Kelvin knots in superconducting state
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The failed “vortex-atoms” theory of matter by Kelvin and Tait [1, 2] had a profound impact on mathematics and physics. Building on the understanding of vorticity by Helmholtz, and observing stability of smoke rings, they hypothesised that elementary particles (at that time atoms) are indestructible knotted vortices in luminiferous aether: the hypothetical ideal fluid filling the universe. The vortex-atoms theory identified chemical elements as topologically different vortex knots, and matter was interpreted as bound states of these knotted vortices. This work initiated the field of knot theory in mathematics. It also influenced modern physics, where a close although incomplete analogy exists with the theory of superfluidity, which started with Onsager’s and Feynman’s introduction of quantum vortices [3, 4]. Indeed many macroscopic properties of superconductors and superfluids are indeed determined by vortex lines forming different “aggregate states”, such as vortex crystals and liquids. While crucial importance of knots was understood for many physical systems in the recent years, there is no known physical realization of the central element of Kelvin theory: the stable particle-like vortex knot. Indeed, vortex loops and knots in superfluids and ordinary superconductors form as dynamical excitations and are unstable by Derrick theorem [5]. This instability in fact dictates many of the universal macroscopic properties of superfluids. Here we show that there are superconducting states with principally different properties of the vorticity: where vortex knots are intrinsically stable. We demonstrate that such features should be realised near certain critical points, where the hydro-magnetostatics of superconducting states yields stables vortex knots which behave similar to those envisaged in Kelvin and Tait’s theory of vortex-atoms in luminiferous aether.

Kelvin’s theory was falsified, when Michelson and Morley’s experiment ruled out the existence of aether. Yet the principle to associate vortices in some underlying field with “elementary particles” re-emerged in two important concepts in modern condensed matter physics: the particle-vortex duality and the interpretation of collective vortex states as “vortex matter”, most notably in superfluidity and superconductivity. These analogies follow developments of three paradigm-shifting concepts introduced in Onsager’s work on superfluids [3]. First concept was the observation that superfluid velocity circulation is quantized, hence vortices carry a quantized topological charge. Second observation was that rotation of a superfluid results in the formation of a lattice or a liquid of quantum vortices, i.e. the vortex matter realisation of crystals and liquids. The third crucial concept is that vortex matter controls many of the key responses of superfluids. For example, the superfluid to normal state phase transition is a thermal generation and proliferation of vortex loops and knots [3]. Subsequently this theory was put on firm theoretical grounds by Feynman [4]. Superconducting phase transition was demonstrated to be driven likewise by proliferation of vortex loops [6]. A remarkable analogy with Kelvin’s theory resides in the Berezinskii, Kosterlitz and Thouless theory of two-dimensional superfluids, where vortices with opposite circulations are mapped onto particles and antiparticles. In three dimensions the thermal and quench responses, and turbulent states are collective states of vortex loops and knots. However, the crucial difference with the Kelvin’s theory is that vortex loops and knots are intrinsically unstable, as follows from Derrick’s theorem [5]. This implies that an excited system forms vortex loops and knots which, however, tend to collapse as the kinetic energy of the superflow always decreases for a smaller loops or knots.

Research on models supporting stable knotted solitons has been of great interest in mathematics and physics after stability of these objects was found in the so-called Skyrme-Faddeev model [7–9] (for a review, see [10]). It was further observed that there exist a formal relation between Skyrme-Faddeev’s model and ostensibly unrelated, Ginzburg-Landau theories for multicomponent superconductors [11, 12]. Namely, two-component Ginzburg-Landau models can be mapped onto a Skyrme-Faddeev model coupled to an additional massive vector field. This observation motivated the conjecture that multicomponent superconductors may support stable knots. Detailed numerical studies however did not found stability [13]. The reasons for the instability were subsequently discussed both using physical estimates [14], and formal mathematical approach [15]. Despite different analytical arguments in favour of the (meta)stability [14, 16], and findings of the stability of knots in mathematical gen-
eralisations of Skyrme-Faddeev model coupled to gauge fields \[15, 17\], the prevalent opinion today is that knotted vortices are unstable in theories of superconductivity as in superfluids.

We demonstrate in this paper, that stable vortex knots exist in two-component superconducting states in a certain parameter range. Many of the superconducting states of interest today have multiple components, for various reasons: e.g. spin-triplet pairing, or nematic states (for recent examples see e.g. \[18, 19\]), or coexistence of superconductivity of electrons and nucleons \[20–23\]. A generic feature of multicomponent superconductors and superfluids is the existence intercomponent current-current interaction, also known as the Andreev-Bashkin effect \[24, 25\]. Namely, in superfluid mixtures of two components (labelled “1” and “2”), because of the intercomponent interaction between particles, the current of a given component \(j_{1,2}\) generically depends on the superfluid velocities \(v_{1,2}\) of both, as follows:

\[
j_1 = \rho_{11} v_1 + \rho_{12} v_2, \quad j_2 = \rho_{22} v_2 + \rho_{21} v_1.
\]

There, the coefficients \(\rho_{12}\) and \(\rho_{21}\) determine the fraction of the density of one of the superfluid component carried by the superfluid velocity of the other: i.e. the intercomponent drag. The drag coefficients \(\rho_{12}\) and \(\rho_{21}\) can be very large, for example, in spin-triplet superconductors and superfluids \[26\], Fermi-liquids mixtures \[20\] or strongly correlated systems \[27, 28\].

Two-component superconductors are described by a doublet \(\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^\dagger\), of complex fields \(\psi_a = |\psi_a| e^{i\phi_a}\) (with \(a = 1, 2\)), whose squared modulus \(|\psi_a|^2\) represent the density of individual superconducting components. Each of the components is coupled, via the gauge derivative \(D = \nabla + igA\), to the vector potential \(A\) of the magnetic field \(B = \nabla \times A\). Such a system is described by the Ginzburg-Landau free energy \(E = \int \mathcal{E} d\mathbf{r}\), whose density reads as:

\[
\mathcal{E} = \frac{B^2}{2} + \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{\gamma_a}{2} |D\psi_a|^2 + \sum_{a,b=1,2} \frac{\mu_{ab}}{2} \mathbf{J}_a \cdot \mathbf{J}_b
\]

\[
+ \nu (\Psi^\dagger \Psi - 1)^2 + V[\Psi, \Psi^\dagger], \tag{2a}
\]

where \(\mathbf{J}_a = \text{Im}(\psi_a^* D\psi_a) = |\psi_a|^2 (\nabla \phi_a + gA)\).

The terms \(\mu_{12} = \mu_{21}\) of the current coupling matrix \(\mu\), describe the intercomponent drag \[20, 24, 26–28\]. The total current, is the sum of the supercurrents in individual components, which have a similar structure as in (1). The first term in (2b) is responsible for the condensation of superconducting electrons such that, in the ground state, \(\Psi^\dagger \Psi \neq 0\). Many two-component superconducting states spontaneously break \(U(1) \times U(1), U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) or \(U(1)\) symmetries (see e.g. \[18, 19, 21–23\]). The corresponding symmetry breaking potential terms are collected in \(V[\Psi, \Psi^\dagger]\). For strongly type-II superconductors a good approximation is the constant-density (London limit), which is equivalent to \(\nu \to \infty\) in (2b). The results of this paper were verified to hold for a wide variety of the symmetry-breaking potential terms, whose detailed structure is described in the Methods section.

In two-component superconductors, the simplest vortices feature a \(2\pi\) phase winding only in one of the components, e.g. when on a close contour surrounding the vortex core \(\oint \nabla \varphi_1 \cdot d\ell = 2\pi\), while \(\oint \nabla \varphi_2 \cdot d\ell = 0\). These are called fractional vortices (for details, see e.g. \[25\]). Topologically nontrivial knotted vortex loops consist of linked or knotted loops of fractional vortices in each component. Like in Kelvin’s theory, there are infinitely many ways to knot and link such objects. Topological considerations imply that knotted vortices are characterized by an integer topological index \(Q\) (see e.g. discussions in Refs. \[7, 9–14\]). This index, which is conserved when fractional vortices in different components cannot cross each other, is defined as (see details in Methods):

\[
Q = -\frac{1}{12\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{abcd} \partial_a \varphi_i \partial_c \varphi_j \partial_b \varphi_k d\mathbf{r}, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\varepsilon = (\text{Re} \psi_1, \text{Im} \psi_1, \text{Re} \psi_2, \text{Im} \psi_2) / \sqrt{\Psi^\dagger \Psi}\), and \(\varepsilon\) is the Levi-Civita symbol. The index \(Q\) is always an integer, unless \(\Psi\) has zeros. The situation \(\Psi = 0\) can appear if cores of fractional vortices overlap. Transient vortex states characterised by such topological index are natural for two-component superfluids and were experimentally observed \[29\]. In superfluids, however such vortex knots represent non-stationary object: the vortex knots are unstable against shrinkage and the topological index vanishes when the loops shrink.

In order to investigate the existence of stable knotted vortices, in two-component superconductors, we performed numerical minimisation of the free energy functional (2), starting from various initial states of knotted and linked vortex loops. The numerical computations are related, in a way, to the relaxation processes of vortex tangles formed due thermal fluctuations or quench. Such three dimensional optimisation problem is a highly computationally demanding task, which we addressed with a code designed for GPUs (see Methods for details). Upon finding stable knotted solutions for various parameters of the model (2), a detailed investigation of solutions for various values of topological index \(Q\) was performed in the London limit where \(\Psi^\dagger \Psi = 1\) (see Methods for details). We confirm that typically for superconducting models, vortex knots are unstable. This agrees with the phenomenology of common superconducting materials, where, just like in superfluids, the vortex loops minimize their energy by shrinking. However we find that the properties of knotted vorticity become principally different, when the Andreev-Bashkin couplings \(\mu\) are substantially larger than usual gradient couplings \(\gamma_a\). Such a disparity between coefficients occurs near two kinds critical points. First is the phase transition to paired phases caused by
strong correlations [25, 27, 28]. There the ratio of the stiffnesses of counter- and co-flows of the two components vanishes: which implies that the superconductor acquires arbitrarily strong Andreev-Bashkin coupling by approaching close enough that critical point [28]. Second example is the phase transition to Fulde-Ferrel-Larkin-Ovchinnikov, where the coefficients $\gamma_a$ change signs (see e.g. [30]), while the Andreev-Bashkin interaction remains non-zero. Hence, even systems with relatively weak Andreev-Bashkin interactions $\mu_{ab}$ fulfill the above requirements of the disparity of the coefficients, sufficiently close to Fulde-Ferrel-Larkin-Ovchinnikov phase transition. We find that in such regimes, the energy minimization from entangled vorticity relaxes to stable vortex knots.

The detailed structure for two obtained stable vortex knots is displayed on Fig. 1, for a U(1) × U(1) superconductor where the parameters $\gamma_a = 0.02$ and $\mu_{ab} = 1$, correspond to a system in the vicinity of the above-mentioned phase transitions. Both topologically different solutions consist of linked and knotted loops of fractional vortices, which are visualized by the tubes corresponding to constant-density-isosurfaces around their cores. The energy density of knotted solutions is localized near the knot center, thus emphasizing that these objects are particle-like topological solitons (i.e. localised lumps of energy). The mechanism responsible for the stability of the solutions follows from the nontrivial scaling of magnetic field energy, produced by knotted currents. During the energy minimisation process that starts from a large vortex tangle, the solution first shrinks in order to minimise the kinetic energy of supercurrents. This en-
energy gain is eventually counterbalanced by the raise of magnetic field energy due to the knotted current configuration. By contrast a topologically trivial vortex loop that does not feature helical or knotted currents (such as a loop of a single fractional vortex) trivially shrinks to zero size.

Akin, to the picture envisaged in Kelvin’s theory, the superconducting states here support infinitely many stable solutions corresponding to topologically different ways to tie vortex knots. Figure 2 displays ten stable knotted vortex loops, with smallest topological indices $Q = 1-10$, in the case of a $U(1) \times U(1)$ superconductor. Animations showing the structure of knotted vortices, and their formation can be found in Supplementary Material. We obtained similar stable solutions for two-component models that break $SU(2)$, $U(1) \times Z_2$ and $U(1)$ symmetries. The last panel of Fig. 2 shows that the energy of knotted vortices scales with the topological charge as $E_Q \propto |Q|^{3/4}$. Remarkably the power law is similar to the Vakulenko-Kapitansky law which was derived for the solutions of the Skyrme-Faddeev model. Thus when increasing the topological index, knotted vortices minimize their energy by forming complex bound states of knotted and linked fractional vortices. This is again similar to the picture of matter formation envisaged in Kelvin’s atom theory. The solutions with $Q = 1-4$ consist of two linked fractional vortex loops twisted around each other different number of times. For $Q = 5$ the solution instead is a bound state of two pairs of linked fractional vortex loops. The $Q = 6$ knot consists of two linked trefoils knots. For

Figure 2 | Each panel shows stable knots with increasing topological index $Q=1-10$. They consist of two pictures; the lower image displays core structure where, as in Fig. 1, cyan and magenta tubes denote the positions of the core of the (fractional) vortices in each components. The upper image shows in addition to the vortex cores, a selection of magnetic field streamlines circulating within the knot. Note that when increasing the topological index, the structure of magnetic field streamlines becomes increasingly more complex. The last panel shows the dependence of the vortex knots energy $E_Q$, as a function the topological index $Q$. The curves labelled $SU(2)_{\text{iso}}$, $U(1) \times Z_2$, $U(1) \times U(1)$ and $U(1)$ correspond to knotted solutions in different models with various symmetry-breaking potentials, while the case $SU(2)_{\text{ani}}$ corresponds to a symmetry-breaking gradient terms.
higher topological indices $Q=7-10$, we find that topological structures of the vorticity in different components are inequivalent, the solutions thus forming “isomers”. For example the $Q=7$ knot features a fractional vortex in one component forming a trefoil knot, linked with two twisted fractional vortex loops of the other component. For each such isomer solution there is an energetically equivalent solution where the linked vorticity structure is interchanged between the components.

In conclusion, the vortex-atom theory of Kelvin identified chemical elements with knotted vortex loop in luminiferous aether. Remarkably, this theory has profound similarities, but also some important differences with the physics of superconductivity, developed a century later. In particular, the Meissner effect dictates that superconductors can carry magnetic fields and current only in a thin layer near their surface, unless they form quantum vortices. An external magnetic field create vortex lines that terminate on superconductor’s surface. The field-induced vortices form different collective states: lattices, liquids and glasses, all featuring distinct transport properties. To create a current in the bulk of a superconductor, in the absence of an external field, it is required to form a closed vortex loop. Closed loops form dynamically: e.g. due to quenches or thermal fluctuations. The crucial difference with Kelvin’s theory is that in ordinary superconductors vortex loops are not energetically stable. This intrinsic instability of a vortex loop determines the response of superconductors to an external magnetic field, their post-quench relaxation, and their critical properties. We demonstrated that under certain conditions the properties of vortex excitations in a superconductor change radically and knotted vortex loops become stable, akin envisaged in Kelvin theory. We find that this occurs in multicomponent superconductors, at least near certain critical points, for example in the vicinity of Fulde-Ferrel-Larkin-Ovchinnikov states. The energy associated with knotted vorticity starts increasing if vortex knots shrink beyond certain size. Moreover the knots form complicated bound states which is strikingly similar to the matter formation envisaged in Kelvin theory. This stability property of vorticity implies radically different hydro-magnetostatics, compared to ordinary superconductors. This opens-up further questions on the macroscopic properties of these states.
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METHODS

Calculation of topological index of vortex knots. Different vortex knots are characterised by an integer index/invariant \( Q \), associated with the topological properties of the maps \( S^3 \rightarrow S^3 \). To calculate that invariant, the superconducting order parameter field \( \Psi \) is cast into a 4-dimensional vector \( \zeta = (\Re \psi_1, \text{Im} \psi_1, \Re \psi_2, \text{Im} \psi_2) / \sqrt{\Re \Psi^2 + \Im \Psi^2} \). Note that for \( \zeta \) to be well defined, there should be no zeros of \( \Psi \), i.e. no overlap of the core centers of fractional vortices in both components. If cores of fractional vortices in different components can cross each other, it generates a point where \( \Psi = 0 \) and the topological index \( Q \) is not necessarily an invariant. Namely, it can discretely change from different integer values, when fractional vortices in different components cross each other. The non-crossing requirement is always satisfied in the constant total density limit, i.e. the London limit \( (\Re \Psi = \text{const}) \). Note that when knots are unstable, the invariant changes when they collapse to a size comparable with the numerical lattice size.

Finiteness of the energy implies that the superconductor should be in the ground state at spatial infinity. It follows that infinity is identified with a single field configuration (up to gauge transformations). Hence, the vector field \( \zeta(r) \) is a map from the one-point compactified space to the target 3-sphere \( \zeta : S^3 \rightarrow S^3 \). Maps between 3-spheres fall into disjoint homotopy classes, the elements of the third homotopy group \( \pi_3(S^3) \), which is isomorphic to integers: \( \pi_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z} \). Thereby, \( \zeta \) is associated with an integer number, the degree of the map \( \zeta : \text{deg} \zeta \), which counts how many times the target sphere \( S^3 \) is wrapped, while covering the whole \( S^3 \) space. Field configurations are thus characterised by the topological index \( Q := \text{deg} \zeta \) which is calculated using equation (3).

As discussed, for example, in [13, 31], the degree of \( \zeta \), \( \text{deg} \zeta \), is equal to the Hopf charge of the combined Hopf map \( h \circ \zeta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2 \). The formula (3) was used to calculate the topological invariant of the numerically stable knotted vortex configurations. It was found numerically to be an integer, with the accuracy of a few percent.

Numerical methods and details of the model. The free energy (2) features a potential term \( U = \nu(\Re \Psi^2 - 1)^2 \), responsible for the nonzero superconducting ground state \( \Re \Psi \\neq 0 \). It is supplemented by additional terms, which explicitly break global SU(2) symmetry of \( U \) down to different subgroups

\[
U[\Psi, \Psi^\dagger] = \nu |\psi_1|^2 |\psi_2|^2 + \eta (\psi_1 \psi_2^* + \psi_2^* \psi_1).
\]

The solutions were found for the parameters of kinetic term \( \gamma_a = 0.02 \) and of the current-coupling coefficients \( \mu_{ba} = 1 \) for \( a, b = 0, 1, 2 \) (except in the SU(2)_{\text{short}} case described below). The gauge coupling constant, used to parametrize the London penetration depth, was set to \( g = 1 \). In order to demonstrate that the existence of stable knotted vortices does not rely on a specific symmetry of the model, we performed computations for various representative symmetry breaking potentials: U(1): \( \sigma = -10^{-4}, \eta = -5 \times 10^{-5} \); U(1) \times U(1): \( \sigma = -10^{-4}, \eta = 0 \); U(1) \times SU(2): \( \sigma = -10^{-4}, \eta = 0 \); SU(2), iso.: \( \sigma = 0, \eta = 0 \); SU(2), ani.: for this particular case the ground state is invariant under SU(2) rotations, but the current-coupling coefficients were chosen to break that symmetry: \( \mu_{11} = \mu_{22} = 0.97 \) and \( \mu_{12} = \mu_{21} = 1 \). The symmetry-breaking parameters were chosen to be small to avoid important changes in the intrinsic length scales, and thus to prevent substantial changes of the solution size relative to the numerical lattice spacing. That allowed a quantitatively accurate comparison of knotted solutions for different symmetry-breaking potentials.

In our preliminary simulations, we considered various values of the coefficient \( \nu \). When stable vortex knots exit they have no zeros of total density. The stability properties of the solutions were typically better by increasing \( \nu \) (i.e. stronger type-II regimes). However, such a regime significantly inhibits the convergence of conventional methods of minimization. This phenomenon is directly related with a well-known disadvantage of penalty function method. Thus after finding stable solutions, with different indices \( Q \), in several simulations with variable total density, we reduced the size of the parameter space by focusing on the London limit where \( \Re \Psi^2 = 1 \) in order to systematically investigate the solutions with topological index ranging from \( Q = 1 \) to \( Q = 10 \).

For computationally efficient investigation of the London limit we used the “Atlas” method, which is based on an efficient navigation in the coordinate charts for the order parameter manifold, which here is an \( S^2 \). (for details of the method see Supplementary Material in Ref. [32]). Its advantage is that it automatically satisfies the constraint, being in conjunction with conventional unconstrained minimisation techniques.

The fields were discretized using a second-order accuracy finite-difference scheme, on an homogeneous cuboidal mesh with lattice spacing \( 0.5 \). The grid used consist on 160\(^3\) nodes for the solutions with \( Q \leq 7 \) and 224\(^3\) nodes, for higher topological charges. In order to ensure that the solutions are not artefacts of a finite simulation domain, we considered both ‘fixed’ and ‘free’ boundary conditions.

The energy was minimised using a nonlinear conjugate gradient (NCG) method with Polak-Ribiere-Polyak formula [33, 34]. We used a branched approach to standard NCG by separating all degrees of freedom into two sets. One associated with gauge degrees of freedom \( A \), and another with the superconducting degrees of freedom \( \psi_3 \). Accordingly, the conventional linear search routine for NCG method was replaced by two-dimensional search. By separating the numerical degrees of freedom according to their physical nature, this approach significantly accelerates the convergence speed of the algorithm. The termination criterion for convergence was chosen according to [35] with a function tolerance \( \tau_P = 10^{-10} \).

The algorithm was parallelized for NVIDIA CUDA-enabled graphics processor units. Calculations were performed on a set of two video cards with microprocessors GP102-350-K1-A1. In order to achieve maximum performance, most computations were realized using single-precision floating-point format (32 bits). To offset the truncation errors from the single-precision arithmetic, we used Kan-summation algorithm [36] and a parallel reduction technique suitable for CUDA [37].

In order to cross-validate our results, we performed few simulations beyond the London limit using a different approach based on a finite-element methods, and found consistent results. The fields were discretized within a framework provided by the FreeFem++ library [38]. The simulations were typically performed on four, two-sockets nodes, with 8-core Intel Xeon E5-2660 processors.

Initial states for energy-minimization calculations. The energy minimization calculations were seeded with a vorticity-generating initial state based on a hedgehog-type texture [39]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta_1' \\
\zeta_2' \\
\zeta_3'
\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{m} \sin(\chi), \quad \chi' = \cos(\chi),
\]

where the unit vector field \( \mathbf{m} \) is defined as

\[
m_1 + im_2 = \sin(\theta)e^{-iQ\phi}, \quad m_3 = \cos(\theta),
\]

and the shape function

\[
\chi = \pi \left( 1 + (r/r_0)^2 (r'/r_0')^2 \right)^{-1},
\]

where \( r, \theta, \phi \) are the spherical coordinates, and \( r_0 \) is a tunable parameter which sets the appropriate scale of the texture. For the initial state to satisfy the appropriate behaviour at \( r \rightarrow \infty \), the vector \( \zeta' \) has to be rotated: \( \zeta' = R \cdot \zeta' \). The generic rotation matrix, and a particular one, \( R \), in the case of the potential yielding a \( U(1) \times Z_2 \) symmetry of the ground state, are defined

\[
R = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Such initial state generates linked vorticity for which the topological charge $Q$ is an input parameter. We observed that for regimes with stable knots the total topological charge remains invariant in the process of minimization. To obtain solutions with high topological index $Q$, we placed two separated textures along the main diagonal of computational domain with charges $Q_{1,2}$ such that $Q_1 + Q_2 = Q$. For example, to construct a $Q=1$ solution, the starting configuration was $Q_1=-1, Q_2=2$; for $Q=2$ we used $Q_1 = Q_2 = 1$, etc. During the minimization process, the two initially separated textures attracted and eventually merged into a single one. Such an approach starting with two well separated vorticity-seeding initial states, instead of a single one is very efficient because it breaks spatial symmetries, thus minimizing chances of being trapped in long-living unstable or weakly metastable states.


