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#### Abstract

This paper is dedicated to studying the following elliptic system of Hamiltonian type: $$
\begin{cases}-\varepsilon^{2} \triangle u+u+V(x) v=Q(x) F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ -\varepsilon^{2} \triangle v+v+V(x) u=Q(x) F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, & \end{cases}
$$ where $N \geq 3, V, Q \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right), V(x)$ is allowed to be sign-changing and $\inf Q>0$, and $F \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is superquadratic at both 0 and infinity but subcritical. Instead of the reduction approach used in [Calc Var PDE, 2014, 51: 725-760], we develop a more direct approach - non-Nehari manifold approach to obtain stronger conclusions but under weaker assumptions than these in [Calc Var PDE, 2014, 51: 725-760]. We can find an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ which is determined by terms of $N, V, Q$ and $F$, then we prove the existence of a ground state solution of Nehari-Pankov type to the coupled system for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study standing waves for the following system of time-dependent nonlinear

[^0]Schrödinger equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle \phi_{1}+\phi_{1}+f(x, \phi) \phi_{2}=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
i \hbar \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial t}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle \phi_{2}+\phi_{2}+f(x, \phi) \phi_{1}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m$ is the mass of a particle, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right), \phi_{1}(t, x)$ and $\phi_{1}(t, x)$ are the complex valued envelope functions. Suppose that $f\left(x, e^{i \theta} \phi\right)=f(x, \phi)$ for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. We will look for standing waves of the form

$$
\phi_{1}(t, x)=e^{i \omega t} u(x), \quad \phi_{2}(t, x)=e^{i \omega t} v(x),
$$

which propagate without changing their shape and thus have a soliton-like behavior. System (1.1) arises quite naturally in nonlinear optics and Bose-Einstein condensates (see [2, 17, 27] and the references therein). In general, the above coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system leads to the elliptic system of Hamiltonian form

$$
\begin{cases}-\varepsilon^{2} \triangle u+u=H_{v}(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.2}\\ -\varepsilon^{2} \triangle v+v=H_{u}(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

where $N \geq 3, H \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter. The study of the systems similar to (1.2) has only begun quite recently. When $\varepsilon=1$, it was considered recently in some works [6, 9, 15, 16, 34 39 . For a similar problem on a bounded domain we refer the reader to $[5,7,9,14]$ and the references therein. For a survey on this direction see [8, 25].

For the case $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter, there are some recent works considering the existence of solutions; see for instance [3, 12, 27] and the references therein. In contrast with the case $\varepsilon=1$, except for the difficulties that the lack of the compactness of the Sobolev embedding and the energy functional is strongly indefinite, no uniqueness results seem to be known for the "limit problem" and this is in some cases a crucial assumption in the single equation case. So asymptotic analysis of solutions with respect to small $\varepsilon>0$ has been very recently performed; see for example [3, 4, 11, 12, 20, 22, 24, 27] and their references. Except for [11, 12, 27], most of the above works considered the case that $H(x, u, v)=F(u)+G(v)$. In particular, in [4], the authors obtained the existence of positive solutions which concentrate on the boundary of $\Omega$ for an elliptic system with zero Neumann boundary condition on a bounded domain $\Omega$ (see also [20]). In [22], Ramos and Soares considered the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\varepsilon^{2} \triangle u+V(x) u=g(v), & x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\ -\varepsilon^{2} \triangle v+V(x) v=f(u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u, v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & \end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, V \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies $0<V(0)=\min V(x)<\lim \inf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) \in$ $(0, \infty], f(u)$ and $g(v)$ are power type functions, superlinear but subcritical at infinity. The authors established the existence of positive solutions which concentrate, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, at a prescribed
finite number of local minimum points (possibly degenerate) of the potential $V$. Different from those discussed in [3, 22, 23], Ding, Lee and Zhao [11] dealt with existence and concentration phenomena of the ground state solutions to the following subcritical problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\varepsilon^{2} \triangle u+u+V(x) v=Q(x) g(|z|) v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.4}\\ -\varepsilon^{2} \triangle v+v+V(x) u=Q(x) g(|z|) u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

where $z:=(u, v), V, Q \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Since the energy functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ associated with system (1.4) is strongly indefinite, to overcome this difficulty, as in [1] (see also [22] and [23]), the authors constructed a reduced functional $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ whose critical points are in one to one to critical points of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, which was first proposed in [1]. With the help of the Nehari manifold of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, an important information of the least energy $c_{\varepsilon}$ was obtained. By estimating the asymptotic behavior of $c_{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, they proved $c_{\varepsilon}$ is attained for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. In order to state their results, some notations and assumptions are required. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{\min }:=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x), \quad V_{\max }:=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x), \quad \mathcal{V}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: V(x)=V_{\min }\right\} ; \\
Q_{\min }:=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x), \quad Q_{\max }:=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x), \quad \mathcal{Q}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: Q(x)=Q_{\max }\right\} ; \\
V_{\infty}:=\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x), \quad Q_{\infty}:=\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) ; \\
\mathcal{A}_{v}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{V}: Q(x)=Q\left(x_{v}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{x \notin \mathcal{V}: Q(x)>Q\left(x_{v}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{q}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{Q}: V(x)=V\left(x_{q}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{x \notin \mathcal{W}: V(x)<V\left(x_{q}\right)\right\}
$$

Furthermore, the following assumptions are required:
(A0) $V, Q \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right),\|V\|_{\infty}<1,0<Q_{\min } \leq Q_{\max }<\infty$;
(A1) $V_{\min }<V_{\infty}$, and there exist $x_{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
Q\left(x_{v}\right)=\max _{y \in \mathcal{V}} Q(y) \geq Q(x), \quad \forall|x| \geq R
$$

(A2) $Q_{\max }>Q_{\infty}$, and there exist $x_{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
V\left(x_{q}\right)=\min _{y \in \mathcal{Q}} V(y) \leq V(x), \quad \forall|x| \geq R
$$

(G1) $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(s) \geq 0$ for $s>0$, where $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=[0, \infty)$;
(G2) there exist $C>0$ and $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ such that $|g(s)| \leq C\left(1+s^{p-2}\right)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$;
(G3) there exists $\mu>2$ such that $g(s) s^{2} \geq \mu \int_{0}^{s} g(t) t \mathrm{~d} t>0$ if $s>0$.

In [11], they proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. ( [11, Theorem 1]) Let (A0), (G1), (G2) and (G3) be satisfied. Suppose that (A1) or (A2) is satisfied. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, (1.4) has a least energy solution $\hat{z}_{\varepsilon}=\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}, \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Theorem 1.1 is very interesting. In its proof, many new tricks were used to overcome the difficulties caused by the strong indefinity of the energy functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ associated with system (1.4). We point that the regularity assumptions $V, Q \in \mathcal{C}^{1}$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1}$ are very crucial in [11, which seem to be necessary when the reduction method is used. Motivated by the works [11, in this paper, we further study the existence of the ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type to the following more general problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\varepsilon^{2} \triangle u+u+V(x) v=Q(x) F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.5}\\ -\varepsilon^{2} \triangle v+v+V(x) u=Q(x) F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty & \end{cases}
$$

where $V, Q \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Instead of the reduction method used in [11], we will use a more direct approach - non-Nehari manifold approach which was first proposed in [29] for a single Schrödinger equation (see also [30,31). The main idea of this approach is to construct a minimizing Cerami sequence for the energy functional outside Nehari-Pankov manifold by using the diagonal method, which is completely different from that of Szulkin and Weth [28]. This approach is valid when finding a ground state solution of Nehari-Pankov type.

We will obtain stronger conclusions on existence of the ground state solutions of NehariPankov type to (1.5) for small $\varepsilon>0$ but under weaker assumptions than these in [11. Roughly speaking, we can find an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ which is determined by terms of $N, V, Q$ and $F$, then we prove the existence of a ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type to (1.5) for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. In particular, we only need $V, Q \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. To the best of our knowledge, there seems to be no similar results in literature.

To state our theorems accurately, we set

$$
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{D}_{0}=\left\{h \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h(0)=0 \text { and } h(s) \text { is nondecreasing on } \mathbb{R}^{+},  \tag{1.6}\\
\text {there exist constants } p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right) \text { and } c_{0}>0 \text { such that } \\
|h(s)| \leq c_{0}\left(1+|s|^{p-2}\right), \quad \forall s \geq 0
\end{array}\right\}\right.
$$

Furthermore, we make the following assumptions.
(V0) $V, Q \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right),\|V\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2 \eta a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$ and $0<Q_{\min } \leq Q_{\max }<\infty$, where $a, b>0$ and $\eta \in(0,1)$;
(V1) $V_{\min }<V_{\infty}$, and there exist $x_{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
Q\left(x_{v}\right) \geq Q(x), \quad \forall|x| \geq R ;
$$

(V2) $Q_{\max }>Q_{\infty}$, and there exist $x_{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
V\left(x_{q}\right) \leq V(x), \quad \forall|x| \geq R ;
$$

(F1) there exist $g_{i}, h_{j} \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{D}_{0}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \alpha_{j}^{\prime}, \beta_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{j}^{\prime}>{\beta_{j}^{\prime}}^{2}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, k ; j=1,2, \ldots, l$, such that

$$
F(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{\left|\alpha_{i} u+\beta_{i} v\right|} g_{i}(s) s \mathrm{~d} s+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{u^{2}+2 \beta_{j}^{\prime} u v+\alpha_{j}^{\prime} v^{2}}} h_{j}(s) s \mathrm{~d} s ;
$$

(F2) $\lim _{|a u+b v| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(u, v)|}{|a u+b v|^{2}}=\infty$;
(F3) there exist $\mathcal{C}_{0}>0, T_{0}>0, \mu>2$ and $F_{0} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $F_{0}(u, v)>0$ if $a u+b v \neq 0$, such that

$$
F(t z) \geq \mathcal{C}_{0} t^{\mu} F_{0}(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \geq T_{0} .
$$

Remark 1.2. It is clear that (i) (F3) is weaker than (AR)-condition: there exists $\mu>2$ such that $F_{z}(z) \cdot z \geq \mu F(z)>0$ for $z \neq 0$; (ii) (F2) is also weaker than the common super-quadratic condition (SQ): $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(z)|}{|z|^{2}}=\infty$; (iii) Let $F(z)=\int_{0}^{|z|} g(s) s \mathrm{~d} s$. Then (G1)-(G3) imply (F1)(F3); (iv) Let $Q(x) \equiv 1$ and $F(z)=\int_{0}^{|u|} g(s) s \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{0}^{|v|} h(s) s \mathrm{~d} s$, then problem (1.5) reduces to (1.3), which was studied in [22]. Moreover, the assumptions in [22, (H)] also imply (F1)-(F3).

Before presenting our results, we give three nonlinear examples to illustrate the above assumptions.

Example 1.3. Let $F(z)=|a u+b v|^{\mu}$, where $\mu \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $a, b>0$ with $\|V\|_{\infty}<\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$. It is easy to see that $F(z)$ satisfies (F1)-(F3) with $F_{0}=F$, but not (AR).

Example 1.4. Let $F(z)=|a u+b v|^{\mu}+\left(u^{2}+u v+v^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+u^{2}+u v+v^{2}\right)$, where $\mu \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $a, b>0$ with $\|V\|_{\infty}<\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$. It is easy to see that $F(z)$ satisfies (F1)-(F3) with $F_{0}(u, v)=$ $|a u+b v|^{\mu}$, but not (AR).

Example 1.5. Let $F(z)=|2 u+v|^{\mu}+|u+2 v|^{\mu}$, where $\mu \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$. It is easy to see that $F(z)$ satisfies (F1)-(F3) with $a=b=1$ and $F_{0}=F$.

Let $x_{m}=x_{v}$ if (V1) holds, or $x_{m}=x_{q}$ if (V2) holds. Replacing $u\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right)$ and $v\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right)$ by $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, respetively, then system (1.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle u+u+V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) v=Q\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.7}\\ -\triangle v+v+V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) u=Q\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty . & \end{cases}
$$

Let $E=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then $E$ is a Hilbert space with the standard inner product

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}, \quad \forall z_{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in E, \quad i=1,2,
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\|z\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left(\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\|v\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall z=(u, v) \in E .
$$

Let $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$be an orthogonal decomposition, see Section 2. Define a functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+u v+\frac{1}{2} V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right)|z|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) F(z) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z=(u, v) \in E$. Under assumptions (V0), (F1) and (F2), $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), \varphi\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi+\nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi+(u \psi+v \phi)+V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) z \cdot \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right) F_{z}(z) \cdot \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z=(u, v), \varphi=(\phi, \psi) \in E . \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}=\left\{z \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall \zeta \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}$was first introduced by Pankov [19], which is a subset of the Nehari manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z \in E \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=0\right\} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to state the first main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.6. Assume that $V, Q$ and $F$ satisfy (V0), (V1) and (F1)-(F3). Then there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such problem (1.5) has a nontrivial solution $\hat{z}_{\varepsilon}=\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}, \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}$with $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}>0$ for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, where $z_{\varepsilon}(x)=\hat{z}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon x+x_{v}\right)$. If (V1) is replaced by (V2), then the above conclusion remains true by replacing $x_{v}$ with $x_{q}$.

The "limit problem" associated to (1.7) is an autonomous system

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle u+u+V\left(x_{m}\right) v=Q\left(x_{m}\right) F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.12}\\ -\Delta v+v+V\left(x_{m}\right) u=Q\left(x_{m}\right) F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .\end{cases}
$$

We will prove that the least energy $c_{\varepsilon}:=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is attained for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ by comparing with $c_{\varepsilon}$ and the least energy $c_{0}$ associated with "limit problem" (1.12). Therefore, it is very crucial if $c_{0}$ can be attained, i.e. if (1.12) has a solution at which $\Phi_{0}$ has the least energy $c_{0}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{-}$. Prior to this, we consider the following more general periodic system

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle u+V_{1}(x) u+V_{2}(x) v=W_{v}(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.13}\\ -\triangle v+V_{1}(x) v+V_{2}(x) u=W_{u}(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u, v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & \end{cases}
$$

where $N \geq 3, V_{1}, V_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $W: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, we make the following assumptions.
$\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right) V_{1}, V_{2} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{2}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2 \eta a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} V_{1}(x), \quad 0<\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) \leq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)<\infty, \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b>0$ and $\eta \in(0,1)$;
$\left(\mathrm{V} 1^{\prime}\right) V_{1}(x)$ and $V_{2}(x)$ are 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$;
(W0) $W \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), W(x, z)$ is continuously differentiable on $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and there exist constants $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq C_{0}\left(1+|z|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(W1) $W_{z}(x, z)=o(|z|)$, as $|z| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(W2) $\lim _{|a u+b v| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|W(x, u, v)|}{|a u+b v|^{2}}=\infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(W2') $\lim _{|a u+b v| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|W(x, u, v)|}{|a u+b v|^{2}}=\infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(W3) $W(x, z)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$;
(W4) for all $\theta \geq 0, z, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, there holds

$$
\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} \nabla W(x, z) \cdot z-\theta \nabla W(x, z) \cdot \zeta+W(x, \theta z+\zeta)-W(x, z) \geq 0
$$

Observe that, the natural functional associated with (1.13) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+V_{1}(x) u v+\frac{1}{2} V_{2}(x)|z|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W(x, z) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z=(u, v) \in E$. Furthermore, under assumptions ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), (W0) and (W1), $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \varphi\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi+\nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi+V_{1}(x)(u \psi+v \phi)+V_{2}(x) z \cdot \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W_{z}(x, z) \cdot \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z=(u, v), \varphi=(\phi, \psi) \in E \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}^{-}=\left\{z \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \forall \zeta \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For system (1.13), we obtain the following existence theorem on the ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type.

Theorem 1.8. Assume that $V$ and $W$ satisfy ( $\mathrm{V0}^{\prime}$ ), ( $\mathrm{V} 1^{\prime}$ ) and (W0)-(W4). Then problem (1.13) has a solution $z^{*} \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$such that $\Phi\left(z^{*}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi>0$.

However, it is not easy to check assumption (W4). Next, we give several classes functions satisfying (W4). Prior to this, we define one set as follows:

$$
\mathcal{N D}=\left\{h \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h(x, t) \text { is 1-periodic in each of } x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N} \text { and }  \tag{1.19}\\
\text { is nondecreasing in } t \in[0, \infty) \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; \\
h(x, 0) \equiv 0 \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; \\
\text { there exist constants } p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{C}_{1}>0 \text { such that } \\
|h(x, t)| \leq \mathcal{C}_{1}\left(1+|t|^{p-2}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
\end{array}\right\}\right.
$$

Corollary 1.9. Assume that $V$ and $W$ satisfy $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{V} 1^{\prime}\right)$ and (W2), and that

$$
W(x, u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{\left|\alpha_{i} u+\beta_{i} v\right|} g_{i}(x, s) s \mathrm{~d} s+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{u^{2}+2 \beta_{j}^{\prime} u v+\alpha_{j}^{\prime} v^{2}}} h_{j}(x, s) s \mathrm{~d} s
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \alpha_{j}^{\prime}, \beta_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{j}^{\prime}>{\beta_{j}^{\prime}}^{2}, g_{i}, h_{j} \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{D}, i=1,2, \ldots, k ; j=1,2, \ldots, l$. Then problem (1.13) has a solution $z^{*} \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$such that $\Phi\left(z^{*}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi>0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we develop a functional setting to deal with (1.7) and (1.13). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.8. In Section 4, we discuss the existence of ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type to (1.7).

## 2 Variational setting

Let $V_{\varepsilon}(x):=V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right)$ and $Q_{\varepsilon}(x):=Q\left(\varepsilon x+x_{m}\right)$. Then we can rewrite (1.7) as

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle u+u+V_{\varepsilon}(x) v=Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{2.1}\\ -\triangle v+v+V_{\varepsilon}(x) u=Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \\ |u(x)|+|v(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

We will mainly deal with (2.1) instead of (1.7). Let

$$
E^{-}=\left\{\left(-\frac{u}{a}, \frac{u}{b}\right): u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}, \quad E^{+}=\left\{\left(\frac{u}{a}, \frac{u}{b}\right): u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

For any $z=(u, v) \in E$, set

$$
z^{-}=\left(\frac{a u-b v}{2 a}, \frac{b v-a u}{2 b}\right), \quad z^{+}=\left(\frac{a u+b v}{2 a}, \frac{a u+b v}{2 b}\right)
$$

It is obvious that $z=z^{-}+z^{+}$. Now we define two new inner products on $E$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(\nabla z_{1}^{+} \cdot \nabla z_{2}^{+}+\nabla z_{1}^{-} \cdot \nabla z_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(z_{1}^{+} \cdot z_{2}^{+}+z_{1}^{-} \cdot z_{2}^{-}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\forall z_{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in E, \quad i=1,2
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)_{\dagger}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(\nabla z_{1}^{+} \cdot \nabla z_{2}^{+}+\nabla z_{1}^{-} \cdot \nabla z_{2}^{-}\right)+V_{1}(x)\left(z_{1}^{+} \cdot z_{2}^{+}+z_{1}^{-} \cdot z_{2}^{-}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\forall z_{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in E, \quad i=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The corresponding norms are

$$
\|z\|=\sqrt{(z, z)}, \quad\|z\|_{\dagger}=\sqrt{(z, z)_{\dagger}}, \quad \forall z=(u, v) \in E .
$$

By virtue of (V0) and ( $\mathrm{V} 0^{\prime}$ ), it is easy to check that the norms $\|\cdot\|,\|\cdot\|_{\dagger}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ are equivalent on $E$. It is easy to see that $z^{-}$and $z^{+}$are orthogonal with respect to the inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\dagger}$. Thus we have $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$. By a simple calculation, one can get that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|z\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla z^{-}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E,  \tag{2.2}\\
\|z\|_{\dagger}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla z^{-}\right|^{2}\right)+V_{1}(x)\left(\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E,  \tag{2.3}\\
\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+u v) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z=(u, v) \in E
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+V_{1}(x) u v\right] \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z=(u, v) \in E
$$

Therefore, the functionals $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ defined by (1.8) and $\Phi$ by (1.16) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F(z) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W(x, z) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

resectively. Our hypotheses imply that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}, \Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and a standard argument shows that the critical points of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Phi$ are solutions of problems (1.5) and (1.13), respectively. Moreover, by (1.9) and (1.17), there hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), \varphi\right\rangle=\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[\left(z^{+}, \varphi^{+}\right)-\left(z^{-}, \varphi^{-}\right)\right]+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x) z \cdot \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F_{z}(z) \cdot \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z, \varphi \in E,  \tag{2.6}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F_{z}(z) \cdot z \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall z \in E, \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \varphi\right\rangle=\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[\left(z^{+}, \varphi^{+}\right)_{\dagger}-\left(z^{-}, \varphi^{-}\right)_{\dagger}\right]+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x) z \cdot \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W_{z}(x, z) \cdot \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z, \varphi \in E \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle, \quad \forall z \in E . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that (V0), (F1)-(F2) are satisfied. If $z=(u, v)$ is a critical point of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, then $|z(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In a word, $z$ is a solution to system (2.1).

The proof is almost standard (see [10, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1].

## 3 Ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type for periodic system

Let $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$be a real Hilbert space with $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$and $X^{-}$be separable. On $X$ we define a new norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\tau}:=\max \left\{\left\|u^{+}\right\|, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\left|\left(u^{-}, e_{k}\right)\right|\right\}, \quad \forall u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in X, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a total orthonormal basis of $X^{-}$. The topology generated by $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$ will be denoted by $\tau$ and all topological notions related to it will include the symbol. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{+}\right\| \leq\|u\|_{\tau} \leq\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in X \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a functional $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}), \varphi$ is said to be $\tau$-upper semi-continuous if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}, u \in X, \quad\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\tau} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(u) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous if

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } X \Rightarrow \varphi(u) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right) ;
$$

and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } X \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in X .
$$

It is easy to see that (3.3) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}, u \in X, \quad\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\tau} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(u) \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. ( [29, Theorem 2.4]) Let $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$be a real Hilbert space with $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$ and $X^{-}$be separable. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the following assumptions:
(H1) $\varphi$ is $\tau$-upper semi-continuous;
(H2) $\varphi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(H3) there exist $r>\rho>0$ and $e \in X^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$ such that

$$
\kappa:=\inf \varphi\left(S_{\rho}^{+}\right)>\sup \varphi\left(\partial \mathfrak{Q}_{r}\right)
$$

where

$$
S_{\rho}^{+}=\left\{u \in X^{+}:\|u\|=\rho\right\}, \quad \mathfrak{Q}_{r}=\left\{v+s e: v \in X^{-}, s \geq 0,\|v+s e\| \leq r\right\} .
$$

Then there exist a constant $c \in\left[\kappa, \sup \varphi\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{r}\right)\right]$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W(x, z) \mathrm{d} x$. Employing a standard argument, one can check easily the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), ( W 0 ) and ( W 1 ) are satisfied. Then $\Psi$ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and $\Psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), (W0), (W1) and (W4) are satisfied. Then there holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(z) \geq & \Phi(\theta z+\zeta)+\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|\zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle-\theta\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E, \zeta \in E^{-} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) and (W4), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(z)-\Phi(\theta z+\zeta) \\
= & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|\zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle-\theta\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} \nabla W(x, z) \cdot z-\theta \nabla W(x, z) \cdot \zeta+W(x, \theta z+\zeta)-W(x, z)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|\zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle-\theta\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle \\
& \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E, \zeta \in E^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (3.6) holds.

From Lemma 3.3, we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1) and (W4) are satisfied. Then for $z \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z) \geq \Phi(\theta z+\zeta)+\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|\zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad \zeta \in E^{-} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.5. Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1) and (W4) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(z) \geq \frac{a b \theta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|z\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\frac{\theta^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left(\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}-\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, \theta z^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle+\theta^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(z), z^{-}\right\rangle, \quad \forall z \in E, \quad \theta \geq 0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1) and (W4) are satisfied. Then
(i) there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
m:=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi \geq \kappa:=\inf \left\{\Phi(z): z \in E^{+},\|z\|_{\dagger}=\rho\right\}>0 .
$$

(ii) $\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2} \geq \max \left\{\frac{(1-\eta)^{2}}{2\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}, \frac{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) m}{2 a b}\right\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$.

Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{V} 0^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\left|V_{2}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2 a b \eta}{a^{2}+b^{2}} V_{1}(x)$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left|z^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} V_{1}(x)+V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+(1-\eta) V_{1}(x)\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{2(1-\eta) a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}, \quad \forall z \in E \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left(\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x) z^{+} \cdot z^{-} \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\frac{1+\eta}{2(1-\eta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V _ { 2 } ( x ) \left\|\left.z^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1+\eta}{4 \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{2}(x) \| z^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right.\right. \\
\leq & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+\frac{1+\eta^{2}}{1-\eta} V_{1}(x)\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{-}\right|^{2}+\frac{1-\eta}{2} V_{1}(x)\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}, \quad \forall z \in E . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The rest of the proof is standard, so we omit it.

Lemma 3.7. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V0}^{\prime}$ ), (W0), (W1) and (W2) are satisfied. Let $e \in E^{+}$with $\|e\|_{\dagger}=1$. Then there is $r_{0}>\rho$ such that $\sup \Phi\left(\partial \mathfrak{Q}_{r}\right) \leq 0$ for $r \geq r_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{Q}_{r}=\left\{\zeta+s e: \zeta \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\|\zeta+s e\|_{\dagger} \leq r\right\} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (2.5) and (3.10) imply $\Phi(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in E^{-}$. Next, it is sufficient to show that $\Phi(z) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $z \in E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e$ and $\|z\|_{\dagger} \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing indirectly, assume that for some sequence $\left\{\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\} \subset E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e$ with $\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger} \rightarrow \infty$, there is an $M>0$ such that $\Phi\left(\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right) \geq-M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $e=\left(\frac{e_{0}}{a}, \frac{e_{0}}{b}\right), \zeta_{n}=\left(-\frac{w_{n}}{a}, \frac{w_{n}}{b}\right)$ and $\xi_{n}=\left(\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right) /\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}=\xi_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e$, then $\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_{n} \rightarrow \bar{t}$ and $\xi_{n} \rightharpoonup \xi$ in $E$, then $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \xi$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi_{n}^{-}:=\left(-\frac{\tilde{w}_{n}}{a}, \frac{\tilde{w}_{n}}{b}\right) \rightharpoonup \xi^{-}:=\left(-\frac{\tilde{w}}{a}, \frac{\tilde{w}}{b}\right)$ in $E$. Hence, by (2.5) and (3.10), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{M}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\uparrow}^{2} \leq} & \frac{\Phi\left(\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\uparrow}^{2}} \\
= & \frac{a b t_{n}^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}-\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left|\xi_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{W\left(x, \frac{-w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{a}, \frac{w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{b}\right)}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b t_{n}^{2}}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\uparrow}^{2} \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{W\left(x, \frac{-w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{a}, \frac{w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{b}\right)}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\bar{t}=0$, then it follows from (3.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{W\left(x, \frac{-w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{a}, \frac{w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{b}\right)}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b t_{n}^{2}}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}+\frac{M}{\left\|\zeta_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger} \rightarrow 0$, and so $1=\left\|\xi_{n}\right\|_{\dagger} \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.
If $\bar{t} \neq 0$, then $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, it follows from (3.12), (W2) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
0 \leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}[
\end{array} \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b t_{n}^{2}}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\xi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b \vec{t}^{2}}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{W\left(x, \frac{-w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{a}, \frac{w_{n}+s_{n} e_{0}}{b}\right)}{\left|s_{n} e_{0}\right|^{2}}\left|t_{n} e_{0}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.

Since $E^{-}$is separable, let $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a total orthonormal basis of $E^{-}$. On $E$ we define the $\tau$-norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{\tau}:=\max \left\{\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\left|\left(z^{-}, e_{k}\right)_{\dagger}\right|\right\}, \quad \forall z \in E \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger} \leq\|z\|_{\tau} \leq\|z\|_{\dagger}, \quad \forall z \in E . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), (W0), (W1) and (W2) are satisfied. Then $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is $\tau$-upper semi-continuous and $\Phi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof. It is clear that $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. First we prove that $\Phi$ is $\tau$-upper semi-continuous. Let $z_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau} z$ in $E$ and $\Phi\left(z_{n}\right) \geq c$. It follows from (2.5), (3.10), (3.14) and (W0) that $z_{n}^{+} \rightarrow z^{+}$in $E$ and

$$
C_{1} \geq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z_{n}^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2} \geq c+\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}
$$

This shows that $\left\{z_{n}^{-}\right\} \subset E^{-}$is bounded. It is easy to show that $z_{n}^{-} \xrightarrow{\tau} z^{-} \Leftrightarrow z_{n}^{-} \rightharpoonup z^{-}$, and so, $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left(z_{n}^{+} \cdot z_{n}^{-}-z^{+} \cdot z^{-}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right| \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{2}(x)\right|\left|z_{n}^{+}-z^{+}\right|\left|z_{n}^{-}\right| \mathrm{d} x+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x) z^{+} \cdot\left(z_{n}^{-}-z^{-}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right|=o(1) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)-\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{-}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)-\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (W2), (2.5), (3.15), (3.16) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Phi(z)= & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|z^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W(x, z) \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{-}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)-\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z^{+}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)+\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x) z^{+} \cdot z^{-} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W(x, z) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)-\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x\right. \\
& -\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla z_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}+\left(V_{1}(x)+\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b} V_{2}(x)\right)\left|z_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \left.-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x) z_{n}^{+} \cdot z_{n}^{-} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, z_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right\} \\
= & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\Phi\left(z_{n}\right)\right]=-\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(z_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\Phi$ is $\tau$-upper semi-continuous.
The proof that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous is standard, so we omit it.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), (W0), (W1), (W2) and (W4) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c \in\left[\kappa, \sup \Phi\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{r}\right)\right]$ for $r \geq r_{0}$ and a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}}\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{Q}_{r}$ is defined by (3.11).
Proof. Lemma 3.9 is a direct corollary of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.6 (i), 3.7 and 3.8.

Applying Corollary 3.4, Lemmas 3.6 (i), 3.7 and 3.9 , we can prove the following lemma in a similar way as [29, Lemma 3.8].

Lemma 3.10.( [32, Lemma 3.9]) Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1), (W2) and (W4) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_{*} \in[\kappa, m]$ and a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.11. Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1), (W2) and (W4) are satisfied. Then for any $z \in E \backslash E^{-}, \mathcal{N}^{-} \cap\left(E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} z\right) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exist $t(z)>0$ and $\zeta(z) \in E^{-}$such that $t(z) z+\zeta(z) \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$.

The proof is the same as one of [28, Lemma 2.6].
Lemma 3.12. Suppose that (V0'), (W0), (W1), (W2), (W3) and (W4) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \geq 0, \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}^{-}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded in $E$.
Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\tilde{z}_{n}=\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{v}_{n}\right):=z_{n} /\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}$. Then $\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}=1$. By Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that $\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{2}$. If $\delta:=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0$,
then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [33, Lemma 1.21], $\tilde{z}_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Fix $\vartheta=\left[\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)(1+c) /(1-\eta) a b\right]^{1 / 2}$. By virtue of (W0) and (W1), for $\epsilon=1 / 4\left(\vartheta C_{2}\right)^{2}>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
W(x, z) \leq \epsilon|z|^{2}+C_{\epsilon}|z|^{p}, \quad \forall(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, \vartheta \tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x & \leq \epsilon \vartheta^{2} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{\epsilon} \vartheta^{p} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \epsilon\left(\vartheta C_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\theta_{n}=\vartheta /\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}$. Hence, by virtue of (3.8), (3.19) and (3.20), one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o(1)= & \Phi\left(z_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{a b \theta_{n}^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\frac{\theta_{n}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left(\left|z_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, \theta_{n} z_{n}^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{1-\theta_{n}^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle+\theta_{n}^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}^{-}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{a b \vartheta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}+\frac{\vartheta^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left(\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}-\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, \vartheta \tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\vartheta^{2}}{2\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{\vartheta^{2}}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}^{-}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta) a b \vartheta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} W\left(x, \vartheta \tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o(1) \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta) a b \vartheta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}-\frac{1}{4}+o(1)>\frac{3}{4}+c+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta>0$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $\zeta_{n}(x):=\tilde{z}_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|\zeta_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define $z_{n}^{k_{n}}(x):=\left(u_{n}^{k_{n}}(x), v_{n}^{k_{n}}(x)\right)=z_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$, then $z_{n}^{k_{n}} /\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}=\zeta_{n}$ and $\left\|\zeta_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}=$ $\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $\zeta_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup \zeta^{+}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \zeta_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \zeta^{+}$in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $\zeta_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \zeta^{+}$a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (3.21) implies that $\zeta^{+} \neq 0$. For a.e. $x \in\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \zeta^{+}(y) \neq 0\right\}:=\Omega$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|a u_{n}^{k_{n}}(x)+b v_{n}^{k_{n}}(x)\right|=\infty$. Hence, it follows from (2.5), (3.10), (3.19), (W2), (W3) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(z_{n}\right)}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{2}(x)\left|\tilde{z}_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{W\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{W\left(x+k_{n}, u_{n}^{k_{n}}, v_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{+}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{-}\right\|_{\dagger}^{2}-\frac{4 a^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{W\left(x, u_{n}^{k_{n}}, v_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left|a u_{n}^{k_{n}}+b v_{n}^{k_{n}}\right|^{2}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}-\frac{4 a^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{W\left(x, u_{n}^{k_{n}}, v_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left|a u_{n}^{k_{n}}+b v_{n}^{k_{n}}\right|^{2}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x=-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\dagger}\right\}$ is bounded.

In the last part of the proof of Lemma 3.12, we make use of the periodicity of $W(x, z)$ on $x$, which is still valid by using (W2') instead of (W2) and (W3). Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. Suppose that ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), ( W 0 ), ( W 1 ), ( $\mathrm{W} 2^{\prime}$ ) and ( W 4 ) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.19) is bounded.

Lemma 3.14. ( [31, Lemma 2.3]) Suppose that $t \mapsto h(x, t)$ is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ and $h(x, 0)=0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} \tau-\theta \sigma\right) h(x, \tau)|\tau| \geq \int_{\theta \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} h(x, s)|s| \mathrm{d} s, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad \tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.15. ([32]) Suppose that $W(x, u, v)=\int_{0}^{|\alpha u+\beta v|} g(x, s) s \mathrm{~d} s$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha^{2}+$ $\beta^{2} \neq 0$ and $g \in \mathcal{N D}$. Then $W$ satisfies (W0), (W1) and (W4).

Lemma 3.16. ([32]) Suppose that $W(x, u, v)=\int_{0}^{\sqrt{u^{2}+2 \beta u v+\alpha v^{2}}} h(x, s) s \mathrm{~d} s$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha>\beta^{2}$ and $h \in \mathcal{N D}$. Then $W$ satisfies (W0), (W1) and (W4).

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Applying Lemmas 3.10 and 3.12, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.18). The rest of the proof is standard.

Employing Theorem 1.8, the conclusion of Corollary 1.9 follows by Lemmas 3.14-3.16.

## 4 Ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type for (2.1)

Without loss of generality, from now on, we assume that $x_{v}=0 \in \mathcal{V}$. We only consider the case when (V1) is satisfied, since the arguments are similar when (V2) is satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(0)=V_{\min }, \quad Q(x) \leq Q(0), \quad \forall|x| \geq R \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V_{1}=1$ and $V_{2}=V_{\varepsilon}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\hat{V}, V_{\min }, V_{\max }\right), W(x, z)=Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F(z)\left(\right.$ or $\left.Q(0) F(z), Q_{\min } F(z)\right)$. Then (V0), (F1) and (F2) imply (V0'), (W0), (W1), (W2') and (W4), respectively. Let

$$
\hat{V}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{\infty}+V_{\min }\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{\infty}+V(0)\right) .
$$

We define three auxiliary functionals as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Phi}(z) & =\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{\hat{V}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-Q(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(z) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E,  \tag{4.2}\\
\Phi_{0}(z) & =\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{V(0)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-Q(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(z) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{*}(z)=\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{V_{\max }}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-Q_{\min } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(z) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall z \in E . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{-}=\left\{z \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \forall \zeta \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{0}^{-}=\left\{z \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \forall \zeta \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Nehari-Pankov "manifolds" of the functionals $\hat{\Phi}$ and $\Phi_{0}$, respectively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}, \quad \hat{c}=\inf _{\hat{\mathcal{N}}-} \hat{\Phi}, \quad c_{0}=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{-}} \Phi_{0} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.5 to $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, we have the following two lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. Suppose that (V0) and (F1) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z) \geq & \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\theta z+\zeta)+\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)|\zeta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle \\
& -\theta\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E, \quad \zeta \in E^{-}  \tag{4.8}\\
\geq & \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\theta z+\zeta)+\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|\zeta\|^{2}+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle-\theta\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), \zeta\right\rangle, \\
& \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E, \quad \zeta \in E^{-} . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that (V0) and (F1) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z) \geq & \frac{a b \theta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|z\|^{2}+\frac{\theta^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)\left(\left|z^{+}\right|^{2}-\left|z^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F\left(\theta z^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle+\theta^{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z^{-}\right\rangle, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E  \tag{4.10}\\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta) a b \theta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\|z\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F\left(\theta z^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle+\theta^{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z), z^{-}\right\rangle, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad z \in E . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Corollary 1.9, under assumptions (V0), (F1) and (F2), there exists a $\hat{z} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}^{-}$ such that $\hat{c}=\hat{\Phi}(\hat{z})$. In view of Lemma 3.11, there exist $\hat{t}>0$ and $\hat{\zeta} \in E^{-}$such that $\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta} \in \mathcal{N}_{0}^{-}$, and so $\Phi_{0}(\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta}) \geq c_{0}$.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that (V0), (V1), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then $\hat{c} \geq c_{0}+\hat{\delta}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\delta}:=\frac{V_{\infty}-V_{\min }}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta}|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof. Applying Lemma 3.3 to $\hat{\Phi}(z)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{c} & =\hat{\Phi}(\hat{z}) \geq \hat{\Phi}(\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta}) \\
& =\Phi_{0}(\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta})+\frac{\hat{V}-V_{\min }}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta}|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq c_{0}+\frac{V_{\infty}-V_{\min }}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\hat{t} \hat{z}+\hat{\zeta}|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=c_{0}+\hat{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of Corollary 1.9, under assumptions (V0), (F1) and (F2), there exists a $z_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{0}^{-}$ such that $c_{0}=\Phi_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \geq \Phi_{0}\left(t z_{0}+\zeta\right), \quad\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right), z_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \zeta \in E^{-} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 3.11, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $\zeta_{\varepsilon} \in E^{-}$such that $t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}$, and so $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq c_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t z_{0}+\zeta\right), \forall t \geq 0, \zeta \in E^{-}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}:=\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{0}^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{V_{\min }}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-Q_{\max } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(z_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\alpha_{0}$ is independent of $\varepsilon>0$. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.7, one can demonstrate the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that (V0), (V1), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then there is an $M_{0}>0$ independent of $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{*}\left(\zeta+s z_{0}\right) \leq \alpha_{0}-1, \quad \forall \zeta \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\left\|\zeta+s z_{0}\right\| \geq M_{0} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that (V0), (V1), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}:=\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left|t_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq M_{0}\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{-1}, \quad M_{2}:=\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq M_{0}\left(1+\left\|z_{0}\right\|\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{-1}\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0} & =\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{0}^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{V_{\min }}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-Q_{\max } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(z_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{0}\right) \leq \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \Phi_{*}\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right) . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.4, one obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left\|t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq M_{0} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}=\left\|t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}^{-}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \geq t_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{2}
$$

it follows from (4.18) and the above that

$$
M_{1}=\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left|t_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq M_{0}\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{-1}, \quad M_{2}=\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq M_{0}\left(1+\left\|z_{0}\right\|\left\|z_{0}^{+}\right\|^{-1}\right) .
$$

In view of (F1), there exists a constant $\beta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{z}(z)\right| \leq \beta_{0}\left(|z|+|z|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can choose $R_{0}>R$ sufficient large such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x) \geq \hat{V}, \quad Q(x) \leq Q(0), \quad \forall|x| \geq R_{0} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}^{2} \int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\left(1+M_{1}^{2}\right) Q_{\max } \int_{|x|>R_{0}} F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +2\left(1+\beta_{0} Q_{\max }\right) M_{1} M_{2}\left(\int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2 \beta_{0} \gamma_{p} Q_{\max } M_{1} M_{2}\left(\int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{(p-1) / p} \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}}{2}, \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{p}$ is the embedding constant with $\|\cdot\|_{p} \leq \gamma_{p}\|\cdot\|$. For the $R_{0}>0$ given above, we can choose an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{2+2 \beta_{0} Q_{\max }} M_{1} M_{2}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\{\sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left[\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|+\beta_{0}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& +\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1+M_{1}^{2}}{2} \sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right| \int_{|x| \leq R_{0}} F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\beta_{0} \gamma_{p}\left(2 Q_{\max }\right)^{1 / p} M_{1} M_{2}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\left\{\sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\right\}^{(p-1) / p} \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}}{4}, \quad \varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right] . \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.6. Suppose that (V0), (V1), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} \geq c_{\varepsilon}-3 \hat{\delta} / 4, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right] \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (F1), (2.4), (4.3), (4.9), (4.13), (4.16), (4.19), (4.21), (4.22) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
c_{0}= & \Phi_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)=\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V(0)-V_{\varepsilon}(x)\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right] F\left(z_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} z_{0}+\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1-t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right), z_{0}\right\rangle-t_{\varepsilon}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right), \zeta_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V(0)-V_{\varepsilon}(x)\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right] F\left(z_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & c_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V(0)-V_{\varepsilon}(x)\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right] F\left(z_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{1-t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x\right\} \\
& -t_{\varepsilon}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right] z_{0} \cdot \zeta_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot \zeta_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x\right\} \\
= & c_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right]\left[2 F\left(z_{0}\right)-F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x\right\} \\
& -t_{\varepsilon}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right] z_{0} \cdot \zeta_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot \zeta_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x\right\} \\
\geq & c_{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\left|F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0}-2 F\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right| F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -M_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|\left|z_{0}\right|\left|\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right| \mathrm{d} x-M_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\left|F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left|\zeta_{\varepsilon}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & c_{\varepsilon}-\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1+M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right| F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\geq & \left.c_{\varepsilon}-\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R_{0}}^{2+2 \beta_{0} Q_{\max }} M_{1} M_{2}\left\{\left.V_{\varepsilon}(x)-\left.V(0)| | z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1+M_{1}^{2}}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R_{0}}| | V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\left|+\beta_{0}\right| Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0) \right\rvert\,\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& -\sqrt{2+2 \beta_{0} Q_{\max }} M_{1} M_{2}\left\{\int_{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left[\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|+\beta_{0}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\right]\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\} F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\beta_{0} \gamma_{p}\left(2 Q_{\max }\right)^{1 / p} M_{1} M_{2}\left\{\int_{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\left|z_{0}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}
\end{array}{ }^{(p-1) / p}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -M_{1}^{2} \int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\left(1+M_{1}^{2}\right) Q_{\max } \int_{|x|>R_{0}} F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -2\left(1+\beta_{0} Q_{\max }\right) M_{1} M_{2}\left(\int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}-2 \beta_{0} \gamma_{p} Q_{\max } M_{1} M_{2}\left(\int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|z_{0}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
\geq & c_{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{2+2 \beta_{0} Q_{\max }} M_{1} M_{2}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{2}\left\{\sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left[\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|+\beta_{0}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& -\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2} \sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|V_{\varepsilon}(x)-V(0)\right|\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1+M_{1}^{2}}{2} \sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right| \int_{|x| \leq R_{0}} F_{z}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\beta_{0} \gamma_{p}\left(2 Q_{\max }\right)^{1 / p} M_{1} M_{2}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\left\{\sup _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|Q_{\varepsilon}(x)-Q(0)\right|\right\}^{(p-1) / p}-\frac{\hat{\delta}}{2} \\
\geq & c_{\varepsilon}-\frac{3 \hat{\delta}}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to Lemma 3.6, we can demonstrate that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\rho_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon} \geq \kappa_{\varepsilon}:=\inf \left\{\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z): z \in E^{+},\|z\|=\rho_{\varepsilon}\right\}>0 . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemmas 3.10 and 3.13 to $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, we have the following two lemmas.
Lemma 4.7. Suppose that (V0), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $\bar{c}_{\varepsilon} \in\left[\kappa_{\varepsilon}, c_{\varepsilon}\right]$ and a sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}, v_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \bar{c}_{\varepsilon}, \quad\left\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.8. Suppose that (V0), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then the sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}=$ $\left\{\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}, v_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.25) is bounded in $E$.

Similar to (3.10), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall z \in E . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By (F1), (2.4), (4.25) and (4.26), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{c}_{\varepsilon}+o(1) & =\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& =\frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}(x)\left|z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon-}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.11 that there exist $t_{n}^{\varepsilon}>0$ and $\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \in E^{-}$such that $t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \in$ $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^{-}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \hat{c}, \quad\left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), \zeta\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall \zeta \in E^{-} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.9. Suppose that (V0), (V1) and (F1)-(F3) are satisfied. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $K_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$ and $K_{2}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq t_{n}^{\varepsilon} \leq K_{1}(\varepsilon), \quad\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq K_{2}(\varepsilon), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If along a subsequence $t_{n}^{\varepsilon}<T_{0}$, we are through. So we may assume that $t_{n}^{\varepsilon} \geq T_{0}$. In view of Lemma 4.8, there exists a constant $C_{3}>0$ such that $\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{3}$. If $\delta_{\varepsilon}:=$ $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0$, then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [33, Lemma 1.21], $z_{n}^{\varepsilon+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Fix $\vartheta=\left[\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)\left(1+\bar{c}_{\varepsilon}\right) /(1-\eta)^{2} \bar{c}_{\varepsilon}\right]^{1 / 2}$. By virtue of (F1), for $\epsilon=1 / 4 Q_{\max }\left(\vartheta C_{3}\right)^{2}>0$, there exists a $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
F(z) \leq \epsilon|z|^{2}+C_{\epsilon}|z|^{p}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F\left(\vartheta z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \epsilon Q_{\max } \vartheta^{2} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{\epsilon} Q_{\max } \vartheta^{p} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \epsilon Q_{\max }\left(\vartheta C_{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} . \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.11), (4.25), (4.27) and (4.30), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{c}_{\varepsilon}+o(1)= & \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta) a b \vartheta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) F\left(\vartheta z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\frac{1-\vartheta^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle+\vartheta^{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), z_{n}^{\varepsilon-}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta) a b \vartheta^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}+o(1) \\
\geq & \frac{(1-\eta)^{2} \bar{c}_{\varepsilon} \vartheta^{2}}{1+\eta^{2}}-\frac{1}{4}+o(1)>\frac{3}{4}+\bar{c}_{\varepsilon}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta_{\varepsilon}>0$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|z_{n}^{\varepsilon+}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{2}$. Let $\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}(x)=z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_{n}^{\varepsilon}(x)=\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then $\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|=\left\|z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{2} . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+} \rightharpoonup\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}$in $E,\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+} \rightarrow\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}$in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+} \rightarrow\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}$a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (4.31) implies that $\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+} \neq 0$. Let $\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}:=\tilde{\zeta}_{n}^{\varepsilon} / t_{n}^{\varepsilon}$. Hence, it follows from (4.2), (4.28), (F1) and (F3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} \tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\tilde{\zeta}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), t_{n}^{\varepsilon} \tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\tilde{\zeta}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\hat{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right), t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & {\left[\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}\right)+\hat{V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} } \\
& -Q(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{z}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \cdot t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}\right)+\hat{V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} } \\
& -2 Q(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}\right)+\hat{V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} } \\
& -2 \mathcal{C}_{0} Q(0)\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{0}\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which, together with (4.26), implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left(\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}\right)+\hat{V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{2\left(1+\eta^{2}\right) a b}{(1-\eta)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{(1-\eta) a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\left(\tilde{z}_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}+\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\left\{\left\|\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $E^{-}$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \eta^{\varepsilon}$ in $E^{-}, \eta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \eta^{\varepsilon}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $\eta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \eta^{\varepsilon}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Since $\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}+\eta^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}=\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+} \neq 0$, it follows from (F3) that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{0}\left(\tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}+\eta^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x>0$, which, together with (4.32), implies that $\left\{t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, and so $\left\{\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also bounded. Therefore, there exist $K_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$ and $K_{2}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that (4.29) holds.

Theorem 4.10. Assume that $V, Q$ and $F$ satisfy (V0), (V1) and (F1)-(F3) . Then for $\varepsilon \in$ $\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, problem (2.1) has a solution $z_{\varepsilon} \in E$ such that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}>0$.
Proof. By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}=\left\{\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}, v_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.25). Thus there exists a $z^{\varepsilon}=\left(u^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon}\right) \in E$ such that $z_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup z^{\varepsilon}$. Next, we prove that $z^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $z^{\varepsilon}=0$ for some $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, i.e. $z_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$, and so $z_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $z_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

We first prove that $\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E^{-}$. Since $\left\{\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \zeta^{\varepsilon}$ in $E^{-}$. By Lemma 4.9, $\left\{t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ is bounded, then $t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \zeta^{\varepsilon}$. By Brezis-Lieb's Lemma (see [33, Lemma 1.32]), one can demonstrate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\hat{\Phi}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}-\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right)-\hat{\Phi}\left(\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right)=o(1), \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with (4.9), (V0) and (V1), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(1) \geq-\hat{\Phi}\left(\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \frac{a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\hat{V}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{(1-\eta) a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\left\|\zeta^{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\zeta^{\varepsilon}=0$, i.e. $\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E^{-}$. By (4.2), (4.9), (4.20), (4.25), (4.28) and (F1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\varepsilon}+o(1) \geq & \bar{c}_{\varepsilon}+o(1)=\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\geq & \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1-\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle-t_{n}^{\varepsilon}\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right), \zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \\
= & \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+o(1) \\
= & \hat{\Phi}\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V_{\varepsilon}(x)-\hat{V}\right)\left|t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o(1) \\
\geq & \hat{c}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R_{0} / \varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}(x)-\hat{V}\right)\left|t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{|x| \leq R_{0} / \varepsilon}\left[Q(0)-Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\right] F\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o(1) \\
\geq & \hat{c}-\frac{2 V_{\max }-V_{\infty}-V(0)}{4} \int_{|x| \leq R_{0} / \varepsilon}\left|t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left[Q(0)-Q_{\max }\right] \int_{|x| \leq R_{0} / \varepsilon} F\left(t_{n}^{\varepsilon} z_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o(1) \\
= & \hat{c}+o(1) . \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.6, one has

$$
\hat{c} \geq c_{0}+\hat{\delta} \geq c_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{4} \hat{\delta},
$$

which contradicts to (4.36). Therefore, $z^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. In a standard way, we can certify that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z^{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z^{\varepsilon}\right)=c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}$. This shows that $z^{\varepsilon} \in E$ is a solution for problem (2.1) with $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z^{\varepsilon}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}>0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, Theorem 4.10 implies that problem (2.1) has a solution $z_{\varepsilon} \in E$ such that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}>0$. Then

$$
\hat{z}_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}(x), \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)\right):=z_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\left(x-x_{v}\right)\right)=\left(u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\left(x-x_{v}\right)\right), v_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\left(x-x_{v}\right)\right)\right)
$$

is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.5).
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