arXiv:1806.07248v2 [math.FA] 4 Jul 2018

INTERNAL CHARACTERIZATION OF BREZIS – LIEB SPACES

E. Y. EMELYANOV[1,2] AND M. A. A. MARABEH[3]

Abstract. In order to find an extension of Brezis – Lieb's lemma to the case of nets, we replace the almost everywhere convergence by the unbounded order convergence and introduce the Brezis – Lieb property in normed lattices. Then we identify a wide class of Banach lattices in which the Brezis – Lieb lemma holds true. Among other things, it gives an extension of the Brezis – Lieb lemma for nets in L^p for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space in which, for every set $A \in \Sigma$, $\mu(A) > 0$, there exists $\Sigma \ni A_0 \subseteq A$, such that $0 < \mu(A_0) < \infty$. Given $p \in (0, \infty)$, denote by $\mathcal{L}^p = \{f : \int\limits_\Omega |f|^p \mu < \infty\}$ the vector space of p-integrable functions from Ω into $\mathbb{C}$. The Brezis – Lieb lemma [3, Thm.1] is known as the following useful refinement of the Fatou lemma.

Theorem 1 (Brezis – Lieb's lemma for $\mathcal{L}^p$ $(0 < p < \infty)$)**.** *Suppose $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$ and $\int\limits_\Omega |f_n|^p d\mu \leqslant C < \infty$ for all n and some $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_\Omega (|f_n|^p - |f_n - f|^p) d\mu = \int\limits_\Omega |f|^p d\mu. \tag{1.1}$$

As the following example shows, Theorem 1 does not have a reasonable direct generalization for nets.

Example 1. *Consider $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the Lebesgue measure μ. Let Δ be the family of all finite subsets of $[0, 1]$ ordered by inclusion, and $\mathbb{1}_F$ be the*

Date: December 23, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A19, 46B42, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. a.e.−convergence, Brezis – Lieb lemma, Banach lattice, *uo*−convergence, Brezis – Lieb space, Brezis – Lieb property.

indicator function of $F \in \Delta$. *Then* $\mathbb{I}_F \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} \mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}$ *and* $\int\limits_0^1 |\mathbb{I}_F| d\mu = 0$, *however*

$$\lim_{F\to\infty} \int\limits_0^1 (|\mathbb{I}_F| - |\mathbb{I}_F - \mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}|) d\mu = \lim_{F\to\infty} \int\limits_0^1 (-|\mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}|) d\mu = -1 \neq 1 = \int\limits_0^1 |\mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}| d\mu.$$

In order to avoid the collision, we restate Theorem 1 in the case of $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ in terms of the Banach space L^p of equivalence classes of functions from $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ w.r. to μ (cf. [8, Thm.2]).

Theorem 2 (Brezis – Lieb's lemma for L^p $(1 \leqslant p < \infty)$)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{f}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} \mathbf{f}$ *in* $L^p(\mu)$ *and* $\|\mathbf{f}_n\|_p \to \|\mathbf{f}\|_p$, *where* $\|\mathbf{f}_n\|_p := \left[\int\limits_\Omega |f_n|^p d\mu\right]^{1/p}$ *with* $f_n \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ *and* $f_n \in \mathbf{f}_n$. *Then* $\|\mathbf{f}_n - \mathbf{f}\|_p \to 0$.

Although in Theorem 2, we still have $a.e.-$convergent sequences in L^p, it is possible now (e.g. due to [5, Prop.3.1]) to replace the $a.e.-$convergence by the $uo-$convergence and restate Theorem 1 once more (cf. also [4, Prop.2.2] and [7, Prop.1.5]) as follows.

Theorem 3 (Brezis – Lieb's lemma for $uo-$convergent sequences in L^p)**.** *Let* $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x$ *in* L^p, *where* $p \in [1, \infty)$. *If* $\|x_n\|_p \to \|x\|_p$ *then* $\|x_n - x\|_p \to 0$.

Notice that Theorem 3 is a result of the Banach space theory which does not involve the measure theory directly. This observation motivates us to investigate those Banach lattices in which the statement of Theorem 3 holds true. We call them by the $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb spaces in Definition 1. After introducing a geometrical property of normed lattices in Definition 2, we prove Theorem 4 which is the main result of the present paper. Theorem 4 gives an internal geometric characterization of $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb's spaces and implies immediately the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\mathbf{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} \mathbf{f}$ *in* $L^p(\mu)$ $(1 \leqslant p < \infty)$, *and* $\|\mathbf{f}_\alpha\|_p \to \|\mathbf{f}\|_p$. *Then* $\|\mathbf{f}_\alpha - \mathbf{f}\|_p \to 0$.

It is worth mentioning that Proposition 1 may serve as a net-extension of the Brezis – Lieb lemma (in its form of Theorem 3).

2. Brezis – Lieb spaces

In this section we consider normed lattices over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. A vector space E over $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a normed lattice if E is a *complexification* of

a uniformly complete real normed lattice F (see e.g. [1, Def.3.17]). More precisely, the modulus of $z = x + iy \in E = F \oplus iF$ is defined by

$$|z| = \sup_{\theta\in[0,2\pi)} [x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta],$$

and its norm is defined by $\|z\| = \|z\|_E := \| \, |z| \, \|_F$ (cf. [1, p.104]). We also adopt notations $E_+ = F_+$, $z = [z]_r + i[z]_i$, $x = \Re\mathfrak{e}[z]$, and $y = \Im\mathfrak{m}[z]$ for $z = x + iy$ in E.

A net v_α in a vector lattice E is said to be $uo-$convergent to $v \in E$ whenever, for every $u \in E_+$, the net $|v_\alpha - v| \wedge u$ converges in order to 0.

For the further theory of vector lattices, we refer to [2, 1] and, for the unbounded order convergence, to [6, 5].

Definition 1. *A normed lattice $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be a* Brezis – Lieb space (shortly, $BL-$space) (*resp.* σ-Brezis – Lieb space (σ-$BL-$space)) *if, for any net x_α (resp. for any sequence x_n) in X such that $\|x_\alpha\| \to \|x_0\|$ (resp. $\|x_n\| \to \|x_0\|$) and $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x_0$ (resp. $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x_0$), we have $\|x_\alpha - x_0\| \to 0$ (resp. $\|x_n - x_0\| \to 0$).*

Trivially, any normed BL-space is a σ-BL-space, and any finite-dimensional normed lattice is a BL-space. Furthermore, by [5, Thm.3.2], any regular sublattice F of any normed BL-space (σ-BL-space) E is itself a BL-space (σ-BL-space). Taking into account the fact that the $a.e.-$convergence for sequences in L^p coincides with the $uo-$convergence [5, Prop.3.1], Theorem 3 says exactly that L^p is a σ-BL-space for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.

Now, we consider examples of Banach lattices which are not $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb spaces.

Example 2. *The Banach lattice $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not a σ-$BL-$space. To see this, take $x_n = e_{2n} + \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} e_k$ and $x = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} e_k$ in c_0. Clearly, $\|x\| = \|x_n\| = 1$ for all n and $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x$, however $1 = \|x - x_n\|$ does not converge to 0.*

We do not know whether or not for an arbitrary lattice norm $\|\cdot\|$ in c_0, which is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, the Banach lattice $(c_0, \|\cdot\|)$ is not a σ-$BL-$space.

Example 3. *Since c_0 is an order ideal in c and in ℓ^∞, c_0 is regular there, and hence, both Banach lattices $(c, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ are not σ-$BL-$spaces. Accordingly to the fact, that c_0 is a regular sublattice of c and to the last sentence of Example 2, it is also unknown whether or not the Banach lattice $(c, \|\cdot\|)$ is not a σ-$BL-$space for an arbitrary lattice norm $\|\cdot\|$ that is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.*

In opposite to c, the Banach lattice ℓ^∞ is Dedekind complete. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any lattice norm in ℓ^∞ that is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Clearly, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is not order continuous. Therefore, by Theorem 4, $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ is not a σ-BL−space.

A slight change of an infinite-dimensional BL-space can turn it into a normed lattice which is not even a $\sigma-$BL-space.

Example 4. *Let E be a normed lattice,* $\dim(E) = \infty$. *Let $F = \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty E$. Take any disjoint sequence y_n in E such that $\|y_n\|_E = 1$ for all n. Then $y_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} 0$ in E* [5, Cor.3.6]. *Let $x_n = (1, y_n) \in F$. Then $\|x_n\|_F = \sup(1, \|y_n\|_E) = 1$ and $x_n = (1, y_n) \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} (1, 0) =: x$ in F, however $\|x_n - x\|_F = \|(0, y_n)\|_F = \|y_n\|_E = 1$, and so x_n does not converge to x in $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$. Therefore $F = \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty E$ is not a $\sigma-$BL-space.*

In order to characterize BL-spaces, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2. *A normed lattice $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to have the* Brezis – Lieb property (shortly, BL-property)*, whenever $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_0 + u_n\| > \|u_0\|$ for any disjoint normalized sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in E_+ and for any $u_0 \in E_+$.*

Every finite dimensional normed lattice E has the $BL-$property. It is easy to see that the Banach lattices c_0, c, and ℓ^∞ w.r. to the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ do not have the $BL-$property. The modification of the norm in an infinite-dimensional Banach lattice E with the $BL-$property, as in Example 4, turns it into the Banach lattice $F = \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty E$ without the $BL-$property. Indeed, take a disjoint normalized sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in E_+. Let $u_0 = (1, 0)$ and $u_n = (0, y_n)$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Then $(u_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a disjoint normalized sequence in F_+ with $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_0 + u_n\| = 1 = \|u_0\|$.
Remarkably, it is not a coincidence. The following theorem identifies BL-spaces among $\sigma-$Dedekind complete Banach lattices.

Theorem 4. *For a $\sigma-$Dedekind complete Banach lattice E, the following conditions are equivalent*:
(1) *E is a Brezis – Lieb space*;
(2) *E is a $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb space*;
(3) *E has the Brezis – Lieb property, and the norm in E is order continuous.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is trivial.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) We show first that E has the BL-property. Notice that, in this part of the proof, the $\sigma-$Dedekind completeness of E will not be used. Suppose that there exist a disjoint normalized sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in E_+ and

$u_0 \in E_+$ with $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_0 + u_n\| = \|u_0\|$. Since $\|u_0 + u_n\| \geqslant \|u_0\|$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_0 + u_n\| = \|u_0\|$. Denote $v_n := u_0 + u_n$. By [5, Cor.3.6], $u_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} 0$ and hence $v_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} u_0$. Since E is a σ-BL-space and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\| = \|u_0\|$, then $\|v_n - u_0\| \to 0$, which is impossible in view of $\|v_n - u_0\| = \|u_0 + u_n - u_0\| = \|u_n\| = 1$.

Assume that the norm in E is not order continuous. Then, by the Fremlin–Meyer-Nieberg theorem (see e.g. [2, Thm.4.14]) there exist $y \in E_+$ and a disjoint sequence $e_k \in [0, y]$ such that $\|e_k\| \not\to 0$. Without lost of generality, we may assume $\|e_k\| = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the $\sigma-$Dedekind completeness of E, for any sequence $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist the following vectors

$$x_0 = \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k, \quad x_n = \alpha_{2n} e_{2n} + \bigvee_{k=1, k\neq n, k\neq 2n}^{\infty} e_k \qquad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.1}$$

Now, we choose $\alpha_{2n} \geqslant 1$ in (2.1) such that $\|x_n\| = \|x_0\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x_0$. Since E is a σ-BL-space, then $\|x_n - x_0\| \to 0$, violating

$$\|x_n - x_0\| = \|(\alpha_{2n} - 1)e_{2n} - e_n\| = \|(\alpha_{2n} - 1)e_{2n} + e_n\| \geqslant \|e_n\| = 1.$$

The obtained contradiction shows that the norm in E is order continuous.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) If E is not a Brezis – Lieb space, then there exists a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ in E such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x$ and $\|x_\alpha\| \to \|x\|$, but $\|x_\alpha - x\| \not\to 0$. Then $|x_\alpha| \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} |x|$ and $\||x_\alpha|\| \to \||x|\|$.

Notice that $\||x_\alpha| - |x|\| \not\to 0$. Indeed, if $\||x_\alpha| - |x|\| \to 0$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $(|x_\alpha|)_{\alpha\in A}$ is eventually in $[-|x|, |x|] + \varepsilon B_E$. Thus $(|x_\alpha|)_{\alpha\in A}$, and hence $(\mathbb{Re}[x_\alpha])_{\alpha\in A}$ and $(\mathbb{Im}[x_\alpha])_{\alpha\in A}$ are both almost order bounded. Since E is order continuous and $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x$, then $\mathbb{Re}[x_\alpha] \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} \mathbb{Re}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Im}[x_\alpha] \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} \mathbb{Im}[x]$. By [6, Pop.3.7.], $\|\mathbb{Re}[x_\alpha - x]\| \to 0$ and $\|\mathbb{Im}[x_\alpha - x]\| \to 0$, and hence $\|x_\alpha - x\| \to 0$, that is impossible. Therefore, without lost of generality, we may assume $x_\alpha \in E_+$ and, by normalizing, also $\|x_\alpha\| = \|x\| = 1$ for all α.

Passing to a subnet, denoted by x_α again, we may assume

$$\|x_\alpha - x\| > C > 0 \quad (\forall \alpha \in A). \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that $x \geqslant (x - x_\alpha)^+ = (x_\alpha - x)^- \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} 0$, and hence $(x_\alpha - x)^- \xrightarrow{\text{o}} 0$. The order continuity of the norm ensures

$$\|(x_\alpha - x)^-\| \to 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Denoting $w_\alpha = (x_\alpha - x)^+$ and using (2.2) and (2.3), we may also assume

$$\|w_\alpha\| = \|(x_\alpha - x)^+\| > C \quad (\forall \alpha \in A). \tag{2.4}$$

In view of (2.4), we obtain

$$2 = \|x_\alpha\| + \|x\| \geqslant \|(x_\alpha - x)^+\| = \|w_\alpha\| > C \quad (\forall \alpha \in A). \tag{2.5}$$

Since $w_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} (x-x)^+ = 0$, then, for any fixed $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$,

$$0 \leqslant w_\alpha \wedge (w_{\beta_1} + w_{\beta_2} + ... + w_{\beta_n}) \xrightarrow{\text{o}} 0 \quad (\alpha \to \infty). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x$, then $x_\alpha \wedge x \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} x \wedge x = x$ and so $x_\alpha \wedge x \xrightarrow{\text{o}} x$. By the order continuity of the norm, there is an increasing sequence of indices α_n in A with

$$\|x - x_\alpha \wedge x\| \leqslant 2^{-n} \quad (\forall \alpha \geqslant \alpha_n). \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, by (2.6), we may also suppose that

$$\|w_\alpha \wedge (w_{\alpha_1} + w_{\alpha_2} + ... + w_{\alpha_n})\| \leqslant 2^{-n} \quad (\forall \alpha \geqslant \alpha_{n+1}). \tag{2.8}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} \|w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|w_{\alpha_n} \wedge (w_{\alpha_1} + ... + w_{\alpha_{n-1}})\| +$$

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|w_{\alpha_k} \wedge (w_{\alpha_1} + ... + w_{\alpha_{k-1}})\| \leqslant (n-1)\cdot 2^{-n+1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k+1} = n2^{-n+1}, \tag{2.9}$$

the series $\sum\limits_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}$ converges absolutely and hence in norm for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take

$$\omega_{\alpha_n} := \left(w_{\alpha_n} - \sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right)^+ \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.10}$$

First, we show that the sequence $(\omega_{\alpha_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is disjoint. Let $m \neq p$, then

$$\omega_{\alpha_m} \wedge \omega_{\alpha_p} = \left(w_{\alpha_m} - \sum_{k=1,k\neq m}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_m} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right)^+ \wedge \left(w_{\alpha_p} - \sum_{k=1,k\neq p}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_p} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right)^+ \leqslant$$

$$(w_{\alpha_m} - w_{\alpha_m} \wedge w_{\alpha_p})^+ \wedge (w_{\alpha_p} - w_{\alpha_p} \wedge w_{\alpha_m})^+ =$$

$$(w_{\alpha_m} - w_{\alpha_m} \wedge w_{\alpha_p}) \wedge (w_{\alpha_p} - w_{\alpha_m} \wedge w_{\alpha_p}) = 0.$$

By (2.9),

$$\|w_{\alpha_n} - \omega_{\alpha_n}\| = \left\|w_{\alpha_n} - \left(w_{\alpha_n} - \sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right)^+\right\| =$$

$$\left\|w_{\alpha_n} - \left(w_{\alpha_n} - w_{\alpha_n} \wedge \sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right)\right\| = \left\|w_{\alpha_n} \wedge \sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k}\right\| \leqslant$$

$$\| \sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{\infty} w_{\alpha_n} \wedge w_{\alpha_k} \| \leqslant n2^{-n+1}. \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.11) with (2.5) gives

$$2 \geqslant \|w_{\alpha_n}\| \geqslant \|\omega_{\alpha_n}\| \geqslant C - n2^{-n+1} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.12}$$

Passing to further increasing sequence of indices, we may assume that

$$\|w_{\alpha_n}\| \to M \in [C, 2] \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Now

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| M^{-1}x + \|\omega_{\alpha_n}\|^{-1}\omega_{\alpha_n} \right\| = M^{-1} \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x + \omega_{\alpha_n}\| = [\text{by (2.11)}] =$$

$$M^{-1} \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x + w_{\alpha_n}\| = [\text{by (2.3)}] = M^{-1} \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x + (x_{\alpha_n} - x)\| =$$

$$M^{-1} \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{\alpha_n}\| = M^{-1} = \|M^{-1}x\|,$$

violating the Brezis – Lieb property for $u_0 = M^{-1}x$ and $u_n = \|\omega_{\alpha_n}\|^{-1}\omega_{\alpha_n}$, $n \geqslant 1$. The obtained contradiction completes the proof. □

Since every order continuous Banach lattice is Dedekind complete, the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. *For an order continuous Banach lattice E, the following conditions are equivalent*:
(1) *E is a BL-space*;
(2) *E is a $\sigma-$BL-space*;
(3) *E has the BL-property.*

Corollary 1 applied to the order continuous Banach lattices L^p $(1 \leqslant p < \infty)$ gives Proposition 1.
We do not know where or not implication (2) ⇒ (3) of Theorem 4 holds true without the assumption that the Banach lattice E is $\sigma-$Dedekind complete. More precisely:

Question 1. *Does every $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb Banach lattice have an order continuous norm?*

In the proof of (2) ⇒ (3) of Theorem 4, the $\sigma-$Dedekind completeness of E has been used only for showing that E has an order continuous norm. So, any $\sigma-$Brezis – Lieb Banach lattice has the Brezis – Lieb property. Therefore, for answering in positive the question of possibility to drop $\sigma-$Dedekind completeness assumption in Theorem 4, it suffices to answer in positive the following question.

Question 2. *Does the BL-property imply order continuity of the norm in the underlying Banach lattice?*

In the end of the paper, we mention one more question closely related to the question in the last sentence of Example 2.

Question 3. *Does the BL-property of a Banach lattice E ensure that E is a KB-space?*

References

[1] C. D. Aliprantis and Y. A. Abramovich, *An Invitation to Operator Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2002.

[2] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, Reprint of the 1985 original.

[3] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88(3), 486-490 (1983)

[4] E. Y. Emelyanov and M. A. A. Marabeh, *Two measure-free versions of the Brezis – Lieb lemma*, Vladikavkaz Math. J. 18(1), 21-25 (2016)

[5] N. Gao, V. G. Troitsky and F. Xanthos, *Uo-convergence and its applications to Cesáro means in Banach lattices*, Isr. J. Math. 220, 649-689 (2017)

[6] N. Gao and F. Xanthos, *Unbounded order convergence and application to martingales without probability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 415, 931-947 (2014)

[7] M. Marabeh, *Brezis – Lieb lemma in convergence vector lattices*, Turkish J. of Math. 42, 1436-1442 (2018)

[8] C. P. Niculescu, *An overview of absolute continuity and its applications*, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. 157, Birkhauser, Basel, 201-214 (2009)

[1] Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey
E-mail address: eduard@metu.edu.tr

2 Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail address: emelanov@math.nsc.ru

[3]Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Sciences and Arts, Palestine Technical University-Kadoorie, Tulkarem, Palestine
E-mail address: mohammad.marabeh@ptuk.edu.ps, m.maraabeh@gmail.com