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Abstract

Suppose $Y$ is a continuum, $x \in Y$, and $X$ is the union of all nowhere dense subcontinua of $Y$ containing $x$. Suppose further that there exists $y \in Y$ such that every connected subset of $X$ limiting to $y$ is dense in $X$. And, suppose $X$ is dense in $Y$. We prove $X$ is homeomorphic to a composant of an indecomposable continuum even though $Y$ may be decomposable. An example establishing the latter was given by Christopher Mouron and Norberto Ordoñez in 2016. If $Y$ is chainable or, more generally, an inverse limit of identical topological graphs, then we show $Y$ must be indecomposable.

Under similar assumptions we explore whether a homogeneous continuum $Y$ must be indecomposable with composant equal to $X$. This problem is closely related to a 2007 question of Janusz Prajs and Keith Whittington.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Terminology

By a continuum (plural form continua) we shall mean a connected compact metrizable space with more than one point. A continuum $Y$ is decomposable if there are two proper subcontinua $H, K \subseteq Y$ such that $Y = H \cup K$. If $Y$ is not decomposable, then $Y$ is indecomposable. We will say, more generally, that a connected space $X$ is indecomposable if $X$ is the only closed connected subset of $X$ with non-void interior [8, §48 V Theorem 2].

A connected space $X$ is strongly indecomposable if for every two non-empty disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ there are two disjoint closed sets $A$ and $B$ such that $X \setminus U = A \cup B$, $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and $B \cap V \neq \emptyset$. This term was introduced by the author in [9]. Strong indecomposability requires that the quasi-components of proper closed subsets of $X$ are nowhere dense in $X$, whereas indecomposability only requires that the connected components of proper closed subsets of $X$ are nowhere dense in $X$.

Let $Y$ be a continuum. A subcontinuum $K$ is said to be filament if there exists a $Y$-open set in which the connected component of $K$ is nowhere dense [14]. Let $x \in Y$. Following [12] and [14]:

$$\bigcup \{ K \subseteq Y : K \text{ is a proper subcontinuum of } Y \text{ and } x \in K \}$$

is the composant of $x$ in $Y$;

$$\bigcup \{ K \subseteq Y : K \text{ is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of } Y \text{ and } x \in K \}$$

is the meager composant of $x$ in $Y$; and

$$\bigcup \{ K \subseteq Y : K \text{ is a filament subcontinuum of } Y \text{ and } x \in K \}$$

is the filament composant of $x$ in $Y$.

Given a connected subset $X$ of a continuum $Y$, and a point $y \in Y$, then $X$ is said to be singular with respect to $y$ if $\overline{C} = X$ for every connected $C \subseteq X$ with $y \in \overline{C}$. And $X$ is singular dense in $Y$ if there exists $y \in Y$ such that $X$ is singular with respect to $y$, and $X$ is dense in $Y$. This formulation is easily seen to be equivalent to the one in [12].
A continuum is *homogeneous* if for every two points \(x\) and \(y\) in the space there is a homeomorphism which maps the entire space onto itself, and maps \(x\) to \(y\).

A subset \(X\) of a continuum \(Y\) is called a *filament set* if each continuum in \(X\) is a filament subcontinuum of \(Y\). A continuum is *filament additive* if the union of every two intersecting filament subcontinua is filament [15]. This property implies the filament composants partition the continuum into pairwise disjoint sets. In homogeneous continua, filament additivity is equivalent to filament composants being filament sets [15, Corollary 3.6]. A continuum \(Y\) is *filamentable* if there is a filament subcontinuum \(L \subseteq Y\) such that \(Y \setminus L\) is a filament set.

### 1.2. Motivation and Summary of Results

There is no difference among composants, meager composants, and filament composants in an indecomposable continuum; [13, Exercise 6.19] and [14, Proposition 1.9]. And if \(Y\) is an indecomposable continuum, and \(X\) is any composant of \(Y\), then \(X\) is singular with respect to each point of the dense \(G_δ\)-set \(Y \setminus X\) [13, Theorems 11.15 & 11.17]. The composant \(X\) is also necessarily dense in \(Y\) [13, Theorem 5.4].

By contrast, an example in [12, Section 5] shows the first two types of composants can differ quite dramatically inside of a decomposable continuum. There was constructed a plane continuum \(\mathcal{Y}\) with only one traditional composant versus uncountably many meager composants, each singular dense.

Figure 1: The “bucket-handle” \(\mathcal{Y}\) and the Mouron-Ordoñez continuum \(\mathcal{Y}\) (graphics extracted from [12, Figure 3]). Every meager composant of \(\mathcal{Y}\) is singular dense, but \(\mathcal{Y}\) is decomposable.

That \(\mathcal{Y}\) has only one composant follows easily from the fact that \(\mathcal{Y} \cap ([0, 3] \times [-1, 1])\) is connected.\(^2\) The (singular dense) meager composants of \(\mathcal{Y}\), on the other hand, are in one-to-one correspondence with the composants of the indecomposable “bucket-handle” continuum \(\mathcal{Z}\). There is a continuous surjection \(f : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Y}\) witnessing this fact. Letting \(\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}\) be the image of the \((0, -1)\) endpoint composant of \(\mathcal{Z}\), we can see that \(f \mid (\mathcal{Z} \setminus f^{-1}[\mathcal{X}])\) is a homeomorphism (the sets \(f^{-1}[\mathcal{X}] \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\) and \(\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}\) are indicated by the solid lines in Figure 1). In particular, every meager composant of \(\mathcal{Y}\) other than \(\mathcal{X}\) is homeomorphic to a composant of \(\mathcal{Z}\).\(^3\) By the main result of this paper, \(\mathcal{X}\) is also homeomorphic to a composant of an indecomposable continuum.

**Theorem 1.1.** Every singular dense meager composant is homeomorphic to a composant of an indecomposable continuum.

Proving Theorem 1.1 will demonstrate that if \(Y\) is a continuum and \(X\) is a singular dense meager composant of \(Y\), then there is an indecomposable continuum \(Z\) and homeomorphic embedding \(ξ : X \to Z\) such that \(Z\) has the same dimension as \(X\); \(ξ[X]\) is a composant of \(Z\); and there is a mapping \(f : Z \to Y\) such that \(f \mid ξ[X]\) is a homeomorphism onto \(X\).

**Corollary 1.2.** If \(Y\) is a continuum with a singular dense meager composant, then each meager composant of \(Y\) is dense.

Singularity is critical to Corollary 1.2. The union of two indecomposable continua with exactly one point in common has both dense and non-dense meager composants. Also, the following variation of Corollary 1.2

---

\(^2\) The continuum \(\mathcal{Y} \cap ([0, 3] \times [-1, 1])\) is known as the “Cajun accordion” [16].

\(^3\) And these non-endpoint composants of \(\mathcal{Z}\) are mutually homeomorphic [1].
is false: If $Y$ has a singular dense meager composant, then each meager composant of $Y$ is singular dense. We have a counterexample which is based on the Mouron-Ordoñez construction, but will not present it here.

The next result applies to chainable and circularly-chainable continua.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $Y$ be a continuum which is the inverse limit of mutually homeomorphic topological graphs. Then $Y$ is indecomposable if and only if some (every) meager composant of $Y$ is singular dense.

Evidently, $2)$ is not an inverse limit of the type just described. Observe also that the meager composants of $2)$ are not filament sets. For instance, the subcontinuum $\{0\} \times [-1,1]$ is non-filament.

**Theorem 1.4.** A continuum $Y$ is indecomposable if and only if $Y$ has a meager composant which is also a singular dense filament set.

The final results concern homogeneous continua.

**Theorem 1.5.** A homogeneous continuum $Y$ is indecomposable if and only if $Y$ is filament additive, filamentable, and has singular dense filament composants.

**Corollary 1.6.** Let $Y$ be a filament additive, filamentable, homogeneous continuum with dense filament composants. Then $Y$ is indecomposable if and only if the filament composants of $Y$ are indecomposable.

The sharpness of the last two results is demonstrated by a circle times a (non-circle) solenoid. This product continuum is homogeneous, filament additive, filamentable, and decomposable. Its filament composants are products of the circle with composants of the solenoid [15, Theorem 4.4]. These sets are dense, but are neither singular nor indecomposable.

2. Properties of dense meager composants

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $X$ be a connected subset of a continuum $Y$. If $X$ is singular dense in $Y$, then $X$ is indecomposable.

**Proof.** Suppose $X$ is singular dense in $Y$. Let $y \in Y$ be such that $X$ is singular with respect to $y$. For a contradiction suppose $X$ is the union of two proper closed connected subsets $H$ and $K$. By $\overline{X} = Y$ we have $y \in \overline{H}$ or $y \in \overline{K}$. Neither $\overline{H}$ nor $\overline{K}$ is equal to $X$, so this contradicts $X$ being singular with respect to $y$. Therefore $X$ is indecomposable.

**Remark 1.** [12, Theorem 7.6] says that every irreducible continuum with a singular dense meager composant is indecomposable. The proof uses the idea of minimal decompositions. Alternatively, combine Proposition 2.1 with [9, Theorem 6(iii)]. These results show that if $Y$ is an irreducible continuum in which any connected set is singular dense, then $Y$ is indecomposable.

The next proposition shows that meager composants partition a continuum into pairwise disjoint sets (cf. [12, Proposition 2.5]).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $X$ be the meager composant of a point $x$ in a continuum $Y$. Let $K$ be a nowhere dense subcontinuum of $Y$. If $K \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $K \subseteq X$.

**Proof.** Suppose $K \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Let $x' \in K \cap X$. There is a nowhere dense subcontinuum $L \supseteq \{x,x'\}$. Then $K \cup L$ is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of $Y$ containing $x$, so $K \subseteq X$.

For every topological space $A$ and point $x \in A$, we let $\text{cnt}(x, A)$ denote the connected component of $x$ in $A$. That is, $\text{cnt}(x, A) = \bigcup \{C \subseteq A : C$ is connected and $x \in C\}$. When $A$ is a subset of a topological space $X$, then $A$ is always given the subspace topology.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $X$ be a meager composant of a continuum $Y$. If $X$ is indecomposable and dense in $Y$, then:

i. every proper closed connected subset of $X$ is compact;

ii. $X$ is of the first category of Baire; and
iii. for every closed set $A \subseteq X$, the component decomposition $\mathfrak{A} := \{\text{cnt}(x, A) : x \in X\}$ is metrizable and zero-dimensional.\footnote{The set $\mathfrak{A}$ is given the quotient topology; $\mathfrak{U}$ is open (closed) in $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\bigcup \mathfrak{U}$ is open (closed) in $A$. We say that a space is \textit{zero-dimensional} if it has a basis of clopen (closed-and-open) sets.}  

\textbf{Proof.} Suppose $X$ is indecomposable and $\overline{X} = Y$.

(i): Let $C$ be a proper closed connected subset of $X$. Then $C$ is nowhere dense by indecomposability of $X$, so $\overline{C}$ is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of $Y$. By Proposition 2.2, $\overline{C} \subseteq X$, so $\overline{C} = \overline{C} = C$ is compact.

(ii): Let $x \in X$. Let $\{U_n : n < \omega\}$ be a basis for $X \setminus \{x\}$ consisting of non-empty open sets. Clearly $X \supseteq \bigcup \{\text{cnt}(x, X \setminus U_n) : n < \omega\}$. Conversely, let $x' \in X$. Since $X$ is a dense meager component of $Y$, there is a continuum $L \supseteq \{x, x'\}$ which is a nowhere dense subset of $X$. There exists $n < \omega$ such that $L \cap U_n = \emptyset$. Then $x' \in \text{cnt}(x, X \setminus U_n)$. This shows $X \supseteq \bigcup \{\text{cnt}(x, X \setminus U_n) : n < \omega\}$. Thus $X = \bigcup \{\text{cnt}(x, X \setminus U_n) : n < \omega\}$.

Each $\text{cnt}(x, X \setminus U_n)$ is nowhere dense and nowhere dense by indecomposability of $X$.

(iii): Let $A \subseteq X$ be closed. If $A = X$, then $\mathfrak{A} = \{X\}$ is clearly metrizable and zero dimensional. Now assume $A \neq X$. Let $\varphi : A \to \mathfrak{A}$ be the canonical epimorphism defined by $\varphi(x) = \text{cnt}(x, A)$. To prove $\mathfrak{A}$ is metrizable, it suffices to show $\varphi$ is perfect [5, Theorem 4.2.13]. Well, each member of $\mathfrak{A}$ is compact by Proposition 2.3. It remains to show $\varphi$ is closed.

Let $C \subseteq A$ be closed. To prove $\varphi[C] = \{A' \in \mathfrak{A} : A' \cap C = \emptyset\}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{A}$ we must show $\bigcup \varphi[C]$ is closed in $X$. To that end, suppose $x \in \bigcup \varphi[C]$. There exists $(x_n) \in (\bigcup \varphi[C])^\omega$ such that $x_n \to x$. For each $n < \omega$ let $A_n = \text{cnt}(x_n, A)$.

Case 1: A subsequence of $(A_n)$ has connected union. Let $(A_{n_k})$ be a subsequence whose union is connected. Then by maximality of the connected component $A_{n_0}$ we have $x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k} = A_{n_0}$ for each $k < \omega$. Since $A_{n_0}$ is closed we have $x \in A_{n_0} \subseteq \bigcup \varphi[C]$.

Case 2: No subsequence of $(A_n)$ has connected union. By compactness of the hyperspace $K(Y)$ [13, Corollary 4.13], the sequence $(A_n) \subseteq (K(Y))^\omega$ has an accumulation point $M \in K(Y)$.\footnote{Here $K(Y)$ is the set of non-empty compact subsets of $Y$ equipped with the Vietoris topology [5, 2.7.20]. For metrizable $Y$, the Vietoris topology coincides with the topology generated by any Hausdorff metric [5, 4.5.23].} Necessarily, $x \in M \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $M$ is a continuum [13, Corollary 4.18]. $M$ is also nowhere dense in $Y$. For suppose otherwise that $M \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Omega := \{n < \omega : A_n \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite. By hypothesis $\Omega := \bigcup \{A_n : n \in \Omega\}$ is not connected. So there are $Y$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $U \cap \Sigma = \emptyset, V \cap \Sigma = \emptyset, U \cup V = X$, and $\Sigma \subseteq U \cap V$. The Vietoris open set $\{K \subseteq K(Y) : K \cap U \cap M \neq \emptyset \text{ and } K \cap V \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ contains $M$ as an element but has empty intersection with $A_n$.

This is a contradiction. Therefore $M \cap A = \emptyset$, i.e. $M$ is nowhere dense. By Proposition 2.2, $M \subseteq A$. Further, $M \cap C = \emptyset$ (otherwise, letting $W$ be a $Y$-open such that $W \cap X = X \setminus C$ we find that $M \subseteq W$ yet $W$ contains no $A_n$ because $A_n \cap C \neq \emptyset$). Since $M \subseteq \bigcup \varphi[C]$, this implies $\bigcup \varphi[C]$. So $x \in \bigcup \varphi[C]$.

In each of the two possible cases we found $x \in \bigcup \varphi[C]$. Therefore $\bigcup \varphi[C] = \bigcup \varphi[C]$, so that $\varphi[C]$ is closed in $\mathfrak{A}$. We conclude that $\varphi$ is perfect, so $\mathfrak{A}$ is metrizable.

Next we will represent $\mathfrak{A}$ as a countable sum of closed zero-dimensional subspaces. To that end, let $U$ be an open subset of $Y$ such that $X \setminus U = A$. By Proposition 2.3.3 there exists $y \in U \setminus X$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$, and for each $n < \omega$ put $W_n = B(y, \varepsilon/2^n)$. Fix $x' \in A$.

For each $x \in A$ there is a nowhere dense continuum $L \subseteq X$ such that $\{x, x'\} \subseteq L$. Since $y \notin L$ there exists $n < \omega$ such that $W_n \cap L = \emptyset$. Then $x \in \text{cnt}(x', X \setminus W_n)$. This shows

$$A \subseteq \bigcup \{\text{cnt}(x', X \setminus W_n) : n < \omega\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We also claim that

$$\text{cnt}(x, A) = \text{cnt}(x, K_n) \text{ for every } x \in K_n := \text{cnt}(x', X \setminus W_n) \cap A. \tag{2.2}$$

Well, suppose $x \in K_n$. Then $\text{cnt}(x, A) \supseteq \text{cnt}(x, K_n)$ because $A \supseteq K_n$. Conversely, by the fact $\text{cnt}(x, A) \subseteq \text{cnt}(x, X \setminus W_n) = \text{cnt}(x', X \setminus W_n)$ we have

$$\text{cnt}(x, A) = \text{cnt}(x, \text{cnt}(x, A) \cap A) \subseteq \text{cnt}(x, \text{cnt}(x', X \setminus W_n) \cap A) = \text{cnt}(x, K_n).$$

For each $n < \omega$ let $\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\text{cnt}(x, K_n) : x \in K_n\}$ be the component decomposition of $K_n$. By (2.1) and (2.2)
where we have
\[ \mathfrak{A} = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{A}_n : n < \omega \} . \]

Endow the sets \( \mathfrak{A} \) and \( \mathfrak{A}_n \) with the quotient topologies relative to \( A \) and \( K_n \), respectively, and observe that each \( \mathfrak{A}_n \) is a subspace of \( \mathfrak{A} \). If \( \mathcal{G} \) is any subset of \( \mathfrak{A}_n \), then:

\[ \mathcal{G} \text{ is closed in } \mathfrak{A}_n \iff \bigcup \mathcal{G} \text{ is closed in } K_n \]
\[ \iff \bigcup \mathcal{G} \text{ is closed in } A \]
\[ \iff \mathcal{G} \text{ is closed in } \mathfrak{A} . \]

The first equivalence is the definition of the quotient topology on \( \mathfrak{A}_n \). The second equivalence holds because \( K_n \) is a closed subset of \( A \). The third holds by the inclusion \( \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \) and the definition of the quotient topology on \( \mathfrak{A} \).

Compactness of \( K_n \) (Proposition 2.3.i) implies that \( \mathfrak{A}_n \) is compact and zero-dimensional [5, Theorem 6.2.24]. Thus, \( \mathfrak{A} \) is a separable metrizable union of countably many closed zero-dimensional subspaces. By [7, Theorem 1.3.1], \( \mathfrak{A} \) is zero-dimensional. □

The next proposition shows that singularity of dense meager composites can be expressed using various familiar properties of connected sets.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( X \) be a meager composant of a continuum \( Y \). If \( X \) is dense in \( Y \), then the following are equivalent:

i. \( X \) is indecomposable;

ii. \( X \) is strongly indecomposable;

iii. there exists \( y \in Y \) such that \( X \) is singular with respect to \( y \);

iv. \( Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset \) and \( X \) is singular with respect to each point of \( Y \setminus X \);

v. there exists \( y \in Y \) such that the connected set \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is irreducible;

vi. \( Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset \) and \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is irreducible for every \( y \in Y \);

vii. \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is indecomposable for every \( y \in Y \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \overline{X} = Y \). First we will show (ii)⇒(i)⇒(vi)⇒(v)⇒(iii)⇒(i)⇒(ii), establishing the equivalence of all items other than (iv) and (vii). Then, to incorporate (iv) and (vii) we will prove (vi)⇒(vii)⇒(i) and (vi)⇒(iv)⇒(iii).

(ii)⇒(i): Fairly obvious; see the second paragraph of [9, Section 2].

(i)⇒(vi): Suppose \( X \) is indecomposable. Then \( Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset \) by Proposition 2.3.ii. Let \( y \in Y \setminus X \) and fix \( x \in X \). For a contradiction suppose \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is reducible between \( x \) and \( y \). Let \( C \supseteq \{ x, y \} \) be a proper closed connected subset of \( X \cup \{ y \} \). By Proposition 2.3.iii the decomposition of \( C \cap X \) into connected components is metrizable and zero-dimensional, so there is a decreasing sequence of \( (C \cap X) \)-clopen sets \( E_0 \supseteq E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \ldots \) such that \( \text{cnt}(x,C \cap X) = \bigcap \{ E_n : n < \omega \} \). Each \( E_n \cup \{ y \} \) is connected, therefore \( K := \bigcap \{ E_n \cup \{ y \} : n < \omega \} \) is the intersection of a decreasing sequence of continua. Then \( K \) is a continuum and \( \{ x, y \} \subseteq K \). Since \( X \) is a meager composant, \( K \) has non-empty interior. That is, there is a non-empty \( Y \)-open set \( U \) such that \( U \subseteq \overline{E_n} \setminus \{ y \} \) for each \( n < \omega \). Then \( U \cap X \subseteq \overline{E_n} \setminus \{ y \} \cap X = E_n \) for each \( n < \omega \). So \( \text{cnt}(x,C \cap X) \), which is a proper closed connected subset of \( X \), contains the non-empty \( X \)-open set \( U \cap X \). This contradicts indecomposability of \( X \). Therefore \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is irreducible (between \( x \) and \( y \)).

(vi)⇒(v): Trivial.

(v)⇒(iii): Suppose \( y \in Y \) is such that \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is irreducible. By the proof of Proposition 2.3.ii \( X \) is reducible, so there exists \( x \in X \) such that \( X \cup \{ y \} \) is irreducible between \( x \) and \( y \). Let \( C \) be any connected subset of \( X \) such that \( y \in \overline{C} \). If \( \overline{C} \neq X \), then \( C \) could be enlarged to a proper closed connected subset of \( X \) containing \( x \). This would violate irreducibility between \( x \) and \( y \). Therefore \( \overline{C} = X \), and \( X \) is singular with respect to \( y \).

(iii)⇒(i): Proposition 2.1.
(i)⇒(ii): Suppose $X$ is indecomposable. Toward showing $X$ is strongly indecomposable, let $U$ and $V$ be non-empty disjoint open subsets of $X$. We will exhibit a relatively clopen subset of $X \setminus U$ which intersects $V$ but does not contain $V$. Well, by indecomposability of $X$ there are two connected components $A_0 \neq A_1$ of $X \setminus U$ such that $A_0 \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $A_1 \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The component decomposition of $X \setminus U$ is zero-dimensional by Proposition 2.3.iii. So in $X \setminus U$ there is a clopen set which contains $A_0$ and misses $A_1$.

(vi)⇒(vii): For a contradiction suppose (vi) and the negation of (vii). Let $y \in Y$ be such that $X \cup \{y\}$ is decomposable. Let $H$ and $K$ be proper closed connected subsets of $X \cup \{y\}$ such that $H \cup K = X \cup \{y\}$. We have already established (vi)⇒(i), so $y \in (H \cap K) \setminus X$. For each $x \in X$ one of the sets $H$ and $K$ will show that $X \cup \{y\}$ is reducible between $x$ and $y$. Since $X$ is reducible this contradicts (vi).


\[\Box\]

Remark 2. Regarding (i)⇒(ii), it remains an open problem to determine whether there is an indecomposable connected set which is not strongly indecomposable, but we suspect there is such an example. Some variations of this problem appear in [9, Section 5].

In [9, Example 1] there was shown to be a locally compact indecomposable connected plane set whose one-point compactification is decomposable. On the other hand, Mary Ellen Rudin [17] proved: If $X$ is any connected plane set and $Y$ is the plane closure of $X$, then (i)⇒(vii). We noticed that Rudin’s proof could be dramatically simplified if every indecomposable connected plane set were known to be strongly indecomposable. Thus, proving (i)⇒(ii) in general or just for connected plane sets could be difficult.

The implication (i)⇒(v) holds more generally when $X$ is any connected set and $Y$ is any compactification of $X$ [10, Theorem 3]. But (i)⇒(vi) is generally false by the example mentioned in the previous paragraph.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose $X$ is a singular dense meager composant of a continuum $Y$. We will construct an indecomposable continuum $Z$ with a composant homeomorphic to $X$.

By strong indecomposability of $X$ (Proposition 2.4) there is a homeomorphic embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^{\omega}$ such that $I := \overline{\iota(X)}$ is an indecomposable continuum [9, Theorem 9]. Let $\Gamma : Y \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^{\omega}$ be a homeomorphic embedding of $Y$, and put $\gamma := \Gamma \upharpoonright X$. Let $\pi_n : [0, 1]^{\omega} \to [0, 1]$ be the $n$-th coordinate projection. According to [6, Exercise 1.7.C] and also [7], there is a homeomorphic embedding $\xi : X \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^{\omega}$ such that all of the maps

$$\varphi_n := \pi_n \circ \iota \circ \xi^{-1} : \xi[X] \to [0, 1];$$

$$\psi_n := \pi_n \circ \gamma \circ \xi^{-1} : \xi[X] \to [0, 1]$$

continuously extend to

$$Z := \overline{\xi[X]},$$

and $\dim(Z) = \dim(X)$. For each $n < \omega$ let $\Phi_n : Z \to [0, 1]$ and $\Psi_n : Z \to [0, 1]$ be the continuous extensions of $\varphi_n$ and $\psi_n$, respectively. Define $\Phi : Z \to [0, 1]^{\omega}$ by $\pi_n \circ \Phi = \Phi_n$, and likewise for $\Psi$. Then $\Phi$ maps onto $\Gamma[I]$ and $\Psi$ maps onto $\Gamma[Y]$. Observe that $\Phi \upharpoonright \xi[X] = \iota \circ \xi^{-1}$ and $\Psi \upharpoonright \xi[X] = \gamma \circ \xi^{-1}$ are homeomorphisms. Together with (3.1) we have

$$\Phi^{-1}[\iota[X]] = \xi[X];$$

$$\Psi^{-1}[\gamma[X]] = \xi[X].$$

$Z$ is indecomposable: By (3.1) and (3.2), $\Phi$ maps proper subcontinua of $Z$ onto proper subcontinua of $\overline{\iota(X)}$. Therefore, indecomposability of $I$ implies $Z$ is indecomposable. For if $Z$ were the union of two proper subcontinua $H$ and $K$, then $I$ would be the union of proper subcontinua $\Phi[H]$ and $\Phi[K]$. $\xi[X]$ is contained in a composant of $Z$: By Propositions 2.1 and 2.3.ii we know $\xi[X] \neq Z$. Since $X$ is also continuum-wise connected, $\xi[X]$ is contained in a composant of $Z$. $\xi[X]$ contains a composant of $Z$: Since $Z$ is indecomposable, it suffices to show $\xi[X]$ contains a meager composant of $Z$. To that end, let $x \in X$, and let $N \ni \xi(x)$ be a nowhere dense subcontinuum of $Z$. We need to show $N \subseteq \xi[X]$. First, observe that $\Psi[N]$ is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of $\Gamma[Y]$. For otherwise, $\Psi[N]$ contains a $\gamma[X]$-open set $U \neq \emptyset$. By (3.3), $N$ contains the non-empty $\xi[X]$-open set $\xi \circ \gamma^{-1}[U]$. Since $N$ is closed in $Z$ and (3.1) holds, this implies $N$ has non-void interior in $Z$, a contradiction. Thus $\Psi[N]$ is nowhere dense. Since $\gamma[X]$ is a meager composant of $\Gamma[Y]$ we have $\Psi[N] \subseteq \gamma[X]$. By (3.3), $N \subseteq \xi[X]$. 

6
The composants of $Z$ are pairwise disjoint, so the two containments show that $\xi[X]$ is equal to a composant of $Z$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

![Figure 2: Commutative diagrams for $\Phi$ and $\Psi$.](image)

**Remark 3.** Define $f = \Gamma^{-1} \circ \Psi$ for a surjection $f : Z \to Y$ such that $f[\xi[X]] = X$. By monotone-light factorization [13, Theorem 13.3], $f$ is equal to a monotone mapping of $Z$ onto some continuum $M$, followed by a surjective mapping $l : M \to Y$ such that $l^{-1}\{y\}$ is totally disconnected for every $y \in Y$. We see that $M$ is also an indecomposable continuum containing $l^{-1}[X] \simeq X$ as a composant.

4. **Proof of Corollary 1.2**

If continuum $Y$ has a singular dense meager composant, we have shown that a continuum $Z$ maps onto $Y$ so that each meager composant of $Y$ contains the image of a composant of $Z$. Each composant of $Z$ is dense, therefore each meager composant of $Y$ is dense.

**Remark 4.** We now see that if $Y$ is a continuum with a singular dense meager composant, then $Y$ has at least two (disjoint) dense meager composants. In particular, for each $x \in Y$ there exists $y \in Y$ such that the union of all continua in $Y \setminus \{x\}$ containing $y$ is dense in $Y$. This is enough to imply $Y$ is indecomposable if $Y$ is chainable [3, Corollary 3.6].

5. **Proof of Corollary 1.3**

We prove only the non-standard implication.

Suppose $X$ is a singular dense meager composant of the graph-like continuum $Y$. To show $Y$ is indecomposable, by [11, Theorem 32] it suffices to show there is a sequence $(X_i)$ of pairwise disjoint continua in $Y$ such that $d_H(X_i, Y) \to 0$, where $d_H$ is the Hausdorff distance induced by a metric $d$ on $Y$. It is unknown whether $Y$ must have infinitely many meager composants (see Question 1 in Section 7), but in any case the continua $X_i$ can be selected from $X$.

Identify $X$ with a composant of an indecomposable continuum $Z$ which maps onto $Y$ (Theorem 1.1). Let $d'_H$ be the Hausdorff metric generated by a metric $d'$ on $Z$, and define $d'_H(z, A) = \inf\{d'(x, z) : z \in A\}$ for each $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq Z$. Let $z \in Z \setminus X$, and let $(x_n) \in X^\omega$ such that $x_n \to z$. Recursively define $X_i$ as follows. There exists a positive integer $n_1$ such that $d'(x_0, x_{n_1}) > 1/n_1$ and $d'_H(\text{cnt}(x_{n_1}, Z \setminus B_{d'}(x_0, 1/n_1)), Z) < 1$. If there were no such integer, then ‘boundary bumping’ [5, Lemma 6.1.25] and compactness of the hyperspace $K(Z)$ would reveal a proper subcontinuum of $Z$ containing both $x_0$ and $z$. Put $X_0 = \{x_0\}$ and $X_1 = \text{cnt}(x_{n_1}, Z \setminus B_{d'}(x_0, 1/n_1))$. Suppose $i > 1$ and $X_j \subseteq X$ has been defined for each $j < i$. No proper subcontinuum of $Z$ containing $z$ also meets the compact set $X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_{i-1}$, so there is a sufficiently large integer $n_i$ such that $d'_H(\text{cnt}(x_{n_i}, X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_{i-1}), Z) > 1/n_i$ and

$$d'_H(\text{cnt}(x_{n_i}, Z \setminus B_{d'_H}(X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_{i-1}, 1/n_i)), Z) < 1/i.$$  

Let $X_i = \text{cnt}(x_{n_i}, Z \setminus B_{d'_H}(X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_{i-1}, 1/n_i))$.

The terms of the sequence $(X_i)$ are pairwise disjoint continua in $X$, and $d'_H(X_i, Z) \to 0$. Since $Z$ maps continuously onto $Y$, we have $d_H(X_i, Y) \to 0$. 

\[ \square \]
6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $X$ be a meager composant of a continuum $Y$.

If $Y$ is indecomposable, then by elementary continuum theory $X$ is both a composant of $Y$ and a singular dense filament subset of $Y$.

Now suppose $X$ is a singular dense filament set. Let $x \in X$, and let $A \subseteq Y$ be a minimal non-filament subcontinuum containing $x$ provided by [14, Corollary 1.13]. Since $X$ is a filament set there exists $y \in A \setminus X$. Let $C$ be the composant of $x$ in $A$. Then $C \subseteq X$ by minimality of $A$ and the fact that filament subcontinua are nowhere dense. Further $\overline{C} = A$ [13, Exercise 5.20], so $y \in \overline{C}$. By Proposition 2.4, $X$ is singular with respect to $y$, so $\overline{C} = X$. By density of $X$ in $Y$ we have $\overline{C} = Y$. Therefore $A = Y$, so $Y$ is indecomposable.

7. Questions

**Question 1.** Let $Y$ be a continuum with a singular dense meager composant. Must $Y$ have at least three meager composants?

Compare Question 1 with [12, Problem 8.8] on whether there is a continuum $Y$ with a point $x$ such that the meager composants of $Y$ are $\{x\}$ and $Y \setminus \{x\}$. A counterexample to Question 1 would also have exactly two meager composants; a dense first category $F_\sigma$-set and its complement, a dense $G_\delta$-set. This $G_\delta$ would not be $F_\sigma$, contrary to [12, Conjecture 8.4]. Therefore, we conjecture a positive answer to Question 1.

We would like to know if there is a homogeneous example like $\mathbb{P}$.

**Question 2.** Is there a decomposable homogeneous continuum with singular dense meager composants?

Analogous questions for filament composants are also of interest.

**Question 3.** Is there a decomposable continuum with a singular dense filament composant?

**Question 4.** Is every homogeneous continuum with singular dense filament composants necessarily indecomposable?

The next section contains some results toward answering Question 4 in the affirmative.

8. Filament singularities in filament additive homogeneous continua

Here we will prove Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6, and show that Question 4 is related to a question of Prajs & Whittington. Throughout this section, $Y$ is assumed to be a filament additive homogeneous continuum with dense filament composants.

For each point $x \in Y$ let $\text{fcs}(x)$ denote the filament composant of $x$. Let

$$\text{sng}(x) = \{y \in Y : (\forall \text{ connected } C \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \text{ with } x \in C)(y \in \overline{C} \Rightarrow \overline{C} = Y)\}$$

be the set of filament singularities of $x$. The first proposition shows that $\text{fcs}(x)$ is singular (as defined in Section 1) if and only if $\text{sng}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

**Proposition 8.1.** If $x' \in \text{fcs}(x)$, then $\text{sng}(x) = \text{sng}(x')$.

**Proof.** Let $x' \in \text{fcs}(x)$. Let $L \supseteq \{x, x'\}$ be a filament subcontinuum. If $C \ni x$ is any connected subset of $\text{fcs}(x)$ such that $\overline{C} \neq Y$, then $C \cup L \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) = \text{fcs}(x')$ is a connected set containing $x'$, and $\overline{C} \cup L \neq Y$. This shows $Y \setminus \text{sng}(x) \subseteq Y \setminus \text{sng}(x')$. Likewise, $Y \setminus \text{sng}(x') \subseteq Y \setminus \text{sng}(x)$.

Therefore $\text{sng}(x) = \text{sng}(x')$. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.** $\text{fcs}(x)$ is singular if and only if $\text{fcs}(x)$ is indecomposable.$^6$

---

$^6$Similar to Proposition 2.4 (i)$\iff$(iii).
Proof. Suppose \( \text{fcs}(x) \) is indecomposable. Let \( \{ U_n : n < \omega \} \) be a basis of non-empty open sets for \( Y \setminus \{ x \} \). Then each \( \text{cut}(x, \text{fcs}(x) \setminus U_n) \) is nowhere dense, so there exists

\[
y \in Y \setminus \bigcup \{ \text{cut}(x, \text{fcs}(x) \setminus U_n) : n < \omega \}.
\]

Every non-dense connected subset of \( X \) is contained in some \( \text{cut}(x, \text{fcs}(x) \setminus U_n) \) by the proof of Proposition 8.1 and density of \( \text{fcs}(x) \) in \( Y \). So \( y \in \text{sng}(x) \) and \( \text{fcs}(x) \) is singular. Conversely, if \( \text{fcs}(x) \) is singular in \( Y \) then it is indecomposable by Proposition 2.1.

A subcontinuum \( A \subseteq Y \) is ample if \( \text{cut}(A, U) \) is a neighborhood of \( A \) for each open set \( U \supseteq A \) [14]. In homogeneous continua, ample and non-filament are equivalent [14, Proposition 2.3]. Minimal ample subcontinua exist by [14, Corollary 1.13; also Corollary 2.5].

**Proposition 8.3.** Let \( A \) be a minimal ample subcontinuum of \( Y \). If \( A \neq Y \), then \( A \cap \text{sng}(x) = \emptyset \) for each \( x \in A \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \in A \). Let \( C \) be the composant of \( x \) in \( A \). Then \( C \) is connected, \( C \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \), and \( \overline{C} = A \). Thus \( A \neq Y \) implies \( A \cap \text{sng}(x) = \emptyset \).

**Proposition 8.4.** \( Y \) is indecomposable if and only if \( \text{fcs}(x) \cup \text{sng}(x) = Y \) for some (every) \( x \in Y \).

**Proof.** If \( Y \) is indecomposable then the equation holds for each \( x \) because the filament composants and traditional composants of \( Y \) coincide and partition \( Y \). Conversely, if \( Y \) is decomposable then there is a minimal ample subcontinuum \( A \neq Y \). Let \( x \in A \). Since \( \text{fcs}(x) \) is a filament set [15, Corollary 3.6], \( A \setminus \text{fcs}(x) \neq \emptyset \). Also, \( A \cap \text{sng}(x) = \emptyset \) by Proposition 8.3. Therefore \( A \setminus \{ \text{fcs}(x) \cup \text{sng}(x) \} \neq \emptyset \), whence \( \text{fcs}(x) \cup \text{sng}(x) \neq Y \). Since \( Y \) is homogeneous we have \( \text{fcs}(x) \cup \text{sng}(x) \neq Y \) for every \( x \in Y \).

To answer Question 4 in the affirmative, by Proposition 8.4 it suffices to show singular dense filament composants are singular with respect to all points in their respective complements. This was true for meager composants (Proposition 2.4) but remains unknown in the present setting.

We will next examine the non-singularity relation

\[
\neg \text{sng} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in Y^2 : y \notin \text{sng}(x) \}.
\]

Write \( \neg \text{sng}(x, y) \) for \( \langle x, y \rangle \in \neg \text{sng} \).

**Proposition 8.5.** \( \neg \text{sng} \) is an equivalence relation.

**Proof.** We need to show \( \neg \text{sng} \) is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- **Reflexive:** The standing assumption \( \text{fcs}(x) = Y \) implies \( \{ x \} \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \). Therefore \( \neg \text{sng}(x, x) \).

- **Symmetric:** Suppose \( \neg \text{sng}(y, x) \). We will show \( \neg \text{sng}(y, x) \). To that end, let \( C \ni x \) be a connected subset of \( \text{fcs}(x) \) such that \( y \in \overline{C} \neq Y \). Let \( p \in Y \setminus \overline{C} \), and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( B(p, 2\varepsilon) \cap \overline{C} = \emptyset \). For each \( n < \omega \), let \( \delta_n \) be a Effros number\(^7\) for \( \varepsilon/2^n \); let \( x_n \in C \) such that \( d(x_n, y) < \delta_n \); and let \( h_n : Y \to Y \) be a surjective homeomorphism such that \( h_n(x_n) = y \) and \( d(z, h_n(z)) < \varepsilon/2^n \) for all \( z \in Y \). The connected set \( E := \bigcup \{ h_n[C] : n < \omega \} \) shows \( \neg \text{sng}(y, x) \). Indeed, \( y \in E \), and \( E \subseteq \text{fcs}(y) \) by filament additivity and the fact that homeomorphisms respect filament composants. Further, \( x \in \overline{E} \) because \( h_n(x) \to x \), and \( E \cap B(p, \varepsilon) = \emptyset \). Therefore \( \neg \text{sng}(y, x) \).

- **Transitive:** Suppose \( \neg \text{sng}(x, y) \) and \( \neg \text{sng}(y, z) \). We will show \( \neg \text{sng}(x, z) \).

If \( \text{fcs}(x) \) is the union of two relatively closed connected proper subsets, then \( z \) lies in the \( Y \)-closure of at least one of the two sets. Thus \( \neg \text{sng}(x', z) \) for some \( x' \in \text{fcs}(x) \). Then \( \neg \text{sng}(x, z) \) by Proposition 8.1. Let us now assume the filament composants of \( Y \) are indecomposable. By \( \neg \text{sng}(x, y) \) there is a connected set \( C \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \) such that \( x \in C \) and \( y \notin \overline{C} \neq Y \). By \( \neg \text{sng}(y, z) \) and symmetry of \( \neg \text{sng} \), there is also a connected set \( D \subseteq \text{fcs}(z) \) such that \( z \in D \) and \( y \notin \overline{D} \neq Y \). Then \( \overline{C} \cup \overline{D} \) is nowhere dense by indecomposability of \( \text{fcs}(x) \) and \( \text{fcs}(z) \). So there exists \( q \in Y \setminus \overline{C} \cup \overline{D} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( B(q, 2\varepsilon) \cap \overline{C} \cup \overline{D} = \emptyset \). For each \( n < \omega \) let \( \delta_n \) be an Effros number for \( \varepsilon/2^n \). Let \( x_n \in C \cap B(y, \delta_n/2) \) and \( z_n \in D \cap B(y, \delta_n/2) \), so that \( d(x_n, z_n) < \delta_n \). Let \( h_n : Y \to Y \) be

---

\(^7\)If \( Y \) is a homogeneous continuum, then for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a positive number \( \delta \), called an Effros number for \( \varepsilon \), such that for each pair of points \( x \) and \( y \) with \( d(x, y) < \delta \) there is an onto homeomorphism \( h : Y \to Y \) such that \( h(x) = y \) and \( d(z, h(z)) < \varepsilon \) for each \( z \in Y \). This is called the Effros Theorem. It follows from the more general [4, Theorem 2].
a surjective homeomorphism such that \( h_n(z_n) = x_n \) and \( d(w, h_n(w)) < \varepsilon/2^n \) for all \( w \in Y \). The connected set \( C \cup \bigcup \{ h_n[D] : n < \omega \} \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \) witnesses \( \neg \text{sng}(x, z) \).

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Figure 3: Symmetry (left) and Transitivity (right) of } \neg \text{sng (Proposition 8.5).} \\
\end{array} \]

**Remark 5.** By Proposition 8.5, \( Y/\neg \text{sng} = \{ Y \setminus \text{sng}(x) : x \in Y \} \) partitions \( Y \) into pairwise disjoint sets. By Proposition 8.1 and symmetry of \( \text{sng} \), this partition is coarser than the partition of \( Y \) into filament composants. Likewise, each \( \text{sng}(x) \) is a union of filament composants.

**Remark 6.** Proposition 8.4 says \( Y \) is indecomposable if and only if \( Y/\neg \text{sng} = \{ \text{fcs}(x) : x \in Y \} \).

**Remark 7.** Question 4 asks whether \( |Y/\neg \text{sng}| > 1 \) implies \( Y \) is indecomposable.

Let us now restate and prove the last two items from Section 1.2.

**Theorem 1.5.** A homogeneous continuum \( Y \) is indecomposable if and only if \( Y \) is filament additive, filamentable, and has singular dense filament composants.

**Proof.** Suppose the homogeneous continuum \( Y \) is filament additive, filamentable, and has singular dense filament composants. Let \( L \) be a filament subcontinuum of \( Y \) such that \( Y \setminus L \) is a filament set. By singularity and Proposition 8.5, there exists \( x \in Y \) such that \( L \cap \neg \text{sng}[x] = \emptyset \). Then \( \neg \text{sng}[x] = \bigcup \{ C : C \subseteq \text{fcs}(x) \text{ is connected}, x \in C, \text{ and } C \neq Y \} \) is a continuum-wise connected filament set, so \( \neg \text{sng}[x] = \text{fcs}(x) \). By Proposition 8.4, \( Y \) is indecomposable.

**Corollary 1.6.** Let \( Y \) be a filament additive, filamentable, homogeneous continuum with dense filament composants. Then \( Y \) is indecomposable if and only if the filament composants of \( Y \) are indecomposable.

**Proof.** If the filament composants of \( Y \) are indecomposable, then \( Y \) is indecomposable by Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 1.5. Conversely, dense connected subsets of indecomposable continua are indecomposable.

**Remark 8.** Based on Proposition 8.3, the existence of one minimal ample subcontinuum of \( Y \) which meets two non-singularity classes would imply \( Y \) is indecomposable. Since each non-singularity class is a union of filament composants, a positive answer to the question of Prajs and Whittington below would imply a positive answer to Question 4 for filament additive continua.

[15, Question 7]: Let \( Y \) be a homogeneous filament additive continuum with dense filament composants. Does each ample subcontinuum of \( Y \) intersect every filament composant of \( Y \)?
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