Quantum Turbulent Structure in Light
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The infinite superpositions of random plane waves are known to be thread with vortex line singularities which form complicated tangles and obey strict topological rules. We observe that within these structures a timelike axis appears to emerge with which we can define vortex velocities in a useful way: with both numerical simulations and optical experiments, we show that the statistics of these velocities match those of turbulent quantum fluids such as superfluid helium and atomic Bose-Einstein condensates. These statistics are shown to be independent of system scale. These results raise deep questions about the general nature of quantum chaos and the role of nonlinearity in the structure of turbulence.

Despite far predating nearly all other open problems in both mathematics and physics, a complete theory of fluid turbulence remains elusive, and represents one of the greatest open problems of either discipline [1]. Though the classical problem of turbulence was treated with modern sophistication by Kolmogorov in the decade before [2] [3], it was not until 1955 that Feynman, who called turbulence the most important unsolved problem of classical physics [4], gave the problem a truly modern flavor by merging it with quantum mechanics [5]. Since then a great deal of effort has gone into the study of the properties of turbulence in quantum fluids, not only as a way to better understand the dynamics of a general class of complicated quantum systems, but also as a way to understand the general problem of fluid turbulence, with quantum turbulence being studied by some as “the ‘skeleton’ of ordinary turbulence” [6]. With the more recent advances of technologies based on quantum fluidic systems, such as in quantum simulation and computation [7], the understanding of quantum turbulence has left the realm of pure theory: a fundamental understanding of quantum turbulence has become critical to the continued development of relevant technologies. Many important systems behave as quantum fluids; the turbulent dynamics of superfluid Helium, Bose Einstein condensates, and polariton condensates are all highly significant areas of current research [8] [13].

Unlike a classical fluid, a quantum fluid is spatially coherent over macroscopic distances. This long range order necessitates a singly defined phase over the field, a constraint which forces the quantization of phase vortices into discrete topological charges. The nature of classical and quantum turbulence is in this way fundamentally different: while turbulence in classical fluids is characterized by structure spanning many length scales, turbulent quantum fluids have a characteristic length scale imparted by vortex quantization. Still, it has only recently been shown that there exists a fundamental difference in the measurable statistical behavior of quantum and classical fluids: the velocity statistics of turbulent quantum fluids differ dramatically from those of turbulent classical fluids [14] [18]. While the velocity statistics of classically turbulent systems show near-Gaussian probability distributions [19], the velocity distributions of quantum turbulence are heavy-tailed [14] [18]. To our knowledge this stands as the only quantitative measure which definitively separates quantum and classical turbulence.

While all quantum fluids discussed so far have represented exotic states of condensed matter systems, we suggest that any spatially coherent wave should obey the same fundamental behavior; in this Letter we identify laser light as a macroscopic, room temperature quantum fluid which supports turbulent structure. Laser light clearly satisfies the requirement of macroscopic coherence, and previous work has shown that the similarity in governing equations can explain dynamical similarities of few vortex systems [20]. However, it is less obvious that light can support turbulence in free space, in which there are no nonlinear interactions. The pioneering work of Nye, Berry and Dennis has revealed qualitative links between random optical fields and superfluid turbulence [21] [23], but others have argued that in a linear system the vortices cannot drive the dynamics of the field and thus the only similarity is appearance [24]. This has resulted in a widespread assumption that quantum fluidic behavior requires many body interactions [10].

In a sense, a turbulent state is one which is characterized by disorder. If we think of isotropically turbulent quantum fluids found in condensed matter systems as maximally disordered solutions to their governing equations (the nonlinear Schrödinger equations), then we should expect that if we are to find isotropic linear quantum turbulence, we should look at the maximally disordered solutions of the governing linear equations. In the case of light, the maximally disordered solutions to the Helmholtz equation are those which can be decomposed into a uniform distribution of random plane waves. This so called random wave system is well studied in the context of quantum chaos, modeling the natural excitations of classically chaotic billiard tables [25] [26], and is also known to be a good model of monochromatic laser scatter [27].

We begin with the construction of the random wave.
Although it is trivial to define a single plane wave with two spatial dimensions and one time (or propagation) axis, as more plane waves of different orientations are added, the phase front ceases to be confined to one well defined xy-plane. As the number of random plane waves approaches infinity, the phase front of the resulting superposition fills 3-dimensional space. It is thus nontrivial to claim that the z-axis might act as a time axis in any representation of the system. However, we will show that although the time axis becomes ill defined from the perspective of constituent plane waves, the propagation axis of the random wave is timelike when viewed from the perspective of emergent vortex behavior.

The top of Fig. 1 shows the numerically simulated amplitude and phase of a transverse slice of a typical random wave. The lower panel of that figure shows the tangled paths of phase singularities. We define the transverse velocity of each vortex \( i \) as the rate of change of vortex position \( r_i \) as a function of \( z \).

Random waves are simulated by superposing a finite number of uniformly parameterized plane waves. To propagate the random wave, each plane wave in the superposition is evolved in time. Over this time evolution, the phase singularities of the random wave move about the \( xy \)-plane, and are created and annihilated in pairs of opposite charge, seemingly at random. However each charge is topologically stable, and because of the constraint of a continuously well defined phase there is more structure than appears, as will become clear shortly.

We record the distribution of vortex velocities in our simulated random waves, the results of which are shown at the top of Fig. 2. This shows that the vortices in linear random waves do indeed obey the characteristic heavy tailed velocity statistics of quantum turbulence. That figure also shows fits to curves consistent with the statistics of classical fluids, atomic Bose-Einstein condensates and superfluid Helium II. Some readers will recall that in other works on quantum turbulence velocity statistics these curves are fit the form of \( a/x^b \) for a scaling constant \( a \) and tail defined by \( b \), which match well at the tails of measured distributions but which are divergent and unphysical near the center \([14,18]\). As a more physical model we find that a generalized Cauchy distribution of the form \( c/(1 + x^a) \) converges to the same tails, while also matching the relevant distributions near the central low-velocity regions.

As Fig. 2 shows, our results with light closely match the velocity distribution consistent with experiments on atomic Bose-Einstein condensates, as opposed to that of superfluid helium. Previous theories have suggested that the velocity distribution seen in superfluid helium is the fundamental, topologically mandated distribution \([14,15]\) but our result suggests that the \( 1/x^3 \) tails associated with superfluid helium are the combined result of topological constraints and other, yet to be understood phenomena.

Random scatter composed of a uniform distribution of plane waves makes for a random wave structure with sub-wavelength nearest neighbor vortex distances \([28]\). Although it is easy for our numerical simulations to probe this scale, this fine of structure is extremely difficult to observe experimentally, requiring a complicated and specialized near-field imaging apparatus to measure directly. However, because the only constraint on the movement of vortices is topological, we postulate that the statistical behavior of the quantum fluid represents a topological phase of fluid flow which must be invariant under the scale-changing spatial filtration operation inherent to imaging with a simple lens.

Repeating our numerical simulations with different restrictions on the allowed range of polar angles of constituent plane waves, we observe the same characteristic statistics. This implies that the imaging of a random wave with a decreasing numerical aperture results in the observation of the same dynamical vortex structure – only with increasing characteristic length scales. Thus...

![Fig. 1. The transverse amplitude (top left) and phase (top middle) of a typical random wave. The top right panel shows the zeros of the real (white) and imaginary (black) parts of the wave represented in the top two panels, the intersections of which occur at phase singularities, which are marked in yellow. These singularities can be tracked over the propagation of the wave, the paths of which are shown in the bottom panel. That cell is oriented such that the z axis is vertical. Each vortex line is marked in a different color, highlighting a complicated, tangle-like structure. The form of tangled vortex lines shown here appears qualitatively identical to similar plots of vortex lines in condensed matter systems.[18].](image-url)
the form of the velocity distribution is invariant on the low-pass spatial filtration inherent to the optical imaging of high frequency structure up to a scaling constant. We will return to the intrinsic importance of the scale invariance of these statistics, but for now this allows us to implement a simple experimental confirmation of our numerical results.

We therefore proceed to discuss an experimental, macroscopic observation of the vortex velocity distribution of laser scatter. We scatter a collimated Helium-Neon (633nm) laser beam with several layers of Scotch tape, and image the amplitude and phase (see Methods) of the the scatter at increasing distances from the tape. A true random wave has no spatial confinement, and thus has no divergence. To approximate the lack of divergence with a scattered Gaussian beam, we image the scattered mode near the plane of the scatterer, where the mode is at its waist.

We implement the phase shifting holography technique of Yamaguchi and Zhang [29] to image both the amplitude and complete phase structure of the physical random field over a length of its propagation. Physically, this is simply a two arm interferometer in which one arm can be shifted in length by quarter wavelengths, and in which the sample is shifted along the propagation axis in steps of 0.05mm. Our imaging objective has a numerical aperture of 0.75. We analyze the resulting 3D complex image as in the numerical simulations.

Marking vortex paths as in our numerical experiments, a distribution of transverse velocities is accumulated. The results, shown at the bottom of Fig. 2, reveal that macroscopically observed laser scatter does in fact obey highly non-Gaussian velocity statistics near the surface of the scatterer, consistent with quantum turbulence. As suggested previously, the numerical aperture of our experiment is limited, and consistent with our simulations we see the same behavior as with an ideal near-field imaging apparatus, but at significantly larger length scales. Whereas the ideal optical random wave exhibits intervortex lengths on the scale of hundreds of nanometers, we observe inter-vortex lengths on the scale of tens of micrometers, and yet see the exact same characteristic functional form describing the velocity statistics: the horizontal scales of the top and bottom panels of Fig. 2 differ by four orders of magnitude. Continuing to treat this system as one of a 2D sea of point particles which evolve in time, this observed nonreliance of the quantum statistics on interparticle distance tells us that in such systems the effective interactions between vortex singularities do not depend on the distance between them.

It can therefore be said that with topological constraints alone, a completely linear system can be forced to exhibit the emergence of nonlinear topological interactions between point-particle-like singularities which evolve over a geometric time axis: it is the nonlinear, particle-like attractions and repulsions of topological charges which create the high velocity creation/annihilation events that cause the heavy-tailed statistics characteristic of quantum turbulence, not nonlinear interactions in the medium itself.

This is surprising, and raises important questions. It is not clear what it means for a linear system to possess the characteristic structure of turbulence. It is possible that although linear in the basis of plane wave constituents, the random wave structure might be thought of usefully as a nonlinear system from the perspective of vortex particle interactions. We note that the details of these topologically mediated particle-like interactions are themselves not yet well understood. What is clear from our results, however, is that they are surprisingly universal, being observed in very different physical sys-

![FIG. 2. The numerically simulated (top) and experimentally measured (bottom) probability distributions of transverse vortex velocities random optical scatter (black), plotted on a logarithmic scale showing relative probabilities over three orders of magnitude. Units of velocity are in the dimensionless ratio of transverse over longitudinal distance. Both are consistent with each other up to a scaling factor (each shown in parenthesis). Velocities represent the relative transverse rate of change of vortex position as a function of z. Also plotted are curves consistent with the vortex velocity distributions of the classical fluid (blue), the atomic BEC (green), and superfluid helium (red) [14, 15]. The distributions measured from numerical and physical experiments match up to a difference in velocity scaling factors. Notably, it is clear that the statistics of optical scatter match more closely to the statistics of the Bose-Einstein condensate than to those of superfluid Helium II.]
tems governed by very different equations, in different dimensionalities.

More generally, we have provided evidence that ordinary laser light can be thought of as a quantum fluid, demonstrating that classical optics and quantum fluid dynamics are intimately tied. This raises the question of what other quantum fluid dynamical behavior can be understood with linear wave mechanics. While in this work insight was gained into the fluid dynamics of condensed matter systems with optical analogues, we expect this type of analysis to be fruitful in the other direction as well. In particular, we expect that the structure of vortex nucleations, such as is seen in the fluid dynamical von Kármán effect [30], will soon inspire the study of new optical phenomena, and bring insight to visually similar and perhaps dynamically analogous optical structures such as the fractional vortex Hilbert’s hotel [31]. In short, it seems that members of both fields have much more to learn from each other than previously realized.
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