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Abstract. Let \( C \) be an algebraic curve of genus \( g \geq 2 \) and \( M_L \) be the moduli space of rank 2 stable vector bundles on \( C \) whose determinants are isomorphic to a fixed line bundle \( L \) of degree 1 on \( C \). In [2], S. del Bano studied motives of moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles on \( C \) and computed the motive of \( M_L \). In this note, we prove that his result gives an interesting decomposition of the motive of \( M_L \). This motivic decomposition is compatible with a conjecture of M. S. Narasimhan which predicts semi-orthogonal decomposition of derived category of the moduli space.

1. Introduction

Let \( C \) be a smooth projective curve of genus \( g \geq 2 \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( M_L \) be the moduli space of rank 2 stable vector bundles on \( C \) whose determinants are isomorphic to a fixed line bundle \( L \) of degree 1 on \( C \). Let \( E \) be the Poincaré bundle on \( C \times M_L \). We denote by \( D(C) \) (resp. \( D(M_L) \)) the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on \( C \) (resp. \( M_L \)). Then \( E \) defines a functor \( \Phi_E : D(C) \to D(M_L) \) by \( \Phi_E(F) := Rp_{M_L*}(Lp_C^*(F) \otimes^L E) \), where \( F \) is an element in \( D(C) \) and \( p_C \) (resp. \( p_{M_L} \)) is the projection map from \( C \times M_L \) to \( C \) (resp. \( M_L \)). It was proved that \( \Phi_E \) is fully faithful for every smooth projective curve of genus greater than or equal to 2 in [3, 4, 8, 9]. Moreover the results in [8] imply that there is the following semi-orthogonal decomposition.

\[
D(M_L) = \langle \langle D(pt), D(pt), D(C) \rangle \rangle \perp \langle D(pt), D(pt), D(C) \rangle
\]

It is an interesting and important task to understand the semi-orthogonal component \( \langle D(pt), D(pt), D(C) \rangle \perp \). It was conjectured by M. S. Narasimhan that the derived category of \( M_L \) has a semi-orthogonal decomposition consisting of two copies of the derived category of point, two copies of the derived category of \( C \), \( \cdots \), two copies of the derived category of \( C^{(g-2)} \) and one copy of the derived category of \( C^{(g-1)} \), where \( C^{(n)} \) denotes the \( n \)-th symmetric power of \( C \).

Conjecture 1.1. The derived category of \( M_L \) has the following semi-orthogonal decomposition

\[
D(M_L) = \langle \langle D(pt), D(pt), D(C), D(C), \cdots, D(C^{(g-2)}), D(C^{(g-2)}), D(C^{(g-1)}) \rangle \rangle.
\]

Using del Bano’s work (cf. [2]) we found that the motive of \( M_L \) has the following interesting decomposition. Assuming some conjectures about derived categories and motives (cf. [10]), the following motivic decomposition is compatible with the above conjecture.
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Theorem 1.2. In any semisimple category of motives, there is the following isomorphism.

\[ h(M_L) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{g-2} h(C^{(k)}) \otimes (\mathbb{L} \otimes^k \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{3g-3-2k}) \oplus h(C^{(g-1)}) \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes^{g-1}. \]
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Notation. We will follow the notations in [2].

2. Motivic Decomposition

We refer [1, 2] for notations and backgrounds about motives. In [1], Bano proved the following motivic decomposition.

\[ h(C^{(n)}) = \bigoplus_{a+b+c=n} 1^\otimes a \otimes \lambda^b h^1(C) \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes^c \]

where \(a, b, c\) are nonnegative integers.

In [2], Bano proved the following motivic decomposition using works of Thaddeus.

Theorem 2.2. [2, Corollary 2.7] In any semisimple category of motives, there is the following isomorphism.

\[ h(M_L) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{g} \lambda^k h^1 C \otimes (1 \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{g-k-1}) \otimes (1 \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{2g-2k-2}) \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes^k. \]

The main observation of this note is the following.

Theorem 2.3. In any semisimple category of motives, there is the following isomorphism.

\[ h(M_L) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{g-2} h(C^{(k)}) \otimes (\mathbb{L} \otimes^k \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{3g-3-2k}) \oplus h(C^{(g-1)}) \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes^{g-1}. \]

Proof. It is enough to compare

\[ \bigoplus_{k=0}^{g} \lambda^k h^1 C \otimes (1 \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{g-k-1}) \otimes (1 \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L} \otimes^{2g-2k-2}) \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes^k \]
and
\[ g^{-2} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} h(C(k)) \otimes (L^g \otimes L^{g-2}) \otimes h(C^{(g-1)}) \otimes L^{g-1}. \]

Then it is enough to compare the coefficients of \( \lambda h C \) for both sides. It is easy to see that the coefficient of \( \lambda h C \) is
\[
g^{-2} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{g-2} \bigoplus_{a+c=k-i} (1 \otimes \lambda^a C \otimes L^{g-1}c) \otimes (L^k \otimes L^{g-2k}) \bigoplus_{c=0}^{g-1-i} (1 \otimes \lambda^a C \otimes L^{g-1}c) \otimes L^{g-1}.
\]

\[ = \lambda h C \otimes \bigoplus_{k=1}^{g-2} \bigoplus_{c=0}^{g-1-i} (L^k \otimes L^{g-2k+c}) \bigoplus_{c=0}^{g-1-i} L^{g-1+i} \]

\[ = \lambda h C \otimes \bigoplus_{k=1}^{g-1-i} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{g-1-i} (L^j \otimes L^{g-2j+i}) \bigoplus_{c=0}^{g-1-i} L^{g-1+i} \]

Therefore it remains to show that
\[
\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} (x^{j+c} + x^{3m-j+c}) + \sum_{c=0}^{m} x^{m+c} = \frac{1 - x^{m+1}}{1 - x} \cdot \frac{1 - x^{2m+2}}{1 - x^2}.
\]

Therefore we get the desired result. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.4.** The above motivic decomposition implies an interesting decomposition of Hodge diamond of \( M_L \).

It was also suggested by M. S. Narasimhan that the conjectural semi-orthogonal decomposition of derived category of \( M_L \) has a meaning in the homological mirror symmetry. Assuming some conjectures, it seems to imply an interesting decomposition of the (Karoubi completed) Fukaya category of \( M_L \). We have the following vague conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.5.** The Fukaya category of \( M_L \) has the following orthogonal decomposition.
\[
\text{Fuk}(M_L) = \langle \text{Fuk}(pt), \text{Fuk}(pt), \text{Fuk}(C), \text{Fuk}(C), \cdots, \text{Fuk}(C^{(g-2)}), \text{Fuk}(C^{(g-2)}), \text{Fuk}(C^{(g-1)}) \rangle
\]
This conjecture is compatible with results and conjectures in [5, 7, 11].
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