

On a convexity problem

Bogdan Gavrea

*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Str. Memorandumului
nr. 28, 400114, Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

Abstract

This work is a continuation of what was done in [3] and it is strongly connected to the work done in [1].

Keywords: linear positive operators, convex functions, Bernstein operators, Mastroianni operators.

2000 MSC: 26D15, 26D10, 46N30.

1. Introduction

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Π_n denote the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$. The fundamental Bernstein polynomials of degree n are given by:

$$b_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

In ([8], Problem 2, pp. 164), I. Raşa ([8], Problem 2, pp. 164), came up with the following problem: *Prove or disprove the following inequality:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n [b_{n,i}(x)b_{n,j}(x) + b_{n,i}(y)b_{n,j}(y) - 2b_{n,i}(x)b_{n,j}(y)] f\left(\frac{i+j}{2n}\right) \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

for any convex function $f \in C[0, 1]$ and any $x, y \in [0, 1]$. In [7], by using a probabilistic approach, J. Mrowiec, T. Rajba and S. Wąsowicz, gave a positive answer to the above problem and proved the following generalization of inequality (1).

Theorem 1.1 ([7], Theorem 12). *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$. Then,*

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^n [b_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots b_{n,i_m}(x_1) + \dots + b_{n,i_1}(x_m) \dots b_{n,i_m}(x_m) - mb_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots b_{n,i_m}(x_m)] f\left(\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{mn}\right) \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

for any convex function $f \in C[0, 1]$ and any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in [0, 1]$.

An elementary proof of (1), was given recently by Abel in [2], where it is shown that a type (1) inequality holds also for the Mirakyan-Favard-Szász ([2], Theorem 5) and for the Baskakov operators ([2], Theorem 6).

In [3], we proved a type (1) inequality for a large class of operators defined in the following way. Let I be one of the intervals $[0, \infty)$ or $[0, 1]$. Let $g_n : I \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq R\}$, $R > 1$ be a function with the property that for any fixed $x \in I$, the function $g_n(x, \cdot)$ is an analytic function on D ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x, z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k}(x) z^k \\ a_{n,k}(x) &\geq 0, \forall k \geq 0 \\ g_n(x, 1) &= 1, \forall x \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In what follows, let $I = [0, \infty)$. The case $I = [0, 1]$ follows in the same way. Let \mathcal{F} be a linear set of functions defined on the interval I and let $\{A_t\}_{t \in I}$ be a set of real linear positive functionals defined on \mathcal{F} with the property that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the series

$$L_{n,A}(f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k}(x) A_{\frac{k}{n}}(f). \quad (4)$$

is convergent for any $x \in I$. The identity (4) defines a *positive linear operator*. The function g_n will be referred to as the *generating function* for the operator $L_{n,A}$ relative to the set of functionals $\{A_t\}_{t \in I}$.

In what follows, we assume that the linear positive functionals $\{A_t\}_{t \in I}$ are such that $L_{n,A}$ is well defined for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $x \in I$, the set of all real polynomials $\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and every functional A_t has the following properties:

- i) $A_t(e_0) = 1, t \in I$

- ii) $A_t(e_1) = at + b, t \in I$, where a and b are two real numbers independent of t and $e_i(x) = x^i, x \in I, i \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [3], we obtained the following result: *if*

$$\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}, \frac{k+2}{n}; A_t(f) \right] \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} \left[\frac{g_n(x, z) - g_n(y, z)}{z - 1} \right]^2 \Big|_{z=0} \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in I$, then $A(f) \geq 0$. Here, for $x, y \in I$ fixed, the functional A is defined by

$$A(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [a_{n,i}(x)a_{n,j}(x) + a_{n,i}(y)a_{n,j}(y) - 2a_{n,i}(x)a_{n,j}(y)] A_{\frac{i+j}{2n}}(f),$$

The following result ([3], Corollary 3.2) is useful to verify inequality (6),
Let $x, y \in I$ be two distinct numbers. Assume that conditions i) and ii) above hold,

$$\frac{g_n(x, z) - g_n(y, z)}{z - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k}(x, y) z^k \quad (7)$$

and $\text{sgn } \beta_{n,k}(x, y)$ is the same for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then (6) is satisfied.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$ and $x \in I^m, x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, we define the functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} C_m(f) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^{\infty} [a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_1) + \dots + a_{n,i_1}(x_m) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) \\ &\quad - m a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m)] A_{\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{mn}}(f) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In [3], Theorem 4.1, we have proved the following result:

If (5) and (6) hold, then

$$C_m(f) \geq 0$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$.

Applications, such as Bernstein type operators, Mirakyan-Favard-Szász type operators, Meyer-König and Zeller type operators, were considered in [3].

Let us assume that the generating functions g_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ are of the form

$$g_n(x, z) = \phi^n(x, z), \quad (9)$$

where $\phi : I \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is such that $\phi(x, \cdot)$ is an analytic function and the function g_n given by (9) satisfies conditions (3). Under these assumptions, we have

$$\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = k} a_{n, i_1}(x) \dots a_{n, i_m}(x) = a_{nm, k}(x). \quad (10)$$

The above identity implies that

$$C_m(f) = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{mn, A}(f)(x_k) - m \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^{\infty} a_{i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{i_m}(x_m) f\left(\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{mn}\right) \quad (11)$$

Let us assume that the sequence $(L_{n, A})_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ preserves convexity. More precisely, we assume that for every convex function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $L_{n, A}(f)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is convex too. Under this assumption, we have

$$L_{nm, A}(f)\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{L_{nm, A}(f)(x_k)}{m}. \quad (12)$$

For the Bernstein operators, in [1], the following problem was studied:

Prove that

$$B_{2n}(f)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n b_{n, i}(x) b_{n, j}(y) f\left(\frac{i+j}{2n}\right), \quad (13)$$

for all convex $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

A probabilistic solution was found by A. Komisarski and T. Rajba, [5]. In [1], U. Abel and I. Raşa gave an analytic proof to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([1], Theorem 1). *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in C[0, 1]$ is a convex function, then the inequality*

$$B_{mn}(f)\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\nu=1}^m x_{\nu}\right) \geq \sum_{i_1=0}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^n \left(\prod_{\nu=1}^m b_{n, i_{\nu}}(x_{\nu})\right) f\left(\frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\nu=1}^m i_{\nu}\right)$$

is valid for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in [0, 1]$.

The purpose of this paper is to give sufficient conditions for the generating functions g_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the functional $\mathbb{B}_m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) = L_{mn,A}(f) \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^{\infty} a_{i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{i_m}(x_m) A_{\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{mn}}(f) \quad (14)$$

is nonnegative for any function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ for which

$$\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}, \frac{k+2}{n}; A_t(f) \right] \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

and for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I^m$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is immediate to see, from (11), that if $\mathbb{B}_m(f) \geq 0$, then $C_m(f) \geq 0$ as well.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that inequality (15) holds. If*

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} \left[\frac{g_{nm} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, z \right) - g_n(x_1, z) \dots g_n(x_m, z)}{z - 1} \right] \Big|_{z=0} \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I^m$, then

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) \geq 0.$$

If the reverse of (16) holds for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I^m$, then

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) \leq 0.$$

Proof. We note that

$$\mathbb{B}_m(e_0) = \mathbb{B}_m(e_1) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) = L_{mn,A}(f) \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,k}(x) A_{\frac{k}{mn}}(f),$$

where

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = k} a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m).$$

So

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{mn,k} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) - \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = k} a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) \right] f \left(\frac{k}{m+n} \right).$$

We note that

$$g_{mn} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, z \right) - g_n(x_1, z) \dots g_n(x_m, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{mn,k} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) - \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = k} a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) \right] z^k. \quad (17)$$

From (17), we get

$$a_{mn,k} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) - \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = k} a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[g_{mn} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, e^{i\theta} \right) - g_n(x_1, e^{i\theta}) \dots g_n(x_m, e^{i\theta}) \right] e^{-ik\theta} d\theta, \quad (18)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From (18), by using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, [3], we get

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) = \frac{2}{nm} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{B}_m \left(\left| \cdot - \frac{k-1}{nm} \right| \right) \left[\frac{k-2}{mn}, \frac{k-1}{mn}, \frac{k}{mn}; A_t(f) \right], \quad (19)$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}_m \left(\left| \cdot - \frac{k-1}{mn} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{nm} \frac{1}{(k-2)!} \frac{d^{k-2}}{dz^{k-2}} \frac{E_m^2(x, z)}{(z-1)^2} \Big|_{z=0} \quad (20)$$

and

$$E_m(x, z) = g_{mn} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, z \right) - g_n(x_1, z) \dots g_n(x_m, z). \quad (21)$$

Equations (19), (20) and (7) conclude our proof. ■

In what follows we are interested in whether there exists a large class of linear positive operators for which $A(f) \geq 0$, whenever (5) and (6) are satisfied and $\mathbb{B}_m(f) \geq 0$ or $\mathbb{B}_m(f) \leq 0$.

Mastroianni type operators

We denote by $C_2([0, \infty))$ the function space

$$C_2([0, \infty)) := \left\{ f \in C([0, \infty)) : \exists \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x^2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real functions defined on $[0, \infty)$, $\varphi_n \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that are strictly monotone and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\varphi_n(0) = 1, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (22)$$

$$(-1)^n \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, k \in \mathbb{N}, x \geq 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\forall (n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \exists p(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \quad (24)$$

$$\exists \alpha_{n,k} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \forall x \geq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

$$\varphi_n^{(i+k)}(x) = (-1)^k \varphi_{p(n,k)}^{(i)}(x) \alpha_{n,k}(x) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{p(n,k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n,k}(x)}{n^k} = 1$$

G. Mastroianni, in [6], introduced for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the operators $M_n : C_2([0, \infty)) \rightarrow C([0, \infty))$, defined by

$$M_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} x^k \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Let $(A_t)_{t \in I}$ be a set of linear positive functionals defined on the linear set of functions \mathcal{F} , satisfying conditions i) and ii) above and such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the series

$$M_{n,A}(f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^k \varphi_n^{(k)}(x)}{k!} A_{\frac{k}{n}}(f) \quad (25)$$

converges. We will assume that $\Pi_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Remark. If $\mathcal{F} = C_2([0, \infty))$, then $M_{n,A}(f)$ is well defined.

Lemma 2.1. *If for any $x \in [0, \infty)$, the function $g_n(x, \cdot) = \varphi(x(1 - \cdot))$ is analytic in $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, $R > 1$, then g_n is a generating function for $M_{n,A}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} g_n(x, z) = (-1)^k x^k \varphi_n^{(k)}(x(1 - z))$$

and therefore

$$g_n(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^k \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)}{k!} z^k.$$

■

Theorem 2.2. *Let $x, y \in [0, \infty)$, $x \neq y$. If*

$$\frac{g_n(x, z) - g_n(y, z)}{z - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k}(x, y) z^k,$$

then $\text{sgn} \beta_{n,k}(x, y)$ is the same for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{g_n(x, z) - g_n(y, z)}{z - 1} = - \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p x^p \varphi_n^{(p)}(x) - (-1)^p y^p \varphi_n^{(p)}(y)}{p!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m.$$

It follows that

$$\beta_{n,k}(x, y) = - \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{(-1)^p x^p \varphi_n^{(p)}(x) - (-1)^p y^p \varphi_n^{(p)}(y)}{p!}. \quad (26)$$

Let us consider the function $h_{n,k} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h_{n,k}(t) = - \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{(-1)^p t^p \varphi_n^{(p)}(t)}{p!}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h'_{n,k}(t) &= - \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{(-1)^p p t^{p-1} \varphi_n^{(p)}(t)}{p!} - \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{(-1)^p t^p \varphi_n^{(p+1)}(t)}{p!} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^p t^p \varphi_n^{(p+1)}(t)}{p!} - \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{(-1)^p t^p \varphi_n^{(p+1)}(t)}{p!} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{p+1} t^p \varphi_n^{(p+1)}(t)}{p!} \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, \infty), \forall p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\beta_{n,k}(x, y) = h_{n,k}(x) - h_{n,k}(y)$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{sgn} \beta_{n,k}(x, y) = \operatorname{sgn}(x - y), \forall x, y \in [0, \infty),$$

which concludes our proof. ■

Corollary 2.1. *Let $M_{n,A}$ be a family of Mastroianni type operators and let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. If*

$$\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}, \frac{k+2}{n}; A_t(f) \right] \geq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then for all the functionals C_m , given by (8), with

$$a_{n,i_k}(x_i) = \frac{(-1)^{i_k} x_i^{i_k} \varphi_n^{(i_k)}(x_i)}{i_k!},$$

we have $C_m(f) \geq 0$.

Examples

1. **Bernstein type operators** are Mastroianni type operators with the functions $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\varphi_n(x) = (1-x)^n$ and the generating functions $g_n(x, t)$ given by

$$g_n(x, t) = (1 - x + tx)^n.$$

2. **Mirakyan-Favard-Szász type operators**, $S_{n,A}$,

$$S_{n,A}(f)(x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} A_{\frac{k}{n}}(f)$$

are obtained for $\varphi_n(x) = e^{-nx}$, $x \geq 0$ and $g_n(x, z) = e^{-nx(1-z)}$.

3. **Baskakov type operators**, $V_{n,A}$,

$$V_{n,A}(f)(x) = (1+x)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^k A_{\frac{k}{n}}(f)$$

are obtained for $\varphi_n(x) = (1+x)^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $g_n(x, z) = (1+x-xz)^{-n}$.

4. Szász-Schurer type operators, $S_{n,p,A}$,

$$S_{n,p,A}(f)(x) = e^{-(n+p)x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)^k}{k!} A_{\frac{k}{n}}(f)$$

are obtained for $\varphi_n(x) = e^{-(n+p)x}$ and $g_n(x, z) = e^{-(n+p)x(1-z)}$.

We note that in the above examples the generating functions are of the following form:

$$g_n(x, z) = g_1^n(x, z),$$

where $g_1(x, z)$ is the generating function for the operator $L_{1,A}$. In these case $E_m(x, z)$ given by (21) can be written in the following form

$$E_m(x, z) = g_1^{nm} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, z \right) - (g_1(x_1, z) \dots g_1(x_m, z))^n$$

and by using Theorem 2.1, we get the following result

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be a function with the property that*

$$\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}, \frac{k+2}{n}; A_t(f) \right] \geq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} \left[\frac{g_1^m \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}, z \right) - g_1(x_1, z) \dots g_1(x_m, z)}{z - 1} \right] \Big|_{z=0} \geq 0 \quad (27)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I^m$, then $\mathbb{B}_m(f) \geq 0$. If the reverse of inequality (27) is satisfied for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I^m$, then $\mathbb{B}_m(f) \leq 0$.

Concluding remarks

We mention below a few consequences of Theorem 2.3.

1. The Bernstein type operators verify (27). In this case $g_n(x, z) = 1 - x + zx$ and inequality (27) follows from Gusić, [4], Theorem 1 (see also [9], Equation (2)), where the following representation is given

$$\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^m a_{\nu} \right)^m - m^m \sum_{\nu=1}^m a_{\nu} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_i - a_j)^2 P_{i,j}(a_1, \dots, a_m). \quad (28)$$

In (28), $P_{i,j}$ are some homogeneous polynomials of degree $n - 2$ with non-negative coefficients. Identity (28) was used by Abel and Raşa in [1] for the classical Bernstein operators.

2. For $g_1(x, z) = e^{-x(1-z)}$, we get

$$\mathbb{B}_m(f) = C_m(f), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

3. In the case of Baskakov type operators, we have

$$g_1(x, z) = \frac{1}{1 + x - xz}.$$

Using now (28), it follows that the reverse of inequality (27) is satisfied. Therefore, if $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}, \frac{k+2}{n}; A_t(f) \right] \geq 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then the Baskakov type operators satisfy the following inequalities

$$V_{n,A}(f) \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m} \right) \leq \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\nu=1}^m a_{n,i_\nu}(x_\nu) A_{\sum_{\nu=1}^m i_\nu/m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^{\infty} [a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) + \dots + a_{n,i_1}(x_1) m \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m)] A_{\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{nm}}(f) \\ \geq m \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,i_1}(x_1) \dots a_{n,i_m}(x_m) A_{\frac{i_1 + \dots + i_m}{nm}}(f) \end{aligned}$$

References

References

- [1] U. Abel, I. Raşa, *A sharpening of a problem on Bernstein polynomials and convex functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 21(2018), 773–777.
- [2] U. Abel, *An inequality involving Bernstein polynomials and convex functions*, Journal of Approximation Theory 222(2017), 1–7.

- [3] B. Gavrea, *On a convexity problem in connection with some linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 461 (2018), 319–332.
- [4] I. Gusić, *A purely algebraic proof of AG inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 8(2005), 191–198.
- [5] A. Komisarski, T. Rajba, *Muirhead inequality for convex orders and a problem of I. Raşa on Bernstein polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 458(2018), 821–830.
- [6] G. Mastroianni, *Su una classe di operatori lineari e positivi*, Rend. Acc. Sc. Fis. Mat., Napoli (4) 48(1980), 217–235.
- [7] J. Mrowiec, T. Rajba, S. Waśowicz, *A solution to the problem of Raşa connected with Bernstein polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 446(2016), 864–878.
- [8] I. Raşa, Problem 2, pp. 164. In: *Report of meeting in: Conference on Ulam's Type Stability, Rytro, Poland, June 2–6, 2014*, Ann. Paedagog. Croc. Stud. Math. 13(2014), 139–169.
- [9] T. Tararykova, *An explicit representation as quasi-sum of squares of a poly nomial generated by the AG inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9(2006), 649–659.