A C*-ALGEBRAIC APPROACH TO THE PRINCIPAL SYMBOL II.

EDWARD MCDONALD, FEDOR SUKOCHEV, AND DMITRIY ZANIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce an abstract theory of the principal symbol mapping for pseudodifferential operators extending the results of [35] and providing a simple algebraic approach to the theory of pseudodifferential operators in settings important in noncommutative geometry. We provide a variant of Connes' trace theorem which applies to certain noncommutative settings, with a minimum of technical preliminaries. Our approach allows us to consider in a operators with non-smooth symbols, and we demonstrate the power of our approach by extending Connes' trace theorem to operators with non-smooth symbols in three examples: the Lie group SU(2), noncommutative tori and Moyal planes.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper follows on from the work of the second two named authors in [35], where a C^* -algebraic approach to the definition of the principal symbol map for order 0 pseudodifferential operators was introduced.

The approach to the theory of pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^d suggested in [35] is detailed as follows. One considers the C^* -algebras $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ of essentially bounded functions on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d and the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} respectively. These algebras are represented on the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via

$$(\pi_1(f)\xi)(t) = f(t)\xi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
$$(\pi_2(g)\xi) = g\left(\frac{\nabla}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}\right)\xi$$

where $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, $\xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ∇ denotes the gradient operator and Δ is the Laplacian. Alternatively, $\pi_2(g)$ may be defined as Fourier multiplication on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the homogeneous function $t \mapsto g\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)$. One then introduces a C^* -algebra Π , defined to be the C^* -subalgebra of the

One then introduces a C^* -algebra Π , defined to be the C^* -subalgebra of the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by $\pi_1(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\pi_2(L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$. It is then proved (as [35, Theorem 1.2]) that there is a unique norm-continuous *-homomorphism sym : $\Pi \to L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ mapping $\pi_1(f)$ to $f \otimes 1$ and $\pi_2(g)$ to $1 \otimes g$, for all $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $g \in L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

The algebra Π contains (up to compact perturbations) all pseudodifferential operators of order 0 on \mathbb{R}^d , and the mapping sym is an extension of the principal symbol mapping to non-smooth symbols. The motivation for introducing the algebra Π was to develop in a self-contained manner the theory of order zero operators on \mathbb{R}^d , with a particular focus on Connes' trace formula [9, Theorem 1].

In this paper we consider a far-reaching abstraction of the setting of [35]. We consider two unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 with faithful representations π_1 and

 π_2 respectively on the same Hilbert space H. We define $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ to be the C^* subalgebra generated by $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$. We discuss conditions on $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \pi_1$ and π_2 which allow the existence of a "principal symbol map",

$$\operatorname{sym}:\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2) o \mathcal{A}_1\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_2$$

where \otimes_{\min} denotes the minimal C^* -norm on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ (see Section 2.2 for details on this tensor product norm). We verify these conditions in a number of important cases, in particular for noncommutative tori and noncommutative Euclidean spaces (also known as the Moyal plane or Moyal-Groenwald plane in the two-dimensional case).

This framework is indeed an abstraction of the results of [35], which considered the special case $\mathcal{A}_1 = L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = L_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ acting on $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. However, the need to consider noncommutative algebras necessitated the introduction of new techniques, motivating the present paper.

We show that under certain assumptions (given in Theorem 3.3), the map sym is a C^* -algebra homomorphism, and according to our constructions $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$ is isometrically *-isomorphic to the image of $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the *-algebra of bounded operators on H, and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the ideal of compact operators. The principal symbol map sym is then simply the restriction to $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ of the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{L}(H) \to \mathcal{L}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.3 for details).

The idea that the principal symbol map can be identified with a Calkin quotient map is far from new. One particular utilisation of this idea in the commutative case appears in the work of H. O. Cordes [11]. In noncommutative geometry, this observation is crucial to the definition of the index map on K-theory and has been central to the development of tools such as the noncommutative geodesic flow (such as in [14]). An example of the use of this idea in a noncommutative context is [25, Section 2.1].

A novelty of our approach is its simplicity. With a minimum of algebraic preliminaries we are able to provide a version of the principal symbol mapping and Connes' trace theorem which applies to certain settings important for noncommutative geometry. The given proofs of our versions of Connes' Trace Theorem are totally different from earlier proofs, including Connes' original proof of the Connes' Trace Theorem for compact Riemannian manifolds [9, Theorem 1] and the more recent proofs in [2], [20] and [23, Corollary 11.5.4].

To demonstrate the efficiency of our framework for pseudodifferential operators and the principal symbol mapping sym, we develop in detail our theory for pseudodifferential operators of order 0 on the Lie group SU(2) and give a version of Connes' Trace Theorem for SU(2) (Theorem 6.8). As SU(2) is a compact Riemannian manifold, this result should be compared with previous versions of Connes' Trace Theorem (such as [9, Theorem 1] and [23, Theorem 11.6.17]). We emphasise that the proof given in this paper for Connes' Trace Theorem for SU(2) is completely different to previously known proofs, and we are able to present the argument in a self-contained way while also making no assumptions about smoothness of symbols which were used crucially in all previously known proofs.

Beyond the commutative setting, we also work out in detail the two most heavily studied noncommutative spaces: the noncommutative tori and the noncommutative (Moyal) Euclidean spaces. Noncommutative tori have long been heavily studied as a landmark example in noncommutative geometry [26], and there is an extensive literature for pseudodifferential operators on these spaces. In [8], Connes developed a pseudodifferential operator theory for general C^* -dynamical systems, and in particular for the special case of noncommutative tori. Baaj studied pseudodifferential calculus on crossed product C^* -algebras [3, 4]. Later, Connes [10, Chapter 4, Section 6. α] studied elliptic differential operators on noncommutative tori. There is a diversity of related work concerning pseudodifferential calculus in C^* -algebraic settings, we mention in particular [24, 21]. In 2018, Ha, Lee and Ponge [17, 18] have given a very detailed exposition of pseudodifferential operator theory on noncommutative tori including many historical details.

There is a closely related and well developed theory of harmonic analysis and function spaces on noncommutative tori. Early work includes the development of L_2 -Sobolev spaces on noncommutative tori by Spera [32, 33]. In more recent years, function space theory on noncommutative tori has been greatly extended by Xia, Xiong, Xu and Yin to analogues of many other classical function spaces, including the entire scale of Sobolev spaces [37, 38].

The development of a pseudodifferential calculus for noncommutative Euclidean spaces has also been pursued by multiple authors: in particular one can find such a theory in [12, Proposition 4.17] and [7, Section 5]. In 2017 a powerful pseudodifferential operator theory for noncommutative Euclidean spaces was developed by Gonzalez-Perez, Junge and Parcet [15].

Our approach to pseudodifferential operators on noncommutative tori and planes is complementary to, and not a replacement for, the other approaches given in the above references. In our approach we do not handle operators of order greater than zero and in fact the notion of order of a pseudodifferential operator does not play a role in the theory developed in this paper. The benefit of restricting to operators of order at most zero is that in this setting powerful operator algebraic techniques become available and the proof of Connes' trace theorem is simple and transparent.

We would like to extend our appreciation to Raphaël Ponge for extensive discussion of the results of this paper and suggestions relating to the historical overview of this field.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations and conventions. We use the convention $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, and all Hilbert spaces are over the field of complex numbers.

Given a Hilbert space H, $\mathcal{L}(H)$ denotes the *-algebra of all bounded linear operators on H, and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the ideal of compact operators.

The Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}_p(H)$, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, is the set of $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ whose sequence of singular values $\{\mu(n, T)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is in the sequence space ℓ_p . Similarly, the weak Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ is the set of compact operators whose sequence of singular values is in the space $\ell_{p,\infty}$. These spaces are a classical object of study, and further details may be found in [13, 31] and [23, Chapter 2].

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space of pointwise-almosteverywhere equivalence classes of *p*-absolutely Lebesgue integrable functions, equipped with the L_p -norm.

2.2. C^* -norms on tensor products of C^* -algebras. Given two C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 , we denote the algebraic tensor product as $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$. The following results are very well known, and are taken from [28] (see Theorem 1.22.6, Propositions

1.22.5 and 1.22.3 there). See also [36, Chapter IV, Section 4], [5, Section II.9] and [19, Section 11.3].

Theorem 2.1. Let A_1 and A_2 be unital C^* -algebras. There are pre- C^* -norms on the algebraic tensor product $A_1 \otimes A_2$, and there exists a norm which is minimal.

The completion of $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ with respect to the minimal C^* -norm is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$.

Theorem 2.2. Let A_1 and A_2 be unital C^* -algebras. If A_2 is commutative, then there exists a unique pre- C^* -norm on $A_1 \otimes A_2$ (which we may take to be the minimal one).

The above theorem is essentially a statement of the fact that commutative C^* -algebras are nuclear (see [5, Section II.9.4] and [19, Section 11.3]).

Theorem 2.3. Let A_1 and A_2 be unital C^* -algebras. If A_2 is commutative (read $A_2 = C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X), then $A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2$ is isometrically *-isomorphic to $C(X, A_1)$.

The above theorem immediately follows from Theorem 2.2 and the observation that $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes C(X)$ can be identified with a dense subalgebra of the C^* -algebra $C(X, \mathcal{A}_1)$.

It is also well-known that the tensor product of continuous linear functionals is continuous on the tensor product algebra with minimal norm. We include the following simple proof for convenience.

Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be C^* -algebras, and let $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^*$ and $\psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2^*$. Then the tensor product $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ extends continuously to $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$.

Proof. First we may normalise $\|\psi_1\|_{\mathcal{A}_1^*} = \|\psi_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_2^*} = 1$. Then for $T \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$,

$$|(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(T)| \le \sup_{\alpha \otimes \beta \in \mathcal{A}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{A}_2^*, \|\alpha\| = \|\beta\| = 1} |(\alpha \otimes \beta)(T)|.$$

The right hand side is the *least cross norm* on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ denoted $\lambda(T)$, and from [28, Proposition 1.22.2], we have that $\lambda(T) \leq ||T||_{\min}$. \Box

2.3. Noncommutative torus. Here, we present the definition and basic properties of the noncommutative d-torus. There are many detailed expositions of this theory in the literature: we refer the reader in particular to [17, 38] and [16, Chapter 12].

Let $d \ge 2$ be an integer, and let θ be a real $d \times d$ antisymmetric matrix. The following defines the noncommutative d-torus,

Definition 2.5. The noncommutative d-torus $C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by a family of unitaries $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ satisfying the relation,

$$u_n u_m = e^{\frac{i}{2}(n,\theta m)} u_{n+m}, \ n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

On the subspace given by the linear span of $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we define the functional τ_{θ} ,

$$\tau_{\theta}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}c_ku_k\right):=c_0$$

The functional τ_{θ} can be extended norm-continuously to all of $C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$, and also to the enveloping von Neumann algebra which we denote $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$. We denote the corresponding L_p -spaces defined by τ_{θ} as $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$. Note that in particular $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ is the GNS-space for $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ defined by τ_{θ} , with inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \tau_{\theta}(x^*y).$$

Definition 2.6. Let j = 1, ..., d. We define the operator ∂_j on the linear span of $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ by

$$\partial_j(u_k) = ik_j u_k.$$

The operator $D_j := -i\partial_j$ extends to a self-adjoint densely defined operator on $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$. Given a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we define $\nabla^{\alpha} := \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}$.

The gradient operator is defined as $\nabla := (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_d)$, which may be considered as a linear operator from $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ to $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

2.4. Noncommutative Euclidean space. Noncommutative Euclidean spaces appear in many different places in the literature under various names, such as Moyal product algebras [12], quantum Euclidean spaces [15] or canonical commutatation relation (CCR) algebras [6, Section 5.2.2.2]. A detailed exposition of the operator theoretic details may be found in [6, Section 5.2.2.2], an exposition from the non-commutative geometric point of view is in [12] and more recently there is also [15] from a harmonic analysis perspective.

The exposition we use here follows [22] although we skip many proofs. For details and proofs, we refer the reader to [22, Section 6.1].

For this section, we again take an integer $d \geq 2$ and define θ to be a nondegenerate $d \times d$ real antisymmetric matrix¹. Our approach is to define the Noncommutative Euclidean space (also known as the Moyal plane) in terms of a certain family of unitary operators $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ on the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define the following linear operator on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(U(t)\xi)(u) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}(t,\theta u)}\xi(u-t), \quad \xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Then $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ is a strongly continuous family of unitary operators satisfying

(1)
$$U(t)U(s) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(t,\theta s)}U(t+s).$$

The algebra $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ is then defined to be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$.

Denote the representation of $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as π_1^2 .

It is known (see [34]) that there is an isometric *-isomorphism from $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})) \to L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$. Denote the image of the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}))$ under this isomorphism $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$. The standard trace Tr on $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}))$ then induces a semifinite trace on the algebra $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, which we denote as τ_{θ} .

Finally, let $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ be defined as the GNS space of $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ with respect to τ_{θ} .

Remark 2.8. We also note that if we formally take $\theta = 0$ in Definition 2.7 we recover the commutative algebra $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. However our definitions of τ_{θ} and $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ rely on the nondegeneracy of θ . A unified exposition for all θ is also possible, and this is achieved in [15].

With our chosen concrete representation of $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, defining the differentiation operators $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_d$ is particularly simple.

¹Note that if det(θ) \neq 0 then d is automatically even

 $^{^{2}\}pi_{1}$ is simply the identity mapping

Definition 2.9. For k = 1, ..., n, let ∂_k denote the multiplication operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$D_k\xi(t) = t_k\xi(t).$$

We define the operators $\partial_k x$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$ by

$$\partial_k x := i[D_k, x].$$

There exists a dense subspace $\mathcal{D} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ such that the operators $\partial_k, k = 1, \ldots, d$ may be considered as self-adjoint operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ with common core \mathcal{D} . We denote $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \ldots, \partial_d)$, considered as a self-adjoint linear operator from $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. For a multi-index α , define

$$\nabla^{\alpha} := \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}$$

which is also considered as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$.

For an essentially bounded function $g \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote $g(\nabla)$ for the operator

$$(g(\nabla)\xi)(t) = g(t)\xi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

One should be careful to distinguish $g(\nabla)$ as defined above and the Fourier multiplier $g(\nabla)$ defined in the commutative situation, as in the introduction. If we formally take $\theta = 0$, then $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ acts as Fourier multiplication on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and so these definitions are "Fourier dual" to each other.

Definition 2.10. With τ_{θ} we can define L^p -spaces associated to $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ with the norm:

$$||x||_p := \tau_\theta(|x|^p)^{1/p}, \quad x \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta).$$

Then denote $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ for the corresponding L_p -space. Note that this is consistent with our definition of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ as a GNS-space.

The corresponding Sobolev space, $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ is defined to be the set of $x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ with $\nabla^{\alpha} x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. The $W^{k,p}$ norm is the sum of the L_p norms of $\nabla^{\alpha} x$ for all $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$.

Sobolev spaces for noncommutative Euclidean spaces are also defined in [15, Section 3.2.2].

2.4.1. Cwikel-type estimates for Noncommutative Euclidean Space. The following is [22, Proposition 6.15(v)],

Lemma 2.11. $W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ is a norm-dense subset of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ for every $m \ge 0$.

We also require the following theorem, which is a special case of [22, Theorem 7.2]:

Theorem 2.12. Let $p \in (2, \infty)$. If $x \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\|\pi_t(x)q(\nabla)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq C(n, d, \theta)\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|\pi_1(x)g(\nabla)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \le C(p,d,\theta)\|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)}\|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The space $\ell_{1,\infty}(L_{\infty})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the set of $g \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that:

$$\left\{ \mathrm{esssup}_{t \in n + [0,1]^d} |g(t)| \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

The space $\ell_1(L_{\infty})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined similarly, with ℓ_1 in place of $\ell_{1,\infty}$.

The following is a special case of [22, Theorem 7.6]:

Theorem 2.13. For every $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ and $g \in \ell_{1,\infty}(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ we have that $\pi_1(x)g(\nabla) \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\|\pi_1(x)g(\nabla)\|_{1,\infty} \leq C_{d,\theta}\|x\|_{W^{d,1}}\|g\|_{\ell_{1,\infty}(L_\infty)}$.

Applying Theorem 2.13 to the function $g(t) = (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2}$, we obtain a corollary, Corollary 2.14. If $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, then

$$\|\pi_1(x)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}\|_{1,\infty} \le C_d \|x\|_{W^{d,1}}$$

The following is an \mathcal{L}_1 Cwikel estimate, proved in [22, Theorem 7.7]

Lemma 2.15. If $g \in \ell^1(L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$, then $\pi_1(x)g(\nabla) \in \mathcal{L}_1$.

2.5. Geometry of SU(2). Finally we discuss the relevant geometry of the Lie group SU(2). For a more thorough discussion, see [27]. We equip the group SU(2) with its unique right-invariant Haar measure dg. Let $\lambda_l, \lambda_r : SU(2) \to \mathcal{L}(L_2(SU(2)))$ be the left and right regular representations given by the formulae,

$$(\lambda_l(g_1)f)(g_2) = f(g_1^{-1}g_2), \quad f \in L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)), \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{SU}(2),$$

$$(\lambda_r(g_1)f)(g_2) = f(g_2g_1), \quad f \in L_2(SU(2)), \quad g_1, g_2 \in SU(2).$$

Here, right invariance means that for all $h \in SU(2)$,

$$\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} (\lambda_r(h)f)(g) \, dg = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(g) \, dg$$

for all $f \in L_1(SU(2))$.

Recall that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of the Lie group SU(2) is given by the formula

 $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \{ x \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : x^* = -x, \operatorname{Tr}(x) = 0 \}.$

It is spanned by $i\sigma_k$, $1 \le k \le 3$, where σ_k , $1 \le k \le 3$, are the 2×2 Pauli matrices:

(2)
$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that the Lie group SU(2) is generated by 1-parameter subgroups $t \to \exp(it\sigma_k)$, $1 \le k \le 3$. Let D_k , $1 \le k \le 3$, be the generator of the strongly continuous unitary group $t \to \lambda_l(\exp(it\sigma_k))$.

We have

$$[D_1, D_2] = 2iD_3, \quad [D_2, D_3] = 2iD_1, \quad [D_3, D_1] = 2iD_2.$$

Note that the Laplacian can be expressed by the formula

$$-\Delta = D_1^2 + D_2^2 + D_3^2.$$

It is immediate that

$$[-\Delta, D_k] = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le 3.$$

Hence, Δ commutes with each $\lambda_l(g)$, since it commutes with the generators of SU(2). It is known that $(1 - \Delta)^{-3/2} \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$. Indeed, it is proved in [27, Theorem 11.9.3] that Δ has eigenvalues $\{-l(l+1)\}_{l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}}$, where the *l*th eigenvalue has multiplicity $O(l^2)$.

We consider an algebra (which we will denote \mathcal{A}_2) given as the C^* -subalgebra in $\mathcal{L}(L_2(SU(2)))$ generated by the operators

$$b_k := \frac{D_k}{(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad 1 \le k \le 3.$$

Formally speaking the operators D_k , $1 \le k \le 3$, and $-\Delta$ vanish on the subspace of constants. We define $b_k(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ so that $b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 1$.

Since Δ commutes with $D_k, k = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that:

$$[b_j, b_k] = 2i(-\Delta)^{-1}D_l$$

for some l. Hence, $[b_j, b_k]$ is compact.

3. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

In this section we describe the abstract algebraic framework for our principal symbol mapping. The proofs of the fundamental results Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 are straightforward, but instructive. For example, the proof of the following lemma follows almost immediately from Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathcal{A}_1 , \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras and let $\rho_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{B}$ and $\rho_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}$ be C^* -homomorphisms. Suppose that

- (1) \mathcal{B} is generated by $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\rho_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$.
- (2) $\rho_1(x)$ commutes with $\rho_2(y)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_1, y \in \mathcal{A}_2$.
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are unital and \mathcal{A}_2 is abelian.
- (4) The mapping $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}$ defined by the formula
 - $\theta(a_1 \otimes a_2) = \rho_1(a_1)\rho_2(a_2), \quad a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \ a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2,$

is injective.

Under these conditions, θ extends to a C^* -algebra isomorphism

$$\theta: \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}.$$

Proof. It follows from condition (2) that θ is a *-homomorphism. By condition (4), θ is an injection on the algebraic tensor product. This allows us to define a pre- C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ by setting

$$||T|| = ||\theta(T)||_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad T \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2.$$

By condition (3) and Theorem 2.2, the latter norm coincides with the minimal pre- C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$. Thus, $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}$ is an isometric embedding of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ equipped with the minimal C^* -norm into \mathcal{B} . Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$, the assertion follows from the condition (1).

Remark 3.2. Lemma 3.1 uses the fact that there is a unique pre- C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$. It is enough to assume that one of the factors is nuclear, instead of abelian. For the remainder of this text we restrict to the case where one factor is abelian.

Let $\mathcal{Q}(H)$ be the Calkin algebra and let $q : \mathcal{L}(H) \to \mathcal{Q}(H)$ be the quotient mapping.

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be C^* -algebras and let $\pi_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ be representations. Let $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$. Suppose that

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are unital and \mathcal{A}_2 is abelian.
- (2) The representations π_1 and π_2 "commute modulo compact operators" i.e., for all $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_2 \in A_2$ the commutator $[\pi_1(a_1), \pi_2(a_2)]$ is compact.
- (3) If $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_1, y_k \in \mathcal{A}_2, 1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) \pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(H) \Longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k = 0.$$

There exists a unique continuous *-homomorphism sym : $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \to \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$ such that

$$\operatorname{sym}(\pi_1(x)) = x \otimes 1, \quad \operatorname{sym}(\pi_2(y)) = 1 \otimes y, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_1, y \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

Proof. This is a special case of Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{B} = q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$, and $\rho_j = q \circ \pi_j$, j = 1, 2. We verify each of the required conditions. Condition 3.1(1) is satisfied since by definition $\mathcal{B} = q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$ is generated by $\rho_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\rho_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$. Condition 3.1(2) follows from (2). Condition 3.1(3) is automatic, due to (1).

Finally, condition 3.1(4) is a consequence of (3).

Thus, Lemma 3.1 states that

$$\theta := \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$$

defines an isometric *-isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}$. Define

sym :=
$$\theta^{-1} \circ q$$
.

By construction sym : $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \to \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$ is a continuous *-algebra homomorphism. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Then $\operatorname{sym}(\pi_1(x)) = \theta^{-1}(q(\pi_1(x)))$, and since $\theta(x \otimes 1) = \rho_1(x) = q(\pi_1(x))$, we get that $\operatorname{sym}(\pi_1(x)) = x \otimes 1$. Similarly, if $y \in \mathcal{A}_2$ then $\operatorname{sym}(\pi_2(y)) = 1 \otimes y$.

As $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ is generated by $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$, and sym is continuous, it follows that sym is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$. \Box

Remark 3.4. In Theorem 3.3, it suffices to take $\pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$ abelian modulo compact operators. The target space of sym then becomes $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} (q \circ \pi_2)(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

It is tempting to construct a symbol mapping in the following commutative cases:

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_1 = C(\mathbb{T}^d), \mathcal{A}_2 = \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, represented on $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ by $\pi_1(f) = M_f, \pi_2(g) = g(\nabla)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_1 = C_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{A}_2 = C_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{ represented on } L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ by } \pi_1(f) = M_f, \pi_2(g) = g(\nabla).$

In both cases, M_f denotes pointwise multiplication by f and $g(\nabla)$ denotes Fourier multiplication by g.

However, a simple lemma below shows this is impossible.

Lemma 3.5. The representations π_1 and π_2 as above do not commute modulo compact operators.

Proof. We consider the second case (the first one follows *mutatis mutandi*). Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and set $g(t) = e^{i\langle t, \alpha \rangle}, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have

$$([M_f, e^{i\langle \nabla, \alpha \rangle}]x)(t) = (f(t) - f(t+\alpha))x(t+\alpha), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting $h(t) = f(t) - f(t + \alpha), t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$$[\pi_1(f), \pi_2(g)] = \pi_1(h)g(\nabla).$$

Since $g(\nabla)$ is a unitary operator, it follows that compactness of $[\pi_1(f), \pi_2(g)]$ implies that of $\pi_1(h)$. The latter operator is compact if and only if h = 0. Hence, the commutator $[\pi_1(f), \pi_2(g)]$ fails to be compact (unless f is α -periodic).

What is possible is to construct the symbol mapping for the following algebras.

(1) $\mathcal{A}_1 = C(\mathbb{T}^d), \ \mathcal{A}_2 = C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \ \pi_1(f) = M_f, \ \pi_2(g) = g(\frac{\nabla}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}).$ (2) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \ \mathcal{A}_2 = C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \ \pi_1(f) = M_f, \ \pi_2(g) = g(\frac{\nabla}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}).$ **Remark 3.6.** If, in the second case, we take $\mathcal{A}_1 = C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (the algebra of bounded continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d) instead of $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then representations π_1 and π_2 would not commute modulo compact operators.

Proof. Let $f(t) = e^{i(t,\alpha)}$ and let $g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ be non-constant. Denote the homogeneous extension of g to \mathbb{R}^d as \tilde{g} . If U denotes the Fourier transform, then

$$U[\pi_1(f), \pi_2(g)]U^* = [e^{i(\alpha, \nabla)}, M_{\tilde{g}}]$$

As already shown in Lemma 3.5, the above commutator is never compact since \tilde{g} is never periodic.

Furthermore, we are able to construct a symbol mapping for the following noncommutative algebras and representations:

(1)
$$\mathcal{A}_1 = C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}), \ \mathcal{A}_2 = C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \ \pi_1(f) = M_f, \ \pi_2(g) = g(\frac{\nabla}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}).$$

(2) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta}), \ \mathcal{A}_2 = C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \ \pi_1(f) = M_f, \ \pi_2(g) = g(\frac{\nabla}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}).$

We also work with $\mathcal{A}_1 = C(SU(2))$ and \mathcal{A}_2 is the C^* -algebra generated by the operators b_1, b_2 and b_3 on $L_2(SU(2))$. Here the \mathcal{A}_2 is noncommutative, however its image in the Calkin algebra, $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is commutative.

4. Verification that the product mapping is injective

The most difficult part of verifying the conditions of Theorem 3.3 is 3.3(3). In this section we verify this condition in each of our examples.

4.1. Noncommutative *d*-space. We start with the following basic fact:

Lemma 4.1. If $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ and if $\{p_k\}_{k\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections, then $||Tp_k||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $T = T_1 + T_2$, where T_1 is finite rank and $||T_2||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$. Since,

$$||Tp_k||_{\infty} \le ||T_1p_k||_{\infty} + \varepsilon$$

it suffices to show that $||T_1p_k||_{\infty} \to 0$.

Note that $||T_1p_k||_{\infty} \leq ||T_1p_k||_2$. As each p_k is pairwise orthogonal and $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T_1 p_k\|_2^2 = \left\| T_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \right\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

Thus $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||T_1 p_k||_2 = 0.$

The following lemma verifies Condition (3) of Theorem 3.3 for the noncommutative *d*-space.

Lemma 4.2. Let
$$x_k \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$$
 and $y_k \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), 1 \le k \le n$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k)\pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and choose a sequence $\{m_j\}_{j\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\frac{m_j}{|m_j|} \to s$ and $|m_j| \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$. It follows that

$$\sup_{t \in m_j + [0,1]^d} \left| \frac{t}{|t|} - s \right| \to 0, \quad j \to \infty$$

By continuity, we have

$$\sup_{t\in m_j+[0,1]^d} \left| y_k(\frac{t}{|t|}) - y_k(s) \right| \to 0, \quad j \to \infty.$$

By the spectral theorem, we have

$$\pi_2(y_k)\chi_{m_j+[0,1]^d}(\nabla) - y_k(s)\chi_{m_j+[0,1]^d}(\nabla) \to 0$$

in the uniform norm as $j \to \infty$.

By Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) \pi_2(y_k) \chi_{m_j + [0,1]^d}(\nabla) \to 0$$

in the uniform norm as $j \to \infty$. By the preceding paragraph, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) y_k(s) \chi_{m_j + [0,1]^d}(\nabla) \to 0$$

in the uniform norm as $j \to \infty$. By Lemma [22, Lemma 7.5], there exists a unitary operator $V_j \in \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ which commutes with $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ and such that

$$V_j \chi_{m_j + [0,1]^d}(\nabla) V_j^{-1} = \chi_{[0,1]^d}(\nabla).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) y_k(s) \chi_{[0,1]^d}(\nabla) = V \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) y_k(s) \chi_{m_j + [0,1]^d}(\nabla)\right) \cdot V^{-1} \to 0$$

in the uniform norm as $j \to \infty$. The left hand side does not depend on j and, therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(x_k) y_k(s) \chi_{[0,1]^d}(\nabla) = 0.$$

In other words, we have

$$\pi_1(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \cdot y_k(s)) \cdot \chi_{[0,1]^d}(\nabla) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \cdot y_k(s) = 0.$$

Since $s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is arbitrary, the assertion follows.

The preceding Lemma applies for \mathbb{R}^d_{θ} , where as always the assumption is made that $\det(\theta) \neq 0$. We also record the following, which applies for the commutative case \mathbb{R}^d :

Lemma 4.3. Let $x_k \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_k \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), 1 \leq k \leq n$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k)\pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k = 0$$

Proof. The argument of Lemma 4.2 works *mutatis mutandi* for this case, by taking instead $(V_j\xi)(t) := e^{-i(m_j,t)}\xi(t)$ rather than referring to [22, Lemma 7.5].

4.2. Noncommutative *d*-tori. The canonical trace state τ_{θ} on $C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ is invariant under the canonical \mathbb{T}^d -action (defined in Lemma 4.4 below) which we denote z_t . Moreover, integrating z_t over \mathbb{T}^d recovers τ_{θ} . This fact is well known, and appears in [17, Section 2] and is implicit in [38, Section 1.2].

Lemma 4.4. Let $x \in C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$. We define the 1-parameter family of operators,

$$z_t(x) := e^{i(t,\nabla)} \pi_1(x) e^{-i(t,\nabla)}$$

This family is continuous in the norm topology on $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}))$, and furthermore we have

(3)
$$\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} z_t(x) \, dt = (2\pi)^d \tau_\theta(x) \, dt$$

where the left hand side is a Bochner integral in the norm topology of $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}))$, and the 1 on the right hand side is the identity operator.

Proof. One can compute,

$$z_t(u_n) = e^{i(n,t)} \pi_1(u_n)$$

demonstrates the existence of the Bochner integral on the left hand side of (3). for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Hence $z_t(u_n)$ is norm-continuous in t, and if x is a finite linear combination of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ then $z_t(x)$ is a linear combination of continuous functions and so is norm-continuous. Additionally, elements of $C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ are norm-limits of elements of the linear span of $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$. Hence for all $x \in C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$, $z_t(x)$ is a norm limit of continuous functions and so is continuous. This demonstrates the existence of the Bochner integral on the left hand side of (3).

To prove the equality in (3) it is enough to verify the result for $x = u_n$, since both sides are linear in x and continuous in the norm topology. Since we have computed $z_t(u_n)$, this is straightforward.

The following lemma verifies Condition (3) in Theorem 3.3 for $C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $x_k \in C(\mathbb{T}^d_\theta)$ and $y_k \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), 1 \le k \le n$. If $\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k)\pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_\theta))$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k = 0.$$

Proof. If $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k \neq 0$, we may assume without loss of generality that the set $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is linearly independent. We may also assume, without loss, that there is at least one k with $\tau_{\theta}(x_k) \neq 0$ since if this is not the case, we may find x with $\tau_{\theta}(x_k) \neq 0$ and consider instead the expression $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_1(xx_k)\pi_2(y_k)$.

We have that for each t

$$e^{i(t,\nabla)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k)\pi_2(y_k)\right)e^{-i(t,\nabla)} \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_\theta)),$$

and for each k, $e^{i(t,\nabla)}$ commutes with $\pi_2(y_k)$. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_t(x_k)\pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_\theta)).$$

and from Lemma 4.4, this mapping is norm-continuous in the normed space $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}))$. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^d} z_t(x_k) \, dt \cdot \pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_\theta)).$$

Finally then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{\theta}(x_k) \pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}))$, but this operator is in $\pi_2(C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$ and so is compact if and only if it is zero. This contradicts the assumed linear independence of $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$.

4.3. **Injectivity for** SU(2). The proofs for SU(2) are very similar to the proofs for \mathbb{T}^d_{θ} , however instead of integrating over \mathbb{T}^d with the action z_t we integrate with respect to the right Haar measure. The following theorem is a simple and well known consequence of the invariance of the Haar measure on a compact group, and we include the proof for convenience.

Lemma 4.6. Let $x \in C(SU(2))$. Consider the mapping

$$z_g(x) := \lambda_r(g)\pi_1(x)\lambda_r(g)^* = \pi_1(\lambda_r(g)x).$$

The mapping $g \to z_g(x)$ is continuous in the norm topology of $\mathcal{L}(L_2(SU(2)))$, and we have

(4)
$$\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} z_g(x) \, dg = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} x(g) \, dg \, dg$$

where the integral on the left hand side is a Bochner integral in the operator norm, and the 1 on the right hand side is the identity operator.

Proof. Since x is continuous on a compact space, it is uniformly continuous and so the mapping $g \to \lambda_r(g)x$ is continuous in the uniform norm. Hence, $g \to z_g$ is continuous in the operator norm.

Due to the norm continuity of π_1 ,

$$\pi_1\left(\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}\lambda_r(g)x\,dg\right) = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}z_g(x)\,dg$$

By the right-invariance of the Haar measure, the left hand side is simply

$$\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} x(g) \, dg \cdot \pi_1(1).$$

The following lemma, analogous to Lemma 4.5, verifies Condition (3) of Theorem 3.3 for C(SU(2)).

Lemma 4.7. Let $x_k \in C(SU(2))$ and $y_k \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $1 \le k \le n$. If

$$\sum_{k=1} \pi_1(x_k) \pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes \rho_2(y_k) = 0.$$

Proof. This proof is very similar to Lemma 4.5. Again if $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes \rho_2(y_k) \neq 0$, then we may assume that the set $\{\rho_2(y_k)\}_{k=1}^n$ is linearly independent to find a contradiction and we may also assume that there is at least one k with $\int_{SU(2)} x_k(g) dg \neq 0$. From Lemma 4.6, the mapping

$$g \mapsto \lambda_r(g) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k)\pi_2(y_k)\right) \lambda_r(g)^*$$

is norm-continuous, hence

$$\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \lambda_r(g) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_1(x_k) \pi_2(y_k) \right) \lambda_r(g)^* \, dg \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2))).$$

However, $\lambda_r(g)$ commutes with each D_j (since right actions commute with left actions), and hence with each $\pi_2(y_k)$. Thus from Lemma 4.6,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} x_k(g) \, dg \cdot \pi_2(y_k) \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2))).$$

Applying the quotient map q, we obtain a linear dependence of $\{\rho_2(y_k)\}_{k=1}^d$, and this is a contradiction.

5. Verification of the commutator condition

We now verify the "commuting modulo compacts" condition 3.3(2) in each of our three examples: the noncommutative torus, noncommutative Euclidean space and SU(2).

We cover only the case of noncommutative Euclidean space in detail, as the other examples are very similar. The following Lemma follows the proof of [7, Proposition 2.14].

Lemma 5.1. If $x \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, then

(5)
$$[(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \pi_1(x)](1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. We have

$$(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\lambda-\Delta)}.$$

Thus,

$$[(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \pi_1(x)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big[\frac{1-\Delta}{1+\lambda-\Delta}, \pi_1(x) \Big].$$

Denote for brevity

$$A = (1 - \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [-\Delta, \pi_1(x)], \quad B = (1 - \Delta)^{-1} [-\Delta, [-\Delta, \pi_1(x)]].$$

A little algebra gives us

$$\left[\frac{1-\Delta}{1+\lambda-\Delta},\pi_1(x)\right] = \left(\frac{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)} - \frac{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2}\right)A + \frac{\lambda(1-\Delta)}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2}B\frac{1}{1+\lambda-\Delta}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)} - \frac{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, we can write (here, LHS denotes the left hand side in (5))

$$LHS = \frac{1}{2}A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\lambda(1-\Delta)}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2} B \frac{1}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Clearly,

$$A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot [D_k, \pi_1(x)](1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{d} (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [D_k, \pi_1(x)] \cdot \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.12 that

$$[D_k, \pi_1(x)](1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}[D_k, \pi_1(x)] \in \mathcal{L}_{d+1}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Thus,

$$A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{d+1}$$
 and, similarly, $B(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{d+1}$.

Taking into account that

$$\left\|\frac{\lambda(1-\Delta)}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2}\right\|_{\infty} \le 1, \quad \left\|\frac{1}{1+\lambda-\Delta}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{1+\lambda},$$

we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\lambda(1-\Delta)}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)^2}B\frac{1}{(1+\lambda-\Delta)(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\|_{d+1} \le \frac{1}{1+\lambda}\left\|B(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{d+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|LHS\|_{d+1} \le \frac{1}{2} \|A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{d+1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\lambda)} \cdot \|B(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{d+1}.$$

Since the right hand side is finite, the assertion follows.

The operators $\frac{D_k}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$ are the noncommutative equivalent of the Riesz transforms R_k . The following Lemma can be viewed as a noncommutative variant of the classical result that if $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the commutators $[M_f, R_k]$ are compact.

Lemma 5.2. If $x \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, then

(6)
$$[\pi_1(x), \frac{D_k}{(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad k = 1, \dots, d$$

Proof. Firstly, we consider the commutator

$$[\pi_1(x), \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}] = -[D_k, \pi_1(x)](1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot [(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \pi_1(x)](1-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Using Theorem 2.13 for the first summand and Lemma 5.1 for the second summand, we infer that

$$\pi_1(x), \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Define a function h_k on \mathbb{R}^d by setting

$$h_k(t) = \frac{t_k}{|t|} - \frac{t_k}{(1+|t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{t_k}{|t|} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+|t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ((1+|t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |t|)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.13 that

$$[\pi_1(x), h_k(\nabla)] = \pi_1(x)h_k(\nabla) - h_k(\nabla)\pi_1(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta).$$

Thus,

$$[\pi_1(x), \frac{D_k}{(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}] = [\pi_1(x), \frac{D_k}{(1-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}] + [\pi_1(x), h_k(\nabla)] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Now we may complete the verifications of the condition 3.3(2) for \mathbb{R}^d_{θ} ,

Theorem 5.3. If $x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ and if $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then $[\pi_1(x), \pi_2(y)] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. *Proof.* Lemma 5.2 shows that $[\pi_1(x), \pi_2(y)] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ when $x \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ and $y(t) = \frac{t_k}{|t|}$. As $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ is norm-dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ (see Lemma 2.13) and the compact operators are closed in the norm topology, the result follows for arbitrary $x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ and $y(t) = \frac{t_k}{|t|}$.

We may now extend the result to all y given as a polynomial in the variables $\frac{t_k}{|t|}$ using the Leibniz rule. Finally by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we may approximate arbitrary $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ by polynomials in the uniform norm. Hence again using the fact that $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is norm-closed, this completes the proof. \Box

6. Connes' Trace Formula in the examples

We now proceed to establish a variant of Connes' Trace Theorem which applies to our examples. Let H be a separable Hilbert space. We recall that a linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a continuous trace if $\varphi([A, B]) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$ and $|\varphi(B)| \leq ||B||_{1,\infty}$. We will call φ normalised if

$$\varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) = 1.$$

If φ is a normalised trace, then note also that

$$\varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{(1+|n|^2)^{d/2}}\right\}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{d}.$$

It is known (see [23, Corollary 5.7.7]) that any continuous trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$ vanishes on $\mathcal{K}(H) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$.

Let \mathcal{A}_1 , \mathcal{A}_2 be the algebras in any of our three examples. We establish that for any continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, and $T \in \Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$,

(7)
$$\varphi(T(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = c(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \left(\tau_{\theta} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\right) (\operatorname{sym}(T)).$$

where τ_{θ} is replaced by integration with respect to the Haar measure in the example of SU(2), and $c(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ is a nonzero constant depending on the choices of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 . For (7) to be hold for noncommutative Euclidean space, we must make the additional assumption that there exists $z \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ such that $T\pi_1(z) = T$. This result should be compared with [23, Corollary 11.6.20], which applies to classical pseudodifferential operators T on \mathbb{R}^d with the condition that there exists $\psi \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $TM_{\psi} = T$. The formula (7) is our version of Connes' Trace Theorem, and we verify it in

The formula (7) is our version of Connes' Trace Theorem, and we verify it in each of our three examples: first for noncommutative tori (Theorem 6.5), for SU(2) (Theorem 6.8) and for noncommutative spaces (Theorem 6.15).

To establish (7) for all of our examples, we use the following two results. Lemma 6.1 follows immediately from the fact that any continuous normalised trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$ vanishes on $\mathcal{K}(H) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$

Lemma 6.1. Let $V \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$, and let φ be a continuous trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(H)$. Then,

 $T \mapsto \varphi(TV)$

is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{K}(H)$.

To make our proof of Connes' trace theorem completely transparent, we return to the abstract setting of Theorem 3.3. As with Theorem 3.3, the proof of the following lemma follows almost immediately from the assumptions.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and H be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose that ω is a continuous linear functional on $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ which vanishes $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \cap \mathcal{K}(H)$. Then there exists a unique linear functional ρ on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$ such that

 $\omega(T) = \rho(\operatorname{sym}(T))$

for all $T \in \Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$.

If, in addition, we have $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^*$ and $\psi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2^*$, and

 $\omega(\pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)) = \psi_1(a)\psi_2(b)$

for all $a \in A_1$ and $b \in A_2$, then

 $\omega(T) = (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(\operatorname{sym}(T))$

or in other words, $\rho = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$.

Proof. Since ω vanishes on $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \cap \mathcal{K}(H)$, ω descends to a linear functional $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)/(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \cap \mathcal{K}(H))$, which is simply $q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$. Theorem 3.3 gives an isometric *-isomorphism $j : q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)) \to \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$. Defining $\rho = \tilde{\omega} \circ j^{-1}$ gives the required linear functional.

Now to prove that $\rho = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$, first we note that it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ is well defined on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$. Since by assumption ψ_1 and ψ_2 are continuous, $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ is determined by its values on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$. Hence, the linear functional $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ is uniquely characterised by

$$(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(a \otimes b) = \psi_1(a)\psi_2(b) \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_1, b \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

Since by assumption $\rho(a \otimes b) = \omega(\pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)) = \psi_1(a)\psi_2(b)$, it follows that $\rho = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$.

Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 show that to establish (7) for the noncommutative torus it suffices to show that we have for all $a \in C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ and $g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$,

(8)
$$\varphi(\pi_1(a)\pi_2(g)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = c(\varphi,d)\tau_\theta(a) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g(t) dt,$$

Recall that for SU(2), the algebra we denote \mathcal{A}_2 is generated by the operators $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$, and there is a map sym : $\mathcal{A}_2 \to C(\mathbb{S}^2)$. To establish (7), we need to prove that for all $f \in C(SU(2))$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}_2$,

(9)
$$\varphi(\pi_1(f)\pi_2(g)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = c(\varphi) \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(s) \, ds \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \operatorname{sym}(g)(t) \, dt,$$

The case for noncommutative Euclidean space is more subtle. Here, we fix $z \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, and consider the functional

$$\omega(T) := \varphi(T\pi_1(z)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$$

and we must prove that for all $x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta}) + \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$,

(10)
$$\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(g)) = \tau_\theta(xz) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g(t) \, dt.$$

From Lemma 6.2 it then follows that $\omega(T) = (\tau_{\theta} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}) (\operatorname{sym}(T)(z \otimes 1)).$

The remainder of this section contains the required argument for (8), (9) and (10). The statement and proof of Connes' Trace Formula for the commutative case \mathbb{R}^d is given in [35, Theorem 4].

6.1. Connes' Trace Formula on the Noncommutative Torus. In this subsection we prove (8).

Lemma 6.3. If $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then

$$\varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right)\cdot(1+|n|^2)^{-d/2}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\right) = \frac{1}{d}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}y$$

for every continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$. Here, $c_d = \frac{1}{d}$.

Proof. We establish the equivalent result that,

$$\sum_{|n| \le N} y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right) (1+|n|^2)^{-d/2} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y(t) \, dt \cdot \log(N) + O(1)$$

as $N \to \infty$. The equivalence of this assertion to the result is established in [23, Corollary 11.2.4].

First we suppose that y is Lipschitz. In this case, we have

$$y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right)(1+|n|^2)^{-d/2} - \int_{n+[0,1]^d} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)(1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} dt = O((1+|n|^2)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}).$$

So summing over all n with $|n| \leq N$,

(11)
$$\sum_{|n| \le N} y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right) (1+|n|^2)^{-d/2} - \sum_{|n| \le N} \int_{n+[0,1]^d} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} dt = O(1).$$

The left hand side can be estimated as,

(12)

$$\left| \int_{|t| \le N} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} dt - \sum_{|n| \le N} \int_{n+[0,1]^d} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} dt \right| \le c_d \|y\|_{\infty} N^{d-1} N^{-d}$$
(13)

$$= O(1).$$

So by (11) and (12),

$$\sum_{|n| \le N} y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right) (1+|n|^2)^{-d/2} = \int_{|t| \le N} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} dt + O(1).$$

The integral on the right hand side can be computed by a polar decomposition:

$$\int_{|t| \le N} y\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y(t) \, dt \int_0^N \frac{r^{d-1}}{(1+r^2)^{d/2}} \, dr.$$

However as,

$$\int_0^N \frac{r^{d-1}}{(1+r^2)^{d/2}} \, dr = \log(N) + O(1)$$

Hence there is a constant c_d such that for all Lipschitz $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$,

$$\varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right)\cdot(1+|n|^2)^{-d/2}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\right)=c_d\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}y.$$

The value of c_d can be determined by putting y = 1.

To remove the assumption that y is Lipschitz, we note that both

$$y \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y(t) \, dt$$

and

$$y \mapsto \varphi \left(\operatorname{diag} \left\{ y \left(\frac{n}{|n|} \right) \cdot (1 + |n|^2)^{-d/2} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right)$$

are continuous in the uniform norm on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, with the second case following from our assumption that φ is continuous.

Hence, as we may approximate an arbitrary $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ in the uniform norm by a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of Lipschitz functions, the result follows.

Lemma 6.4. If $x \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta})$ and if $y \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(y)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \frac{1}{d}\tau_{\theta}(x) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} y$$

for every continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$.

Proof. We refer to [23, Corollary 11.2.4], which implies as a special case that if $V \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ is nonnegative, with a sequence of eigenvectors $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then

$$\varphi(TV) = \varphi(\operatorname{diag}\left\{\langle e_n, TVe_n \rangle\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$$

We apply this result with $V = (1 - \Delta)^{-d/2}$ and $T = \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y)$. Note that the unitary generators $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are eigenvectors for $(1 - \Delta)^{-d/2}$. Now we obtain:

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(y)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left\{\langle u_n, \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}u_n\rangle\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\right)$$

Again by definition, $u_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, are eigenvectors for $\pi_2(y)$. Thus,

$$\langle u_n, \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}u_n \rangle = \tau_{\theta}(u_n^*xy\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right)(1+|n|^2)^{-d/2}u_n)$$

= $\tau_{\theta}(x) \cdot y\left(\frac{n}{|n|}\right) \cdot (1+|n|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 6.3.

By an application of Lemma 6.2, we have the following theorem, which is our version of Connes' trace theorem for noncommutative tori.

Theorem 6.5. If $T \in \Pi(C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}), C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$, then for any continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$,

$$\varphi(T(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\tau_{\theta} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \right) (\operatorname{sym}(T)).$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.1, the functional

$$\omega(T) = \varphi(T(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$$

vanishes on $\Pi(C(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}), C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})) \cap \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathbb{T}^d_{\theta}))$. Due to Lemma 6.4, we can apply Lemma 6.2 to obtain $\omega(T) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\tau_{\theta} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \right) (\operatorname{sym}(T))$.

6.2. Connes' Trace Formula on SU(2). Recall that in the setting of SU(2), \mathcal{A}_2 denotes the C^* -algebra generated by $\frac{D_k}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, for k = 1, 2, 3.

Appendix B shows that $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is in fact isometrically isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, as in Appendix B, we let $u : C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ be the isomorphism. We have $(1 \otimes u^{-1}) \circ \text{sym} : \mathcal{A}_2 \to 1 \otimes C(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Making a slight abuse of notation, we consider $\text{sym}(x) \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$ when $x \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

Lemma 6.6. For every continuous trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, we have

$$\varphi(x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) = \frac{\varphi((1-\Delta)^{-3/2})}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \operatorname{sym}(x)(t) \, dt, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

Proof. Since φ is a trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, it is vanishes on finite rank operators [23, Corollary 5.7.7]. By continuity, it follows that φ vanishes on $\mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$. Hence, $\rho(x(1-\Delta)^{-3/2})$ depends only on the class of x modulo compact operators.

From Appendix B, there is an isometric *-isomorphism $u : C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to q(\mathcal{A}_2)$. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, and choose $x \in \mathcal{A}_2$ such that u(f) = q(x). Define a linear functional:

$$L(f) := \varphi(x(1 - \Delta)^{-d/2})$$

The above is independent of the choice of x, since if x_1, x_2 are such that $q(x_1) = q(x_2)$, then $\varphi(x_1(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}) = \varphi(x_2(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$. Note we also have that L is continuous, since $|L(f)| \leq |\varphi((1-\Delta)^{-3/2})| ||q(x)|| = |\varphi((1-\Delta)^{-d/2})| ||u(f)||$, and $||u(f)|| = ||f||_{\infty}$.

Since the trace φ is unitarily invariant,

$$\varphi(x(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}) = \varphi(\lambda_l(g)x(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}\lambda_l(g)^{-1})$$

and since Δ commutes with $\lambda_l(g)$,

$$\varphi(x(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}) = \varphi(\lambda_l(g)x\lambda_l(g)^{-1}(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}).$$

We introduce the canonical surjective map,

$$\eta : \mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

Lemma B.2 shows that for all $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, we have $\lambda_l(g)u(f)\lambda_l(g)^{-1} = u(f \circ \eta(g))$. Thus, $L(f) = L(f \circ \eta(g))$. Since $\eta : \mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is surjective, this means that $L \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)^*$ is invariant under all rotations. Hence, L is the rotation invariant measure on \mathbb{S}^2 .

Lemma 6.7. For every continuous trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$,

$$\varphi(\pi_1(f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) = \frac{\varphi((1-\Delta)^{-3/2})}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(g) \, dg \cdot \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \operatorname{sym}(x), \quad f \in C(\mathrm{SU}(2)), \ x \in \mathcal{A}_2$$

Proof. Fix $x \in A_2$. The mapping

$$L: f \to \varphi(\pi_1(f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad f \in C(\mathrm{SU}(2)),$$

is a bounded linear functional on C(SU(2)).

Let $g \in SU(2)$. We have

$$\lambda_r(g)\pi_1(f)\lambda_r(g)^{-1} = \pi_1(\lambda_r(g)f)$$

, and since left actions commute with right actions, and x is a function of the generators of the left action, we have $\lambda_r(g)x = x\lambda_r(g)$. Thus,

$$L(f) = \varphi(\lambda_r(g)\pi_1(f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\lambda_r(g)^{-1})$$

= $\varphi(\lambda_r(g)\pi_1(f)\lambda_r(g)^{-1}x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}})$
= $\varphi(\pi_1(\lambda_r(g)f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}})$
= $L(\lambda_r(g)f).$

By the uniqueness theorem for Haar measures, it follows that the only λ_r -invariant bounded linear functional on C(SU(2)) is an integral with respect to the Haar measure (up to a constant factor). Thus,

$$\varphi(\pi_1(f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(g) \, dg \cdot F(x).$$

Substituting f = 1, we obtain

$$\varphi(\pi_1(f)x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} f(g) \, dg \cdot \varphi(x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 6.6.

So by an identical argument to Theorem 6.5, which is our version of Connes' trace theorem for SU(2).

Theorem 6.8. For every continuous trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, and for all $T \in \Pi(C(SU(2)), \mathcal{A}_2)$,

$$\varphi(T(1-\Delta)^{-3/2}) = \frac{\varphi((1-\Delta)^{-3/2})}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) (\operatorname{sym}(T)).$$

6.3. Connes' Trace formula on noncommutative Euclidean space. The following assertion is proved in [34], see also the related result [12, Proposition 4.17].

Theorem 6.9. If $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, then $x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$ and there is a constant $C(d,\theta) > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C(d,\theta)\tau_{\theta}(x)$$

for every normalised continuous trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$.

We also need a pair of important intermediate results from [34]. Firstly,

Lemma 6.10. If F is a continuous functional on $W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_A)$ such that

$$F(x) = F(U(-t)xU(t)), \quad x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

then $F = \tau_{\theta}$ (up to a constant factor).

Let $M_d(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of $d \times d$ real matrices. We define

$$\operatorname{Sp}(\theta, d) := \left\{ g \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) : g^* \theta g = \theta \right\}.$$

As we are working under the assumption that $det(\theta) \neq 0$, it follows that $Sp(\theta, d)$ is a group under usual matrix multiplication.

By our assumption that $\det(\theta) \neq 0$, it follows that if $g \in \text{Sp}(\theta, d)$ then $|\det(g)| = 1$.

The second result from [34] we require is

Lemma 6.11. Let $g \in \text{Sp}(\theta, d)$. We define an action $g \mapsto W_q$ on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$(W_g\xi) = \xi \circ g^{-1}$$

The operator W_g is unitary on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and conjugation by W_g defines a tracepreserving group of automorphisms of $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$.

Note that the assumption that $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(\theta, d)$ in Lemma 6.11 is crucial: otherwise we do not necessarily have that $W_g x W_g^* \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$ when $x \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_\theta)$. Let Ω be the antisymmetric matrix $\Omega := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus d/2}$. Then $\operatorname{Sp}(\Omega, d)$ is the usual symplectic group.

Let $g \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$. Referring to Appendix A, consider the operator V_g on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ defined by

$$(V_g f)(t) = \frac{1}{|gt|^d} f\left(\frac{gt}{|gt|}\right).$$

It can be easily verified that $g \mapsto V_g$ is an "opposite group action" in the sense that it satisfies the rule $V_{gh} = V_h \circ V_g$ for all $g, h \in \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$. Lemma A.1 proves that the rotation-invariant integration functional m on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ transforms under V_g by $m \circ V_g = (\operatorname{det}(g))^{-1}m$.

Lemma 6.12. Let $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$. If $l \circ V_g = l$ for every $g \in Sp(\theta, d)$, then $l = \alpha m$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The following result of linear algebra is well known, and follows easily from [29, Section 9.44]. There exists a real invertible matrix β with $\beta\beta^* = \beta^*\beta = |\det(\theta)|^{-1}$ such that

(14)
$$\beta^* \theta \beta = \Omega$$

Hence, if $g \in \text{Sp}(\Omega, d)$ is arbitrary, then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta g \beta^{-1})^* \theta (\beta g \beta^{-1}) &= (\beta^*)^{-1} g^* \beta^* \theta \beta g \beta^{-1} \\ &= (\beta^*)^{-1} \Omega \beta^{-1} \\ &= \theta, \end{aligned}$$

so $\beta g \beta^{-1} \in \operatorname{Sp}(\theta, d)$. Since by assumption, $l \circ V_h = l$ for all $h \in \operatorname{Sp}(\theta, d)$, we have:

$$l \circ V_{\beta}^{-1} \circ V_g \circ V_{\beta} = l.$$

Therefore for arbitrary $g \in \text{Sp}(\theta, d)$,

$$(l \circ V_{\beta^{-1}}) \circ V_q = l \circ V_{\beta^{-1}}, \quad \text{for all } g \in \operatorname{Sp}(\Omega, d).$$

So by Theorem A.2, there is a constant C such that $l \circ V_{\beta^{-1}} = Cm$. Hence $l = Cm \circ V_{\beta}$. By Lemma A.1, $m \circ V_{\beta} = \det(\beta)^{-1}m$. Let $\alpha = C \det(\beta)^{-1}$, so that $l = \alpha m$.

Lemma 6.13. Let φ be a continuous trace on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$. There is a continuous functional $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ such that for all $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ and all $b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ we have

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{a}{2}}) = \tau_\theta(x) \cdot l(b).$$

Proof. Since φ is unitarily invariant, it follows that

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \varphi(e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle})$$

However, ∇ commutes with Δ and with $\pi_2(b)$. Thus,

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \varphi(e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}\pi_1(x)e^{-i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}).$$

Note that if $\xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}U(s)e^{-i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}\xi(r) = e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}U(s)e^{-i(\theta t,r)}\xi(r)$$
$$= e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}e^{\frac{i}{2}(s,\theta r) - i(\theta t,r-s)}\xi(r-s)$$
$$= e^{i(\theta t,r) + \frac{i}{2}(s,\theta r) - i(\theta t,r) + i(\theta t,s)}\xi(r-s)$$
$$= e^{i(\theta t,s)}(U(s)\xi)(r)$$

On the other hand from (1),

$$U(-t)U(s)U(t) = e^{i\langle \theta t, s \rangle} U(s).$$

Since the family $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ generates $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$, it follows that for all $x\in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ we have:

$$e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}xe^{-i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle} = U(-t)xU(t).$$

Since π_1 is actually the identity function, this is equivalent to

$$e^{i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle}\pi_1(x)e^{-i\langle\theta t,\nabla\rangle} = \pi_1(U(-t)xU(t)).$$

Hence,

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \varphi(\pi_1(U(-t)xU(t))\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}).$$

Consider now the linear functional on $W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$,

$$F(x) = \varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$$

From Corollary 2.14, F is continuous in the $W^{d,1}$ -norm. We have proved that F(U(-t)xU(t)) = F(x), and so from Lemma 6.10 we can conclude that F(x) is a scalar multiple of $\tau_{\theta}(x)$. So,

(15)
$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{a}{2}}) = \tau_{\theta}(x) \cdot l(b),$$

for some functional l on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. Since φ is continuous,

$$|l(b)| \le C ||b||_{\infty}$$

for some $C \ge 0$. So l is continuous.

Lemma 6.14. Let $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ and $b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then for any continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$.

$$\varphi(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = \frac{C(d,\theta)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}\tau_\theta(x) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(t) \, dt.$$

where $C(d, \theta)$ is the same constant as in Theorem 6.9.

Proof. Let l be the linear functional from Lemma 6.13. It is required to show that we have:

$$l(b) = \frac{C(d,\theta)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(t) \, dt.$$

From Lemma 6.12, it suffices to show that $l \circ V_g = l$ for all $g \in \text{Sp}(d, \theta)$, and we will be able to recover the constant by substituting b = 1.

Now let $g \in \text{Sp}(\theta, d)$. Since the operator W_g from Lemma 6.11 is unitary, it follows that:

(16)
$$\tau_{\theta}(x)l(b) = \varphi(W_g^*\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}W_g)$$

(17)
$$= \varphi(\pi_1(W_g^* x W_g) W_g^* \pi_2(b) (1-\Delta)^{-d/2} W_g).$$

We now show that for all $y \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$,

(18)
$$\pi_1(y)W_g^*\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}W_g - \pi_1(y)\pi_2(V_gb)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2} \in \mathcal{L}_1$$

Let $\xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$(W_g^* \pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2} W_g \xi)(t) = W_g^*(b\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2} \xi(g^{-1}t))$$
$$= b\left(\frac{gt}{|gt|}\right) (1+|gt|^2)^{-d/2} \xi(t)$$
$$= b\left(\frac{gt}{|gt|}\right) \frac{|t|^d}{|gt|^d} \frac{|gt|^d}{|t|^d} (1+|gt|^2)^{-d/2} \xi(t)$$

The above computation shows that:

$$W_g^* \pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2} W_g = \pi_2(V_g b) \frac{|g\nabla|^d}{|\nabla|^d} (1+|g\nabla|^2)^{-d/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\pi_1(y)W_g^*\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}W_g - \pi_1(y)\pi_2(V_gb)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}$$

= $\pi_1(y)\pi_2(V_g(b))\left(\frac{|g\nabla|^d}{|\nabla|^d}(1+|g\nabla|^2)^{-d/2} - (1-\Delta)^{-d/2}\right)$
= $\pi_1(y)\left(\frac{|g\nabla|^d}{|\nabla|^d}(1+|g\nabla|^2)^{-d/2} - (1-\Delta)^{-d/2}\right)\pi_2(V_g(b)).$

Due to Lemma 2.15, to prove (18), it suffices to show that:

$$h(t) := \frac{|gt|^d}{|t|^d} (1+|gt|^2)^{-d/2} - (1+|t|^2)^{-d/2}.$$

is in $\ell_1(L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. It is clear that h is bounded in the ball $\{|t| \leq 1\}$. Supposing |t| > 1, we rewrite h as,

$$h(t) = |t|^{-d} \left(\frac{|gt|^d}{(1+|gt|^2)^{d/2}} - \frac{|t|^d}{(1+|t|^2)^{d/2}} \right)$$

Since $\frac{|gt|^2}{1+|gt|^2}$ and $\frac{|t|^2}{1+|t|^2}$ are bounded above by 1, we may use the numerical inequality:

$$|\alpha^{d/2} - \beta^{d/2}| \le \frac{d}{2}|\alpha - \beta|, \quad |\alpha|, |\beta| \le 1$$

to obtain,

$$|h(t)| \le \frac{d}{2}|t|^{-d} \left| \frac{|gt|^2}{1+|gt|^2} - \frac{|t|^2}{1+|t|^2} \right|$$

However,

$$\frac{|gt|^2}{1+|gt|^2} - \frac{|t|^2}{1+|t|^2} = (1+|t|^2)^{-1} \frac{|gt|^2 - |t|^2}{1+|gt|^2}$$
$$= O((1+|t|^2)^{-1}), \quad |t| \to \infty.$$

Hence, $|h(t)| = O(|t|^{-d-2})$ as $|t| \to \infty$. From there it is easy to see that $h \in \ell_1(L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This completes the proof of (18).

As φ vanishes on \mathcal{L}_1 , we may use (18) with $y = W_g^* x W_g$ to obtain in (17) to obtain,

$$\tau_{\theta}(x)l(b) = \varphi(\pi_1(W_g^* x W_g)\pi_2(V_g b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$$

so by Lemma 6.13:

$$\tau_{\theta}(x)l(b) = \tau_{\theta}(W_q^* x W_g)l(V_g b)$$

From Lemma 6.11 we have $\tau_{\theta}(W_g^* x W_g) = \tau_{\theta}(x)$, so now

$$\tau_{\theta}(x)l(b) = \tau_{\theta}(x)l(V_gb).$$

Since $x \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ is arbitrary, it follows that $l(b) = l(V_g b)$. So from Lemma 6.12, $l(b) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(t) dt$ for some constant α . By substituting b = 1 and using Theorem 6.9, we recover the constant α .

Finally, we have our version of Connes' trace theorem for \mathbb{R}^d_{θ} :

Theorem 6.15. Let $z \in W^{d,1}(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$. Then for every continuous normalised trace φ on $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, and every $T \in \Pi(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta}) + \mathbb{C}, C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$,

$$\varphi(T\pi_1(z)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = \frac{C(d,\theta)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \left(\tau_\theta \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\right) (\operatorname{sym}(T)(z \otimes 1)).$$

In particular, if $T = T\pi_1(z)$, then

$$\varphi(T(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = \frac{C(d,\theta)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \left(\tau_{\theta} \otimes \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\right) (\operatorname{sym}(T)).$$

Once again, $C(d, \theta)$ is the same constant as in Theorem 6.9.

Proof. We apply Lemma 6.2 to the functional

$$\omega(T) = \varphi(T\pi_1(z)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}).$$

Since $\pi_1(z)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2} \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, it follows from Lemma 2.13 that this functional is well defined and vanishes on compact operators. Consider the functionals $\psi_1(x) := C(d,\theta)\tau_{\theta}(xz)$ and $\psi_2(b) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(t) dt$ on $\mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d_{\theta})$ and $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ respectively. From Lemma 6.2, to show that $\omega(T) = (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)(\operatorname{sym}(T))$ it suffices to prove:

$$\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)) = \psi_1(x)\psi_2(b).$$

To this end, we compute $\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b))$. Since $[\pi_1(x), \pi_2(b)]$ is compact,

$$\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)) = \omega(\pi_2(b)\pi_1(x))$$

Hence

$$\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)) = \varphi(\pi_2(b)\pi_1(x)\pi_1(z)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2})$$

= $\varphi(\pi_2(b)\pi_1(xz)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}).$

Using the cyclicity of the trace φ , and that $\pi_2(b)$ commutes with Δ ,

$$\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)) = \varphi(\pi_1(xz)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}).$$

The right hand side may be computed using Lemma 6.14,

$$\varphi(\pi_1(xz)\pi_2(b)(1-\Delta)^{-d/2}) = \frac{C(d,\theta)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}\tau_\theta(xz)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b(t)\,dt$$
$$= \psi_1(x)\psi_2(b).$$

So finally, we have $\omega(\pi_1(x)\pi_2(b)) = \psi_1(x)\psi_2(b)$. So from Lemma 6.2, we immediately obtain $\omega = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$, and this completes the proof.

7. Conclusion

Having verified the conditions of Theorem 3.3 for each of our three examples, we have in each setting an algebra of order 0 pseudodifferential operators and an operator-norm continuous principal symbol map. It would be of interest to extend our methods to further examples, for example Lie groups more general than SU(2). A further generalisation would be to consider the principal symbol mapping of Theorem 3.3 when both algebras \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are noncommutative. In fact, the only reason we have restricted attention to the case where \mathcal{A}_2 is commutative is due to the fact that commutative algebras are nuclear. Theorem 3.3 would work without modification in the case that both algebras are noncommutative, but at least one is nuclear.

In general, in the setting of a compact d-dimensional Riemannian manifold X, the principal symbol of a pseudodifferential operator is a function on the cosphere bundle S^*X (see the discussion under Definition 4.1 on page 36 of [30]). The symbol mapping in Theorem 3.3 takes values in the tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_2$. In geometric terms, when $\mathcal{A}_1 = C(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = C(Y)$ we have that $q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$ is isomorphic to $C(X \times Y)$. Hence requiring that $q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$ be a tensor product restricts attention to the case where the cosphere bundle is trivial, that is, when S^*X is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times X$. A future extension of this work would need to go beyond the case where $q(\Pi(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2))$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 .

Appendix A. Measures invariant under the action of symplectic groups

For $g \in \operatorname{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$, we define the following action V_g on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ as follows:

$$(V_g b)(t) = \frac{1}{|gt|^d} b\left(\frac{gt}{|gt|}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

It is indeed an (opposite) action: we have

$$V_{g_1} \circ V_{g_2} = V_{g_2g_1}, \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma A.1. If *m* is a rotation-invariant integration functional on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, then $m \circ V_g = \det(g^{-1}) \cdot m$.

Proof. By converting to polar coordinates, for every $b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ we have the formula,

$$m(b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) e^{-|t|} dt$$

So,

$$m(V_g b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b\left(\frac{gt}{|gt|}\right) \frac{|t|^d}{|gt|^d} e^{-|t|} dt.$$

Applying the linear transformation s = gt, we get,

$$m(V_g b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b\left(\frac{s}{|s|}\right) \frac{|g^{-1}s|^d}{|s|^d} e^{-|g^{-1}s|} d(g^{-1}s)$$
$$= \frac{\det(g^{-1})}{\Gamma(d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b\left(\frac{s}{|s|}\right) \frac{|g^{-1}s|^d}{|s|^d} e^{-|g^{-1}s|} ds.$$

Now using polar coordinates,

$$m(V_g b) = \frac{\det(g)^{-1}}{\Gamma(d)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(s) \int_0^\infty |g^{-1}s|^d e^{-r|g^{-1}s|} r^{d-1} \, dr ds.$$

Now using the formula $\Gamma(d) = \alpha^d \int_0^\infty r^{d-1} e^{-\alpha r} dr$, we get

$$m(V_g b) = \frac{\det(g^{-1})}{\Gamma(d)} \Gamma(d) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(s) \, ds$$
$$= \det(g^{-1}) m(b).$$

Let d be even. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ (a subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is defined as follows

$$\operatorname{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ g \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) : g^*\Omega g = \Omega \right\}, \quad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus \frac{d}{2}}$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of the following:

Theorem A.2. If $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ is such that $l \circ V_g = l$, for all $g \in Sp(d, \mathbb{R})$, then $l = \text{const} \cdot m$.

Let $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ denote the set of polynomials in the variables t_1, \ldots, t_d on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \cdots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$. Define,

$$b_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{d} t_k^{n_k}, \quad t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

considered as an element of $\operatorname{Poly}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

Our first result reduces the problem to even elements of \mathbb{Z}^d_+ :

Lemma A.3. Let $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ be such that $l \circ V_g = l$, for all $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$. If $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ is such that there is at least one j with n_j odd, then $l(b_n) = 0$.

Proof. Since SU(2) is abelian, it follows that $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2) \subset Sp(d, \mathbb{R})$ (here are $\frac{d}{2}$ factors). Clearly, |gt| = 1 for every $g \in SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)$ and for every $t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Thus, $V_g b = b \circ g$ for every $g \in SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)$. By assumption, we have

$$l(b) = l(V_g b) = l(b \circ g), \quad g \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$$

Since l is continuous on $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and since the integral below is Bochner, it follows that

$$l(b) = l\Big(\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SU}(2)} (b \circ g) dg\Big), \quad b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}).$$

Here, dg is the normalised Haar measure on the group $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)$.

For every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ and for every $1 \le k \le \frac{d}{2}$, we write

$$\mathbf{n}^{k} = (0, \cdots, 0, n_{2k-1}, n_{2k}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}.$$

Every element in $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)$ can be written as

$$g_s = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{s_k}, \quad s = (s_1, \cdots, s_{\frac{d}{2}}) \in (0, 2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

where

$$g_{s_k} = 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \times \cdots \times 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \times \begin{pmatrix} \cos(s_k) & \sin(s_k) \\ -\sin(s_k) & \cos(s_k) \end{pmatrix} \times 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \times \cdots \times 1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}.$$

Clearly,

$$b_{\mathbf{n}} \circ g_s = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{d}{2}} b_{\mathbf{n}^k} \circ g_{s_k}$$

By the Fubini Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SU}(2)} (b_{\mathbf{n}} \circ g) dg = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{(0,2\pi)} b_{\mathbf{n}^k} \circ g_{s_k} ds_k.$$

For every $t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we use the polar notation $t_{2k-1} = r_k \cos(\phi_k)$ and $t_{2k} = r_k \sin(\phi_k)$. We have

$$(b_{\mathbf{n}^k} \circ g_{s_k})(t) = r_k^{n_{2k-1}+n_{2k}} \cdot \cos^{n_{2k-1}}(\phi_k - s_k) \sin^{n_{2k}}(\phi_k - s_k).$$

Thus,

$$\int_{(0,2\pi)} (b_{\mathbf{n}^k} \circ g_{s_k})(t) ds_k = r_k^{n_{2k-1}+n_{2k}} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{n_{2k-1}}(\phi_k - s_k) \sin^{n_{2k}}(\phi_k - s_k) ds_k =$$
$$= r_k^{n_{2k-1}+n_{2k}} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{n_{2k-1}}(s_k) \sin^{n_{2k}}(s_k) ds_k.$$

If n_{2k} is odd, then the substitution $s_k \to -s_k$ changes the sign of the latter integral, which, therefore, vanishes. If n_{2k-1} is odd, then the substitution $s_k \to \pi - s_k$ changes the sign of the latter integral, which, therefore, vanishes. Since either

 n_{2k-1} or n_{2k} is odd for some $1 \le k \le \frac{d}{2}$, it follows that at least one of these integrals vanishes. This completes the proof.

Recall that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ is given by the formula

$$\mathfrak{sp}(d,\mathbb{R}) = \Big\{ A \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) : \ \Omega A + A^* \Omega = 0 \Big\}.$$

Define a representation π of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathrm{Poly}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ by the formula

(19)
$$(\pi(A)b)(t) = \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b)(t), At \rangle - d\langle At, t \rangle b(t)$$

In Lemmas A.6 and A.7 below, we need the following explicit expression for the spherical gradient:

(20)
$$(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b)(t) = (\nabla b)(t) - \langle (\nabla b)(t), t \rangle t, \quad b \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The significance of the map π (as well as the reason for it being a representation) is given in the following:

Lemma A.4. If
$$b \in \text{Poly}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$$
 and if $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$, then $e^{sA} \in \text{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\frac{d}{ds}(V_{e^{sA}}b)(t)|_{s=0} = (\pi(A)b)(t).$$

Proof. For every $t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have

$$e^{sA}t = t + s \cdot At + o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$

Thus,

$$|e^{sA}t|^2 = \langle e^{sA}t, e^{sA}t \rangle = \langle t + s \cdot At, t + s \cdot At \rangle + o(s) = 1 + 2s \cdot \langle At, t \rangle + o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$
 Thus,

$$|e^{sA}t|^{-1} = 1 - s \cdot \langle At, t \rangle + o(s), \quad s \to 0$$
$$\frac{e^{sA}t}{e^{sA}t|} = t + s \cdot (At - t\langle At, t \rangle) + o(s), \quad s \to 0$$

Since b is smooth, it follows that

$$b(\frac{e^{sA}t}{|e^{sA}t|}) = b(t) + s \cdot \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b)(t), At - t\langle At, t \rangle \rangle + o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$

Since spherical gradient at the point t is orthogonal to t, it follows that

$$b(\frac{e^{sA}t}{|e^{sA}t|}) = b(t) + s \cdot \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b)(t), At \rangle + o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$

Multiplying the latter equality with

$$|e^{sA}t|^{-d} = 1 - ds \cdot \langle At, t \rangle + o(s), \quad s \to 0,$$

we obtain

$$(V_{e^{sA}}b)(t) = b(t) + s(\pi(A)b)(t) + o(s), \quad s \to 0$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma A.5. If $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ is such that $l \circ V_g = l, g \in \text{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$, then $l(\pi(A)b) = 0$ for every $b \in \text{Poly}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and for every $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $b \in Poly(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. Since polynomials are smooth, from Lemma A.4 it follows that

$$\left\| V_{e^{sA}}b - b - s \cdot \pi(A)b \right\|_{\infty} = o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$

Additionally since l is continuous, we obtain

$$l(V_{e^{sA}}b) - l(b) - s \cdot l(\pi(A)b) = o(s), \quad s \to 0.$$

By assumption, $l(V_{e^{sA}}b) = l(b)$. Thus,

$$s \cdot l(\pi(A)b) = o(s), \quad s \to 0$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma A.6. Let $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ be such that $l \circ V_g = l$, $g \in \text{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$. For every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, we have

$$l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}}) = \frac{n_{2k-1}+1}{n_{2k}+1} l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}}), \quad 1 \le k \le \frac{d}{2}.$$

Proof. Let

$$A = 0^{\oplus(2k-2)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0^{\oplus(d-2k)}.$$

It is clear that A commutes with Ω , and since $A^* = -A$, it follows that $A\Omega + \Omega A^* = 0$, so $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$. We consider $\pi(A)b_{\mathbf{n}+e_{2k-1}+e_{2k}}(t)$. Taking into account that A is antisymmetric, we replace the spherical gradient in (19) with the usual gradient one and write

$$\pi(A)b_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_{2k-1}}+\mathbf{e_{2k}}}(t) = \langle \nabla b_{\mathbf{n}+e_{2k-1}+e_{2k}}(t), At \rangle$$

Thus,

$$\pi(A)b_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e_{2k-1}}+\mathbf{e_{2k}}}(t) = (n_{2k-1}+1)t_{2k}b_{\mathbf{n}+e_{2k}}(t) - (n_{2k}+1)t_{2k-1}b_{\mathbf{n}+e_{2k-1}}(t)$$
$$= (n_{2k-1}+1)b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}}(t) - (n_{2k}+1)b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}}(t).$$

Applying l and using Lemma A.5, we conclude the argument.

Lemma A.7. Let $l \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^*$ be such that $l \circ V_g = l$, $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$. For every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we have

(21)
$$l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_k}) = \frac{n_k + 1}{\|\mathbf{n}\|_1 + d} l(b_{\mathbf{n}}), \quad 1 \le k \le d.$$

Proof. Set

$$B = 0^{\oplus (2k-2)} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0^{\oplus (d-2k)}.$$

It is easy to see that $B\Omega = -\Omega B$, so $B \in \mathfrak{sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$. It is now clear from (20) that

$$(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}b_{\mathbf{n}})(t) = (\nabla b_{\mathbf{n}})(t) - \|\mathbf{n}\|_1 b_{\mathbf{n}}(t)t.$$

Substituting this into (19), we obtain

$$(\pi(B)b_{\mathbf{n}})(t) = (n_{2k-1} - n_{2k})b_{\mathbf{n}}(t) - (d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1)(t_{2k-1}^2 - t_{2k}^2)b_{\mathbf{n}}(t).$$

In other words,

$$\pi(B)b_{\mathbf{n}} = (n_{2k-1} - n_{2k})b_{\mathbf{n}} - (d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1)(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}} - b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}}).$$

Applying l and using Lemma A.5, we obtain

(22)
$$(n_{2k-1} - n_{2k})l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) - (d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1)(l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}}) - l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}})) = 0.$$

Suppose first that $n_{2k-1} \neq n_{2k}$. In this case, it follows from Lemma A.6 that

$$(n_{2k-1} - n_{2k})l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) = (d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1) \left(\frac{n_{2k-1} + 1}{n_{2k} + 1} - 1\right) l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}}).$$

Since $n_{2k-1} \neq n_{2k}$, it follows that

(23)
$$l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1}{n_{2k} + 1} l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k}}).$$

Using Lemma A.6, we obtain that

(24)
$$l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{d + \|\mathbf{n}\|_1}{n_{2k-1} + 1} l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}}).$$

A combination of (23) and (24) yields the assertion for the case when $n_{2k-1} \neq n_{2k}$.

The final case to consider is $n_{2k} = n_{2k-1}$. By assumption, $n_{2k-1}, n_{2k} > 0$ and we may exclude the case $n_{2k-1} = n_{2k} = 1$ by Lemma A.3. Hence assume $n_{2k-1} = n_{2k} \ge 2$. Let $\mathbf{m} := \mathbf{n} + 2e_{2k-1} - 2e_{2k}$. Then, $m_{2k} \ne m_{2k-1}$, so by the previous case,

(25)
$$l(b_{\mathbf{m}+2e_{2k}}) = \frac{m_{2k}+1}{d+\|\mathbf{m}\|_1} l(b_{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Now, let $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{n} - 2e_{2k}$ so that $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p} + 2e_{2k}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p} + 2e_{2k-1}$. By Lemma A.6, we have

(26)
$$l(b_{\mathbf{m}}) = l(b_{\mathbf{p}+2e_{2k-1}}) = \frac{p_{2k-1}+1}{p_{2k}+1} l(b_{\mathbf{p}+2e_{2k}}) = \frac{n_{2k-1}+1}{n_{2k}-1} l(b_{\mathbf{n}}).$$

Recalling that $\mathbf{n} + 2e_{2k-1} = \mathbf{m} + 2e_{2k}$, we obtain,

$$l(b_{\mathbf{n}+2e_{2k-1}}) = l(b_{\mathbf{m}+2e_{2k}}) \stackrel{(25)}{=} \frac{m_{2k}+1}{d+\|\mathbf{m}\|_1} l(b_{\mathbf{m}}) \stackrel{(26)}{=} \frac{n_{2k}-1}{d+\|\mathbf{n}\|_1} \cdot \frac{n_{2k-1}+1}{n_{2k}-1} l(b_{\mathbf{n}}).$$

This equality is exactly (24) for the case when $n_{2k} = n_{2k-1}$. A similar argument delivers (23) for the case when $n_{2k} = n_{2k-1}$. This proves the assertion for the case when $n_{2k} = n_{2k-1}$.

We now have all the results required to prove Theorem A.2.

Proof of Theorem A.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l(b_{\mathbf{n}^0}) = 0$, where $\mathbf{n}^0 = (2, \dots, 2)$ (otherwise we consider $l - \operatorname{const} \cdot m$). Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. If some n_k is odd, then $l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) = 0$ by Lemma A.3. If every n_k is even, then $l(b_{\mathbf{n}}) = 0$ by the assumption and Lemma A.7.

Let $b \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ vanish on every equator $\{t_k = 0\}$. We write

$$b(t) = (\prod_{k=1}^{d} t_k) \cdot h(t), \quad h \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}).$$

Since h can be approximated by polynomials, it follows that b can be approximated by a linear combination of $b_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. By the preceding paragraph, l(b) = 0. Let the function $b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ vanish on every equator $\{t_k = 0\}$. By the preceding

Let the function $b \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ vanish on every equator $\{t_k = 0\}$. By the preceding paragraph and continuity of l, we have that l(b) = 0. It follows from the Riesz theorem that l is the measure ν supported on the union of all equators.

For every $g \in g \in \text{Sp}(d, \mathbb{R})$, let $B_g : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be given by the formula $t \to \frac{g^{-1}t}{|g^{-1}t|}$. Since $l = l \circ V_g$, it follows that $\nu \circ B_g$ is also supported on the union of

all equators. In other words, ν is supported on the set

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^d \{ (gt)_k = 0 \}.$$

Recall that the intersection of d generic hyperplanes is $\{0\}$ (which, obviously, does not belong to the sphere). Thus, one can choose a finite collection $\{g_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{I}} \subset \operatorname{Sp}(d,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{a} \{ (gt)_k = 0 \} \right) = \emptyset$$

Hence, ν is nowhere supported and, therefore, l = 0.

APPENDIX B. $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$

Let $q : \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2))) \to \mathcal{Q}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))$ be the canonical quotient map. Recall that we define $b_k = \frac{D_k}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, set $b_k(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Since for each j, k = 1, 2, 3 we have that $[b_j, b_k]$ is compact, it follows that $[q(b_j), q(b_k)] = 0$, so the algebra $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is commutative, and generated by three commuting self-adjoint elements $q(b_1), q(b_2), q(b_3)$ satisfying $q(b_1)^2 + q(b_2)^2 + q(b_3)^2 = 1$.

The algebra $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint commuting elements t_k , $1 \leq k \leq 3$, satisfying the condition $t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 = 1$. Hence, the mapping $t_k \to q(b_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, extends to a surjective *-homomorphism $u: C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to q(\mathcal{A}_2)$. This section is devoted to the proof of the following:

Theorem B.1. The mapping $u: C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is an isometric *-isomorphism.

We introduce a mapping \mathcal{E} , which is defined to be the conditional expectation operator from $\mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))$ to the sub-algebra generated by the joint spectral projections of D_1 and Δ . The operator Δ has compact resolvent, and commutes with D_1 . Hence there is a sequence $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of finite rank projections onto the eigenspaces for D_1 and Δ . We may write \mathcal{E} in terms of the sequence $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k T p_k$$

where the sum is weakly convergent. Since the ranges of each p_k are mutually orthogonal, we also have $||\mathcal{E}(T)|| \leq ||T||$. Since the spectral projections of Δ span all of $L_2(SU(2))$, it follows that \mathcal{E} is trace preserving. Thus T maps rank one operators to trace class operators, and so by continuity T maps compact operators to compact operators.

Since \mathcal{E} is the conditional expectation onto the algebra generated by the joint spectral projections of D_1 and Δ , we have that $\mathcal{E}(b_1T) = b_1\mathcal{E}(T)$ and $\mathcal{E}(Tb_1) = \mathcal{E}(T)b_1$.

There is a canonical surjective group homomorphism $\eta : SU(2) \to SO(3)$ defined as follows.

(27)
$$(\eta(g))_{k,j} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(g\sigma_k g^* \sigma_j).$$

For example, we have

(28)
$$\eta(e^{it\sigma_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos(2t) & \sin(2t)\\ 0 & -\sin(2t) & \cos(2t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The relationship between η and the operators D_j is detailed in the following: Lemma B.2. Let j = 1, 2, 3. We have:

$$\lambda_l(g)D_j\lambda_l(g)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (\eta(g))_{k,j}D_k.$$

Furthermore, for any $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, we have

$$\lambda_l(g)u(f)\lambda_l(g)^{-1} = u(f \circ \eta(g)).$$

Proof. For j = 1, 2, 3,

(29)
$$g\sigma_j g^* = \sum_{k=1}^3 (\eta(g))_{k,j} \sigma_k$$

By definition, given $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, $g, h \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and j = 1, 2, 3 we have

$$(\lambda_l(g)D_j\lambda_l(g)^{-1}\xi)(h) = -\langle \nabla\xi(h), g\sigma_j g^*h \rangle$$

Here ∇ denotes above the gradient on SU(2). Now applying (29),

$$(\lambda_l(g)D_j\lambda_l(g)^{-1}\xi)(h) = -\sum_{k=1}^3 (\eta(g))_{k,j} \langle \nabla\xi(h), \sigma_k \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\lambda_l(g) D_j \lambda_l(g)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (\eta(g))_{k,j} D_k.$$

This prove the first part of the Lemma.

Since Δ commutes with each D_j and $\lambda_l(g)$,

(30)
$$\lambda_l(g)b_j\lambda_l(g)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (\eta(g))_{k,j}b_k.$$

Consider now the continuous *-homomorphisms from $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$ to $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ defined by the formulae

$$\varpi_1: f \mapsto \lambda_l(g)u(f)\lambda_l(g)^{-1}, \quad \varpi_2: f \mapsto u(f \circ \eta(g))$$

By (30), we have $\varpi_1(t_j) = \varpi_2(t_j)$, j = 1, 2, 3. Since functions $t \to t_j$, j = 1, 2, 3 generate $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, the result follows.

The following Lemma is used in the proof of Theorem B.1.

Lemma B.3. Let $\mathbb{E} : L_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^2) \to L_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ be the conditional expectation onto the subalgebra generated by t_1 . We have

(1) If n_2 or n_3 is odd, then

$$\mathbb{E}(t_2^{n_2}t_3^{n_3}) = 0.$$

(2) If both n_2 and n_3 are even, then

$$\mathbb{E}(t_2^{n_2}t_3^{n_3}) = \frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}\right)\left(1-t_1^2\right)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}}.$$

Here B is the Beta function.

Proof. We compute the integrals,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} t_3^{n_3} dt, \quad n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0.$$

It is easy to see by reflecting around the t_2 or t_3 coordinate that this integral vanishes when one of $\{n_2, n_3\}$ is odd. This proves the first claim.

To see the second claim, we pass to the spherical coordinates:

$$t_1 = \cos(\theta), \quad t_2 = \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi), \quad t_3 = \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi).$$

For $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and we have $dt = \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} t_3^{n_3} dt = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{n_1}(\theta) \sin^{n_2+n_3+1}(\theta) \sin^{n_2}(\phi) \cos^{n_3}(\phi) d\phi d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^\pi \cos^{n_1}(\theta) \sin^{n_2+n_3+1}(\theta) d\theta \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{n_2}(\phi) \cos^{n_3}(\phi) d\phi.$$

For the second part, assume that both n_2 and n_3 are even. We have that:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{n_2}(\phi) \cos^{n_3}(\phi) d\phi = 2B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}\right).$$

(see, for example, [1, 6.2.1]). Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} t_3^{n_3} dt = \frac{1}{\pi} B(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}) \cdot \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{n_1}(\theta) \sin^{n_2+n_3+1}(\theta) d\phi d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} B(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t_1^{n_1} (1-t_1^2)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}} dt.$$

This proves the claim.

Lemma B.4. We have:

(1) If n_2 or n_3 is odd, then

$$q(\mathcal{E}(b_1^{n_1}b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3})) = 0.$$

(2) If both n_2 and n_3 are even, then

$$q(\mathcal{E}(b_1^{n_1}b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3})) = \frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}\right)q(b_1)^{n_1}(1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}}.$$

Here B is the Beta function.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}(b_1^{n_1}T) = b_1^{n_1}\mathcal{E}(T)$ for any bounded operator T, it suffices to prove the result for $n_1 = 0$.

Let $n \ge 0$, and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. From Lemma B.2 and (28),

$$e^{itD_1}b_3e^{-itD_1} = \sin(2t)b_2 + \cos(2t)b_3$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(b_3^n)$ contains the algebra generated by the spectral projections of D_1 , we have:

$$\mathcal{E}(b_3^n) = e^{itD_1} \mathcal{E}(b_3^n) e^{-itD_1}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(b_3^n) &= \mathcal{E}(e^{itD_1}b_3^n e^{-itD_1}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}((e^{itD_1}b_3 e^{-itD_1})^n) \\ &= \mathcal{E}((\sin(2t)b_2 + \cos(2t)b_3)^n). \end{aligned}$$

However $[b_2, b_3] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(SU(2)))$, so $(q\mathcal{E})$ vanishes on the ideal generated by $[b_2, b_3]$. Hence,

(31)
$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t)(q\mathcal{E})(b_2^l b_3^{n-l}).$$

We now prove the first assertion of the lemma. Substituting -t instead of t, we obtain

(32)
$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t) (q\mathcal{E}) (b_2^l b_3^{n-l}).$$

Therefore by subtracting (32) from (31), we have

(33)
$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le n \\ l \text{ is odd}}} \binom{n}{l} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t) (q\mathcal{E}) (b_2^l b_3^{n-l}) = 0.$$

The functions $\sin^{l}(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t)$ are linearly independent, and so it follows that $(q\mathcal{E})(b_{2}^{l}b_{3}^{n-l}) = 0$ when l is odd. Substituting $\frac{\pi}{2} - t$ into (31), we obtain by an identical argument that $(q\mathcal{E})(b_{2}^{n-l}b_{3}^{l}) = 0$ when l is odd. This proves the first part of the lemma.

Now we prove the second part of the lemma. Assume now that n is even. Since $\sin^2(2t) + \cos^2(2t) = 1$, we get:

$$1 = (\cos^2(2t) + \sin^2(2t))^{n/2}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} {n/2 \choose j} \cos^n(2t) \sin^{n-2j}(2t)$$

By changing the index of summation, it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le n \\ l \text{ is even}}} {\binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{l}{2}}} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t) = 1.$$

Multiplying the left hand side of (33) by 1, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le l \le n \\ t \text{ is even}}} \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{l}{2}} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t) (q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \sin^l(2t) \cos^{n-l}(2t) (q\mathcal{E}) (b_2^l b_3^{n-l}).$$

Since the functions $t\to \sin^l(2t)\cos^{n-l}(2t),\, 0\le l\le n,$ are linearly independent, it follows that

(34)
$$\binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{l}{2}}(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \binom{n}{l}(q\mathcal{E})(b_2^l b_3^{n-l}), \quad l \text{ is even.}$$

In particular for l = 2 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(b_2^2 b_3^{n-2}) = \frac{1}{n-1} (q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n).$$

Since $1 - b_1^2 = b_2^2 + b_3^2$, we have

$$(1 - q(b_1)^2)(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^{n-2}) = (q\mathcal{E})((b_2^2 + b_3^2)b_3^{n-2})$$
$$= (q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) + \frac{1}{n-1}(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n)$$
$$= \frac{n}{n-1}(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n).$$

So:

$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \frac{n-1}{n}q(\mathcal{E})(b_3^{n-2})(1-q(b_1)^2).$$

By induction, we have

(35)
$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_3^n) = \frac{(n-1)!!}{n!!} (1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Substituting (35) into (34), we obtain

$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_2^l b_3^{n-l}) = \frac{\binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{l}{2}}}{\binom{n}{l}} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!!}{n!!} (1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

We also compute the Beta function:

$$B\left(\frac{l+1}{2}, \frac{n-l+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}$$

Taking into account that, for even n and l

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{(l-1)!!}{2^{\frac{l}{2}}}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{n-l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{(n-l-1)!!}{2^{\frac{n-l}{2}}}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{l+1}{2},\frac{n-l+1}{2}\right) = \frac{l!(n-l)!}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot l!! \cdot (n-l)!! \cdot (\frac{n}{2})!} = \frac{\binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{l}{2}}}{\binom{n}{l}} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!!}{n!!}$$

Taking $l = n_2$ and $n = n_2 + n_3$, we finally have:

$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3}) = \frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2},\frac{n_3+1}{2}\right)(1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}}.$$

Taking $l = n_2$ and $n = n_2 + n_3$, we finally have:

$$(q\mathcal{E})(b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3}) = \frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2},\frac{n_3+1}{2}\right)(1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}}.$$

Corollary B.5. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then for any $x \in A_2$ with u(f) = q(x), we have: $q(\mathcal{E}(x)) = u(\mathbb{E}(f))$

where \mathbb{E} is the conditional expectation onto the subalgebra generated by t_1 as in Lemma B.3.

Proof. Since $u(t_1) = q(b_1)$, by applying u to the result of Lemma B.3 when n_2 and n_3 are even:

$$u(\mathbb{E}(t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}t_3^{n_3})) = \frac{1}{\pi}B\left(\frac{n_2+1}{2}, \frac{n_3+1}{2}\right)q(b_1)^{n_1}(1-q(b_1)^2)^{\frac{n_2+n_3}{2}}$$

However due to Lemma B.4, the above hand side above is exactly $(q\mathcal{E})(b_1^{n_1}b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3})$. Hence when both n_2 and n_3 are even:

$$u(\mathbb{E}(t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}t_3^{n_3})) = (q\mathcal{E})(b_1^{n_1}b_2^{n_2}b_3^{n_3}).$$

The above also holds when one of n_2 or n_3 is odd, since both sides are zero from Lemmas B.3 and B.4. Hence the result is proved for $f(t) = t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} t_3^{n_3}$. So by linearity, the result follows for all polynomials f.

As all of the maps q, \mathcal{E}, u and \mathbb{E} are continuous, the result then follows for all $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$.

Lemma B.6. If $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$, then

$$||u(f)|| \ge |f(1,0,0)|.$$

Proof. Suppose first that f depends only on the first variable, so $f(t) = p(t_1)$ for some $p \in C([-1, 1])$. Since $q(\mathcal{A}_2)$ has the Calkin algebra norm, we have

$$||u(f)|| = \inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))} ||p(b_1) + K||.$$

It is shown in [27, Theorem 11.9.3] that there exists a decomposition of $L_2(SU(2))$ into orthogonal finite dimensional subspaces

$$\{V_{l,m} : l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}, m = -l, -l+1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, l\}$$

such that if $e_{l,m} \in V$, then (note that D_1 is denoted ∂_0 in [27])

$$\Delta e_{l,m} = -l(l+1)e_{l,m}, \quad D_1 e_{l,m} = m e_{l,m}, \quad -l \le m \le l, \quad l \ge 0.$$

Hence, $b_1 e_{l,m} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} e_{l,m}$ when $l \neq 0$, so it follows that the eigenvalues of b_1 are dense in [-1, 1]. Therefore,

$$\inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))} \| p(b_1) + K \| = \| p \|_{\infty}.$$

So,

There

$$||u(f)|| \ge ||p||_{\infty} = ||f||_{\infty}.$$

So the statement of the Lemma is proved in the case when f(t) depends only on t_1 .

Now we prove the general statement. Since $\mathbb{E}(f)$ depends only on t_1 , by the preceding argument:

$$||u(\mathbb{E}(f))|| \ge ||\mathbb{E}(f)||_{\infty}$$

Since u is surjective, we may choose $x \in A_2$ such that q(x) = u(f). So from Lemma B.5,

$$\|q(\mathcal{E}(x))\| = \|u(\mathbb{E}(f))\| \ge \|\mathbb{E}(f)\|_{\infty}.$$

Since \mathcal{E} maps $\mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))$ to itself,

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(\mathcal{E}(x))\| &= \inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))} \|\mathcal{E}(x) + K\| \\ &\leq \inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))} \|\mathcal{E}(x) + \mathcal{E}(K)\| \\ &\leq \inf_{K \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(\mathrm{SU}(2)))} \|x + K\| \\ &= \|q(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$
efore, $\|q(\mathcal{E}(x))\| \leq \|q(x)\| = \|u(f)\|$, it follows that $\|u(f)\| \geq \|\mathbb{E}(f)\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$

Since $||\mathbb{E}(x)||_{\infty} \ge |x(1,0,0)|$, this yields the result.

Proof of Theorem B.1. Since we know that the mapping u is surjective and a *-homomorphism, it suffices to show that u is an isometry. We know that $||u(f)|| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$, so it suffices to prove the reverse inequality.

From Lemma B.2,

$$\lambda_l(g)u(f)\lambda_l(g)^{-1} = u(f \circ \eta(g)).$$

So $||u(f)|| = ||u(f \circ \eta(g))||$. Applying now Lemma B.6,

$$||u(f)|| \ge |(f \circ \eta(g))(1, 0, 0)|.$$

Since the map η is surjective, we have that $\eta(g)$ is an arbitrary element of SO(3). Thus $||u(f)|| \ge ||f||_{\infty}$, as required.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, volume 55 of National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] P. M. Alberti and R. Matthes. Connes' trace formula and Dirac realization of Maxwell and Yang-Mills action. In Noncommutative geometry and the standard model of elementary particle physics (Hesselberg, 1999), volume 596 of Lecture Notes in Phys., pages 40–74. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] S. Baaj. Calcul pseudo-différentiel et produits croisés de C*-algèbres. I. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 307(11):581–586, 1988.
- [4] S. Baaj. Calcul pseudo-différentiel et produits croisés de C*-algèbres. II. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 307(12):663–666, 1988.
- [5] B. Blackadar. Operator algebras, volume 122 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Theory of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.
- [6] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson. Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 2. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997. Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics.
- [7] A. L. Carey, V. Gayral, A .Rennie, and F. Sukochev. Index theory for locally compact noncommutative geometries. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 231(1085):vi+130, 2014.
- [8] A. Connes. C* algèbres et géométrie différentielle. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 290(13):A599–A604, 1980.
- [9] A. Connes. The action functional in noncommutative geometry. Comm. Math. Phys., 117(4):673-683, 1988.
- [10] A. Connes. Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- H. O. Cordes. Elliptic pseudodifferential operators—an abstract theory, volume 756 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [12] V. Gayral, J. M. Gracia-Bondí a, B. Iochum, T. Schücker, and J. C. Várilly. Moyal planes are spectral triples. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 246(3):569–623, 2004.
- [13] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn. Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [14] F. Golse and E. Leichtnam. Applications of Connes' geodesic flow to trace formulas in noncommutative geometry. J. Funct. Anal., 160(2):408–436, 1998.
- [15] A. M. Gonzlez-Prez, M. Junge, and J. Parcet. Singular integrals in quantum euclidean spaces, 2017.
- [16] J. M. Gracia-Bondía, J. C. Várilly, and H. Figueroa. Elements of noncommutative geometry. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [17] H. Ha, G. Lee, and R. Ponge. Pseudodifferential calculus on noncommutative tori, i. oscillating integrals, 2018.

- [18] H. Ha, G. Lee, and R. Ponge. Pseudodifferential calculus on noncommutative tori, ii. main properties, 2018.
- [19] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose. Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II, volume 16 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. Advanced theory, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [20] N. Kalton, S. Lord, D. Potapov, and F. Sukochev. Traces of compact operators and the noncommutative residue. Adv. Math., 235:1–55, 2013.
- [21] M. Lein, M. Măntoiu, and S. Richard. Magnetic pseudodifferential operators with coefficients in C*-algebras. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 46(4):755–788, 2010.
- [22] G. Levitina, F. Sukochev, and D. Zanin. Cwikel estimates revisited, 2017.
- [23] S. Lord, F. Sukochev, and D. Zanin. Singular traces, volume 46 of De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013. Theory and applications.
- [24] M. Măntoiu, R. Purice, and S. Richard. Twisted crossed products and magnetic pseudodifferential operators. In Advances in operator algebras and mathematical physics, volume 5 of Theta Ser. Adv. Math., pages 137–172. Theta, Bucharest, 2005.
- [25] V. E. Nazaikinskii, A. Y. Savin, and B. Y. Sternin. Elliptic theory and noncommutative geometry, volume 183 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008. Nonlocal elliptic operators, Advances in Partial Differential Equations (Basel).
- [26] M. A. Rieffel. Noncommutative tori—a case study of noncommutative differentiable manifolds. In *Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators (Brunswick, ME, 1988)*, volume 105 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 191–211. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [27] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen. Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries, volume 2 of Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. Background analysis and advanced topics.
- [28] S. Sakai. C*-algebras and W*-algebras. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 60.
- [29] G. Shilov. Linear algebra. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, english edition, 1977. Translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman.
- [30] M. A. Shubin. Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2001. Translated from the 1978 Russian original by Stig I. Andersson.
- [31] B. Simon. Trace ideals and their applications, volume 120 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2005.
- [32] Mauro Spera. Sobolev theory for noncommutative tori. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 86:143–156 (1992), 1991.
- [33] M. Spera. A symplectic approach to Yang-Mills theory for noncommutative tori. Canad. J. Math., 44(2):368–387, 1992.
- [34] F. Sukochev and D. Zanin. Connes integration formula for the noncommutative plane. Comm. Math. Phys., 359(2):449–466, 2018.
- [35] F. Sukochev and D. Zanin. A C*-algebraic approach to the principal symbol. Journal of Operator Theory, Accepted manuscript.
- [36] M. Takesaki. Theory of operator algebras. I, volume 124 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
- [37] R. Xia, X. Xiong, and Q. Xu. Characterizations of operator-valued Hardy spaces and applications to harmonic analysis on quantum tori. Adv. Math., 291:183–227, 2016.
- [38] X. Xiong, Q. Xu, and Z. Yin. Sobolev, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on quantum tori. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 252(1203):vi+118, 2018.