

# Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to the Generalized KdV-Burgers Equation with Slowly Decaying Data

Ikki Fukuda\*

## Abstract

We consider the asymptotic behavior of the global solutions to the initial value problem for the generalized KdV-Burgers equation. It is known that the solution to this problem converges to a self-similar solution to the Burgers equation called a nonlinear diffusion wave. In this paper, we derive the optimal asymptotic rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave when the initial data decays slowly at spatial infinity. In particular, we investigate that how the change of the decay rate of the initial value affects the asymptotic rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave.

**Keywords:** generalized KdV-Burgers equation, asymptotic behavior, second asymptotic profile, slowly decaying data.

**2010 MSC:** 35B40, 35Q53.

## 1 Introduction

We study the asymptotic behavior of global solutions to the following generalized KdV-Burgers equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (f(u))_x + ku_{xxx} &= u_{xx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f(u) = (b/2)u^2 + (c/3)u^3$  and  $b \neq 0, c, k \in \mathbb{R}$ . The subscripts  $t$  and  $x$  denote the partial derivatives with respect to  $t$  and  $x$ , respectively. In the present paper, we consider the initial value problem (1.1) under the following condition:

$$\exists \alpha > 1, \quad \exists C > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |u_0(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

The purpose of our study is to obtain an asymptotic profile of the solution  $u(x, t)$  and to examine the optimality of its asymptotic rate. Especially, we investigate how the change of the decay rate of the initial value affects its asymptotic rate.

Concerning this problem, there are many results about the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to (1.1) when the initial data decays fast. When  $k = 0$ , (1.1) becomes the generalized Burgers equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (f(u))_x &= u_{xx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-0810, Japan.  
E-mail: i.fukuda@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

It is known that the solution of (1.3) converges to a nonlinear diffusion wave defined by

$$\chi(x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}} \chi_* \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\chi_*(x) \equiv \frac{1}{b} \frac{(e^{b\delta/2} - 1)e^{-x^2/4}}{\sqrt{\pi} + (e^{b\delta/2} - 1) \int_{x/2}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy}, \quad \delta \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx \quad (1.5)$$

(cf. [11, 13, 14]). Note that  $\chi(x, t)$  is a solution of the standard Burgers equation:

$$\chi_t + \left( \frac{b}{2} \chi^2 \right)_x = \chi_{xx}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(x, 0) dx = \delta. \quad (1.6)$$

Concerning the convergence rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$ , it was shown in Matsumura and Nishihara [14] that if we set

$$w_0(x) \equiv \exp \left( -\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy \right) - \exp \left( -\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi_*(y) dy \right) \quad (1.7)$$

and assume that  $w_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|w_0\|_{H^2} + \|w_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$  is sufficiently small, then the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, if  $u_0 \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L_1^1} + \|u_0\|_{H^1}$  is sufficiently small, then the optimality of the asymptotic rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave obtained in (1.8) was examined by Kato [9]. Here  $L_\beta^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a subset of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  whose elements satisfy  $\|u_0\|_{L_\beta^1} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|)^\beta |u_0(x)| dx < \infty$ . Indeed, by constructing the second asymptotic profile which is the leading term of  $u - \chi$ , Kato [9] showed that if  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ , then the asymptotic rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$  given by (1.8) is optimal with respect to the time decaying order. Here we note that  $w_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u_0 \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R})$  are realized when  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha > 2$  in (1.2) (we can check these facts by a direct calculation. For details, see (3.8) below).

The case of  $b = k = 1$  and  $c = 0$  (the KdV-Burgers equation) is also studied by many authors (cf. [1, 4, 6, 7, 8]):

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} &= u_{xx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, it was shown in Kaikina and Ruiz-Paredes [6] that if  $u_0 \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $s > -1/2$ , then the solution of (1.9) tends to the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$  at the rate of  $t^{-1} \log t$  and this rate is optimal.

For the general case, in [3] the author considered (1.1) for all  $b, c, k \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $b \neq 0$  and obtained a result about the asymptotic behavior of the solution of (1.1), which unifies the results due to Kato [9] and Kaikina and Ruiz-Paredes [6]. More precisely, we have the following estimate: If  $u_0 \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L_1^1} + \|u_0\|_{H^3}$  is sufficiently small, then the solution to (1.1) satisfies

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$V(x, t) \equiv -\frac{d}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) V_* \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), \quad (1.11)$$

$$V_*(x) \equiv (b\chi_*(x) - x)e^{-x^2/4}\eta_*(x) = 2\frac{d}{dx}(\eta_*(x)e^{-x^2/4}), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\eta_*(x) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi_*(y)dy\right), \quad d \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta_*(y))^{-1} (\chi_*(y))^3 dy. \quad (1.13)$$

From (1.10), the triangle inequality and (1.11), if  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $(b^2k)/8 + c/3 \neq 0$ , we see that the solution  $u(x, t)$  to (1.1) tends to the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$  at the rate of  $t^{-1} \log t$ . Actually, the estimate

$$C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) \leq \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) \quad (1.14)$$

holds for sufficiently large  $t$ . Therefore, this asymptotic rate  $t^{-1} \log t$  is optimal with respect to the time decaying order. On the other hand, if  $(b^2k)/8 + c/3 = 0$ , then we find the asymptotic rate to the nonlinear diffusion wave is  $t^{-1}$ , because  $V(x, t)$  vanishes identically.

All of the above previous results are corresponding to the case where the decay rate of the initial data  $u_0$  is rapid, i.e.,  $\alpha > 2$  in (1.2). In the present paper, we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the solution to (1.1) in the case that the initial data decays more slowly. For the semilinear heat equation, Narazaki and Nishihara [15] studied the asymptotic behavior of the solution for the slowly decaying data in the supercritical case. Actually, they studied the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} &= |u|^{p-1}u, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

where the data  $u_0 \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $|u_0(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-\gamma}$  for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . In this case, if  $p > 1 + 2/\gamma$  (supercritical case) and the data is sufficiently small, then the asymptotic profile is given by

$$\Psi(x, t) = c_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-(x-y)^2/4t} (1+|y|)^{-\gamma} dy,$$

provided that the data satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1+|x|)^\gamma u_0(x) = c_\gamma$ . Furthermore, in [15], the semilinear damped wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t &= |u|^{p-1}u, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

with slowly decaying data is also treated. However, there are no results about the asymptotic behavior for the generalized KdV-Burgers equation with slowly decaying data up to the author's knowledge. Because of this, we would like to study the asymptotic profile for the solution to (1.1) when  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$  in (1.2), which corresponds to the case of  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Indeed, it is natural to assume that  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  which is indicated by (1.2), because the equation (1.1) leads to the conservation law:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx$ . For this reason, we restrict our attention to the case of  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ .

In the following, we consider the initial value problem (1.1) with condition (1.2) when  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ . Our main results are as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume the condition (1.2) with  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ . Let  $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_0\|_{H^3}$  is sufficiently small. Then (1.1) has a unique global solution  $u(x, t) \in C^0([0, \infty); H^3)$ . Moreover, the solution satisfies the following estimate:

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\alpha/2}, & t \geq 0, 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1} \log(2+t), & t \geq 0, \alpha = 2, \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

where  $\chi(x, t)$  is defined by (1.4).

Furthermore, we examine the optimality of the asymptotic rate given in (1.16). Actually, we have constructed the second asymptotic profile corresponding to the decay rate of the initial data:

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume the same conditions on  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.1 are valid. We set

$$z_0(x) \equiv \eta_*(x)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^x (u_0(y) - \chi_*(y)) dy. \quad (1.17)$$

If there exists  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} z_0(x) \equiv c_\alpha^\pm$ , then the solution to (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1+t)^{\alpha/2} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+t)}{\log(1+t)} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \quad (1.19)$$

where  $\chi(x, t)$  and  $V(x, t)$  are defined by (1.4) and (1.11), respectively, while  $Z(x, t)$  is defined by

$$Z(x, t) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_\alpha(y) \partial_x (G(x-y, t) \eta(x, t)) (1 + |y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy, \quad c_\alpha(y) \equiv \begin{cases} c_\alpha^+, & y \geq 0, \\ c_\alpha^-, & y < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.20)$$

$$G(x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-x^2/4t}, \quad \eta(x, t) \equiv \eta_* \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) = \exp \left( \frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi(y, t) dy \right), \quad (1.21)$$

with  $\eta_*(x)$  being defined by (1.13). Moreover, if  $\delta \neq 0$ , there are positive constants  $\nu_0$  and  $\nu_1$  such that

$$\|Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \geq \nu_0 |\beta_0| (1+t)^{-\alpha/2}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad (1.22)$$

$$\|Z(\cdot, t) + V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \geq \nu_1 |\beta_1| (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), \quad \alpha = 2 \quad (1.23)$$

holds for sufficiently large  $t$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &\equiv (c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-) \Gamma \left( \frac{3-\alpha}{2} \right) + \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} \Gamma \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right), \\ \beta_1 &\equiv \frac{c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-}{2} - d \left( \frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right), \quad \Gamma(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s-1} dx, \quad s > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

while  $\delta$  and  $d$  are defined by (1.5) and (1.13), respectively.

From (1.18), (1.19), (1.22) and (1.23), we get the following lower bound estimate of  $u - \chi$ :

**Corollary 1.3.** Under the same assumptions in Theorem 1.2. There are positive constants  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  such that the following estimate

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \geq \begin{cases} \mu_0 |\beta_0| (1+t)^{-\alpha/2}, & 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ \mu_1 |\beta_1| (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), & \alpha = 2 \end{cases} \quad (1.25)$$

holds for sufficiently large  $t$ .

**Remark 1.4.** From (1.16) and (1.25), if  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $\beta_0 \neq 0$ , we see that the solution  $u(x, t)$  converges to the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$  at the optimal rate of  $t^{-\alpha/2}$  when  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . In the case of  $\alpha = 2$ , the optimal asymptotic rate to  $\chi(x, t)$  is  $t^{-1} \log t$  under the assumptions  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ .

**Remark 1.5.** In the case of  $k = 0$ , the similar estimate to (1.16) and (1.25) were obtained by evaluating  $u - \chi$  directly (cf. Kitagawa [12]). However, the proof of the lower bound estimate of  $u - \chi$  in [12] is only valid for the concrete perturbations such as  $w_0(x) = (1 + x^2)^{-(\alpha-1)/2}$  ( $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ ) or  $w_0(x) = 0$  ( $\alpha = 2$ ). For this reason, we can say that our results are generalization of [12].

**Remark 1.6.** In Narazaki and Nishihara [15], they assumed that there exists  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|)^\gamma u_0(x) = c_\gamma$ . However, it seems a bit restrictive to assume the limit of  $(1 + |x|)^\gamma u_0(x)$  coincide each other. In fact, by introducing the function  $c_\alpha(y)$  the definition of asymptotic profile  $Z(x, t)$  (1.20), we are able to handle the case where the limits are different. Besides, such a modification can be applied in the case of the heat equation and the damped wave equation treated in [15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mention the global existence and the  $L^p$ -decay estimates of the solutions to (1.1). In addition, we prepare a couple of lemmas for an auxiliary problems. Next in Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.2 in last two sections (Section 4 is for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , Section 5 is for  $\alpha = 2$ ). The main novelty of this paper is to obtain the lower bound estimate of  $u - \chi$  by constructing the second asymptotic profile for the solution to (1.1). The main idea of constructing the second asymptotic profile is based on the asymptotic formula for the heat equation with slowly decaying data obtained in Narazaki and Nishihara [15].

**Notations.** In this paper, for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the usual Lebesgue spaces. In the following, for  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we denote the Fourier transform of  $f$  and the inverse Fourier transform of  $g$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\xi) &\equiv \mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \\ \check{g}(x) &\equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g](x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} g(\xi) d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $s \geq 0$ , the Sobolev spaces are defined by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}); \|f\|_{H^s} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Throughout this paper,  $C$  denotes various positive constants which may depends on the initial data or other parameters. However, we note that  $C$  does not depend on the time variable  $t$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In order to prove the main theorems, we prepare a couple of lemmas. First, we prove the global existence and the decay estimates for solutions to (1.1). To state such a result, we introduce the Green function associated with the linear part of the equation in (1.1):

$$S(x, t) \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-t|\xi|^2 + itk\xi^3}](x).$$

By a direct calculation, we can show the estimates of  $S(x, t)$  and its derivatives. For the proof, see Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.2 in [8].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $l$  be a non-negative integer. Then, for  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , we have*

$$\|\partial_x^l S(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq Ct^{-l/2}(1+t^{-1/4}), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|\partial_x^l S(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-(1/2)(1-1/p)-l/2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, for the convolution  $S(t) * g$ , we obtain the following estimate. For the proof, see Lemma 2.2 in [3]:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $s$  be a positive integer. Suppose  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the estimate*

$$\|\partial_x^l (S(t) * g)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-1/4-l/2} \|g\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-t} \|\partial_x^l g\|_{L^2}, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

*holds for any integer  $0 \leq l \leq s$ .*

By using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we are able to obtain the global existence and the decay estimates for the solutions to (1.1) as follows:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $s$  be a positive integer. Assume that  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R})$  and  $E_s \equiv \|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_0\|_{H^s}$  is sufficiently small. Then (1.1) has a unique global solution  $u(x, t) \in C^0([0, \infty); H^s)$ . Moreover the solution satisfies the following estimates:*

$$\|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq CE_s(1+t)^{-1/4-l/2}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq CE_s t^{-l/2}(1+t^{-1/4}), \quad t > 0 \quad (2.5)$$

*hold for any integer  $0 \leq l \leq s$ . In particular, we get*

$$\|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CE_s(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2}, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

*for any integer  $0 \leq l \leq s-1$ .*

**Proof.** We consider the following integral equation associated with the initial value problem (1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= S(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-\tau) * (f(u)_x)(\tau) d\tau \\ &= S(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t (\partial_x S(t-\tau)) * (f(u))(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We solve this integral equation by using the contraction mapping principle for the mapping

$$N[u] \equiv S(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t (\partial_x S(t-\tau)) * (f(u))(\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.8)$$

We set  $N_0 \equiv S(t) * u_0$ . Let us introduce the Banach space  $X$  as follows:

$$X \equiv \left\{ u \in C^0([0, \infty); H^s); \|u\|_X \equiv \sum_{l=0}^s \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t)^{1/4+l/2} \|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\exists C_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|N_0\|_X \leq C_0 E_s. \quad (2.10)$$

We apply the contraction mapping principle to (2.8) on a closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  below:

$$Y \equiv \{u \in X; \|u\|_X \leq 2C_0 E_s\}.$$

Then it is sufficient to show the following estimates:

$$\|N[u]\|_X \leq 2C_0 E_s, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|N[u] - N[v]\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|_X \quad (2.12)$$

for  $u, v \in Y$ . If we have shown (2.11) and (2.12), by using Banach fixed-point theorem, we see that (2.7) has a unique global solution in  $Y$ .

Here and later  $E_s$  is assumed to be small. First, from the Sobolev inequality

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sqrt{2} \|F\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|F'\|_{L^2}^{1/2}, \quad F \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

for  $0 \leq l \leq s-1$ , we have

$$\|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_X (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Before proving (2.11) and (2.12), we prepare the following estimates for  $0 \leq l \leq s$ ,  $u, v \in Y$ :

$$\|\partial_x^l (f(u) - f(v))(t)\|_{L^1} \leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|\partial_x^l (f(u) - f(v))(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1+t)^{-3/4-l/2}. \quad (2.15)$$

We shall prove only (2.14), since we can prove (2.15) in the same way. We have from (2.9) and (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_x^l (f(u) - f(v))(t)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C \|\partial_x^l (u^2 - v^2)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} + C \|\partial_x^l (u^3 - v^3)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C \|\partial_x^l ((u+v)(u-v))(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} + C \|\partial_x^l ((u-v)(u^2 + uv + v^2))(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=0}^l (\|\partial_x^{l-m} u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^{l-m} v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_x^m (u-v)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C \|\partial_x^l (u-v)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-m} \|\partial_x^m (u-v)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} (\|\partial_x^n u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^{l-m-n} u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \|\partial_x^n u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^{l-m-n} v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^n v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^{l-m-n} v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=0}^l (\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) (1+t)^{-1/4-(l-m)/2} \|u-v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/4-m/2} \\ & \quad + C \|u-v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/4-l/2} (\|u\|_X^2 + \|u\|_X \|v\|_X + \|v\|_X^2) (1+t)^{-1/4} (1+t)^{-1/2} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-m} \|u-v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/2-m/2} \\ & \quad \times (\|u\|_X^2 + \|u\|_X \|v\|_X + \|v\|_X^2) (1+t)^{-1/4-n/2} (1+t)^{-1/4-(l-m-n)/2} \\ & \leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u-v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (2.11) and (2.12). Using (2.8), we obtain

$$(N[u] - N[v])(t) = - \int_0^t (\partial_x S(t - \tau)) * (f(u) - f(v))(\tau) d\tau \equiv I(x, t). \quad (2.16)$$

By Plancherel's Theorem, we have

$$\|\partial_x^l I(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|(i\xi)^l \hat{I}(\xi, t)\|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)} + \|(i\xi)^l \hat{I}(\xi, t)\|_{L^2(|\xi| \geq 1)} \equiv I_1 + I_2. \quad (2.17)$$

Since

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\xi|^j e^{-2(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} d\xi \leq C(1+t-\tau)^{-j/2-1/2}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \int_0^t \|(i\xi)^{l+1} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2 + ik(t-\tau)\xi^3} \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)\|_{L^2(|\xi| \leq 1)} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)| \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\xi|^{2(l+1)} e^{-2(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)| \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\xi|^2 e^{-2(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4-l/2} \|(f(u) - f(v))(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4} \|\partial_x^l (f(u) - f(v))(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X \left( \int_0^{t/2} (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4-l/2} (1+\tau)^{-1/2} d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4} (1+\tau)^{-1/2-l/2} d\tau \right) \\ &\leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1+t)^{-1/4-l/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

For  $|\xi| \geq 1$ , by using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(i\xi)^l \hat{I}(\xi, t)| \\ &= \left| (i\xi)^{l+1} \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2 + ik(t-\tau)\xi^3} \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^t |\xi| e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^t |\xi|^2 e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$



Therefore we have from (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \left( \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)|^2 d\tau d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} |(i\xi)^l \mathcal{F}[f(u) - f(v)](\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\partial_x^l (f(u) - f(v))(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (1 + \tau)^{-3/2-l} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1 + t)^{-3/4-l/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.16) through (2.19), we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^l (N[u] - N[v])(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1 + t)^{-1/4-l/2}, \quad t \geq 0$$

for  $0 \leq l \leq s$ . Thus, there exists a positive constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|N[u] - N[v]\|_X \leq C_1(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X \leq 4C_0 C_1 E_s \|u - v\|_X, \quad u, v \in Y.$$

Choosing  $E_s$ , which satisfies  $4C_0 C_1 E_s \leq 1/2$ , then we have (2.12). Moreover, taking  $v = 0$  in (2.12), it follows that

$$\|N[u] - N[0]\|_X \leq C_0 E_s.$$

Since  $N[0] = N_0$ , we obtain from (2.10) that

$$\|N[u]\|_X \leq \|N_0\|_X + \|N[u] - N[0]\|_X \leq 2C_0 E_s.$$

Therefore, we get (2.11). This completes the proof of the global existence and  $L^2$ -decay estimate (2.4).

Finally, from Young's inequality and Lemma 2.1, (2.4), (2.9) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\partial_x^l (S(t) * u_0)\|_{L^1} + \int_0^{t/2} \|(\partial_x^{l+1} S(t - \tau)) * f(u)(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \|(\partial_x S(t - \tau)) * (\partial_x^l f(u))(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\leq \|\partial_x^l S(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \|u_0\|_{L^1} + \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^{l+1} S(t - \tau)\|_{L^1} \|f(u)(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x S(t - \tau)\|_{L^1} \|(\partial_x^l f(u))(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\leq C E_s t^{-l/2} (1 + t^{-1/4}) \\
&\quad + C E_s \int_0^{t/2} (t - \tau)^{-1/2-l/2} (1 + (t - \tau)^{-1/4}) (1 + \tau)^{-1/2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C E_s \int_{t/2}^t (t - \tau)^{-1/2} (1 + (t - \tau)^{-1/4}) (1 + \tau)^{-1/2-l/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C E_s \left( t^{-l/2} (1 + t^{-1/4}) + t^{-1/2-l/2} (1 + t^{-1/4}) t^{1/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 + t)^{-1/2-l/2} (t^{1/2} + t^{1/4}) \right) \\
&\leq C E_s t^{-l/2} (1 + t^{-1/4}), \quad t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (2.5). This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we consider the nonlinear diffusion wave  $\chi(x, t)$  defined by (1.4), and the heat kernel  $G(x, t)$  defined by (1.21). A direct calculation yields

$$|\chi(x, t)| \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/4(1+t)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover,  $\chi(x, t)$  and  $G(x, t)$  satisfy the following estimates (for the proof, see e.g. Lemma 4.3 in [10]).

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $l$  and  $m$  be non-negative integers. Then, for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we have*

$$\|\partial_x^l \partial_t^m \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-(1/2)(1-1/p)-l/2-m}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\|\partial_x^l \partial_t^m G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-(1/2)(1-1/p)-l/2-m}, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Next, we treat the function  $\eta(x, t)$  defined by (1.21). For this function, it follows that

$$\min\{1, e^{b\delta/2}\} \leq \eta(x, t) \leq \max\{1, e^{b\delta/2}\}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\min\{1, e^{-b\delta/2}\} \leq \eta(x, t)^{-1} \leq \max\{1, e^{-b\delta/2}\}. \quad (2.24)$$

Moreover, we have the following estimate (for the proof, see Corollary 2.3 in [9] or Lemma 5.4 in [10]).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $l$  be a positive integer and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . If  $|\delta| \leq 1$ , then we have*

$$\|\partial_x^l \eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|\partial_x^l (\eta(\cdot, t)^{-1})\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-(1/2)(1-1/p)-l/2+1/2}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.25)$$

In the rest of this section, we introduce an auxiliary problem. We set  $\psi = u - \chi$ , where  $u(x, t)$  is the solution to (1.1) and  $\chi(x, t)$  is the nonlinear diffusion wave defined by (1.4). Then, the perturbation  $\psi(x, t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\psi_t + (b\chi\psi)_x - \psi_{xx} = -\left(\frac{b}{2}\psi^2\right)_x - \left(\frac{c}{3}u^3\right)_x - ku_{xxx}.$$

To consider the above equation, we prepare the following auxiliary problem:

$$\begin{aligned} z_t + (b\chi z)_x - z_{xx} &= \partial_x \lambda(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z(x, 0) &= z_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where  $\lambda(x, t)$  is a given regular function decaying fast enough at spatial infinity. If we set

$$\begin{aligned} U[h](x, t, \tau) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta(x, t)) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^y h(\xi) d\xi \right) dy, \\ &0 \leq \tau < t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

then we have the following formula (for the proof, see Lemma 3.3 in [3] or Lemma 3.1 in [9]):

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $z_0(x)$  be a sufficiently regular function decaying at spatial infinity. Then we can get the smooth solution of (2.26) which satisfies the following formula:*

$$z(x, t) = U[z_0](x, t, 0) + \int_0^t U[\partial_x \lambda(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.28)$$

This explicit representation formula (2.28) plays an important roles in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Especially, for the second term of (2.28), we have the following estimate:

**Lemma 2.7.** *Assume that  $|\delta| \leq 1$ . Then the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t U[\partial_x \lambda(\tau)](\cdot, t, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|\lambda(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|\lambda(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

holds for  $t > 0$ .

**Proof.** From (2.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t U[\partial_x \lambda(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta(x, t)) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \lambda(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^1 \partial_x^{1-n} \eta(x, t) \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \lambda(y, \tau) dy d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By using Young's inequality, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and (2.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t U[\partial_x \lambda(\tau)](\cdot, t, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n} \eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^n G(t-\tau) * (\eta^{-1} \lambda)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^n G(t-\tau) * (\eta^{-1} \lambda)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|\lambda(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|\lambda(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1. First, in order to obtain the upper bound estimates of  $u - \chi$ , we transform the equation (1.1) and (1.6) by using the Hopf-Cole transformation used in [2, 5, 14]. We set

$$\rho(x, t) \equiv \exp\left(-\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x u(y, t) dy\right), \quad (3.1)$$

then we have the following equation:

$$\rho_t - \rho_{xx} = \frac{b}{2}\rho\left(\frac{c}{3}u^3 + ku_{xx}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Also, for  $\eta(x, t)$  defined by (1.21), we have

$$(\eta^{-1})_t - (\eta^{-1})_{xx} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, if we set

$$w(x, t) = \rho(x, t) - \eta(x, t)^{-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

then we have the following initial value problem for  $w(x, t)$  from (3.2) and (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned} w_t - w_{xx} &= \frac{b}{2}\rho\left(\frac{c}{3}u^3 + ku_{xx}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ w(x, 0) &= w_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $w_0(x)$  is defined by (1.7). Our first step to prove Theorem 1.1 is to show the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume the same conditions on  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.1 are valid. Then we have*

$$\|\partial_x^l w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2-l/2}, & t \geq 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

for  $l = 0, 1$ , where  $w(x, t)$  is the solution to (3.5).

**Proof.** Applying the Duhamel principle to (3.5), we have the following integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= G(t) * w_0 + \frac{bc}{6} \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * (\rho u^3)(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{bk}{2} \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * (\rho u_{xx})(\tau) d\tau \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Before evaluating  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , we prove the following estimate for the initial data  $w_0(x)$ :

$$|w_0(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-(\alpha-1)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

By the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$|w_0(x)| \leq C \left| \int_{-\infty}^x (u_0(y) - \chi_*(y)) dy \right|.$$

If  $x < 0$ , from (1.2) and (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_0(x)| &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^x (|u_0(y)| + |\chi_*(y)|) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^x (1+|y|)^{-\alpha} dy + C \int_{-\infty}^x (1+|y|)^{-N} dy \quad (\forall N \geq 0) \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^x (1-y)^{-\alpha} dy \leq C(1-x)^{-(\alpha-1)} = C(1+|x|)^{-(\alpha-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_*(x)dx = \delta$ , if  $x > 0$ , similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|w_0(x)| &\leq C \left| \int_{-\infty}^x (u_0(y) - \chi_*(y))dy \right| \\
&= C \left| \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y)dy - \int_{-\infty}^x \chi_*(y)dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_*(y)dy \right| \\
&\leq C \left| \int_x^\infty u_0(y)dy \right| + \left| \int_x^\infty \chi_*(y)dy \right| \\
&\leq C \int_x^\infty (1+|y|)^{-\alpha} dy + C \int_x^\infty (1+|y|)^{-N} dy \quad (\forall N \geq 0) \\
&\leq C \int_x^\infty (1+y)^{-\alpha} dy \leq C(1+x)^{-(\alpha-1)} = C(1+|x|)^{-(\alpha-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (3.8).

Next, we prove the uniform boundedness of  $\rho(x, t)$ :

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

To prove (3.9), it suffices to show

$$\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

since  $\rho = w + \eta^{-1}$  and (2.24). By using (3.7), (3.4), Young's inequality, Lemma 2.4, (3.8), (2.24) and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \|G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \|w_0\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \|G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} (\|w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\eta(\cdot, \tau)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}) \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \|G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} (\|w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\eta(\cdot, \tau)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}) \|u_{xx}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C + C' \int_0^t \|w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain

$$\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \exp\left(C' \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau\right) \leq C, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Now, we start with evaluation of  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ . From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x^l G(x-y, t)| (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&= C \left( \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{1+t}-1} + \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} \right) |\partial_x^l G(x-y, t)| (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq C \left( \sup_{|y| \geq \sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} \right) \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{1+t}-1} |\partial_x^l G(x-y, t)| dy \\
&\quad + C \left( \sup_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} |\partial_x^l G(x-y, t)| \right) \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2} \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} + C \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2-l/2} + Ct^{-1/2-l/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2-l/2} + Ct^{-1/2-l/2} \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2+1/2}, & 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ \log(2+t), & \alpha = 2, \end{cases} \\
&\leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2-l/2}, & t \geq 1, \ 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \ \alpha = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (3.8) and (3.11)

$$\|\partial_x^l I_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2-l/2}, & t \geq 1, \ 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \ \alpha = 2 \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

for  $l = 0, 1$ . By Young's inequality, (3.9), Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^l I_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \|\partial_x^l G(t-\tau) * (\rho u^3)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-l/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-l/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau \\
&\leq Ct^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t) + C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for  $l = 0, 1$ . Finally, we evaluate  $I_3$ . Since  $\rho_x = -(b/2)\rho u$  from (3.1), making the

integration by parts for the first part of the integral, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(x, t) &= \frac{bk}{2} \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y, t-\tau) \rho(y, \tau) u_{yy}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&= \frac{bk}{2} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x G(x-y, t-\tau) \rho(y, \tau) u_y(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{b^2 k}{4} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y, t-\tau) \rho(y, \tau) u(y, \tau) u_y(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{bk}{2} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y, t-\tau) \rho(y, \tau) u_{yy}(y, \tau) dy d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, in the same way to get (3.13), we have from (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^l I_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^{l+1} G(t-\tau) * (\rho u_x)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^l G(t-\tau) * (\rho u u_x)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^l G(t-\tau) * (\rho u_{xx})(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^{l+1} G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_x(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1-l/2} \tau^{-1/2} (1+\tau^{-1/4}) d\tau + C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-l/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-l/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} + C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t) + C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-1/2-l/2} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for  $l = 0, 1$ . Summing up (3.7), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.15), we obtain (3.6).  $\square$

**End of the proof of Theorem 1.1.** From (3.1) and (1.21), it follows that

$$u = -\frac{2}{b}(\log \rho)_x, \quad \chi = \frac{2}{b}(\log \eta)_x.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u - \chi &= -\frac{2}{b}(\log \rho + \log \eta)_x = -\frac{2}{b}(\log(w + \eta^{-1}) + \log \eta) \\
&= -\frac{2}{b}(\log(\eta w + 1))_x = -\frac{2}{b} \frac{\eta_x w + \eta w_x}{\eta w + 1} \\
&= -\frac{2}{b} \frac{w}{w + \eta^{-1}} \frac{\eta_x}{\eta} - \frac{2}{b} \frac{w_x}{w + \eta^{-1}} = -\frac{w\chi}{\rho} - \frac{2}{b} \frac{w_x}{\rho},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

since  $\eta_x = (b/2)\eta\chi$  and  $\rho = w + \eta^{-1}$ . By using (2.5), we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^x u(y, t) dy \right| \leq \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq C(1 + t^{-1/4}) \leq C =: C_0, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Thus, from (3.1), we get the lower bound estimate of  $\rho(x, t)$ :

$$\inf_{t \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}} \rho(x, t) \geq e^{-|b|C_0/2}. \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, using (3.16), Lemma 2.4, Proposition 3.1 and (3.17), if  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\partial_x w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2}(1 + t)^{-1/2} + C(1 + t)^{-\alpha/2} \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-\alpha/2}, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

On the other hand, if  $\alpha = 2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\partial_x w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-1/2} \log(2 + t)(1 + t)^{-1/2} \\ &\quad + C(1 + t)^{-1} \log(2 + t) \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-1} \log(2 + t), \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , from Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq CE_s(1 + t)^{-1/2} + C|\delta|(1 + t)^{-1/2} \\ &\leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (3.18) through (3.20), we get (1.16) for all  $t \geq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we will show the  $L^p$ -decay estimate of  $u - \chi$  for  $p \in [2, \infty]$ . Especially, the following  $L^2$ -decay estimate will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume the same conditions on  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.1 are valid. Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C \begin{cases} (1 + t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, & t \geq 0, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1 + t)^{-3/4} \log(2 + t), & t \geq 0, \quad \alpha = 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

**Proof.** It suffices to derive the following  $L^2$ -decay estimate of  $\partial_x w(x, t)$ :

$$\|\partial_x w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C \begin{cases} (1 + t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, & t \geq 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1 + t)^{-3/4} \log(2 + t), & t \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

Indeed, if we have shown (3.22), then from (3.16), Proposition 3.1, Lemma 2.4 and (3.17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + C\|\partial_x w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2}(1 + t)^{-1/4} + C(1 + t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4} \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, \quad t \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$



for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . While, the following estimate holds in the case of  $\alpha = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + C\|\partial_x w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-1/2}\log(2+t)(1+t)^{-1/4} \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-3/4}\log(2+t) \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-3/4}\log(2+t), \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , from Proposition 2.3, and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + C\|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq CE_s(1+t)^{-1/4} + C|\delta|(1+t)^{-1/4} \\
&\leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.23) through (3.25), we get (3.21) for all  $t \geq 0$ .

To prove (3.22), we consider the following integral equation again:

$$\begin{aligned}
w(t) &= G(t) * w_0 + \frac{bc}{6} \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * (\rho u^3)(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{bk}{2} \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * (\rho u_{xx})(\tau) d\tau \\
&\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For the initial data, using (1.7), (1.2) and (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|w'_0(x)| \\
&\leq C \left| \exp\left(-\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy\right) \right| |u_0(x)| + C \left| \exp\left(-\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi_*(y) dy\right) \right| |\chi_*(x)| \\
&\leq C \exp\left(\frac{|b|\|u_0\|_{L^1}}{2}\right) (1+|x|)^{-\alpha} + C \exp\left(\frac{|b|\|\chi_*\|_{L^1}}{2}\right) (1+|x|)^{-N} \quad (\forall N \geq 0) \\
&\leq C(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Now we shall evaluate  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ . Splitting the  $y$ -integral and making the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x I_1(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x G(x-y, t) w_0(y) dy \\
&= \left( \int_{-\infty}^{1-\sqrt{1+t}} + \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^{\infty} \right) \partial_x G(x-y, t) w_0(y) dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} \partial_x G(x-y, t) w_0(y) dy \\
&= -G(x-1+\sqrt{1+t}, t) w_0(1-\sqrt{1+t}) \\
&\quad + G(x-\sqrt{1+t}-1, t) w_0(\sqrt{1+t}-1) \\
&\quad + \left( \int_{-\infty}^{1-\sqrt{1+t}} + \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^{\infty} \right) G(x-y, t) w'_0(y) dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} \partial_x G(x-y, t) w_0(y) dy \\
&\equiv I_{1.1} + I_{1.2} + I_{1.3} + I_{1.4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Using Lemma 2.4 and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{1.1}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}|w_0(1 - \sqrt{1+t})| \\
&\leq Ct^{-1/4}(1+t)^{-(\alpha-1)/2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|I_{1.2}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{3.29}$$

From Lemma 2.4 and (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I_{1.3}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C\left(\int_{-\infty}^{1-\sqrt{1+t}} + \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^{\infty}\right)\|G(\cdot - y, t)\|_{L^2}|w'_0(y)|dy \\
&\leq Ct^{-1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{1-\sqrt{1+t}} (1-y)^{-\alpha} dy + Ct^{-1/4} \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^{\infty} (1+y)^{-\alpha} dy \\
&= Ct^{-1/4} \left[ \frac{-1}{1-\alpha} (1-y)^{1-\alpha} \right]_{-\infty}^{1-\sqrt{1+t}} + Ct^{-1/4} \left[ \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (1+y)^{1-\alpha} \right]_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^{\infty} \\
&\leq Ct^{-1/4} (1+t)^{1/2-\alpha/2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

On the other hand, we have from Lemma 2.4 and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{1.4}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} \|\partial_x G(\cdot - y, t)\|_{L^2} |w_0(y)| dy \\
&\leq Ct^{-3/4} \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq Ct^{-3/4} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, & t \geq 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-3/4} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Therefore, combining (3.27) through (3.31), we obtain

$$\|\partial_x I_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/4}, & t \geq 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-3/4} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

By Young's inequality, (3.9), Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x I_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \|\partial_x G(t-\tau) * (\rho u^3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-3/4} (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} (1+\tau)^{-5/4} d\tau \\
& \leq Ct^{-3/4} \log(2+t) + C(1+t)^{-3/4} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-3/4} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Finally, we evaluate  $I_3$ . Using (3.14), in the same way to get (3.15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x I_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^2 G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_x(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-5/4} \tau^{-1/2} (1+\tau^{-1/4}) d\tau + C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-3/4} (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} (1+\tau)^{-5/4} d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-3/4} + C(1+t)^{-3/4} \log(2+t) + C(1+t)^{-3/4} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-3/4} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Summing up (3.7) and (3.32) through (3.34), we obtain (3.22). This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, by the interpolation inequality, it follows that

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-2/p} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^{2/p}$$

for  $p \in [2, \infty]$ . Therefore, (1.16) and (3.21) lead to the following estimate:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Assume the same conditions on  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.1 are valid. Then, for  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , we have*

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\alpha/2+1/2p}, & t \geq 0, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1+1/2p} \log(2+t), & t \geq 0, \quad \alpha = 2. \end{cases}$$

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $1 < \alpha < 2$

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 in the case of  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . Namely, we prove (1.18) and (1.22). First, we set

$$\psi(x, t) \equiv u(x, t) - \chi(x, t), \quad \psi_0(x) \equiv u_0(x) - \chi_*(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Then we have the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t + (b\chi\psi)_x - \psi_{xx} &= -\left(\frac{b}{2}\psi^2\right)_x - \left(\frac{c}{3}u^3\right)_x - k u_{xxx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \psi(x, 0) &= \psi_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

From Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - \frac{b}{2} \int_0^t U[\partial_x \psi^2(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{c}{3} \int_0^t U[\partial_x u^3(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau - k \int_0^t U[\partial_x^3 u(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

For the first term of the above equation (4.3), we have the following asymptotic formula. This formula plays an essential role in the proof of (1.18) and (1.19). The idea of the proof is based on the method used in Narazaki and Nishihara [15].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume the same conditions on  $u_0$  in Theorem 1.2 are valid. Then we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1+t)^{\alpha/2} \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+t)}{\log(1+t)} \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $Z(x, t)$  is defined by (1.20).

**Proof.** From the definition of  $U$  given by (2.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(G(x-y, t)\eta(x, t))\eta_*(y)^{-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^y (u_0(\xi) - \chi_*(\xi)) d\xi \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(G(x-y, t)\eta(x, t))z_0(y) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $z_0(y)$  is defined by (1.17). Now, from (2.24), (1.2) and (1.5), we can check the following estimate in the same way to get (3.8):

$$|z_0(y)| \leq C(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, we obtain the boundedness of  $(1+|y|)^{\alpha-1}z_0(y)$ . Moreover, from the assumption on  $z_0(y)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0(y) - c_\alpha^+(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}| &\leq \varepsilon(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}, \quad y \geq R, \\ |z_0(y) - c_\alpha^-(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}| &\leq \varepsilon(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}, \quad y \leq -R. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.20) and (4.6), we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& |U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - Z(x, t)| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x(G(x-y, t)\eta(x, t))| |z_0(y) - c_\alpha(y)(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}| dy \\
& \leq \int_{|y| \leq R} |\partial_x(G(x-y, t)\eta(x, t))| |z_0(y) - c_\alpha(y)(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}| dy \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \int_{|y| \geq R} |\partial_x(G(x-y, t)\eta(x, t))| (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n}\eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^n G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|y| \leq R} |z_0(y) - c_\alpha(y)(1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)}| dy \\
& \quad + \varepsilon C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n}\eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x^n G(x-y, t)| (1+|y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, by using (4.7), Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.4 and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \\
& \quad + \varepsilon C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\alpha/2}, & t \geq 1, \ 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1} \log(2+t), & t \geq 1, \ \alpha = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1+t)^{\alpha/2} \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq \varepsilon C, \ 1 < \alpha < 2, \\
\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+t)}{\log(1+t)} \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq \varepsilon C, \ \alpha = 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get (4.4) and (4.5), because  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily small.  $\square$

**End of the proof of Theorem 1.2 for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .** First, we shall prove (1.18). By using (1.20) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t) - \chi(x, t) - Z(x, t) \\
& = U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - Z(x, t) - \frac{b}{2} \int_0^t U[\partial_x \psi^2(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\
& \quad - \frac{c}{3} \int_0^t U[\partial_x u^3(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau - k \int_0^t U[\partial_x^3 u(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\
& \equiv U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - Z(x, t) + J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We shall evaluate  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $J_3$ . Using Lemma 2.7, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|J_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|\psi^2(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|\psi^2(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-\alpha+1/2} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-1}, & t \geq 1, \ 3/2 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), & t \geq 1, \ \alpha = 3/2, \\ (1+t)^{-\alpha+1/2}, & t \geq 1, \ 1 < \alpha < 3/2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Next we evaluate  $J_2$ . In the same way to get (4.9), from Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|J_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|u^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), \ t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, we evaluate  $I_3$ . We define  $J_{3.1}$  and  $J_{3.2}$  are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= -k \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta(x, t)) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 u(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&= -k \sum_{n=0}^1 \partial_x^{1-n} \eta(x, t) \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 u(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\equiv J_{3.1} + J_{3.2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Then, by making the integration by parts for  $J_{3.1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3.1} &= -k \sum_{n=0}^1 \partial_x^{1-n} \eta(x, t) \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{n+1} G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y u(y, \tau) \\
& \quad + \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) \partial_y (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y u(y, \tau) dy d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.3 and (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|J_{3.1}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n}\eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^{t/2} (\|\partial_x^{n+1}G(t-\tau) * (\eta^{-1}u_x)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \quad + \|\partial_x^n G(t-\tau) * ((\eta^{-1})_x u_x)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \int_0^{t/2} (\|\partial_x^{n+1}G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \|\partial_x^n G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x(\eta(\cdot, \tau)^{-1})\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\
& \quad \times \int_0^{t/2} \left( (t-\tau)^{-1-n/2} \tau^{-1/2} (1+\tau^{-1/4}) + (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} \right) d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-1} + C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, for  $J_{3.2}$ , the following estimate is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_{3.2}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} & \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n}\eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^n G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, from (4.11) through (4.13), we get

$$\|J_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), \quad t \geq 1. \tag{4.14}$$

By using (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + C(1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) \\
& \quad + C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-1}, & t \geq 1, \quad 3/2 < \alpha < 2, \\ (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t), & t \geq 1, \quad \alpha = 3/2, \\ (1+t)^{-\alpha+1/2}, & t \geq 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 3/2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.4), we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1+t)^{\alpha/2} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of (1.18).

Next, we shall prove (1.22). First, we take  $x = 0$  in (1.20), then we have from (1.4) and (1.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z(0, t) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_{\alpha}(y) \left( (\partial_y G)(-y, t) \eta(0, t) + G(-y, t) \frac{b}{2} \chi(0, t) \eta(0, t) \right) (1 + |y|)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&= c_{\alpha}^+ \eta_*(0) \int_0^{\infty} (\partial_y G)(-y, t) (1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\quad + c_{\alpha}^- \eta_*(0) \int_{-\infty}^0 (\partial_y G)(-y, t) (1 - y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{bc_{\alpha}^+}{2} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1 + t)^{-1/2} \int_0^{\infty} G(y, t) (1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{bc_{\alpha}^-}{2} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1 + t)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 G(y, t) (1 - y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&= (c_{\alpha}^+ - c_{\alpha}^-) \eta_*(0) \int_0^{\infty} (\partial_y G)(-y, t) (1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{b(c_{\alpha}^+ + c_{\alpha}^-)}{2} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1 + t)^{-1/2} \int_0^{\infty} G(y, t) (1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&\equiv L_1(t) + L_2(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

since  $\partial_y G(y, t)$  and  $G(y, t)$  are the odd and even functions for  $y$ -variable, respectively.

From the mean value theorem, there exists  $\theta_j \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(1 + y)^{-(\alpha-j)} - y^{-(\alpha-j)} = -(\alpha - j)(y + \theta_j)^{-(\alpha-j+1)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (1.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(t) &= \frac{(c_{\alpha}^+ - c_{\alpha}^-) \eta_*(0)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} y (1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&= \frac{(c_{\alpha}^+ - c_{\alpha}^-) \eta_*(0)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} y^{2-\alpha} dy + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} y ((1 + y)^{-(\alpha-1)} - y^{-(\alpha-1)}) dy \right) \\
&= \frac{(c_{\alpha}^+ - c_{\alpha}^-) \eta_*(0)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} \\
&\quad \times \left( 2^{2-\alpha} t^{(3-\alpha)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\alpha}{2}\right) - (\alpha - 1) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} y (y + \theta_1)^{-\alpha} dy \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

since

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} y^{j-\alpha} dy = 2^{j-\alpha} t^{(j+1-\alpha)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{j+1-\alpha}{2}\right), \quad j \geq 1, \tag{4.18}$$

where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Gamma function for  $s > 0$ . Similarly, by making the integration by



parts, we have from (4.16) and (4.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(t) &= \frac{b(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \\
&= \frac{b(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-2} + \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)} t^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (1+y)^{-(\alpha-2)} dy \right) \\
&= \frac{b(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \eta_*(0) \chi_*(0) (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-2} + \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)} t^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left( 2^{3-\alpha} t^{2-(\alpha/2)} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + (2-\alpha) \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (y+\theta_2)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \right) \right). \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.15), (4.17) and (4.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\geq |Z(0, t)| \\
&= |L_1(t) + L_2(t)| \\
&= \frac{|\eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left| 2^{2-\alpha} (c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-) \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-\alpha/2} \right. \\
&\quad - (c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-) (\alpha-1) t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (y+\theta_1)^{-\alpha} dy \\
&\quad - \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \\
&\quad + \frac{2^{2-\alpha} (c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{1/2-\alpha/2} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (y+\theta_2)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \right| \tag{4.20} \\
&= \frac{|\eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left| 2^{2-\alpha} \left( (c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-) \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\alpha}{2}\right) + \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right) t^{-\alpha/2} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \\
&\quad - (c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-) (\alpha-1) t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (y+\theta_1)^{-\alpha} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{2^{2-\alpha} (c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2-\alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) ((1+t)^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2}) t^{1/2-\alpha/2} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)}{2} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (y+\theta_2)^{-(\alpha-1)} dy \right| \\
&\equiv \frac{|\eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} |M_1(t) + M_2(t) + M_3(t) + M_4(t) + M_5(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $M_1(t)$ , we get

$$|M_1(t)| \geq 2^{2-\alpha} |\beta_0| (1+t)^{-\alpha/2}, \tag{4.21}$$

where  $\beta_0$  is defined by (1.24). Obviously, we have

$$|M_2(t)| \leq \frac{|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-) b \chi_*(0)|}{2-\alpha} t^{-1}. \tag{4.22}$$

On the other hand, for  $M_3(t)$ , we have from (4.18)

$$\begin{aligned} |M_3(t)| &\leq (\alpha - 1)|c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-|t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y^{1-\alpha} dy \\ &= \frac{(\alpha - 1)|c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-|}{2^{\alpha-1}} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1/2-\alpha/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |M_4(t)| &\leq \frac{2^{2-\alpha}|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2 - \alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) (t^{-1/2} - (1+t)^{-1/2}) t^{1/2-\alpha/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2-\alpha}|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2 - \alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1-\alpha/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Finally, for  $M_5(t)$ , we obtain from (4.18)

$$\begin{aligned} |M_5(t)| &\leq \frac{|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y^{-(\alpha-2)} dy \\ &\leq \frac{|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2^{\alpha-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1/2-\alpha/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, combining (4.20) through (4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\geq \frac{|\eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} (|M_1(t)| - |M_2(t)| - |M_3(t)| - |M_4(t)| - |M_5(t)|) \\ &\geq \frac{|\eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 2^{2-\alpha}|\beta_0|(1+t)^{-\alpha/2} - \frac{|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2 - \alpha} t^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{(\alpha - 1)|c_\alpha^+ - c_\alpha^-|}{2^{\alpha-1}} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1/2-\alpha/2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2^{2-\alpha}|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2 - \alpha} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1-\alpha/2} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{|(c_\alpha^+ + c_\alpha^-)b\chi_*(0)|}{2^{\alpha-1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\alpha}{2}\right) t^{-1/2-\alpha/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is a positive constant  $\nu_0$  such that (1.22) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\alpha = 2$

In this last section, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. Before proving (1.19) and (1.23), we recall the following fact derived in [3]. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (b\chi v)_x - v_{xx} &= -\left(\frac{c}{3}\chi^3\right)_x - k\chi_{xxx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

The leading term of the solution  $v(x, t)$  to (5.1) is given by  $V(x, t)$  defined by (1.11). Actually, we have the following asymptotic formula (for the proof, see Proposition 4.3 in [3]).

**Proposition 5.1.** Assume that  $|\delta| \leq 1$ . Then the estimate

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1 \quad (5.2)$$

holds. Here  $v(x, t)$  is the solution to (5.1) and  $V(x, t)$  is defined by (1.11).

**End of the proof of Theorem 1.2 for  $\alpha = 2$ .** First, we shall prove (1.19). We set

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, t) &\equiv u(x, t) - \chi(x, t) - v(x, t) \\ &= \psi(x, t) - v(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (1.1), (1.6), (4.1) and (5.1),  $\phi(x, t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t + (b\chi\phi)_x - \phi_{xx} &= -\left(\frac{b}{2}\psi^2\right)_x - \left(\frac{c}{3}\psi^3\right)_x - c(u\chi\psi)_x - k\psi_{xxx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \phi(x, 0) &= \psi_0(x) = u_0(x) - \chi_*(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

From Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, t) &= U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - \frac{b}{2} \int_0^t U[\partial_x \psi^2(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{c}{3} \int_0^t U[\partial_x \psi^3(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau - c \int_0^t U[\partial_x (u\chi\psi)(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\quad - k \int_0^t U[\partial_x^3 \psi(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\equiv U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) + K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u(x, t) - \chi(x, t) - Z(x, t) - V(x, t) \\ &= U[\psi_0](x, t, 0) - Z(x, t) + v(x, t) - V(x, t) + K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $Z(x, t)$  and  $V(x, t)$  are defined by (1.20) and (1.11), respectively.

We evaluate  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  and  $K_4$ . In the same way to get (4.9), from Lemma 2.7, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.2 for  $\alpha = 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|K_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|\psi^2(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|\psi^2(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} \log(2+\tau)^2 d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-2} \log(2+\tau)^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

We omit the evaluation of  $K_2$ , since we can easily evaluate it in the same way as the above calculation. Actually, it follows that

$$\|K_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (5.7)$$

Next, we evaluate  $K_3$ . Using Lemma 2.7, the Schwarz inequality, Proposition 2.3, Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 1.1 for  $\alpha = 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|K_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|(u\chi\psi)(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|(u\chi\psi)(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\psi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\psi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \left( \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} \log(2+\tau) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-2} \log(2+\tau) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Finally, we evaluate  $K_4$ . From the definition of  $K_4$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &= -k \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta(x, t)) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&= -k \sum_{n=0}^1 \partial_x^{1-n} \eta(x, t) g_n(x, t),
\end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$g_n(x, t) \equiv \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau.$$

Then, we have from Lemma 2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_4(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \|\partial_x^{1-n} \eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|g_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 (1+t)^{-1/2+n/2} \|g_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

By making the integration by parts for the first part of the  $\tau$ -integral of  $g_n(x, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(x, t) &= \left( \int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{n+2} G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{n+1} G(x-y, t-\tau) \partial_y (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) \partial_y^2 (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^n G(x-y, t-\tau) (\eta(y, \tau))^{-1} \partial_y^2 \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Young's inequality, the Schwarz inequality, Proposition 2.3, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^{n+2} G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} (\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} + \|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1}) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^{n+1} G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x (\eta(\cdot, \tau))^{-1}\|_{L^2} \|\psi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^n G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^2 (\eta(\cdot, \tau))^{-1}\|_{L^2} \|\psi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x^n G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} (\|\partial_x^2 u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_x^2 \chi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-3/2-n/2} (1+\tau^{-1/4}) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} \log(2+\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1/2-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} \log(2+\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-n/2} (1+\tau)^{-3/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-1/2-n/2}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Combining (5.9) and (5.10), we get

$$\|K_4(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{5.11}$$

Summing up (5.2), (5.6), (5.7), (5.8) and (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \|U[\psi_0](\cdot, t, 0) - Z(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.5), we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+t)}{\log(1+t)} \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - Z(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

Thus, we completes the proof of (1.19).

Finally, we shall derive the lower bound estimate of  $Z(x, t) + V(x, t)$ , that is (1.23). First, we take  $x = 0$  in (1.11). Then, from (1.12), it follows that

$$V(0, t) = -\frac{bd}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{b^2k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) \chi_*(0) \eta_*(0) (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t). \quad (5.12)$$

Combining (4.15) and (5.12), we have from the triangle inequality and (1.21)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z(\cdot, t) + V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \geq |Z(0, t) + V(0, t)| \\ & = \left| \frac{(c_2^+ - c_2^-) \eta_*(0)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} y (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{(c_2^+ + c_2^-) b \chi_*(0) \eta_*(0)}{4\sqrt{\pi}} (1+t)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-1} dy \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{bd}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{b^2k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) \chi_*(0) \eta_*(0) (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) \right| \\ & \geq \frac{|b|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} |\chi_*(0)| |\eta_*(0)| (1+t)^{-1/2} \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-) t^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. - d \left( \frac{b^2k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| - \frac{|(c_2^+ - c_2^-) \eta_*(0)|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} dy \\ & \equiv \frac{|b|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} |\chi_*(0)| |\eta_*(0)| (1+t)^{-1/2} W(t) - \frac{|(c_2^+ - c_2^-) \eta_*(0)|}{4} t^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Now, we evaluate  $W(t)$  from below. Splitting the  $y$ -integral and using the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) & = \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-) t^{-1/2} \left( \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} + \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^\infty \right) e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. - d \left( \frac{b^2k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\ & \geq \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-) t^{-1/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. - d \left( \frac{b^2k}{8} + \frac{c}{3} \right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\ & \quad - \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-) t^{-1/2} \int_{\sqrt{1+t}-1}^\infty e^{-y^2/4t} (1+y)^{-1} dy \right| \\ & \equiv W_1(t) - W_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

From the mean value theorem, there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$e^{-y^2/4t} = 1 - \frac{y^2}{4t} e^{-\theta y^2/4t}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1(t) &= \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-)t^{-1/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{4t} e^{-\theta y^2/4t}\right) (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - d\left(\frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3}\right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\
&\geq \left| (c_2^+ + c_2^-)t^{-1/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+y)^{-1} dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - d\left(\frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3}\right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \frac{c_2^+ + c_2^-}{4} t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} e^{-\theta y^2/4t} y^2 (1+y)^{-1} dy \right| \\
&\equiv W_{1.1}(t) - W_{1.2}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

For  $W_{1.1}(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{1.1}(t) &= \left| \frac{c_2^+ + c_2^-}{2} t^{-1/2} \log(1+t) - d\left(\frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3}\right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{c_2^+ + c_2^-}{2} t^{-1/2} \log(1+t) - \frac{c_2^+ + c_2^-}{2} (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( \frac{c_2^+ + c_2^-}{2} - d\left(\frac{b^2 k}{8} + \frac{c}{3}\right) \right) (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) \right| \\
&\geq |\beta_1| (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) - \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{2} \log(1+t) (t^{-1/2} - (1+t)^{-1/2}) \\
&\geq |\beta_1| (1+t)^{-1/2} \log(1+t) - \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{2} t^{-3/2} \log(1+t),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where  $\beta_1$  is defined by (1.24). On the other hand, for  $W_{1.2}(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{1.2}(t) &\leq \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{4} t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{1+t}-1} y dy \\
&= \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{8} t^{-3/2} (\sqrt{1+t} - 1)^2 \leq \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{8} t^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Analogously, for  $W_2(t)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2(t) &\leq |c_2^+ + c_2^-| t^{-1/2} \left( \sup_{y \geq \sqrt{1+t}-1} (1+|y|)^{-1} \right) \int_{y \geq \sqrt{1+t}-1} e^{-y^2/4t} dy \\
&\leq \sqrt{\pi} |c_2^+ + c_2^-| (1+t)^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Therefore, summing up (5.13) through (5.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Z(\cdot, t) + V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\geq \frac{|b|}{4\sqrt{\pi}} |\chi_*(0)| |\eta_*(0)| \left( |\beta_1| (1+t)^{-1} \log(1+t) - \frac{|c_2^+ + c_2^-|}{2} t^{-2} \log(1+t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - |c_2^+ + c_2^-| \left( \sqrt{\pi} + \frac{1}{8} \right) t^{-1} \right) - \frac{|(c_2^+ - c_2^-)\eta_*(0)|}{4} t^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is a positive constant  $\nu_1$  such that (1.23) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for  $\alpha = 2$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Hideo Kubo for his feedback and valuable advices.

This study is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow No.18J1234008 and MEXT through Program for Leading Graduate Schools (Hokkaido University “Ambitious Leader’s Program”).

## References

- [1] C. J. Amick, J. L. Bona and J. L. Schonbek, Decay of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **81** (1989) 1-49.
- [2] J.D. Cole, On a quasi-linear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, **IX** (1951) 225-236.
- [3] I. Fukuda, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the generalized KdV-Burgers equation, *Osaka J. Math.*, **44** in press.
- [4] N. Hayashi and P.I. Naumkin, Asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, **22** (2006) 1441-1456.
- [5] E. Hopf, The partial differential equation  $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3** (1950) 201-230.
- [6] E.I. Kaikina and H.F. Ruiz-Paredes, Second term of asymptotics for KdVB equation with large initial data, *Osaka J. Math.*, **42** (2005) 407-420.
- [7] G. Karch,  $L^p$ -decay of solutions to dissipative-dispersive perturbations of conservation laws, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **67** (1997) 65-86.
- [8] G. Karch, Self-similar large time behavior of solutions to Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **35** (1999) 199-219.
- [9] M. Kato, Large time behavior of solutions to the generalized Burgers equations, *Osaka J. Math.*, **44** (2007) 923-943.
- [10] M. Kato and Y. Ueda, Asymptotic profile of solutions for the damped wave equation with a nonlinear convection term, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **40** (2017) 7760-7779.
- [11] S. Kawashima, Large-time behavior of solutions to hyperbolic-parabolic systems of conservation laws and applications, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **106** (1987) 169-194.
- [12] Y. Kitagawa, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the initial value problem for one dimensional single viscous conservation law, *Osaka University, Master’s thesis*, 2007 (in Japanese).
- [13] T.-P. Liu, Hyperbolic and viscous conservation laws, *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics* **72**, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2000.
- [14] A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara, Global solutions of nonlinear differential equations-Mathematical analysis for compressible viscous fluids, *Nippon-Hyoron-Sha*, Tokyo, 2004 (in Japanese).
- [15] T. Narazaki and K. Nishihara, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for the damped wave equation with slowly decaying data, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **338** (2008) 803-819.