Quantum correlations in two-level atomic system over Herring-Flicker coupling
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We study the thermal quantum correlations in tripartite atomic system under the existence of Herring-Flicker (HF) coupling among the atoms. We explore two topologically distinct configurations of three coupled two-level atoms, viz., loop and line, differing in their coupling pattern. Further, the systems having asymmetric arrangement of atoms are quantum mechanically correlated more strongly than systems having symmetric arrangements. The variable nature of HF coupling leads to the increase of both concurrence and discord from zero to a saturation value from where they decrease to zero as a function of inter-atomic distance. Further separation leads to both the quantities attaining another saturation value. This controlled correlations play an important role in the design of quantum data buses that can transfer quantum states to establish quantum communication. The systems coupled via HF coupling will be efficient for this task as they are maximally entangled in parametrically controlled manner. Thus, these systems will be propitious for various quantum protocols such as secure communication, quantum cryptography, quantum key distribution etc.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement [1] and quantum discord [2, 3] are fascinating quantum correlations (QCs), which are salient features of quantum information processing. Varieties of algorithms have been developed based on these correlations for prime factorization [4], database search [5], phase estimation [6, 7], and linear equation solving [8], to name a few. The development of quantum algorithms [9] is an active area of research, presently being extended to domains like quantum cryptography [10], quantum key distribution [11], quantum communication, image processing, game theory machine learning [12], and many others [6]. Execution of various applications in a quantum computer requires an optimal architecture for which scientific community has been taking recourse to photonic systems, NMR, Bose-Einstein condensate, superconducting qubits, ion trap, ultra cold atoms, quantum dots, nitrogen defects in diamond [13], meta-materials based silicon architecture [14], to name a few. There are efforts to design important parts of the quantum computer, such as arithmetic logic unit [15, 16], quantum memory [17–19], and data buses [20, 21]. Quantum data bus is a very important component of a quantum network, used to transport data and supply it to various constituent units. One of the successful models of quantum data buses is spin chain [22–24]. Experimentalists have successfully demonstrated quantum teleportation, quantum state transfer through this, wherein QCs play an important role [25–27]. In the spin chains, entanglement manifest in various physical quantities, such as susceptibility, heat capacity [28] in a measurable manner [28–31].

The first analysis of thermal entanglement has been provided by Nielsen 1998 [6] using the two-qubit Gibbs quantum state. Multiparticle thermal entanglement has been exploited by Brukner and Vedral in 2004 [32] and by Toth in 2005 [33]. Experimentally thermal entanglement has been identified even at 100 Kelvin in high temperature superconductors [34–36]. In parallel, it is also important to investigate thermal behavior of quantum discord along with entanglement in spin chains as discord can be useful in certain quantum tasks [37–40]. There are extensive studies on thermal QCs in spin chains in varieties of configurations modeled with Heisenberg [41, 42] and Ising type interactions. There are a few investigations in which the coupling strength, \( \Omega_{ij} \), is a function of position. Because of the quantum fluctuations and thermal effects the coupling strength in quantum systems can vary with respect to distance among quantum particles in realistic situations. Scaling and controlling of QCs, energy of the systems and phase transition can be tuned by varying the distance and hence the coupling strength. This approach can be useful for quantum applications. Investigating the phenomenon of entanglement sudden death [43–48] over the varying coupling distance is also an interesting area.

The first attempt for the study of entanglement in the spin chain with long-range interactions has been done in 1988 by Haldane and Shastry [49, 50], in which the coupling strength follow the inverse square law. In the same direction, in XXZ Heisenberg spin chain with long-range interactions has been studied by Liu [51]. XX Heisenberg spin chain with Calogero Moser type interaction has been studied by XiaoSan [52]. Further, in 2005, Huang and Kais [53] have shown the dependence of entanglement on Herring-Flicker (HF) coupling distance of a XY spin chain. The HF coupling has been experimentally imple-
mented in designing the silicon based nuclear spin quantum computer [54, 55]. Recently, Sharma [56] has studied the dependence of thermal quantum discord and entanglement in XXX Heisenberg spin chain and has found a robust behaviour of quantum discord over the HF coupling distance. HF coupling arises from the differences of triplet and singlet configurations [57] as shown in Fig. 1:

\[ \Omega(R) = E_{\text{triplet}} - E_{\text{singlet}} = 0.821 e^{-2R} R^{5/2} + O(R^2 e^{2R}). \]

![Graph showing Herring-Flicker coupling as a function of inter-atomic distance.](Image)

\[ \text{FIG. 1. (Color online) The variation of Herring-Flicker coupling as a function of inter-atomic distance.} \]

Here \( R \) is the distance between spins or atoms. As a physical example, it plays an important role in determining the energy difference between triplet and singlet state of the Hydrogen molecule. Here, we investigate the impact of HF coupling on QCs in a tripartite system, equipped with three two-level atoms arranged in two topologically different configurations, such as line and loop configuration. It is important to mention that these topologies play an important role in designing data buses, spin star networks and studying the behavior of thermal pairwise QCs. It gives crucial insight into the quantum discord and entanglement with symmetric and asymmetric arrangement of atoms.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe the Hamiltonian of two-level atomic system coupled via Herring-Flicker coupling. Sec. III is devoted to the study of QCs in symmetric arrangement of atoms followed by Sec. IV which deals with the study of QCs in asymmetric arrangement of atoms. Finally, we conclude with a summary of results and direction for future research.

II. THEORY AND MODEL

We consider a tripartite system equipped with three identical atoms coupled via HF coupling. We divide the study into two phases, first we consider the symmetric arrangement of atoms and then proceed to asymmetric arrangement. Further, each arrangement is divided in to two inequivalent topologies, line topology and the ring topology. The Hamiltonian for the system of two-level atoms is given by

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{i} S_{i}^{z} + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{3} \Omega_{ij} S_{i}^{+} S_{j}^{-}. \]  

The first term describes the energy of isolated atom and the second term represents the dipole-dipole interaction between the ground state of one atom and the excited state of another atom, with \( \Omega_{ij} \) is the dipole-dipole interaction strength (HF coupling), which is a function of the inter-atomic separation \( R \). The nature of dipole-dipole interaction prohibits interaction between two atoms which are both in excited/ground state. In the above, \( S_{i}^{z} = (|1\rangle \langle 0|)_{i} \) and \( S_{i}^{-} = (|0\rangle \langle 1|)_{i} \) are the raising and lowering operators of the \( i^{th} \) atom in the spin representation. For simplicity, we consider the transition frequencies of all the three atoms to be the same, i.e., \( \omega_{A} = \omega_{B} = \omega_{C} = \omega \). The presence of the coupling, \( \Omega_{ij} \), between the atoms causes mixing of the energy levels leading to the creation of states with different correlations.

We now take into account the thermal effects, where, at finite temperature, the thermal density operator is given by

\[ \hat{\rho} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{8} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{i}}}{Tr \left( \sum_{i=1}^{8} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{i}} \right)}. \]  

Here \( |\psi_{i}\rangle \) is an eigenstate of Hamiltonian \( H \) with \( \epsilon_{i} \) its eigenvalue and \( \beta = \frac{1}{kT} \). For pairwise thermal entanglement, one can obtain the reduced density matrices \( \rho_{ij} \) by taking partial trace of \( \rho = \rho_{ijk} \) with respect to \( k \), given by

\[ \rho_{ij} = Tr_{k} (\rho_{ijk}). \]  

In the following subsections, we define the different measures of QCs.

A. Concurrence

Concurrence is a measure of entanglement [58] defined as,

\[ C = \max \{0, \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3} - \lambda_{3}\} \]  

where \( \lambda_{i} \)'s are the square root of the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix \( R = \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \) (or eigenvalues of Hermitian matrix \( R \equiv \sqrt{\hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \sqrt{\hat{\rho}}} \) in decreasing order with \( \hat{\rho} \)
\[ \tilde{\rho} = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \rho^* \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y. \] 

Here asterisk denotes the complex conjugate and \( \sigma_y \) is the Pauli matrix. The matrix \( \tilde{\rho} \) is known as spin flip matrix.

B. Quantum Discord

Quantum discord [2, 3] is defined as the difference between mutual information and classical correlation. The difference arises because of the role played by measurement on the system. It can easily be computed for specific reduced density matrices of the form X-type [59]. In the following sections, we investigate the behavior of QCs present in the symmetric and asymmetric arrangements of atoms, with each arrangement separated into line and loop configurations.

III. PAIRWISE CORRELATIONS: ASYMMETRIC ARRANGEMENT OF ATOMS

In this section, we introduce the schematic of arrangements of atom placed symmetrically along a line and on the vertices of an equilateral triangle, as depicted in Fig. 2. In the subsequent subsections, we investigate QCs present in the configurations shown below. The HF coupling between two atoms along with their inter-atomic distances is presented in respective subsections.

![Schematic diagram of atomic system](image)

**A. Line configuration**

In this configuration, a system of three identical two-level atoms are placed symmetrically along a line. The interaction between two atoms are as follows: \( \Omega_{AB} = \Omega_{BC} = 0.821 e^{-2R} R^{5/2} \) and \( \Omega_{AC} = 0.821 e^{-4R(2R)^{5/2}} \), since \( AB = BC = R \) and \( AC = 2R \). As depicted in Fig. 2(a), atoms are localized at positions A, B, and C, with equal spacing \( R \) between adjacent atoms.

![Concurrence of bipartite system AB and AC](image)

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Concurrence of bipartite system \( AB \) and \( AC \) is shown as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing for two different temperatures. Here atoms are placed symmetrically along a line.

![Quantum discord of bipartite system AB and AC](image)

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Quantum discord of bipartite system \( AB \) and \( AC \) is shown as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing for two different temperatures, with atoms placed symmetrically along a line.

Figs. 3 and 4 show three dimensional plot of concurrence and quantum discord, respectively, as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic distance for two
temperatures $T = 0.005$ and $T = 0.1$ with atoms arranged in line configuration, symmetrically. The amount of QCs reduces as transition frequency and temperature increase. Fig. 5 shows the comparison between entanglement and discord as a function of inter-atomic distance for distinct values of temperature and transition frequency. It is clear that the increment in $\omega$ reduces the width of plot along axis $R$. The reduction in amount entanglement, at high temperature, is due to transition of eigenstates from pure to mixed and finally to separable states. Also, at lower temperatures entanglement dominates discord while at high temperature behavior reverses. At high temperature, discord remain non-zero even if entanglement vanishes and sustains over long range of $R$.

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) QCs (concurrence blue and QD red) as a function of inter-atomic spacing for subsystem $AB$ for different transition frequencies and temperatures, with atoms symmetrically placed along a line.

**B. Loop configuration**

In this configuration, a system of three identical two-level atoms are placed on the vertices of an equilateral triangle, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). The coupling between atoms are, $\Omega_{AB} = \Omega_{BC} = \Omega_{AC} = 0.821 \ e^{-2R R^{5/2}}$.

Fig. 6 shows the variation of QCs for bipartite subsystem $AB$ as a function transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing for two temperatures $T = 0.005$ and $T = 0.10$ while Fig. 7 shows the two dimensional plot of concurrence and discord as a function of inter-atomic spacing for different transition frequencies and temperatures. From both the figures it is evident that increasing the temperature and transition frequency decreases the amplitude of QCs. The plot of QCs become narrower along $R$ with increasing the transition frequency. The amount of QCs diminishes due to transition of eigenstates from pure to mixed and finally to separable states. Actually, at higher temperatures, thermal fluctuation dominates over quantum one.

**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Concurrence and quantum discord of bipartite system $AB$ as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing between atoms at two different temperatures.

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) QCs (concurrence blue and QD red) for subsystem $AB$ of loop configuration as a function of inter-atomic distance for different temperatures and transition frequencies.

**IV. PAIRWISE CORRELATIONS: ASYMMETRIC ARRANGEMENT OF ATOMS**

In this section, we present the schematic of asymmetric arrangements of atoms placed along a line and on the vertices of triangles, as depicted in Fig. 8. Here, we consider isosceles triangle and scalene triangle as loop configuration. We have investigated the behavior of QCs present in the configurations shown in Fig. 8. The HF couplings between two atoms, along with inter-atomic distances be-
A. Line configuration

In this configuration, a system of three identical two-level atoms are placed asymmetrically along a line. The coupling between atoms are, \( \Omega_{AB} = 0.821 e^{-2R^2/2} \), \( \Omega_{BC} = 0.821 e^{-2gR^2(gR)^{5/2}} \), and \( \Omega_{AC} = 0.821 e^{-2 \left(1+g\right)B^2(1+g)R^{5/2}} \), considering \( AB = R \), \( BC = gR \), and \( AC = (1+g)R \). As depicted in Fig. 8(a), atoms have occupied positions \( A, B, \) and \( C \).

Figs. 9 and 10 show concurrence and discord, respectively, for bipartite subsystems \( AB, BC, \) and \( AC \) as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic distance for asymmetry parameter \( g = 1.50 \). The maximum value of entanglement and discord is close proximity to one at \( T = 0.005 \). The amplitude of QCs decrease with increasing transition frequency and temperature. Fig. 11 shows the comparison between entanglement and discord as a function of inter-atomic distance for different temperatures and transition frequencies. It displays that entanglement prevails discord at low temperature while discords exceeds entanglement at high temperature. The increase in the asymmetry parameter \( (g) \) leads to increase in amount of QCs (Fig. 11). The QCs sustains over long range of \( R \) for larger value of \( g \) than smaller value.
FIG. 11. (Color online) QCs (concurrency blue and QD red) as a function of inter-atomic spacing for subsystem \(AB\) with asymmetry parameter (a) \(g = 1.5\) and (b) \(g = 4.0\) for different transition frequencies and temperatures, clearly showing the increase of amount QCs with increasing \(g\).

B. Loop configuration

In this configuration, a system of three identical two-level atoms are placed on the vertices of isosceles triangle and scalene triangle. As shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), atoms are localized at positions \(A\), \(B\), and \(C\). We investigate the behavior of QCs present in these two configurations coupled via HF coupling.

1. Atoms are placed on vertices of an isosceles triangle

In this configuration, the interaction between atoms are, \(\Omega_{AB} = 0.821 \ e^{-2R_{5/2}}\) and \(\Omega_{BC} = \Omega_{AC} = 0.821 \ e^{-gR}(gR)^{5/2}\) with \(AB = R\) and \(AC = BC = gR\). Atoms are positioned on the vertices of an isosceles triangle, as can be seen in Fig. 8(b).

FIG. 12. (Color online) Concurrence for subsystems \(AB\) and \(AC\) is shown as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing for two different temperatures for asymmetry parameter \(g = 1.50\).

FIG. 13. (Color online) Quantum discord for subsystems \(AB\) and \(AC\) is shown as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing for two different temperatures for asymmetry parameter \(g = 1.50\).

Figs. 12 and 13 show the variation of concurrence and discord, respectively, for bipartite subsystem \(AB\) and \(AC\) as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing. As mentioned earlier, the amount of QCs reduces at higher temperatures and high \(\omega\). This reduction is due to dominance of thermal fluctuations over quantum one. The increase in transition frequency compresses the curve along \(R\). Fig. 14 shows the comparison between concurrence and discord as a function of \(R\) for several values of transition frequency and temperature. Fig. 14(a) shows the plot of QCs for asymmetry parameter \(g = 1.50\) while Fig. 14(b) shows for \(g = 2.50\). As \(g\) increases, the amount of entanglement and discord increases, and reaches to maximum value of one. The sustains over long range of \(R\) for large \(g\). Entanglement dominates over discord at low temperatures while dis-
cord does so over entanglement at high temperatures. Further, discord remains non-zero even in the absence of entanglement.

2. Atoms are placed on vertices of a Scalene triangle

In this configuration, the coupling between atoms are, $\Omega_{AB} = 0.821 e^{-2\Omega R^{5/2}}$, $\Omega_{AC} = 0.821 e^{-\Omega g_1 R (g_1 R)^{5/2}}$, and $\Omega_{BC} = 0.821 e^{-\Omega g_2 R (g_2 R)^{5/2}}$, as $AB = R$, $AC = g_1 R$, and $BC = g_2 R$, as depicted in Fig. 8(c).

Figs. 16 and 17 show the variation of amplitude of concurrence and discord, respectively, for bipartite subsystems $AB$, $BC$, and $AC$ as a function of transition frequency and inter-atomic spacing. The maximum value of QCs is found in the neighbourhood of one for $g_1 = 1.5$ and $g_2 = 2.50$ and unity for $g_1 = 1.80$ and $g_2 = 2.20$. Please note that one cannot change the value of $g_1$ and $g_2$ freely. The choice of values of $g_1$ and $g_2$ subject to condition of construction of triangle. The amount of QCs is more when the difference between the value of $g_1$ and $g_2$ is small, as it is evident from Figs. 14 and 15. Needless to say, the amount of QCs reduces at higher temperatures and transition frequencies. The increase in $\omega$ shortens the plot along $R$ as can be seen in Fig. 15. The variation of QCs with inter-atomic distance for distinct values of temperature and transition frequency is displayed in Fig. 15. One can see that the nature of entanglement and discord show almost identical behavior for all parameters. It is observed that discord persists up to high temperature and sustains over long range of $R$. 

FIG. 14. (Color online) QCs (concurrence blue and QD red) as a function of inter-atomic spacing for subsystem $AB$ with asymmetry parameter (a) $g = 1.5$ and (b) $g = 2.5$ for different transition frequencies and temperatures, clearly showing the increase of amount QCs with increasing $g$.

FIG. 15. (Color online) QCs (concurrence blue and QD red) as a function of inter-atomic spacing for subsystem $AB$ (a) $g_1 = 1.5$, $g_2 = 2.2$, and (b) $g_1 = 1.8$, $g_2 = 2.2$ for different transition frequencies and temperature, clearly showing the increase of amount QCs with increasing $g_1$ and $g_2$. 
V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have systematically investigated the thermal behavior of QCs for three particle atomic system over HF coupling. It is observed that arrangements of atoms play a significant role for the construction of maximally entangled systems. The systems having asymmetric arrangement of atoms are quantum mechanically correlated more strongly than systems having symmetric arrangements. Therefore, asymmetric arrangement of atoms must be favoured more over symmetric arrangement for various quantum protocols, such as secure communication, quantum internet, quantum cryptography, quantum key distributions, and design of quantum data buses, to name a few. At low temperatures, both concurrence and discord advance from zero to a saturation value from where they decrease to zero as a function of R. Further separation leads to both the quantities attaining another saturation value. It is worth emphasizing that this behavior arises from HF coupling and has not been observed in any physical systems. However, this structure disappears at higher temperatures. It is admitted that shareability of QCs vanishes for maximally entangled sources, as maximally entangled sources cannot share entanglement with third party C. Further, at lower temperatures, entanglement dominates over discord while at higher temperatures discord becomes more prominent than entanglement. The nature of entanglement and discord are almost same for all system parameters differing only in numerical value at very low temperature. Further, at high temperature, entanglement vanishes while discord persist in the system displaying the robustness of discord. Discord sustains over the large range of inter-atomic distance. These systems can be used as a source for emitting highly focused or superradiant light [60, 61].

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mithilesh K. Parit acknowledges Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India for providing the DST-INSPIRE fellowship during his stay at IISER Kolkata. Kapil K. Sharma acknowledges support from the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India, through the Centre of Excellence in Nano-Electronics, IIT Bombay.
