Non-Markovian Unruh effect

Boris Sokolov,1 Jorma Louko,2 Sabrina Maniscalco,1,3 and Iiro Vilja4

1QTF Centre of Excellence, Turku Centre for Quantum Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turun Yliopisto, Finland
2School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK
3QTF Centre of Excellence, Department of Applied Physics, School of Science, Aalto University, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland
4Turku Centre for Quantum Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turun Yliopisto, Finland

We study memory effects as information backflow for an accelerating two-level detector weakly interacting with a scalar field in the Minkowski vacuum. This is the framework of the well-known Unruh effect: the detector behaves as if it were in a thermal bath with a temperature proportional to its acceleration. Here we show that, if we relax the usual assumption of an eternally uniformly accelerating system, and we instead consider the more realistic case in which a finite-size detector starts accelerating at a certain time, its dynamics may become non-Markovian. Our results are the first description of a relativistic quantum system in terms of information back-flow and non-Markovianity, and they show the existence of a direct link between the trajectory of the detector in Minkowski space and the presence or absence of memory effects.

Introduction - Quantum field theory predicts that a detector accelerating in empty Minkowski space shall observe a particle bath with a spectrum dependent on the proper acceleration of the detector. In particular, if the motion is linear with constant proper acceleration, the particle bath is thermal with a temperature proportional to the acceleration of the detector [1, 2]. This extremely minute physical phenomenon is called the Unruh effect. Despite being difficult to detect directly, the effect could prove to be significant in various scenarios such as centripetal acceleration in rotating frames [3]. Moreover, there have been several proposals for observing and simulating the Unruh effect in laboratory conditions [4–10]. Since it has not detected directly, its very existence and meaning have also been questioned [11, 12]. From a theoretical point of view, the Unruh effect is also closely related to Hawking radiation (for a detailed discussion on the subject see Ref. [2]).

Since a constantly accelerated detector experiences an effective thermal background, it is possible to model it as a two-level system interacting with a bosonic environment with a Planckian spectrum. This model has been studied extensively within the framework of open quantum systems theory [12, 13]. However, these studies assume an eternally and continuously accelerating detector. In this Letter we focus on the more realistic case of a detector starting its linear acceleration at a finite time. The master equation describing the dynamics of the detector in this situation becomes a time-local master equation with time-dependent decay rates which may take temporarily negative values. When this happens, the dynamical map describing the system evolution is non-divisible and one can operationally define information backflow in terms of a partial increase of distinguishability between states of the detector [13]. This is the modern understanding of non-Markovian open quantum systems.

During the last decade, a new paradigm in the description of open quantum systems has indeed emerged. Specifically, a formal and rigorous information-theoretical approach was introduced and used to define Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics in order to give a clear physical interpretation, as well as an operational definition, to memory effects [17–19]. Markovian dynamics is characterised by a continuous and monotonic loss of information from the open system to the environment while non-Markovian dynamics occurs when part of the information previously lost into the environment comes back due to memory effects, namely information backflow occurs.

For the system studied in this Letter, the time-dependent decay rates appearing in the master equation are obtained from the underlying microscopic Hamiltonian model of system (detector) plus environment (quantum field). Using standard approaches to derive the master equation, we show that such coefficients are directly linked to the trajectory of the detector in Minkowski space. Interestingly, we have identified the relevant physical parameter ruling the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics, showing that memory effects may occur. This provides new physical insight in the understanding of the Unruh effect and paves the way to the exploration of relativistic quantum phenomena in the modern framework of open quantum systems, that is in terms of quantum information exchange between system and environment.

The master equation - In Ref. [14] a microscopic derivation of the master equation describing the dynamics of a two-level detector weakly interacting with a scalar field in the Minkowski vacuum was presented. The derivation relies on the standard Born-Markov approximation [20]. An eternally and uniformly accelerated detector parametrised with the proper time, i.e. following the
well known hyperbolic path [1], is considered by the authors. Here we relax this unrealistic assumption and consider instead a different trajectory in Minkowski space, assuming that the detector is inertial until a certain time after which it experiences a uniform acceleration. Moreover, we generalise the description of the detector from point-like, to finite-size. With these generalizations, following the same lines of Ref. [14], the master equation describing the dynamics of the detector takes the form \( \dot{\rho} = -i[H_{\text{eff}}, \rho] + L(\rho) \), where the dissipator \( L \), in the instantaneous rest frame of the detector, is given by

\[
L(\rho) = \frac{\gamma_1(\tau)}{2} L_1(\rho) + \frac{\gamma_2(\tau)}{2} L_2(\rho) + \frac{\gamma_3(\tau)}{2} L_3(\rho),
\]

and where the effective Hamiltonian is \( H_{\text{eff}} = \omega \sigma_z / 2 + \Omega(\tau) \), with \( \Omega(\tau) \) a generally time-dependent renormalised frequency. The dissipator is given by the sum of three terms, \( L_i(\rho) \), describing, in order, heating, dissipation and dephasing, and having the following form

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1(\rho) & = \sigma_+ \rho \sigma_- - \frac{1}{2} \{ \sigma_- \sigma_+, \rho \} \\
L_2(\rho) & = \sigma_- \rho \sigma_+ - \frac{1}{2} \{ \sigma_+ \sigma_-, \rho \} \\
L_3(\rho) & = \sigma_z \rho \sigma_z - \rho.
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficients \( \gamma_1(\tau), \gamma_2(\tau) \) and \( \gamma_3(\tau) \) are the absorption, emission and dephasing rates, respectively. They are simply related to the proper time \( (\tau-) \)-derivative of the correlation function \( F_\tau(\omega) \) through the equations

\[
\gamma_1(\tau) = 4F_\tau(-\omega), \quad \gamma_2(\tau) = 4F_\tau(\omega), \quad \gamma_3(\tau) = 2F_\tau(0).
\]

Note that in this Letter we use units \( c = \hbar = 1 \) and Minkowski spacetime signature \((+, -, -, -)\).

For any detector the correlation function is related to the Wightman function \( W(\tau, \tau') = \langle \sigma(\bar{\omega}(\tau)) \phi(\bar{\omega}(\tau')) \rangle \) on detector world-line \( x(\tau) \) as follows [21]:

\[
F_\tau(\omega) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau'' e^{-i\omega(\tau''-\tau')} W(\tau', \tau''),
\]

Hence, the proper time derivative \( F_\tau(\omega) \), for an always-on detector, i.e., for \( \tau_0 \to -\infty \), in its rest frame, reads as

\[
\dot{F}_\tau(\omega) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \Re \left( e^{-i\omega s} W(\tau, \tau-s) \right).
\]

The Wightman function is most easily calculated for a point-like detector. However, it is not physically realistic and leads to problems e.g. with Lorentz invariance [23, 24]. These problems can be circumvented by assuming that the detector has a finite size instead of being point-like. The spatial shape of the detector can be defined by the Lorentzian smearing function given in terms of the Fermi coordinates \( \xi \) (momentarily normal coordinates) [25] as

\[
f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(|\xi|^2 + 1)^2},
\]

but the detector profile is eventually irrelevant at least if it satisfies some smoothness conditions [27]. The finite-size-detector Wightman function is given by

\[
W(\tau, \tau') = \frac{-1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{(x(\tau) - x(\tau') - i\epsilon(x(\tau) - x(\tau')))^2}.
\]

This correlator is more physical, it appears to have much more regular properties, and is therefore used in our study.

In this Letter we consider a detector at rest for \( \tau < 0 \) and uniformly accelerated for \( \tau > 0 \), following the path given by \( t(\tau) = \theta(-\tau) \tau + \theta(\tau) \sinh(\bar{\omega} \tau), \quad x(\tau) = a \theta(-\tau) + \alpha \theta(\tau) \cosh(\bar{\omega} \tau) \) and \( y(\tau) = z(\tau) = 0 \), where the proper acceleration experienced by the detector is \( 1/\alpha \), and \( \theta(\tau) \) is the Heaviside step function.

These more realistic assumptions allow us to perform calculations and obtain explicit expressions for the decay rates. By inserting Eqs. (7) and the path into Eq. (4) we obtain

\[
2\pi \alpha \dot{F}_\tau(\bar{\omega}) = \frac{\bar{\omega}}{e^{2\pi\bar{\omega}} - 1} + \Delta \dot{F}_\tau(\bar{\omega})
\]

where

\[
\Delta = \frac{1}{(\Delta x)_>^2} - \frac{1}{(\Delta x)_<^2} - \frac{1}{(\Delta y)_>^2} - \frac{1}{(\Delta y)_<^2},
\]

with \( \bar{\omega} = \omega \alpha \), \( \bar{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{s} = s / \alpha \).

For negative times \( \bar{\tau} < 0 \) the rate of an inertial detector, \( \dot{F}_\tau(\bar{\omega}) = -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{e^{2\pi\bar{\omega}} - 1} \), is restored reflecting the fact that only emission can happen. For positive times \( \bar{\tau} > 0 \) the transition rate is the sum of the Planckian equilibrium part \( \bar{\omega}/(e^{2\pi\bar{\omega}} - 1) \) and a dynamical correction \( \Delta \dot{F}_\tau(\bar{\omega}) \) which tends to zero in the asymptotic limit \( \bar{\tau} \to \infty \). In this limit we obtain the same Lindblad master equation of Ref. [13].

Equations (8)-(9) allow us to obtain the expression of the decay rates by means of Eqs. (3) and thus show their connection with the detector trajectory. We note that the behavior of the decay rates crucially depends on the \( o \)-multiplied angular frequency \( \bar{\omega} \), and hence on both the detector energy \( \hbar \omega \) and the proper acceleration; in particular, for fixed \( \omega \), larger values of \( \bar{\omega} \) correspond to
smaller proper acceleration, i.e. smaller deviation from the inertial system. Also, since the proper acceleration is proportional to the effective Unruh temperature $T_U$, $\bar{\omega}$ can be seen as the ratio between the detector energy and the effective bath thermal energy $k_B T_U$. We will see that this parameter drives the transition between Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics in the Unruh effect.

**Non-Markovianity and information backflow** - A straightforward extension of the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) theorem [26, 27] to time local master equations identifies Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics with the properties of the dynamical map $\Phi_\tau : \rho(\tau) = \Phi_\tau \rho(0)$ characterizing the open system evolution. More precisely, the dynamics is said to be Markovian whenever the dynamical map possesses the property of being completely-positive (CP) divisible, namely whenever the propagator $V_{\tau,s}$, defined by $\Phi_\tau = V_{\tau,s} \Phi_s$, is CP [28]. This occurs iff the time dependent decay rates appearing in the master equation are positive at all times $\tau$. On the contrary, non-Markovian dynamics occurs when the dynamical map $\Phi_\tau$ is not CP divisible. This is signaled by the fact that at least one of the time-dependent decay rates of the master equation attains negative values for certain time intervals.

Recently, it has been shown that, for any bijective dynamical map as the one considered in this Letter, the lack of CP-divisibility can be operationally interpreted as information backflow [15]. This result extends the definition of non-Markovianity of Ref. [14], where the concept of information flow quantified in terms of state distinguishability was firstly introduced in the open quantum system scenario. Specifying these approaches to our physical system, we can therefore study memory effects and information backflow by looking at the time evolution of the time-dependent decay rates defined by Eqs. [3].

The dephasing rate can be calculated explicitly and has the form

$$\pi \alpha \gamma_3(\bar{\tau}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\bar{\tau} - \sinh(\bar{\tau})}{1 - \cosh(\bar{\tau})}. \quad (10)$$

From this equation we see that $\gamma_3(\bar{\tau})$ is always non-negative for our system. The absorption and emission rates, defined for $\bar{\omega} \neq 0$, require numerical approaches. Numerical investigation indicates that the absorption rate $\gamma_1(\bar{\tau})$ is always positive [10]. After an initial transient it reaches its constant Markovian positive value. The emission rate $\gamma_2(\bar{\tau})$ displays a more interesting temporal behavior, as it can attain negative values for $\bar{\omega} \geq 1$, shown in Fig. 1. The parameter $\bar{\omega}$, therefore, controls the Markovian to non-Markovian transition, with $\bar{\omega} \approx 1$ the transition value. In the intervals of time where $\gamma_2(\bar{\tau})$ is negative the system experiences information back-flow and memory effects. This happens approximately when the detector energy becomes greater than the thermal energy of the effective bath, i.e., for small Unruh temperatures (or small proper accelerations).

For the sake of completeness we compare this result, namely the presence of information back-flow according to the interpretation of Ref. [15], with other non-Markovianity indicators. In Ref. [29] we have established conditions for detecting memory effects using a number of indicators common in the literature, by means of inequalities involving the decay rates. Since the numerical values of the emission rate are at all times much higher than those of the absorption rate, the inequalities derived in Ref. [24] allow us to conclude immediately that the BLP measure [17], the geometric measure [30] and the relative entropy of coherence measure [31] do not detect information backflow for any value of $\bar{\omega}$. This is consistent with the fact that these three quantities are only indicators of non CP-divisibility, therefore they may not always detect violation of such property.

**Complete positivity** - We now explore the conditions for complete positivity of the time local master equation for the Unruh effect discussed in this Letter. This is particularly relevant since we know that when the decay rates become negative, and hence the dynamics non-Markovian, we cannot rely anymore on the GKSL theorem to guarantee physicality (i.e., complete positivity) of the solution of the master equation.

In Ref. [32] necessary and sufficient conditions for complete positivity for a master equation such as the one here considered has been derived. These conditions are expressed in terms of four inequalities involving the decay rates. By using these inequalities it is straightforward to see that, since $\gamma_3(\bar{\tau}) > 0$ at all times, in our system the complete positivity conditions reduce to the simpler

![FIG. 1: (Colors online) Emission rate $\gamma_2(\bar{\tau})$ for $\bar{\omega} = 0.9$ (blue), 1.0 (yellow), 1.6 (green), 4.0 (red) starting from top, showing non-Markovian regions after $\bar{\omega} \approx 1$ threshold.](image-url)
positiveity conditions, given by

\begin{align}
P_1(\tau) &\equiv e^{-\tau \gamma_2} \left[ G(\tau) + 1 \right] \in [0, 1] \\
P_0(\tau) &\equiv e^{-\tau \gamma_1} G(\tau) \in [0, 1],
\end{align}

where \(P_{0,1}(\tau)\) is the ground state probability with initial conditions \(P(0)\) equal to 0 or 1, respectively. Moreover,

\begin{align}
\Gamma(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau ds \left( \gamma_1(s) + \gamma_2(s) \right) \\
G(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau ds e^{\gamma_1(s)} \gamma_2(s).
\end{align}

The positivity conditions of Eq. \((11)\) can be seen as upper and lower bounds to the ground state probability, respectively. It can be shown analytically that the upper bound is always satisfied for all \(\tau\) \(\geq 1.0\). The lower bounds, however, can only be studied numerically. Fortunately, because \(P_1(\tau) > P_0(\tau)\) only condition \(P_0(\tau) \geq 0\) is relevant.

In Fig. 2 we show the dynamics of the ground state probabilities, i.e. functions of the conditions \((11)\), for some values of \(\bar{\omega}\). At first sight it seems that the dynamics is complete positive for all times and all considered values of \(\bar{\omega}\). However, studying parameter values \(\bar{\omega} > 1.0\), where the decay rate \(\gamma_2(\tau)\) already exhibits non-positivity, numerical investigations reveal that the CP condition is violated, i.e. \(P_0(\bar{\tau}) < 0\), when \(\bar{\omega} \geq 1.53\) (Fig. 3). This indicates the breakdown of the approximations used in the derivation of the master equation.

**Conclusions**- When considering the dynamics of the system under study it is worth recalling that, while the accelerated detector undergoes emission and absorption, an inertial detector does not see anything. Indeed, more elaborated calculations on the system show that the energy momentum tensor describing the particle content of the space vanishes in any coordinate system, and in particular in the inertial as well as in the rest frame of the accelerated detector \[21\]. This simply means that the particles detected by the accelerated detector are not real but rather ”fictitious” particles.

The source of energy for the excitation of the accelerating detector is, indeed, its direct coupling to the surrounding vacuum field \[2, 21, 33\]. As the detector accelerates, it feels resistance and work is done on it by the external system. The work done not only accelerates the detector but also excites it: to overcome the resistance it is converted into the thermal field affecting the non-inertial detector. Thus the energy is not provided by any external particle field but rather originates from the unspecified force keeping the detector in the state of accelerating motion.

In this Letter we show that, releasing the eternally accelerated and point-like detector assumptions, the dynamics may display memory effects. The corresponding master equation becomes time-local with time-dependent decay rates directly linked to the detector world-line. For small enough accelerations the detector keeps memory of the initial time when the acceleration began, and the time evolution becomes non-Markovian displaying information backflow as defined in Ref. \[12\]. The same parameter (\(\bar{\omega}\)) which drives the Markovian to non-Markovian crossover is also controlling the range of validity of the master equation, as shown by our study on CP conditions.

Our results show that open quantum systems frameworks going beyond Markovian assumptions, and specifi-
cally the description of dynamics in terms of information flow and backflow, can be used to gain new insight on relativistic effects, such as the Unruh effect and Hawking radiation. We believe that cross-fertilization between these two fields may pave the way to a better understanding of a number of open problems in relativistic quantum field theory by introducing new tools, approaches and perspectives.
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Absorption and dephasing rates

In Figure 4 we plot exemplary curves of the absorption and dephasing rates $\gamma_1(\bar{\tau})$ and $\gamma_3(\bar{\tau})$ weighed by the inverse acceleration factor $\alpha$. These illustrate by examples our extensive numerical investigations showing positivity of the aforementioned rates for all times.
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FIG. 4: (Colors online) Absorption rate $\gamma_1(\bar{\tau})$ for $\bar{\omega} = 0.50$ (red), $0.20$ (green), $0.05$ (yellow) and dephasing rate $\gamma_3(\bar{\tau})$.

Complete positivity analysis

The condition $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau ds \gamma_3(s) \geq 0$ is always satisfied in our case due to positivity of the dephasing rate $\gamma_3(\tau)$. Taking the derivative of Eqs. (11) with respect to $\tau$ we arrive to the same differential equation with different boundary values:

$$P'_{1,0}(\tau) = -P_{1,0}(\tau)\Gamma'(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2(\tau)$$

(13)

$$P_1(0) = 1$$

$$P_0(0) = 0.$$  

The complete positivity condition can be partly studied using this equation, namely the upper bounds $P_{0,1}(\tau) \leq 1$.

From Eq. (13) we obtain

$$P'_1(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_2(0) < 0$$

(14)

$$P'_0(0) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2(0) > 0,$$

as $\gamma_{1,2}(0) = \tilde{F}_P(\mp \omega) > 0$, where $\tilde{F}_P(\omega)$ is the Planckian spectrum. Thus, $P_1(\tau)$ starts from $1$ being decreasing at $\tau = 0$ while $P_0(\tau)$ starts from $0$ being increases at $\tau = 0$. Also, both $P_0(\tau)$ and $P_1(\tau)$ tend to finite asymptotic value $\in (0,1)$ as $\tau \to \infty$, because both $\Gamma'$ and $\gamma_2$ have constant positive asymptotic time limits.

Suppose now, that $P_0(\tau)$ or $P_1(\tau)$ is increasing at some time $\tau_1 > 0$ where it reaches value $1$ and would therefore violate complete positivity upper bound for $\tau > \tau_1$. At $\tau = \tau_1$ Eq. (13) reduces to

$$P'_{0,1}(\tau_1) = -\Gamma'(\tau_1) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2(\tau_1)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1(\tau_1) + \gamma_2(\tau_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2(\tau_1)$$

(15)

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1(\tau_1).$$

However, the numerical evidence (see, e.g. Fig. 4) indicates that $\gamma_1(\tau) > 0 \forall \tau > 0$ i.e. the function $P_{0,1}(\tau)$ is decreasing at the point $\tau_1$ where its value in $1$, which is in conflict with the assumption that the function is increasing. Therefore neither function $P_0(\tau)$ nor $P_1(\tau)$ can reach the value $1$ for any positive time. Thus, $\forall \tau \geq 0$ $P_{0,1}(\tau) \leq 1$, and the upper bounds of the complete positivity conditions are satisfied.