

A continuous spherical wavelet transform for $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^n)$

I. Iglewska–Nowak^{a,*}

^a*West Pomeranian University of Technology, School of Mathematics, al. Piastów 17,
70–310 Szczecin, Poland, e-mail: iiglewskanowak@zut.edu.pl, phone: 0048 91 4494 826*

Abstract

In the present paper, a wavelet family over the n -dimensional sphere is constructed such that for each scale the wavelet is a polynomial and the inverse wavelet transform of a continuous function converges in the supremum norm.

Keywords: spherical wavelets, n -spheres, approximate identities, continuous functions

2000 MSC: 42C40, 42B20

1. Introduction

In the last decades, several wavelet constructions have been proposed for spherical signals, see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22]. I have shown in [19, Section 5] that there are only two essentially different continuous wavelet transforms for spherical signals, namely that based on group theory [2, 3] and that derived from approximate identities [10, 7, 19]. In both cases, square integrable signals are considered, and the inverse transform is performed by an integral that converges in \mathcal{L}^2 -norm.

The present paper is devoted to wavelet analysis of continuous functions. Since the sphere is compact, continuous functions are square integrable. The wavelet analysis I apply is that derived from approximate identities [19]. The novelty is that the inverse wavelet transform converges uniformly.

The paper is based on [19] and the same notation is used. In Section 2 a new (with respect to that from [19]) definition of a wavelet family is given, and it is shown that the wavelet analysis and the wavelet synthesis can be performed in the usual way. In Section 3 a wavelet family is constructed such that the wavelet transform is invertible in the supremum norm. Moreover, the wavelets are polynomials.

2. The wavelet transform

This section is devoted to the bilinear wavelet transform as defined in [19, Section 3]. The goal is to relax the constraints on a function family to be a wavelet,

*Corresponding author

more precisely, to abandon the condition

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_R^\infty (\overline{\Psi_\rho} * \Psi_\rho)(t) \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right| (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt \leq c \quad (1)$$

uniformly in R . This inequality has been verified for the Poisson wavelets in the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [21]. Note that that proof is based on some previous results which required a deep study of the wavelet structure. I did not find in the literature any proof of (1) for the Gauss-Weierstrass wavelet, a standard example of a spherical wavelet. Here, I provide a new convergence proof for the inverse wavelet transform such that (1) can be dropped in the wavelet definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a weight function, piecewise continuous and bounded on compact sets. A family $\{\Psi_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n)$ is called an admissible spherical wavelet if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \int_0^\infty |a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2 \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho = N(n, l) \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (2)$$

The wavelet transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_\Psi f(\rho, g) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x)} \cdot {}_s f(x) d\sigma(x). \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2.2. If $\{\Psi_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is an admissible wavelet, then the wavelet transform is invertible by

$$f(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \int_R^{1/R} \int_{SO(n+1)} \Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x) \cdot (\mathcal{W}_\Psi f)(\rho, g) d\nu(g) \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho \quad (4)$$

with limit in \mathcal{L}^2 -sense.

Proof. Denote the inner integral in (4) by $\mathfrak{r}_\rho(x)$. By (3), it is equal to

$$\mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) = \int_{SO(n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x) \cdot \overline{\Psi_\rho(g^{-1}y)} \cdot f(y) d\sigma(y) d\nu(g). \quad (5)$$

Since the functions Ψ_ρ and f are square integrable, the integral is convergent and the order of integration may be changed according to Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \int_{SO(n+1)} \Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x) \cdot \overline{\Psi_\rho(g^{-1}y)} d\nu(g) \cdot f(y) d\sigma(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} (\Psi_\rho \hat{*} \overline{\Psi_\rho})(x \cdot y) \cdot f(y) d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

According to [19, formula (19)], the zonal product of the wavelets can be expressed as

$$(\Psi_\rho \hat{*} \overline{\Psi_\rho})(x \cdot y) = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_l(x \cdot y). \quad (6)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(\lambda + l) \cdot \mathcal{C}_l(x \cdot y)}{N(n, l) \cdot \lambda} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{N(n, l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{C}_l(1) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!l!}{(n+2l-1)(n+l-2)!} \cdot \frac{n+2l-1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n+l-2)!}{(n-2)!l!} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(see [?, formula (8.937.4)] for the value of $\mathcal{C}_l(1)$) and for each ρ the Fourier coefficients of Ψ_ρ are square summable, the series on the right-hand-side of (6) is uniformly bounded (with respect to y , the scale parameter ρ is fixed for the moment). Further, $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^n)$. Thus, the order of integration over \mathcal{S}^n and summation with respect to l in

$$\mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_l(x \cdot y) \cdot f(y) d\sigma(y)$$

can be changed. By the reproducing property of the kernels $\frac{\lambda+l}{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot f_l(x) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} a_l^m(f) \cdot Y_l^m(x) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Consider the coefficients

$$(\widehat{\mathfrak{r}_\rho})_l^m := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} |a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2 \cdot \frac{a_l^m(f)}{N(n, l)}.$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n)$, the Fourier coefficients $a_l^m(f)$ are bounded. Further, $N(n, l)$ is the number of summands with index l and, consequently, $\sum_m \frac{a_l^m(f)}{N(n, l)}$ is bounded.

On the other hand, $\sum_k |a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2$ is summable with respect to l by the square summability of the Fourier coefficients. Thus, the coefficients $(\widehat{\mathfrak{r}_\rho})_l^m$ are summable. Consequently, they are square summable and $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n)$. This justifies the usage of notation $(\widehat{\mathfrak{r}_\rho})_l^k$. By the Plancherel theorem, for any R , the \mathcal{L}^2 -norm $L(R)$ of

$$f - \int_R^{1/R} \mathfrak{r}_\rho \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho$$

satisfies

$$[L(R)]^2 = \sum_l \sum_m \left[1 - \int_R^{1/R} \sum_k \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho \right]^2 \cdot [a_l^m(f)]^2. \quad (9)$$

Now, by (2), for each (l, k) the difference in brackets on the right-hand-side of (9) is bounded. Thus, by the square summability of $(a_l^m(f))_{l,k}$, the series in (9) is uniformly bounded. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} [L(R)]^2 \\ &= \sum_l \sum_m \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \left[1 - \int_R^{1/R} \frac{1}{N(n, l)} \sum_k |a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2 \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho \right]^2 \cdot [a_l^m(f)]^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows. \square

Similarly, the isometry condition can be proven for wavelets defined by (2).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{\Psi_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be an admissible spherical wavelet and $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n)$. Then,*

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_\Psi f, \mathcal{W}_\Psi g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

where the scalar product in the wavelet phase space is given by

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(n+1))} = \int_0^\infty \int_{SO(n+1)} \overline{F(\rho, g)} G(\rho, g) d\nu(g) \alpha(\rho) d\rho.$$

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.2.

Proof. For a fixed ρ , Denote by \mathfrak{r}_ρ the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_\rho &= \int_{SO(n+1)} \overline{(\mathcal{W}_\Psi f)(\rho, g)} \cdot (\mathcal{W}_\Psi g)(\rho, g) d\nu(g) \\ &= \int_{SO(n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x) \overline{f(x)} d\sigma(x) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \overline{\Psi_\rho(g^{-1}y)} g(y) \cdot d\sigma(y) d\nu(g). \end{aligned}$$

A change of the integration order yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_\rho &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \underbrace{\int_{SO(n+1)} \Psi_\rho(g^{-1}x) \cdot \overline{\Psi_\rho(g^{-1}y)} \cdot d\nu(g)}_{\Psi_\rho \ast \overline{\Psi_\rho}(x, y)} \\ &\quad \cdot \alpha(\rho) d\rho \cdot \overline{f(x)} d\sigma(x) \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

According to (6), this expression is equal to

$$\mathfrak{r}_\rho = \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \sum_{l=0}^\infty \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_l(x \cdot y) \cdot \overline{f(x)} d\sigma(x) \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y).$$

The series is uniformly bounded with respect to x . Thus, the order of integration and summation may be changed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_\rho &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \sum_{l,k} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_l(x \cdot y) \cdot \overline{f(x)} d\sigma(x) \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \sum_{l,k} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \overline{f_l(y)} \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since by the Hölder inequality and (7),

$$\left| \frac{f_l(y)}{N(n, l)} \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\lambda + l}{\lambda \cdot N(n, l)} \mathcal{C}_l(\circ, y) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \cdot \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq C,$$

and $\Psi_\rho \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{S}^n)$, the series is absolutely convergent, and the order of summation and integration may be changed,

$$\mathfrak{r}_\rho = \sum_{l, k} \frac{|a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)|^2}{N(n, l)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \overline{f_l(y)} \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y).$$

By the orthogonality of the spherical harmonics,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^n} \overline{f_l(y)} \cdot g(y) d\sigma(y) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} \overline{a_l^m(f)} \cdot a_l^m(g).$$

The assertion follows by the square summability of the Fourier coefficients $a_l^m(f)$, $a_l^m(g)$ and condition (2), with the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

3. The wavelet construction

The goal of this section is to define a wavelet family such that the integral (4) converges not only in \mathcal{L}^2 -norm, but also uniformly. Moreover, for each scale ρ the wavelet is a polynomial. The key to the construction is the Poisson integral formula [10, Theorem 3.4.1] and its implications [10, Theorem 3.4.2 and Corollary 3.4.3]. These statements from [10] can be straightforward generalized to the case of the n -dimensional sphere (with the n -dimensional Poisson kernel, the Gegenbauer polynomials in place of the Legendre polynomials, and spherical harmonics replaced by the hyperspherical ones) and we omit their proofs here.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho}$ and let $\{\Psi_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a wavelet family defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_l^0(\Psi_\rho) &= \sqrt{\rho \cdot N(n, l) \cdot \gamma_l(\rho)}, \\ a_l^k(\Psi_\rho) &= 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with γ_l , $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(\rho) &= \chi_{[1, 2]}(\rho), \\ \gamma_l(\rho) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \rho \in \left[\frac{1}{l}, \infty\right), \\ \frac{l^{l+1}}{(l+1)^{l-1}}, & \rho \in \left[\frac{1}{l+1}, \frac{1}{l}\right), \\ l(1-\rho)^{l-1}, & \rho \in \left(0, \frac{1}{l+1}\right) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^n)$ the right-hand-side of (4) converges uniformly to f .

Remark. Note that the wavelet family defined in Theorem 3.1 is a zonal one such that the wavelet analysis and the wavelet synthesis can be simplified according to the formulae given in [19, Subsection 3.2].

Proof. The functions γ_l , $l \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy

$$\int_R^\infty \gamma_l(\rho) d\rho = \begin{cases} (1-R)^l, & R \in \left[0, \frac{1}{l+1}\right], \\ 0, & R \in \left[\frac{1}{l}, \infty\right) \end{cases}$$

and for $R \in \left[\frac{1}{l+1}, \frac{1}{l}\right]$ the integral $\int_R^\infty \gamma_l(\rho) d\rho$ has values between 0 and $(1-R)^l$. Further,

$$\int_R^\infty \gamma_0(\rho) d\rho = 1 \quad \text{for } R \in [0, 1].$$

Thus, $a_l^k(\Psi_\rho)$ satisfy (2), i.e., $\{\Psi_\rho\}$ is a wavelet. Consider \mathfrak{r}_ρ given by (5). According to (8) it is equal to

$$\mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho \cdot \gamma_l(\rho) \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(l)} a_l^m(f) \cdot Y_l^m(x).$$

Thus, for $R \in \left[\frac{1}{L+1}, \frac{1}{L}\right]$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_R^{1/R} \mathfrak{r}_\rho(x) \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} (1-R)^l f_l(x) + \alpha (1-R)^L f_L(x).$$

with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The assertion follows similarly as in the proof of [10, Corollary 3.4.3]. \square

References

- [1] J.-P. Antoine, L. Demanet, L. Jacques, and P. Vandergheynst, *Wavelets on the sphere: implementation and approximations*, Appl. Comput. Harm. Anal. 13 (2002), 177–200.
- [2] J.-P. Antoine and P. Vandergheynst, *Wavelets on the 2-sphere: a group-theoretical approach*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 7 (1999), No. 3, 262–291.
- [3] J.-P. Antoine and P. Vandergheynst, *Wavelets on the n-sphere and related manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998), No. 8, 3987–4008.
- [4] S. Bernstein, *Spherical singular integrals, monogenic kernels and wavelets on the three-dimensional sphere*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. 19 (2009), No. 2, 173–189.
- [5] A. Chambodut, I. Panet, M. Mandeia, M. Diamant, M. Holschneider, and O. Jamet, *Wavelet frames: an alternative to spherical harmonic representation of potential fields*, Geophys. J. Int. 163 (2005), 875–899.

- [6] S. Ebert, *Wavelets on Lie groups and homogeneous spaces*, PhD-thesis, Freiberg 2011.
- [7] S. Ebert, S. Bernstein, P. Cerejeiras, and U. Kähler, *Nonzonal wavelets on S^N* , 18th International Conference on the Application of Computer Science and Mathematics in Architecture and Civil Engineering, Weimar 2009.
- [8] M. Ferreira, *Spherical continuous wavelet transforms arising from sections of the Lorentz group*, Appl. Comput. Harm. Anal. 26 (2009), No. 2, 212–229.
- [9] M. Ferreira, *Spherical wavelet transform*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg. 18 (2008), 611–619.
- [10] W. Freeden, T. Gervens, and M. Schreiner, *Constructive approximation on the sphere. With applications to geomathematics*, Clarendon Press, New York 1998.
- [11] W. Freeden and U. Windheuser, *Combined spherical harmonic and wavelet expansion – a future concept in Earth’s gravitational determination*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 4 (1997), No. 1, 1–37.
- [12] W. Freeden and U. Windheuser, *Spherical wavelet transform and its discretization*, Adv. Comput. Math. 5 (1996), No. 1, 51–94.
- [13] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Continuous wavelets on compact manifolds*, Math. Z. 262 (2009), no. 4, 895–927.
- [14] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Nearly tight frames and space–frequency analysis on compact manifolds*, Math. Z. 263 (2009), no. 2, 235–264.
- [15] M. Hayn and M. Holschneider, *Directional spherical multipole wavelets*, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), No. 7, 073512, 11 p.
- [16] M. Holschneider, *Continuous wavelet transforms on the sphere*, J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996), No. 8, 4156–4165.
- [17] M. Holschneider, A. Chambodut, and M. Mandea, *From global to regional analysis of the magnetic field on the sphere using wavelet frames*, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 135 (2003), 107–123.
- [18] M. Holschneider and I. Igłowska–Nowak, *Poisson wavelets on the sphere*, J. Four. Anal. Appl. 13 (2007), 405–419.
- [19] I. Igłowska–Nowak, *Continuous wavelet transforms on n -dimensional spheres*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 39 (2015), no. 2, 248–276.
- [20] I. Igłowska–Nowak, *Multiresolution on n -dimensional spheres*, Kyushu J. Math., *in press*.
- [21] I. Igłowska–Nowak, *Poisson wavelets on n -dimensional spheres*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 21 (2015), no. 1, 206–227.

- [22] F.J. Narcowich and J.D. Ward, *Nonstationary wavelets on the m -sphere for scattered data*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 3 (1996), No. 4, 324–336.