Tensor-network approach to compute genuine multisite entanglement in infinite quantum spin chains
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We devise a method based on the tensor-network formalism to calculate genuine multisite entanglement in ground states of infinite spin chains containing spin-1/2 or spin-1 quantum particles. The ground state is obtained by employing an infinite time-evolving block decimation method acting upon an initial matrix product state for the infinite spin system. We explicitly show how such infinite matrix product states with translational invariance provide a natural framework to derive the generalized geometric measure, a computable measure of genuine multisite entanglement, in the thermodynamic limit of higher-dimensional quantum many-body systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, entanglement [1] has turned out to be an important characteristic in the study of low-dimensional strongly-correlated quantum systems, especially from the perspective of critical phenomena in the low-temperature regime of many quantum many-body systems [2–5] and implementation of quantum information protocols using solid-state, cold gas, and other physical substrates [6–10]. While most of the attention in studying these systems has been bestowed on bipartite entanglement measures such as entanglement of formation, concurrence, or block entanglement entropy, an important albeit difficult to estimate quantity is the multipartite entanglement in quantum many-body systems (see Ref. [1]). Interestingly, it has often been observed that there exist some co-operative phenomena where bipartite entanglement and other known order parameters fail to detect the interesting physics, which are then captured by multipartite entanglement [11–15]. Moreover, the study of multipartite entanglement in higher-dimensional spins systems, even for finite-sized systems, remains largely unexplored.

When expanding the study of multipartite entanglement to understand complex quantum phenomena in the thermodynamic limit, for both low- and high-dimensional spin particles, the innate difficulty is to characterize computable entanglement measures (for recent developments, see Refs. [11–21]). In most instances, for quantum many-body systems, the complexity in measuring multipartite entanglement scales exponentially with increasing dimension of the total Hilbert space, which in turn is associated with the number of quantum systems involved in the problem, and can often be unnameable even with approximate methods. In recent years, numerical techniques such as density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [22], matrix product states (MPS) [23], and projected entangled pair states (PEPS) [24] have allowed unprecedented access to physical properties of many-body systems, including estimation of global entanglement in low-dimensional spin systems [11–13]. The growth of newer tensor-network methods [25], such as multi-scale entanglement renormalization ansatz (MERA) [26], along with other significant developments in higher-dimensional [27] and topological quantum systems [28], provide newer directions to explore the role of multipartite entanglement in generic quantum systems.

In this work, we employ a tensor-network based approach to estimate the genuine multipartite entanglement, which for pure quantum states characterizes the situation where the many-body system cannot be formed by states that are product across some bipartition(s) of the multi-party system. We investigate this behavior in the thermodynamic limit of infinite spin chains for both low- as well as high-dimensional spin systems. We show that matrix product states for infinite one-dimensional quantum spin systems, provide a natural framework to estimate the generalized geometric measure (GGM) [19] (see also [16–18]), which is a computable measure of genuine multipartite entanglement, defined by using the geometry of the space of multi-party states. To demonstrate the efficacy of our formalism, we first consider a set of prototypical Hamiltonians of low-dimensional quantum spin systems. For instance, we obtain the ground states for spin-1/2 systems such as the transverse Ising and the XYZ models, using infinite time-evolving block decimation (tTEBD) [29] of an initial state. We show how the GGM in the thermodynamic limit of the system can be estimated from the final infinite matrix product state (iMPS). Subsequently, we extend our study to more complex models such as the spin-1 Ising model with transverse single-ion anisotropy, which now contains higher-dimensional spins in the system. Here we observe that the genuine multipartite entanglement in the thermodynamic limit can clearly highlight the different quantum
phases of the many-body system and the scaling of entanglement can identify the critical points.

The paper is arranged as follows. After the brief introduction in Sec. I, we discuss GGM as a measure of genuine multiparticle entanglement in Section II. We then look at how expressions for the reduced states can be obtained from the infinite MPS picture in Sec. III. In Section IV we look at how the ground states of spin chain models, containing spin-1/2 or spin-1 particles, can be derived using iTEBD. In Section V, we calculate the GGM for the ground states of these different models. We conclude with a discussion in Sec. VI.

II. GENERALIZED GEOMETRIC MEASURE

A hierarchy of geometric measures of multiparticle entanglement [18] of an $N$-party pure quantum state, $|\Psi\rangle_N$, can be defined in terms of geometric distance between the given state and the set of $k$-separable states, $S_k$, which is the set of all pure quantum states that are separable across at least $k+1$ partitions in the system or alternatively, are a product of $k$ substates. Considering fidelity subtracted from unity, which is closely connected to the Fubini-Study and the Bures metrics, as our choice of distance measure, one can define the geometric measure of multiparticle entanglement as [16–19]

$$G_k(|\Psi\rangle_N) = 1 - \max_{|\chi\rangle \in S_k} |\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N|^2,$$  

(1)

where $2 \leq k \leq N$ and $|\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N|^2$ is the fidelity. The maximization ensures that $G_k$ measures how entangled, or far away, a state $|\Psi\rangle_N$ is from the closest $k$-separable states. In principle, a set of $N-1$ measures of multipartite entanglement ($\{G_k\}$) can be defined, by employing the minimum distances from the $N-1$ sets, $S_k$. Multipartite entanglement measures, such as the global entanglement [17], consider the distance of $|\Psi\rangle_N$ from the set of completely separable or $N$-separable states, $S_{k=N}$. These measures do not detect separability that may occur across lesser number of partitions ($k < N$). A more stringent measure is the genuine multiparticle entanglement, $G_{k=2}$, which corresponds to the minimum distance from the set $S_2$. Since, $S_k \subset S_{k'}$, if $k' \leq k$, we get $S_k \subset S_2 \forall k$. This implies that the minimal distance is computed by considering the minimization over all $k$-separable states for all $k$, and thus captures presence of genuine multiparticle entanglement in the quantum state. In other words, non-zero value of $G_{k=2}$, in Eq. (1), implies that $|\Psi\rangle_N$ is not separable across any bipartition.

In general, computation of $G_k$ appears to be hard, as it involves maximization over a large set of $k$-separable states. Incidentally, $G_{k=2}$ for a quantum state, is equal to the generalized geometric measure ($G$) [19], which reduces to

$$G(|\Psi\rangle_N) = 1 - \max_{S_{A,B}} \{\lambda_{A,B}^2 |A \cup B = \{N\}, A \cap B = \emptyset\},$$  

(2)

where $S_{A,B} = S_2$, is the set of all bi-separable states, with bipartitions $A$ and $B$, and $\lambda_{A,B} = \max \{\lambda_{A,B}^i\}$ for the Schmidt decomposition, $|\Psi\rangle_N = \sum_i \lambda_{A,B}^i |\phi^i\rangle_A |\phi^i\rangle_B$. Here $N$ denotes the set of $N$ parties possessing the state $|\Psi\rangle_N$. The maximization over all $k$-separable states is reduced to optimization over the set of $\lambda_{A,B}^i$ across all possible bipartitions of $|\Psi\rangle_N$. Such simplification of $G(|\Psi\rangle_N)$ helps to evaluate genuine multiparticle entanglement content of multiparticle state involving arbitrary number of parties and in arbitrary dimensions. Note however that with increasing $N$, the number of possible choices of the bipartitions also increases exponentially, and hence computation of $G$ becomes cumbersome. In addition to this, if the quantum state of the system cannot be defined uniquely, it is also not possible to compute the value of $G$ for the system.

We present a brief outline of the proof for GGM ($G$) being a measure of genuine multipartite entanglement [19]. An important point to note is that $G_2$ is the minimum distance from the set of all $k$-separable quantum states, $S_k \forall k$. However, in principle, as measurements over general entangled bases yield higher or equal values as compared to those over product bases, the maximum fidelity in Eq. (1), can always be considered from the set $S_k$ with lowest $k$, as they contain more clustered partitions. Hence, for $G_2$, the set $S_2$ of bi-separable states contains a closest separable state. Let $\{\lambda_{A,B}^d\}_{i=1}^d$ and $\{\lambda_{A,B}^d\}_{i=1}^d$ be the set of real, non-negative Schmidt coefficients and corresponding orthogonal vectors, respectively, across the bipartition $A : B$, where $d = \max\{d_A, d_B\}$. A bi-separable state, in general, can be written as, $|\chi\rangle = |\eta\rangle_A |\eta\rangle_B$. The fidelity is then given by

$$|\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N| = \left| \sum_i \lambda_{A,B}^{i} |\eta\rangle_A |\phi^i\rangle_B \right| = \left| \sum_i \lambda_{A,B}^{i} f_A^i g_B^i \right|.$$  

(3)

A possible non-maximal value of fidelity corresponds to $|\eta\rangle_A = |\phi^i\rangle_A$ and $|\eta\rangle_B = |\phi^i\rangle_B$, such that $f_A^i = g_B^i = 1$, where $k$ gives $\lambda_{A,B} = \lambda_{A,B}^k = \max \{\lambda_{A,B}^d\}$. Thus we have, $|\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N| \geq \lambda_{A,B}$. However,

$$|\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N| \leq \sum_i \lambda_{A,B}^i |f_A^i||g_B^i| \leq \lambda_{A,B} \sum_i |f_A^i||g_B^i| \leq \lambda_{A,B},$$

where we have used the triangle-law for absolute values, and the relations, $\sum_i \lambda_{A,B} \geq \sum_i \lambda_{A,B}^i$ and $\sum_i |f_A^i||g_B^i| \leq 1$. This gives us the desired relation, $|\langle \chi | \Psi \rangle_N| = \lambda_{A,B}$ for $\lambda_{A,B} = \max \{\lambda_{A,B}^d\}$. For all bi-separable states, $|\chi\rangle$, $\lambda_{A,B} = 1$, and as expected $G(|\Psi\rangle_N) = 0$. From, Eq. (2), we see that the maximum among the real and positive Schmidt coefficient squared, across all possible bipartitions, subtracted from unity gives the GGM, which measures the genuine multiparticle entanglement in the system.

The measure of genuine multisite entanglement can be characterized in the language of tensor-network methods. In particular, we consider the MPS formalism in translationally invariant (TI) quantum systems, which provides a natural framework to estimate GGM.
III. ANALYTICAL FORM OF REDUCED DENSITY MATRICES

The maximum Schmidt coefficient across a bipartition required for GGM is the square-root of the maximum eigenvalue of the reduced density matrix of the subsystems across the bipartition. Obtaining the reduced density matrices of an infinite-sized system, using the MPS formalism, is the primary motivation of the paper. Let us begin with the preliminary MPS representation of a many-body quantum state, $|\Psi\rangle_N$, given by [24, 25]

$$
|\Psi\rangle_N = \sum_{i_1\tau'\cdots N\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_{N-1}} \sum_{\alpha_2} \text{Tr}(A_{i_1,\alpha_2}^1 A_{i_2,\alpha_3}^2 \cdots A_{i_{N-1},\alpha_{N-1}}^N) \times |i_1, i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_N\rangle,
$$

(4)

where $i_k$ is the physical index, with the local system dimension $d$, and $\alpha_k$ being the auxiliary index, each with a bond dimension $D$. $\{A^k\}$ are thus $D \times D$ matrices corresponding to each site $k$. For low values of $D$, the MPS representation of $|\Psi\rangle_N$ is very efficient as the number of parameters required to express the state scales with $N$ as $N^2 D d$, instead of $d^N$. This can be further reduced by considering some potential symmetry in the system, such as translational invariance of $\{A^k\}$ matrices. Importantly, in order to obtain the reduced density matrices of a quantum many-body system, one should be able to efficiently compute the $\{A^k\}$ matrices. However, there are only a few cases for which the exact MPS form of the quantum state is known [24, 25]. One such example is the unnormalized $N$-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) [30] state, $|\text{GHZ}\rangle_N = |0\rangle^\otimes N + |1\rangle^\otimes N$, which is local unitarily equivalent to the possible entangled ground state of the Ising chain at large coupling strength [31]. For $D = 2$ (and $d = 2$ for qubits), the matrices for the MPS are $\{A^k\} = \{A^0(k), A^1(k)\} = \{\sigma^+, \sigma^x\}$, $\forall k$, where $\sigma^+ \sigma_x$ are the usual Pauli matrices, and $\sigma^x = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x \pm i \sigma_y)$. We note that the $A^k$ matrices are translationally invariant. Another example of TI systems is the ground state of the AKLT state [33], $|\text{AKLT}\rangle_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(|00\ldots 00\rangle + |01\ldots 01\rangle + \ldots + |10\ldots 10\rangle)$, which is known to be the ground state of the ferromagnetic XX model with strong transverse field. Interestingly, although the state is translationally invariant, the $\{A^k\}$ matrices are not, as shown for $D = 2$. Here, $\{A^0(k), A^1(k)\} = \{\sigma^+, I_2\}$, for $k < N$, and $\{A^0(k), A^1(k)\} = \{\sigma^x, \sigma_x\}$, for $k = N$, where $I_2$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix [34].

In general, for MPS with site-dependent $\{A^k\}$, calculation of reduced density matrices of quantum states beyond moderate-sized systems may require considerable computational effort, especially if the bond dimension $D$ is not small. This is a significant road-block in the computation of GGM. However, if the system is TI, i.e. $\{A^k\} = A^k, \forall k$, and the $A^k$ matrices can be efficiently estimated, then the reduced density matrices can be obtained even for infinite sized systems, thus allowing us to compute the genuine multipartite entanglement of quantum states in the thermodynamic limit. Let us begin with an MPS representation of a TI quantum system with local dimension $d$ and $\{A^k\}$, with bond dimension $D$. The MPS could be obtained as a ground state of a physical Hamiltonian or a time-evolved quantum state, quenched from some initial product state. To calculate the reduced density matrices, we first consider the case for single-site reduced state first from the multi-qubit TI MPS. For a very small system-size, viz. $N = 2$, and known $A^k$ matrices, the expression for the single-site reduced density matrix is given by $\rho^1 = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}((A_0^0 \otimes A_0^0)\rho(0)|0\rangle\langle 0| + \text{Tr}((A_0^0 \otimes A_1^1)\rho)|0\rangle\langle 1| + \text{Tr}((A_1^1 \otimes A_0^0)\rho)|1\rangle\langle 0| + \text{Tr}((A_1^1 \otimes A_1^1)\rho)|1\rangle\langle 1|)$, where $\rho = \sum \rho^k \otimes A^k$ is the transfer matrix of the translationally invariant system and $\tilde{A}$ is the conjugate transpose of $A$. Similarly, for $N = 3$, $\rho^1 = \frac{1}{N^2} \text{Tr}((A_0^0 \otimes A_0^0)\rho^2)|0\rangle\langle 0| + \text{Tr}((A_0^0 \otimes A_1^1)\rho^2)|0\rangle\langle 1| + \text{Tr}((A_1^1 \otimes A_0^0)\rho^2)|1\rangle\langle 0| + \text{Tr}((A_1^1 \otimes A_1^1)\rho^2)|1\rangle\langle 1|)$. For an arbitrary $N$ and local dimension, $d = 2$ (qubit), the expression for the single-site density matrix is given by

$$
\rho^1 = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=0} \text{Tr}((A_i^0 \otimes A_i^1)\rho^{N-1}) |i\rangle\langle j|.
$$

At this stage, our aim is to generalize Eq. (5) for very large, and eventually, infinite systems. To this end, we first consider the spectral decomposition of the transfer matrix, in the MPS formalism for infinite system, known as iMPS, $\rho^N = \sum_i \lambda_i^N |L^i\rangle\langle R^i|$, where $|L^i\rangle$ and $|R^i\rangle$ are the left and right eigenvectors, respectively. For $N \to \infty$, $\rho^N$ has 1 as a non-degenerate eigenvalue and all other eigenvalues have modulus smaller than 1, i.e. $\rho^{N} = |L_0\rangle\langle R_0| + \sum_{j=2}^N \lambda_j^N |L^j\rangle\langle R^j|$. Hence, as $N \to \infty$, $\rho^N \to |L_0\rangle\langle R_0|$. Thus, the elements of $\rho^1$, as expressed in Eq. (5), are given by

$$
\rho^1_{ij} = \frac{|L_0\rangle A_i^0 \otimes \tilde{A}_j^1 |R_0\rangle}{|L_0\rangle |R_0\rangle}.
$$

Similarly, one can obtain the form of all $m$-consecutive site $l, l+1, l+2, \ldots (m \geq 2)$ reduced density matrices, using the relation

$$
\rho^m_{ij} = \frac{|L_0\rangle A^{i_1} A^{i_2} \cdots A^{i_m} \otimes \tilde{A}_j^1 \tilde{A}_j^2 \cdots \tilde{A}_j^m |R_0\rangle}{|L_0\rangle |R_0\rangle},
$$

(7)

where $i = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m$ and $j = j_1 j_2 \cdots j_m$. For non-consecutive sites, $l, l+l', l+l'+r, \ldots$, the expression of the $m$-site reduced density matrix is given by

$$
\rho^m_{ij} = \frac{|L_0\rangle \tilde{A}_1 E^{r-1} \tilde{A}_2 E^{r-2} \cdots \tilde{A}_m |R_0\rangle}{|L_0\rangle |R_0\rangle},
$$

where $A_k = (A_{i_k} \otimes \tilde{A}_{j_k})$.

This has remarkable significance as the number of parameters required to represent the $m$-site density matrices is reduced from $d^{2m}$ to $D^2d$. The reduced density
matrix can thus be used to estimate the genuine multisite entanglement in systems described using infinite MPS.

IV. GROUND STATE MPS USING iTEBD

We briefly describe the algorithm to simulate the ground state of an infinite, one-dimensional quantum many-body Hamiltonian, $H$, using the infinite MPS formalism. We start with an arbitrary MPS, $|\Psi_N\rangle$, as expressed in Eq. (4), and then eventually build the ground state iMPS using infinite time-evolving block decimation method. To this end, starting from $|\Psi_N\rangle$, we perform an imaginary time-evolution: $|\Psi_N\rangle \rightarrow e^{-\tau H}|\Psi_N\rangle$.

The ground state configuration $|\Psi_0\rangle_N$ is then obtained when $\tau$ becomes very large i.e., $|\Psi_N\rangle \sim |\Psi_0\rangle_N + \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-\tau(E_i-E_0)}|\Psi_j\rangle_N \xrightarrow[\tau \rightarrow \infty]{} |\Psi_0\rangle_N$. In order to perform the iTEBD, we first use second order Suzuki-Trotter (ST) decomposition [35] on the exponential unitary operation and express each term in the TI matrix product operator (MPO) form [36, 37]. This essentially helps to change the optimization problem of the energy for the total system, to the optimization associated with each decomposed TI MPO. After one such ST iteration, we obtain an MPS, $|\Psi'\rangle$, which, in general, has a bigger bond dimension than the initial MPS. Therefore, one needs to truncate this to the allowed bond dimension $D$. We then normalize the imaginary time evolved state and choose that as a seed for the next time iteration. After each such ST step, energy per site ($E_0/N = \frac{1}{N}\langle\Psi_i[H]\Psi_i^{\dagger}\rangle$) is calculated and the expressions of the $\{A^i\}$ matrices for the iMPS of the ground state of the given Hamiltonian are then obtained by minimizing the energy. In general, energy per site scales with the size of the system. However, through some intermediary steps, one can show that for $N \rightarrow \infty$, it converges, to $E_{\infty}$ (say). Hence, the final $\{A^i\}$ matrices are obtained when the energy per site converges.

To apply the above iMPS formalism we begin with a one-dimensional quantum system consisting of spin-$1/2$ particles. Such a quantum many-body Hamiltonian can be written, with a certain degree of genericity, as

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (J_x S_i^x S_j^x + J_y S_i^y S_j^y + \Delta S_i^z S_j^z) + \sum_i h S_i^z, \quad (8)$$

where $J_x$, $J_y$ are the coupling constants along $x$- and $y$- directions respectively, $\Delta$ is the "anisotropy" along the $z$-direction, $h$ is the strength of the transverse field, $S^k = \sigma_k$ are the Pauli spin matrices, and $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes the nearest-neighbor sites. Two important models that can be derived from $H$ are the transverse Ising (in the limit $J_y = \Delta = 0$), the anisotropic XYZ model ($J_{x(y)} = J \pm \gamma$, and $h = 0$) [38–40]. Note that in the limit $\gamma = 0$, the XYZ model reduces to the anisotropic XXZ model, which has gained some attention in studies on strongly-correlated systems [41]. We note that in recent years, cooperative phenomena in quantum spin chains have been widely explored in the context of quantum information theory, especially in terms of entanglement [2–5] and other quantum correlations [42].

We next look at the iMPS representation for more complex quantum spin systems. For instance, we consider a quantum many-body chain with higher-dimensional spin particles, viz. the spin-1 Ising model with a transverse field akin to parameters arising from single-ion anisotropy generated by crystal fields [43]. These systems can also be considered to be a derivative of the Blume-Emery-Griffiths model [44], where the quadratic terms have been neglected. Such models have lately been used to study phase transitions in multicomponent fluids and semiconductor systems [45]. The Hamiltonian of the spin-1 model is thus given by

$$\hat{H} = J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z + K(S_i^z)^2, \quad (9)$$

where $S^j$s are generalizations of the Pauli matrices for a spin-1 system, $J_z$ denotes the coupling along the $z$-direction and $K$ denotes the strength of the single-ion anisotropy parameter due to the crystal field in the transverse direction. The model undergoes a quantum phase transition at $\frac{K}{J_z} = 2$ [43].

In implementing the iMPS form and the iTEBD algorithm for obtaining the ground state of these Hamiltonians, we fix the bond dimension at $D = 10$ and choose the initial Trotter step to be $\tau = 10^{-2}$, which is then gradually changed to $10^{-6}$ to improve accuracy. The convergence of the ground state energy is determined with an accuracy $10^{-6}$. Once the ground state iMPS is obtained, one can access the Schmidt coefficients across all possible bipartitions of the quantum state by contracting the tensors efficiently, as shown in Eq. (7). The behavior of genuine multisite entanglement in ground state phases of the Hamiltonian, in the thermodynamic limit, can then be estimated from the generalized geometric measure.
from the exact diagonalization results for this model, we note that the maximum value of Schmidt coefficient always comes from the consecutive two-site reduced density matrices. We again use this result to compute the value of GGM in the thermodynamic limit using iMPS. Therefore, in this case, we use Eq. (7) for computation of the maximum Schmidt coefficients. Like as the Ising case, here also degeneracy hinders us to find a unique ground state for the region $-1 \leq \Delta/J \leq 0$. Therefore, for this model, we consider following region between two critical points, for both finite and infinite lattices, parametrized by $0.2 \leq \Delta/J \leq 1.0$. Figure 2 shows that in contrast to the transverse Ising model, no scale invariance is achieved for $\mathcal{G}$ even away from the critical points, and it is not possible to achieve the thermodynamic limit by exactly diagonalizing a spin model with small system size. In this case, difference between the GGM values computed for $N = 12$ and iMPS, at small values of $\Delta/J$ becomes $\lesssim 10^{-3}$, which further increases to $\lesssim 10^{-2}$ as $\Delta/J$ tends to 1. For the XXZ model ($\gamma = 0$) (see the inset of Fig. 2), where the critical points are known to exist in the vicinity of $\Delta/J = \pm 1$, a similar absence of scale invariance is observed. Here difference between the GGM values computed for $N = 12$ and iMPS never decreases below $10^{-3}$. Thus, iMPS plays a significant role in computing genuine multipartite entanglement in these systems.

V. GENUINE MULTISITE ENTANGLEMENT IN THE THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT

For transverse Ising model, we consider a region away from critical point ($h/J_x = 1$), viz. $1.1 \leq h/J_x \leq 2$. The variation of GGM ($\mathcal{G}$) with respect to the transverse field strength $h/J_x$, is depicted in Fig. 1. The thermodynamic limit of the genuine multisite entanglement, in the infinite spin lattice, is compared with the corresponding values obtained for finite-sized lattices ($N = 8, 10$, and 12) using exact diagonalization. In order to compute the value of GGM ($\mathcal{G}$) using exact diagonalization method, in all the cases ($N = 8, 10, 12$), we perform the optimization in Eq. (2), by taking into account all possible bipartitions (whose number is $\sum_{i=1}^N \binom{N}{i}$) for $N = 12$. We note that for the transverse field Ising model, maximum value of Schmidt coefficient always comes from the single-site reduced density matrices. We use this fact to compute the value of GGM ($\mathcal{G}$) in the thermodynamic limit using iMPS. Therefore, in our case, Eq. (5) will serve the purpose. For this model, in the region parametrized by $0 \leq h/J_x \leq 0.8$, energy gap closes and as discussed earlier, it is not possible to compute the multiparty entanglement using the measure GGM for non-unique ground states. The figure shows a distinct scaling of $\mathcal{G}$ at field strengths closer to the critical point, $h/J_x = 1$. In this region, difference between the GGM ($\mathcal{G}$) values, obtained using exact diagonalization method ($N = 12$) and iMPS, turns out to be at most $\approx 10^{-3}$. Away from it, $\mathcal{G}$ quickly becomes scale invariant, and approaches its thermodynamic limit even for low $N$. Here, difference between the GGM ($\mathcal{G}$) values computed for $N = 12$ and iMPS, becomes $\lesssim 10^{-4}$.

Let us now consider the XYZ Hamiltonian in absence of magnetic field, i.e., $J_x, J_y, \Delta \neq 0$, $h = 0$. The behavior of $\mathcal{G}$ with $\Delta/J$, for the anisotropic XYZ Hamiltonian with $\gamma = 0.5$, is depicted in Fig. 2. Unlike the Ising case,
the iMPS formalism. The behavior of genuine multiparty entanglement is plotted in Fig. 3. We again note that like the transverse Ising model, the maximum Schmidt coefficient in this case also comes from the single-site reduced density matrices. Moreover, as in the previous cases, we observe that GGM starts decreasing monotonously with the increase of the strength of the single-ion anisotropy or the crystal field. However, for the spin-1 model, the scaling pattern of GGM in the thermodynamic limit shows several interesting features. For instance, before $K < 2$, in most of the regions, GGM increases with system size. However, for the spin-1 model, the statistical measure, in many-body quantum systems, where tensor-network methods have turned operative and critical phenomena by investigating multiparticle physical quantities. Finally, we also note that the formalism presented in the work may provide useful directions in investigating genuine multipartite entanglement properties in several quantum systems, including condensed matter, photonic, and other topological systems, where tensor-network methods have turned out to be successful in studying physical properties.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work, we have shown how the tensor-network approach provides a natural structure to study genuine multiparty entanglement, quantified by generalized geometric measure, in many-body quantum systems. In particular, the method involved matrix product states to efficiently obtain the reduced density matrices of infinite quantum spin lattices, which upon making use of symmetries such as translational invariance of the matrices, allowed us to accurately estimate the generalized geometric measure of systems consisting of both low- and high-dimensional spins. The method thus provided us a viable theoretical framework to look at interesting cooperative and critical phenomena by investigating multiparticle physical quantities in the thermodynamic limit of quantum many-body systems.

Importantly, this approach to compute generalized geometric measure using tensor networks is in principle also applicable for higher-dimensional lattices, provided the relevant tensors under the iMPS formalism are accessible using available numerical techniques. Finally, we also note that the formalism presented in the work may provide useful directions in investigating genuine multipartite entanglement properties in several quantum systems, including condensed matter, photonic, and other topological systems, where tensor-network methods have turned out to be successful in studying physical properties.
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