Rotational Alignment Decay and Decoherence of Molecular Superrotors
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We present the quantum master equation describing the coherent and incoherent dynamics of a rapidly rotating molecule in presence of a thermal background gas. The master equation relates the rate of rotational alignment decay and decoherence to the microscopic scattering amplitudes, which we calculate for anisotropic van der Waals scattering. For large rotational energies, we find excellent agreement of the resulting alignment decay rate with recent superrotor experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

The precise control of molecular rotation dynamics is a challenging task holding the prospect of orientation-resolved metrology, enhancement of chemical reaction rates, and state-selective collision studies [1–3]. Over the past decades, various techniques were conceived and established to manipulate the orientation and rotation state of small molecules and nanoparticles [1–5]. Recently, it was demonstrated that optical centrifuge beams [6] can produce rotational wavepackets of unprecedentedly high angular momentum [7–13]. The high rotation rates of such molecular superrotors [14] were predicted to suppress the rotational-translational energy transfer [15], so that their center-of-mass motion can be trapped and buffer-gas cooled without appreciably affecting the rotational population [16, 17].

This stability of superrotor rotations with respect to collisions was indeed observed experimentally with nitrogen and oxygen superrotors at ambient conditions [10, 12, 13, 18]. Classical simulations of a gas of superrotors show that, while the high rotation rates are conserved initially, they rapidly relax towards thermal equilibrium once the rotational-translational energy exchange becomes relevant [19, 20]. With a duration of a few nanoseconds, the initial gyroscopic stage is relatively short in state-of-the-art experiments [10], but is expected to be orders of magnitude longer in high vacuum. Notwithstanding its fundamental relevance and significance for future applications, a microscopic quantum theory that quantitatively describes the dynamics of a superrotor in its thermal environment and that predicts the ensuing alignment decay and decoherence timescales is still lacking.

Here we establish the Markovian quantum master equation of a rapidly rotating molecule immersed in a thermal gas. Based on the monitoring approach [21–23], this Lindblad-type equation describes, in terms of the exact scattering amplitudes, how the rotation state of a superrotor loses its alignment and decoheres. The master equation preserves the rotational energy, reflecting the fact the collisions are approximately elastic due to the high rotation rates of superrotors. This is in contrast to orientational decoherence of slowly rotating particles [24–26], applicable if the molecular orientation barely changes during the scattering process, and to quantum rotational thermalization [27], describing how the gas induces linear friction and diffusion of the quantized angular momentum vector.

We calculate the rate of alignment decay for nitrogen superrotors and find remarkable agreement with experimental data [10]. This demonstrates the predictive power of the theory, which includes no free parameters. The decay rate exhibits a markedly different scaling for large rotational energies than the energy corrected sudden approximation [10, 18, 28]. The presented master equation is expected to be instrumental for future sensing and metrology applications with superrotors, whose sensitivity will be ultimately limited by the collisional interaction between the rotor and its thermal environment.

II. MASTER EQUATION

The dynamics of rotational alignment decay and decoherence can be derived from the monitoring master equation for a massive particle with internal degrees of freedom [23]. The latter provides a non-perturbative Marko-
vian quantum master equation for a tracer moving and revolving in a thermal environment. The description is based on the microscopic collision rate operator and the scattering matrix of an individual collision between the tracer and a gas particle.

In the present case, we consider a linear rigid rotor of mass $M$ and moment of inertia $I$ revolving in a homogeneous monoatomic gas of density $n_g$, temperature $T$ and pressure $p_g = n_g k_B T$. For a rapidly rotating superrotor the scattering rate operator as well as the scattering matrix are approximately diagonal in the total angular momentum since the rotor revolves multiple times during the collision with a gas particle (see Fig. 2 and App. B). Tracing out the latter together with the thermalized center-of-mass motion yields the master equation \( \partial_t \rho = -i [H + H_g, \rho]/\hbar + D\rho \) for the rotation state $\rho$ (see App. A). The free linear-top Hamiltonian is denoted by $H$. The gas affects the rotor dynamics coherently through the energy shift

\[
H_g = -2\pi \hbar^2 \frac{n_g}{\mu} \int_0^\infty dq q^2 \nu_{th}(q) \int_{S_2} d^n \mathbf{n}  |f(qn, qn') \rangle \langle f(qn, qn')|, \tag{1a}
\]

and incoherently through the Lindblad superoperator

\[
D\rho = \frac{n_g}{2\mu} \int_0^\infty dq q^2 \nu_{th}(q) \int_{S_2} d^n \mathbf{n}  \left( f(qn, qn') \rho f^\dagger(qn, qn') - \frac{1}{2} \{ f(qn, qn'), f(qn, qn') \rho \} \right). \tag{1b}
\]

Both contributions (1a) and (1b) are characterized by the thermal distribution of relative momenta $\nu_{th}(q) = \exp(-q^2/\nu_{th}^2)/(\sqrt{\pi} \nu_{th})^3$, with the momentum $\nu_{th} = \sqrt{2 n_g k_B T}$ determined by the reduced mass $\mu = m_g M/(m_g + M)$. In addition, the Lindblad operators are given by the operator-valued microscopic scattering amplitudes

\[
f(qn, qn') = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-j}^j f_{jm, jm'}(qn, qn') |jm \rangle \langle jm'|, \tag{2}
\]

for incoming and outgoing relative momentum $qn'$ and $qn$. Here $|jm \rangle$ is the linear rotor eigenstate with energy $E_j = \hbar^2 j (j+1)/2I$ and azimuthal quantum number $m$. Note that, while Eq. (2) conserves the total angular momentum quantum number $j$ of a rapidly rotating molecule, it accounts for elastic scattering to different $m$.

The incoherent term (1b) describes how a superrotor loses coherence in a thermal monoatomic gas, while the coherent term (1a) renormalizes the rotor energy [29]. In case that the gas particles have internal degrees of freedom, the scattering amplitudes depend on the incoming and outgoing internal gas state. Tracing out the latter yields the generalization of (1) after a straightforward calculation. We will show next that (1b) describes how the quantum state of a rapidly rotating particle re-orientates and decoheres due to collisions with surrounding gas particles.

### III. ROTATIONAL DECOHERENCE AND ALIGNMENT DECAY

An optical centrifuge consists of two superimposed laser beams of opposite circular polarization, which propagate in the same direction along the $z$-axis and whose frequency detuning increases linearly with time [9]. The resulting total electromagnetic field is linearly polarized; the polarization direction rotates with increasing frequency in the $xy$-plane orthogonal to the beam axis. An initially orientationally trapped linear molecule adiabatically follows the field polarization until the laser is instantaneously switched off, releasing the molecules in a superposition of high angular momentum eigenstates $|jj\rangle$. The total state operator $\rho$ of the propelled molecule is thus of the form $\rho = \sum_{jj'} \rho_{jj'} |jj\rangle \langle jj'|$, where the coefficients $\rho_{jj'}$ depend on the details of the centrifuge beam [30, 31].

On a short timescale, the derived master equation describes how the matrix elements of the superrotor state $\rho$ oscillate and decay, i.e. $\partial_t \rho_{jj'} \approx -(i \Delta_{jj'} + \gamma_{jj'}) \rho_{jj'}$, with the decay rate

\[
\gamma_{jj'} = \frac{n_g}{2\mu} \int_0^\infty dq q^2 \nu_{th}(q) \int_{S_2} d^n \mathbf{n}  \left( f_{jj,jj}(qn, qn') - f_{jj',jj'}(qn, qn') \right)^2 \times \left( f_{jj,jj}(qn, qn') - f_{jj',jj'}(qn, qn') \right)^2 + \sum_{m=-j}^{j-1} \sum_{m'=-j}^{j-1} |f_{jm,jm'}(qn, qn')|^2. \tag{3}
\]

The first term describes decoherence between the states $|jj\rangle$ and $|jj'\rangle$ due to the information acquired by the scatterer during the collision [21]. While this first term vanishes for $j = j'$, the remaining two terms are always non-zero since they describe how the plane of rotation of the superrotor changes due to collision-induced transitions, $jj \to jm$ with $m = -j, \ldots, j - 1$. This re-orientation of the molecular angular momentum vector is the dominant contribution to the experimentally observed decay of rotational coherences of nitrogen superrotors [10, 18], as will be demonstrated below.

We remark that isotropic states, $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \rho_j \sum_{m=-j}^j |jm\rangle \langle jm|$, are stationary under the superoperator $D$ irrespective of their distribution $\rho_j$ of rotational energies. An arbitrary initial superrotor state with matrix elements $\rho_{jj'}$ will thus approach the isotropic, mixed state with energy distribution $\rho_{jj}$ on the timescale $1/\gamma_{jj'}$, provided that scattering to all $m$ is allowed. The master equation therefore predicts the timescale on which ensembles of superrotors can be used for state-selective collision studies.
rotor symmetry axis, see Fig. 1, and to the atom is denoted by anisotropy of the linear rotor with interaction and ∆αC

\[
\alpha = (2 \alpha_\perp + \alpha_\parallel)/3 \\
\text{mean polarizability. The relative distance vector from the molecular center-of-mass to the atom is denoted by } r_e \text{, m is the direction of the rotor symmetry axis, see Fig. 1, and } P_2(x) = (3x^2 - 1)/2
\]

\[
V(r_e, m) = -C_6 \rho^6 \left[ 1 + \frac{2\Delta\alpha}{3\pi^2} P_2(e_r \cdot m) \right].
\]

Here, C_6 quantifies the strength of the van der Waals interaction and \(\Delta\alpha = \alpha_\parallel - \alpha_\perp\) is the polarizability anisotropy of the linear rotor with \(\alpha_\parallel\) and \(\alpha_\perp\) its polarizabilities along the symmetry axis and orthogonal to it; \(\pi = (2\alpha_\perp + \alpha_\parallel)/3\) is the mean polarizability. The relative distance vector from the molecular center-of-mass to the atom is denoted by \(r_e\), m is the direction of the rotor symmetry axis, see Fig. 1, and \(P_2(x) = (3x^2 - 1)/2\) is the second order Legendre polynomial.

In order to calculate the rotation-state-dependent scattering amplitudes \(f_{j,m,j',m'}(qn, qn')\) we use Schiff’s approximation [30] in the limit of a rapidly rotating particle and assume that small-angle scattering dominates the amplitude. After a direct but non-trivial calculation (see App. [B] one obtains the rates

\[
\gamma_{jj'} \propto \Gamma \left( \frac{13}{5} \right) \Gamma^2 \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} n q_{th}^3}{10 \mu \hbar^2} \left( \Delta\alpha \right)^2 \\
\times \frac{3 \pi \mu C_6}{8 n q_{th}} A_{jj'}
\]

whose dependence on the rotation state is described by

\[
A_{jj'} = \left[ P_2 \left( \frac{2j}{2j+1} \right) - P_2 \left( \frac{2j'}{2j'+1} \right) \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ P_1 \left( \frac{2j-1}{2j+1} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left[ P_1 \left( \frac{2j'-1}{2j'+1} \right) \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{24} \left[ P_2 \left( \frac{2j-2}{2j+1} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{24} \left[ P_2 \left( \frac{2j'-2}{2j'+1} \right) \right]^2
\]

Here, \(P^m_2(x)\) are associated Legendre polynomials.

\[
V. CONCLUSION
\]

In summary, we established the quantum master equation of a molecular superrotor revolving in a thermal environment. It is based on the scattering amplitudes of superrotor-gas collisions, following directly from the intermolecular interaction potential. The ensuing alignment decay rates agree very well with experimental observations. The theory predicts the timescales for quantum coherent experiments with superrotors. Combined with ab-initio scattering calculations, it can be applied to any superrotating system, paving the way for future state-resolved collision studies and coherence experiments.
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Appendix A: Master Equation

To derive the quantum dynamics of rotational alignment decay and decoherence of molecular superrotors we start from the master equation for a linear molecule of mass $M$ and moment of inertia $I$ immersed in a homogeneous gas of density $n_g$, mass $m_g$ and temperature $T$. Adapting the theory presented in Ref. [23], one obtains for the combined rotational-center-of-mass state $\rho_{\text{tot}}$ the Markovian master equation

$$\partial_t \rho_{\text{tot}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_{\text{tot}} + H_n, \rho_{\text{tot}}] + \mathcal{L}\rho_{\text{tot}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

Here the total free Hamiltonian is $H_{\text{tot}} = P^2/2M + J^2/2I$, the gas-induced energy shift

$$H_n = -2\pi\hbar^2 n_g \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m,m'=-j}^{j} \int d^3p \mu_g(p) \text{Re} [f_{jm,jm'}(\text{rel}(p,P),\text{rel}(p,P))] |jm\rangle\langle jm'|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

and the Lindblad superoperator

$$\mathcal{L}\rho_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\varepsilon} \int d^3Q \int_{P\perp Q} d^3p \left[ \mathcal{L}_{Q\rho_{\text{tot}}}^{\varepsilon} \rho_{\text{tot}} \mathcal{L}_{Q\rho_{\text{tot}}}^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathcal{L}_{Q\rho_{\text{tot}}}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}_{Q\rho_{\text{tot}}}^{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\text{tot}} \right\} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

with the Lindblad operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q\rho_{\text{tot}}}^{\varepsilon} = e^{iQX/\hbar} \left[ \sum_{E_j\neq E'_j} \sum_{j'=-j}^{j} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} L_{jm,j'm'}(p,P,Q) |jm\rangle\langle jm'| \right] e^{-iQX/\hbar},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where

$$L_{jm,j'm'}(p,P,Q) = \sqrt{\frac{n_g m_g}{\mu^2 Q}} \sqrt{\mu_g} \left[ \frac{P_{\perp} + m_g}{M} P_{\parallel} + \left( 1 + \frac{m_g}{M} \right) \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{E_j - E_j'}{Q^2/m_g} \right]$$

$$\times f_{jm,j'm'} \left[ \text{rel}(p_{\perp},P_{\perp}) - \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{E_j - E_j'}{Q^2/m_g} Q, \text{rel}(p_{\perp},P_{\perp}) + \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{E_j - E_j'}{Q^2/m_g} Q \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

In addition to the notation of the main manuscript, $\mu_g(p)$ denotes the thermal momentum distribution of the gas, $P$ is the center-of-mass momentum operator of the rotor, $X$ its position operator, $E_j = \hbar^2 j(j+1)/2I$ the rotation energy and we defined the relative momentum

$$\text{rel}(p,P) = \frac{\mu}{m_g} P - \frac{\mu}{M} P.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

For superrotors generated by an optical centrifuge the center-of-mass and rotation state are uncorrelated [10], $\rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{\text{cm}} \otimes \rho$. In addition, the rotational energy is approximately conserved during a collision if the rotor revolves rapidly enough (see Fig. 2 and App. [3]), $f_{jm,j'm'}(q,q') = \delta_{jj'}f_{jm,jm'}(q,q')$. Tracing out the center-of-mass under these two simplifications yields after a straight-forward but lengthy calculation the master equation for the rotation state $\rho$,

$$\partial_t \rho = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H + \tilde{H}_n, \rho] + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\rho,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

with

$$\tilde{H}_n = -2\pi\hbar^2 n_g \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int d^3P d^3P(P|\rho_{\text{cm}}|P) \mu_g(p) \text{Re} [f(\text{rel}(p,P),\text{rel}(p,P))],$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\rho = \frac{n_g m_g^3}{\mu^4} \int d^3P \int_0^{\infty} dq dq' \int_{S_2} d^2n dq' d^2n' (P|\rho_{\text{cm}}|P) \mu_g \left( \frac{m_g}{\mu} qn' + \frac{m_g}{M} P \right)$$

$$\times \left[ f(qn,qn') qf(qn,qn') - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f(qn,qn') f(qn,qn'), \rho \right\} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A9)

Finally, using that the center-of-mass momentum $P$ is thermalized with the gas and integrating it out yields the master equation presented in the main text of the manuscript.
Appendix B: Scattering Amplitude

In order to calculate the rotation-state dependent scattering amplitude $f_{jm,j'm'}(qn,q'n')$ we start with the time-dependent Schrödinger equation in relative coordinates,

$$i\hbar \partial_t |\Psi\rangle = \left[ \frac{q^2}{2\mu} + \frac{J^2}{2I} + V(r,m) \right] |\Psi\rangle,$$

(B1)

where $V(r,m)$ is the attractive van-der-Waals potential (see main text) and $q$ is the relative momentum operator. (Operators are denoted by sans-serif characters.) Expanding the total state as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{jm} e^{-iE_j t/\hbar} \sum_{m=-j}^j |\chi_{jm}\rangle |jm\rangle,$$

(B2)

yields the coupled Schrödinger equations

$$i\hbar \partial_t |\chi_{jm}\rangle = \left[ \frac{q^2}{2\mu} |\chi_{jm}\rangle + \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} e^{i(E_j-E_{j'}) t/\hbar} \sum_{m'=-j'}^j \langle jm | V(r,m) | j'm'\rangle |\chi_{j'm'}\rangle.$$

(B3)

If the molecule rotates multiple times during the collision, $E_j t/\hbar \gg 1$, the exponential function in (B3) averages to zero for $j \neq j'$ and the different $j$ values are effectively decoupled. In this limit, one obtains for each $j$ a vectorial Schrödinger equation for the vector $\left( |\chi_j\rangle \right)_m = |\chi_{jm}\rangle$

$$i\hbar \partial_t |\chi_j\rangle = \left[ \frac{q^2}{2\mu} + V_j(r) \right] |\chi_j\rangle$$

(B4)

involving the coupling matrix $(V_j)_{m'm'}(r) = \langle jm | V(r,m) | j'm'\rangle$.

The vectorial scattering problem described by Eq. (B4) can be solved in the eikonal approximation (Schiff’s approximation) for incoming relative momentum $qn$ and outgoing relative momentum $qn'$, yielding the (matrix-valued) scattering amplitude

$$f_j(qn,q'n') = -i \frac{q}{2\hbar} \int_{b♥n'} d^2b e^{-iqb\cdot n'/\hbar} \left[ \exp \left\{ -i\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz V_j(b + zn') \right\} - 1_j \right].$$

(B5)

Here $1_j$ denotes the $(2j+1) \times (2j+1)$ dimensional unity matrix. The desired state-dependent scattering amplitudes are $f_{jm,j'm'}(qn,q'n') = (f_j)_{m'm'}(qn,q'n')$. They can be explicitly calculated by carrying out the integrations in (B5).

In particular, we first integrate the coupling matrix along the eikonal trajectory $z$ in the exponent. Since the resulting matrix is hermitian, the spectral theorem can be applied to rewrite the matrix exponential in terms of trigonometric functions, so that the radial integration over the impact parameter $b$ can be carried out. We now exploit that forward scattering gives the strongest contribution to the scattering amplitude in order to limit ourselves to calculating $f_j(qn',qn')$. Making use of the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty db \sin \left( \frac{a}{2b^5} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(a) |a|^{2/5} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \cos \left( \frac{3\pi}{10} \right)$$

(B6a)

and

$$\int_0^\infty db \sin^2 \left( \frac{a}{2b^5} \right) = \frac{1}{4} |a|^{2/5} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \sin \left( \frac{3\pi}{10} \right)$$

(B6b)

yields

$$f_j(qn',qn') = \frac{q^{3/5}}{4\pi \hbar} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \left( \frac{3\pi \mu C_b}{8\hbar} \right)^{2/5} e^{i3\pi/10} \int_{n'♥n} \frac{de_b}{|e_y |} \text{exp} \left[ 1_j + B_j(n',e_b) \right]^{2/5},$$

(B7)
where \( \mathbf{e}_b = \mathbf{b}/b \) and the only nonzero entries of the tridiagonal matrix \( B_j \) are given by

\[
(B_j)_{mm}(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{e}_b) = -\frac{\Delta \alpha}{3\pi} \sqrt{\frac{j(j+1)}{(2j-1)(2j+3)}} P_2 \left( \frac{2m}{2j+1} \right) \left[ \frac{5}{2} (\mathbf{e}_b \cdot \mathbf{e}_z)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{e}_z)^2 - 1 \right]
\] (B8a)

\[
(B_j)_{m \pm 1}(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{e}_b) = \frac{\Delta \alpha}{18\pi} \sqrt{\frac{j(j+1)}{(2j-1)(2j+3)}} P_2 \left( \frac{2m \pm 1}{2j+1} \right) [5\mathbf{e}_b \cdot \mathbf{e}_z (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y) \cdot \mathbf{e}_b + \mathbf{n}' \cdot (\mathbf{e}_z \pm i\mathbf{e}_y) \cdot \mathbf{n}']
\] (B8b)

\[
(B_j)_{m \pm 2}(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{e}_b) = -\frac{\Delta \alpha}{72\pi} \sqrt{\frac{j(j+1)}{(2j-1)(2j+3)}} P_2 \left( \frac{2m \pm 2}{2j+1} \right) [5(\mathbf{e}_b \cdot (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y))^2 + (\mathbf{n}' \cdot (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y))^2] .
\] (B8c)

In order to carry out the \( \mathbf{e}_b \) integration in (B7), we note that

\[
[1_j + B_j(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{e}_b)]^{2/5} \simeq 1_j + \frac{2}{5} B_j(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{e}_b),
\] (B9)

and use the integrals

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{e}_b \perp \mathbf{n}'} \mathbf{e}_b \cdot (\mathbf{e}_b \cdot \mathbf{e}_z)^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{n}' \times \mathbf{e}_z|^2
\] (B10a)

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{e}_b \perp \mathbf{n}'} \mathbf{e}_b \cdot [\mathbf{e}_b \cdot (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y) \cdot \mathbf{e}_b] = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{e}_z (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y) \cdot \mathbf{n}'
\] (B10b)

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{e}_b \perp \mathbf{n}'} \mathbf{e}_b \cdot (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{n}' \cdot (\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y)|^2.
\] (B10c)

Inserting this into (B7) yields the forward scattering amplitudes. The latter can be inserted into the general form of the decay rate, yielding after integration over \( \mathbf{n}' \) and \( \mathbf{n} \) (giving a factor of \( 2\pi \) because the amplitude is strongly peaked in the forward direction) the expression in the main text.