zy-az^2$	$b \neq 0$	trivial	Y
Т6	yxz - xzy + zyx + yzx -xyz - zxy + (a - 1)yzy +ay ² z + azy ² + z ³	$-xy + yx + ay^2 + z^2;$ xz + zx + (a-1)zy + ayz; yz + zy	none	trivial	Y
T7	$\begin{array}{c} xzy^{\heartsuit} - xyz^{\heartsuit} - yzy \\ +ay^2z^{\heartsuit} + by^3 + z^3 \end{array}$	$-xy + yx + ay^{2} + z^{2}; yz - zy;$ $xz + by^{2} + ayz - zx + (a-1)zy$	none	$(a,b) \mapsto (a,-b)$	Y
Т8	$xzy^{\bigcirc} - xyz^{\bigcirc} - yzy + yz^{2}^{\bigcirc} + ay^3$	-xy + yx + yz + zy; yz - zy; $xz + ay^2 - zx - zy + z^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
Т9	$a^{2}xyz+yzx+azxy-a^{2}xzy-zyx$ -ayxz + $a^{2}xz^{2}$ + zyz + $azxz$	$axy - yx + 2zx; axz - zx; yz - zy + z^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial	Y
T10	$\begin{array}{l} xyz - yzx + zxy - yxz + xzy \\ -zyx + y^2z - yzy + zy^2 + z^3 \end{array}$	$xy - yx + y^2 + z^2; xz + zx + 2zy; yz + zy$	none	trivial	Y
T11	$x^2z + axzx + a^2zx^2 +y^2z - ayzy + a^2zy^2$	$xz + azx; yz - azy; x^2 + y^2$	$a \neq 0$	$a \mapsto -a$	Y
T12	$z^2y + izyz - yz^2 + y^2x$ $-yxy + xy^2 + x^3$	$x^2 + y^2; xy - yx + z^2; zy + iyz$	none	trivial	Ν
T13	$\frac{z^2y - izyz - yz^2 + y^2x}{-yxy + xy^2 + x^3}$	$x^2 + y^2; xy - yx + z^2; zy - iyz$	none	trivial	Ν
T14	$\begin{array}{l} xyx + yxy + zyx + yzy + zyz \\ +\theta xzy + \theta zxz + \theta^2 xzx + \theta^2 yxz \end{array}$	$yx + \theta zy + \theta^2 zx; xy + zy + \theta^2 xz; yx + yz + \theta xz$	none	trivial	Ν
T15	$\begin{array}{l} xyx+yxy+zyx+yzy+zyz\\ +\theta^2xzy+\theta^2zxz+\theta xzx+\theta yxz\end{array}$	$yx + \theta^2 zy + \theta zx; xy + zy + \theta xz; yx + yz + \theta^2 xz$	none	trivial	Ν
T16	$y^2 z^{\circlearrowright} + z^3 + x^2 z - xzx + zx^2$	$x^2 + y^2 + z^2; xz - zx; yz + zy$	none	trivial	Y
T17	$xy^2 \stackrel{\circlearrowright}{} + y^3 + xz^2 - zxz + z^2x$	$xz - zx; xy + yx + y^2; y^2 + z^2$	none	trivial	Y
T18	y^3+yz^2 $+az^3+x^2z-xzx+zx^2$	$xz - zx; yz + zy + x^2 + az^2; y^2 + z^2$	$a^2 + 4 \neq 0$	$a \mapsto -a$	Ν

VIII. An algebra A belongs to Ω and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ with Q **NOT** being a cube of a degree one element if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table. All such algebras are Koszul.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining Relations of A	Exceptions	Isomorphisms	PBW
N1	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 + xz + azx + yx + by^2 + czy$	none	trivial	Y
N2	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 + xz + azx + by^2 + zy$	none	trivial	Y
N3	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 + xz + azx + y^2$	none	trivial	Υ
N4	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 + xz + azx$	none	trivial	Υ
N5	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + yx + by^2 + czy + z^2$	none	trivial	Υ
N6	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + by^2 + zy + z^2$	none	trivial	Y
N7	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + y^2 + z^2$	none	trivial	Υ
N8	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + z^2$	none	trivial	Υ
N9	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + yx + by^2 + zy$	none	trivial	Υ
N10	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + yx + y^2$	none	trivial	Y
N11	xyz	xy; yz; xz + azx + yx	none	trivial	Υ
N12	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + y^2 + zy$	none	trivial	Υ
N13	xyz	xy; yz; xz + azx + zy	none	trivial	Υ
N14	xyz	$xy; yz; xz + azx + y^2$	none	trivial	Υ
N15	xyz	xy; yz; xz + azx	none	trivial	Υ
N16	xyz	$ \begin{array}{l} xy; yz; \\ x^2 - xz - azx - yx - cy^2 - dzy - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{l} a \neq -1, \ b^2 \neq (a+1)^2, \\ \frac{(1-a-b)^n}{1+a+b} \neq \frac{(1-a+b)^n}{1+a-b} \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{array} $	$(a,b) \mapsto (a,-b)$	Ν
N17	xyz	$ \begin{array}{l} xy; yz; \\ x^2 - xz - azx - cy^2 - zy - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^2 \end{array} $	$\begin{aligned} a &\neq -1, \ b^2 \neq (a+1)^2, \\ \frac{(1-a-b)^n}{1+a+b} &\neq \frac{(1-a+b)^n}{1+a-b} \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{aligned}$	$(a,b)\mapsto (a,-b)$	Ν
N18	xyz	$ \begin{array}{l} xy; yz; \\ x^2 - xz - azx - y^2 - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^2 \end{array} $	$\frac{a \neq -1, \ b^2 \neq (a+1)^2,}{\frac{(1-a-b)^n}{1+a+b} \neq \frac{(1-a+b)^n}{1+a-b} \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$	$(a,b)\mapsto (a,-b)$	Ν
N19	xyz	xy; yz; $x^2 - xz - azx - \frac{b^2 - (a+1)^2}{4}z^2$	$\begin{aligned} a &= -1, \ b^2 \neq (a+1)^2, \\ \frac{(1-a-b)^n}{1+a+b} &\neq \frac{(1-a+b)^n}{1+a-b} \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{aligned}$	$(a,b) \mapsto (a,-b)$	Ν
N20	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 - xz - azx - yx - cy^2 - dzy + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^2$	$a \neq -1, na \neq n+2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$		Ν
N21	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 - xz - azx - cy^2 - zy + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^2$	$a \neq -1, na \neq n+2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial	Ν
N22	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 - xz - azx - y^2 + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^2$	$a \neq -1, na \neq n+2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial	Ν
N23	xyz	$xy; yz; x^2 - xz - azx + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4}z^2$	$a \neq -1, na \neq n+2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial	Ν

IX. An algebra A belongs to Λ and is potential if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table.

	Potential Q_A	Defining relations of A_Q	Exceptions	Isomorphisms
F1	$x^4 + ax^2y^{2\heartsuit} + bxyxy\heartsuit + y^4$	$x^3 + axy^2 + ay^2x + 2byxy;$ $ax^2y + ayx^2 + 2bxyx + y^3$	$4(a+b)^2 \neq 1 (a,b) \neq (0,0) (a,b) \neq \pm (1,1/2)$	$ \begin{array}{c} (a,b) \mapsto (-a,-b) \\ (a,b) \mapsto \left(\frac{1-2b}{1+2a+2b}, \frac{1-2a+2b}{2(1+2a+2b)}\right) \end{array} $
F2	x^2y^2 ^O + $\frac{a}{2}xyxy$ ^O	$xy^2 + y^2x + ayxy;$ $x^2y + yx^2 + axyx$	none	trivial
F3	$x^4 + x^2 y^2 ^{\circlearrowright} + \frac{a}{2} xy xy ^{\circlearrowright}$	$x^{3} + xy^{2} + y^{2}x + ayxy;$ $x^{2}y + yx^{2} + axyx$	none	trivial
F4	$x^3y^{\bigcirc} + x^2y^{2^{\bigcirc}} - xyxy^{\bigcirc}$	$x^2 y^{\bigcirc} + x y^{2^{\bigcirc}} - 3y xy;$ $x^3 + x^2 y + y x^2 - 2x y x$	none	trivial

	Twisted potential Q_A	Defining relations of A_Q	Exceptions	Isomorphisms
G1	$x^2y^2 + a^2y^2x^2 + axy^2x + ayx^2y + bxyxy + abyxyx$	$a^{2}yx^{2} + ax^{2}y + abxyx;$ $xy^{2} + ay^{2}x + byxy$	$\begin{array}{l} a \neq 0 \\ a \neq 1 \end{array}$	trivial
G2	$x^{2}y^{2} + y^{2}x^{2} - xy^{2}x - yx^{2}y + (a-1)x^{2}yx + (1-a)xyx^{2} + ayx^{3} - ax^{3}y + \frac{a}{2}x^{4}$	$yx^2 - x^2y + ax^3$	none	trivial
G3	$x^{2}y^{2} - xyxy + ayx^{3} +ax^{3}y + (a-1)xyx^{2} + (a+1)x^{2}yx$	$\begin{array}{l} xy^2 + y^2 x - 2yxy + ax^2y + (a-1)yx^2 + (a+1)xyx; \\ ax^3 + x^2y + yx^2 - 2xyx \end{array}$	none	trivial
G4	x^2y^2 ^O - $xyxy$ ^O - $xyx^2 + x^2yx + ax^4$		none	trivial
G5			$a \neq 0$	trivial
G6	$x^3y + yx^3 + \theta xyx^2 + \theta^2 x^2yx + y^4$	$x^2y + \theta yx^2 + \theta^2 xyx; x^3 + y^3$	none	trivial
G7	$x^3y + yx^3 + \theta^2 xyx^2 + \theta x^2yx + y^4$	$x^2y + \theta^2yx^2 + \theta xyx; x^3 + y^3$	none	trivial
G8	$ x^4 - iyx^3 - y^2x^2 + iy^3x + y^4 + xy^3 + x^2y^2 + x^3y $	$x^3 + x^2y + xy^2 + y^3; x^3 - iyx^2 - y^2x + iy^3$	none	trivial
G9	$ x^{4} + iyx^{3} - y^{2}x^{2} - iy^{3}x + y^{4} + xy^{3} + x^{2}y^{2} + x^{3}y $	$x^3 + x^2y + xy^2 + y^3; x^3 + iyx^2 - y^2x - iy^3$	none	trivial
G10	$ x^{2}y^{2} - yx^{2}y + y^{2}x^{2} - xy^{2}x + y^{3}x - xy^{3} + yxy^{2} - y^{2}xy $	$x^2y - yx^2 - y^2x - xy^2 + yxy; xy^2 - y^2x - y^3$	none	trivial

X. An algebra A belongs to Λ and is twisted potential and non-potential if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table.

XI. An algebra A belongs to Λ and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ is the fourth power of a degree 1 element if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining relations of A_Q	Exceptions	Isomorphisms
$\mathbf{Z1}$	y^4	$y^3; x^3 - xy^2 - ayxy - y^2x$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
$\mathbf{Z2}$	y^4	y^3 ; $x^2y + xyx + yx^2 - yxy$	none	trivial
$\mathbf{Z3}$	y^4	y^3 ; $x^2y - xyx + yx^2 - yxy$	none	trivial
$\mathbf{Z4}$	y^4	y^3 ; $x^2y - yxy - ay^2x$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
Z5	y^4	y^3 ; $yx^2 - yxy - axy^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
Z6	y^4	y^3 ; $x^2y - ayx^2 - yxy - by^2x$	$a \neq 0, \ a + a^2 + \ldots + a^k + b \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial
$\mathbf{Z7}$	y^4	$y^3; x^2y - ayx^2 - y^2x$	none	trivial
$\mathbf{Z8}$	y^4	y^3 ; $yx^2 - xy^2$	none	trivial
Z9	y^4	y^3 ; $x^2y - axyx + a^2yx^2$	$a \neq 0$	trivial
Z10	y^4	$y^3; x^2y - xyx + yx^2 - xy^2 - ayxy + y^2x$	none	trivial

XII. An algebra A belongs to Λ and its quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ with Q**NOT** being a fourth power of a degree one element if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra from the following table.

	Quasipotential Q_A	Defining relations of A_Q	Exceptions	Isomorphisms
Y1	(x-by)(xy-ayx)(x-y)	$x^{2}y - axyx + byxy - aby^{2}x;$ $xyx + xy^{2} - ayx^{2} - ayxy$	$a \neq 0, \ a \neq 1, \ a^k b \neq 1 \ for \ all \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	$(a,b) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right)$
Y2	(x-y)(xy-yx-ayy)x	$ \begin{array}{c} x^2y - xyx - axy^2 - yxy + y^2x + ay^3; \\ xyx + xy^2 - yx^2 \end{array} $	$a \neq 0, \ na + 1 \neq 0 \ for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{N}$	trivial
$\mathbf{Y3}$	x(xy - yx)y	$x^2y - xyx; xy^2 - yxy$	none	trivial
Y4	(x-ay)xy(x-y)	$x^2y - ayxy; xyx - xy^2$	$a \neq 1$	trivial
Y5	(x-y)(xy-ayx)y	$x^2y - axyx + yxy - ay^2x; xy^2 - ayxy$	$a \neq 1$	trivial
Y6	x(xy - ayx)y	$x^2y - axyx; xy^2 - ayxy$	$a \neq 1$	trivial
Y7	x(xy - yx - yy)y	$x^2y - xyx - xy^2; xy^2 - yxy - y^3$	none	trivial
$\mathbf{Y8}$	y(xy - yx - yy)x	$yxy - y^2x - y^3; xyx - yx^2 - y^3$	none	trivial

Note, that graded 3-Calabi-Yau algebras are known to be potential [?]. It is also true that the potential complex for a 3-Calabi-Yau algebra must be exact. In case of quadratic algebras on three generators and cubic algebras on two generators, this yields that the Hilbert series of the Hilbert series of the algebra must be $(1-t)^{-3}$ or $(1+t)(1-t)^{-3}$ respectively. It is easy to check that algebras P1-P8 and F1-F4 of Theorem 1.11 are 3-Calabi-Yau. Since they are the only potential in the above tables, they form of a complete list of quadratic 3-Calabi-Yau algebras on three generators P1-P8 and cubic 3-Calabi-Yau algebras on two generators F1-F4. Thus this part of classification coincide with lists given by [19] and [20] respectively.

Let us note that the geometric meaning of our classification is that we classify orbits of the natural action of $GL_3(\mathbb{K})$ on the Grassmann variety Gr(3,9). Fix a basis x, y, z in a 3-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{K} . Quadratic algebras A(V, R) with R being 3-dimensional (=given by 3 linearly independent quadratic relations) can be interpreted as points in the 18-dimensional Grassmanian manifold G of 3-dimensional subspaces of the 9-dimensional space V^2 . This turns

 $\Omega'' = \{A : A \text{ is a quadratic algebra satisfying } \dim A_1 = 3, \dim A_2 = 6 \text{ and } \dim A_3 \ge 10\}$

into an algebraic subvariety of G. Note that Ω'' is in a sense almost the same as Ω' : Ω' is Zarisski open in Ω'' . The natural action of $GL_3(\mathbb{K})$ cuts Ω'' into orbits with algebras from Ω'' being isomorphic precisely when they are in the same orbit. What we do is the following: we determine which orbits correspond to algebras from Ω and pick a single element (a canonical form) in each orbit corresponding to an algebra from Ω . Note that $\Omega'' \setminus \Omega$, although not Zarisski closed, is nearly like that: it is the union of countably many subvarieties of Ω'' . Similar interpretation is available for the part of the above theorem dealing with cubic algebras.

Lemma 1.12. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega'$, Q be the corresponding quasipotential and $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Then Q is a scalar multiple of u^3 if and only if $u^2 \in R$.

Proof. If Q is a scalar multiple of u^3 , then $u^2 \in R_Q \subseteq R$. Now assume that $u^2 \in R$. Then $u^3 \in RV \cap VR$. Since $RV \cap VR$ is one-dimensional and $Q \in VR \cap RV$, Q is a scalar multiple of u^3 .

Here we also give a related statement about the dual algebras.

Lemma 1.13. Let A = A(V, R) be a quadratic algebra and u, v be non-zero elements of V. Assume that $uv, vu \in R$. Then $A^!$ is infinite dimensional.

Proof. Choose a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n in V such that $u = x_1$ and $v = ax_j$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $x_1x_j, x_jx_1 \in R$, x_1x_j and x_jx_1 do not feature at all in any of the defining relations of $A^!$ written in generators x_k . It follows that $(x_1x_j)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are linearly independent in $A^!$ and therefore $A^!$ is infinite dimensional.

The above two lemmas explain why algebras with the labels containing the letter R in Theorem 1.11 can not be Koszul. They all fall into Ω^- . Thus Theorem 1.11 yields the following funny corollary.

Corollary 1.14. If a quadratic algebra A = A(V, R) over a field whose characteristic is different from 2 or 3 satisfies $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$, then

A is Koszul
$$\iff H_{A^!} = (1+t)^3 \iff u^2 \notin R$$
 for every non-zero $u \in V$.

Remark 1.15. The quadratic algebras among the Artin–Schelter regular algebras of global dimension 3 are precisely the twisted potential algebras (including the potential ones) in Theorem 1.11. The classification of Artin–Schelter does not provide a canonical form up to an isomorphism. As it is observed in [1], different sets of parameters in their description may lead to isomorphic algebras and when this actually happens was left a mystery.

Remark 1.16. The groups featuring in the isomorphism column of the tables in Theorem 1.11 are all finite, most being trivial. The largest order 24 occurs for Sklyanin algebras (P1).

Throughout the paper we perform linear substitutions. When describing a substitution, we keep the same letters for both old and new variables. We introduce a substitution by showing by which linear combination of (new) variables must the (old) variables be replaced. For example, if we write $x \to x + y + z$, $y \to z - y$ and $z \to 7z$, this means that all occurrences of x (in the relations, potential etc.) are replaced by x + y + z, all occurrences of y are replaced by z - y, while z is swapped for 7z. A scaling is a linear substitution with a diagonal matrix. That is it swaps each variable with it own scalar multiple. For example, the substitution $x \to 2x$, $y \to -3y$ and $z \to iz$ is a scaling.

2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we prepare some tools needed for proving the main result. The following lemma is very useful in dealing with algebras from Ω^0 .

Lemma 2.1. Let A = A(V, R) be a quadratic algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $A \in \Omega^0$;
- (2) $A \in \Omega'$ and A is PBW_B;
- (3) dim V = dim R = 3, dim $A_3 \ge 10$ and there is a basis x, y, z in V and a well-ordering on x, y, zmonomials compatible with multiplication, with respect to which the set of leading monomials of elements of a basis in R is one of $\{xy, xz, yz\}$, $\{xy, xz, zy\}$, $\{xy, zx, zy\}$, $\{yx, yz, xz\}$, $\{yx, yz, zx\}$ or $\{yx, zy, zx\}$.
- (4) dim $V = \dim R = 3$, dim $A_3 \ge 10$ and there is a basis x, y, z in V and a well-ordering on x, y, zmonomials compatible with multiplication, with respect to which the set of leading monomials of elements of a basis in R is $\{xy, xz, yz\}$.

Proof. The implication $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ is obvious. Next, assume A is PBW_B and $A \in \Omega'$. Then dim V = $\dim R = 3$ and $\dim A_3 = 10$. Let x, y, z be a PBW-basis for A, while f, g, h be corresponding PBWgenerators. Since f, g and h form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, it is easy to see that dim A_3 is 9 plus the number of overlaps of the leading monomials f, \overline{g} and h of f, g and h. Since dim $A_3 = 10$, the monomials $\overline{f}, \overline{g}$ and \overline{h} must produce exactly one overlap. Now it is a straightforward routine check that if at least one of three degree 2 monomials in 3 variables is a square, these monomials overlap at least twice. The same happens, if the three monomials contain uv and vu for some distinct $u, v \in \{x, y, z\}$. Finally, the triples $\{xy, yz, zx\}$ and $\{yx, xz, zy\}$ produce 3 overlaps apiece. The only option left, is for $(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})$ to be one of the triples listed in (3). This completes the proof of implication $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$. The implication $(3) \Longrightarrow (4)$ follows from the observation that the group S_3 of permutations of the 3-element set $\{x, y, z\}$ acts transitively on the 6-element set of triples from (3). Finally, assume that (4) is satisfied. Then the leading monomials of defining relations have exactly one overlap. If this overlap produces a non-trivial degree 3 element of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, then dim $A_3 = 9$, which contradicts the assumptions. Hence, the overlap resolves. That is, a linear basis in R is actually a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. Then A is PBW_B . Furthermore, the leading monomials of the defining relations are the same as for $\mathbb{K}[x,y,z]$ for the left-to-right or right-to-left degree lexicographical ordering with x > y > z. Hence A and $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ have the same Hilbert series: $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$. That is, $A \in \Omega^0$. This completes the proof of implication (4) \Longrightarrow (1).

2.1 One and two-dimensional subspaces of V^2 with dim V = 2

The following observations are very well-known. We sketch the proofs for the sake of convenience.

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathbb{K} be an arbitrary algebraically closed field (characteristics 2 and 3 are allowed here), V be a 2-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and S be a 1-dimensional subspace of $V^2 = V \otimes V$. Then S satisfies exactly one of the following conditions:

there is a basis x, y in V such that

- (I.1) $S = \operatorname{span} \{yy\};$
- (I.2) $S = \operatorname{span} \{yx\};$
- (I.3) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xy \alpha yx\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$;
- (I.4) $S = \text{span} \{ xy yx yy \}.$

Furthermore, if $S = \text{span} \{xy - \alpha yx\} = \text{span} \{x'y' - \beta y'x'\}$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ for two different bases x, y and x', y' in V, then either $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha\beta = 1$.

Proof. If V is spanned by a rank one element, then $S = \text{span} \{uv\}$, where u, v are non-zero elements of V uniquely determined by S. If u and v are linearly independent, we set y = u and x = v to see that (I.2) is satisfied. If u and v are linearly dependent, we set y = u and pick an arbitrary $x \in V$ such that y and x are linearly independent. In this case (I.1) is satisfied. Obviously, (I.1) and (I.2) can not happen simultaneously. Since S in (I.3) and (I.4) are spanned by rank 2 elements, neither of them can happen together with either (I.1) or (I.2).

Now let u, v be an arbitrary basis in V and S be spanned by a rank 2 element f = auu+buv+cvu+dvvwith $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. A linear substitution, which keeps u intact and replaces v by v + su with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ turns a into 0 (one must use the fact that f has rank 2 and that \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed: s is a solution of a quadratic equation). Thus we can assume that a = 0. Since f has rank 2, it follows that $bc \neq 0$. If $b + c \neq 0$, we set $x = u + \frac{dv}{b+c}$ and y = bv to see that (I.3) is satisfied with $\alpha = \frac{c}{b} \neq 1$. Note also that the only linear substitutions which send $xy - \alpha yx$ to $xy - \beta yx$ (up to a scalar multiple) with $\alpha\beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $\alpha \neq 1$ are the scalings of the variables and the scalings composed with swapping x and y. In the first case $\alpha = \beta$. In the second case $\alpha\beta = 1$. Finally, if b + c = 0, then we have two options. If, additionally, d = 0, S is spanned by xy - yx with x = u and y = v, which falls into (I.3) with $\alpha = 1$. Note that any linear substitution keeps the shape of xy - yx up to a scalar multiple. If $d \neq 0$, we set x = uand $y = \frac{dv}{b}$ to see that S is spanned by xy - yx - yy yielding (I.4). The remarks on linear substitutions, we have thrown on the way complete the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathbb{K} be an arbitrary algebraically closed field (characteristics 2 and 3 are allowed here), V be a 2-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and S be a 2-dimensional subspace of $V^2 = V \otimes V$. Then Then S satisfies exactly one of the following conditions:

there is a basis x, y in V such that

- (II.1) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xx, yy\};$
- (II.2) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xx yx, yy\};$
- (II.3) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xy, yy\};$
- (II.4) $S = \operatorname{span} \{yx, yy\};$
- (II.5) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xy \alpha yx, yy\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$;
- (II.6) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xy, yx\};$
- (II.7) $S = \operatorname{span} \{xx xy, yx\};$
- (II.8) $S = \text{span} \{xx axy yy, yx\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $a^2 + 1 \neq 0$.

Furthermore, α in (II.5) is uniquely determined by S. Finally, if $S = \text{span} \{xx - axy - yy, yx\} = \text{span} \{x'x' - bx'y' - y'y', y'x'\}$ with $(a^2 + 1)(b^2 + 1) \neq 0$ for two different bases x, y and x', y' in V, then either a = b or a + b = 0.

Proof. Case 1: S contains yy for some non-zero $y \in V$.

Picking a basis y, w in V, we see that S is spanned by $\{yy, aww + bwy + cyw\}$ for some non-zero $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{K}^3$. First, assume that $a \neq 0$. Replacing w by $\alpha x + \beta y$ with appropriately chosen $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$, we can turn S into the span of either $\{xx, yy\}$ or $\{xx - yx, yy\}$. If a = 0, we just set x = w and see that S is the span of either $\{xy, yy\}$, or $\{yx, yy\}$, or $\{xy - \alpha yx, yy\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Thus we fall into one of (II.1–II.5). The spaces S in (II.6–II.9) are easily seen to contain no element of the shape uu with $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$ (in a manner of speaking, S is square-free). Thus each of (II.1–II.5) is incompatible with each of (II.6–II.9). Next, (II.1) is singled out by S having two linearly independent squares. As for S from (II.2–II.5), it contains just one square: yy. Thus any linear substitution transforming one such S into another must send y to its own scalar multiple. Without loss of generality it sends y to itself, while sending x to sx + ty with $s \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{K}$. An easy cases by case consideration shows that each substitution like this preserves each S from (II.5), only the substitution is eligible for S from (II.2). Thus different conditions from the list (II.1–II.5) can not happen simultaneously and α in (II.5) is uniquely determined by S.

Case 2: S contains no square of a non-zero element of V.

It is easy to see that for every finite dimensional space V and for every 2-dimensional subspace S_0 of $V \otimes V$, there is a non-zero element in S_0 of rank strictly less than the dimension of V (this follows easily from the fact that the spectrum of a matrix over an algebraically closed field is always non-empty). Hence there is an element of rank 1 in S. That is, there are non-zero $u, v \in V$ such that $uv \in S$. By the assumption of Case 2, u and v are linearly independent and therefore form a basis of V. Since S is 2-dimensional, it is spanned by uv and $\alpha uu + \beta vu + \gamma vv$ with non-zero $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{K}^3$. First, consider the case $\gamma = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then we set x = u, y = v and observe that S is spanned by $\{xy, yx\}$. If $\beta = 0$, S contains uu, contradicting the assumption of Case 2. If $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, we set y = u and $x = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha}v$, we see that $S = \text{span} \{xx - xy, yx\}$. It remains to consider the case $\gamma \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, $\gamma = 1$. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$, then S contains vv, contradicting the assumption of Case 2. If $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$, we set $y = -\beta u$ and x = v to see that $S = \text{span} \{xx - xy, yx\}$. Finally, assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Since K is algebraically closed, there is $s \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\alpha = -s^2$. Setting x = v and y = su, we see that $S = \operatorname{span} \{xx - axy - yy, yx\}$ for $a = \frac{\beta}{s} \in \mathbb{K}$. Finally, if $a^2 + 1 = 0$, then $xx - axy - ayx - yy = (x - ay)(x - ay) \in S$ and the assumption of Case 2 is violated. Thus $a^2 + 1 \neq 0$. Hence at least one of (II.6–II.8) is satisfied. Incompatibility of different conditions from (II.6–II.8) and the fact that a in (II.8) is uniquely determined by S up to the sign is another easy case by case consideration.

2.2 A remark on Koszulity

Let A = A(V, R) be a quadratic algebra. Fix a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n in V. Recall that there is a specific complex of free right A-modules, called the Koszul complex, whose exactness is equivalent to the Koszulity of A:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} (A_k^!)^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_k} (A_{k-1}^!)^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} (A_0^!)^* \otimes A = A \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \to 0,$$
(2.1)

where the tensor products are over \mathbb{K} , the second last arrow is the augmentation map, each tensor product carries the natural structure of a free right A-module and d_k are given by $d_k(\varphi \otimes u) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \otimes x_j u$, where $\varphi_j \in (A_{k-1}^!)^*$, $\varphi_j(v) = \varphi(x_j v)$. Although $A^!$ and the Koszul complex seem to depend on the choice of a basis in V, it is not really the case up to the natural equivalence [18]. If we know a Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of $A^!$, we know the multiplication table (=structural constants) of $A^!$ with respect to the basis of normal words, which allows us to explicitly write the matrices of the maps d_k .

Note that the Koszul complex is finite (=bounded) precisely when $A^!$ is finite dimensional. Some authors have remarked (see [11] for detailed proof) that if $A^!$ is finite dimensional and the Koszul

complex (2.1) of A is exact at all entries with one possible exception, then it is exact (equivalently, A is Koszul) if and only if the duality formula $H_A(t)H_{A^{\dagger}}(-t) = 1$ is satisfied. It is also well-known that for every quadratic algebra A, the Koszul complex (2.1) is automatically exact at its three rightmost entries. These observations lead to the following lemma, which is our main tool in proving Koszulity.

Lemma 2.4. Let A = A(V,R) be a quadratic algebra such that $A_3^! \neq \{0\}$ and $A_4^! = \{0\}$. Then A is Koszul if and only if $H_A(t)H_{A!}(-t) = 1$ and the map d_3 in the Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow (A_3^!)^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_3} (A_2^!)^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_2} (A_1^!)^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1} (A_0^!)^* \otimes A = A \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \to 0$$

is injective.

Proof. If A is Koszul, then $H_A(t)H_{A^!}(-t) = 1$ and the above complex is exact. In particular, d_3 is injective. Now assume that $H_A(t)H_{A^!}(-t) = 1$ and d_3 is injective. This injectivity is the same as the exactness of the above complex at its leftmost entry. As we have already mentioned the complex is exact at its three rightmost entries. Thus the exactness can potentially break at one entry $(A_2^!)^* \otimes A$ only. As we have mentioned above, the equality $H_A(t)H_{A^!}(-t) = 1$ now yields that A is Koszul.

2.3 Remarks on generic algebras

Definition 2.5. If W is an irreducible affine algebraic variety of positive dimension over an uncountable algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} , then we say that *generic* points of W have a property P if P holds for all $x \in W$ outside the union of countably many proper subvarieties of W. Since the field is uncountable, such a union can never cover the whole of W. Obviously, if each of P_1, \ldots, P_n holds for generic $x \in W$, then $P_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge P_n$ holds for generic $x \in W$.

We sketch the proof of the following elementary and known fact about the varieties of quadratic algebras for the sake of convenience.

Definition 2.6. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{K} be any field, V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} and $W \subseteq \mathbb{K}^m$ be an affine algebraic variety. For $1 \leq j \leq d$, let $q_j : \mathbb{K}^m \to V^{k_j}$ be a polynomial map, where $k_j \geq 2$. For $b \in W$, we set $A^b = F(V)/I_b$, where I_b is the ideal generated by $q_1(b), \ldots, q_d(b)$. The family $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ will be called a variety of graded algebras. If each k_j equals 2, every A^b is quadratic and we say that $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ is a variety of quadratic algebras.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ be a variety of graded algebras. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $h_k = \min\{\dim A_k^b : b \in W\}$. Then the non-empty set $\{b \in W : \dim A_k^b = h_k\}$ is Zarissky open in W.

Proof. We can assume that $k \ge 2$ (for $k \in \{0, 1\}$, the set in question is the entire W). Pick $c \in W$ such that dim $A_k^c = h_k$. Then dim $I_k^c = n^k - h_k$. Note that since I_k^b is the linear span of $uq_j(b)v$, where $1 \le j \le d$, u, v are monomials and deg $(uv) + k_j = k$, dim I_k^b is exactly the rank of the rectangular K-matrix M(b) of the coefficients of all such $uq_j(b)v$. Let $M_1(b), \ldots, M_N(b)$ be all $(n^k - h_k) \times (n^k - h_k)$ submatrices of M(b). For each j, let $\delta_j(b)$ be the determinant of the matrix $M_j(b)$. Clearly, each δ_j is a (commutative) polynomial in the variables $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m)$. Obviously,

$$G = \{b \in W : \dim A_k^b > h_k\} = \{b \in \mathbb{K}^m : \dim I_k^b < n^k - h_k\} = \{b \in \mathbb{K}^m : \delta_1(b) = \dots = \delta_N(b) = 0\}$$

is Zarissky closed. Then $U = W \setminus G = \{b \in W : \dim A_k^b \leq h_k\}$ is Zarisski open. By definition of h_k , $U = \{b \in W : \dim A_k^b = h_k\}$ and the result follows.

The following result of Drinfeld [6] features also as Theorem 2.1 in Chapter 6 in [18]. To explain it properly, we need to remind the characterization of Koszulity in terms of the distributivity of lattices of vector spaces. Let A = A(V, R) be a quadratic algebra. For $n \ge 3$, let $L_n(V, R)$ be the finite lattice of subspaces of V^n generated by the spaces $V^k R V^{n-2-k}$ for $0 \le k \le n-2$ (as usual, the lattice operations are sum and intersection). Then A is Koszul if and only if $L_n(V, R)$ is distributive for each $n \ge 3$ (see [18, Chapter 3]). The mentioned result of Drinfeld is as follows. **Lemma 2.8.** Let $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ be a variety of quadratic algebras and U is a non-empty Zarissky open subset of W such that dim A_2^b and dim A_3^b do not depend on b for $b \in U$. Then for each $k \ge 3$, the set

$$\{b \in U : L_j(V, R_b) \text{ for } 3 \leq j \leq k \text{ are distributive}\}$$

is Zarissky open in W.

The proof of the above lemma is a classical blend of the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 with an appropriate inductive procedure. We need the following corollary of Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ be a variety of quadratic algebras. Assume also that \mathbb{K} is uncountable and algebraically closed and W is irreducible and has positive dimension. As above, let $h_k = \min\{\dim A_k^b : b \in W\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then for generic $b \in W$, $H_{A^b}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k t^k$. Furthermore, exactly one of the following statements holds true:

- (1) A^b is non-Koszul for every $b \in W$ satisfying dim $A_3^b = h_3$ and dim $A_2^b = h_2$;
- (2) A^b is Koszul for generic $b \in W$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, $\{b \in W : \dim A_k^b \neq h_k\}$ is a proper subvariety of W for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, $H_{A^b} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n$ for generic $b \in W$. Next, by Lemma 2.7,

$$U = \{ b \in W : \dim A_3^b = h_3 \text{ and } \dim A_2^b = h_2 \}$$

is a non-empty Zarissky open subset of W. If A^b is non-Koszul for every $b \in U$, (1) is satisfied. Assume now that (1) fails. Then there is $c \in U$ for which A^c is Koszul. By Lemma 2.8, $W_k = \{b \in U : L_j(V, R_b) \text{ for } 3 \leq j \leq k \text{ are distributive}\}$ is Zarissky open in W. Since A^c is Koszul, $c \in W_k$ for every $k \geq 3$. Since for b from the intersection of W_k with $k \geq 3$, A^b is Koszul and each W_k is Zarissky open and non-empty, (2) is satisfied. Obviously, (1) and (2) are incompatible.

We adjust the above results to the situation we are interested in.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\{A^b : b \in W\}$ be a variety of quadratic algebras. Assume also that \mathbb{K} is uncountable and algebraically closed, W is irreducible and has positive dimension, there is a non-empty Zarisski open subset U of W such that for each $b \in U$, $H_{(A^b)^!} = (1+t)^3$ and there is at least one $c \in U$ for which A^c is Koszul. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and every $b \in W$, dim $A_n^b \ge \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$. Moreover, for generic $b \in W$, $H_{A^b} = (1-t)^{-3}$ and A^b is Koszul.

Proof. As above, let $h_k = \min\{\dim A_k^b : b \in W\}$. Since $H_{(A^b)!} = (1+t)^3$, we have that $\dim A_1^b = 3$, $\dim A_b^2 = 6$ and $\dim A_3^b = 10$ for each $b \in U$. Since W is irreducible and $U \subseteq W$ is non-empty and Zarisski open, Lemma 2.7 yields $h_1 = 3$, $h_2 = 6$ and $h_3 = 10$. By Lemma 2.9, $H_{A^b} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n$ and A^b is Koszul for generic $b \in W$. On the other hand, the duality formula in (1.1), implies that $H_{A_b} = (1-t)^{-3}$ whenever $b \in U$ and A^b is Koszul. Thus $H_{A_b} = (1-t)^{-3}$ for generic $b \in W$. Hence $(1-t)^{-3} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n$ and therefore $h_n = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By definition of h_n , $\dim A_n^b \ge \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ for all $b \in W$.

2.4 Degenerate algebras

Here we provide a sufficient condition for a quadratic algebra to fall outside Ω . More specifically, we show that if an algebra is given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations, of which at least two are devoid of x, then $A \notin \Omega$.

Lemma 2.11. Let \mathbb{K} be an arbitrary field (characteristics 2 and 3 are allowed here) and $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$. Then dim $R \cap M^2 \leq 1$ for every 2-dimensional subspace M of V.

Proof. It is easy to see that if this lemma holds for a ground field \mathbb{K} then it holds when \mathbb{K} is replaced by any subfield. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed. Since dim $A_1 = 3$ and dim $A_2 = 6$, we have dim $V = \dim R = 3$.

Assume the contrary. Then there is a 2-dimensional subspace M of V such that dim $R \cap M^2 \ge 2$. First, we get rid of the trivial case $R \subseteq M^2$. If x, y, z is a basis in V such that y, z span M, then, provided $R \subseteq M^2$, R^{\perp} is spanned by xx, xy, xz, yx, zx, h, where $h \in M^2$ is non-zero. By Lemma 2.3, making a y, z linear substitution, we can turn h into one of the following forms yy, yz - zy - zz or yz - azy with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Whatever the case xx, xy, xz, yx, zx and h form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of $A^!$ with respect to the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. Thus $A^!$ is Koszul and we can compute its Hilbert series: $H_{A^!} = 1 + 3t + 3t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + \ldots = \frac{1+t-2t^2+t^3}{(1-t)^2}$

if h = yz - zy - zz or h = yz - azy and $H_{A^{!}} = 1 + 3t + 3t^{2} + 5t^{3} + 8t^{4} + \ldots = \frac{1+2t-t^{2}-t^{3}}{1-t-t^{2}}$ if h = yy. In both cases the duality formula in (1.1) yields dim $A_{3} > 10$, which contradicts the assumptions. Thus for the rest of the proof we can assume that $S = R \cap M^{2}$ is two-dimensional.

By Lemma 2.3, there is a basis y, z in M such that S is spanned by one of the following sets: $\{yy, zz\}$, $\{yy - zy, zz\}$, $\{yz, zz\}$, $\{zy, zz\}$, $\{yz - \alpha zy, zz\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $\{yz, zy\}$, $\{yy - yz, zy\}$, $\{yy - zy, yz\}$ or $\{yy - \alpha yz - zz, zy\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$. Pick $x \in V \setminus M$. Then x, y, z is a basis in V. For the rest of this proof we use the following ordering on monomials in x, y, z. First, a monomial of bigger degree is considered to be bigger. For two monomials of equal degree, the one with bigger x-degree (x occurs more times) is bigger. Finally we use the left-to-right lexicographical ordering with x > y > z to break the ties. One easily sees that this order is compatible with multiplication and therefore allows to use the Gröbner basis technique. Since R is 3-dimensional, R is spanned by S together with one element $f \in R \setminus S$.

Case 1: S is the span of one of $\{yy, zz\}, \{yz, zz\}, \{zy, zz\}, \{yz, zy\}, \text{ or } \{yz - \alpha zy, zz\} \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

In this case, regardless what shape f has, at least two overlaps of leading monomials of the defining relations resolve without producing a degree 3 element of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. This yields dim $A_3 > 10$, contradicting the assumption $A \in \Omega$.

Case 2: $S = \operatorname{span} \{yy - yz, zy\}$ or $S = \operatorname{span} \{yy - zy, yz\}$.

These two options reduce to each other by passing to the opposite multiplication. Thus we can assume that $S = \operatorname{span} \{yy - yz, zy\}$. Then R is spanned by yy - yz, zy and $f = a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3xz + a_4yx + a_5zx + a_6yz + a_7zz$ with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_7) \in \mathbb{K}^7$. The overlaps yyy and zyy produce just one degree 3 element of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A being yzz.

If $a_1 \neq 0$, the leading monomial of f is xx. Any substitution of the form $x \to x + \alpha y + \beta z$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ leaves the relations yy - yz and zy intact and preserves the shape of f. Furthermore, α and β can be chosen to turn a_2 and a_3 into 0. After such a substitution the defining relations of Aturn into xx - byx - czx - dyz - pzz, yy - yz and zy with $b, c, d, p \in \mathbb{K}$. Provided $b \neq 0$, the only overlap (other than yyy and zyy) xxx produces the second degree 3 element g of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A:

$$g = bxyx + cxzx + dxyz + pxzz - (d + b2 + bc)yzx - (p + c2)zzx - cpzzz$$

with the leading monomial being xyx. Degree 4 overlaps produce just one degree 4 element of the Gröbner basis (comes from the overlap xxyx):

$$h = (b^{2} + bc + d)xyzx + (p + c^{2})xzzx + cpxzzz - (c^{3} + 2cp)zzzx - (c^{2}p + p^{2})zzzz.$$

In the case $b \neq 0$ and $h \neq 0$, we obtain dim $A_4 = 16$. Thus dim $A_4 = 16$ for Zarisski generic $(b, c, d, p) \in \mathbb{K}^4$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_4 \ge 16$ for all $(b, c, d, p) \in \mathbb{K}^4$. Thus dim $A_4 \ge 16$ whenever $a_1 \neq 0$. Hence dim $A_4 \ge 16$ for Zarisski generic $a \in \mathbb{K}^7$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_4 \ge 16$ for all $a \in \mathbb{K}^7$. This contradicts the assumption $A \in \Omega$ and concludes Case 2. **Case 3:** $S = \text{span} \{ yy - zy, zz \}.$

As above, R is spanned by yy - zy, zz and $f = a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3xz + a_4yx + a_5zx + a_6yz + a_7zy$ with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_7) \in \mathbb{K}$. The overlaps yyy and zzz produce just one degree 3 element of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A being yzy. We proceed as in the second case. If $a_1 \neq 0$, the leading monomial of f is xx. Making a substitution $x \to x + \alpha y + \beta z$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ with appropriate $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$, we turn the defining relations of A turn into xx - byx - czx - dyz - pzy, yy - zy and zz with $b, c, d, p \in \mathbb{K}$. Provided $b \neq 0$, we can scale x to turn b into -1. Assuming $d-c \neq 0$ and $pc+c-c^2+cd-d\neq 0$, we compute the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, which turns out finite and consisting of xx+yx-czx-dyz-pzy, yy-zy, zz, yzy, -xyx+cxzx+dxyz+pxzy+(c-d)yzx+(c-p-1)zyx+d(1-c)zyz, (d-c)xyzx + (p+1-c)xzyx + d(c-1)xzyz + ((d-c)(1-c)-cp)zyzx and xzyzx. Now we easily get $\dim A_7 = 37$. Thus $\dim A_7 \ge 37$ for Zarisski generic $(b, c, d, p) \in \mathbb{K}^4$. By Lemma 2.7, $\dim A_7 \ge 37$ for all $a \in \mathbb{K}^7$. This contradicts the assumption $A \in \Omega$. Indeed, one has $\dim A_7 = 36$ for $A \in \Omega$. This concludes Case 3.

Case 4: $S = \text{span} \{yy - \alpha yz - zz, zy\}$ with $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$.

Then R is spanned by yy - ayz - zz, zy and $f = a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3xz + a_4yx + a_5zx + a_6yz + a_7zz$ with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_7) \in \mathbb{K}$. Since R is not contained in M^2 , we have $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. The overlaps yyy and zyy produce two linearly independent degree 3 element of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A being yzz and zzz.

First, consider the case $a_1 \neq 0$. Using the same substitution as in Case 2, we can turn the defining relations of A into xx - byx - czx - dyz - pzz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy with $b, c, d, p \in \mathbb{K}$. The only overlap (other than yyy and zyy) xxx produces

$$g = bxyx + cxzx + dxyz + pxzz - (d + \alpha b^2 + bc)yzx - bczyx - (p + b^2 + c^2)zzx.$$

If $g \neq 0$, we have dim $A_3 = 9$, which is impossible since we know that dim $A_3 = 10$. Thus g = 0, or equivalently, b = c = d = p = 0. In the latter case, xx, yy - ayz - zz, zy, yzz and zzz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, yielding dim $A_4 = 18 > 15$, which contradicts the assumptions. Thus $a_1 = 0$.

Now we consider the case $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$. In this case the defining relations of A have the shape xy - bxz - cyx - dzx - pyz - qzz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy with $b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. The only overlap (other than yyy and zyy) xyy produces

$$g = -(\alpha b + 1)xzz + c(b - \alpha)yxz + c(d + \alpha c)yzx + d(b - \alpha)zxz + (d^2 + c^2)zzx.$$

If $g \neq 0$, we have dim $A_3 = 9$, which is impossible. Thus g = 0. Using the fact that $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$, we see that this only happens if c = d = 0 and $\alpha b + 1 = 0$. Thus $\alpha \neq 0$ and the defining relations of A have the shape $xy + \frac{1}{\alpha}xz - pyz - qzz$, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. Now the defining relations together with zzz and yzz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. This yields dim $A_4 = 19$, which contradicts the assumptions. Thus $a_2 = 0$.

Next, consider the case $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 \neq 0$. In this case the defining relations of A have the shape xz - byx - czx - pyz - qzz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy with $b, c, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. The only overlap (other than yyy and zyy) xzy produces g = byxy + czxy. If $g \neq 0$, we have dim $A_3 = 9$, which is impossible. Thus b = c = 0. Then the defining relations of A have the form xz - pyz + qzz, $yy - \alpha yz + zz$ and zy with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. Now the defining relations together with zzz and yzz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. This yields dim $A_4 = 19$, which contradicts the assumptions. Thus $a_3 = 0$.

In the case $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and $a_4 \neq 0$, the defining relations of A have the shape yx - bzx - cyz - dzz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy = 0 with $b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. The two overlaps (other than yyy and zyy) yyx and zyxproduce $g = (b - \alpha)yzx - zzx$ and h = bzzx. If g and h are linearly independent, we have dim $A_3 = 9$, which is impossible. Thus g and h are linearly dependent. This only happens if either $b = \alpha$ or b = 0. If $b = \alpha$, then $yx - \alpha zx - cyz - dzz$, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$, zy, zzz, yzz and zzx form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. If b = 0, then yx - cyz - dzz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$, zy, zzz, yzz and $\alpha yzx + zzx$ form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. In both cases dim $A_4 = 19$, which contradicts the assumptions. Thus $a_4 = 0$.

Since $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$, the only option left is $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$ and $a_5 \neq 0$. Then the defining relations of A have the shape zx - byz - czz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$ and zy with $b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. In this case zx - byz - czz, $yy - \alpha yz - zz$, zy, zzz and yzz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, which yields dim $A_3 = 9$. This contradicts the assumptions and concludes the last case.

Remark 2.12. What we have actually proved in the above lemma, is that if A = A(V, R) is a quadratic algebra, dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and dim $R \cap M^2 \ge 2$ for some 2-dimensional subspace M of V, then the first 8 terms of H_A can not be the same as for an algebra from Ω : $H_A \ne 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 21t^5 + 28t^6 + 36t^7 + \dots$ However, they can coincide up to the t^6 term inclusively.

3 Absence of PBW_B condition

In order to prove Theorem 1.11, we need to show that certain algebras are not PBW_B . In this section, we deal with this.

Lemma 3.1. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations r_1 , r_2 and r_3 from the following list

(A1) $r_1 = xy$, $r_2 = yz$, $r_3 = a_0xx + a_1xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + a_6zz$ for $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $a_0a_6 \neq 0$; (A2) $r_1 = xx - xz - azx - bzz$, $r_2 = xy + \frac{b}{a}zz$, $r_3 = yy - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b}{a^2}zz$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$; (A3) $r_1 = xy + byz + zz$, $r_2 = zx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz$, $r_3 = yy + yz + bzy + zz$, where $b \in \mathbb{K}$, $b \neq 0$, $b \neq 1$.

Then either dim $A_3 \neq 10$ or A is non-PBW_B.

Proof. Assume the contrary. That is, dim $A_3 = 10$ and A is PBW_B. Since A is PBW_B and $A \in \Omega'$, Lemma 2.1 yields that there exist a well-ordering \leq on the x, y, z monomials compatible with multiplication and satisfying x > y > z (this we can acquire by permuting the variables) and a nondegenerate linear substitution $x \mapsto ux + \alpha_1 y + \beta_1 z$, $y \mapsto vx + \alpha_2 y + \beta_2 z$, $z \mapsto wx + \alpha_3 y + \beta_3 z$ such that the leading monomials m_1, m_2, m_3 of the new space of defining relations satisfy

$$\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} \in \{\{xy, xz, yz\}, \{xy, xz, zy\}, \{xy, zx, zy\}, \{yx, yz, xz\}, \{yx, yz, zx\}, \{yx, zy, zx\}\}$$
(3.1)

Since xx is the biggest degree 2 monomial,

$$xx$$
 is absent in r_i after the substitution. (3.2)

Since < satisfies x > y > z and is compatible with multiplication,

four biggest degree 2 monomials are either xx, xy, yx, xz or xx, xy, yx, zx (3.3)

(not necessarily in this order). Since each of the classes of algebras with relations from (A1), (A2) or (A3) is closed (up to isomorphism) with respect to passing to the opposite multiplication and the two options in (3.3) reduce to one another via passing to the opposite multiplication, for the rest of the proof we can assume that

the set of four biggest degree 2 monomials is
$$\{xx, xy, yx, xz\}$$
. (3.4)

Case 1: r_j are given by (A1).

Since $a_0a_6 \neq 0$, by scaling we can without loss of generality assume that $a_0 = a_6 = 1$ from the start (that is, $r_3 = xx + a_1xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + zz$ to begin with). Then (3.2) reads $0 = uv = vw = u^2 + (a_1 + a_4)uw + a_3v^2 + w^2$.

Case 1a: v = 0. Since our substitution is non-degenerate $(v, w) \neq (0, 0)$. Since $0 = u^2 + (a_1 + a_4)uw + w^2$, we get $uw \neq 0$. By scaling x (this does not effect the leading monomials), we can assume that u = 1. Then w is a solution of the quadratic equation $w^2 + (a_1 + a_4)w + 1 = 0$. The following table shows the coefficients in r_j in front of certain monomials (after substitution). The coefficients whose shape we do not care about are replaced by *.

	xx	xy	yx	xz	zx	yy	yz
r_1	0	α_2	0	β_2	0	$lpha_1lpha_2\ lpha_2lpha_3\ *$	$\alpha_1\beta_2$
r_2	0	0	$\alpha_2 w$	0	$\beta_2 w$	$\alpha_2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_2\beta_3$
r_3	0	*	*	*	*	*	*

If both xy and yx columns of the above matrix vanish, then (3.1) is violated. Thus at least one of these columns is non-zero. First, assume that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Then the yx column of the above matrix is not in the linear span of the xy and xz columns. Using (3.4), the linear independence of the xy and yx columns and the the obvious inequality xy > xz, we have that both xy and yx are among the leading monomials of the defining relations. Since this contradicts (3.1), we must have $\alpha_2 = 0$. Since v = 0 and our substitution is non-degenerate, we have $\beta_2 \neq 0$. Now by (3.1) and (3.4), the two biggest leading monomials m_1 and m_2 of the defining relations are either xy and xz or yx and xz. Now using $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\beta_2 \neq 0$, we see that both yy and yz columns are in the linear span of m_1 and m_2 columns, while the zx column is not in the said span. Since zx > zy > zz, the third leading monomial must be zx. Again, we have arrived to a contradiction with (3.1). This concludes Case 1a.

Case 1b: $v \neq 0$. As above, without loss of generality, v = 1. The equations $0 = uv = vw = u^2 + (a_1 + a_4)uw + a_3v^2 + w^2$ now yield $u = w = a_3 = 0$. Taking this into account, we write the following table of the coefficients in r_i in front of certain monomials (after substitution):

						yy	
r_1	0	0	α_1	0	β_1	$\alpha_1 \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 \beta_2$
r_2	0	$lpha_3$	0	β_3	0	$\alpha_2 \alpha_3$	$\alpha_2\beta_3$
r_3	0	$\alpha_1 a_2$	$\alpha_3 a_5$	$\beta_1 a_2$	$\beta_3 a_5$	q_1	q_2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$q_2 = \alpha_1$	$\beta_1 + c$	$\alpha_1\beta_3a_1$	$+ \alpha_2 \beta_1$	$a_2 + \alpha_3$	$\beta_1 a_4 +$	$\alpha_3\beta_2a_5$	$+\alpha_3\beta_3$

If $\alpha_1\alpha_3 \neq 0$ or $\alpha_1a_2 \neq 0$ or $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_3a_5 \neq 0$, then the yx column of the above matrix is not in the linear span of the xy and xz columns. Using (3.4) and the inequality xy > xz, we see that both xy and yx are among the leading monomials of the defining relations. Since this contradicts (3.1), we must have $\alpha_1a_2 = \alpha_1\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_3a_5 = 0$ if $\alpha_1 = 0$.

First, assume that $\alpha_1 = 0$. Since our substitution is non-degenerate, this yields $\beta_1\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Since $\alpha_3a_5 = 0$, we have $a_5 = 0$. Then the yx column is zero. If $a_2 \neq 0$, the xy and xz columns are linearly independent, which makes xy and xz the first two leading monomials of the defining relations. One easily sees that yy and yz columns are in the linear span of xy and xz columns, while the zx column is not in the said span. Since zx > zy > zz, zx is the last leading monomial. Thus both xz and zx are among the leading monomials, which contradicts (3.1). This contradiction implies $a_2 = 0$. In this case the yx column is zero, the xy column is non-zero and the xz column is a scalar multiple of the xy one. Thus the biggest leading monomial is xy. Moreover, using $\beta_1\alpha_3 \neq 0$, one easily checks that xy, zx and yy columns are linearly independent. Since yy > zy > zz and yy > yz, this implies that yy is among the leading monomials of the defining relations, which contradicts (3.1).

The above contradiction yields $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Since $\alpha_1 a_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_3 = 0$, we have $a_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$. Since our substitution is non-degenerate, we must have $\beta_3 \neq 0$. Now the xy column is zero, while the yx and xz columns are linearly independent. By (3.4), this makes yx and xz leading monomials. By (3.1), the third leading monomial must be either yz or zy. Since yy > yz and yy > zy, this means that the

yy column must be in the linear span of yx and xz columns. However, it is easily seen to be not the case. This contradiction completes the proof in Case 1.

Case 2: r_j are given by (A2).

In this case (3.2) reads

$$0 = u^{2} - (a+1)uw - bw^{2} = (av)^{2} - (a+1)(av)w - bw^{2} = u(av) + bw^{2}.$$

Since $(u, v, w) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, it easily follows that $w \neq 0$. Normalizing, we can assume w = 1. Then the equation in the above display is satisfied if and only if $t^2 - (a+1)t - b = (t-u)(t-av)$ in $\mathbb{K}[t]$. This yields u + av = a + 1 and auv + b = 0.

Case 2a: $b \neq -a$. In this case, one easily sees that $v \neq 1$, $u \neq 1$ and $uv \neq 1$ and the system u + av = a + 1, auv + b = 0, gives $a = \frac{u-1}{1-v}$, $b = uv\frac{1-u}{1-v}$. Since $b \neq 0$, we also have $uv \neq 0$. Now we rewrite the defining relations as $r_1 = xx - xz + \frac{1-u}{1-v}zx - uv\frac{1-u}{1-v}zz$, $r_2 = xy - uvzz$, $r_3 = yy - yz + \frac{1-v}{1-u}zy - uv\frac{1-v}{1-u}zz$. We split the substitution $x \mapsto ux + \alpha_1 y + \beta_1 z$, $y \mapsto vx + \alpha_2 y + \beta_2 z$, $z \mapsto wx + \alpha_3 y + \beta_3 z$ into two consecutive subs: first $x \mapsto ux$, $y \mapsto vx + y$, $z \mapsto x + z$ and, second, $x \mapsto x + \alpha_1 y + \beta_1 z$, $y \mapsto \alpha_2 y + \beta_2 z$, $z \mapsto \alpha_3 y + \beta_3 z$ (α_j, β_j are not the same). After the first substitution, the space R of defining relations is spanned by (new) r_j with $r_1 = (1 - uv)xy - v(2 - u - v)zx - v(1 - v)zz$, $r_2 = (1 - uv)xz - (1 - u)(1 - v)zx + v(1 - u)zz$, $r_3 = -(1 - u)(1 - v)yx + (1 - u)yy - (1 - u)yz + v(2 - u - v)zx + (1 - v)zy + v(1 - u)zz$. After performing the second sub, we arrive to table of the coefficients in r_j in front of certain monomials (the coefficients we do not care about are replaced by *):

	xx	xy	yx	xz	zx
r_1	0	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2(1-uv)\\ \alpha_3(1-uv)\\ 0 \end{array}$	*	$\beta_2(1-uv)$	*
r_2	0	$\alpha_3(1-uv)$	*	$\beta_3(1-uv)$	*
r_3	0	0	$-\alpha_2(1-u)(1-v) + v(2-u-v)\alpha_3$	0	q

with
$$q = \alpha_1(-\alpha_2(1-u)(1-v)+v(2-u-v)\alpha_3)+(1-u)\alpha_2^2+(u-v)\alpha_2\alpha_3+v(1-u)\alpha_3^2$$
.

If $\alpha_2 \neq \frac{v(2-u-v)}{(1-u)(1-v)}\alpha_3$, then the 3 × 3 matrix of xy, yx and xz coefficients is non-degenerate. Hence the leading monomials of defining relations are xy, yx and xz, contradicting (3.1). Hence $\alpha_2 = \frac{v(2-u-v)}{(1-u)(1-v)}\alpha_3$. Since the second sub must be non-degenerate, $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. Using the last equality, we get

$$q = \alpha_3^2 \left(\frac{v^2 (2 - u - v)^2}{(1 - v)^2} + \frac{(u - v)(2 - u - v)}{1 - v} + v(1 - u)^2 \right) = \alpha_3^2 \frac{v(1 - uv)^2}{(1 - u)(1 - v)^2} \neq 0.$$

Since $q \neq 0$, we easily see that either yy is among leading monomials or both xz and zx are. Either way, we get a contradiction with (3.1).

Case 2b: b = -a. In this case u = v = 1 and our sub takes the shape $x \mapsto x + \alpha_1 y + \beta_1 z$, $y \mapsto x + \alpha_2 y + \beta_2 z$, $z \mapsto x + \alpha_3 y + \beta_3 z$. After performing this sub, we arrive to table of the coefficients in r_i in front of certain monomials:

_	xx	xy	yx	xz	zx	yy
r_1	0	$(1-a)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)$	0	$(1-a)(\beta_1-\beta_3)$	0	$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - a\alpha_3)$
r_2	0	$\alpha_2 - \alpha_3$	$\alpha_1 - \alpha_3$	$\beta_2 - \beta_3$	$\beta_1 - \beta_3$	$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3^2$
r_3	0	$(1-\frac{1}{a})(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)$	0	$(1-\frac{1}{a})(\beta_2-\beta_3)$	0	$(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{a}\alpha_3)$

If $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_3$, then the 3 × 3 matrix of xy, yx and xz coefficients is non-degenerate. Hence the leading monomials of defining relations are xy, yx and xz, contradicting (3.1). Thus $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3$. In this case both 3 × 3 matrices of xy, xz and zx coefficients and of xy, xz and yy coefficients are non-degenerate (to prove all these invertibilities, we use the fact that our sub is non-degenerate). This yields that either yy or both xz and zx are among the leading monomials, which is incompatible with (3.1). This contradiction completes Case 2. **Case 3:** r_j are given by (A3).

In this case (3.2) reads $0 = uv + bvw + ww^2 = uw - vw - w^2 = v^2 + (b+1)vw + w^2$.

Case 3a: w = 0. Since $(u, v, w) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, it easily follows that v = 0 and $u \neq 0$. Normalizing, we can assume u = 1. After performing the sub, we arrive to table of the coefficients in r_j in front of certain monomials (the coefficients we do not care about are replaced by *):

	xx	xy	yx	xz	zx	yy
r_1	0 0 0	α_2	0	β_2	0	*
r_2	0	0	α_3	0	β_3	*
r_3	0	0	0	0	0	q
with $q = \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 + (b+1)\alpha_2\alpha_3$.						

If $\alpha_2\alpha_3 \neq 0$, one easily sees that both xy and yx must be among the leading monomials, contradicting (3.1). Thus $\alpha_2\alpha_3 = 0$. Since $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \neq (0, 0)$, it follows that $q \neq 0$. This forces yy to be a leading monomial, which contradicts (3.1) and concludes Case 3a.

Case 3b: $w \neq 0$. Normalizing, we can assume w = 1. Now the equations $0 = uv + bvw + ww^2 = uw - vw - w^2 = v^2 + (b+1)vw + w^2$ are satisfied precisely when $v^2 + (b+1)v+1 = 0$ and u = v+1. In this case $b = -1 - v - \frac{1}{v}$ and the relations read: $r_1 = xy - (1 + v + \frac{1}{v})yz + zz$, $r_2 = zx - (2 + v + \frac{1}{v})yz + (1 + v + \frac{1}{v})zy - zz$ and $r_3 = yy + yz - (1 + v + \frac{1}{v})zy + zz$. Now our sub takes form $x \mapsto (1 + v)x + \alpha_1 y + \beta_1 z$, $y \mapsto vx + \alpha_2 y + \beta_2 z$, $z \mapsto x + \alpha_3 y + \beta_3 z$. After performing this sub, one easily sees that the vectors of xy and xz coefficients are always linearly independent, while of the vectors of yx and yy coefficients, at least one is not in the span of the vectors of xy and xz coefficients (more precisely, the opposite happens only if v = -1, which corresponds to the excluded case b = -1). The formulas for the coefficients in this case are rather unwieldy, so we skip them leaving the verification to the reader. The above relations between vectors of coefficients imply that among the leading coefficients of the defining relations we find either both xy and yx or both xz and zx or yy. Either way, (3.1) is violated. This contradiction completes the proof.

4 Parts V–VII, IX and X of Theorem 1.11 and general comments

It is elementary to verify that

each Q in Theorem 1.11 is indeed the quasipotential for the corresponding algebra A. (4.1)

Moreover, each Q has the properties claimed in Theorem 1.11: has the declared $n_1(Q)$ and $n_2(Q)$, is or os not cyclicly invariant etc. We skip this elementary and routine linear algebra exercise. It is very easy in each case, but since we have so many of them, we do not spell out this verification.

Since $n_1(Q)$, $n_2(Q)$ and the Jordan normal form of M_Q (in case $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = n$) are invariants, we immediately see that

algebras from Theorem 1.11 with different letters in their labels can not be isomorphic. (4.2)

By (4.2), parts of Theorem 1.11 are indeed independent.

Parts VI, VII, IX and X of Theorem 1.11 follow from the description by the authors of all potential and twisted potential quadratic algebras on three generators and cubic algebras on two generators [11, Theorems 1.6 and 1.7]. Part V of Theorem 1.11 is a direct corollary of Lemma 1.10. Apart from mentioning this, we prove the following lemma. Although it slightly overlaps with Parts VI and VII of Theorem 1.11, we give it in full.

Lemma 4.1. Every algebra A = A(V, R) from (P2–P8), (T1–T11), (T16–T17), (S1–S16), (M1–M8), (L1–L8) and (N1–N15) (in short, every algebra in Theorem 1.11 specified as PBW_B) belongs to Ω^0 . That is, A is indeed PBW_B and $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$.

Proof. By (4.1) and Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that there is a basis x, y, z in V and a well-ordering on x, y, z monomials compatible with multiplication, with respect to which the set of leading monomials of elements of a basis in R is one of $\{xy, xz, yz\}$, $\{xy, xz, zy\}$, $\{xy, zx, zy\}$, $\{yx, yz, xz\}$, $\{yx, yz, zx\}$ or $\{yx, zy, zx\}$. If we keep the original basis x, y, z and use the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering with x > y > z, then the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{xy, xz, yz\}$ for A from (P2–P8), (T1–T10), (S15–S16), (M1–M2), (M5–M6) and (N5–N15). If we keep the original basis x, y, z and use the right-to-left degree-lexicographical ordering with z > y > x, then the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{xy, xz, yz\}$ for A from (M3), (S4), (N1–N4) and (S14). With respect to the same order, the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{yx, zx, yz\}$ for A from (L1–L3), (L7–L8) and is $\{xy, xz, zy\}$ for A from (M7–M8). If we keep the original basis x, y, z and use the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering with y > z > x, then the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{yx, zx, yz\}$ for A from (L4–L6) and is $\{yz, zx, xy\}$ for A from (M4). If we keep the original basis x, y, z and use the left-to-right degreelexicographical ordering with y > x > z, then the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{xz, yz, yx\}$ for A from (S2–S9). If we keep the original basis x, y, z and use the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering with z > x > y, then the triple of leading monomials for R is $\{zy, xz, xy\}$ for A from (S10–S13). These considerations take care of all algebras in question except for (T11), (T16) and (T17), for which the original basis does not work regardless which order on monomials we consider. Thus a change of basis is needed.

For A from (T11), we perform the substitution $x \to x$, $y \to y + ix$, $z \to z$, which turns the defining relations into xz + azx, yz - azy - 2aizx and xy + yx - iyy. For A from (T16), we perform the same substitution $x \to x$, $y \to y + ix$, $z \to z$, which turns the defining relations into xz - zx, yz + zy - 2izx and xy + yx - iyy - izz. For A from (T17), we first swap y and z turning the defining relations into yy + zz, xy - yx and xz + zx + zz. Next, we follow up with the substitution $x \to x$, $y \to y$ and $z \to z + iy$, turning the defining relations into xy - yx, yz + zy - izz and xz + zx + 2iyx - yy. In the new x, y, z basis the leading monomials for R for each of these three algebras are $\{xy, xz, yz\}$ with respect to left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering with x > y > z. As we have mentioned at the start, an application of Lemma 2.1 completes the proof.

5 Proof of Part VIII of Theorem 1.11

We start by studying the family (W) of quadratic algebras A^a given by the generators x, y, z and relations xy, yz and $a_1xx + xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + a_6zz$ for $a \in \mathbb{K}^6$. Note that this family includes all of (N1–N24).

Lemma 5.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^6$ and A^a , A^b be the corresponding algebras from (W). Then A^a and A^b are isomorphic if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $b = (\alpha^2 a_1, \alpha \beta a_2, \beta^2 a_3, a_4, \frac{\beta a_5}{\alpha}, \frac{a_6}{\alpha^2})$.

Proof. Let $A^a = A(V, R)$ be an algebra from (W). It is easy to see that y is up to a scalar multiple the only non-zero element v of V for which there exist non-zero $u, w \in V$ satisfying $uv, vw \in R$. Next, up to a scalar multiple, x is the only non-zero element u of V for which $uy \in R$. Finally, up to a scalar multiple, z is the only non-zero element u of V for which $yu \in R$. These observations imply that every linear substitution providing an isomorphism of A^a and A^b must send each of x, y, z to its own scalar multiple (=is a scaling). Now, applying a scaling to relations of A^a , we immediately see that A^a and A^b are isomorphic if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $b = (\alpha^2 a_1, \alpha \beta a_2, \beta^2 a_3, a_4, \frac{\beta a_5}{\alpha}, \frac{a_6}{\alpha^2})$.

Lemma 5.2. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy, yzand $xx - xz - \alpha yx - \beta yy - azx - \gamma zy - bzz$ for some $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{K}^5$. Then $A \in \Omega^0$ if b = 0 and the following statements hold true:

(5.2.1) dim $A_n \ge \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; (5.2.2) if $(a,b) \ne (1,-1)$, then $H_{A^!} = (1+t)^3$; (5.2.3) if $b \neq 0$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$, then the (right module) Koszul complex of A is given by

 $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{d_3} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_2} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{K} \to 0$ where d_0 is the augmentation, $d_1(u, v, w) = xu + yv + zw$, $d_3(u) = (zu, 0, 0)$ (5.1) and $d_2(u, v, w) = (yu + (z - x)w, zv + (\alpha x + \beta y)w, (ax + \gamma y + bz)w).$

- (5.2.4) if (a,b) = (1,-1), then dim $A_5 \ge 24$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$;
- (5.2.5) if $b \neq 0$, $(a,b) \neq (1,-1)$ and there exists a non-zero homogeneous of degree k element u of A such that zu = 0 in A, then $\dim A_j > \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ for some $j \leq k+2$.

Proof. If b = 0, then with respect to the right-to-left degree lexicographical ordering satisfying z > y > x, the leading monomials of the defining relations of A are xy, xz and yz. By Lemma 2.1, $A \in \Omega^0$.

Throughout the rest of the proof, we use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering on x, y, z monomials assuming x > y > z. Since proving the above statements in the case when K is replaced by any field extension will yield their validity for original K, we can without loss of generality, assume that K is uncountable.

Assuming (a, b) = (1, -1), we make the substitution leaving y and z as they were and replacing x by x + z. The defining relations of A take the shape $xx - \alpha yx - \beta yy - \gamma zy$, xy + zy, yz. A direct computation shows that for (α, β, γ) from a Zarisski open subset of \mathbb{K}^3 , the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A is finite and the leading monomials of its members are xx, xy, yz, yyx, xzy, zyyy, yyy, zzzyx, zzyxz and xzzyx. This yields dim $A_5 = 24$ for (α, β, γ) from a Zarisski open subset of \mathbb{K}^3 . By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 24$ for all α, β, γ justifying (5.2.4).

As we have already observed, $A \in \Omega^0$ if b = 0. In particular, A is Koszul, $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$ and $H_{A^!} = (1 = t)^3$ provided b = 0. Now assume $b \neq 0$. In this case, the defining relations of $A^!$ take the shape $xx + \frac{1}{b}zz$, $xz - \frac{1}{b}zz$, $zx - \frac{a}{b}zz$, $yx - \frac{\alpha}{b}zz$, $yy - \frac{\beta}{b}zz$ and $zy - \frac{\gamma}{b}zz$. A direct computation shows that provided $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$, the defining relations together with yzz and zzz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of $A^!$. The complete list of normal words for $A^!$ now is 1, x, y, z, xy, yz, zz and xyz yielding $H_{A^!} = (1 + t)^3$ and justifying (5.2.2). Furthermore, the normal words in $A^!$ furnish us with a graded linear basis in $A^!$, while the above Gröbner basis provides the corresponding structural constants. Now a routine computation yields (5.2.3). By the already verified (5.2.2), $H_{A^!} = (1 + t)^3$ provided $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. We also know that A is Koszul if b = 0. By Lemma 2.10 (the corresponding variety W is the affine space \mathbb{K}^5), (5.2.1) is satisfied.

Now we shall verify (5.2.5). Assume that $b \neq 0$, $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$ and there exists a non-zero homogeneous of degree k element u of A such that zu = 0 in A. Without loss of generality, we can assume that k is the minimal positive integer for which such an u exists. Towards a contradiction assume that (5.2.5) fails. That is, dim $A_j \leq a_j$ for all $j \leq k+2$, where $a_j = \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$. According to the already verified (5.2.1), dim $A_j = a_j$ for all $j \leq k+2$. Now we consider the following graded 'slice' of the Koszul complex (5.1) of A:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_3} A_k^3 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} A_{k+1}^3 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A_{k+2} \to 0,$$
(5.2)

where δ_1 , δ_2 and δ_3 are restrictions of d_1 , d_2 and d_3 to A_{k+1}^3 , A_k^3 and A_{k-1} respectively. Since (5.1) (as a Koszul complex of a quadratic algebra) is exact at its three rightmost terms, (5.2) is exact at A_{k+1}^3 and at A_{k+2} . Since dim $A_j = a_j$ for all $j \leq k+2$, this yields that the dimension of the kernel of δ_2 is $3a_k - 3a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} = a_{k-1}$ (the last equality follows from the definition of a_j). The minimality of k and the shape of d_3 yields that δ_3 is injective. Hence (5.2) is exact at A_{k-1} and the image of δ_3 has the dimension a_{k-1} . Since the latter coincides with the dimension of the kernel of δ_2 the said image and kernel coincide. On the other hand, one easily sees that $\delta_2(0, u, 0) = d_2(0, u, 0) = 0$ (since zu = 0), while (0, u, 0) is clearly not in the image of δ_3 . This contradiction concludes the proof of (5.2.5).

We also need two sequences of polynomials. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $p_n, q_n \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 1 & -x \end{pmatrix}^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5.3)

Equivalently, polynomials p_n and q_n can be defined recurrently by $p_0 = q_0 = 1$, $p_{n+1} = p_n + yq_n$, $q_{n+1} = p_n - xq_n$. On few occasions, we will have to deal with the condition $p_n(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ imposed on $(a,b) \in \mathbb{K}^2$. The following remark simplifies this condition.

Remark 5.3. By expressing the matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 1 & -a \end{array}\right)$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ as a conjugate of a matrix in Jordan normal form (the eigenvalues of M are $\alpha = \frac{1-a+d}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1-a-d}{2}$ with $d^2 = (a+1)^2 + 4b$), one easily gets explicit formulas for $p_n(a,b)$ and $q_n(a,b)$:

$$q_n(a,b) = \frac{(1-a+d)^{n+1}-(1-a-d)^{n+1}}{2^n d}, \quad p_n(a,b) = q_n(a,b) + \frac{(a+b)((1-a+d)^n - (1-a-d)^n)}{2^{n-1} d} \quad \text{if } d \neq 0;$$

$$q_n(a,b) = \frac{(n+1)(1-a)^n}{2^n}, \qquad p_n(a,b) = \frac{(n+2-na)(1-a)^n}{2^{n-1}} \quad \text{if } d = 0.$$
(5.4)

Furthermore, if $p_n(a,b) = 0$ and $d \neq 0$, then $(1-a+d)(1+a-d) \neq 0$ and one easily concludes that

$$p_n(a,b) = 0 \iff \left(\frac{1-a-d}{1-a+d}\right)^{n+1} = \frac{1+a+d}{1+a-d} \quad \text{if } d \neq 0;$$

$$p_n(a,b) = 0 \iff na = n+2 \qquad \text{if } d = 0.$$
(5.5)

Lemma 5.4. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy, yzand $xx - xz - \alpha yx - \beta yy - azx - \gamma zy - bzz$ for some $a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^5$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. Let also $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k < n$ and $q_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then the equalities

$$xz^{k}x - s_{k}xz^{k+1} - t_{k}y^{k+1}x - h_{k}y^{k+2} - zu_{k} = 0 \quad and \quad xz^{k}y - r_{k}y^{k+2} - zv_{k} = 0$$
(5.6)

hold in A for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $s_k = \frac{p_k(a,b)}{q_k(a,b)}$, while $t_k, h_k, r_k \in \mathbb{K}$ and $u_k, v_k \in A_{k+1}$ are defined inductively by $u_0 = ax + \gamma y + bz$, $v_0 = 0$, $t_0 = \alpha$, $h_0 = \beta$, $r_0 = 0$, $t_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha(r_k - t_k) - h_k}{s_k - a}$, $h_{k+1} = \frac{\beta s_k(r_k - t - k) - \gamma h_k}{s_k(s_k - a)}$, $r_{k+1} = -\frac{h_k}{s_k}$, $u_{k+1} = \frac{1}{s_k - a} \left(u_k \left(z - x - \frac{\gamma}{s_k} y \right) + v_k \left(\alpha x + \beta y \right) \right)$ and $v_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{s_k} uy$ for $0 \leq k < n$ (note that by the conditions imposed upon a, b not only $s_k \neq 0$, but also $s_k \neq a$ for $0 \leq k < n$). Moreover, the equalities

$$(s_n - a)xz^{n+1}x - (s_n + b)xz^{n+2} + (\alpha t_n + h_n - \alpha r_n)y^{n+2}x + \beta(t_n - r_n)y^{n+3} - \gamma xz^{n+1}y - z(u_n(z - x) + v_n(\alpha x + \beta y)) = 0 \quad and \quad s_n xz^{n+1}y + h_n y^{n+3} + zu_n y = 0$$

$$(5.7)$$

hold in A. Furthermore, the left-hand sides of (5.6) for $0 \le k \le n$ together with yz are all the elements of degree up to n+2 of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and dim $A_j = \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ for $0 \le j \le n+2$.

Proof. We shall prove (5.6) for $0 \le k \le n$ inductively. The defining relations xy = 0 and $xx - xz - \alpha yx - \beta yy - azx - \gamma zy - bzz = 0$ justify (5.6) for k = 0, providing the basis of induction. Assume that $0 \le k \le n - 1$ and (5.6) holds for k. We shall verify (5.6) for k replaced by k + 1, which will complete the inductive proof. We do this by resolving certain overlaps as in the Buchberger algorithm using the induction hypothesis and the defining relations of A in the process. The monomials to which we apply reduction are indicated by underlining:

$$\underline{xz^{k}xy} \to 0 = s_{k}xz^{k+1}y + t_{k}y^{k+1}\underline{xy} + h_{k}y^{k+3} + zu_{k}y = s_{k}xz^{k+1}y + h_{k}y^{k+3} + zu_{k}y,$$

which yields

$$s_k x z^{k+1} y + h_k y^{k+3} + z u_k y = 0 \quad \text{in } A.$$
(5.8)

Since $s_k \neq 0$, we can divide by s_k and use the definitions of r_j and v_j to see that

$$xz^{k+1}y - r_{k+1}y^{k+3} - zv_{k+1} = 0$$
 in A ,

which is the second equality in (5.6). Now we proceed in the same manner with another overlap:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{xz^{k}\underline{xx}} \to 0 = s_{k}xz^{k+1}x + t_{k}y^{k+1}\underline{xx} + h_{k}y^{k+2}x + zu_{k}x - \underline{xz^{k}xz} \\ \hline -axz^{k+1}x - bxz^{k+2} - \alpha \underline{xz^{k}yx} - \beta \underline{xz^{k}yy} - \gamma \underline{xz^{k+1}y} \\ = s_{k}xz^{k+1}x + t_{k}y^{k+1}xz + at_{k}y^{k}\underline{yzx} + bt_{k}y^{k}\underline{yzz} + \alpha t_{k}y^{k+2}x + \beta t_{k}y^{k+3} + \gamma t_{k}y^{k}\underline{yzy} \\ + h_{k}y^{k+2}x + zu_{k}x - s_{k}xz^{k+2} - t_{k}y^{k+1}xz - h_{k}y^{k+2}\underline{yz} - zu_{k}z - axz^{k+1}x \\ -bxz^{k+2} - \alpha r_{k}y^{k+2}x - \alpha zv_{k}x - \beta r_{k}y^{k+3} - \beta zv_{k}y - \gamma xz^{k+1}y. \end{array}$$

After obvious cancelations and rearrangements, we get

$$(s_k - a)xz^{k+1}x - (s_k + b)xz^{k+2} + (\alpha t_k + h_k - \alpha r_k)y^{k+2}x + \beta(t_k - r_k)y^{k+3} -\gamma xz^{k+1}y - z(u_k(z - x) + v_k(\alpha x + \beta y)) = 0 \text{ in } A.$$
(5.9)

Using the already verified equality $xz^{k+1}y - r_{k+1}y^{k+3} - zv_{k+1}$, dividing by $s_k - a$ and using the obvious equality $s_{k+1} = \frac{s_k+b}{s_k-a}$ together with the definitions of t_j , h_j and u_j , we obtain the first equality in (5.6). This completes the inductive proof of (5.6) for $0 \le k \le n$. Now (5.7) is the combination of (5.8) and (5.9) for k = n, which hold since (5.6) holds for k = n.

Now the only degree $\leq n + 2$ monomials, which do not contain yz as well as xz^kx and xz^ky for $0 \leq k \leq n$ as submonomials are the words of the shape z^jy^m and $z^jy^mxz^p$ of degree $\leq n + 2$ with $j, m, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The number of such monomials of degree k is exactly $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$. Since by Lemma 5.2, $\dim A_k \geq \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$, yz together with the left-hand sides of (5.6) for $0 \leq k \leq n$ must comprise all the elements of degree up to n+2 of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and we must have $\dim A_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n+2$.

Lemma 5.5. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy, yzand $xx - xz - \alpha yx - \beta yy - azx - \gamma zy - bzz$ for some $a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^5$ such that $b \neq 0$. Assume also that $p_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \in \Omega^+$, A is Koszul and A is non-PBW_B. In particular, algebras from (N16–N19) belong to Ω^+ are Koszul and non-PBW_B.

Proof. Note that $p_1(a, b) \neq 0$ yields $b \neq -1$ and therefore $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$.

Case 1: $q_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 5.4, $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$ and the leading monomials of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A are yz, xz^kx and xz^ky for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since none of these monomials starts with the smallest variable z, we have $zu \neq 0$ for all non-zero $u \in A$. The exact shape of the Koszul complex of A provided by Lemma 5.2 now allows us to say that this complex is exact at its left-most term (d_3 is injective). By Lemma 2.4, A is Koszul.

Case 2: $q_k(a,b) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the minimal non-negative integer for which $q_{n+1}(a,b) = 0$. By Lemma 5.4, (5.6) is satisfied for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and (5.7) holds. Since $q_{n+1}(a,b) = 0$, we have $s_n = a$ for s_j defined in By Lemma 5.4. Next, observe that $a + b \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Indeed, if a + b = 0, then $q_k(a,b) = p_k(a,b) \neq 0$, while if a = 0, then $q_k(a,b) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ thus contradicting the assumption of Case 2. Taking this into account, we can rewrite (5.7) as follows:

$$xz^{n+2} - \frac{\alpha t_n + h_n - \alpha r_n}{a+b} y^{n+2} x - \frac{\beta(t_n - r_n)}{a+b} y^{n+3} + \frac{\gamma}{a+b} xz^{n+1} y - zu = 0;$$

$$xz^{n+1} y + \frac{h_n}{a} y^{n+3} + zv = 0 \quad \text{for some } u, v \in A_{n+2}.$$

$$(5.10)$$

Now we observe that the number of monomials of degree m, which do not contain any of yz, xz^ky for $0 \le k \le n + 1$, xz^kx for $0 \le k \le n$ and xz^{n+2} as submonomials is exactly $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since by Lemma 5.2, dim $A_m \ge \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$, yz together with the left-hand sides of (5.6) for $0 \le k \le n$ and the left-hand sides of (5.10) must form the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and we must have $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$. Since none of the leading monomials of this basis starts with the smallest variable z, we have $zu \neq 0$ for all non-zero $u \in A$. Exactly as in Case 1, we can now use Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 2.4 to conclude that A is Koszul. Note that in the second case the Gröbner basis turns out to be finite. Finally, A is non-PBW_B according to Lemma 3.1. The comment about algebras in (N16–N19) is a direct corollary of the above.

Lemma 5.6. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy, yzand $xx - xz - \alpha yx - \beta yy - azx - \gamma zy - bzz$ for some $a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^5$ such that $p_k(a, b) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. As on few occasions above, we can without loss of generality assume that \mathbb{K} is uncountable. By Lemma 5.2, $A \notin \Omega$ if (a, b) = (1, -1). Thus for the rest of the proof we can assume that $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. Let n be the smallest positive integer for which $p_n(a, b) = 0$. Consider the variety $W_0 = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{K}^2 : p_n(s, t) = 0\}$. We strongly suspect that W_0 is irreducible, however, we do not see an easy way to demonstrate it. Thus we take an irreducible component W_1 of W_0 , which contains (a, b). As W_0 is defined by one non-trivial polynomial equation, W_1 must be one-dimensional. Then $W = W_1 \times \mathbb{K}^3$ is a 4-dimensional irreducible affine variety. The plan of the proof is the following. Instead of dealing with the given specific algebra, we shall demonstrate that for generic $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$, $H_A \neq (1-t)^{-3}$. On the other hand by Lemma 2.9, for such generic A, $H_A = H_{\min}$, where H_{\min} is coefficient-wise minimum of H_A for A with parameters from W. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.2, $\dim A_j \ge \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Combining these, we see that if $H_A \neq (1-t)^{-3}$ for generic $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$, then $H_A \neq (1-t)^{-3}$ for all $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$, which will include the original specific algebra. Thus the proof will be complete if we demonstrate that $H_A \neq (1-t)^{-3}$ for generic $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$.

Using the explicit description of p_n and q_n given in Remark 5.3, one easily sees that $q_k(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all $(a,b) \in W_0$ with countably many possible exceptions. Thus $q_k(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for generic $(a,b,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in W$. Let s_k , t_k , h_k , r_k , u_k and v_k be as in Lemma 5.4. From their definition, one easily sees that $h_n \neq 0$ for generic $(a,b,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in W$. Now if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, then from the recurrent definition of u_k , v_k it follows that $v_k = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, while $u_k = z^k(a_kx + b_kz)$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $a_0 = a$, $b_0 = b$, $a_{k+1} = -\frac{aa_k+b_k}{s_k-a}$ and $b_{k+1} = \frac{b_k-ba_k}{s_k-a}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. It is straightforward to check that $b_n = 0$ only for finitely many $(a,b) \in W_0$. From the inequality dim $A_j \geq \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ it follows that provided $h_n \neq 0$, the left-hand sides of (5.6) and (5.7) form the degree up to n+3 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideals of relations of A. Thus, if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, $u_nyy = b_nz^{n+1}yy \neq 0$ provided $b_n \neq 0$ with the latter being true with finitely many exceptions. Now one easily sees that $u_nyy \neq 0$ for generic $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$. By (5.7), $h_n y^{n+3} + zu_n y = 0$ in A. Multiplying by y on the left and using the defining relations, we get $h_n y^{n+4} = 0$ in A. Now multiplying $h_n y^{n+3} + zu_n y = 0$ by y on the right and using $h_n y^{n+4} = 0$, we get $zu_n yy = 0$. Thus for generic $(a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in W$, $H_A \neq (1-t)^{-3}$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 5.7. All algebras in (N1–N23) belong to Ω , all these algebras are Koszul, algebras from (N1–N15) are PBW_B , while algebras from (N1–N15) are non- PBW_B . Algebras in (N1–N23) with different labels are non-isomorphic and the isomorphism conditions of Theorem 1.11 within algebras with a given label from (N1–N23) are satisfied.

Proof. The isomorphism statement follows easily from Lemma 5.1. Algebras from (N1–N15) belong to Ω^0 by Lemma 4.1. Algebras in (N16–N23) belong to Ω^+ and are Koszul according to Lemma 5.5 and Remark 5.3. These algebras are non-PBW_B by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 5.8. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ and the corresponding quasipotential Q is not a cube and satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$. Then there is a basis x, y, z in V such that Q = xyz and R is spanned by xy, yz, $a_0xx + a_1xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + a_6zz$ with some $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_6) \in \mathbb{K}^7$ satisfying $a_1 \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$, Q = xuv, where x, u, v are non-zero elements of V. Considering possible linear dependencies between x, u and v, one easily sees that $y, z \in V$ can be chosen in such a way that x, y, z is a basis in V and Q has one of the following forms: xxx, xxz, xzz, xzx, x(x-z)z, xyz. If Q = xxx, Q = xxz or $Q = xzz, R_Q \subseteq R$ contains a square and therefore Q must be a cube according to Lemma 1.12. Since this is not the case, Q can not be xxx or xxz or xzz. If Q = xzxor $Q = x(x-z)z, R_Q$ intersects M^2 by at 2-dimensional space, where M is spanned by x and z. By Lemma 2.11, $A \notin \Omega$, which is a contradiction. This leaves only one option:

$$Q = xyz$$

In this case R_Q is spanned by xy and yz. Thus R must be spanned by xy, yz and $a_0xx + a_1xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + a_6zz$ for some non-zero $(a_0, \ldots, a_6) \in \mathbb{K}^7$. It remains to show that $a_1 \neq 0$. Assume the contrary. That is, $a_1 = 0$. If $a_0a_2a_3 \neq 0$, we can scale to get $a_0 = a_2 = -a_3 = 1$. The defining relations of A now take the shape xy = yz = 0 and xx = pyx - yy + zx + azy + bzz with $a, b, p \in \mathbb{K}$. We use the usual left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering on monomials assuming x > y > z. A direct computation shows that for (a, b, p) from a Zarisski open subset of \mathbb{K}^3 , the leading monomials of the members of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degrees up to 5 are xx, xy, yz, yyy, xzx, xzyy, zzyy, xzyx, zzzzy and xzzyx. More specifically, for this to be the case, one needs $a, b, b + a^2, a + p$ and $p^2b - pa - b - a^2$ to be non-zero. In this case we get dim $A_5 = 22$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all $a, b, p \in \mathbb{K}$. This means that dim $A_5 \ge 22$ whenever $a_0a_2a_3 \neq 0$ (and $a_1 = 0$ still). Again, Lemma 2.7 yields that dim $A_5 \ge 22$ if $a_1 = 0$ regardless what other a_j are. Since this inequality is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$, we arrive to a contradiction, which proves that $a_1 \neq 0$.

We are ready to prove Part VIII of Theorem 1.11. According to Lemma 5.7, the only thing which we have to verify is that every $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ such that the corresponding quasipotential Q is not a cube and satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ is isomorphic to one of the algebras in (N1–N23). Using Lemma 5.8 and a suitable scaling to turn a_1 into 1, we can assume that A is given by generators x, y, z and relations xy, yz and $a_1xx + xz + a_2yx + a_3yy + a_4zx + a_5zy + a_6zz$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^6$. Now using Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.6, Remark 5.3 and considering possible distributions of zeros among the parameters a_j , it is easy to see that A is isomorphic to one the algebras in (N1–N23). Indeed, Lemma 5.1 describes possible isomorphisms within our family of algebras, Lemma 5.6 pinpoints the exceptional parameters and Remark 5.3 translates the description of these exceptions to the form used in Theorem 1.11 (that is, without polynomials p_k).

6 Proof of parts II and III of Theorem 1.11

Lemma 6.1. The algebras in (M1–M8) and (L1–L8) belong to Ω^0 and therefore are PBW_B and Koszul. Algebras in (M1–M8) and (L1–L8) with different labels are non-isomorphic and the isomorphism conditions of Theorem 1.11 within algebras with a given label from (M1–M8) and (L1–L8) are satisfied.

Proof. Algebras in (M1–M8) and (L1–L8) belong to Ω^0 according to Lemma 4.1. It remains to deal with isomorphisms. Recall that we already know that algebras from Theorem 1.11 with different letters in the labels are non-isomorphic. Thus the families (M1–M8) and (L1–L8) can be treated separately. First, observe that for every algebra in (M1–M8), the rank one quadratic relations are non-zero members of the linear span of xy and xz. Thus any linear substitution providing an isomorphism between two algebras from (M1–M8) must preserve the linear span of x as well as the linear span of y and z. Now for every A from (M1–M8), the quotient B = A/I by the ideal generated by x is given by generators y, z and one quadratic relation: yz - azy with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for A from (M1–M3), yz - zy - zz for A from (M4–M5) and zy for A from (M7–M8). Since our substitution sends x into its own scalar multiple, it must provide an isomorphism of the corresponding algebras B as well. By

Lemma 2.2, we now have that algebras from different groups (M1–M3), (M4–M6) and (M7–M8) can not be isomorphic. Furthermore, the only automorphisms of each of the two algebras $\mathbb{K}\langle y, z \rangle/\mathrm{Id}(zy)$ and $\mathbb{K}\langle y, z \rangle/\mathrm{Id}(yz - zy - zz)$ are given by scalings. Just by looking at the sets of monomials involved in defining relations, it now becomes obvious that algebras in (M4–M8) are pairwise non-isomorphic. These considerations take (M4–M8) out of the picture, leaving us to deal with (M1–M3). Now for each presentation (M1–M3), it is easy to see that a scaling either throws it outside the class (M1–M3) or provides an automorphism. Other than scalings, the only other y, z substitutions that transform yz-azy with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ into yz-bzy with $b \in \mathbb{K}^*$ are certain scalings composed with the swapping of y and z. Such a substitution (combined with a scaling of x) throws every presentation from (M2) outside the class (M1–M3). As for each of (M1) and (M3), such a substitution provides an isomorphism of Aand an algebra from the same class with the parameter a replaced by $\frac{1}{a}$. This completes the proof of the isomorphism statement for (M1–M8). Since algebras in (L1–L8) are isomorphic to algebras from (M1–M8) with the opposite multiplication, the isomorphism statement for (L1–L8) follows as well. \Box

Lemma 6.2. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be such that the corresponding quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = 1$ and $n_2(Q) = 2$. Then A is isomorphic to to a K-algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (M1–M8) of Theorem 1.11.

Proof. Since $n_1(Q) = 1$ and $n_2(Q) = 2$, there is $x \in V$ such that Q = xf, where $f \in V^2$ has rank 2. Let M be the (unique) 2-dimensional subspace of V such that $f \in VM$.

Case 1: $x \notin M$. Then Q = xxu + xg, where $u \in M$ and $g \in M^2$. Clearly, $g \neq 0$: otherwise f has rank 1. By Lemma 2.2, a basis y, z in M can be chosen in such a way that $g \in \{zz, yz, yz - \alpha zy, yz - zy - zz\}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Clearly, x, y, z is a basis in V.

Case 1a: g = zz or g = yz. In this case Q = pxxy + qxxz + xwz with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$, where $w \in \{y, z\}$. Then R_Q is spanned by pxy + qxz + wz, pxx and qxx + xw. If $p \neq 0$, then $xx \in R_Q \subseteq R$. By Lemma 1.12, Q is a scalar multiple of xxx, which is obviously not the case. Hence p = 0. Then f = qxz + wz has rank 1. This contradiction completes Case 1a.

Case 1b: $g = yz - \alpha zy$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. In this case $Q = pxxy + qxxz + xyz - \alpha xzy$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. After the linear substitution $x \to x$, $y \to y - qx$, $z \to \frac{px-z}{\alpha}$, Q acquires the shape $Q = -\frac{1}{\alpha}xyz + xzy - qxzx + \frac{p}{\alpha}xyx$. Depending on which of p, q is or is not zero, a scaling turns Q into one of the following forms: $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy$, $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xyx$, $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xzx$ or $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xyx$. The families of quasipotentials $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xyx$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xzx$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ turn into each other by swapping y and z together with a scaling. Thus we end up with three families of quasipotentials: $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy$, $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xzx$ and $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xyx + xzx$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If $\alpha = 1$, the quasipotential $Q = xyz - \alpha xzy + xyx + xzx$ transforms into Q = xyz - xzy + xzx by means of the substitution $x \to x$, $y \to y - z$, $z \to z$. Thus we can exclude $\alpha = 1$ from the last family. For the three families, we have obtained, $R_Q = R$ is spanned by $\{xy, xz, yz - \alpha zy\}$, $\{xy, xz, yz - \alpha zy + zx\}$ and $\{xy, xz, yz - \alpha zy + zx + yx\}$ respectively. Thus A is isomorphic to an algebra from (M1-M3).

Case 1c: g = yz - zy - zz. In this case Q = pxxy + qxxz + xyz - xzy - xzz with $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. After the substitution $x \to x, y \to y + z - qx, z \to z + px$, Q acquires the shape $Q = xyz - xzy - xzz + qxzx + pxyx - pxzz - p^2xxx$. If $p \neq 0$, a substitution $x \to x, y \to y + sz, z \to z$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills q. After that, a scaling turns p into 1. This yields Q = xyz - xzy - xzz + xyx - xzz - xxx. After the sub $x \to x, y \to x + y + z, z \to z$ we arrive to Q = xyz - xzy - xzz + xyx, the quasipotential of (M4). If p = 0 and $q \neq 0$, a scaling turns q into 1: Q = xyz - xzy - xzz + xzx, which is the quasipotential from (M5). Finally, if p = q = 0, we have Q = xyz - xzy - xzz, the quasipotential from (M6). This concludes Case 1.

Case 2: $x \in M$.

Now we can pick $y, z \in V$ such that x, y, z is a basis in V, while x, y form a basis in M. Then Q = xzu + xg, where $u \in M$ and $g \in M^2$.

Case 2a: u = 0. Then g = f must have rank 2. Hence $R_Q \subset R \cap M^2$ is at least 2-dimensional. By Lemma 2.11, $A \notin \Omega$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2b: x and u are linearly independent. Without loss of generality, we can then assume that y = u. Then Q = xzy + axxx + bxxy + cxyx + dxyy with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. The substitution $x \to x, y \to y, z \to z + sx + ty$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ kills b and d. This makes Q = xzy + axxx + cxyx with $a, c \in \mathbb{K}$. If c = 0, then $a \neq 0$ (otherwise $n_2(Q) = 1$) and therefore $xx \in R_Q \subseteq R$. This, however, can not happen according to Lemma 1.12. Scaling, we can make c = 1 and $a \in \{0, 1\}$. If a = 0, Q = xzy + xyx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy\}$. If a = 1, Q = xzy+xyx+xxx and R is spanned by $\{zy+yx, xz, xy, xy, xz, xy\}$.

Case 2c: u and x are linearly dependent and $u \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume u = x. Then Q = xzx + axxx + bxxy + cxyx + dxyy with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. The substitution $x \to x, y \to y$, $z \to z + sx + ty$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ kills a and c. This makes Q = xzx + bxxy + dxyy with $b, d \in \mathbb{K}$. If d = 0, we have $xx \in R$, which can not happen according to Lemma 1.12. Thus $d \neq 0$. A normalization turns d into 1: Q = xzx + bxxy + xyy. The sub $x \to x, y \to y - bx, z \to z$ turns Q into Q = xzx + xyy - bxyx. Then R is spanned by xy, xz and yy + zx - byx. If $b \neq 0$, we use the usual left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z to see that xy, xz, yy - byx + dzx, yyy and yyz form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. This yields dim $A_4 = 17$ provided $b \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A \geq 17$ for all b, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. This concludes Case 2.

Part II of Theorem 1.11 follows straight away from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2. Part III of Theorem 1.11 is equivalent to Part II: just pass to the opposite multiplication.

7 Proof of Part IV of Theorem 1.11

First we prove the following lemma, which deals with a family of algebras containing (S19) and (S20)

Lemma 7.1. Let $A = A^{a,b}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - xz - azx - bzz, $xy + \frac{b}{a}zz$ and $yy - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b}{a^2}zz$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A^{a,b}$ and $A^{a',b'}$ are non-isomorphic provided $(a,b) \neq (a',b')$. If b = 0, then $A \in \Omega^0$. If $b \neq 0$ and $p_n(a,b) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (again, p_n are polynomials defined in (5.3)), then $A \notin \Omega$. If $b \neq 0$ and $p_n(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A \in \Omega^+$, A is Koszul and A is non-PBW_B.

In order to prove above lemma we need some preparation.

Lemma 7.2. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - xz - azx - bzz, $xy + \frac{b}{a}zz$ and $yy - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b}{a^2}zz$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) dim $A_n \ge \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;
- (2) if $(a,b) \neq (1,-1)$, then $H_{A^{!}} = (1+t)^{3}$;
- (3) if $b \neq 0$ and $(a,b) \neq (1,-1)$, then the (right module) Koszul complex of A is given by

 $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{d_3} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_2} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{K} \to 0$

where d_0 is the augmentation, $d_1(u, v, w) = xu + yv + zw$, $d_3(u) = (yu, a(y - z)u, 0)$ (7.1) and $d_2(u, v, w) = ((x - z)u + yv, (y - z)w, -(ax + bz)u + \frac{b}{a}zv - (\frac{1}{a}y + \frac{b}{a^2}z)w).$

- (4) if (a,b) = (1,-1), then dim $A_3 = 12$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$;
- (5) if b = 0, then $A \in \Omega^0$.

Proof. Since proving the above statements in the case when \mathbb{K} is replaced by any field extension will yield their validity for original \mathbb{K} , we can without loss of generality, assume that \mathbb{K} is uncountable.

If b = 0, then with respect to the right-to-left degree lexicographical ordering assuming z > y > x, the leading monomials of the defining relations are yz, xz and xy. By Lemma 2.1, $A \in \Omega^0$. This verifies (5).

If $b \neq 0$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$, then the defining relations of $A^!$ are $xx + \frac{1}{a}zx$, $xy + zx + zy - \frac{a}{b}zz$, $xz - \frac{1}{a}zx$, yx, yy + azy and yz - azy. A direct computation shows that with respect to the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z, the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of $A^!$ consists of the defining relations together with zzx, zzy and zzzz. The complete list of normal words consists of 1, x, y, z, zx, zy, zz and zzz yielding $H_{A^!} = (1 + t)^3$. If b = 0, we already know that $A \in \Omega^0$ giving $H_{A^!} = (1 + t)^3$. This takes care of (2). Furthermore, the normal words in $A^!$ furnish us with a graded linear basis in $A^!$, while the above Gröbner basis provides the corresponding structural constants. Now a routine computation yields (3). By the already verified (2), $H_{A^!} = (1 + t)^3$ provided $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. We also know that A is Koszul if b = 0. By Lemma 2.10 (the corresponding variety W is the affine space \mathbb{K}^2), (1) is satisfied.

Finally, (4) is easily verified by a direct computation.

Lemma 7.3. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - xz - azx - bzz, $xy + \frac{b}{a}zz$ and $yy - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b}{a^2}zz$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. Let also $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k < n$ and $q_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} xz^{k}x - \alpha_{k}xz^{k+1} - u_{k}z^{k+1}x - s_{k}z^{k+2} &= 0; \\ xz^{k}y - \beta_{k}xz^{k+1} - v_{k}z^{k+1}y - t_{k}z^{k+2} &= 0; \\ yz^{k}y - \gamma_{k}yz^{k+1} - w_{k}z^{k+1}y - r_{k}z^{k+2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.2)$$

hold in A for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k, u_k, v_k, w_k, s_k, t_k, r_k \in \mathbb{K}$, $\alpha_k = \frac{p_k(a,b)}{q_k(a,b)}$, $\gamma_k = \frac{p_k(1/a,b/a^2)}{q_k(1/a,b/a^2)}$, while the rest of the numbers are defined inductively by $u_0 = a$, $w_0 = \frac{1}{a}$, $s_0 = b$, $r_0 = \frac{b}{a^2}$, $t_0 = -\frac{b}{a}$, $\beta_0 = v_0 = 0$, $u_{k+1} = -\frac{s_k + au_k}{\alpha_k - a}$, $s_{k+1} = \frac{s_k - bu_k}{\alpha_k - a}$, $r_{k+1} = -\frac{a^2 \gamma_k + b}{a^2 \gamma_k - a}$, $\beta_{k+1} = -\frac{b}{a\alpha_k}$, $v_{k+1} = -\frac{s_k}{\alpha_k}$ and $t_{k+1} = \frac{bu_k}{a\alpha_k}$ for $0 \leq k < n$ (note that by the conditions imposed upon a, b, we never divide by zero in these fractions). Moreover, the equalities

$$(\alpha_n - a)xz^{n+1}x - (\alpha_n + b)xz^{n+2} + (s_n + au_n)z^{n+2}x + (bu_n - s_n)z^{n+3} = 0; \alpha_n xz^{n+1}y + \frac{b}{a}xz^{n+2} + s_n xz^{n+2}y - \frac{b}{a}u_n z^{n+3} = 0; (\gamma_n - \frac{1}{a})yz^{n+1}y - (\gamma_n + \frac{b}{a^2})yz^{n+2} + (r_n + \frac{w_n}{a})z^{n+2}y + (\frac{bw_n}{a^2} - r_n)z^{n+3} = 0$$

$$(7.3)$$

hold in A. Furthermore, the left-hand sides of (7.2) for $0 \le k \le n$ together with yz are all the elements of degree up to n+2 of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and dim $A_j = \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ for $0 \le j \le n+2$.

Proof. We shall prove (7.2) for $0 \le k \le n$ inductively. The defining relations justify (7.2) for k = 0, providing the basis of induction. Assume that $0 \le k \le n - 1$ and (7.2) holds for k. We shall verify (7.2) for k replaced by k + 1, which will complete the inductive proof. We do this by resolving certain overlaps as in the Buchberger algorithm using the induction hypothesis and the defining relations of A in the process. The monomials to which we apply reduction are indicated by underlining:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{xz^{k}\underline{xx}} \to 0 = \alpha_{k}xz^{k}x + u_{k}z^{k+1}\underline{xx} + s_{k}z^{k+2}x - \underline{xz^{k}x}z - axz^{k+1}x - bxz^{k+2}z^{k+$$

which yields

$$(\alpha_k - a)xz^{k+1}x - (\alpha_k + b)xz^{k+2} + (s_k + au_k)z^{k+2}x + (bu_k - s_k)z^{k+3} = 0 \text{ in } A.$$
(7.4)

From the definition of α_k and the recurrent formulas for polynomials p_k and q_k it follows that $\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha_k + b}{\alpha_k - a}$. By the assumptions (recall that k < n) it follows that $\alpha_k \neq a$. Thus dividing (7.4) by $\alpha_k - a$ and

using the definition of u_j and s_j , we see that the first equality in (7.2) is satisfied. We proceed in the same manner:

$$\begin{split} & \underline{yz^{k}yy} \to 0 = \gamma_{k}yz^{k}y + w_{k}z^{k+1}\underline{yy} + r_{k}z^{k+2}y - \underline{yz^{k}yz} - \frac{1}{a}yz^{k+1}y - \frac{b}{a^{2}}yz^{k+2} \\ = & (\gamma_{k} - \frac{1}{a})yz^{k}y + r_{k}z^{k+2}y - \frac{b}{a^{2}}yz^{k+2} + w_{k}z^{k+1}yz + \frac{w_{k}}{a}z^{k+2}y \\ & + \frac{bw_{k}}{a^{2}}z^{k+3} - \gamma_{k}xz^{k+2} - w_{k}z^{k+1}xz - r_{k}z^{k+3}, \end{split}$$

which yields

$$\left(\gamma_k - \frac{1}{a}\right)yz^{k+1}y - \left(\gamma_k + \frac{b}{a^2}\right)yz^{k+2} + \left(r_k + \frac{w_k}{a}\right)z^{k+2}y + \left(\frac{bw_k}{a^2} - r_k\right)z^{k+3} = 0 \quad \text{in } A.$$
(7.5)

From the definition of γ_k and the recurrent formulas for polynomials p_k and q_k it follows that $\gamma_{k+1} = \frac{a^2 \gamma_k + b}{a^2 \gamma_k - a}$. By the assumptions (recall that k < n) it follows that $\gamma_k \neq \frac{1}{a}$. To see this, one has to observe that from the explicit formulae for p_j and q_j given in Remark 5.3 it follows that $q_j(a, b) = 0 \iff q_j(1/a, b/a^2) = 0$. Thus dividing (7.5) by $\gamma_k - \frac{1}{a}$ and using the definition of w_j and r_j , we see that the third equality in (7.2) is satisfied. Finally, we deal with the third overlap:

$$\underline{xz^{k}xy} \to 0 = \alpha_{k}xz^{k+1}y + u_{k}z^{k+1}\underline{xy} + s_{k}z^{k+2}y + \frac{b}{a}xz^{k+2}$$

= $\alpha_{k}xz^{k+1}y - \frac{bu_{k}}{a}z^{k+3} + s_{k}z^{k+2}y + \frac{b}{a}xz^{k+2},$

which yields

$$\alpha_k x z^{k+1} y + \frac{b}{a} x z^{k+2} + s_k z^{k+2} y - \frac{b u_k}{a} z^{k+3} = 0 \quad \text{in } A.$$
(7.6)

By assumptions $\alpha_k \neq 0$. Dividing (7.6) by α_k and using the definition of β_j , v_j and t_j , we see that the second equality in (7.2) is satisfied. This completes the inductive proof of (7.2) for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Now (7.3) is the combination of (7.4), (7.5) and (7.6) for k = n, which hold since (7.2) holds for k = n.

Now the only degree $\leq n+2$ monomials, which do not contain xz^kx , yz^ky and xz^ky for $0 \leq k \leq n$ as submonomials are the words of the shape z^j , z^jxz^m , z^jyz^m and $z^jyz^mxz^p$ of degree $\leq n+2$ with $j,m,p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The number of such monomials of degree k is exactly $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$. Since by Lemma 5.2, $\dim A_k \geq \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$, the left-hand sides of (7.2) for $0 \leq k \leq n$ must comprise all the elements of degree up to n+2 of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and we must have $\dim A_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n+2$.

Lemma 7.4. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - xz - azx - bzz, $xy + \frac{b}{a}zz$ and $yy - yz - \frac{1}{a}zy - \frac{b}{a^2}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If $p_k(a, b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A \in \Omega^+$ and A is Koszul. On the other hand, if $p_k(a, b) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. First, assume that $p_k(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $p_1(a,b) \neq 0$ yields $b \neq -1$ and therefore $(a,b) \neq (1,-1)$.

Case 1: $q_k(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 7.3, $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$ and the leading monomials of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A are xz^ky , xz^kx and yz^ky for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since none of these monomials starts with the smallest variable z, we have $zu \neq 0$ for all non-zero $u \in A$. The shape of the Koszul complex of Aprovided by Lemma 7.2 now allows us to say that this complex is exact at its left-most term (d_3 is injective). By Lemma 2.4, A is Koszul.

Case 2: $q_k(a,b) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the minimal non-negative integer for which $q_{n+1}(a,b) = 0$. By Lemma 7.3, (7.2) is satisfied for $0 \le k \le n$ and (7.3) holds. Since $q_{n+1}(a,b) = 0$, we have $\alpha_n = a$ for α_j defined in Lemma 7.3. As we have already mentioned, from the explicit formula for p_n and q_n provided by Remark 5.3 it follows that $q_{n+1}(1/a, b/a^2) = 0$, from which one sees that $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{a}$. Next, observe that $a + b \ne 0$. Indeed, if a + b = 0, then $q_k(a, b) = p_k(a, b) \neq 0$, contradicting the assumption of Case 2. Taking this into account, we can rewrite (7.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} xz^{n+2} &- \frac{s_n + au_n}{a+b} z^{n+2} x - \frac{bu_n - s_n}{a+b} z^{n+3} = 0; \\ xz^{n+1}y &+ \frac{b}{a^2} xz^{n+2} + \frac{s_n}{a} xz^{n+2} y - \frac{bu_n}{a^2} z^{n+3} = 0; \\ yz^{n+2} &- \frac{a^2 r_n + aw_n}{a+b} z^{n+2} y + \frac{bw_n - a^2 r_n}{a+b} z^{n+3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$
(7.7)

Now we observe that the number of monomials of degree m, which do not contain any of yz^ky , xz^kx for $0 \le k \le n$, xz^ky for $0 \le k \le n+1$, xz^{n+2} and yz^{n+2} as submonomials is exactly $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since by Lemma 5.2, dim $A_m \ge \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$, yz together with the left-hand sides of (7.2) for $0 \le k \le n$ and the left-hand sides of (7.7) must form the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A and we must have $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$. Since none of the leading monomials of this basis starts with the smallest variable z, we have $zu \ne 0$ for all non-zero $u \in A$. Exactly as in Case 1, we can now use Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 2.4 to conclude that A is Koszul. Note that in the second case the Gröbner basis turns out to be finite.

Since A is non-PBW_B according to Lemma 3.1, $A \in \Omega^+$ in both cases. Thus $A \in \Omega^+$ and A is Koszul provided $p_k(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume now that $p_k(a,b) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. To complete the proof, we have to demonstrate that $A \notin \Omega$. Note that $p_1(1,-1) = 0$ and that by Lemma 7.2, $A \notin \Omega$ if (a,b) = (1,-1). Thus we can assume $(a, b) \neq (1, -1)$. Let n be the smallest non-negative integer satisfying $p_{n+1}(a, b) = 0$. Using the explicit formulas for p_i and q_i given in Remark 5.3, we see that $q_i(a,b) \neq 0$ and $q_i(1/a,b/a^2) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ ((1,-1) is the only exception for this rule and was excluded because of that). Consider the variety $W_0 = \{(s,t) \in \mathbb{K}^2 : p_{n+1}(s,t) = 0\}$. Clearly, $(a,b) \in W_0$. By Lemma 7.3, (7.2) is satisfied for $0 \le k \le n$ and (7.3) holds. Furthermore, $\alpha_n = -b$. For $(a, b) \in W_0$ with finitely many exceptions, $\alpha_n \neq a$ and $\gamma_n \neq \frac{1}{a}$. By Lemma 7.3, the left hand sides of (7.2) with $0 \leq k \leq n$ provide all elements of degree $\leq n+2$ of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. The corresponding leading monomials are xz^kx , xz^ky and yz^ky with $0 \le k \le n$. By Lemma 7.2, dim $A_{n+3} \ge \frac{(n+4)(n+5)}{2}$. It follows that the left-hand sides of (7.3) give the degree n+3 elements of the said Gröbner basis. With finitely many exceptions (in W_0) the new leading monomials are $xz^{n+1}x$, $yz^{n+1}y$ and xz^{n+2} . Proceeding the same way as in the proof of Lemma 7.3, we can get few more steps of the Gröbner basis. With finitely many exceptions (in W_0) the leading monomials turn out to be: $z^{n+2}yx$ and $yz^{n+2}y$ in degree n+4and $z^{n+2}yzx$, $yz^{n+3}y$ and $yz^{n+3}x$ in degree n+5. This still is in agreement with the PBW_S-series: $\dim A_j = \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2}$ for $j \le n+5$. At degree n+6, we have to deal with all 13 overlaps, to find out that (still with finitely many exceptions) the leading monomials of degree n + 6 of the reduced Gröbner basis are $z^{n+2}yzzx$, $yz^{n+4}y$ and $yz^{n+4}x$. This yields dim $A_{n+6} = \frac{(n+7)(n+8)}{2} + 1$. Since this holds for all $(a,b) \in W_0$ with finitely many exceptions, Lemma 2.7 (applied to all irreducible components of W_0) yields dim $A_{n+6} > \frac{(n+7)(n+8)}{2}$ for all $(a,b) \in W_0$, which includes the original pair (a,b). Hence $A \notin \Omega$, as required.

Proof of Lemma 7.1. The fact that $A \in \Omega^0$ if b = 0 follows from Lemma 7.2. That $A \notin \Omega$ if $b \neq 0$ and $p_n(a,b) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $A \in \Omega^+$ and is Koszul if $b \neq 0$ and $p_n(a,b) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ follows from Lemma 7.4. Now if $A = A^{a,b}$ and $A' = A^{a',b'}$ are isomorphic, then the isomorphism (a linear substitution) must turn the corresponding quasipotentials Q and Q' one to the other. It is easy to check that Q and Q' satisfy $E_1(Q) = E_1(Q') = \operatorname{span} \{x, z\}$ and $E_2(Q) = E_2(Q') = \operatorname{span} \{y, z\}$. Thus our substitution must have both $\operatorname{span} \{x, z\}$ and $\operatorname{span} \{y, z\}$ as invariant subspaces. Hence it is given by $x \to \alpha x + sz$, $y \to \beta y + tz$, $z \to \gamma z$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$. Without loss of generality, $\gamma = 1$. Applying this kind of a sub to the defining relations, we see that we have the relation of the form xy + czz with $c \in \mathbb{K}$ present only if s = t = 0. Thus our sub has the form $x \to \alpha x$, $y \to \beta y$, $z \to z$. Now it is easy to see that the shape of defining relations is not preserved unless $\alpha = \beta = 1$. This leaves the identity map only and therefore we must have (a, b) = (a', b').

Next, we move to the following family of algebras, which as we shall see, contains (S17) and (S18).

Lemma 7.5. Let $A = A^b$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy + byz + zz, zx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz and yy + yz + bzy + zz with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then A^b is non-isomorphic to $A^{b'}$ provided $b \neq b'$.

Proof. The value b = 1 is the only one for which dim $A_3 \neq 10$ (easily checked using Gröbner basis). Thus we can assume that $b \neq 1$ and $b' \neq 1$. For b other than 1, $A^b \in \Omega'$ and the corresponding quasipotential Q^b satisfies $E_1(Q^b) = E_2(Q^b) = M = \operatorname{span} \{y, z\}$. Thus every linear sub providing an isomorphism between A^b and $A^{b'}$ must keep M invariant. Analyzing the space R^b of quadratic relations of A^b (pay attention to how x occurs), one sees that our shape of relations is not preserved unless the sub sends each of y and z to their scalar multiples. Without loss of generality (just normalize the relations), it sends y to y and z to az with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Moreover, $R^b \cap M^2$ is the one-dimensional space spanned by yy + yz + bzy + zz. Thus our sub must transform yy + yz + bzy + zz into a scalar multiple of yy + yz + b'zy + zz. The latter only happens when a = 1 and b = b'. The result follows.

Lemma 7.6. Let $A = A^b$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy + byz + zz, zx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz and yy + yz + bzy + zz with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the following statements hold true:

- (1) dim $A_n \ge \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;
- (2) if $b \notin \{0,1\}$, then $H_{A^{!}} = (1+t)^{3}$ and the (right module) Koszul complex of A is given by

 $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{d_3} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_2} A^3 \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{K} \to 0$ where d_0 is the augmentation, $d_1(u, v, w) = xu + yv + zw$, $d_3(u) = (0, yu, (y+z)u)$ and $d_2(u, v, w) = (yu, bzu + (b-1)zv + (y+z)w, zu + (x-by-z)v + (by+z)w).$ (7.8)

(3) if b = 1, then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. Since proving the above statements in the case when \mathbb{K} is replaced by any field extension will yield their validity for original \mathbb{K} , we can without loss of generality, assume that \mathbb{K} is uncountable. In this proof we always use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. The validity of (3) is a matter of routine verification: a direct Gröbner basis calculation yields dim $A_3 = 11$.

Next, it is a matter of an easy calculation to see that $A^{!}$ is given by generators x, y, z and the relations xx, bxy + zy - bzz, xz, yx, byy - bzx - zy and $byz - b^{2}zx + (b-1)zy - b^{2}zz$ provided $b \notin \{0, 1\}$. A direct computation shows that the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of $A^{!}$ consists of the defining relations together with zzx, zzy and zzzz. The complete list of normal words is: 1, x, y, z, zx, zy, zz and zzz yielding $H_{A^{!}} = (1 + t)^{3}$. Furthermore, the normal words in $A^{!}$ furnish us with a graded linear basis in $A^{!}$, while the above Gröbner basis provides the corresponding structural constants. Now a routine computation yields (7.8), which completes the proof of (2).

It remains to prove (1). First, observe that it is enough to prove (1) in the case $b \neq 0$: Lemma 2.7 fills the gap. If $b \neq 0$, we perform the sub $x \to bx$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ turning the defining relations of A^b into bxy + byz + zz, bzx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz and yy + yz + bzy + zz. Now replacing b by 1/a, we see that $A^b = A^{1/a}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $B = B^a$, given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy + yz + azz, zx + (1-a)yz - zy - azz and ayy + ayz + zy + azz with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. We already know that for $a \notin \{0,1\}$, $H_{B^!} = (1+t)^3$. Next, for a = 0, the leading monomials of the defining relations of B are xy, zx and zy with respect to the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > z > y. By Lemma 2.1, $B^0 \in \Omega^0$ and therefore B^0 is Koszul and $H_{B^!} = (1+t)^3$ for a = 0. By Lemma 2.10 (the corresponding variety W is \mathbb{K}), (1) is satisfied.

Lemma 7.7. Let $A = A^b$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy + byz + zz, zx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz and yy + yz + bzy + zz with $b \in \mathbb{K}$, $b \neq 1$. If $b \neq -3$, then

 A^{b} is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra $B = B^{\beta}$ given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $\beta xy - xz + \beta^{2}yy - (\beta^{3} + 1)zy + zz, yx - \beta zx + \beta yy - (\beta^{3} + 1)zy + \beta zz$ and $yz - \beta zy$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, $\beta^{2} \neq 1$, where b and β are related by $b = -1 - \beta - \frac{1}{\beta}$. If b = -3, then A is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra B given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $xy + yy - \frac{1}{2}zz, yx + yy + zx + zy - \frac{1}{2}zz$ and $yz - zy + \frac{1}{2}zz$. In both cases the linear substitution facilitating the isomorphism can be chosen preserving the linear span of y and z.

Proof. First, assume that $b \neq -3$. Since \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed and $b \neq 1$, we can solve a quadratic equation to find $\beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $b = -1 - \beta - \frac{1}{\beta}$. Since $b \notin \{1, -3\}$, we have $\beta^2 \neq 1$. We start with the algebra $A = A^b$. The sub $x \to x + by + z$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ turns the defining relations of A into $xy - (b^2 - 1)zy - (b - 1)zz$, xy + (b - 1)yz and yy + yz + bzy + zz. We follow up with scaling of x: $x \to (b - 1)x$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$: the relations become xy - (b + 1)zy - zz, zx + yz and yy + yz + bzy + zz. Finally, we perform the y, z sub $y \to \beta y - z$, $z \to y - \beta z$, $x \to x$ (non-degenerate since $\beta^2 \neq 1$). This turns the relations into those of B^{β} . Thus the composition of these three linear subs provides an isomorphism between A^b and B^{β} . Since each preserves the span of y and z, so does the resulting isomorphism.

Now assume b = -3. In this case the defining relations of A transformed by the sub $x \to x, y \to y, z \to y + z$ span the same space as the defining relations of B. Again, the span of y and z is preserved by the isomorphism.

Lemma 7.8. Let $B = B^{\beta}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $\beta xy - xz + \beta^2 yy - (\beta^3 + 1)zy + zz, yx - \beta zx + \beta yy - (\beta^3 + 1)zy + \beta zz$ and $yz - \beta zy$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{K}^*, \beta^2 \neq 1$. If $\beta^k \neq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $B \in \Omega^+$ and B is Koszul. On the other hand, if k is the smallest positive integer for which $\beta^{k+2} = 1$, then dim $B_{k+5} = \frac{(k+6)(k+7)}{2} + 1$ and therefore $B \notin \Omega$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering on x, y, z monomials assuming x > y > z. First, we use induction by k to prove that, the equality

$$xz^{k}y - \beta^{-k-1}xz^{k+1} + \beta^{k+1}z^{k}yy - \frac{\beta^{3}+1}{\beta}z^{k+1}y + \beta^{-k-1}z^{k+2} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+})$$
(7.9)

holds in B.

Indeed, the first defining relation yields the validity of (7.9) for k = 0. Now if we assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and (7.9) holds with k replaced by k-1, then we resolve the overlap $xz^{k-1}yz = (xz^{k-1}y)z = xz^{k-1}(yz)$ using (7.9) for k-1 and the defining relations. After obvious cancelations (7.9) for k follows. Next, we show that

$$(1-\beta^{k+1})xz^{k}x+(\beta^{k-1}-1)(\beta^{2}-\beta^{-k})xz^{k+1}+(\beta^{k+3}-1)(1-\beta^{k})z^{k+1}x+\beta^{k+2}(\beta^{k+1}-1)z^{k}yy +(1-\beta^{2k+2})(\beta^{3}-\beta+1)z^{k+1}y+(1-\beta^{k+1})(\beta^{2}+\beta^{-1}-\beta^{-k}-\beta-\beta^{k+2})z^{k+2}=0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$(7.10)$$

holds in B.

These equalities are obtained by resolving the overlap $xz^{k-1}yx = (xz^{k-1}y)x = xz^{k-1}(yx)$ using the already verified (7.9) and the defining relations. After obvious cancelations (7.10) follows.

Now assume that

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } \beta^j \neq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n+1.$$
 (7.11)

Note that the only monomials that do not have any of the monomials of the shape xz^jy for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, xz^jx for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, yx or yz as submonomials are exactly the monomials of the form z^ky^m or $z^kx^pz^m$ with $k, m, \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that the number of such monomials of degree s is precisely $\frac{(s+1)(s+2)}{2}$.

By Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7, $\dim B_k \ge \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for all k. It follows that if (7.11) is satisfied, then the left-hand sides of (7.9) and (7.10) for $k \le n$ together with $yx - \beta zx + \beta yy - (\beta^3 + 1)zy + \beta zz$ and $yz - \beta zy$ form the degree up to n+2 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and $\dim B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \le n+2$.

Now assume that $\beta^j \neq 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (7.11) is satisfied for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence the left-hand sides of (7.9) and (7.10) for all k together with $yx - \beta zx + \beta yy - (\beta^3 + 1)zy + \beta zz$ and $yz - \beta zy$ form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \leq n+2$. Then $B \in \Omega$. Since none of the leading monomials of the above Gröbner basis starts with the smallest variable z, $zu \neq 0$ for every non-zero $u \in B$. Hence zu = yu = 0 fails for every non-zero $u \in B$. By Lemma 7.7, B is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra A given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy + byz + zz, zx + (b-1)yz - bzy - zz and yy + yz + bzy + zz with $b \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $b = -1 - \beta - \frac{1}{\beta}$. Since the isomorphism is given by a linear sub preserving the span of y and z, we have that zu = yu = 0 fails for every non-zero $u \in B$. Hence the map d_3 from (7.8) is injective. By Lemma 2.4, A is Koszul and so is B. By Lemma 3.1, A is not PBW_B and therefore A and B are in Ω^+ .

It remains to deal with the case when β is a root of 1. Let m be the smallest positive integer satisfying $\beta^{m+1} = 1$. Since $\beta^2 \neq 1$, $m \ge 2$. Then (7.11) is satisfied for n = m - 1. Then the left-hand sides of (7.9) and (7.10) for $k \le m - 1$ together with $yx - \beta zx + \beta yy - (\beta^3 + 1)zy + \beta zz$ and $yz - \beta zy$ form the degree up to m + 1 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \le m+1$. Plugging $\beta^{m+1} = 1$ into (7.10) and (7.9) with k = m and using the inequality dim $B_{m+2} \ge \frac{(m+3)(m+4)}{2}$, we easily see that the left-hand sides of (7.9) and (7.10) for k = m form the degree up to m + 2 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_{m+2} = \frac{(m+3)(m+4)}{2}$. The said left-hand sides (up to a scalar multiple) are $xz^my - z^{m+1}x + z^myy - \frac{\beta^3+1}{\beta}z^{m+1}y + z^{m+2}$ and $xz^{m+1} - z^{m+1}x$. Resolving the overlap $xz^myx = xz^m(yx) = (xz^my)x$, we get the following equality in B:

$$z^{m+1} (xx - \beta^2 yy + (\beta - \beta^{-1})xz + (\beta^4 + \beta^3 - \beta^2 + 1)zy + (\beta^{-1} - 1 - \beta)zz) = 0.$$

Now it is easy to see that the number of degree m + 3 monomials, which do not contain any of yx, yz, xz^jy for $0 \le j \le m$, xz^jx for $1 \le j \le m - 1$, xz^{m+1} or $z^{m+1}xx$ as a submonomial is exactly $\frac{(m+4)(m+5)}{2}$, while the number of degree m + 4 monomials with the same property is $\frac{(m+5)(m+6)}{2} + 1$. Since dim $B_{m+3} \ge \frac{(m+4)(m+5)}{2}$, the degree m + 3 part of the Gröbner basis consists of just one element: the left-hand side in the above display and dim $B_{m+3} = \frac{(m+4)(m+5)}{2}$. Finally, dealing with all (this time) overlaps of the leading monomials of the Gröbner basis (so far) of degree m + 4 (there are m + 3 of them: $yz^{m+1}xx$, $xz^{m+1}xx$, $z^{m+1}xy$, $xzxz^{m+1}$, xz^jxz^px and xz^jxz^py with $j, p \in \mathbb{N}$, j + p = m + 1, $p \ge 2$), we find that all of them resolve without producing a degree m + 4 member of the Gröbner basis. This part is easy but tedious: we leave the details to an interested reader.

As a result, we have dim $B_{m+4} = \frac{(m+5)(m+6)}{2} + 1$ and therefore $B \notin \Omega$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 7.9. Let B be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $xy + yy - \frac{1}{2}zz$, $yx + yy + zx + zy - \frac{1}{2}zz$ and $yz - zy + \frac{1}{2}zz$. If the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is 0, then $B \in \Omega^+$ and B is Koszul. If \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic, then $B \notin \Omega$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering on x, y, z monomials assuming x > y > z. First, we use induction by k to prove that, the equality

$$xz^{k}y - \frac{k}{2}xz^{k+1} + z^{k}yy - kz^{k+1}y + \frac{(k-1)(k+2)}{4}z^{k+2} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+})$$
(7.12)

holds in B.

Indeed, the first defining relation yields the validity of (7.9) for k = 0. Now if we assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and (7.12) holds with k replaced by k-1, then we resolve the overlap $xz^{k-1}yz = (xz^{k-1}y)z = xz^{k-1}(yz)$ using (7.12) for k-1 and the defining relations. After obvious cancelations (7.12) for k follows.

Next, we show that

$$(k+1)xz^{k}x + \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1\right)xz^{k+1} - \left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} - 1\right)z^{k+1}x - (k+1)z^{k}yy + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}z^{k+1}y - \frac{(k-2)(k+1)(k+3)}{4}z^{k+2} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$(7.13)$$

holds in B.

These equalities are obtained by resolving the overlap $xz^{k-1}yx = (xz^{k-1}y)x = xz^{k-1}(yx)$ using the already verified (7.12) and the defining relations. After obvious cancelations (7.13) follows.

Now assume that

 $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and char $\mathbb{K} \notin \{2, \dots, n+1\}.$ (7.14)

Note that the only monomials that do not have any of the monomials of the shape xz^jy for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, xz^jx for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, yx or yz as submonomials are exactly the monomials of the form z^ky^m or $z^kx^pz^m$ with $k, m, \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Note also that the number of such monomials of degree s is precisely $\frac{(s+1)(s+2)}{2}$.

By Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7, $\dim B_k \ge \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for all k. It follows that if (7.14) is satisfied, then the left-hand sides of (7.12) and (7.13) for $k \le n$ together with $yx + yy + zx + zy - \frac{1}{2}zz$ and $yz - zy + \frac{1}{2}zz$ form the degree up to n + 2 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and $\dim B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \le n + 2$.

Now assume that K has characteristic 0. Then (7.14) is satisfied for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence the left-hand sides of (7.12) and (7.13) for all k together with $yx + yy + zx + zy - \frac{1}{2}zz$ and $yz - zy + \frac{1}{2}zz$ form a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \leq n+2$. Then $B \in \Omega$. Since none of the leading monomials of the above Gröbner basis starts with the smallest variable $z, zu \neq 0$ for every non-zero $u \in B$. Hence zu = yu = 0 fails for every non-zero $u \in B$. By Lemma 7.7, B is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra A given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - 3yz + zz, zx - 4yz + 3zy - zz and yy + yz - 3zy + zz (b = -3). Since the isomorphism is given by a linear sub preserving the span of y and z, we have that zu = yu = 0 fails for every non-zero $u \in B$. Hence the map d_3 from (7.8) is injective. By Lemma 2.4, A is Koszul and so is B. By Lemma 3.1, A is not PBW_B and therefore A and B are in Ω^+ .

It remains to deal with the case when char $\mathbb{K} = p$ is a prime number. Since we have excluded characteristics 2 and 3, $p \ge 5$. Then (7.14) is satisfied for $n = p - 1 \ge 4$. Then the left-hand sides of (7.12) and (7.13) for $k \le p - 2$ together with form the degree up to p part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_k = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ for $k \le p$. Using the equality char $\mathbb{K} = p$, (7.13) and (7.12) with k = p - 1 and using the inequality dim $B_{p+1} \ge \frac{(p+2)(p+3)}{2}$, we easily see that the left-hand sides of (7.12) and (7.13) for k = p - 1 form the degree p + 1 part of a Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B and dim $B_{p+1} = \frac{(p+2)(p+3)}{2}$. The said left-hand sides have the same linear span as $xz^{p-1}y + \frac{1}{2}z^px + z^{p-1}yy + z^py - \frac{1}{2}z^{p+1}$ and $xz^p - z^px$. Resolving the overlap $xz^{p-1}yx = xz^{p-1}(yx) = (xz^{p-1}y)x$, we get the following equality in B:

$$z^p(xx - xz - yy - zy + \frac{3}{2}zz) = 0.$$

Now it is easy to see that the number of degree p + 2 monomials, which do not contain any of yx, yz, xz^jy for $0 \le j \le p-1$, xz^jx for $1 \le j \le p-2$, xz^p or z^pxx as a submonomial is exactly $\frac{(p+3)(p+4)}{2}$, while the number of degree p+3 monomials with the same property is $\frac{(p+4)(p+5)}{2} + 1$. Since dim $B_{p+2} \ge \frac{(p+3)(p+4)}{2}$, the degree p+2 part of the Gröbner basis consists of just one element: the left-hand side in the above display and dim $B_{p+2} = \frac{(p+3)(p+4)}{2}$. Finally, as in the previous lemma all overlaps of the leading monomials of the Gröbner basis (so far) of degree p+3 (they are listed in the proof of the previous lemma: one just has to assume m+1=p) resolve. As a result, dim $B_{p+3} = \frac{(p+4)(p+5)}{2} + 1$ and therefore $B \notin \Omega$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 7.10. The algebras in (S1–S20) belong to Ω and are Koszul. The algebras in (S1–S16) are PBW_B , while the algebras in (S17–S20) are non-PBW_B. Algebras in (S1–S20) with different labels are non-isomorphic and the isomorphism conditions of Theorem 1.11 within algebras with a given label from (S1–S20) are satisfied.

Proof. Algebras from (S1–S16) belong to Ω^0 by Lemma 4.1. Algebras in (S17) and (S18) are Koszul and belong to Ω^+ by Lemmas 7.9, 7.8 and 7.7, while algebras in (S19) and (S20) are Koszul and

belong to Ω^+ by Lemma 7.1 and Remark 5.3. Algebras in (S17–S20) are non-PBW_B according to Lemma 3.1. It remains to deal with isomorphisms. As algebras in (S17–S20) are the only non-PBW_B ones of the batch, they can not be isomorphic to any of the algebras from (S1–S16). Thus the families of algebras in (S1–S16) and in (S17–S20) can be treated separately. Note that quasipotentials Qfor algebras in (S17–S18) satisfy $E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$, while $E_1(Q) \neq E_2(Q)$ for algebras in (S19–S20). Thus algebras in (S17–S18) can not be isomorphic to any of the algebras in (S19–S20). Within the family (S17–S18), the required isomorphism statement now follows from Lemmas 7.5 and 7.7, while the same for (S19–S20) is ensured by the isomorphism part of Lemma 7.1. These considerations take (S17–S20) out of the picture, leaving us with (S1–S16). The algebra (S1) is the only one of the lot with quasipotential Q satisfying $E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$. This singles it out, leaving us with (S2–S16). The quasipotential Q for each algebra in (S2–S16) satisfies $E_1(Q) = \operatorname{span} \{x, y\}$ and $E_2(Q) = \operatorname{span} \{y, z\}$. Thus every isomorphism (linear substitution) between two algebras in (S2–S16) must leave each of these two spaces invariant. That is, such an isomorphism must send y to its own scalar multiple, x to a member of span $\{x, y\}$ and z to a member of span $\{y, z\}$.

Keeping this in mind, observe that algebras in (S14–S16) are the only ones in (S2–S16) with no rank one elements in the space of quadratic relations. Note as well that the defining relations of each algebra in (S14–S16) contain xz + yy, one rank 2 relation depending on y and z only and one rank 2 relation depending on x and y only. When a substitution of the above described form is applied to one of the algebras in (S14–S16), the only way for the resulting algebra to still possess the quadratic relation of the form sxz + tyy with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}^*$, is for the substitution to be a scaling. Checking scalings, one easily sees that no scaling provide an isomorphism of algebras from (S14–S16) with different labels and the only scalings providing isomorphisms between two algebras from (S14–S16) with the same label are $x \to sx$, $y \to sy$ and $z \to sz$ with $s \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for algebras from (S14–S15) and $x \to sx$, $y \to \frac{y}{st}$, $z \to tz$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for algebras from (S16). It is clear that in each case the parameter a is preserved, which proves that algebras in (S14–S16) are pairwise non-isomorphic. These considerations take (S14–S16) out of the picture, leaving us to deal with (S2–S13).

Note that (S2–S5) are singled out from (S2–S13) by having a rank one element f in the space of quadratic relations, which belongs to neither $E_1(Q)^2$ nor $E_2(Q)^2$. Thus algebras from (S2–S5) can not be isomorphic to algebras from (S6–S13). Note that the above element f is actually xz for all algebras in (S2–S5) and that such an element is unique up to a scalar multiple. Thus a substitution providing an isomorphism between two algebras in (S2–S5) must not only preserve span $\{x, y\}$ and span $\{y, z\}$ but also transform xz to its own scalar multiple. Again, only scalings do that. It is obvious that a scaling can not provide an isomorphism between algebras from (S2–S5) with different labels. As for scalings transforming an algebra from (S2–S5) to another one with the same label, they are $x \to sx$, $y \to sy$ and $z \to sz$ with $s \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for algebras from (S2), $x \to sx$, $y \to sy$ and $z \to tz$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for algebras from (S3), $x \to sx$, $y \to ty$ and $z \to tz$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for algebras from (S4) and arbitrary scalings for algebras in (S2–S5) are pairwise non-isomorphic. These considerations take (S2–S5) out of the picture, leaving us to deal with (S6–S13).

Now (S6–S9) are singled out from (S6–S13) by having a rank one element f in the space of quadratic relations, which belongs to $E_2(Q)^2$. Thus algebras from (S6–S9) can not be isomorphic to algebras from (S10–S13). Note that the above element f is actually yz for all algebras in (S6–S9) and that such an element is unique up to a scalar multiple. Thus a substitution providing an isomorphism between two algebras in (S6–S9) must not only preserve $\operatorname{span}\{x, y\}$ and $\operatorname{span}\{y, z\}$ but also transform yz to its own scalar multiple. The only substitutions, which do this are $x \to \alpha x + ty$, $y \to \beta y$ and $z \to \gamma z$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Such substitutions can not give birth or eliminate the presence of zz in the defining relations. Thus algebras from (S6) and (S8) can not be isomorphic to any of the algebras from (S7) and (S9). Since xy + byx and xy - yx + ayy with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$ can not be obtained from one another by an x, y linear substitution (one can use Lemma 2.2), algebras from (S6–S7) can not be isomorphic to any of the algebras from (S8–S9). It follows that algebras from (S6–S9) with different labels are non-isomorphic. Next, xy + ayx + pyy and xy + byx + qyy with different $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^*$ can not be transformed to one another by a substitution of the form $x \to \alpha x + ty$, $y \to \beta y$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$. It follows that algebras in (S6) and (S7) are pairwise non-isomorphic. Finally any substitution $x \to \alpha x + ty$, $y \to \beta y$ and $z \to \gamma z$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$ is an automorphism of each of the algebras in (S8–S9). As a result, algebras in (S6–S9) are pairwise non-isomorphic. Since algebras in (S10–S13) are isomorphic to algebras from (S6–S9) with the opposite multiplication, algebras in (S10–S13) are pairwise non-isomorphic as well. The proof is now complete.

If V is a 3-dimensional vector space and $Q \in V^3$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2$, then, generically, R_Q is 4-dimensional. In this case though Q is not a quasipotential and therefore is of no interest to us. The key part of our job in the remaining part of this section is identifying Q for which R_Q is 3-dimensional. We split our search for such Q in two. Note that both $E_1(Q)$ and $E_2(Q)$ are 2-dimensional subspaces of V. Then they may either coincide or intersect by a one-dimensional space.

Lemma 7.11. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be such that the corresponding quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2$ and $E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$. Then A is isomorphic to an algebra from (S1, S17, S18) of Theorem 1.11.

Proof. Start by choosing a basis x, y, z in V such that x and y span $M = E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$. Then $Q = Q_0 + Q_1$, where $Q_1 \in M^3$ and $Q_0 \in MLM$, where L is the one-dimensional space spanned by z. If $Q_0 = 0$, then Lemma 2.11 ensures that $A \notin \Omega$. This contradiction yields $Q_0 \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.3, there is an x, y sub bringing Q_0 to one of the following forms: $Q_0 = xzy - yzx - yzy$, $Q_0 = xzy - \alpha yzx$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $Q_0 = yzy$ or $Q_0 = xzy$.

Case 1: $Q_0 = xzy - yzx - yzy$.

By a sub $x \to x, y \to y, z \to sx + ty$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ we kill the xxy and xyy coefficients of Q. Then Q acquires form

$$Q = xzy - yzx - yzy + a_1xxx + a_2xyx + a_3yxx + a_4yxy + a_5yyx + a_6yyy$$

with $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $R_Q = F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)$ is spanned by $f_1 = zy + a_1xx + a_2yx$, $f_2 = -zx - zy + a_3xx + a_4xy + a_5yx + a_6yy$, $f_3 = -yz + a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3yx + a_5yy$ and $f_4 = xz - yz + a_4yx + a_6yy$. The 4×4 matrix S of the zx, xz, zy and yz coefficients of f_2 , f_4 , f_1 and f_3 (in this order) is

$$S = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

Since S is obviously invertible, dim $R_Q = 4 > 3$. Hence Q fails to be a quasipotential. Thus Case 1 produces no algebras from Ω .

Case 2: $Q_0 = xzy - \alpha yzx$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

By a sub $x \to x, y \to y, z \to sx + ty$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ we kill the xxy and xyy coefficients of Q. Then Q acquires form

$$Q = xzy - \alpha yzx + a_1xxx + a_2xyx + a_3yxx + a_4yxy + a_5yyx + a_6yyy$$

with $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $R_Q = F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)$ is spanned by $f_1 = zy + a_1xx + a_2yx$, $f_2 = -\alpha zx + a_3xx + a_4xy + a_5yx + a_6yy$, $f_3 = -\alpha yz + a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3yx + a_5yy$ and $f_4 = xz + a_4yx + a_6yy$. The 4 × 4 matrix S of the zx, xz, zy and yz coefficients of f_2 , f_4 , f_1 and f_3 (in this order) is diagonal with the numbers $-\alpha$, 1, 1, $-\alpha$ on the main diagonal. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, this matrix is invertible. Hence dim $R_Q = 4 > 3$ and Q fails to be a quasipotential. Thus Case 2 produces no algebras from Ω .

Case 3: $Q_0 = yzy$.

By a sub $x \to x, y \to y, z \to sx + ty$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ we kill the yxy and yyy coefficients of Q. Then Q acquires form

$$Q = yzy + a_1xxx + a_2xxy + a_3xyx + a_4xyy + a_5yxx + a_6yyx$$

with $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $R_Q = F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)$ is spanned by $f_1 = a_1xx + a_2xy + a_3yx + a_4yy$, $f_2 = a_1xx + a_3xy + a_5yx + a_6yy$, $f_3 = zy + a_5xx + a_6yx$ and $f_4 = yz + a_2xx + a_4xy$. Since Q is a quasipotential, dim $R_Q \leq 3$ and therefore f_j are linearly dependent. The monomials yz and zy feature with non-zero coefficients only in f_4 and f_3 respectively. Hence linear dependence of f_j yields linear dependence of f_1 and f_2 . Next, neither f_1 nor f_2 is zero (otherwise either $n_1(Q) < 2$ or $n_2(Q) < 2$). Hence there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $f_2 = \alpha f_1 \neq 0$.

If $a_1 \neq 0$, we must have $\alpha = 1$. In this case $f_2 = \alpha f_1$ reads $a_2 = a_3 = a_5$ and $a_4 = a_6$. By scaling x, we can turn a_1 into 1. Then Q = yzy + xxx + a(xxy + xyx + yxx) + b(xyy + yyx) with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. By a sub $x \to x - ay$, $y \to y$, $z \to z + sx + ty$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can (preserving the shape of Q) kill a. Then Q = yzy + xxx + b(xyy + yyx) with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. If b = 0, then $xx \in R$, which in view of Lemma 1.12 leads to a contradiction. Thus $b \neq 0$. A further scaling turns b into 1. Then Q = yzy + xxx + xyy + yyx. In this case R is spanned by xx + yy, zy + yx and yz + xy. A direct Gröbner basis computation yields dim $A_5 = 22$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$.

It remains to consider the case $a_1 = 0$. In this case, the equality $f_2 = \alpha f_1$ implies that Q has the shape $Q = yzy + a(xxy + \alpha xyx + \alpha^2 yxx) + b(xyy + \alpha yyx)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ (recall that $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$). If a = b = 0, $n_1(Q) = 1$. If a = 0 and $b \neq 0$, $yy \in R$. Since both contradict the assumptions, $a \neq 0$. A normalization turns a into 1. If b = 0, then $Q = yzy + xxy + \alpha xyx + \alpha^2 yxx$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Now R is spanned by $xy + \alpha yx$, $zy + \alpha^2 xx$ and yz + xx. A Gröbner basis computation gives dim $A_5 = 22$ for generic α (with finitely many exceptions). By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all α . Since this is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$, case b = 0 does not occur. For every $s \in \mathbb{K}$ the sub $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z + px + qy$ with appropriately chosen $p, q \in \mathbb{K}$ preserves the shape of Q changing the parameter b according to the rule $b \mapsto b + (1 + \alpha)s$. Thus we can reduce the general situation to the already considered case b = 0 unless $\alpha = -1$. Thus it remains to consider the case $b \neq 0$ and $\alpha = -1$. Further scaling turns b into 1, leaving us with Q = yzy + xxy - xyx + yxx + xyy - yyx. Then R is spanned by xy - yx + yy, zy + xx - yx and yz + xx + xy. A Gröbner basis computation yields dim $A_5 = 22$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$. Thus Case 3 yields no algebras from Ω .

Case 4: Q = xzy.

By a sub $x \to x, y \to y, z \to sx + ty$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ we kill the xxy and xyy coefficients of Q. Then Q acquires form

$$Q = xzy + a_1xxx + a_2xyx + a_3yxx + a_4yxy + a_5yyx + a_6yyy$$

with $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $R_Q = F_1(Q) + F_2(Q)$ is spanned by $f_1 = a_3xx + a_4xy + a_5yx + a_6yy$, $f_2 = a_1xx + a_2xy + a_5yx + a_6yy$. $a_3yx + a_5yy$, $f_3 = zy + a_1xx + a_2yx$ and $f_4 = xz + a_4yx + a_6yy$. Since Q is a quasipotential, dim $R_Q \leq 3$ and therefore f_j are linearly dependent. The monomials xz and zy feature with non-zero coefficients only in f_4 and f_3 respectively. Hence linear dependence of f_i yields linear dependence of f_1 and f_2 . Next, neither f_1 nor f_2 is zero (otherwise either $n_1(Q) < 2$ or $n_2(Q) < 2$). Hence there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $f_2 = \alpha f_1 \neq 0$. Solving this system of linear equations, we see that Q must have the form $Q = xzy + a(xxx + \alpha yxx + \alpha^2 yyx + \alpha^3 yyy) + b(xyx + \alpha yxy) \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{K}. \text{ The sub } x \to x, y \to \frac{1}{\alpha}y, z \to z$ turns α into 1, while preserving the overall shape of Q: Q = xzy + a(xxx + yxx + yyx + yyy) + b(xyx + yxy)with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. If a = 0, then $b \neq 0$ (otherwise $n_1(Q) = 1$). By scaling z, we turn b into 1 arriving at Q = xzy + xyx + yxy. Then R is spanned by zy + yx, xy and xz + yx. That is, we have arrived at the algebra (S1). It remains to consider the case $a \neq 0$. By scaling z, we can turn a into 1. Thus Q = xzy + xxx + yxx + yyx + yyy + bxyx + byxy with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. After swapping x and z, we get Q = zxy + zzz + yzz + yyz + yyy + bzyz + byzy. Then R is spanned by xy + zz + byz + zz + yz + yy + bzyzx + yy + byz. These relations span the same space as that of the algebra A^b of Lemma 7.5. By Lemmas 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (S17–S18). **Lemma 7.12.** Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be such that the corresponding quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 2$ and $E_1(Q) \neq E_2(Q)$. Then A is isomorphic to to a K-algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (S2–S16) or (S19–S20) of Theorem 1.11.

Proof. Let $y \in V$ be such that y spans the one-dimensional space $E_1(Q) \cap E_2(Q)$. Pick x and z in V such that x, y is a basis in $E_1(Q)$, while y, z is a basis in $E_2(Q)$. Clearly, x, y, z form a basis of V. Then Q has the form

$$Q = a_1xxy + a_2xxz + a_3xyy + a_4xyz + a_5xzy + a_6xzz + a_7yxy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yyz + a_{11}yzy + a_{12}yzz,$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{K}$. Clearly R_Q is spanned by

 $\begin{aligned} f_1 &= a_1 xy + a_2 xz + a_3 yy + a_4 yz + a_5 zy + a_6 zz, \\ f_2 &= a_7 xy + a_8 xz + a_9 yy + a_{10} yz + a_{11} zy + a_{12} zz, \\ f_3 &= a_1 xx + a_3 xy + a_5 xz + a_7 yx + a_9 yy + a_{11} yz, \\ f_4 &= a_2 xx + a_4 xy + a_6 xz + a_8 yx + a_{10} yy + a_{12} yz. \end{aligned}$

Since Q is a quasipotential dim $R_Q \leq 3$. Hence f_j must be linearly dependent. Note also that f_1 and f_2 must be linearly independent (otherwise $n_2(Q) < 2$) and f_3 and f_4 must be linearly independent (otherwise $n_1(Q) < 2$).

The 4 × 4 matrices S_1 of xz, yz, zy and zz coefficients and S_2 of xx, yx, xy and xz coefficients of f_1 , f_2 , f_3 and f_4 (all in given order) are

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_8 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_5 & a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_6 & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_7 & a_8 \\ a_1 & a_7 & a_8 & a_5 \\ a_2 & a_8 & a_4 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If either of the two matrices

$$S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_7 \\ a_2 & a_8 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} a_5 & a_6 \\ a_{11} & a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-degenerate, then at least one of S_1 or S_2 is invertible leading to linear independence of f_j . Thus both S_3 and S_4 must be non-invertible.

Case 1: $a_2a_6 \neq 0$.

A scaling turns a_2 and a_6 into 1. Hence, we can assume that $a_2 = a_6 = 1$. A substitution $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z + ty$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ kills a_1 and a_{12} . Thus we can assume $a_1 = a_{12} = 0$. Now since S_3 and S_4 are non-invertible, we have $a_7 = a_{11} = 0$. Thus $Q = xxz + a_3xyy + a_4xyz + a_5xzy + xzz + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yyz$. In this case $f_1 = xz + a_3yy + a_4yz + a_5zy + zz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{10}yz$, $f_3 = a_3xy + a_5xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + xz + a_8yx + a_{10}yy$. The monomial zz features with non-zero coefficient only in f_1 , while xx features with non-zero coefficient only in f_4 . Hence linear dependence of f_2 and f_3 curves only if $f_2 = 0$. In this case, f_1 and f_2 are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. Thus $a_3 = 0$. If $a_{10} \neq 0$, linear dependence of f_2 and f_3 occurs only if $f_3 = 0$. In this case, f_3 and f_4 are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. Hence $a_{10} = 0$. Plugging $a_3 = a_{10} = 0$ back into f_j , we get $f_1 = xz + a_4yz + a_5zy + zz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy$, $f_3 = a_5xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + xz + a_8yx$. Since f_2 and f_3 are linearly dependent and neither is 0, there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $f_3 = \alpha f_2 \neq 0$.

If $a_9 \neq 0$, we have $\alpha = 1$ and $a_5 = a_8$. A scaling turns a_9 into 1. Thus Q = xxz + xzz + yyy + sxzy + syxz + txyz with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$. Clearly, R is spanned by xy + yy + syz + tzy, zz + sxy and xx + xy + szx + txz. Then $s \neq 0$ (otherwise $zz \in R$ and Lemma 1.12 provides a contradiction). If t = 0, then computing the reduced Gröbner basis, we see that for generic algebras in our one-parametric family dim $A_6 = 29$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_6 \ge 29$ for all s whenever t = 0, which is incompatible with the membership in Ω . Hence $t \ne 0$. Now swapping of y and z together with an appropriate scaling turns these relations into those from Lemma 7.1. Now by Lemma 7.1 and Remark 5.3, our algebra is isomorphic to an algebra from (S19–S20). It remains to deal with the case $a_9 = 0$. Since f_2 and f_3 are non-zero $a_5a_8 \ne 0$. A scaling turns a_5 into 1. Now Q = xxz + bxyz + xzy + xzz + ayxz with $b \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then R is spanned by byz + zy + zz, xz and xx + bxy + ayx. If b = 0, applying the Gröbner basis technique, we see that dim $A_3 > 10$, contradicting the assumptions. Thus $b \ne 0$ and we have an algebra from (S2). This concludes Case 1.

Case 2: $a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_6 = 0$.

Scaling, we can make $a_2 = 1$. A sub $x \to x$, $y \to y$, $z \to z + sy$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills a_7 . Since S_3 is degenerate, $a_7 = 0$ and $a_2 = 1$, we have $a_1 = 0$. Since $a_6 = 0$ and S_4 is degenerate, we have $a_5a_{12} = 0$.

Case 2a: additionally, $a_5 \neq 0$ and $a_{12} = 0$.

By a sub $x \to x + ty$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$, we can kill a_{11} . Further scaling turns a_5 into 1. Thus $Q = xxz + a_3xyy + a_4xyz + xzy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yyz$, $f_1 = xz + a_3yy + a_4yz + zy$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{10}yz$, $f_3 = a_3xy + xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + a_8yx + a_{10}yy$. Since zy features with non-zero coefficient only in f_1 , while xx features with non-zero coefficient only in f_4 , linear dependence of f_j yields linear dependence of f_2 and f_3 . Since neither is 0, there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $f_3 = \alpha f_2$. For this to happen, we must have $a_3 = a_{10} = 0$. Thus $Q = xxz + a_4xyz + xzy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy$, $f_1 = xz + a_4yz + zy$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy$, $f_3 = xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + a_8yx$. If $a_9 \neq 0$, we must have $\alpha = 1$ and $a_8 = 1$. Further scaling allows us to turn a_9 into 1. In this case Q = xxz + axyz + xzy + yxz + yyy, $f_1 = xz + ayz + zy$, $f_2 = f_3 = xz + yy$, and $f_4 = xx + axy + yx$, where $a = a_4 \in \mathbb{K}$. If a = 0, a Gröbner basis computation yields dim $A_4 = 16$, contradicting the assumptions. Thus $a \neq 0$. Now A is an algebra from (S14).

It remains to deal with the case $a_9 = 0$. Then Q = xxz + axyz + xzy + byxz, $f_1 = xz + ayz + zy$, $f_2 = bxz$, $f_3 = xz$ and $f_4 = xx + axy + byx$, where $a = a_4$ and $b = a_8$ are in K. Since $f_2 \neq 0$, we must have $b \neq 0$. Now R is spanned by xz, ayz + zy and xx + axy + byz. Again, if a = 0, then dim $A_4 = 16$, contradicting $A \in \Omega$ (use Gröbner basis). Thus $a \neq 0$ and A becomes an algebra from (S3).

Case 2b: additionally (to assumptions of Case 2), $a_5 = 0$ and $a_{12} \neq 0$.

By scaling, we can turn a_{12} into 1. By a sub $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill a_4 . Then $Q = xxz + a_3xyy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yyz + a_{11}yzy + yzz$, $f_1 = xz + a_3yy$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{10}yz + a_{11}zy + zz$, $f_3 = a_3xy + a_9yy + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = xx + a_8yx + a_{10}yy + yz$. Since xx features with non-zero coefficient only in f_4 , while zz features with non-zero coefficient only in f_2 , linear dependence of f_j yields linear dependence of f_1 and f_3 . Since xz features in f_1 (with non-zero coefficient) but not in f_3 , the latter fails. Thus Case 2b carries no algebras from Ω .

Case 2c: additionally (to assumptions of Case 2), $a_5 = a_{12} = 0$.

By a sub $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill a_{10} . Since $a_2 = 1$ and $a_1 = a_5 = a_6 = a_7 = a_{10} = a_{12} = 0$, we get $Q = xxz + a_3xyy + a_4xyz + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{11}yzy$, $f_1 = xz + a_3yy + a_4yz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{11}zy$, $f_3 = a_3xy + a_9yy + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + a_8yx$. If $a_{11} \neq 0$, then f_j are linearly independent. Indeed, xx features only in f_4 , zy features only in f_2 , out of f_1 and f_3 only f_1 sports xz and f_3 must be non-zero. Since f_j are linearly dependent, we have $a_{11} = 0$. Plugging this back in Q and f_j , we get $Q = xxz + a_3xyy + a_4xyz + a_8yxz + a_9yyy$, $f_1 = xz + a_3yy + a_4yz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy$, $f_3 = a_3xy + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = xx + a_4xy + a_8yx$. Now $a_3a_8 \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise, $f_2 = 0$ or $f_3 = 0$ or $yy \in R$. By means of scaling, we can turn a_3 and a_8 into 1. If $a_4 \neq 0$, then f_j are linearly independent. Indeed, xx features only in f_4 , yz features only in f_1 , while f_2 and f_3 are obviously linearly independent. Hence $a_4 = 0$. Plugging $a_4 = 0$ and $a_3 = a_8 = 1$ this back into Q and f_j , we get Q = xxz + xyy + yxz + ayyy, $f_1 = xz + yy$, $f_2 = xz + ayy$, $f_3 = xy + yy$ and $f_4 = xx + yx$. The only case when f_j are linearly dependent is a = 1. Thus Q = xxz + xyy + yxz + yyy and R is spanned by xz + yy, xy + yy and xx + yx. A direct computation shows that dim $A_3 = 12$, contradicting the assumption $A \in \Omega$.

Case 3: $a_2 = 0$ and $a_6 \neq 0$.

This case is obtained from Case 2 by passing to opposite multiplication. That is, in this case A must be isomorphic to and algebra from (S4) and (S15). Indeed, up to an isomorphism, algebras in (S4) and (S15) are the algebras from (S3) and (S14) with the opposite multiplication.

Case 4: $a_2 = a_6 = 0$.

Since S_3 and S_4 are degenerate, $a_1a_8 = a_5a_{12} = 0$.

Case 4a: additionally, $a_1 = a_5 = 0$.

Then $Q = a_3xyy + a_4xyz + a_7yxy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yyz + a_{11}yzy + a_{12}yzz$, $f_1 = a_3yy + a_4yz$, $f_2 = a_7xy + a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{10}yz + a_{11}zy + a_{12}zz$, $f_3 = a_3xy + a_7yx + a_9yy + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = a_4xy + a_8yx + a_{10}yy + a_{12}yz$. Now $a_4 \neq 0$ (otherwise either $f_1 = 0$ or $yy \in R$). We can normalize to make $a_4 = 1$ and use the sub $x \rightarrow x$, $y \rightarrow y$, $z \rightarrow z + sy$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ to kill a_3 .

First, we show that $a_7 = 0$. Assume the contrary: $a_7 \neq 0$. Then we can normalize to make $a_7 = 1$ and use the sub $x \to x+ty$, $y \to y$ and $z \to z$ to kill a_9 . Now $Q = xyz+yxy+a_8yxz+a_{10}yyz+a_{11}yzy+a_{12}yzz$, $f_1 = yz$, $f_2 = xy + a_8xz + a_{10}yz + a_{11}zy + a_{12}zz$, $f_3 = yx + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = xy + a_8yx + a_{10}yy + a_{12}yz$. If $a_{12} \neq 0$, f_j are easily seen to be linearly independent. Hence $a_{12} = 0$. Using this equality, we see that if $a_8 \neq 0$, then f_j are still linearly independent. Hence $a_8 = 0$. Now if $a_{10} \neq 0$, f_j persist in being linearly independent. Then $a_{10} = 0$. Plugging all this back, we get $Q = xyz + yxy + a_{11}yzy$, $f_1 = yz$, $f_2 = xy + a_{11}zy$, $f_3 = yx + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = xy$. Since $xy, yz \in R$, $xyz \in RV \cap VR$. The latter space is supposed to be one-dimensional spanned by Q. This contradiction proves that $a_7 = 0$.

First, we consider the case $a_{10} = 0$. Plugging $a_7 = a_{10} = 0$ back into formulas for Q and f_j , we get $Q = xyz + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{11}yzy + a_{12}yzz$, $f_1 = yz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{11}zy + a_{12}zz$, $f_3 = a_9yy + a_{11}yz$ and $f_4 = xy + a_8yx + a_{12}yz$. Now $a_9 = 0$. Indeed, otherwise either $f_3 = 0$ or $yy \in R$. Since $a_9 = 0$, we have $a_{11} \neq 0$ (otherwise $f_3 = 0$). We arrive at $Q = xyz + a_8yxz + yzy + a_{12}yzz$, $f_1 = f_3 = yz$, $f_2 = a_8xz + zy + a_{12}zz$ and $f_4 = xy + a_8yx + a_{12}yz$. If $a_8 = 0$, Lemma 2.11 says $A \notin \Omega$. Hence $a_8 = a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If $a_{12} \neq 0$, it can be turned into 1 by scaling. Thus we have two options Q = xyz + ayxz + yzy + yzz or Q = xyz + ayxz + yzy with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. In the first case R is spanned by yz, axz + zy + zz and xy + ayx + yz, while in the second case R is spanned by yz, axz + zy and xy + ayx landing us into (S6) and (S7).

Now assume $a_{10} \neq 0$. If $a_8 \neq -1$, then we can use the sub $x \to x+ty$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with an appropriate $t \in \mathbb{K}$ to kill a_{10} , bringing us back to the case $a_{10} = 0$, already dealt with. Thus we can assume that $a_8 = -1$. Next, $a_{11} \neq 0$ (otherwise $f_3 = 0$ or $yy \in R$). By scaling, we can turn a_{11} into 1. Next, $a_9 = 0$ (otherwise $yy \in R$). If $a_{12} \neq 0$, it can be turned into 1 by scaling.

Thus we have two options Q = xyz - yxz + ayyz + yzy + yzz and Q = xyz - yxz + ayyz + yzy, where $a = a_{10} \in \mathbb{K}^*$. In the first case R is spanned by yz, -xz + ayz + zy + zz and xy - yx + ayy + yz, while in the second case R is spanned by yz, -xz + ayz + zy and xy - yx + ayy and we arrive to (S8) and (S9). **Case 4b:** additionally (to $a_2 = a_6 = 0$), $a_8 = a_{12} = 0$.

This case is obtained from Case 4a by passing to opposite multiplication. Thus the list of algebras to one of which A must be isomorphic is (S10-S13). Indeed, the classes (S10-S13) can (up to an isomorphism) be obtained from (S6-S9) in this order by passing to the opposite multiplication.

Case 4c: additionally (to $a_2 = a_6 = 0$), $a_1 = a_{12} = 0$ and $a_5 a_8 \neq 0$.

Since $a_5 \neq 0$, we can scale to make $a_5 = 1$. By the sub $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$, we can kill a_{11} . Since $a_8 \neq 0$ the sub $x \to x$, $y \to y$, $z \to z + ty$ with an appropriate $t \in \mathbb{K}$ kills a_7 . Plugging $a_2 = a_6 = a_1 = a_{12} = a_{11} = a_7 = 0$ and $a_5 = 1$ into the formula for Q and f_j , we get $Q = a_3xyy + a_4xyz + xzy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy + a_{10}yz$, $f_1 = a_3yy + a_4yz + zy$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy + a_{10}yz$, $f_3 = a_3xy + xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = a_4xy + a_8yx + a_{10}yy$. Since zy features only in f_1 and yx features only in f_4 , linear dependence of f_j yields linear dependence of f_2 and f_3 . Since neither is zero, $f_3 = \alpha f_2$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then $a_3 = a_{10} = 0$. Plugging this back into the formula for Q and f_j , we get $Q = a_4xyz + xzy + a_8yxz + a_9yyy$, $f_1 = a_4yz + zy$, $f_2 = a_8xz + a_9yy$, $f_3 = xz + a_9yy$ and $f_4 = a_4xy + a_8yx$. First, consider the case $a_9 \neq 0$. In this case a scaling makes $a_9 = 1$. Then $\alpha = 1$ and therefore $a_8 = 1$. Thus Q = axyz + xzy + yxz + yyy, $f_1 = ayz + zy$, $f_2 = f_3 = xz + yy$ and $f_4 = axy + yx$ with $a = a_4 \in \mathbb{K}$. If a = 0, one easily sees that dim $A_3 > 10$. Thus $a \neq 0$ and we fall under the jurisdiction of (S16). It remains to deal with the case $a_9 = 0$. Then Q = axyz + xzy + byxz, $f_1 = ayz + zy$, $f_2 = bxz$, $f_3 = xz$ and $f_4 = axy + byx$, where $a = a_4 \in \mathbb{K}$

and $b = a_8 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. If a = 0, one easily sees that dim $A_3 > 10$. Thus $a \neq 0$ and we arrive to an algebra from (S5).

Case 4d: additionally (to $a_2 = a_6 = 0$), $a_5 = a_8 = 0$ and $a_1a_{12} \neq 0$.

This case is obtained from Case 4c by passing to opposite multiplication. Since both classes (S5) and (S16) are closed under passing to the opposite multiplication, we again have A isomorphic from an algebra of (S5) or (S16).

Between cases 4a–4d all options for a_i satisfying $a_1a_8 = a_5a_{12} = 0$ are exhausted.

Part IV of Theorem 1.11 now follows from Lemmas 7.11, 7.12 and 7.10.

8 Proof of Part I of Theorem 1.11

Part I of Theorem 1.11 is a rather odd one out and is technically more difficult than each of the other parts. We start with some general comments on algebras in Ω having a square in the space of quadratic relations.

Lemma 8.1. Let L be a 1-dimensional subspace of the 3-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{K} and let R be a 3-dimensional subspace of the 5-dimensional space LV + VL such that $L^2 \subset R$. Then the quadratic algebra A = A(V, R) satisfies dim $A_3 \ge 12$. In particular, $A \notin \Omega'$.

Proof. Let $z \in V$ be such that z spans L. Then $zz \in R$. By passing to the opposite multiplication, if necessary, we can assume that $R \notin LV$. A standard linear algebra argument yields that $x, y \in V$ can be chosen in such a way that x, y, z form a basis in V and R is spanned by one of the following triples $\{xz - azx, yz - bzy, zz\}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$, $\{xz - azx - zy, yz - azy, zz\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $\{xz - azx, zy, zz\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $\{xz - azx, zy, zz\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $\{xz - azx, zy, zz\}$ or $\{xz, zx, zz\}$. With respect to the chosen basis, the triples serve as defining relations for A. Using the usual left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering with x > y > z, we can compute the members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree up to 3 (actually, the defining relations form the Gröbner basis already in all cases except for the second last, for which two degree 3 members of the Gröbner basis occur: zyz and zyx). This gives dim $A_3 = 12$ in all cases except for $\{xz - azx, zy, zz\}$, in which we have dim $A_3 = 13$.

Lemma 8.2. Assume that $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega'$ and the corresponding quasipotential is $Q = z^3$. Then the quadratic algebra B = A/I, where I is the ideal generated by z, is uniquely (up to an isomorphism) determined by A. For $B = A(V_0, R_0)$, we have dim $V_0 = 2$ and $1 \leq \dim R_0 \leq 2$ and exactly one of the following holds true

- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{yy\};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy\};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy \alpha yx\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ being uniquely determined up to replacing it by $\frac{1}{\alpha}$;
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{ xy yx yy \};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xx, yy\};$
- $R_0 = \text{span} \{ xx yx, yy \};$
- $R_0 = \text{span} \{ xy, yy \};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{yx, yy\};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy \alpha yx, yy\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ being uniquely determined;
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy, yx\};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xx xy, yx\};$
- $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xx \alpha xy yy, yx\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$ with α being uniquely determined

for some $x, y \in V$ such that x, y, z is a basis in $V(\{x, y\} \text{ is now naturally interpreted as a basis in } V_0)$.

Proof. As the quasipotential for an algebra from Ω' is unique up to a scalar multiple, z is uniquely determined up to a non-zero scalar multiple as well. Thus B is uniquely determined by A. Obviously, for $B = A(V_0, R_0)$, we have dim $V_0 = 2$ and dim $R_0 \leq 2$. However, we can not have dim $R_0 = 0$ since otherwise A satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 8.1, which yields dim $A_3 \ge 12$ contradicting the inclusion $A \in \Omega'$. The last statement is a direct application of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

Note that for A = A(V, R) from (R1–R39), the space R_0 (of the algebra $B = A(V_0, R_0)$, as defined in Lemma 8.2) is spanned by

- $\{xy + yx, yy\}$ if A is from (R1–R3);
- $\{xy yx, yy\}$ if A is from (R4);
- $\{xy ayx, yy\}$ with $a \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$ if A is from (R5–R6);
- $\{xx xy, yx\}$ if A is from (R7–R8);
- $\{yx, yy\}$ if A is from (R9–R12);
- $\{xy, yy\}$ if A is from (R13–R16);
- $\{xy, yx\}$ if A is from (R17–R19);
- $\{xx, yy\}$ if A is from (R20);
- $\{xy yx yy\}$ if A is from (R21–R26);
- $\{xy ayx\}$ with $a \notin \{0, 1\}$ if A is from (R27–R33);
- $\{xy yx\}$ if A is from (R34–R36);
- $\{xy\}$ if A is from (R37–R39).

By Lemma 8.2, algebras from different groups out of (R1–R3), (R4), (R5–R6), (R7–R8), (R9–R12), (R13–R16), (R17–R19), (R20), (R21–R26), (R27–R33), (R34–R36) and (R37–R39) are non-isomorphic. This splits the proof into 12 independent parts.

In this section we shall often use the following ordering on monomials in three variables x, y, z. To introduce it smoother, for an x, y, z monomial u, we denote by u' the (same degree) monomial obtained from u by replacing all occurrences of y by x. We also use the symbol \prec to denote the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. The ordering \prec we shall use is defined as follows. We say that v < u if and only if

u has higher degree than v, or u and v have the same degree, but z-degree of v is higher, or u and v have the same degree and the same z-degree and v' < u', or u and v have the same degree and the same z-degree and v' = u' and v < u. (8.1)

For instance, for monomials of degree up to 3 the just defined order looks like

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 < z < y < x < zz < zy < zx < yz < xz < yy < yx < xy < xx < zzz < zzy < zzx < zyz \\ < zxz < yzz < xzz < zyy < zyx < zxy < zxx < yzy < yzx < xzy < xzx < yyz \\ < yxz < xyz < xxz < yyy < yyx < yxy < yxx < xyy < xxx \\ xyx < xxy < xxx \\ \end{array}$

It is easy to see that the ordering (8.1) is compatible with multiplication and therefore can be used in computing Gröbner bases. Curiously, for the purpose of dealing with algebras in Ω , whose quasipotential is a cube, this order in most cases proves much more convenient than the degree lexicographical one. On a number of occasions it even yields a finite Gröbner basis while the degree lexicographical ordering provides an infinite one. 8.1 Case $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy + ayx, yy\}$

Lemma 8.3. Let A be a quadratic algebra given by generators x, y, z and relations

$$xy - \alpha yx - azx - bzy, \ yy - xz - qyz - czx - dzy \ and \ zz, \tag{8.2}$$

where $\alpha, a, b, c, d, q \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Then $A \in \Omega'$. Moreover, $A \in \Omega$ if and only if

$$c = \alpha^2, \ a = \alpha(d-q), \ b = \frac{d(d-q)}{\alpha} \ and \ (\alpha+1)((2-\alpha)d - (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)q) = 0.$$
(8.3)

Furthermore, two algebras from this family with parameters α, a, b, c, d, q and $\alpha', a', b', c', d', q'$ are isomorphic if and only if either $\alpha = \alpha' \neq 1$ and $(a', b', c', d', q') = (at, bt^2, c, dt, qt)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ or $\alpha = \alpha' = 1$ and $(a', b', c', d', q') = (at, t^2(b + as), c, t(d + s), t(q + s))$ for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $s \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proof. First, we deal with the isomorphism question. Assume that two algebras from our family with parameters α, a, b, c, d, q and $\alpha', a', b', c', d', q'$ are isomorphic. Then there is a linear substitution facilitating the isomorphism. Since z^2 is the only square in the space of quadratic relations for both algebras, z is mapped to its own scalar multiple by this substitution: $z \to wz$ with $w \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Since y^2 is the only square in the space of quadratic relations for A/I with I being the ideal generated by z for both algebras, our substitution must map y to vy + sz with $v \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $s \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 8.2 (the uniqueness), α is an isomorphism invariant. Thus we must have $\alpha = \alpha'$. First, consider the case $\alpha = \alpha' \neq 1$. Then it is easy to see that the shape of the space of quadratic relations of the quotient A/Iwill not be preserved unless $x \to ux + tz$ with $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Now applying the substitution $x \to ux + tz$, $y \rightarrow vy + sz, z \rightarrow wz$, we see that the shape of the space of quadratic relations of the algebra A will not be preserved unless s = t = 0. We are left with scalings only. Now it is a matter of direct verification to see that a scaling provides a required isomorphism if and only if $(a', b', c', d', q') = (at, bt^2, c, dt, qt)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$. It remains to consider the case $\alpha = \alpha' = 1$. Similar considerations show that in this case the shape of the space quadratic relations of A is preserved (stays in our family) if and only if the substitution has the form $x \to ux + rz$, $y \to vy$, $z \to wz$ with $u, v, w, r \in \mathbb{K}$, $uvw \neq 0$ and $uw = v^2$. Applying these substitutions, we directly see that one of them provides a required isomorphism if and only if $(a', b', c', d', q') = (at, t^2(b+as), c, t(d+s), t(q+s))$ for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $s \in \mathbb{K}$.

Now we deal with the Hilbert series of A. Throughout the proof we use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps yyy and xyy, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_{1} = yxz - xzy + cyzx + (d-q)yzy - \alpha czyx + (qc-d)zxz,$$

$$g_{2} = xxz + (c-\alpha^{2})xzx + (\alpha q+d)xzy - \alpha(qc+\alpha q+a)yzx - \alpha(b+qd-q^{2})yzy$$

$$-\alpha^{2}czxx - \alpha(a + \alpha d - \alpha qc)zyx + (qa + \alpha qd - b - \alpha q^{2}c)zxz.$$

Since g_1 and g_2 are inearly independent, it follows that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of the parameters are. Thus $A \in \Omega'$. There are 4 degree 4 overlaps yxzz, xxzz, yyxz and xyxz. The members of the ideal of relations obtained from the last two overlaps always belong to the linear span of the ones obtained from the first two overlaps. We denote the latter r_1 and r_2 respectively. The explicit formulae for r_j are as follows:

$$r_{1}=xzyz-cyzxz+(q-d)yzyz+\alpha czxzy-\alpha c^{2}zyzx+\alpha c(q-d)zyzy;$$

$$r_{2}=(\alpha^{2}-c)xzxz+(\alpha^{2}q+\alpha a-cd)yzxz+(\alpha b-d^{2}+qd)yzyz+\alpha^{2}c(\alpha^{2}-c)zxzx+\alpha(cd-\alpha^{2}qc-\alpha cd+a+\alpha d)zxzy$$

$$+\alpha(\alpha^{2}qc^{2}-c^{2}d+\alpha^{3}qc+\alpha^{2}ac-ac-\alpha cd)zyzx+\alpha(\alpha^{2}bc+\alpha^{q}cd-\alpha^{2}q^{2}c+qa+\alpha qd+qcd-ad-\alpha d-cd^{2})zyzy$$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xy, yy, zz, yxz and xxz of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. For A to be in Ω , we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. On the other hand, the monomial xzyz features in r_1 with non-zero coefficient

and does not feature in r_2 . Hence the only way for the dimension of L to be 1 is to have $r_2 = 0$. Thus we have a system of algebraic equations on the parameters coming from the coefficients of r_2 being zero. The equation coming from the *xzxz*-coefficient is $c = \alpha^2$. Plugging this into the *yzxz* one, we get $a = \alpha(d-q)$. Plugging both into *yzyz* one, we get $b = \frac{d(d-q)}{\alpha}$. Plugging all this into the *zxzy* coefficient, we get $(\alpha+1)((2-\alpha)d - (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)q) = 0$. Now the rest of the equations are automatically satisfied provided these four are. Thus we have that dim $A_4 = 15$ if and only if $r_2 = 0$ if and only if (8.3) is satisfied.

Now if $r_2 = 0$, one easily checks that the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A actually ends with r_1 : it consists of the defining relations, g_1 , g_2 and r_1 (great advantage of the ordering we picked!). The leading monomials of the elements of the basis are xy, yy, zz, yxz, xxz and xzyz. Knowing these, we easily confirm that $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$ and A is indeed in Ω . Thus $A \in \Omega$ if and only if (8.3) is satisfied.

The main result of this section is the following lemma.

Lemma 8.4. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations $xy - \alpha yx$ and yy with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R1–R6) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R1–R6) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. By the assumptions, R is spanned by zz, $xy - \alpha yx + f$ and yy + g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a substitution $x \to x + sz$, $y \to y + tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz and yz coefficients of f. We shall see later that the xz coefficient in g must be non-zero. In the meantime though, we view it as an extra assumption.

Case 1: the xz coefficient in g is non-zero.

By means of scaling, we can turn this coefficient into -1. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations from (8.2) for some $\alpha, a, b, c, d, q \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Since $A \in \Omega$, Lemma 8.3 implies that (8.3) is satisfied.

Case 1a: $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq -1$. The last equation in (8.3) reads $(2 - \alpha)d = (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)q$. According to the isomorphism part of Lemma 8.3, we can (by a scaling) multiply (q, d) by any non-zero constant without breaking up the overall shape of relations. Then if $(q, d) \neq (0, 0)$, since $(2 - \alpha, \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(2 - \alpha)d = (\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)q$, by doing this we can turn (q, d) into $(2 - \alpha, \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)$. After this sub $q = 2 - \alpha$, $d = \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1$ and plugging this into the rest of the equations in (8.3), we get $c = \alpha^2$, $a = \alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)$ and $b = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^3 + 1)}{\alpha}$. We have arrived to an algebra from (R5) with $a = \alpha$. If q = d = 0, the equations in (8.3) read $c = \alpha^2$ and a = b = 0. In this case we have an algebra from (R6).

Case 1b: $\alpha = -1$. Then the last equation in (8.3) is satisfied automatically. The rest yield c = 1, a = q - d and b = d(q - d). As above, a scaling allows to multiply (q, d) by any non-zero constant. Thus if $q \neq d$, we can turn d - q into 1. Then the defining relations take form xy + yx + zx + dzy, yy - xz(1-d)yz - zx - dzy and zz and we have an algebra from (R1). It remains to consider the case q = d. Then a = b = 0 and the defining relations take the form xy + yx, yy - xz - dyz - zx - dzy and zz and we have the form xy + yx, yy - xz - dyz - zx - dzy and zz. If $d \neq 0$, a scaling transforms them into xy + yx, yy - xz - yz - zx - zy and zz and we arrive to the algebra (R2). If d = 0, we have the algebra (R1).

Case 1c: $\alpha = 1$. In this case the equations (8.3) read a = b = 0, c = 1 and d = q. The defining relations take the form xy - yx, yy - xz - dyz - zx - dzy and zz. The isomorphism part of Lemma 8.3 in the case $\alpha = 1$ implies that all these algebras (when d varies) are isomorphic to each other. Hence they are isomorphic to the algebra with d = 0, which is (R4).

Case 2: the xz coefficient in g is zero, while the zx coefficient is non-zero.

We shall show that this case does not occur. A sub of the form $x \to x + sz$, $y \to y + tz$, $z \to rz$ with appropriately chosen $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{K}^*$ will kill the zx and zy coefficients in f (the monomials xzand yz will creep back in) and turn the non-zero zx coefficient of g into -1. The defining relations of A take form $xy - \alpha yx - axz - byz$, yy - qyz - zx - dzy and zz with $\alpha, a, b, d, q \in \mathbb{K}$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Since $A \in \Omega$, so is A with the opposite multiplication. The latter is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra C given by generators x, y, z and relations $yx - \alpha xy - azx - bzy$, yy - qzy - xz - dyz and zz. Up to scalar multiples, the relations of C are of the form (8.2). Hence the parameters must satisfy (8.3), the first equation in which yields $0 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$. Since this is obviously faulty, Case 2 actually does not occur.

Case 3: both xz and zx do not feature in g.

In this case Lemma 2.11 yields $A \notin \Omega$, contradicting the assumptions. Hence this case does not occur as well. It remains to notice that algebras in (R1–R6) are all in the family given by relations (8.2). The isomorphism part of Lemma 8.3 easily implies that algebras in (R1–R6) are pairwise non-isomorphic.

8.2 Case $R_0 = \text{span} \{xx - xy, yx\}$

Lemma 8.5. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx-xy-yz, yx-azx-bzy and zz with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. If either b = 0 and $a \neq -1$ or $b \neq 0$ and $a(1+b+\ldots+b^k) \neq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $A \in \Omega^-$. Otherwise, $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. The fact that $A \in \Omega^-$ whenever $A \in \Omega$ follows from Lemma 1.13. It remains to prove that $A \in \Omega$ if and only if either b = 0 and $a \neq -1$ or $b \neq 0$ and $a(1 + b + \ldots + b^k) \neq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

First, we get rid of the easy case b = 0. In this case we use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming z > y > x. The ideal of relations of A always has finite Gröbner basis. If $a \notin \{0, -1\}$, the said basis consists of the defining relations together with zyx, xxz + xxy - xxx, yyx + axzx - axxx and $xxyx - \frac{a}{a+1}xxxx$. If a = 0, the basis consists of the defining relations together with xxz + xxy - xxx. In both cases it follows that $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Omega$. On the other hand, if a = -1, then the Gröbner basis consists of the defining relations together with zyx, xxz + xxy - xxx, yyx - xzx + xxy and xxxx, yielding dim $A_5 = 23$. Hence $A \notin \Omega$ in this case. For the rest of the proof we shall assume that $b \neq 0$.

We start by a substitution, which turns the defining relations into a more convenient form. After the permutation $z \to y \to x \to z$, the defining relations take the form yy, xz - ayz - byx and xy + zx - zz. Now we perform the sub $x \to x + ay$, $y \to y$ and $z \to z$, after which the relations take the form yy, xz - byx and xy + zx + azy - zz. Finally, after scaling $x \to bx$, $y \to y$ and $z \to bz$, the relations acquire the shape yy, xz - yx and xy + bzx + azy - bzz, which shows that A is isomorphic to the algebra B given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$yy, xz - yx \text{ and } xy + bzx + azy - bzz.$$
 (8.4)

We shall compute the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B with respect to the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. First, a direct computation shows that the only degree 3 and 4 members of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B are

$$zzx - \frac{1-a}{b}zzy - zzz$$
 and $zzyx - \frac{1-a}{b}zzyz - zzzz$.

Similarly, the degree 5 part of the Gröbner basis consists of

$$(a-1)zzyzz + bz^5$$
 and $b^2zzyzx + (ab+a-1)zzyzy - b^2zzyzz + bz^5$.

Consider the recurrent sequence $\alpha_1 = \frac{a-1}{b}$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha_k + a}{b}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall prove inductively the following statement $(k \in \mathbb{N})$:

(G_k) If $\alpha_j \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, then the complete list of the degree up to 2k + 3 elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B consists of the defining relations yy, xz - yx, xy + bzx + azy - bzz, the degree 3 element $zzx - \frac{1-a}{b}zzy - zzz, zz(yz)^{j-1}yx + \alpha_j zz(yz)^j + z^4u_j, zz(yz)^j z + \gamma_j z^{2j+3}$ and $zz(yz)^j x + \alpha_{j+1}zz(yz)^j y + \frac{1}{b}z^4u_j y + \gamma_j z^{2k+3}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $u_m =$

 $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_{m,j} z^{2m-2j} (yz)^j \in B_{2m-2} \ (\beta_{m,j} \in \mathbb{K}) \text{ and } \gamma_m \in \mathbb{K} \text{ are defined recurrently: } u_1 = -1 \text{ (that is,} \\ \beta_{1,0} = -1), \ \gamma_0 = 1, \ \gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_1} = \frac{b}{1-a} \text{ and for } m > 1, \ \gamma_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \gamma_j \beta_{m-1,j}, \ u_m = \frac{1}{b} u_{m-1} yz + \gamma_m z^{2m-2} \text{ (yielding a recurrent formula for } \beta_{m,j} \text{ as well).}$

If $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ (that is, $a \neq 1$), then the degree up to 5 elements of the Gröbner basis, collected in the above two displays, easily justify (G_1) . Now assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and (G_k) holds. We shall verify (G_{k+1}) provided $\alpha_j \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k+1$. The overlap $zz(yz)^k xz$ produces $zz(yz)^k yx + \frac{\alpha_k + a}{b} + \gamma_k z^{2k+3} + \frac{1}{b} z^4 u_k y$. The rest of degree 2k + 4 overlaps resolve. Using the recurrent definitions of u_m and α_m , we see that

the degree 2k + 4 part of the reduced Gröbner basis of *B* consists of one element $zz(yz)^k yx + \alpha_{k+1}zz(yz)^j + z^4 u_{k+1}$. (8.5)

Next,

the overlap
$$zz(zy)^k yxz$$
 produces $\alpha_{k+1}zz(yz)^{k+1}z + z^4 u_{k+1}z.$ (8.6)

By assumption, $\alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$. Using the recurrent definitions of u_m and α_m , we see that after dividing by α_{k+1} , the expression in (8.6) acquires the shape $zz(yz)^{k+1}z + \gamma_{k+1}z^{2k+5}$. Finally,

the overlap
$$zz(zy)^k yxz$$
 produces $zz(yz)^{k+1}x + \alpha_{k+1}zz(yz)^{k+1}y - zz(yz)^{k+1}z + \frac{1}{b}z^4u_{k+1}y.$ (8.7)

Since when $\alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$, we already have $zz(yz)^{k+1}z + \gamma_{k+1}z^{2k+5}$ in the ideal of relations and since the other overlaps of degree 2k + 5 resolve, we see that the degree 2k + 5 part of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of *B* consists of two members: $zz(yz)^{k+1}z + \gamma_{k+1}z^{2k+5}$ and $zz(yz)^{k+1}x + \alpha_{k+1}zz(yz)^{k+1}y + \frac{1}{b}z^4u_{k+1}y + \gamma_{k+1}z^{2k+3}$. This concludes the proof of (G_{k+1}) .

Now assume that $a(1 + b + ... + b^k) \neq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It easily follows that $\alpha_k \neq 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, one easily checks that $\alpha_{m+1} = \frac{a(1+...+b^m)-1}{b^{m+1}}$. Then the assumption of each (G_k) is satisfied and (G_k) produce the complete reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B. The set of its leading monomials consists of xy, xz, yy, $zz(yz)^{k-1}x$, $zz(yz)^kyx$ and $zz(yz)^kz$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As a result, the corresponding normal words are $z^{\delta}(yz)^m y^{\varepsilon} x^k$, $y^{\delta}(zy)^m z^p yx^q$ and $y^{\delta}(zy)^m z^p (yz)^k y^{\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{0, 1\}, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \ge 2$. Now it is easy to see that the number of normal words of degree n is exactly $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ and therefore $B \in \Omega$. Hence, $A \in \Omega$.

It remains to deal with the case when $a(1 + b + ... + b^m) = 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is the smallest $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for which $\alpha_{k+1} = 0$. Then (G_k) is satisfied. Furthermore, (8.5-8.7) still give the degrees 2k + 4 and 2k + 5 parts of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B (the leading monomial in (8.6) is $z^4(yz)^k z$). Now all degree 2k + 6 overlaps resolve except for $zz(yz)^{k+1}xz$, which yields a basis member with $zz(yz)^{k+1}$ as the leading monomial. Knowing the leading monomials of the Gröbner basis members of degrees up to 2k+6, we get that dim $A_{2k+6} = \dim B_{2k+6} = \frac{(2k+7)(2k+8)}{2} + 1$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$.

Lemma 8.6. Let $A = A(V,R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations xx - xy and yx. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R7–R8) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R7–R8) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. By the assumptions, R is spanned by zz, xx - xy + f and yx + g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a substitution $x \to x + sz$, $y \to y + tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz and zx coefficients of f. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xx - xy - ayz - bzy, \ yx - pxz - qzx - cyz - dzy \ \text{and} \ zz, \tag{8.8}$$

where $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$.

Then A^{opp} , being A with the opposite multiplication, is isomorphic to the algebra C given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - yx - azy - byz, xy - pzx - qxz - czy - dyz and zz. After the substitution $x \to x + (p+c)z$, $y \to x - y + (q+d)z$, $z \to z$, the defining relations of C take the shape xx - xy + dyz - pzy, yx - bxz + (p+q+c+d)zx + (p+b+c)yz + azy. That is,

$$A^{\text{opp}}$$
 is isomorphic to an algebra given by (8.8) with the
parameters $(-d, p, -p - b - c, -a, b, -p - q - c - d)$ in place of (a, b, c, d, p, q) (8.9)

Throughout the proof we again use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps xxx and yxx, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_1 = ayyz - pxzx + pxzy - cyzx + (b+c)yzy + dzyy - pdzxz - (aq+cd)zyz,$$

$$g_2 = xyy + (a-c-p)xyz - qxzx + (b-d)xzy - ayzx + ayzy + bzyy - pbzxz - (bc+pb)zyz.$$
(8.10)

Case 1: $a \neq 0$.

By means of scaling, we can turn a into 1. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are now yyz and xyy. One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of other parameters are. There are 5 degree 4 overlaps yyzz, xyyz, xyyx, xxyy and yxyy. The members of the ideal of relations obtained from the last two overlaps always belong to the linear span of the ones obtained from the first three. We denote these r_1 , r_2 and r_3 respectively. The explicit formulae for r_j (we assume a = 1) are as follows:

$$\begin{split} r_1 = pxzxz - pxzyz + cyzxz - (b+c)yzyz - pdzxzx + pdzxzy - cdzyzx + d(b+c)zyzy; \\ r_2 = -(p+c)xyzx + (p+b+c)xyzy + dxzyy + (q-pd)xzxz + (d-b-q-cd)xzyz + yzxz \\ -yzyz - pbzxzx + pbzxzy - (bc+pb)zyzx + b(b+c+p)zyzy; \\ r_3 = (p+c-q-1)xyzx - dxyzy + qxzxy + yzxy + (pd-pb-pq-cq)xzxz + (q-pd)xzyz \\ -(p+c)yzxz + yzyz + pbzxzx + (bc+pb-qb)zyzx - bdzyzy. \end{split}$$

Note that there are exactly 17 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yx, zz, yyz and xyy of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 , r_2 and r_3 is exactly 17 - 15 = 2. On the other hand, yzxy features in r_3 with coefficient 1 and does not feature in r_1 or r_2 . Thus dim L = 2 implies that the space L_1 spanned by r_1 and r_2 is one-dimensional. The 2×2 matrix S_1 of yzxz and yzyz coefficients in r_1 and r_2 is

$$S_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} c & -b-c \\ 1 & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Since S_1 must have rank at most 1, we have b = 0. Plugging this back into r_1 and r_2 , we see that L_1 is one-dimensional precisely means that the rank of S_2 is 1, S_2 being the matrix of coefficients of r_1 and r_2 :

$$S_2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & p & -p & c & pd & cd \\ p+c & d & q-pd & d-q-cd & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Now it is elementary to see that rank of S_2 equals 1 precisely when either p = c = 0 or d = p+c = q+1 = 0. In the case d = p+c = q+1 = 0, the defining relations of A take the shape xx - xy - yz, yx - pxz + zx + pyz and zz. Using Gröbner basis, one easily sees that for generic p, dim A = 23 in this case. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A \ge 23$ for all p, which violates the assumption $A \in \Omega$. Thus the case d = p + c = q + 1 = 0 does not occur. This leaves us with the option p = c = 0. Then the defining relations of A take the shape xx - xy - yz, yx - qzx - dzy and zz. By Lemma 8.5, A falls into (R7) any algebra in (R7) belongs to Ω^- . This concludes Case 1. **Case 2:** a = 0 and $d \neq 0$.

By means of scaling, we can turn d into -1. By (8.9), A^{opp} is isomorphic to an algebra given by (8.8) with the parameters (1, p, -p-b-c, 0, b, -p-q-c+1) in place of (a, b, c, d, p, q). The latter is under the jurisdiction of Case 1. From Case 1 we know that the inclusion $A^{\text{opp}} \in \Omega$ yields p = b = -p-b-c = 0. That is p = b = c = 0. Plugging a = b = c = p = 0 and d = -1 back into (8.8), we see that the defining relations of A take the shape xx - xy, yx - qzx + zy and zz. If additionally q = 0, a direct Gröbner basis computation yields dim $A_5 = 23$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$. Thus $q \neq 0$ and we fall into (R8). Plugging a = b = c = p = 0 and d = -1 into (8.10), we get $g_1 = -zyy$ and $g_2 = xyy - qxzx + xzy$. Continuing the computation of the Gröbner basis, we see that it actually turns out finite comprising the defining relations, g_1 , g_2 , qzyzx - zyzy and qxyzx - xyzy - qxzxy. The leading monomials of the members of the Gröbner basis are xx, yx, zz, zyy, xyy, zyzx and xyzx. This allows to compute the Hilbert series of A: $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$. Thus algebras in (R8) are in Ω . By Lemma 1.13, they are in Ω^- .

Case 3: a = d = 0 and $p \neq 0$.

By means of scaling, we can turn p into 1. Plugging a = d = 0 and p = 1 into (8.8) and (8.10), we see that the defining relations of A are xx - xy - bzy, yx - xz - qzx - cyz and zz, while the degree 3 members of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A are xzx - xzy + cyzx - (b+c)yzy and xyy - (c+1)xyz + (b-q)xzy + qcyzx - q(b+c)yzy + bzyy - bzxz - b(c+1)zyz. Resolving the degree 4 overlaps of the leading monomials, we find that the degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis is spanned by 4 elements r_1 , r_2 , r_3 and r_4 (they come from the overlaps yxzx, xxzx, xzxx and xyyx respectively), where

$$\begin{split} r_1 = -cyyyz + (b+c)yyzy + qczyzx - q(b+c)zyzy; \\ r_2 = -(c+1)xyzx + (b+c+1)xyzy - bzyzx + bzyzy; \\ r_3 = -xzyy - (b+c)yzyy + (c+1)xzyz + byzxz + (c+1)(b+c)yzyz; \\ r_4 = (c+1-q)xyzx + qxzyy - qcyzxy + q(b+c)yzyy - (b+q(c+1))xzyz + (qc(c+1)-b^2)yzxz - q(c+1)(b+c)yzyz + bzxzy + b(1-q)zyzx + b(b+c)zyzy. \end{split}$$

Note that there are exactly 18 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yx, zz, xyy and xzx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since we have assumed that $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 is exactly 18-15=3. If $b \neq 0$, one easily sees that r_j are linearly independent (just look at the 4×6 matrix of zxzy, xyzx, xyzy, yyzx, yyzy and xzyy coefficients of r_j). Thus b = 0. Next, it is easy to see that if c is neither 0 nor -1, r_j are still linearly independent. Thus c = 0 or c = -1. If c = -1, the defining relations of A are xx - xy, yx - xz - qzx + yz and zz. Using Gröbner basis technique, one easily sees that in this case for generic q, dim $A_5 = 22$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all q, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. If c = 0, the defining relations of A are xx - xy, yx - xz - qzx and zz. Using Gröbner basis technique, one easily sees that in this case for generic q, dim $A_5 = 23$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A \in \Omega$. Thus this case does not occur.

Case 4: a = d = p = 0 and $c \neq 0$.

By means of scaling, we can turn c into 1. Plugging a = d = p = 0 and c = 1 into (8.8) and (8.10), we see that the defining relations of A are xx - xy - bzy, yx - qzx - yz and zz, while the degree 3 members of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A are yzx - (b+1)yzy and xyy - xyz - qxzx + bxzy + bzyy - bzyz. Resolving the degree 4 overlaps of the leading monomials, we find that the degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis is spanned by 2 elements r_1 and r_2 (they come from the overlaps yzxx and xyyx respectively), where

 $r_1=(b+1)(yzyy-yzyz)$ and $r_2=(1-q)(b+1)(xyzy+bzyzy)+q(xzxy-xzxz).$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yx, zz, xyy and yzx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since we have assumed that $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. This can only happen when b = -1. Thus the defining relations of A are xx - xy + zy, yx - qzx - yz and zz. Using Gröbner basis technique, one easily sees that in this

case for generic q, dim $A_5 = 23$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 23$ for all q, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. Thus this case does not occur.

Case 5: a = d = p = c = 0.

The defining relations of A are xx-xy-bzy, yx-qzx and zz. If b = 0, one easily sees that dim $A_3 > 10$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$. This $b \neq 0$. By scaling, we can make b = 1. The defining relations of A become xx - xy - zy, yx - qzx and zz. Using Gröbner basis technique, one easily sees that in this case for generic q, dim $A_5 = 22$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all q, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. Thus this final case does not occur.

It remains to show that algebras in (R7–R8) are pairwise non-isomorphic. For B = A/I for algebras A from (R7–R8), x is up to a scalar multiple the only degree 1 for which there exist degree 1 elements v and w satisfying vx = xw = 0 in B. Next, y is up to a scalar multiple the only degree 1 element satisfying yx = 0 in B. Since zz is the only square in R, a linear substitution providing an isomorphism between to algebras in (R7–R8) must be of the form $x \to ux + tz$, $y \to vy + sz$, $z \to wz$ with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$. Applying these to the relations in (R7–R8), we see that the only way such a sub transforms a space of quadratic relations corresponding to an algebra from (R7–R8) to another such space is to be of the form $x \to ux$, $y \to uy$, $z \to uz$ with u. Since this substitution provides an automorphism of each algebra in (R7–R8), we see that algebras in (R7–R8), we see that algebras in (R7–R8) we see that algebras in (R7–R8) are pairwise non-isomorphic.

8.3 Cases $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{yy, yx\}$ and $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{yy, xy\}$

Lemma 8.7. Let A be a quadratic algebra given by generators x, y, z and relations

$$yx - pxz - azx - bzy, yy - qxz - czx - dzy and zz,$$

$$(8.11)$$

where $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Omega$ if and only if

$$c \neq 0, \quad q = 0 \quad and \quad bc = ad.$$
 (8.12)

Furthermore, two algebras from this family with parameters a, b, c, d, p, q and a', b', c', d', p', q' are isomorphic if and only if $(a', b', c', d', p', q') = (ta, \frac{tb}{s}, tsc, td, tp, tsq)$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we again use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps yyy and yyx, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_1 = -czxy - qxzy + cyzx + dyzy + q(a-d)zxz + qbzyz;$$

$$g_2 = -czxx - qxzx + ayzx + byzy + p(a-d)zxz + pbzyz.$$
(8.13)

Case 1: c = 0.

By Lemma 2.11, $A \notin \Omega$ if q = 0. Assume that $q \neq 0$. By means of scaling, we can turn q into 1: q = 1. Plugging c = 0 and q = 1 into (8.11) and (8.13), we see that the defining relations of A are yx - pxz - azx - bzy, yy - xz - dzy and zz, while the degree 3 elements of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A are -xzy + dyzy + (a-d)zxz + bzyz and -xzx + ayzx + byzy + p(a-d)zxz + pbzyz. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 2 elements r_1 and r_2 arising from the overlaps xzyy and xzyx (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_j (we assume q = 1) are as follows:

$r_1 = (d-a)yzxz - byzyz + (b+ad-d^2)zyzy \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = (b+a^2-ad)zyzx + ((b-pd)(a-d)-pb)zyzy.$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms yx, yy, zz, xzy and xzx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. That is, the rank of the matrix S of coefficients of r_1 and r_2 is 1:

rk S = 1, where
$$S = \begin{pmatrix} d-a & -b & 0 & b+d(a-d) \\ 0 & 0 & b+a(a-d) & (b-pd)(a-d)-pb \end{pmatrix}$$
.

It is a routine exercise to see that S has rank 1 only if p = a and b = -a(a - d). Now the defining relations of A are yx - axz - azx + a(a - d)zy, yy - xz - dzy and zz. A direct Gröbner basis computation shows that for generic a, d, dim $A_5 = 22$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all a, d. Hence in Case 1, $A \notin \Omega$.

Case 1: $c \neq 0$.

By means of scaling, we can turn c into 1: c = 1. One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of other parameters are. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are zxy and zxx respectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 2 elements r_1 and r_2 arising from the overlaps zzxx and zzxy (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_j (we assume c = 1) are as follows:

$r_1 {=} {-} qzxzx {+} azyzx {+} bzyzy \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 {=} {-} qzxzy {+} zyzx {+} dzyzy.$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms yx, yy, zz, zxy and zxx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. If $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. The latter happens precisely when r_1 is a scalar multiple of r_2 . This, in turn, happens if and only if q = 0 and b = ad, which corresponds to q = 0 and bc = ad before scaling. Thus dim $A_4 = 15$ if and only if (8.12) is satisfied. In this case, computing the next step of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A. The leading monomials of the members of the basis are yx, yy, zz, zxy, zxx and zyzx. This allows to compute the Hilbert series of A and confirm that $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$. Thus, $A \in \Omega$ if and only if (8.12) is satisfied.

Now standard argument shows that only scalings transform the space of quadratic relations R for any given algebra from (8.11) to another space like that. Applying scalings to relations in (8.11), we easily confirm the required isomorphism statement.

Lemma 8.8. Let A be a quadratic algebra given by generators x, y, z and relations (8.11). Then $A \in \Omega$ if and only if A is isomorphic to an algebra given by generators x, y, z and relations

$$yx - pxz - azx - abzy, \ yy - zx - bzy \ and \ zz, \tag{8.14}$$

where $\alpha, a, b, p \in \mathbb{K}$. Furthermore, two algebras from this family with parameters a, b, p and a', b', p' are isomorphic if and only if (a', b', p') = (ta, tb, tp) for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.7, $A \in \Omega$ if and only if $c \neq 0$, q = 0 and bc = ad. A scaling allows to turn c into 1, which brings A into the subfamily (8.14). By the same criterion for A to be in Ω , every algebra given by the relations (8.14) is in Ω . As for isomorphisms, the result immediately follows from the isomorphism part of Lemma 8.7.

Lemma 8.9. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations yy and yx. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R9–R12) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R9–R12) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, yx+f and yy+g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a linear substitution $x \to x + sz$, $y \to y + tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the yz coefficients of f and g. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations (8.11). By Lemma 8.8, A is isomorphic to an algebra given by relations (8.14). If $b \neq 0$, then a scaling turns b into 1 and we have an algebra from (R9). If b = 0 and $a \neq 0$, a scaling turns a into 1, while keeping the equality b = 0 and we have an algebra from (R10). If a = b = 0 and $p \neq 0$, a scaling turns p into 1, while keeping the equality a = b = 0 and we have the algebra (R11). Finally, if a = b = p = 0, we already have the algebra (R12). Finally, Lemma 8.8 immediately implies that algebras in (R9–R12) belong to Ω and are pairwise non-isomorphic. They belong to Ω^- by Lemma 1.13.

Lemma 8.10. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations yy and xy. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R13–R16) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R13–R16) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. This lemma is obviously equivalent to Lemma 8.9. One just has to pass to the opposite multiplication. \Box

9 Cases $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy, yx\}$

Lemma 9.1. Let $A = A^a$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - azx - zy, yx - xz and zz with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. If for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + a + \ldots + a^k = 0$, then $A \notin \Omega$. On the other hand, if $1 + a + \ldots + a^k \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A \in \Omega^-$. Furthermore, the algebras A^a for $a \in \mathbb{K}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. The isomorphism statement is easy and routine. We have done similar verifications many times now. The fact that $A \in \Omega^-$ whenever $A \in \Omega$ follows from Lemma 1.13. It remains to prove that $A \in \Omega$ if and only if $1 + a + \ldots + a^k \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We start by a substitution, which turns the defining relations into a more convenient form. After swapping x and z, the defining relations take the form xx, yz - zx and xy + axz - zy. Now we perform an extra sub $x \to x$, $y \to y - az$ and $z \to z$, which shows that A is isomorphic to the algebra B given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xy - zy + azz, yz - zx - azz \text{ and } xx.$$

$$(9.1)$$

We shall compute the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B with respect to the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. For the sake of convenience, we denote:

$$r_{-1} = 0, r_1 = 1 \text{ and } r_k = 1 + a + \ldots + a^k \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall prove inductively the following statement:

 $(G_k) \text{ If } r_j \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k \text{, then the complete list of the degree up to } 2k+3 \text{ elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of } B \text{ consists of } yz - zx - azz, xz^{2j}x, xz^{2j}y - \frac{ar_{j-1}}{r_j}xz^{2j+1} - \frac{1}{r_j}z^{2j+1}y + \frac{a}{r_j}z^{2j+2}, xz^{2j+1}y - axz^{2j+2} \text{ and } xz^{2j+1}x + \frac{a^j(a-1)}{r_j}xz^{2j+2} - \frac{1}{r_j}z^{2j+2}x \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq k.$

We start with the basis of induction. The overlap xxx resolves (produces no Gröbner basis elements of degree 3). The overlap xyz produces $xzx+(a-1)xz^{2j+2}-z^{2j+2}x$, while the only remaining overlap xxyyields xzy-axzz. Thus the complete list of the degree up to 3 elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B consists of the defining relations together with $xzx+(a-1)xz^{2j+2}-z^{2j+2}x$ and xzy-axzz. This is exactly the statement (G_0). Now assume that (G_k) holds. We shall verify (G_{k+1}). According to (G_k), we already know the members of the basis of degrees up to 2k+3. The only degree 2k+4 overlaps are $xxz^{2k+1}x$, $xxz^{2k+1}y$, $xz^{2k+1}xx$, $xz^{2k+1}xy$ and $xz^{2k+1}yz$. Each of $xxz^{2k+1}x$, $xz^{2k+1}xx$ and $xz^{2k+1}yz$ produce the same element $xz^{2k+2}x$ of the reduced Gröbner basis, while $xxz^{2k+1}y$ resolves. Finally, $xz^{2k+1}xy$ produces

$$r_{k+1}xz^{2k+2}y - ar_kxz^{2k+3} - z^{2k+3}y + az^{2k+4}.$$
(9.2)

Provided $r_{k+1} \neq 0$, we get $xz^{2k+2}y - \frac{ar_k}{r_{k+1}}xz^{2k+3} - \frac{1}{r_{k+1}}z^{2k+3}y + \frac{a}{r_{k+1}}z^{2k+4}$. Now at degree 2k+4 we have just two members of the reduced Gröbner basis: $xz^{2k+2}x$ and $xz^{2k+2}y - \frac{ar_k}{r_{k+1}}xz^{2k+3} - \frac{1}{r_{k+1}}z^{2k+3}y + \frac{a}{r_{k+1}}z^{2k+4}$. The only degree 2k+5 overlaps are $xxz^{2k+2}x$, $xxz^{2k+2}y$, $xz^{2k+2}xx$, $xz^{2k+2}xy$ and $xz^{2k+2}yz$. Now $xxz^{2k+2}x$ and $xz^{2k+2}xx$ resolve, both $xxz^{2k+2}y$ and $xz^{2k+2}xy$ produce $xz^{2k+3}y - axz^{2k+4}$, while $xz^{2k+2}yz$ produces $xz^{2k+3}x + \frac{a^{k+1}(a-1)}{r_{k+1}}xz^{2k+4} - \frac{1}{r_{k+1}}z^{2k+4}x$. This proves (G_{k+1}) and concludes the inductive proof of (G_j) .

Now assume that $1 + a + \ldots + a^k \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (that is, $r_k \neq 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then the assumption of each (G_k) is satisfied and (G_k) produce the complete reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B. The set of its leading monomials consists of yz, xz^kx and xz^ky with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. As a result, the corresponding normal words are $z^m y^n$ and $z^m y^n xz^j$ for $k, m, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. One easily sees that the number of these words of degree k is $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ and therefore $H_B = H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$. Hence $A \in \Omega$.

It remains to deal with the case when $r_j = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can pick the minimal $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $r_{k+1} = 0$. Then the assumptions of (G_k) are satisfied. By (G_k) the complete list of the degree up to 2k+3 elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of B consists of yz - zx - azz, $xz^{2j}x$, $xz^{2j}y - \frac{ar_{j-1}}{r_j}xz^{2j+1} - \frac{1}{r_j}z^{2j+1}y + \frac{a}{r_j}z^{2j+2}$, $xz^{2j+1}y - axz^{2j+2}$ and $xz^{2j+1}x + \frac{a^j(a-1)}{r_j}xz^{2j+2} - \frac{1}{r_j}z^{2j+2}x$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. We already know that the degree 2k + 4 part of the basis consists of $xz^{2k+2}x$ and (9.2). Using the equation $r_{k+1} = 0$, we can (up to scaling) rewrite (9.2) as $xz^{2k+3} - z^{2k+3}x + az^{2k+4}$. Continuing the process, we obtain the degrees 2k + 5, 2k + 6 and 2k + 7 parts of the Gröbner basis, which comprise $z^{2k+3}(yy - azy - azx)$ and $zxz^{2k+2}yy - a^2zxz^{2k+4} - az^{2k+4}yx + a^2z^{2k+5}x$ (one element in each of degrees 2k + 5 and 2k + 6 and nothing of degree 2k + 7). Now it is easy to verify that $\dim A_{2k+7} = \frac{(2k+8)(2k+9)}{2} + 1$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$.

Lemma 9.2. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations yx and xy. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R17–R19) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R17–R19) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. Algebras in (R19) are pairwise non-isomorphic by Lemma 9.1. The two algebras from (R17) and (R18) are easily seen to be non-isomorphic to each other and to any of the algebras from (R19). Thus we can forget about isomorphisms and concentrate on A.

The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, xy + f and yx + g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a substitution $x \to x+sz$, $y \to y+tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz coefficient of f and the yz coefficient of g. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xy - pyz - azx - bzy, yx - qxz - czx - dzy \text{ and } zz,$$

$$(9.3)$$

where $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$.

Note also that A^{opp} is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra C given by the generators x, y, z and the relations yx - pzy - axz - byz, xy - qzx - cxz - dyz and zz. After the sub $x \to x + bz$, $y \to y + cz$ and $z \to z$, the relations of C take the shape xy - dyz - qzx + bzy, yx - axz + czx - pzy and zz. Hence

$$A^{\text{opp}}$$
 is isomorphic to an algebra given by (9.3) with the
parameters $(q, -b, -c, p, d, a)$ in place of (a, b, c, d, p, q) (9.4)

Throughout the proof we again use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps yxy and xyx, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_1 = pyyz - qxzy + ayzx + byzy - dzyy - pczyz \text{ and } g_2 = qxxz + cxzx + dxzy - pyzx - azxx - qbzxz.$$
(9.5)

Case 1: $pq \neq 0$.

Scaling x and y, we can turn p and q into 1: p = q = 1. One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of other parameters are. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are yyz and xxz respectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 2 elements r_1 and r_2 arising from the overlaps xxzz and yyzz (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_j (we assume p = q = 1) are as follows:

 $r_1 = -cxzxz - dxzyz + yzxz - aczxzx - adzxzy + azyzx; \quad r_2 = xzyz - ayzxz - byzyz + dzxzy - adzyzx - bdzyzy.$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms yx, xy, zz, xxz and yyz of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. It is easy to observe that r_1 and r_2 are linearly independent if $b \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. This yields b = c = 0. Now L has dimension 1 precisely when the vectors (-d, 1, -ad, a) and (1, -a, d, -ad) are proportional. The latter occurs exactly when $a^2 = d^2 = ad = 1$. That is, (a, d) = (1, 1) or (a, d) = (-1, 1) and we end up with two algebras from (R17) and (R18). After checking that in the case p = q = 1, b = c = 0 and $(a, d) \in \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$, the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A is finite and consists of defining relations, g_1 , g_2 and r_2 , one gets the complete set of leading monomials of the members of the basis: xy, yx, zz, xxz, yyz and xzyz. This allows to compute the Hilbert series of A and confirm that $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$. Thus, indeed, $A \in \Omega$ for A from (R17) and (R18). By Lemma 1.13, $A \in \Omega^-$ for A from (R17) and (R18).

Case 2: $ad \neq 0$.

According to (9.4), A^{opp} falls under the jurisdiction of Case 1. Since both algebras in (R17) and (R18) are isomorphic to their own opposites, in this case we again get only algebras from (R17) and (R18).

Case 3: ad = pq = 0.

There are options here. We have either a = p = 0, or q = d = 0, or p = d = 0, or q = a = 0.

Case 3a: a = p = 0.

In this case the defining relations of A are xy - bzy, yx - qxz - czx - dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = -qxzy + byzy - dzyy$ and $g_2 = qxxz + cxzx + dxzy - qbzxz$. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 now are xzy and xxz respectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 3 elements r_1 , r_2 and r_3 arising from the overlaps xxzz, xzyx and xxzy (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_i (we assume q = 1) are as follows:

```
r_1 = -cxzxz - bdyzyz + d^2zyyz; \quad r_2 = -xzxz + byzxz - dczyzx - d^2zyzy; \quad r_3 = byyzy - dyzyy - (d+bc)zyzy.
```

Note that there are exactly 17 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms yx, xy, zz, xzy and xxz of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 , r_2 and r_3 is exactly 17 - 15 = 2. One easily sees that r_1 , r_2 and r_3 are linearly independent provided $d \neq 0$. Thus d = 0. Again, it is easily observed that r_1 , r_2 and r_3 are linearly independent if $bc \neq 0$. Hence bc = 0. Next, if b = d = 0, then L is one-dimensional. Hence $b \neq 0$. The only option left is c = d = 0 and $b \neq 0$. Now the defining relations of A are xy - bzy, yx - xz and zz with $b \in \mathbb{K}^*$. After the sub $x \to x$, $y \to \frac{y}{b}$ and $z \to \frac{z}{b}$, the space of defining relations is spanned by xy - zy, yx - xz and zz and we have an algebra from (R19) corresponding to the parameter a equal 0.

It remains to deal with the case q = 0. That is, a = p = q = 0. Then the defining relations of A are xy - bzy, yx - czx - dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = byzy - dzyy$ and $g_2 = cxzx + dxzy$. If $bc \neq 0$, we can turn b and c into 1 by scaling the variables. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are now xzx and yzy. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis now is spanned by dxzyy and d(zyzx + dzyzy). If d = 0, this yields dim $A_4 = 16$, while if $d \neq 0$, we have dim $A_4 = 14$. Since both are incompatible with $A \in \Omega$, we must have bc = 0. If b = 0 and $c \neq 0$, we turn c into 1 by scaling. Then the defining relations of A are xy, yx - zx - dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = -dzyy$ and $g_2 = xzx + dxzy$. If

d = 0, we have dim $A_3 = 11$. Hence $d \neq 0$. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis now is spanned by xzyy and zyzx + dzyzy yielding dim $A_4 = 14$. Since $A \in \Omega$, this can not occur. If $b \neq 0$ and c = 0, we turn b into 1 by scaling. The defining relations of A are xy - zy, yx - dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = yzy - dzyy$ and $g_2 = dxzy$. Again dim $A_3 = 11$ if d = 0. Hence $d \neq 0$. Computing the degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis, we get dim $A_4 = 16$, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. Finally, if b = c = 0, then the defining relations of A are xy, yx - dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = -dzyy$ and $g_2 = dxzy$. If d = 0, dim $A_3 = 12$, while if $d \neq 0$, then dim $A_4 = 16$. Since both are incompatible with $A \in \Omega$, we conclude that the case q = 0 does not occur.

Case 3b: q = d = 0.

This case is obtained from Case 3a by the sub swapping x and y. Thus no new algebras occur here. **Case 3c:** p = d = 0.

If q = 0 or a = 0, we fall into the already considered Cases 3a or 3b. Thus we can assume that $qa \neq 0$. By scaling, we can turn q into 1 (can not normalize a at the same time). In this case the defining relations of A are xy - azx - bzy, yx - xz - czx and zz with $a \neq 0$, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = -xzy + ayzx + byzy$ and $g_2 = xxz + cxzx - azxx - bzxz$. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 now are xzy and xxz respectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 3 elements r_1 , r_2 and r_3 arising from the overlaps xxzz, yxxz and xzyx (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_i are as follows:

 $r_1 = c(xzxz + azxzx); \quad r_2 = ayzxx - xzxz + byzxz; \quad r_3 = ayyzx + byyzy - aczyzx - bczyzy.$

Note that there are exactly 17 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms yx, yy, zz, xzy and xxz of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 , r_2 and r_3 is exactly 17 - 15 = 2. That is, the rank of the matrix S of the coefficients of r_j must be 2:

$$\mathbf{rk} S = 2, \text{ where } S = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & c & 0 & ac & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & -1 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -ac & -bc \end{array}\right).$$

Recall that $a \neq 0$. If $c \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{rk} S = 3$. This leaves only the case c = 0 in which the rank of S is indeed 2. Then the defining relations of A are xy - azx - bzy, yx - xz and zz. If b = 0, a direct Gröbner basis computation shows that dim $A_5 = 22$ for generic a. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all a, contradicting $A \in \Omega$. Hence $b \neq 0$. An extra scaling turns b into 1. Then the defining relations of A are xy - azx - zy, yx - xz and zz with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. By Lemma 9.1, we fall into (R19) and $A \in \Omega^-$.

Case 3d: q = a = 0.

This case is obtained from Case 3c by swapping x and y. Thus no new algebras occur here. The proof is now complete.

9.1 Case $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xx, yy\}$

Lemma 9.3. Let $A = A(V,R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations xx and yy. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R20) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the isomorphism conditions in (R20) are satisfied and all algebras in (R20) belong to Ω^- .

Proof. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, xx+f and yy+g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a substitution $x \to x+sz$, $y \to y+tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz coefficient of f and the yz coefficient of g. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xx - pyz - azx - bzy, yy - qxz - czx - dzy \text{ and } zz,$$

$$(9.6)$$

where $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$.

Note also that A^{opp} is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra C given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - pzy - axz - byz, yy - qzx - cxz - dyz and zz. After the sub $x \to x + az$, $y \to y + dz$ and $z \to z$, the relations of C take the shape xx - byz + azx - pzy, yy - cxz - qzx + dzy and zz. Hence

$$A^{\text{opp}}$$
 is isomorphic to an algebra given by (9.6) with the
parameters $(-a, p, q, -d, b, c)$ in place of (a, b, c, d, p, q) (9.7)

Another useful observation is that swapping x and y preserves the shape of the relations and changes the parameters by $(a, b, c, d, p, q) \rightarrow (d, c, b, a, q, p)$

Throughout the proof we again use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps xxx and yyy, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members:

$$q_1 = pxyz + axzx + bxzy - pyzx - bzyx - pazyz; \quad q_2 = qyxz - qxzy + cyzx + dyzy - czxy - qdzxz. \tag{9.8}$$

Case 1: $pq \neq 0$.

By a scaling, we can turn p and q into -1: p = q = -1. One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of other parameters are. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are xyz and yxzrespectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 2 elements r_1 and r_2 arising from the overlaps xyzz and yxzz (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_i (we assume p = q = 1) are as follows:

$r_1 = axzxz + bxzyz + yzxz - bzxzy - bczyzx - bdzyzy; \quad r_2 = xzyz + cyzxz + dyzyz - aczxzx - bczxzy - czyzx.$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yy, zz, xyz and yxz of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 and r_2 is exactly 16 - 15 = 1. It is easy to observe that r_1 and r_2 are linearly independent if $a \neq 0$ or $d \neq 0$. This yields a = d = 0. Now L has dimension 1 precisely when the vectors (b, 1, b, bc) and (1, c, bc, c) are proportional. The latter occurs exactly when bc = 1. That is, $b = -\alpha$ and $c = -\frac{1}{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then the defining relations of A take the form $xx + yz + \alpha zy$, $yy + xz + \frac{1}{\alpha}zx$ and zz with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ landing us into (R20). Swapping of x and y provides an isomorphism between two such algebra parameters α and $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Observing that the shape (9.6) of relations is preserved only by scaling of the variables and scaling combined with swapping of x and y. This allows to easily verify that there are no other isomorphic algebras in (R20) than indicated in Theorem 1.11. Next, as soon as we have gotten into (R20) the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A became finite consisting of defining relations, g_1 , g_2 and r_2 . The complete set of leading monomials of the members of the basis is: xx, yy, zz, xyz, yxz and xzyz. This allows to compute the Hilbert series of A and confirm that $H_A = (1 - t)^{-3}$. Thus $A \in \Omega$. By Lemma 1.13, $A \in \Omega^-$.

Case 2: $bc \neq 0$.

According to (9.7), A^{opp} falls under the jurisdiction of Case 1. Since the class (R20) is closed under passing to the opposite multiplication, in this case we again get only algebras from (R20).

Case 3: b = p = 0 or c = q = 0.

In this case Lemma 2.11 yields $A \notin \Omega$. This contradiction shows that the case does not occur. What is left to consider are the cases p = c = 0 and q = b = 0.

Case 4: q = b = 0.

If p = 0 or c = 0, we fall into the already considered Cases 3. Thus we can assume that $pc \neq 0$. By scaling, we can turn p and c into 1. In this case the defining relations of A are xx-yz-azx, yy-zx-dzy and zz, while g_1 and g_2 take the form $g_1 = xyz + axzx - yzx - azyz$ and $g_2 = yzx + dyzy - zxy$. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 now are xyz and yzx respectively. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner

basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 3 elements r_1 , r_2 and r_3 arising from the overlaps xyzz, yyzx and yzxx (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_j are as follows:

 $r_1 = axzxz + dyzyz + azxzx + dzyzy; \quad r_2 = zxzx - d^2zyzy; \quad r_3 = -zxyx + dyzyx + yzyz.$

Note that there are exactly 17 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yy, zz, xyz and yzx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 , r_2 and r_3 is exactly 17 - 15 = 2. That is, the rank of the matrix S of the coefficients of r_j must be 2:

$$\mathbf{rk} S = 2, \text{ where } S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & d & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -d^2 \\ 0 & 0 & a & d & a & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $d \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{rk} S = 3$. Hence d = 0. Now if $a \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{rk} S = 3$. Hence a = 0. Then the defining relations of A are xx - yz, yy - zx and zz. A direct Gröbner basis computation shows that dim $A_6 = 31$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$. Hence the case does not occur.

Case 5: p = c = 0.

This case is obtained from Case 4 by the sub swapping x and y.

9.2 Case $R_0 = \text{span} \{xy - yx - yy\}$

Lemma 9.4. Let $A = A^{a,b,p,q}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - yx - yy - azx - bzy, xz - pzx - qzy and zz with $a, b, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$, while $B = B^{a,b,q}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - yx - yy - azx - bzy, zx - qyz and zz with $a, b, q \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Omega$ if and only if $A \in \Omega^-$ if and only if $q + np \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Similarly, $B \in \Omega$ if and only if $B \in \Omega^-$ if and only if $q \neq 0$. Furthermore, $A^{a,b,p,q}$ and $A^{a',b',p',q'}$ are isomorphic if and only if (a',b',p',q') = (ta,tb,p,q) with $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $B^{a,b,q}$ and $B^{a',b',q'}$ are isomorphic if and only if (a',b',p',q') = (ta,tb,p,q) with $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and none of algebras A is isomorphic to an algebra B.

Proof. Any isomorphism between two of algebras A, two of algebras B or an algebra A and an algebra B must send z to its scalar multiple (indeed zz is the only square in the space of defining relations up to a scalar multiple). The same isomorphism, after z is factored out, must preserve xy - yx - yy up to a scalar multiple. Thus the substitution facilitating such an isomorphism must be of the form $x \to ux + \alpha y + \beta z, y \to uy + \gamma z, z \to vz$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. Now the isomorphism statements of the lemma are easily verified.

It remains to deal with the Hilbert series statement. First, we deal with algebras A. We use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $q + jp \neq 0$ for $0 \leq j < k$, then we can easily compute the members of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degrees up to k + 3. Indeed, the only degree 3 overlap other than zzz (this one resolves producing nothing) is xzz. It resolves producing the member qzyz of the ideal of relations. If k > 0, then $q \neq 0$ and zyz comprises the degree 3 part of the said basis. The only degree 4 overlaps are zzyz, zyzz and xzyz. The first two resolve to 0, while the last produces (q + p)zyyz. If k > 1, then $q + p \neq 0$ and zyyz comprises the degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis. Proceeding in the same manner, we find that the the members of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degrees up to k + 2 are the defining relations together with zyz, zyyz, \ldots , zy^kz . If $q + kp \neq 0$, the only degree k + 3 member is $zy^{k+1}z$, while if q + kp = 0, then there are no degree k + 3 members.

Now observe that the only monomials, which do not contain any of xy, xz and $zy^j z$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as submonomials are $y^m x^n$ and $y^j z y^m x^n$ with $j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The number of such words of degree n is exactly $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$. Thus if $q + kp \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$ and $A \in \Omega$. On the other hand, if k is the smallest non-negative integer satisfying q + kp = 0, then dim $A_{k+3} = \frac{(k+4)(k+5)}{2} + 1$ (the preceding dimensions match that of an algebra from Ω) and therefore $A \notin \Omega$. Since zz is a relation of A, Lemma 1.13 yields that $A \in \Omega^-$ provided $A \in \Omega$.

Now we deal with algebras B. One way is to repeat the above process using the right-to-left degree lexicographical ordering instead. However, there is a quicker way. If q = 0, then $B \notin \Omega$ according to Lemma 2.11. Thus we are left with showing that $B \in \Omega$ provided $q \neq 0$ (non-Koszulity and membership on Ω^- are dealt with in exactly the same way as with algebras A). Clearly, B with the opposite multiplication B^{opp} is isomorphic to the quadratic algebra C given by the generators x, y, z and the relations yx - xy - yy - axz - byz, xz - qzy and zz with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$, $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ (each monomial in the defining relations of B is reversed). After the sub $x \to x$, $y \to -y$ and $z \to z$, the relations of C take the form xy - yx - yy - axz + byz, xz + qzy and zz. We follow up with the sub $x \to x + (b - a)z$, $y \to y + az$, $z \to z$, which turns the defining relations of C into xy - yx - yy - azx + (b - a)z, $y \to y + az$, $z \to z$, which turns the defining relations of C into xy - yx - yy - azx + (b - a)z, so x + qzy and zz. These are the defining relations of one of the algebras A, which we have already dealt with. By the criterion of the PBW_S condition for algebras A, verified in the first part of the proof, $C \in \Omega$. Since B and C share the Hilbert series, $B \in \Omega$.

Lemma 9.5. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by one relation xy - yx - yy. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R21–R26) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, the algebras in (R17–R19) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. The fact that algebras in (R17–R19) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic follows directly from Lemma 9.4. Thus we can concentrate on the algebras A. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, xy - yx - yy + f and g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}, g \neq 0$.

Case 1: xz features in g with non-zero coefficient.

A sub $x \to x + uy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with an appropriately chosen $u \in \mathbb{K}$ kills the yz coefficient in g. After doing this, we perform a sub $x \to x + vz$, $y \to y + wz$ with appropriately chosen $v, w \in \mathbb{K}$ to kill the xz and yz coefficients in f. Now the defining relations of A take the form xy - yx - yy - azx - bzy, xz - pzx - qzy and zz, where $a, b, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$. If $a \neq 0$, Lemma 9.4 implies that A is isomorphic to an algebra given by relations of the same shape only with a = 1. By Lemma 9.4, A is now isomorphic to an algebra from (R21). If a = 0 and $b \neq 0$, same argument shows that A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R22). Similarly, if a = b = 0, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R23).

Case 2: xz does not feature in g.

If zx does not feature in g as well, Lemma 2.11 yields that $A \notin \Omega$, providing a contradiction. Thus zx does feature in g with non-zero coefficient. A sub $x \to x + uy$, $y \to y$, $z \to z$ with an appropriately chosen $u \in \mathbb{K}$ kills the zy coefficient in g. Now if yz does not feature in g, Lemma 2.11 kicks in again furnishing a contradiction. Hence yz features in g with non-zero coefficient. After an appropriate scaling, the defining relations of A take the form xy - yx - yy - azx - bzy, zx - pyz and zz, where $p, a, b \in \mathbb{K}, p \neq 0$. If $a \neq 0$, Lemma 9.4 ensures that A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R24). Same lemma implies that A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R25) if a = 0 and $b \neq 0$ and to an algebra from (R29) if a = b = 0. The proof is now complete.

9.3 Case $R_0 = \text{span} \{xy - \alpha yx\}$ with $\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1$

Lemma 9.6. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $xy - \alpha yx - azx - bzy$, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz with $a, b, s, p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then $A \in \Omega$ if and only if $A \in \Omega^-$ if and only if $sr - \alpha^n pq \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the proof in Lemma 9.4 of the condition for membership of A (from the said lemma) in Ω . The only difference is that the coefficient popping up in front of $zy^{k+1}z$ when the overlap xzy^kz is considered is now $sr - \alpha^k pq$ (instead of q + kp of Lemma 9.4).

Lemma 9.7. Let $A = A^{\eta}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations $xy - \alpha yx - azx - bzy$, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz with $\eta = (\alpha, a, b, s, p, q, r) \in \mathbb{K}^7$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1\}$. Then A^{η} and $A^{\eta'}$ are isomorphic if and only if η and η' belong to the same orbit of the group action generated by the involution $(\alpha, a, b, s, p, q, r) \mapsto (\frac{1}{\alpha}, -\frac{b}{\alpha}, -\frac{a}{\alpha}, p, s, r, q)$ and the maps $(\alpha, a, b, s, p, q, r) \mapsto (\alpha, t_1a, t_2b, t_1t_3s, t_2t_3p, t_1t_3q, t_2t_3r)$ with $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof. Any isomorphism between two algebras A must send z to its scalar multiple (zz is the only square in the space of defining relations up to a scalar multiple). The same isomorphism, after z is factored our, must send $xy - \alpha yx$ to a scalar multiple of an expression of the same shape (with different α perhaps). Thus the substitution facilitating such an isomorphism must be of the form $x \to ux + \alpha z, y \to vy + \beta z, z \to wz$ with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ or the same composed with swapping of x and y. The swapping yields the transformation of parameters (α, a, b, s, p, q, r) $\mapsto (\frac{1}{\alpha}, -\frac{b}{\alpha}, -\frac{a}{\alpha}, p, s, r, q)$. As for the first collection of subs, exactly as in Lemma 9.11, one must have $\alpha = \beta = 0$. This leaves us with scaling only, resulting in transformations of parameters of the form (α, a, b, s, p, q, r) $\mapsto (\alpha, t_1a, t_2b, t_1t_3s, t_2t_3p, t_1t_3q, t_2t_3r)$ with $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. The result follows.

Lemma 9.8. Let $A = A(V,R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by one relation $xy - \alpha yx$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $\alpha \neq 1$. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R27–R33) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, algebras with different labels are non-isomorphic, the isomorphism conditions in (R27– R33) are satisfied and all algebras in (R27–R33) belong to Ω^- .

Proof. The fact that algebras with different labels from (R27–R33) are non-isomorphic, the isomorphism conditions in (R27–R33) are satisfied and all algebras in (R27-R33) belong to Ω^- follows from Lemmas 9.6 and 9.7. Now we can focus on A. By the assumptions, R is spanned by zz, $xy - \alpha yx + f$ and g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}, g \neq 0$.

A sub $x \to x+vz, y \to y+wz, z \to z$ with appropriately chosen $v, w \in \mathbb{K}$ to kill the xz and yz coefficients in f. Now the defining relations of A take the form $xy - \alpha yx - azx - bzy$, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz, where $\alpha, a, b, s, p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}, \alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1$. Using Lemmas 9.6 and 9.7 and considering options for possible distribution of zeros among the parameters, one easily sees that A must be isomorphic to one of the algebras from (R27–R33).

9.4 Case $R_0 = \text{span} \{xy - yx\}$

Lemma 9.9. Let $A = A^{a,b}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - yx - azx - bzy, xz - zy and zz with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A^{a,b}$ and $A^{a',b'}$ are isomorphic if and only if (a',b') = (ta,tb) for some $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof. Any isomorphism between two algebras A must send z to its scalar multiple (zz is the only square in the space of defining relations up to a scalar multiple). It should also keep xz - zy in the space of relations. These two properties are satisfied only for subs of the form $x \to ux + \alpha z$, $y \to uy + \beta z$, $z \to vy$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. After applying this sub to $A^{a,b}$, the space of defining relations becomes spanned by xy - yx - tazx - tbzy, xz - zy and zz with $t = \frac{v}{u}$. The result follows.

Lemma 9.10. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by one relation xy - yx. Then A is isomorphic to to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, z and three quadratic relations from (R34–R36) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, algebras from (R34–R36) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. Lemmas 9.6 and 9.9 imply that algebras from (R34–R36) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise nonisomorphic. Now we can focus on A. By the assumptions, R is spanned by zz, xy - yx + f and g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}, g \neq 0$. A sub $x \to x + vz, y \to y + wz$ with appropriately chosen $v, w \in \mathbb{K}$ to kill the xz and yz coefficients in f. Now the defining relations of A take the form xy - yx - azx - bzy, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz, where $a, b, s, p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 9.6, we must have $sr - pq \neq 0$. It easily follows that there is a substitution of the form $x \to u_1x + u_2y + u_3z$, $y \to v_1x + v_2y + v_3z$ and $z \to wz$, which preserves the overall shape of relations and turns the second one into xz - zy. Hence the defining relations of A become xy - yx - azx - bzy, xz - zy and zz with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Now by Lemmas 9.6 and 9.9, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R34) if $a \neq 0$, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (R35) if a = 0 and $b \neq 0$ and A is isomorphic to the algebra (R36) if a = b = 0.

9.5 Case $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xy\}$

Lemma 9.11. Let $A = A^{a,b,s,p,q,r}$ be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - azx - byz, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz with $a,b,s,p,q,r \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A^{a,b,s,p,q,r}$ and $A^{a',b',s',p',q',r'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $(a',b',s',p',q',r') = (t_1a,t_2b,t_1t_3s,t_2t_3p,t_1t_3q,t_2t_3r)$ with $t_1,t_2,t_3 \in \mathbb{K}*$. That is, 6-tuples of parameters give rise to isomorphic algebras if they are in the same orbit of the action $(a,b,s,p,q,r) \mapsto (t_1a,t_2b,t_1t_3s,t_2t_3p,t_1t_3q,t_2t_3r)$ of $\mathbb{K}^* \times \mathbb{K}^* \times \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof. Any isomorphism between two algebras A must send z to its scalar multiple (zz is the only square in the space of defining relations up to a scalar multiple). The same isomorphism, after z is factored our, must preserve xy up to a scalar multiple. Thus the substitution facilitating such an isomorphism must be of the form $x \to ux + \alpha z$, $y \to vy + \beta z$, $z \to wz$ with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. Taking into account the specifics of the first relation (absence of xz and zy), one sees that our sub preserves the general shape of the space of relations only if $\alpha = \beta = 0$. This leaves us with scaling only and the verification of the desired description of isomorphic algebras A becomes trivial.

Lemma 9.12. Let A be the quadratic algebra given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xy - azx - byz, sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy and zz with $a, b, s, p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Omega$ if and only if $A \in \Omega^-$ if and only if $sr \neq 0$ and $sr - pq \neq 0$.

Proof. First, we eliminate the case s = r = 0. In this case Lemma 2.11 implies that $A \notin \Omega$ if pq = 0. Thus we can assume that s = r = 0 and $pq \neq 0$. After an appropriate scaling of the variables, the space R of defining relations of A is spanned either by xy, yz - zx and zz or by xy - zx, yz - zx and zz. In both cases an easy Gröbner basis calculation yields dim $A_4 = 17$, which is incompatible with the membership in Ω . Thus $A \notin \Omega$ if s = r = 0.

The two cases $s \neq 0$ and $r \neq 0$ are reduced to one another by passing to the opposite multiplication followed up by swapping of x and y: this procedure results in an algebra with relations of the same shape, parameters being transformed according to the rule $(a, b, s, p, q, r) \mapsto (b, a, r, q, p, s)$. Thus for the rest of the proof we can assume that $s \neq 0$. We use the left-to-right degree lexicographical ordering assuming x > y > z. The only degree 3 overlaps of the leading monomials are zzz and xzz. The first resolves, while the second results in (sr - pq)zyz. If sr - pq = 0, we have dim $A_3 = 11$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$. From now on, we assume $sr - pq \neq 0$. In this case the degree 3 part of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of zyz. The only degree 4 overlaps are zzyz, zyzz and xzyz. The first two resolve, while the third produces rzyyz. If $r = 0 \iff sr = 0$, dim $A_4 = 16$ and therefore $A \notin \Omega$. It remains to show that $A \in \Omega$ provided $sr \neq 0$ and $sr - pq \neq 0$. In this case the degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis consists of zyyz. Now we proceed inductively to show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the degree k + 2 part of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of $zy^k z$. We already have the basis of induction. Assume that $k \ge 3$ and the statement holds for all smaller k. Then the only degree k + 2 overlaps are $zzy^{k-1}z$, $zy^{k-1}zz$ and $xzy^{k-1}z$. The first two resolve, while the last is easily seen to produce $zy^k z$ (we have to use $r \neq 0$ here). Thus the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of the defining relations together with $zy^k z$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The corresponding normal words are $y^m x^n$ and $y^j z y^m x^n$ with $j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It easily follows that $H_A = (1-t)^{-3}$: the

number of normal words of degree n is $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$. By Lemma 1.13, $A \in \Omega^-$, whenever $A \in \Omega$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 9.13. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by one relation xy. Then A is isomorphic to a \mathbb{K} -algebra given by generators x, y, zand three quadratic relations from (R37–39) of Theorem 1.11. Furthermore, algebras from (R34–R36) belong to Ω^- and are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. The fact that algebras with different labels from (R27–R33) are non-isomorphic, the isomorphism conditions in (R27–R33) are satisfied and all algebras in (R27–R33) belong to Ω^- follows from Lemmas 9.12 and 9.11. Now we can focus on A. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, xy + f and g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}, g \neq 0$. A sub $x \to x + vz, y \to y + wz$ with appropriately chosen $v, w \in \mathbb{K}$ kills the xz and zy coefficients in f. Now the defining relations of A take the form $xy - ayz - bzx, \ sxz + pyz + qzx + rzy$ and zz, where $a, b, s, p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 9.12, $sr \neq 0$ and $sr - pq \neq 0$.

By scaling we can turn s into 1.

Thus we fall into (R15). The inclusion $A \in \Omega^-$, non-Koszulity of A along with the isomorphism statements follow from Lemmas 9.12 and 9.11. Usin the same lemmas and considering all options for possible distribution of zeros among the parameters, one easily sees that A must be isomorphic to one of the algebras from (R37–R39).

Note that now we have run out of algebras in the first part of Theorem 1.11, but we still have unexplored options for R_0 . This is due to the fact that the latter provide no algebras from Ω .

9.6 Case $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{yy\}$

Lemma 9.14. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by one relation yy. Then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by zz, yy + f and g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$, $g \neq 0$. If both xz and zx do not feature in g, the result follows from Lemma 2.11. Passing to the opposite multiplication does not change membership in Ω and leads to an algebra of the same shape with the xz and zx coefficients in g (among other things) swapped. Thus for the rest of the proof we can without loss of generality assume that xz features in g with non-zero coefficient. A sub $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y, z \to z$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills the yz term in g. Subtracting g with an appropriate coefficient from the first relation, we can assume that xz does not feature in f. Now if either zy does not feature in g or zx does not feature in f, the result follows from Lemma 2.11. Thus we can assume that both zy in g and zx in f have non-zero coefficients. By scaling, we can turn these coefficients into -1. Thus the defining relations of A acquire the form yy - zx - azy, xz - bzx - zy and zz with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. We use the ordering (8.1) on the monomials. The members of the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degrees three and four are easily seen to be zyz, yzx + ayzy - zxy, zxyz and zxzx + azxzy, which yields dim $A_4 = 16$. Hence $A \notin \Omega$.

9.7 Case $R_0 = \operatorname{span} \{xx - yx, yy\}$

Lemma 9.15. Let $A = A(V, R) \in \Omega$ be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations xx - yx and yy. Then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. By the assumptions, R is spanned by zz, xx - yx + f and yy + g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a substitution $x \to x + sz$, $y \to y + tz$, $z \to z$ with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz and zx coefficients of f. Then A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xx - yx - ayz - bzy, yy - pxz - qzx - cyz - dzy \text{ and } zz,$$

$$(9.9)$$

where $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$.

Then A^{opp} , being A with the opposite multiplication, is isomorphic to the algebra C given by the generators x, y, z and the relations xx - xy - azy - byz, yy - pzx - qxz - czy - dyz and zz. After the substitution $x \to x - y$, $y \to -y$ and $z \to z$, the defining relations of C take the shape xx - yx + byz + ayz, yy - qxz - pzx + (q + d)yz + (p + c)zy and zz. That is,

$$A^{\text{opp}}$$
 is isomorphic to an algebra given by (9.9) with the
parameters $(-b, -a, -q - d, -p - c, q, p)$ in place of (a, b, c, d, p, q) (9.10)

Throughout the proof we again use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps yyy and xxx, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_1 = pyxz - pxzy + qyzx + (d-c)yzy - qzxy + (qc-pd)zxz,$$

$$g_2 = xyx + axyz - pxzx + bxzy - (a+c)yzx - byzy - (b+d+q)zyx - aqzxz - a(q+d)zyz.$$
(9.11)

If p = q = 0, the result follows from Lemma 2.11. Thus we can assume that either p or q is non-zero. According to (9.10), the cases $p \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0$ are reduced to each other by passing to the opposite multiplication. Thus we can assume that $p \neq 0$. Via scaling, we can turn p into 1. The leading monomials of g_1 and g_2 are now yxz and xyx. One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of other parameters are. The degree 4 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations is spanned by 3 elements r_1 , r_2 and r_3 arising from the overlaps yxzz, xyxz and xyxx (other overlaps resolve). The explicit formulae for r_j (we assume p = 1) are as follows:

$$\begin{split} r_1 = & xzyz - qyzxz + (c-d)yzyz + qzxyz; \\ r_2 = & qxyzx - (c-d)xyzy - qxzxy + qyzxy + (qc-d)xzxz - (b+c)xzyz + (a+d)yzxz \\ & + (b-c(c-d))yzyz - qczxyz - q^2zxzx + (b+q(c-d))zxzy - q(b+d)zyzx + ((b+d)(c-d)-b)zyzy; \\ r_3 = - & (a+c)xyzx - bxyzy - (q+b+d)xzyx + (q+a+b+d)yzyx - qaxzxz + a(1-d-q)xzyz + a(q+a+c)yzyz \\ & + & qazxyz + (b+q(a+c))zxzx + qbzxzy + (d(a+c)+b(c-1))zyzx + b(b+d)zyzy. \end{split}$$

Note that there are exactly 17 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yy, zz, yxz and xyx of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3.

Assume the contrary. That is, $A \in \Omega$. Then we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1 , r_2 and r_3 is exactly 17 - 15 = 2.

Case 1: $q \neq 0$.

In this case yzxy features in r_2 with non-zero coefficient and does not feature in each of r_1 or r_3 . Since dim L = 2, we now have that the span of r_1 and r_3 is one-dimensional. Now yzxz features in r_3 with non-zero coefficient and does not feature in r_1 . Hence we must have $r_1 = 0$. This only happens if a = b = c = 0 and d = -q. Then the defining relations of A acquire the form xx - yx, yy - xz + dzx - dzy and zz with $d \in \mathbb{K}$. Using Gröbner basis technique, one easily sees that in this case for generic d, dim $A_5 = 22$. By Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for all q, contradicting $A \in \Omega$.

Case 2: q = 0.

In this case it is easy to observe that r_1 , r_2 and r_3 are linearly independent if $b \neq 0$ or if b = 0 and $d \neq 0$ or if b = d = 0 and $a \neq 0$ or if b = d = a = 0 and $c \neq 0$. This only leases us the case a = b = c = d = q = 0 in which case $r_1 = r_2 = 0$ and L is one-dimensional. This contradiction completes the proof.

9.8 Case $R_0 = \text{span} \{xx - \alpha xy - yy, yx\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$

This is the most technically annoying case. To add an insult to injury, it turns out that there are no algebras from Ω in it. The plan of action is the following. We represent algebras in this case as a multiparametric family of quadratic algebras and observe that we always have dim $A_3 = 10$. Using Gröbner basis technique, we identify the algebras of the family satisfying dim $A_4 = 15$. These form several one-parametric families of quadratic algebras. However, members of each of them satisfy dim $A_5 > 21$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$. We shall use the order (8.1) on the monomials. Anyone curious can try to do the same using the degree-lexicographical ordering to see how much messier things get.

Lemma 9.16. Let A = A(V, R) be a quadratic algebra such that dim $V = \dim R = 3$ and with respect to some basis x, y, z in $V, zz \in R$ and the quadratic algebra B = A/I with I being the ideal generated by z, is given by the relations $xy - \alpha yx - yy$ and yy with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$. Then $A \notin \Omega$.

Proof. Assume the contrary: $A \in \Omega$. The assumptions imply that R is spanned by $zz, xy - \alpha yx - yy + f$ and yy + g with $f, g \in \text{span} \{xz, zx, yz, zy\}$. Using a linear substitution, which leaves z intact and replaces x and y by x + sz and y + tz respectively with appropriate $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can kill the xz and yzcoefficients of f. After this substitution, A is given by the generators x, y, z and the relations

$$xx - \alpha xy - yy - azx - bzy, \ yx - pxz - qyz - czx - dzy \ \text{and} \ zz, \tag{9.12}$$

where $\alpha, a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$.

Throughout the proof we will use the order (8.1) on x, y, z monomials. Resolving the overlaps yxx and xxx, we see that the degree 3 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of two members

$$g_{1} = yyy - pxzx + \alpha pxzy - (q-a)yzx + (\alpha q+b)yzy + (\alpha d-c)zyy - pdzxz - qdzyz,$$

$$g_{2} = (\alpha^{2}+1)xyy - \alpha(q+\alpha p)xyz - (q+\alpha p)yyz + (\alpha p+a-\alpha c)xzx + (b-\alpha^{2}p-\alpha d)xzy + (\alpha q-\alpha a-c)yzx - (\alpha^{2}q+\alpha b+d)yzy - (\alpha^{2}d-\alpha b-\alpha c+a)zyy - p(\alpha a-\alpha d+b+c)zxz - (\alpha pb-\alpha qd+pd+qb)zyz.$$

One easily sees that dim $A_3 = 10$ regardless what the values of the parameters are. There are 6 degree 4 overlaps xyyx, xyyy, yyyy, yyyy, yxyy, xxyy, yxyy. The members of the ideal of relations obtained from the last two overlaps always belong to the linear span of the ones obtained from the first four overlaps. We denote these r_1, r_2, r_3 and r_4 respectively. The explicit formulae for r_i are as follows:

- $r_{1} = (\alpha(q+\alpha p) (\alpha^{2}+1)c)xyzx (\alpha^{2}+1)dxyzy + (q+\alpha p)yyzx + \alpha(\alpha c \alpha p a)xzxy + (\alpha c \alpha p a)xzyy + \alpha(\alpha a \alpha q + c)yzxy + (\alpha a \alpha q + c)yzyy + (\alpha^{2}p^{2} + \alpha p d p b (\alpha^{2}+1)pc \alpha q c + \alpha p q + q a)xzxz (\alpha^{2}+1)pdxzyz + (\alpha^{2}pq + \alpha p b + p d \alpha q a + \alpha q^{2} q c)yzxz + p(b+\alpha a \alpha d + c)zxzx + (pd + \alpha p b + q b \alpha q d + a c \alpha b c \alpha c^{2} + \alpha^{2}cd)zyzx + (ad \alpha b d \alpha c d + \alpha^{2}d^{2})zyzy,$
- $r_{2}=(\alpha^{2}+1)(a-q-\alpha p)xyzx+(\alpha(\alpha^{2}+2)(q+\alpha p)+(\alpha^{2}+1)b)xyzy-(\alpha^{2}+1)pyyzx+(\alpha(\alpha^{2}+2)p+q)yyzy+(\alpha c-\alpha p-a)xzxy +(\alpha^{2}p+\alpha d-b-(\alpha^{2}+1)(c-\alpha d))xzyy+(\alpha a-\alpha q+c)yzxy+(\alpha^{2}q+\alpha b+d)yzyy-(\alpha^{2}+1)pdxzxz-(\alpha^{2}+1)qdxzyz -\alpha p(\alpha-\alpha d+b+c)zxzx+(\alpha^{2}+1)p(\alpha-\alpha d+b+c)+((q-a)(\alpha^{2}d-\alpha b-\alpha c+a)-(\alpha^{2}+1)pb)zyzx$
 - + $(\alpha(\alpha^2+2)pb-\alpha qd+pd+qb-(\alpha q+b)(\alpha^2d-\alpha b-\alpha c+a))zyzy,$
- $r_{3}=(q-a)yyzx-(\alpha q+b)yyzy-pxzxy+\alpha pxzyy-(q-a)yzxy+(\alpha q-\alpha d+b+c)yzyy+pdyzxz+qdyzyz+\alpha pdzxzx)-(1+\alpha^{2})pdzxzy+(pd+(q-a)(\alpha d-c))zyzx-(\alpha pd+(\alpha^{2}+1)qd+\alpha bd-\alpha qc-bc)zyzy,$
- $r_{4} = -cyyzx dyyzy + \alpha pxzxy + pxzyy + \alpha(q-a)yzxy + (q-a)yzyy p(q+\alpha p)xzxz + (aq-q^{2}-pc-\alpha pq-pb)yzxz (pd+2q(b+\alpha q))yzyz + pdzxzx + (qd+c^{2}-\alpha cd)zyzx + d(c-\alpha d)zyzy.$

Note that there are exactly 18 degree 4 monomials which do not contain the leading terms xx, yx, zz, xyy and yyy of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 3. Since we have assumed that $A \in \Omega$, we must have dim $A_4 = 15$. This happens precisely when the dimension of the space L spanned by r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 is exactly 18 - 15 = 3.

Unfortunately, there are values of parameters for which precisely this happens. Obviously,

$$S = \{ (\alpha, a, b, c, d, p, q) \in \mathbb{K}^7 : \dim L \leq 3 \}$$

is an affine variety, while

$$S_0 = \{ (\alpha, a, b, c, d, p, q) \in \mathbb{K}^7 : \dim L \leq 2 \}$$

is a subvariety of S. By the above remark dim $A_4 = 15$, which only happens if $(\alpha, a, b, c, d, p, q) \in S \setminus S_0$ and $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$. Note also that if we scale z, then the relations retain their form, α stays put, while the vector (a, b, c, d, p, q) is scaled by the same constant as z. This allows us to treat S and S_0 as subvarieties of $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}P^5$, reducing the number of free parameters by 1. We shall see that S, as a subvariety of $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}P^5$ has dimension one and splits into the union of several irreducible one-dimensional varieties plus a finite set. In order to deal with the case $(\alpha, a, b, c, d, p, q) \in S \setminus S_0$, we have to go one step further in computing the Gröbner basis to see that dim $A_5 > 21$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$.

Now we shall sketch the procedure of pinpointing the variety S.

Case 1: $d \neq 0$. By scaling *z*, we can without loss of generality assume that d = 1.

Case 1a: $p \neq 0$ (in addition to d = 1).

Set $\varkappa = c + b + \alpha a - \alpha$. The matrix of zxzx, zxzy and xzyz coefficients of $r_1 - \varkappa r_4$, $r_2 - \varkappa r_3$, r_3 , r_4 now is

0	0	$-(\alpha^2 + 1)p$	
0	0	$-(\alpha^2 + 1)q$	
0	$-(\alpha^2 + 1)p$	0	ŀ
p	0	0)

Since $p \neq 0$ and $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$, it follows that the only way for r_j to be linearly dependent is for the equation

$$\rho = -qr_1 + pr_2 + p\varkappa r_3 + q\varkappa r_4 = 0 \tag{9.13}$$

to be satisfied. This happens precisely when the coefficients of ρ front of all 16 monomials featuring in r_j vanish, giving a system of algebraic equations on the parameters involved. Next, we observe that $\alpha \varkappa q \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\varkappa = 0$, then vanishing of the yyzy coefficient of ρ yields $q = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)p$. Plugging this into the equation provided by vanishing of the yyzx coefficient of ρ , we get $p^2(\alpha^2 + 1)^2 = 0$, which is impossible. Thus $\varkappa \neq 0$. If q = 0, the equation $\rho = 0$ reads $r_2 + \varkappa r_3 = 0$. The yzxz coefficient of $r_2 + \varkappa r_3$ is $\varkappa p$. Thus $\varkappa p = 0$, which is impossible. Finally, if $\alpha = 0$, it is an easy exercise to see that r_j are linearly independent (the matrix of coefficients of r_j simplifies dramatically if $\alpha = 0$). Thus we can assume that $\alpha \varkappa q \neq 0$. Equating the yzyz coefficients of ρ and 0, we get $2\varkappa q^2(\alpha q + b) = 0$. Since q and \varkappa are non-zero, we get $b = -\alpha q$.

First, we consider the case $p = \alpha q$. In this case, the equation $\rho = 0$ resolves rather smoothly. looking at xyzy coefficient, we get $(\alpha^2 + 1)q + \alpha pq + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 2)p^2 = 0$. Together with $p = \alpha q$, this yields $q = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2(\alpha^2+1)}$, $p = -\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2+1)}$ (we use the fact that $\alpha \neq 0$ here). Solving the equations arising from xyzxand xzyy of ρ and using the above expressions for p and q, we find $a = -1 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$ and $c = \alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2+1)}$. Finally, $b = -\alpha q = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2+1)}$. Summarising, we get

$$a = -1 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}, \quad b = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)}, \quad c = \alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)}, \quad d = 1, \quad p = -\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad q = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 1)}. \tag{9.14}$$

For these values of parameters r_j span a 3-dimensional space.

Now we consider the case $p \neq \alpha q$. The equations arising from xzxy and yzxy coefficients of ρ read $(p - \alpha q)(\alpha c - a - p(\varkappa + \alpha)) = 0$ and $(p - \alpha q)(c - (q - a)(\varkappa + \alpha)) = 0$. Since $p \neq \alpha q$, we get

$$\alpha c - a - p(\varkappa + \alpha) = c - (q - a)(\varkappa + \alpha) = 0.$$

Now the *yyzy* coefficient yields $p(\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)p + q) - q\varkappa = 0$, from which we have $\varkappa = p(1 + \alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)\frac{p}{q})$. The equation arising from *xyzy* reads $q(\alpha^2 + 1) + \alpha pq + \alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 2)p^2 = 0$. This implies $q = -\frac{\alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 2)p^2}{\alpha p + \alpha^2 + 1}$. Plugging this into the above expression for \varkappa and simplifying, we get $\varkappa = -\frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{\alpha}$ (delightfully, *p* cancels out). Hence $\varkappa + \alpha = -\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Plugging this into the above display, we get $a - \alpha c = \frac{p}{\alpha} = q$. Hence $p = \alpha q$ and we have arrived to a contradiction. This concludes Case 1a. **Case 1b:** p = 0 and $q \neq 0$ (in addition to d = 1).

Considering the xyzy and xzyz coefficients of r_j , we see that in this case r_1 and r_2 are linearly independent modulo the span of r_3 and r_4 . Since r_j are linearly dependent, it follows that r_3 and r_4 must be linearly dependent. If, additionally, $a \neq q$, then the 2×2 matrix of yzxz and yzyz coefficients of r_3 and r_4 is non-degenerate providing a contradiction. Thus a = q. Now the 2×2 matrix of yyzxand yzyz coefficients of r_3 and r_4 is non-degenerate unless c = 0. Thus c = 0. Finally, now the 2×2 matrix of yzyz and zyzx coefficients of r_3 and r_4 is non-degenerate unless q = 0. Thus q = 0, which is a contradiction.

Case 1c: p = q = 0 (in addition to d = 1).

Now the matrix of coefficients of r_j becomes rather simple and it is an elementary linear algebra exercise to see that r_j span a 3-dimensional space only when either $a = c = b - \alpha = 0$ or $b = a = c - \alpha = 0$ or $b = c = \alpha$ and $a = \alpha^2$ (recall that we have p = q = 0 and d = 1). This provides 3 curves sitting in S:

$$a = 0, \quad b = \alpha, \quad c = 0, \quad d = 1, \quad p = 0, \quad q = 0;$$
 (9.15)

$$a = 0, \quad b = 0, \quad c = \alpha, \quad d = 1, \quad p = 0, \quad q = 0;$$
 (9.16)

$$a = \alpha^2, \quad b = \alpha, \quad c = \alpha, \quad d = 1, \quad p = 0, \quad q = 0.$$
 (9.17)

This concludes Case 1, in which we have identified 4 one-dimensional irreducible components of S.

Case 2: d = 0 and $p \neq 0$. By scaling z, we can without loss of generality assume that p = 1.

If $\alpha a + b + c \neq 0$, then by looking at zxzx and zxzy coefficients, we see that r_1 and r_2 are linearly independent modulo span of r_3 and r_4 . By looking at xzxy and xzyy coefficients, we see that r_3 and r_4 are linearly independent. Hence r_j span a 4-dimensional space. Thus we must have $\alpha a + b + c = 0$. That is, $c = -\alpha a - b$.

Case 2a: $q \neq 0$ (on top of d = 0, p = 1 and $c = -\alpha a - b$).

First, consider the case $b+\alpha q \neq 0$. Looking at the 4×3 matrix of the yzxy, yzyy and yzyz coefficients of r_j , we see that in this case r_1 and r_4 are linearly independent modulo the span of r_2 and r_3 . Thus r_2 and r_3 must be linearly dependent. Considering the determinants of four of 2×2 submatrices of the coefficients of r_2 and r_3 , we get

$$(\alpha^{2}+1)(q-a) - \alpha(\alpha^{2}+1) = \alpha(\alpha^{2}+2)q + (\alpha^{2}+1)b + \alpha^{2}(\alpha^{2}+2)$$

= (q-a)(b + \alpha q + \alpha^{2}+1) = ((\alpha^{2}+1)a + \alpha b + \alpha)(q-a) - (b + \alpha q) = 0.

One of the equations yields that either q = a or $b + \alpha q = -1 - \alpha^2$. If $q \neq a$, then $b + \alpha q = -1 - \alpha^2$. Plugging this into the rest of the equations, we easily see that the system is incompatible. Thus q = a. Then the last equation yields $b + \alpha q = 0$, which contradicts the assumption.

Thus $b + \alpha q = 0$. That is, $b = -\alpha q$. First, assume that $q \neq a$. After plugging this in, the shape of yzxy and yzyy coefficients of r_j tells us that r_3 and r_4 are linearly independent modulo the span of r_1 and r_2 . If $q + \alpha(\alpha^2 + 2) \neq 0$, yyzy features with non-zero coefficient only in r_2 . Thus we must have $r_1 = 0$, which is not the case under the assumption $q \neq 0$. Thus the only option is $q = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)$. Looking at yzxy and yzyy coefficients, we see that the only way for r_1 and r_2 to be linear dependent is to have $(\alpha^2 + 1)a - \alpha^2q + \alpha = 0$. This together with $q = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)$ yields $a = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1)$. Plugging in the rest of the data we have

$$a = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 1), \quad b = \alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 2), \quad c = -\alpha^2, \quad d = 0, \\ p = 1, \\ q = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2). \tag{9.18}$$

For these values of parameters r_j span a 3-dimensional space. This concludes the case $q \neq a$. Now we assume a = q. In this case after eliminating zero columns as well as ones being obviously linear combinations of the ones present, the matrix of coefficients of r_j reduces to the form we are finally not embarrassed to present in full:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q+\alpha & 0 & 0 & q+\alpha \\ -(\alpha^2+1) & q+\alpha(\alpha^2+2) & q+\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only way for it to have rank 3 is for the equality $(q + \alpha)(q + \alpha(\alpha^2 + 2)) = 0$ to be satisfied, which yields two more one-parametric families of algebras:

$$a = -\alpha, \quad b = \alpha^2, \quad c = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad q = -\alpha;$$
(9.19)

$$a = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2), \quad b = \alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 2), \quad c = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad q = -\alpha(\alpha^2 + 2).$$
(9.20)

This concludes Case 2a.

Case 2b: q = 0 (on top of d = 0, p = 1 and $c = -\alpha a - b$).

It is easy to see that for three specific cases

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 0, \quad a = 0, \quad b = 0, \quad c = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad q = 0, \\ \alpha^2 + 2 &= 0, \quad a = 0, \quad b = 0, \quad c = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad q = 0, \\ \alpha^2 + 2 &= 0, \quad a = \alpha, \quad b = 0, \quad c = 2, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 1, \quad q = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(9.21)

 r_j span a 3-dimensional space, thus we have specified 5 points in S (zero dimensional irreducible components, actually, when S is interpreted as a subvariety of $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}P^5$).

On the other hand, if our parameters are not the ones provided in (9.21), one easily sees that r_2 and r_3 are linearly independent modulo linear span of r_1 and r_4 . Thus for r_j to span a 3-dimensional space, r_1 and r_4 must be linearly dependent. The latter is easily seen to never happen. This concludes Case 2b and Case 2.

Case 3: p = d = 0 and $q \neq 0$. By scaling z, we can without loss of generality assume that q = 1.

If $(a - \alpha c)(a - 1) \neq 0$, r_j are linearly independent. Indeed the 4×4 matrix of the yyzx, yyzy, xzxz and yzxz coefficients of r_j is invertible (with the proper ordering of rows and columns, it is triangular with non-zero diagonal entries). Hence we must have $(a - \alpha c)(a - 1) = 0$. First, assume that $a = \alpha c$. In this case, treating the cases $b + \alpha = 0$ and $b + \alpha \neq 0$ separately, it is straightforward to verify that r_j are still always linearly independent. Thus we have $a - \alpha c \neq 0$. Since $(a - \alpha c)(a - 1) = 0$, we must have a = 1. In this case, again, r_j are linearly independent, unless c = 0 and $b = -\alpha$. In the latter case r_j span a 3-dimensional space, providing yet another piece of S:

$$a = 1, \quad b = -\alpha, \quad c = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad p = 0, \quad q = 1.$$
 (9.22)

This concludes Case 3.

Case 4: p = d = q = 0.

In this case, the matrix of coefficients of r_j becomes so simple that we just give the answer. If a = b = c = 0, all r_j vanish (and have no chance to span a 3-dimensional space). If $b \neq 0$ and a = c = 0, r_j span a 2-dimensional space (yielding dim $A_4 = 16$). In all other cases r_j are linearly independent. Thus this final case provides no contribution into $S > S_0$.

As a result, the equality dim A = 15 only happens if the parameters (after appropriate scaling) fall into one of the sets described in (9.14–9.22). Now a direct computation of the degree 5 part of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A yields dim $A_5 > 21$ for 5 algebras corresponding to (9.21) and for generic α (with finitely many possible exceptions when the leading monomials differ from the ones in generic case) for each of the one-parametric families. As a matter of fact, dim $A_5 = 22$ for the family (9.14) and dim $A_5 = 23$ for all other families. Anyway, by Lemma 2.7, dim $A_5 \ge 22$ for every α , which is incompatible with $A \in \Omega$.

Now Part I of Theorem 1.11 follows straight away from Lemmas 8.2, 8.4, 8.6, 8.9, 8.10, 9.2, 9.3, 9.5, 9.8, 9.10, 9.13, 9.14, 9.15 and 9.16.

10 Proof of Part XI of Theorem 1.11

Throughout this section we work with the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering assuming x > y. We start by ruling out an annoying case with no algebras from Λ in it. **Lemma 10.1.** Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations

$$y^{3}$$
, $x^{3} - axxy + (1 - a)xyx - (a + b)yxx - (c - a^{2})xyy - dyxy - (1 - bc + a - a^{2})yyx$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \notin \Lambda$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. That is $A \in \Lambda$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. Members of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degrees up to 5 consist of the two degree three defining relations, one degree four element

 $g = xxyx - cxxyy - (1+b)xyxx - (d-a(1-a))xyxy - (1+a-c(1+b))xyyx - ((1-a)(a+b)-d)yxyx - (ad-(c-a^2)(a+b))yxyy + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc))yyxx - (a(1-bc)+a^2(1-a)-d(a+b))yyxy + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc))yyx - (a(1-bc)+a^2(1-a)-d(a+b))yyxy + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc))yyx + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc))yyx + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc))yyx + (a(1+b)+b(a+b)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+(1-bc)+b(a+b)+b(a+$

and one degree 5 element

$$\begin{split} h = & xxyyx + (1+b)(d+a(a+b))xyxxy - [-bd+b(1-a)(1+b+a)]xyxyx - [-b(1+b)(c-a^2) - (d-a(1-a))(d+a^2)]xyxyy \\ - [-b-(b^2+b+1)(a+b-c)]xyyxx - [-d((b-c)(1+b)+2) - a^2(1-c(1+b)) - ac]xyyxy - (1+b)[a(a+b)+d]yxyxx \\ - [-((1-a)(a+b)-d)(d+a^2) + bd]yxyxy - [+(1+a)(a+b) - (1+b)a^2(a+b) + d-ad(1+b)]yxyxy \\ - [+(a(1+b)+b(a+b))(d+a(a+b)) + (1-bc)(d+a^2)]yxyxy - [-b(1-a)(a+b)(a+b) - ab(1-a) - bd(a+b) - b(1-bc)]yyxyx \\ - [+(c-a^2)b[+b(a+b)+a(1+b)] - (1-bc)[-bc+ad+a^2(a+b)] - a^2(1-a)(d+a^2) + d(a+b)(d+a^2)]yyxy. \end{split}$$

Note that there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials in x, y, which do not contain the leading monomials x^3 , y^3 , x^2yx and x^2y^2x of the members of the Gröbner basis of degree up to 5. Since 16 is also the t^6 -coefficient of the series $(1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$ from the definition of Λ , the only way for A to fall into Λ is for all degree 6 overlaps of the above leading monomials to resolve (produce no degree 6 members of the Gröbner basis).

Now, this does not occur. The overlap $x^2y(x^3) = (x^2yx)x^2$ yields a degree 6 homogeneous element f of the free algebra with coefficients being polynomials in a, b, c, d. Since $A \in \Lambda$, we must have f = 0. This gives a system of algebraic equations on a, b, c, d. This system has no solutions at all, which is easier to confirm by hand than one might think. For starters, the xyx^2y^2 -coefficient in f is $(1+b)(d+a(a+b))(d-c^2+bc+2ac)$. Thus we must have b = -1 or d = -a(a+b) or $d = c^2 - bc - 2ac$. Plugging these one at a time into other coefficients of f we not only reduce the number of parameters by one but cause massive cancellations each time. In each case we get another coefficient which is a product of low degree polynomials and repeat the procedure. Anyway, we arrive to a contradiction, which completes the proof.

Lemma 10.2. Let V be a 2-dimensional vector space over K and R be a 2-dimensional subspace of V^3 such that $y^3 \in R$ and R is not contained in the ideal generated by y. Then the cubic algebra A = B(V, R) belongs to Λ if and only if there is $x \in V$ such that x, y form a basis in V and R is spanned by y^3 and $x^3 - xy^2 - ayxy - y^2x$ for some $a \in K^*$.

Proof. We start by picking an arbitrary $x \in V$ such that x, y form a basis in V. By assumptions, R is spanned by y^3 and $f = x^3 + b_1 x^2 y + b_2 xyx + b_3 yx^2 + b_4 xy^2 + b_5 yxy + b_6 y^2 x$ for some $b \in \mathbb{K}^6$. If $b_1 \neq b_2$ it is a routine exercise to see that a substitution of the form $x \to sx + ry$, $y \to ty$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $r \in \mathbb{K}$ transforms f into $x^3 - axxy + (1 - a)xyx - (a + b)yxx - (c - a^2)xyy - dyxy - (1 - bc + a - a^2)yyx$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$ up to a scalar multiple. By Lemma 10.1, $A \notin \Lambda$. Thus $A \notin \Lambda$ if $b_1 \neq b_2$. The case $b_2 \neq b_3$ transforms into the case $b_1 \neq b_2$ when we pass to the opposite multiplication. Thus $A \notin \Lambda$ unless $b_1 = b_2 = b_3$. Next, a substitution of the same form as above kills b_1, b_2 and b_3 . After this substitution, R is spanned by y^3 and $f = x^3 - sxy^2 - ayxy - by^2 x$ for some $s, a, b \in \mathbb{K}$.

Case 1: $s \neq 0$.

In this case an additional scaling turns s into 1. Thus we can assume that s = 1 and therefore R is spanned by y^3 and $f = x^3 - xy^2 - ayxy - by^2x$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$.

Case 1a: a = 0 in addition to s = 1.

The elements of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degree up to 5 turn out to be y^3 , $x^3 - xy^2 - by^2x$, $x^2y^2 + (b-1)xy^2x - by^2x^2$, $(1-b)xy^2xy + by^2x^2y$ and $(1-b+b^2)xy^2x^2 - b^2y^2xy^2$. If $b \neq 1$ and $b^2 - b + 1 \neq 0$, the leading monomials of the elements of the Gröbner basis of degrees up to 5 are y^3 , x^3 , x^2y^2 , xy^2xy and xy^2x^2 . There are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials which do not contain the above leading monomials as subwords. Thus in order for A to be a member of Λ all degree 6 overlaps of leading monomials must resolve (same argument as in the proof of Lemma 10.1). A direct computation shows that this happens if and only if either b = 0 or $b^2 + 1 = 0$. Thus the only values of the parameter b for which A has a chance to belong to Λ are solutions of the equation $b(b-1)(b^2+1)(b^2-b+1) = 0$. It remains to deal with finitely many specific algebras (6 to be precise). A direct Gröbner basis computation in each case shows that for all these algebras dim $A_7 \ge 21$, which is incompatible with the membership in Λ . Thus no algebras from Λ feature in this case.

Case 1b: $a \neq 0$ in addition to s = 1.

The elements of the Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A of degree up to 5 turn out to be

$$y^{3}, x^{3} - xy^{2} - ayxy - by^{2}x, x^{2}y^{2} + axyxy + (b-1)xy^{2}x - ayxyx - by^{2}x^{2}, xyxy^{2} + \frac{b-1}{a}xy^{2}xy - yxyxy - \frac{b}{a}y^{2}x^{2}y \text{ and } x^{2}yxy - bxyxyx - \frac{1-b+b^{2}}{a}xy^{2}x^{2} + (b-1)yxyx^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{a}y^{2}xy^{2}.$$

Again, there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials, which do not contain the leading monomials y^3 , x^3 , x^2y^2 , $xyxy^2$ and x^2yxy as subwords. Thus in order for A to be a member of Λ all degree 6 overlaps of leading monomials must resolve. A direct computation shows that this happens precisely when b = 1. Now in the case b = 1, the five elements of the Gröbner basis from the above display constitute the entire Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A (all higher degree overlaps resolve as well). Now the five monomials y^3 , x^3 , x^2y^2 , $xyxy^2$ and x^2yxy are all leading monomials of members of a Gröbner basis. This allows us to compute the Hilbert series of A, which is $H_A = (1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$. Thus in this case $A \in \Lambda$ precisely when b = 1. In this case the defining relations of A take the shape y^3 and $x^3 - xy^2 - ayxy - y^2x$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. That is, A coincides with A^a .

Case 2: s = 0 and $b \neq 0$.

In this case an additional scaling turns b into 1. Then R is spanned by y^3 and $x^3 - ayxy - y^2x$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Then A^{opp} being A with the opposite multiplication is isomorphic to the algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $x^3 - ayxy - xy^2$. Thus A^{opp} is under the jurisdiction of Case 1a and therefore $A^{\text{opp}} \notin \Lambda$. Hence $A \notin \Lambda$. It remains to consider the final case.

Case 3: *s* = *b* = 0.

If a = 0, R is spanned by x^3 and y^3 . Then dim $A_4 = 14$ and A fails to be in Λ' , let alone Λ . If $a \neq 0$, after a scaling, which turns a into 1, R becomes spanned by y^3 and $x^3 - yxy$. For this single algebra the coefficients of the Hilbert series coincide with that of $(1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$ up to t^8 inclusive. Still a direct Gröbner computation (easy in this case because of the simplicity of relations) yields dim $A_9 = 31$, which is greater by 1 than the t^9 -coefficient of $(1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$. Thus we have no algebras from Λ in this case.

Lemma 10.3. Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and f, where f is a linear combination of xyx, xy^2 , yxy and y^2x . Then $A \notin \Lambda$.

Proof. Case 1: xyx features in f with non-zero coefficient.

By a substitution of the form $x \to ux + ty$, $y \to y$ with $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{K}$, we can turn the xyx coefficient in f into 1 and kill the xy^2 coefficient. Thus without loss of generality $f = xyx - ayxy - by^2x$ with some $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. If $a \neq 0$, an additional scaling turns a into 1. Then $f = xyx - yxy - by^2x$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Computing the Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations for degrees up to 6, we see that apart from the defining relations only $xy^2xy - yxy^2x$ and yxy^2x^2 turn up. Still this yields dim $A_6 = 15$, which is incompatible with A being in Λ . If a = 0, after scaling we are left with two options $f = xyx - y^2x$ and f = xyx. In both cases a Gröbner basis computation yields dim $A_5 = 13$, again incompatible with membership in Λ . Case 2: xyx does not feature f.

Now, $f = axy^2 + byxy + cy^2x$ with some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. An easy Gröbner basis calculation shows that $\dim A_5 \ge 14$ no matter what the parameters are. Hence $A \notin \Lambda$.

Lemma 10.4. Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if either (a, b, c) = (1, -1, 0) or (a, b, c) = (-1, -1, 0) or a = 0 and $b + b^2 + \ldots + b^k + c \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Case 1: $a \neq 0$.

A direct computation shows that the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ and $xyxy^2 + byxyxy + b^2y^2xyx + \frac{b+c}{a}y^2xy^2$. Since there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials that do not contain any of x^2y , y^3 and $xyxy^2$ as submonomials, A can only fall into Λ if all overlaps of degree 6 of these three leading monomials resolve. However the overlap $(x^2y)xy^2 = x(xyxy^2)$ resolves precisely when $a^2 = 1$, b = -1 and c = 0. Now if $(a, b, c) = (\pm 1, -1, 0)$, y^3 , $x^2y \mp xyx + yx^2 - yxy$ and $xyxy^2 - yxyxy + y^2xyx \pm y^2xy^2$ form the entire reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A. This allows to confirm that $H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Lambda$ in these two cases.

Case 2: *a* = 0.

This time the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 and $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ if b + c = 0 and y^3 , $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ and y^2xy^2 if $b + c \neq 0$. If b + c = 0, we have dim $A_5 = 13$ and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$. Assume now that $b + c \neq 0$. There are no elements of degree 6 of the Gröbner basis. The only non-zero degree 7 member can arise from the overlap $(x^2y)yxy^2 = x^2(y^2xy^2)$, which produces $(c+b+b^2)y^2xyxy^2$. If $c+b+b^2 = 0$, then dim $A_7 = 21$ exceeds by 1 the t^7 coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$. Thus to keep A in A, we must have $c+b+b^2 \neq 0$. In this case the members of the Gröbner basis of degrees up to 7 are y^3 , $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$, y^2xy^2 and $y^2 xyxy^2$. This pattern goes on. If $b + b^2 + \ldots + b^k + c \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$, then the members of the Gröbner basis of degrees up to 2m + 4 are y^3 , $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ and $y^2(xy)^j xy^2$ for $0 \le j \le m - 1$ and H_A and $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ match up to t^{2m+4} . The only non-zero degree 2m+5 member of the Gröbner basis can arise only from the overlap $x^2y^2(xy)^{m-1}xy^2$, which produces $(c+b+b^2+\ldots+b^{m+1})y^2(xy)^mxy^2$. If $c + b + b^2 + \ldots + b^{m+1} = 0$, dim A_{2m+5} exceeds by 1 the t^{2m+5} coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ and $A \notin \Lambda$. Otherwise it matches and show goes on. As a result, A belongs to Λ if and only if $b+b^2+\ldots+b^k+c\neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that in this case the full reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A consists of the defining relations y^3 and $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ together with infinitely many monomials $y^2(xy)^j xy^2$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Lemma 10.5. Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $f = x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - y^2x$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if a = 0.

Proof. Case 1: $a \neq 0$.

A direct computation shows that the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - y^2x$ and $xyxy^2 + byxyxy + b^2y^2xyx + \frac{1}{a}y^2xy^2$. Since there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials that do not contain any of x^2y , y^3 and $xyxy^2$ as submonomials, A can only fall into Λ if all overlaps of degree 6 of these three leading monomials resolve. However, the overlap $(x^2y)xy^2 = x(xyxy^2)$ is easily seen to never resolve. Hence $A \in \Lambda$.

Case 2: a = 0.

This time the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - byx^2 - y^2x$ and y^2xy^2 . The pattern of the further Gröner basis construction here is the same as in Case 2 of Lemma 10.4, only the monomials $y^2(xy)^jxy^2$ pop up with coefficients 1 only. The full reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A consists of the defining relations y^3 and $x^2y - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ together with infinitely many monomials $y^2(xy)^jxy^2$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This yields $H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Lambda$. **Lemma 10.6.** Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $f = x^2y - axyx - byx^2$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if $a \neq 0$ and $b = -a^2$.

Proof. Case 1: a = 0.

The only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are the defining relations y^3 and $x^2y - byx^2$. This yields dim $A_5 = 13$ and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 2: $a \neq 0$.

A direct computation shows that the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - axyx - byx^2$ and $xyxy^2 + byxyxy + b^2y^2xyx$. Since there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials that do not contain any of x^2y , y^3 and $xyxy^2$ as submonomials, A can only fall into A if all overlaps of degree 6 of these three leading monomials resolve. This is easily seen to happen precisely when $a^2 + b = 0$. If $a \neq 0$ and $a^2 + b = 0$, then y^3 , $x^2y - axyx + a^2yx^2$ and $xyxy^2 - a^2yxyxy + a^4y^2xyx$ form the full Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, yielding $H_A = (1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$.

Thus $A \in \Lambda$ precisely when $a \neq 0$ and $b = -a^2$.

Lemma 10.7. Let A be the cubic algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $f = x^2y - xyx - ayx^2 - xy^2 - byxy - cy^2x$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if a = c = -1.

Proof. Case 1: $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq -1$.

In this case A^{opp} is isomorphic to the algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $x^2y + \frac{1}{a}xyx - \frac{1}{a}yx^2 + \frac{c}{a}xy^2 + \frac{b}{a}yxy + \frac{1}{a}y^2x$. Applying the substitution $y \to y$, $x \to x - \frac{c}{1+a}y$, we see that A^{opp} is isomorphic to the algebra given by generators x and y and relations y^3 and $x^2y + sxyx - syx^2 + tyxy + sy^2x$, where $s = \frac{1}{a}$ and $t = \frac{c(1-a)+b(1+a)}{a(1+a)}$. If $t \neq 0$, a scaling turns the defining relations into y^3 and $x^2y + sxyx - syx^2 - yxy - \frac{s}{t}sy^2x$, which falls under the jurisdiction of Lemma 10.4. If t = 0, a scaling turns the defining relations into y^3 and $x^2y + sxyx - syx^2 - y^2x$, which falls under the jurisdiction of Lemma 10.5. Applying these two lemmas, we see that $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 2: *a* = 0.

A direct computation shows that the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - xyx - xy^2 - byxy - cy^2x$ and $xyxy^2 + cy^2xy^2$. Since there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials that do not contain any of x^2y , y^3 and $xyxy^2$ as submonomials, A can only fall into Λ if all overlaps of degree 6 of these three leading monomials resolve. This does not happen with the overlap x^2yxy^2 though. Hence $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 3: *a* = -1.

A direct computation shows that the only members of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A of degree at most 5 are y^3 , $x^2y - xyx + yx^2 - xy^2 - byxy - cy^2x$ and $xyxy^2 - yxyxy + y^2xyx + (c+1-b)y^2xy^2$. Since there are exactly 16 degree 6 monomials that do not contain any of x^2y , y^3 and $xyxy^2$ as submonomials, A can only fall into Λ if all overlaps of degree 6 of these three leading monomials resolve. This is easily seen to happen precisely when c+1=0. If a = c = -1, then y^3 , $x^2y - xyx + yx^2 - xy^2 - byxy + y^2x$ and $xyxy^2 - yxyxy + y^2xyx - by^2xy^2$ form the full Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A, yielding $H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$.

Thus $A \in \Lambda$ precisely when a = c = -1.

Now we are ready to prove Part XI of Theorem 1.11. The fact that all algebras A in (Z1–Z10) are in Λ follows from Lemmas 10.2 and 10.4–10.7. Indeed, either A itself or A^{opp} features in these lemmas as an algebra from Λ . Next, all algebras in (Z1–Z10) are pairwise non-isomorphic. Indeed, since y^3 is the only cube (up to a scalar multiple) among the cubic relations of any algebra in (Z1–Z10), a linear substitution providing an isomorphism between two algebras in (Z1–Z10) must be of the form $x \to ux + ty, y \to vy$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Since the subs $x \to sx, y \to sy$ with $s \in \mathbb{K}^*$ do not change any of the spaces of cubic relations, we can restrict ourselves to the case u = 1. Now it is a matter of routine verification to see that for two algebras from (Z1–Z10), a substitution $x \to x + ty, y \to vy$ transforms the space of cubic relations of one algebra to the space of cubic relations of the other only if we had the two copies of the same item from (Z1–Z10) to begin with. It remains to verify that if $A \in \Lambda$ and the quasipotential $Q = Q_A$ is the fourth power of a degree 1 element, then A is isomorphic to an algebra form (Z1–Z10). Note that the algebras in (Z1–Z10) put together form a class of algebras closed under passing to the opposite multiplication. Thus it is enough to show that either A or A^{opp} is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z1–Z10).

Without loss of generality, we can assume that y^4 is the quasipotential of A, where $y \in V$. If the space R of cubic relations of A is not contained in the ideal generated by y, Lemma 10.2 guarantees that A is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z1). Thus we can assume that R is contained in the ideal generated by y. Then A is given by generators x, y and relations y^3 and f, which is a non-zero linear combination of x^2y , xyx, yx^2 , xy^2 , yxy and y^2x . If both x^2y and yx^2 do not feature in f, Lemma 10.3 implies that $A \notin \Lambda$ yielding a contradiction. Hence either x^2y or yx^2 feature in f with a non-zero coefficient. These two options transform to one another when we pass to the opposite multiplication. Since it is enough to verify that either A or A^{opp} is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z1–Z10), we can without loss of generality assume that x^2y features in f with non-zero coefficient.

Case 1: xy^2 does not feature in f but yxy does.

In this case a scaling turns x^2y and yxy coefficients into 1 and -1 respectively. That is, $f = x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - yxy - cy^2x$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 10.4, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z2–Z4) or (Z6).

Case 2: xy^2 and yxy do not feature in f but y^2x does.

In this case a scaling turns x^2y and y^2x coefficients into 1 and -1 respectively. That is, $f = x^2y - axyx - byx^2 - y^2x$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 10.5, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z7).

Case 3: None of xy^2 , yxy or y^2x feature in f.

In this case a scaling turns x^2y -coefficient into 1. That is, $f = x^2y - axyx - byx^2$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 10.6, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z9).

Note that Cases 1–3 cover all options when xy^2 does not make an appearance in f.

Case 4: xy^2 features in f with non-zero coefficient.

If the sum of x^2y and xyx coefficients in f is non-zero, a substitution $x \to x + sy$, $y \to y$ with an appropriate $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills xy^2 in f, after which Cases 1–3 kick in. Thus we can assume that x^2y and xyx coefficients in f add up to zero. A scaling turns x^2y and xy^2 coefficients into 1 and -1 respectively. Then $f = x^2y - xyx - ayx^2 - xy^2 - byxy - cy^2x$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 10.7, A is isomorphic to an algebra from (Z10). This completes the final case and the proof of Part XI of Theorem 1.11.

11 Proof of Part XII of Theorem 1.11

Lemma 11.1. The algebras from (Y1-Y8) of Theorem 1.11 with different labels are non-isomorphic. There are no isomorphism between two algebras from (Y1-Y8) with the same label apart from the label (Y1), where the isomorphic ones are precisely those specified in (Y1).

Proof. Note that every algebra from (Y1-Y8) is quasipotential with the corresponding quasipotential being of the form Q = ufv, where $u, v \in V$ are linearly independent and the non-zero $f \in V^2$ is not a square of a degree one element. If we have two isomorphic algebras A and A' from the list (Y1-Y8), then a linear substitution facilitating the isomorphism must transform the quasipotential Q = ufv of A to the quasipotential Q' = u'f'v' of A' up to a non-zero scalar multiple. It follows that u, v and fare transformed to scalar multiples of u', v' and f' respectively. Now using Lemma 2.2 (including the isomorphism part), we easily see that algebras in (Y1-Y8) with different labels can not be isomorphic and that algebras in (Y2-Y8) are pairwise non-isomorphic. As for two algebras from (Y1), Lemma 2.2 yields that the only substitutions which can transform an algebra from (Y1) to an algebra from from (Y1) are $x \to sx$, $y \to sy$ or $x \to sy$, $y \to sx$ with $s \in \mathbb{K}^*$. The first type of substitutions do not change the parameters a, b, while the second type (the swap) transforms an algebras from (Y1) with parameters a, b to the algebra from (Y1) with parameters (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) .

Lemma 11.2. Let $A \in \Lambda'$ be such that the corresponding quasipotential Q is not a fourth power of degree 1 element and satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$ and $E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$. Then $A \notin \Lambda$.

Proof. Let $y \in V$ be such that y spans the one-dimensional space $E_1(Q)$. Take $x \in V$ such that x and y are linearly independent. Since y spans $E_1(Q) = E_2(Q)$, we have Q = yfy, where $f \in V^2$ (a quadratic element). Clearly f is a linear combination of x^2 , xy, yx and y^2 . Since Q is not a fourth power, f can not be a scalar multiple of y^2 .

Case 1: x^2 features in f with non-zero coefficient.

A substitution of the form $x \to ux + sy$, $y \to y$ with appropriate $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills xy in f. Then f becomes a linear combination of x^2 , yx and y^2 . If yx still features in f after the substitution, we turn the x^2 and yx coefficients in f into 1 and -1 respectively by an appropriate scaling. Then $f = x^2 - yx - ay^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence $Q = y(x^2 - yx - ay^2)y$ and therefore R_Q is spanned by $x^2y - yxy - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - y^2x - ay^3$. Since these two are linearly independent, A is presented by generators x and y and relations $x^2y - yxy - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - y^2x - ay^3$. If yx does not features in f after the above substitution, we turn the x^2 coefficient of f into 1 by a scaling and observe that $f = x^2 - ay^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence $Q = y(x^2 - ay^2)y$ and therefore R_Q is spanned by $x^2y - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - ay^3$. Since these two are linearly independent, A is presented by $y^2 - ay^3$. If yx does not features in f after the above substitution, we turn the x^2 coefficient of f into 1 by a scaling and observe that $f = x^2 - ay^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence $Q = y(x^2 - ay^2)y$ and therefore R_Q is spanned by $x^2y - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - ay^3$. Since these two are linearly independent, A is presented by generators x and y and relations $x^2y - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - ay^3$. Since these two are linearly independent, A is presented by generators x and y and relations $x^2y - ay^3$ and $yx^2 - ay^3$. In both cases, an easy Gröbner basis computation (apart from the defining relations there is only one other degree ≤ 5 member) yields dim $A_5 \geq 13$, which is incompatible with A being in Λ .

Case 2: x^2 does not feature in f, but the sum of the xy and yx coefficients is non-zero.

Clearly either xy or yx (or both) feature in f. By passing to the opposite multiplication, if necessary, we can without loss of generality assume that xy features in f. A substitution of the form $x \to ux + sy$, $y \to vy$ with appropriate $u, v \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $s \in \mathbb{K}$ kills y^2 in f and turns the xy coefficient into 1. Then f = xy - ayx for some $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence Q = y(xy - ayx)y and therefore R_Q is spanned by $xy^2 - ayxy$ and $yxy - ay^2x$. Since these two are linearly independent, A is presented by generators x and y and relations $xy^2 - ayxy$ and $yxy - ay^2x$. Same argument as in Case 1 yields dim $A_5 \ge 13$ and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 3: x^2 does not feature in f and the xy and yx coefficients in f sum up to zero.

Since f can not be a scalar multiple of y^2 , both xy and yx feature in f. An appropriate scaling turns f into either xy - yx or $xy - yx - y^2$. This gives Q = y(xy - yx)y or $Q = y(xy - yx - y^2)y$. Same argument as in the previous cases shows that A is presented by the generators x and y and either the relations $xy^2 - yxy$ and $yxy - y^2x$ or the relations $xy^2 - yxy - y^3$ and $yxy - y^2x - y^3$. Again, a Gröbner basis calculation gives dim $A_5 = 13$ in both cases and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$.

Lemma 11.3. Let $A \in \Lambda$ be such that the corresponding quasipotential Q is not a fourth power of degree 1 element and satisfies $n_1(Q) = n_2(Q) = 1$. Then there is a basis x, y in V with respect to which Q has one of the following forms: (x-by)(xy-ayx)(x-y) with $b \neq 1$, $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$, (x-y)(xy-yx-ayy)x with $a \neq 0$, x(xy-yx)y, (x-ay)xy(x-y) with $a \neq 1$, (x-y)(xy-ayx)y with $a \neq 1$, x(xy-ayx)y with $a \neq 1$, x(xy-ayx)y or y(xy-yx-yy)x.

Proof. In this proof we only use the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering on x, y monomials assuming x > y. By Lemma 11.2, Q = ufv, where u, v are linearly independent elements of V and $f \in V^2$ is non-zero. Clearly, R_Q is spanned by uf and fv. Using the fact that u and v are linearly independent, it is easy to see that uf and fv are linearly independent. Hence R_Q is the two-dimensional space spanned by uf and fv and therefore the ideal of relations of A is generated by uf and fv. First, observe that f can not be a square of an element of V. Indeed, if $f = y^2$ for y being a non-zero element of V, then the ideal of relations I of A is generated by uy^2 and y^2v . Clearly I is contained in the ideal J generated by y^2 and therefore B = F(V)/J is a quotient of A. On the other hand, B has exponential growth and therefore A has exponential growth. Since the latter is incompatible with the membership in Λ , we arrive to a contradiction. Thus f is not a square. By Lemma 2.2, there is a basis x, y in V such that f = xy or f = xy - ayx with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ or f = xy - yx - yy. We go through these options case by case.

Case 1: f = xy.

If u is not a scalar multiple of y and v is not a scalar multiple of either x or y, a scaling (of x and y) turns Q = uxyv into (x - ay)xy(x - y) with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. We also have $a \neq 1$ since u and v are linearly independent. If u is not a scalar multiple of y and v is a scalar multiple of y, a scaling turns Q into either (x - y)xyy or xxyy (depending on whether u is a scalar multiple of x or not), which are (x - y)(xy - ayx)y or x(xy - ayx)y with a = 0. If u is not a scalar multiple of y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into (x - ay)xyx with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Since u and v are linearly independent, $a \neq 0$. Then the defining relations of A are $x^2y - ayxy$ and xyx. A direct computation shows that there are no other members of degrees up to 5 in the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A apart from $x^2y - ayxy$, xyx and xy^2xy . This yields dim $A_5 = 13$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Lambda$. It remains to consider the case when u is a scalar multiple of y. Now a scaling turns Q into yxy(x - ay)with $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the defining relations of A are $xyx - axy^2$ and yxy. A direct computation shows that there are no other members of degrees up to 5 in the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of the ideal of relations shows that there are no other members of degrees up to 5 in the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A apart from $x^2y - ayxy$, xyx and xy^3 . This yields dim $A_5 = 13$, which is incompatible with $A \in \Lambda$. Thus the last two cases do not occur.

Case 2: f = xy - yx.

In this case it is easy to see that Q is a scalar multiple of u(uv - vu)v. In the basis x = u, y = v, this yields Q = x(xy - yx)y.

Case 3: f = xy - ayx with $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $a \neq 1$.

If u is not a scalar multiple of y and v is not a scalar multiple of either x or y, a scaling (of x and y) turns Q = uxyv into (x - by)(xy - ayx)(x - y) with $b \in \mathbb{K}$. Since u and v are linearly independent, we have $b \neq 1$. If u is not a scalar multiple of either x or y and v is a scalar multiple of y, a scaling turns Q into (x - y)(xy - ayx)y. If u is a scalar multiple of x and v is a scalar multiple of y, a scaling turns Q into x(xy - ayx)y. If u is not a scalar multiple of either x or y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into (x - y)(xy - ayx)y. If u is not a scalar multiple of either x or y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into (x - y)(xy - ayx)x. Swapping x and y and a further scaling transforms Q into $(x - y)(xy - a^{-1}yx)y$. All cases of u not being a scalar multiple of y are taken care of (some do not feature since u and v are linearly independent). If u is a scalar multiple of y and v is not a scalar multiple of either x or y, a scaling (of x and y) turns Q into $y(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y)$. Swapping x and y and a further scaling transforms Q into $x(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y) = (x - by)(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y)$ with b = 0. If u is a scalar multiple of y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into y(xy - ayx)x. Swapping x and y and a further scaling transforms Q into $x(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y) = (x - by)(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y)$ with b = 0. If u is a scalar multiple of y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into y(xy - ayx)x. Swapping x and y and a further scaling transforms Q into $x(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y) = (x - by)(xy - a^{-1}yx)(x - y)$ with b = 0. If u is a scalar multiple of y and v is a scalar multiple of x, a scaling turns Q into y(xy - ayx)x. Swapping x and y and a further scaling transforms Q into $x(xy - a^{-1}yx)y$.

Case 4: $f = xy - yx - y^2$.

If neither u nor v is a scalar multiple of y, a substitution of the form $x \to \alpha x + \beta y$, $y \to \gamma y$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ transforms Q into (x-y)(xy-yx-ayy)x with $a \neq 0$. If v is a scalar multiple of y, then u is not a scalar multiple of y and a substitution of the same form turns Q into x(xy-yx-yy)y. If u is a scalar multiple of y, then v is not a scalar multiple of y and a substitution of the same form turns Q into x(xy-yx-yy)y.

Lemma 11.4. Let $A \in \Lambda'$ be the quasipotential algebra on generators x, y with the quasipotential Q being one of the following: (x - ay)xy(x - y) with $a \neq 1$, (x - y)(xy - ayx)y with $a \neq 1$, x(xy - ayx)y with $a \in \mathbb{K}$, x(xy - yx - yy)y or y(xy - yx - yy)x. Then $A \in \Lambda$.

Proof. We use the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering on x, y monomials assuming x > y. If Q = (x - y)(xy - ayx)y, the defining relations of A are $x^2y - axyx - yxy + ay^2x$ and $xy^2 - ayxy$. If Q = x(xy - ayx)y, the defining relations of A are $x^2y - axyx$ and $xy^2 - ayxy$. If Q = x(xy - yx - yy)y, the defining relations of A are $x^2y - axyx - yxy - y^3$. In all these cases, the defining relations themselves form the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A with the leading monomials of the members being x^2y and xy^2 . This yields $H_A = (1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Lambda$.

The algebra with Q = y(xy-yx-yy)x is isomorphic to B^{opp} , where B is the algebra with quasipotential x(xy - yx - yy)y. Since B and B^{opp} have the same Hilbert series, $A \in \Lambda$ as well. This leaves only the case Q = (x - ay)xy(x - y) with $a \neq 0$. Then the defining relations of A are $x^2y - ayxy$ and $xyx - xy^2$. An easy computation shows that the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal of relations of A consists of $x^2y - ayxy$, $xy^{2n-1}x - xy^{2n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $xy^{2n}xy$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The leading monomials of the members of the basis are x^2y and $xy^{2n-1}x$, $xy^{2n}xy$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Knowing these, we get $H_A = (1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Lambda$.

The next two lemmas deal with quasipotentials (x-by)(xy-ayx)(x-y) and (x-y)(xy-yx-ayy)x. We have to confess that we could not find any nice pattern in the leading monomials of the members of the Gröbner basis arising from the natural presentations of the corresponding algebras. However, we found different presentations of the same algebras (with three generators rather than two) for which we can compute the basis and hence the Hilbert series.

Lemma 11.5. Let $A \in \Lambda'$ be the quasipotential algebra on generators x, y with the quasipotential Q = (x - by)(xy - ayx)(x - y) with $b \neq 1$, $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if $a^n b \neq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Clearly, A can be presented by generators x, y, f and relations xy - ayx - f, xf - byf, fx - fy. To make A graded in the same way as it was originally, we assign degree 1 to x and y and degree 2 to f. Now we order the monomials in x, y, f in the following way. A monomial of greater degree is greater. For two monomials of the same total degree, the one of greater x-degree (with more x in it) is greater. For two monomials of the same degree and the same x-degree, the one with greater y-degree is greater. Finally, for two monomials of the same degree, same x-degree and same y-degree (they have the same number of x, the same number of y and therefore the same number of f as well), we break ties using the left-to-right lexicographical order assuming f > x > y.

As an illustration, we list low degree terms of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A as presented above. The degrees up to 3 terms are the defining relations xy - ayx - f, xf - byf and fx - fy. The only degree 4 term arises from the overlap fxy and is $fyx - \frac{1}{a}fy^2 + \frac{1}{a}f^2$. Two degree 5 terms arise from the overlaps fxf and fyxy and are fyf and $fy^2x - \frac{1}{a^2}fy^3 + \frac{1}{a^2}f^2y$ respectively. The degree 6 overlap fyxf produces $(1 - ab)fy^2f - f^3$. Now, who is the leading monomial of this one depends on whether ab equals 1 or not. If $ab \neq 1$, we have the degree 6 element $fy^2f - \frac{1}{1-ab}f^3$. Another degree 6 overlap fy^2xy now yields $fy^3x - \frac{1}{a^3}fy^4 + \frac{1}{a^3}f^2y^2 + \frac{1}{a(1-ab)}f^3$. All other degree 6 overlap fy^2xf , which produces $(1 - a^2b)fy^3f$. If $a^2b = 1$ the latter disappears from the list. Otherwise we have the monomial fy^3f .

Case 1: $a^n b \neq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

An easy inductive argument shows that the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A consists of xy - ayx - f, xf - byf, fx - fy, $fyx - \frac{1}{a}fy^2 + \frac{1}{a}f^2$ and two elements of each degree $m \ge 5$, which have the form $fy^{m-3}x - h$ with h being a linear combination of fy^{m-4} , f^2y^{m-2} ,... and $fy^{m-4}f$ if m is odd or $fy^{m-4}f - s_m f^{m/2}$ with $s_m \in \mathbb{K}$ if m is even. These two terms come from the overlaps $fy^{m-4}xy$ and $fy^{m-5}xf$ respectively, while all other overlaps of degree m resolve. As a result, the complete list of leading monomials of the members of the basis is xy, xf, fy^jx for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and fy^jf for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the corresponding normal words are y^jx^k and $y^jf^my^k$ with $j,k,m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Counting the number of these words of given degree, we easily confirm that $H_A = (1 + t)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \in \Lambda$.

Case 2: $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest positive integer for which $a^n b = 1$.

We look at the whole family of algebras corresponding to $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$, $a \neq 1$ and $b = a^{-n}$. Up to degree n + 4 inclusive the Gröbner basis elements are the same as in Case 1.

Case 2a: n is even.

Then we have just one (as opposed to two in Case 1) degree n + 5 element of the Gröbner basis: $fy^{n+1}f$ disappears. We acquire one extra normal word $fy^{n+1}f$ of degree n+5. Hence dim A_{n+5} is greater by 1 than in Case 1 and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 2b: n is odd.

In this case the degree n+5 element of the Gröbner basis coming from the overlap $fy^m x f$ is a scalar multiple of f^k where $k = \frac{n+5}{2}$. It vanishes for finitely many exceptional values of a, but for a (Zarisski) generic a this makes f^k a member of the Gröbner basis. At degree n+5, we have 'lost' one normal word f^k and 'acquired' one normal word $fy^{n+1}f$ when compared to Case 1. The changes balance themselves yielding the same dim A_{n+5} as in Case 1. Performing two more steps of the Gröbner basis calculation, we see that for a generic a, in degree n+6 we lose two normal words yf^k , f^ky and acquire two normal words $yfy^{n+1}f$ and $fy^{n+1}fy$ as compared with Case 1. Still the changes balance and dim A_{n+6} is the same as in Case 1. However in degree n + 7, we lose four normal words $y^2 f^k$, $y f^k y$, $f^k y^2$ and f^{k+1} and acquire five $y^2 f y^{n+1} f$, $y f y^{n+1} f y$, $f y^{n+1} f y^2$, $f^2 y^{n+1} f$ and $f y^{n+1} f^2$. Thus for a generic a, dim A_{n+7} is greater by 1 than in Case 1. By Lemma 2.7, for an arbitrary a, dim A_{n+7} is greater than in Case 1 by at least 1. Hence $A \notin \Lambda$.

Lemma 11.6. Let $A \in \Lambda'$ be the quasipotential algebra on generators x, y with the quasipotential Q = (x - y)(xy - yx - ayy)x with $a \neq 0$. Then $A \in \Lambda$ if and only if $na + 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Clearly, A can be presented by generators x, y, f and relations xy - yx - ayy - f, xf - yf, fx. We use the same grading and the same order on x, y, f monomials as in the proof Lemma 11.5.

As an illustration, we list low degree terms of the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of A as presented above. The degrees up to 3 terms are the defining relations xy - yx - ayy - f, xf - yfand fx. The only degree 4 term arises from the overlap fxy and is $fyx+afy^2+f^2$. Two degree 5 terms arise from the overlaps fxf and fyxy and are fyf and $fy^2x + 2afy^3 + f^2y$ respectively. The degree 6 overlap fyxf produces $(1+a)fy^2f + f^3$. Now, who is the leading monomial of this one depends on whether 1 + a equals 0 or not. If $1 + a \neq 0$, we have the degree 6 element $fy^2f + \frac{1}{1+a}f^3$. Another degree 6 overlap fy^2xy now yields $fy^3x + 3afy^4 + f^2y^2 - \frac{1}{1+a}f^3$. All other degree 6 overlaps resolve. Degree 7 overlap fy^3xy produces $fy^4x + 4afy^5 + f^2y^3 - \frac{1}{1+a}f^3y$. Other degree 7 overlaps resolve except for fy^2xf , which produces $(1 + 2a)fy^3f$. If 1 + 2a = 0, the latter disappears from the list. Otherwise we have the monomial fy^3f .

Case 1: $na + 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

An easy inductive argument shows that the reduced Gröbner basis in the ideal of relations of Aconsists of xy - yx - ayy - f, xf - yf, fx, $fyx + afy^2 + f^2$ and two elements of each degree $m \ge 5$, which have the form $fy^{m-3}x - h$ with h being a linear combination of $fy^{m-4}, f^2y^{m-2}, \ldots$ and $fy^{m-4}f$ if m is odd or $fy^{m-4}f - s_m f^{m/2}$ with $s_m \in \mathbb{K}$ if m is even. These two terms come from the overlaps $fy^{m-4}xy$ and $fy^{m-5}xf$ respectively, while all other overlaps of degree m resolve. The leading monomials of memebrs of the Gröbner basis as well as normal words are the same as in Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 11.5. Hence $A \in \Lambda$.

Case 2: char $\mathbb{K} = 0$ and $a = -\frac{1}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Exactly the same argument as in Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 11.5 shows that dim A_{n+5} is greater by 1 than the t^{n+5} coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ if n is even and that dim A_{n+7} is greater by 1 than the t^{n+7} coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ if n is odd. In any case $A \notin \Lambda$.

Case 3: char $\mathbb{K} = p$ (*p* is a prime) and $a = -\frac{1}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n < p.

The defining relations of A are nxy - nyx + yy - nf, xf - yf and fx. If we treat them as relations defining a Q-algebra B, Case 2 yields that dim B_k is greater by 1 than the t^k coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)$ t^{-3} , where k = n + 5 if n is even and k = n + 7 if n is odd. If we consider nxy - nyx + yy - nf, xf - yfand fx as defining relations of a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra C, an argument similar to the one from the proof of Lemma 2.7 shows that $\dim C_j \ge \dim B_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. On the other hand, $H_A = H_C$. Hence $\dim A_k$ is greater by at least 1 than the t^k coefficient of $(1+t)^{-1}(1-t)^{-3}$ and therefore $A \notin \Lambda$. Now Part XII of Theorem 1.11 is just an amalgamation of Lemmas 11.1 and 11.3–11.6.

12 More remarks

Cases char $\mathbb{K} = 2$ and char $\mathbb{K} = 3$ differ from the case char $\mathbb{K} \notin \{2,3\}$ we considered (and differ from each other) in a whole lot of ways. Some parts of our results hold in these cases, however more require adjustment. It would be interesting to have similar classifications of Ω and Λ in the cases char $\mathbb{K} = 2$ and char $\mathbb{K} = 3$.

Some entries in the tables from Theorem 1.11 specify a single algebra. In many cases, this is an artifact of parametrization: the algebra should actually be a member of, say, a variety of algebras from Ω featuring in another row of the same table, only excluded for one reason or another. For example, if all members of a variety except for one algebra are non-PBW_B, while the exceptional algebra is PBW_B, the latter will occupy its own row, while the parameters corresponding to it will feature as exceptional in the row describing the variety. Sometimes the reason is different. If a variety of algebras is naturally parameterized by the projective plane \mathbb{KP}^2 , then it will occupy three rows in our table: one parameterized by an affine plane, one parameterized by an affine line and a single point. Although soundness of such practice is debatable, we have decided to parameterize by numbers rather than equivalence classes of any sort. However there are few single algebras in the tables from Theorem 1.11, which a genuinely isolated points of the 'scheme' of isomorphism classes of algebras from Ω or Λ . For example the only 'isolated' twisted potential algebras in Ω are (T12–T15). Note also that the algebra in (T12) is isomorphic to the opposite of (T13) and the same holds for the pair (T14) and (T15). Thus we essentially have two different algebras here: (T12) and (T14). We wonder if there is something special about them apart from being isolated in Ω .

12.1 Regular algebras in Ω and Λ

It turns out that regular (in the Artin–Schelter sense [1]) algebras in Ω and Λ are precisely the twisted potential ones (including potential). This is not really surprising since it is an easy consequence of the definition of regular algebras. However there is a surprising bit as well. Artin, Tate and Van den Bergh [3] show that Sklyanin algebras (=algebras from (P1)) are all domains. One can use the same technique (and/or elementary arguments in most cases) to show that all twisted potential algebras featuring in Theorem 1.11 are domains: these are (P1–P8), (F1–F4), (T1–T18) and (G1–G10). Curiously, no other domains occur in Theorem 1.11. All other algebras have zero divisors of degree 1 or in rare cases 2. Thus for algebras in Ω or Λ , being a domain is the same as being twisted potential. We believe there should be a way to prove this equivalence other than working through the tables in Theorem 1.11. We also conjecture that this fact goes beyond Ω and Λ . Namely, we conjecture that if $(n,k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $n \ge 2$, $k \ge 2$ and $(n,k) \ne (2,2)$, then a quasipotential algebra A with the Hilbert series $H_A = (1 - nt + nt^k - t^{k+1})^{-1}$ is a domain if and only if it is twisted potential. By the way, we might as well keep the case (n,k) = (2,2) in. It was excluded because the class of algebras in question is empty (it is non-empty in all other cases). The above comment shows that the answer is affirmative in the cases (n,k) = (3,2) and (n,k) = (2,3).

12.2 Hilbert series of algebras in Ω'

In [13], the authors have shown that there are just finitely many series (11 to be precise), featuring as Hilbert series of quadratic algebras A satisfying dim $A_1 = \dim A_2 = 3$. This class of algebras coincides with the class of duals of quadratic algebras A = A(V, R) with dim $V = \dim R = 3$. However, along the way we have stumbled upon enough algebras to conclude that infinitely many different Hilbert series occur for $A \in \Omega'$. This fact was already applied by the authors. For example, the exceptions for the family (N1) exhibit infinitely many different Hilbert series. Furthermore, we have already applied the classification of Theorem 1.11. Namely, we constructed [14] (found among algebras in (N1) to be precise) an automaton algebra, which fails to have a finite Gröbner basis for any choice of generators and a compatible order on monomials.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to IHES and MPIM for hospitality, support, and excellent research atmosphere. This work was partially funded by the ERC grant 320974, EPSRC grant EP/M008460/1 and the ESC Grant N9038.

References

- [1] M. Artin and W. Schelter, Graded algebras of global dimension 3, Adv. in Math. 66 (1987), 171-216
- M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Modules over regular algebras of dimension 3, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 335–388
- [3] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves, The Grothendieck Festschrift I, 33–85, Progr. Math. 86, Birkhäuser, Boston 1990
- [4] R. Bocklandt, Graded Calabi Yau algebras of dimension 3, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 212(2008), 14–32
- [5] R. Bocklandt, T. Schedler and M. Wemyss, Superpotentials and higher order derivations. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214 (2010), no. 9, 1501–1522
- [6] V. Drinfeld, On quadratic quasi-commutational relations in quasi-classical limit, Selecta Math. Sovietica 11 (1992), 317–326
- [7] M. Dubois-Violette, Multilinear forms and graded algebras, J. Algebra 317 (2007), 198-225
- [8] M. Dubois-Violette, Graded algebras and multilinear forms. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 341 (2005), 719-724
- [9] V. Ginzburg, Calabi Yau algebras, ArXiv:math/0612139v3, 2007
- [10] G. Gurevich, Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants, Noordhoff, 1964
- [11] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, Sklyanin Algebras and qubic root of unity, Max-Planck-Institute f
 ür Mathematic preprint series, 49 (2017)
- [12] N. Iyudu, S. Shkarin, Three dimensional Sklyanin algebras and Gröbner bases, J.Algebra, 470 (2017), p.378-419,
- [13] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, Potential algebras with few generators, arXiv:math/2301025.
- [14] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, Automaton algebras and intermediate growth, J.of Combinatorial algebra, V 2(2018), N2, 147-167
- [15] M. Kontsevich, Formal (non) commutative symplectic geometry, The Gelfand Math. Seminars (Paris 1992) 97–121, Progr. Math. 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994
- [16] K. Kraft, Geometrische Methoden in Invarianttheorie, Friedr. Vieweg&Sohn, Brauunschweig, 1985
- [17] A. Odesskii, Elliptic algebras, Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), 1127-1162
- [18] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, Quadratic algebras, University Lecture Series 37 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005
- [19] I. Mori and S. P. Smith, The classification of 3-Calabi-Yau algberas with 3 generators and 3 quadratic relations, Math. Z. 287 (2017), no. 1-2, 215241.
- [20] I. Mori and K. Ueyama, The classification of 3-dimensional noetherian cubic Calabi-Yau algebras, arXiv:1606.00183. 1606.00183.
- [21] V. Ufnarovski, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods in Algebra, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences
 57, Editors: A. Kostrikin and I. Shafarevich, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag (1995), 1–196
- [22] C. Walton, Representation theory of three-dimensional Sklyanin algebras, Nuclear Phys. B860 (2012), 167–185