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Abstract

In this paper we study reducibility of time quasiperiodic perturbations of the quantum harmonic or anharmonic oscillator in one space dimension. We modify known algorithms obtaining a reducibility result which allows to deal with perturbations of order strictly larger than the ones considered in all the previous papers.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the reducibility of the time dependent Schrödinger equation

\[ i\dot{\psi} = H(\omega t)\psi, \]

\[ H(\omega t) := (-i\partial_x - \epsilon W_1(x, \omega t))^2 + V(x) + \epsilon W_0(x, \omega t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \]

where \( V \) is a smooth potential growing as \( V(x) \approx |x|^{2\ell}, \ell \geq 1, \) as \( x \to \infty, \) and \( W_1, W_0 \) are real valued functions (symbols) of class \( C^\infty, \) depending in a quasiperiodic way on time. More precisely, we prove the existence of a unitary (in \( L^2 \)) transformation depending in quasiperiodic way on time, which conjugates the system to a diagonal time independent one. With respect to previous results we allow here a more general class of perturbations, including in particular the case of a harmonic oscillator subject to a magnetic forcing, which was excluded by previous papers.

From a physical point of view the main consequence is that a time quasiperiodic perturbation of the kind considered here does not transfer indefinitely energy to a quantum particle. From a mathematical point of view, this is expressed by the fact that the Sobolev norms of the solutions of (1.1) stay bounded for all time. We recall that (1.2) was also studied for more theoretical reasons: it is well known that the classical Duffing oscillator, namely the Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian \( \xi^2 + x^4 + \epsilon x^{\beta_0}\cos(\omega t), \) exhibits small chaotic islands when \( \epsilon \neq 0. \) The question is whether the quantum system has some behaviors which are a quantum counterpart of this nonregular behavior. Furthermore, a point of interest is whether this depends on the value of the exponent \( \beta_0 \) or not. As a consequence of reducibility, one gets that the quantum perturbed system qualitatively behaves forever as the unperturbed one, in sharp contrast with what happens in the classical case. Here we prove that this is the case as far as \( \beta_0 < 3. \) Previously this was known for integer values of \( \beta_0 \leq 4 \) or for real values \( \beta_0 < 2. \) We also expect our result to be the best one achievable with variants of the present technique.
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We now describe more in detail our assumptions and compare the present result with the previous ones.

Precisely we assume that \( \forall k \geq 0 \), the following estimates are fulfilled

\[
\left| \partial^k_x W_0(x, \omega t) \right| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{\beta_0 - k}, \quad \beta_0 < 2\ell - 1 \tag{1.3}
\]

\[
\left| \partial^k_x W_1(x, \omega t) \right| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{\beta_1 - k}, \quad \beta_1 \begin{cases} \leq \ell & \text{if } \ell \in [1, 2) \\ < 2(\ell - 1) & \text{if } 2 \leq \ell \end{cases} \tag{1.4}
\]

The main point is that the functions \( W_i \) are here allowed to grow in a much faster way than in previous papers (see [Bam17] for the best previous results).

In literature (see e.g. [HR82, Bam18, BGMR17]) perturbations belonging to a more particular class of symbols are often considered (cf. Definition 3.5 below). In this case we get here a result which is probably optimal.

The problem of reducibility of equations of the form of (1.1) has a long history, and the main results have been obtained in [Com87, DS96, DLSV02, Kuk93, BG01, LY10, Bam18, Bam17] (see [Bam17] for a more detailed history). We also mention that our result is limited to the one dimensional case, while some results on this problems in more then one dimension have been recently obtained [GP16, BGMR18, Mon17b, FGMP18]. We also recall that related techniques have been used in order to get a control on the growth of Sobolev norms in [BGMR17, Mon18].

We now describe the proof of our result. We recall that the results of [Bam18, Bam17] were obtained by developing the ideas of [PT01, IPT05, BBM14], namely by exploiting pseudodifferential calculus in order to conjugate the Hamiltonian to a new one which is a smoothing perturbation of a time independent operator and than applying a KAM-reducibility scheme, which reduces *quadratically* the size of the perturbation, in order to complete the reduction to constant coefficients of the system. More applications of these ideas can be found in several papers (see e.g. [FP15, Mon17a, BM16, Giu17, BBHM17]). In the present paper, in order to prove our reducibility result, we proceed as follows: first, by a Gauge transformation, we eliminate from the perturbation the terms containing first order derivatives. Then we develop a variant of the theory of [Bam18, Bam17] in order to reduce the perturbation to a smoothing one. The main difference is that here we do not eliminate time from the normal form that we construct. More precisely, we first use the theory of [Bam17] (a variant of Theorem 3.19 of that paper) in order to conjugate (1.2) to a system which is a perturbation of \( H_0 \) belonging to a better class of symbols (essentially those considered in [Bam18]) and then we apply the theory of [BGMR17] in order to conjugate the so obtained system to another one which is a smoothing perturbation of a diagonal time dependent system. Finally we eliminate time from the latter system by an explicit transformation which is done at the quantum level. Actually, we recall that in [Bam18, Bam17] the main limitation to the order of the perturbation came from the construction of the transformation eliminating time from the perturbation.

In Section 2 we give a precise statement of our main result and Sect. 3 contains its proof. Sect. 3 is split into 4 subsections: in Subsection 3.1 we give some preliminaries, in Subsection 3.2 we eliminate \( W_1 \), in Subsection 3.3 we give some smoothing theorems reducing the system to a time dependent normal form. Finally in Subsection 3.4 we eliminate time from the normal form and conclude the proof.
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2 Statement of the main result

Concerning the potential, when \( \ell > 1 \), we assume that
\[
V(x) = V(-x) ,
\]
and that it admits an asymptotic expansion of the form
\[
V(x) \sim |x|^{2\ell} + \sum_{j \geq 1} V_{2(\ell-j)}(x) ,
\]
with \( V_a \) homogeneous of degree \( a \), namely s.t., \( V_a(\rho x) = \rho^a V(x) \), \( \forall \rho > 0 \). We also assume that
\[
V'(x) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \neq 0.
\]

In the case \( \ell = 1 \) we assume that \( V(x) = x^2 \). We denote by \( \lambda_j^\nu \) the sequence of the eigenvalues of

\[
H_0 := -\partial_{xx} + V(x) ,
\]
and remark that they form a sequence \( \lambda_j^\nu \sim c_j^\nu \), with \( d = \frac{2\nu}{\ell+1} \). In what follows we will identify \( L^2 \) with \( \ell^2 \) by introducing the basis of the eigenvector of \( H_0 \). We also define a reference operator \( K_0 := H_0^{1/2} \).

**Definition 2.1.** For \( s \geq 0 \), we define the spaces \( H^s := D(K_0^s) \) (domain of the \( s \)-th power of the operator \( K_0 \)) endowed by the graph norm. For negative \( s \), the space \( H^s \) is the dual of \( H^{-s} \).

We will denote by \( B(H^{s_1}; H^{s_2}) \) the space of bounded linear operators from \( H^{s_1} \) to \( H^{s_2} \).

**Remark 2.2.** Given a function \( u \in H^s \), one has that
\[
\|u\|_{H^s} \simeq \|u\|_{H^{\ell+1}} + \|\langle x \rangle^{(\ell+1)s} u\|_{L^2}
\]
where \( H^s \) is the standard Sobolev space and \( \|\|_{H^s} \) the corresponding norm.

We come to the assumptions on the perturbation. To specify them define first of all the class of symbols

**Definition 2.3.** The space \( S^\nu_\beta \) is the space of the functions (symbols) \( g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \forall k \geq 0 \) there exists \( C_k \) with the property that
\[
\|\partial^k_x g(x)\| \leq C_k(x)^{\beta - k}, \quad \langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + x^2}.
\]

The frequencies \( \omega \) will be assumed to vary in the set
\[
\Omega := [1, 2]^n ,
\]
or in suitable closed subsets \( \tilde{\Omega} \). We will denote by \( |\tilde{\Omega}| \) the measure of the set \( \tilde{\Omega} \).

Our main result is the following Theorem whose proof will occupy the rest of the paper.

**Theorem 2.4.** Assume that \( W_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n; S^{\beta_0}_V) \), \( W_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n; S^{\beta_1}_V) \) are real valued and define
\[
\beta := \max\{\beta_0, [\beta_1 + 1]\}
\]
where \( [\beta_1 + 1] := \max\{\beta_1 + 1, 0\} \). Assume \( \beta < 2\ell - 1 \) and \( \beta_1 \leq \ell \).
Then there exists $C, \epsilon_\ast > 0$ and $\forall |\epsilon| < \epsilon_\ast$ a closed set $\Omega(\epsilon) \subset \Omega$ and, $\forall \omega \in \Omega(\epsilon)$ there exists a unitary (in $L^2$) time quasiperiodic map $U_\omega(\omega t)$ s.t. defining $\phi$ by $U_\omega(\omega t)\phi = \psi$, it satisfies the equation
\[i \dot{\phi} = H_\infty \phi,\] (2.7)
with $H_\infty = \text{diag}(\lambda_j^\infty)$, with $\lambda_j^\infty = \lambda_j^\infty(\omega, \epsilon)$ independent of time and
\[|\lambda_j^\infty - \lambda_j^0| \leq C\epsilon_j^{\beta \ell + 1}.\] (2.8)
Furthermore one has
1. $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} |\Omega - \Omega(\epsilon)| = 0$;
2. $\forall s, r \geq 0$, $\exists \epsilon_{s,r} > 0$ and $s_r$ s.t., if $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_{s,r}$ then the map $\phi \mapsto U_\omega(\phi)$ is of class $C^r(T^n; B(H^{s+r}; H^r))$; when $r = 0$ one has $s_0 = 0$.
3. $\exists b > 0$ s.t. $\|U_\omega(\phi) - 1\|_{B(H^{s+r}; H^r)} \leq C\epsilon^b$.

As usual, boundedness of Sobolev norms and pure point nature of the Floquet spectrum follow.

Remark 2.5. Actually the result holds for perturbations belonging to a more general class of symbols. See Definition 3.1 below.

Remark 2.6. For perturbations $W$ belonging to a more particular class of symbols (see Definition 3.5 below), the same conclusion holds for perturbations of order (as defined again in Definition 3.5) $\beta < 2\ell$. The result is probably optimal within such a class of symbols.

3 Proof

First remark that, given a Schrödinger equation
\[i \partial_t \psi = H(\omega t)\psi\] (3.1)
and a quasi periodic family of unitary transformations $U(\omega t)$, under the change of coordinates $\psi = U(\omega t)\phi$, the system (3.1) transforms into the system $i \dot{\phi} = H_+(\omega t)\phi$ where
\[H_+(\phi) = U_{\omega*}H(\phi) := U(\phi)^{-1}\left(H(\phi)U(\phi) - i\omega \cdot \partial_\phi U(\phi)\right)\] (3.2)

3.1 Symbols

To start with, we recall a few classes of symbols essentially coinciding with those introduced in [Bam17] (see also [HRS2, Bam18]). Define
\[\lambda(x, \xi) := \left(1 + \xi^2 + |x|^{2\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\ell}}.\] (3.3)

Definition 3.1. The space $S^{m_1, m_2}$ is the space of the symbols $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\forall k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ there exists $C_{k_1, k_2}$ with the property that
\[\left|\partial_{\xi}^{k_1}\partial_{x}^{k_2}g(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{k_1, k_2} |\lambda(x, \xi)|^{m_1 - \ell k_1} |x|^{m_2 - k_2}.\] (3.4)
First we remark that $S_{m_1 + m_2} \subset S_{m_1 + [m_2]}$ and $S_0 \subset S_{0,m}$.

To a symbol $g \in S_{m_1,m_2}$, we associate its Weyl quantization, namely the operator $Op^w(g)$, defined by

$$Op^w(g)\psi(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} g \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) \psi(y) dy d\xi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

**Definition 3.2.** An operator $G$ will be said to be pseudodifferential of class $OPS_{m_1,m_2}$ if there exists a symbol $g \in S_{m_1,m_2}$ such that $G = Op^w(g)$.

**Remark 3.3.** If $W \in S_{W}^m$ is a function, by direct computation one has

$$Op^w(\xi W(x)) = -iW\partial_x - \frac{i}{2} W_x = -i\partial_x \circ W - W\partial_x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

In particular $H_0$ is the Weyl quantization of the symbol

$$h_0(x,\xi) := \xi^2 + V(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

and $H$ is the Weyl quantization of

$$h(x,\xi,\omega t) = (\xi - eW_1(x,\omega t))^2 + V(x) + \epsilon W_0(x,\omega t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

It is well known that given two symbols $a \in S_{m_1,m_2}$ and $b \in S_{m_1',m_2'}$ there exists a symbol $g \in S_{m_1 + m_1',m_2 + m_2'}$ such that $Op^w(a)Op^w(b) = Op^w(g)$. One denotes $a \# b := g$, furthermore, one has $g = ab + S_{m_1 + m_1', m_2 + m_2'}$ and there exists a full asymptotic expansion of $a \# b$. Furthermore the symbol $(a \# b - b \# a)/i$ of $1/i$ times the commutator of the two Weyl operators is called Moyal Bracket of $a$ and $b$ and will be denoted by $\{a; b\}_M$. It turns out that $S_{m_1 + m_1' - \ell, m_2 + m_2' - 1} \ni \{a; b\}_M$ furthermore, one has $\{a; b\}_M = \{a; b\} + S_{m_1 + m_2 - 2\ell, m_1 + m_2 - 2}$, where $\{; ;\}$ denotes the Poisson Bracket.

The application of the Calderon Vaillancourt Theorem yields the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $g \in S_{m_1,m_2}$, then one has

$$Op^w(g) \in B(H^{s_1}; H^s), \quad \forall s, \quad \forall s_1 \geq m_1 + [m_2].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

In the proof we will also need the classes of symbols used in [Bam15], thus we recall the corresponding definitions

**Definition 3.5.** The space $S_{\infty}$ is the space of the symbols $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\forall k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ there exists $C_{k_1, k_2}$ with the property that

$$\left| \partial_x^{k_1} \partial_\xi^{k_2} g(x,\xi) \right| \leq C_{k_1, k_2} [\lambda(x,\xi)]^{m - \ell k_1 - k_2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

Given a symbol $g \in S_{\infty}$, we say that the corresponding Weyl operator $Op^w(g)$ belongs to the class $OPS_{\infty}$.

In order to deal with functions $p$ which depend on $(x,\xi)$ through $h_0$ only, namely such that there exist a $\tilde{p}$ with the property that

$$p(x,\xi) = \tilde{p}(h_0(x,\xi)),$$

we introduce the following class of symbols.

**Definition 3.6.** A function $\tilde{p} \in C^\infty$ will be said to be of class $\tilde{S}_{\infty}$ if one has

$$\left| \partial_x^k \tilde{p}(E) \right| \leq \langle E \rangle^{-k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

Sometimes symbols of this class are also called classical symbols.

By abuse of notation, we will say that $p \in \tilde{S}_{\infty}$ if there exists $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{S}_{\infty}$ s.t. $p(x,\xi) = \tilde{p}(h_0(x,\xi))$. We say that the corresponding Weyl operator $Op^w(p)$ belongs to the class $\tilde{OPS}_{\infty}$.
3.2 Reduction of $W_1$

**Lemma 3.7.** There exists $b \in C^\infty (\mathbb{T}^n; S_V^{[\beta_1 + 1]})$ s.t. the transformation

$$U^{(1)}(\phi) : \psi(x) \mapsto e^{-ib(\phi,x)}\psi(x)$$

conjugates (1.2) to

$$H^{(1)}(\phi) := -\partial_{xx} + V(x) + \epsilon W_0^{(1)}(\phi,x), \quad W_0^{(1)} := W_0 - \omega \cdot \partial_\phi b \in C^\infty (\mathbb{T}^n, S_V^\beta)$$

where $\beta := \max\{\beta_0, [\beta_1 + 1]\} < 2\ell - 1$.

If $\beta_1 \leq \ell$ then (3.12) maps $\mathcal{H}^s$ into itself.

Remark that $W_0^{(1)} \in S^{0,\beta}$.

**Proof.** One has

$$[U^{(1)}]^{-1} \circ (i\partial_x) \circ U^{(1)} = i\partial_x - \epsilon b_x,$$

$$-[U^{(1)}]^{-1} \circ \left( i\omega \cdot \partial_\phi U^{(1)} \right) = -\epsilon \omega \cdot \partial_\phi b,$$

while the operators of multiplication are invariant under the transformation (with $\phi$ considered as a parameter). Thus, if we define $b$ by

$$b(\phi,x) = \int_0^x W_1(\phi,y)dy,$$

we get $b \in S^{[\beta_1 + 1]}$ and $i\partial_x + W_1(x,\phi)$ is conjugated to the differential operator $i\partial_x$ and thus (1.2) is conjugated to (3.13).

In order to show that the spaces $\mathcal{H}^s$ are left invariant by the transformation generated by $b$ we apply Theorem 1.2 of [MR17] according to which it is enough to verify that $[b, K_0]K_0^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. This is easily verified by remarking that, its principal symbol is

$$\{b, h_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\}h_0^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}$$

and thus, by explicit computation of the Poisson bracket, one gets that this the symbol of a bounded operator provided $\beta_1 \leq \ell$.

3.3 Smoothing theorems

The conjugation of $H^{(1)}$ to a Hamiltonian with a smoothing perturbation is obtained through the combination of a few smoothing theorems which essentially have already been proved in previous papers, but are here combined in a new way. For the proof we mostly refer to the original papers.

**Theorem 3.8.** (Smoothing Theorem 1) Consider the Hamiltonian (3.13) and assume

$$\beta < 2\ell - 1;$$
fix an arbitrary $\kappa > 0$, then there exists a time dependent family of unitary transformations $U^{(2)}(\phi)$ which transform the Hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$ into a pseudo-differential operator $H^{(2)}$ with symbol $h^{(2)}$ given by
\begin{equation}
  h^{(2)}(\phi, x, \xi) = h_0(x, \xi) + \varepsilon z^{(2)}(h_0(x, \xi), \phi) + \varepsilon^2 r^{(2)}(h_0(x, \xi), \phi)
\end{equation}
where $z^{(2)} \in C^\infty(T^n; \tilde{S}^3)$ is a function of $(x, \xi)$ through $h_0$ only, while the remainder fulfills
\begin{equation}
  r^{(2)} \in C^\infty(T^n; S^{-\kappa,0}).
\end{equation}
Furthermore, one has
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \forall r \geq 0, \exists s_r \text{ st., the map } \phi \mapsto U^{(2)}(\phi) \text{ is of class } C^r(T^n; B(H^{s+s_r}; H^s)) \text{ when } r = 0 \text{ one has } s_0 = 0. \)
  \item \( \|U^{(2)}(\phi) - 1\|_{B(H^{s+s_r}; H^s)} \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon. \)
\end{enumerate}
The proof is essentially identical to the proof of Theorem 3.19 of [Bam17], the difference is that one makes the first transformation reducing (3.13) to the form (3.41) of [Bam17] and then, instead of eliminating the time dependence from the average of $W_0^{(1)}$, one iterates the previous step (as in [BGMR17]), thus getting a normal form which is a function of time, but depending on the space variables through $h_0$ only. The main point is that this normal form constitute a new time dependent perturbation which is of class $C^\infty(T^n; S^3)$.

**Remark 3.9.** The limitation $\beta < 2\ell - 1$ is needed in the proof of the above theorem. In particular it is needed in order to ensure that, if $\chi \in S^{3-\ell+1,0}$ and $\Phi^\varepsilon_\chi$ is the corresponding Hamiltonian flow, then, given a symbol $f$ of some class, $f \circ \Phi^\varepsilon_\chi$ is also a symbol of the same class.

We now apply Theorem 3.8 of [BGMR17] which gives.

**Theorem 3.10.** [Smoothing Theorem 2] Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.8 there exists a unitary (time-dependent) operator $U^{(3)}(\phi)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which transforms $H^{(2)}$ (and thus the Hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$) into the Hamiltonian
\begin{equation}
  H^{(3)}(\phi) := H_0 + \varepsilon Z^{(3)}(\phi) + \varepsilon R^{(3)}(\phi)
\end{equation}
where $Z^{(3)}(\phi) \in C^\infty(T^n, \tilde{OPS}^3)$ commutes with $H_0$, i.e. $[Z^{(3)}(\omega t), H_0] = 0$, while $R^{(3)} \in C^\infty(T^n, OPS^{-\kappa,0})$. Furthermore, one has
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \forall r \geq 0, \exists s_r \text{ st., the map } \phi \mapsto U^{(3)}(\phi) \text{ is of class } C^r(T^n; B(H^{s+s_r}; H^s)) \text{ when } r = 0 \text{ one has } s_0 = 0. \)
  \item \( \|U^{(3)}(\phi) - 1\|_{B(H^{s+s_r}; H^s)} \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon. \)
\end{enumerate}

**Proof.** First we recall that according to Theorem (7-8) of [HRS2] there exists a pseudodifferential operator $Q \in OPS^{-(\ell+1)}$ s.t.
\begin{equation}
  H_0 = K_1^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + Q, \quad \text{and} \quad [K_1; Q] = 0,
\end{equation}
and the spectrum of $K_1$ is $\{ j + \sigma \}_{j \geq 0}$ with $\sigma > 0$. Remark that $K_1$ and $Q$ are diagonal on the basis of the eigenfunctions of $H_0$. Then Theorem 3.8 of [BGMR17] applies and gives the result with $Z^{(3)}$ which commutes with $K_1$, however, since the eigenvalues of $K_1$ are simple, $Z^{(3)}$ commutes also with $H_0$. 

\footnote{The difference between the operator $K_1$ and the operator $K_0$ introduced previously, is that the spectrum of $K_0$ is asymptotically equal to $j + \sigma$, while the spectrum of $K_1$ is exactly equal to $j + \sigma$.}
Remark 3.11. By the previous theorem, the matrix of the operator $Z^{(3)}(\omega t)$ is diagonal on the basis of the eigenfunctions of $H_0$. Thus on this basis

$$Z^{(3)}(\phi) = \text{diag}(\mu_j(\phi))$$

with suitable smooth functions $\mu_j(\phi)$ which satisfy for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimate $|\mu_j|_{C^m(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq C_m j^{\frac{d}{\ell} - \kappa}$ for a suitable constant $C_m > 0$.

We are now going to show that, due to the property that $Z^{(3)}(\omega t)$ is a pseudodifferential operator, the $\mu_j$’s are essentially smooth functions of the eigenvalues of $H_0$, i.e. of $\lambda_j^\ell$.

Lemma 3.12. For any $\kappa$ there exists a smooth function $\langle z^{(3)} \rangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n; S^\beta)$ and a sequence of functions $\delta_j(\phi)$ s.t.

$$\mu_j(\phi) = \langle z^{(3)} \rangle(\lambda_j^\ell, \phi) + \delta_j(\phi),$$

and, for any $m \geq 0$, there exist $C_m$ s.t.

$$|\delta_j|_{C^m(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq C_m j^{-\kappa}.$$ (3.22)

Proof. Denote by $z^{(3)}$ be the symbol of $Z^{(3)}$ (where we drop the dependence on $t$). Let $\eta(E)$ be a smooth compactly supported function and write

$$z^{(3)} = z^{(3)}_0 + z^{(3)}_R,$$

where $z^{(3)}_R(x, \xi, \omega t) := z^{(3)}(x, \xi, \omega t)\eta(h_0(x, \xi))$ and $z^{(3)}_0 := z^{(3)} - z^{(3)}_R$.

By the commutation property one has

$$\{z^{(3)}, h_0\}_M = 0 \implies \{z^{(3)}_0, h_0\} =: \delta \in S^{3-\ell-3}.$$ (3.23)

Denote by $\Phi^\tau_{h_0}$ the flow of the Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian $h_0$ and define the average of $z^{(3)}_0$ by

$$\langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(x, \xi, \phi) := \frac{1}{T(E)} \int_0^{T(E)} z^{(3)}_0(\Phi^\tau_{h_0}(x, \xi), \phi) d\tau \bigg|_{E=h_0(x, \xi)},$$ (3.24)

where $T(E)$ is the period of the classical orbits of $h_0$ at energy $E$. By Lemma 4.16 of [Bam18], one has $\langle z^{(3)} \rangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n, S^\beta)$.

Define now

$$z^{(3)}_0 := z^{(3)} - \langle z^{(3)} \rangle,$$

Remark that the average of $\delta$ vanishes. So that $z^{(3)}_0$ is the only solution with zero average of the equation

$$\{h_0; z^{(3)}_0\}_M = \delta.$$ (\text{3.23})

Now, according to Lemma 4.17 of [Bam18], such solution is of class $S^{\beta-2(\ell+1)}$. It follows that $z^{(3)}_0 \in S^{\beta-2(\ell+1)}$. Furthermore, by standard argument one has that $\langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(x, \xi) = \langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(h_0(x, \xi), \phi)$ depends on $(x, \xi)$ through $h_0$ only. Finally, by functional calculus one has that the Weyl operator of $\langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(h_0, \phi)$ is given by

$$\langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(H_0) + OPS^{\beta-(\ell+1)}. $$ (3.25)

Thus one has

$$Z^{(3)} = \langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(H_0) + OPS^{\beta-(\ell+1)}.$$ (3.26)

Repeating the argument for $Z^{(3)} - \langle z^{(3)}_0 \rangle(H_0)$, and iterating, one gets the result. \hfill \Box
3.4 Elimination of time from $Z^{(3)}$ and preparation for KAM theory

In this section we eliminate time from $Z^{(3)}$ and we get a system suitable for the application of the KAM Theorem 7.3 of [Bam18].

First we fix a $\tau > n - 1$ and define the set $\Omega_\gamma$ of Diophantine frequencies with constant $\gamma$ by

**Definition 3.13.** The frequencies $\omega$ belonging to the set

$$\Omega_\gamma := \left\{ \omega \in [1, 2]^{n} : |\omega \cdot k| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \{0\} \right\} \quad (3.26)$$

are called Diophantine.

It is well known that $|\Omega - \Omega_\gamma| \leq C\gamma$ for a suitable positive constant $C$.

In the following we will denote by $\text{Lip} \left( \Omega_\gamma; C^r \left( \mathbb{T}^{n}; \mathcal{B}(H^s; H^{s-\beta}) \right) \right)$ the space of Lipschitz functions from $\Omega_\gamma$ to $C^r \left( \mathbb{T}^{n}; \mathcal{B}(H^s; H^{s'}) \right)$.

**Lemma 3.14.** Define

$$\bar{z}^{(3)}(E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \langle z^{(3)}(E, \phi) \rangle d^n\phi,$$

then $\bar{z}^{(3)} \in \tilde{S}^0$ and, for $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma$, there exists a unitary (time-dependent) operator $U^{(4)}(\omega t)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which transforms $H^{(4)}(\phi)$ into

$$H^{(4)}(\phi) := A_0 + \epsilon R_0(\phi), \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$A_0 := \text{diag}(\lambda^{(0)}_j(\omega)), \quad (3.28)$$

$$\lambda^{(0)}_j(\omega) = \lambda^v_j + \bar{z}^{(3)}(\lambda^v_j). \quad (3.29)$$

Furthermore, one has

1. $\forall r \geq 0$, the map $\phi \mapsto U^{(4)}(\phi)$ is of class $C^r \left( \mathbb{T}^{n}; \mathcal{B}(H^s; H^{s-\beta}) \right)$.

2. $\|U^{(4)}(\phi) - 1\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^{s+\beta}; H^s)} \leq C_\epsilon \epsilon$.

3. For all $r$ one has $R_0 := \text{Lip} \left( \Omega_\gamma; C^r \left( \mathbb{T}^{n}; \mathcal{B}(H^s; H^{s+\kappa-\beta+1}) \right) \right)$.

**Proof.** The transformation is obtained by eliminating time from $H_0 + \epsilon Z^{(3)}$. To this end remark that, since $Z^{(3)}$ is diagonal, the Schrödinger equation with such Hamiltonian is a sequence of scalar equations of the form

$$i\dot{\psi}_j = \lambda^v_j \psi_j + \epsilon \mu_j(\omega t)\psi_j,$$

where, according to (3.21), $\mu_j$ has a smooth dependence on $\lambda^v_j$ according (3.21).

In order to eliminate time dependence from this equation consider the Fourier expansion

$$\mu_j(\phi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \mu_{j,k} e^{ik\cdot\phi},$$

and define

$$c_j(\phi) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\mu_{j,k}}{i\omega \cdot k} e^{ik\cdot\phi}.$$ 

Then the transformation $U^{(3)}$ is defined by $\psi_j \mapsto e^{-ic_j(\omega t)}\psi_j$. A simple analysis of the transformation shows that it fulfills the properties 1-3.

As a final step we state a corollary which shows that $H^{(4)}$ fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 7.3 of [Bam18] which thus gives the result.
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Corollary 3.15. For any positive $\gamma$, $r$, $\kappa$, there exists a set $\overline{\Omega}_\gamma \subset \Omega_\gamma$, positive $a$ and $\epsilon_*$ s.t., if $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_*$ then, for any $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma$, the unitary (in $L^2$) operator $U_1 := U^{(1)} \circ U^{(2)} \circ U^{(3)} \circ U^{(4)}$ conjugates (12) to (3.27), furthermore, the following properties hold

$$|\Omega_\gamma \setminus \Omega_\gamma^{(0)}| \leq C\gamma^a,$$

$$A_0 := \text{diag}(\lambda_j^{(0)}),$$

with $\lambda_j^{(0)} = \lambda_j^{(0)}(\omega)$ Lipschitz dependent on $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma$ and fulfilling the following inequalities (with $d = 2\ell/(\ell + 1)$)

$$|\lambda_j^{(0)} - \lambda_j^{(0)}| \leq j^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

$$|\lambda_j^{(0)} - \lambda_i^{(0)}| \geq |i^d - j^d|,$$

$$|\Delta (\lambda_i^{(0)} - \lambda_j^{(0)})/\Delta \omega| \leq \epsilon |i^d - j^d|,$$

$$|\lambda_i^{(0)} - \lambda_j^{(0)} + \omega \cdot k| \geq \frac{\gamma(1 + |i^d - j^d|)}{1 + |k|^r}, \quad |i - j| + |k| \neq 0,$$

where, as usual, for any Lipschitz function $f$ we denoted $\Delta f = f(\omega) - f(\omega')$.

Furthermore, one has

1. the map $\phi \mapsto U_1(\phi)$ is of class $C^r(T^n; B(H^s; H^{s-\beta r}))$.

2. $\|U_1(\phi) - 1\|_{B(H^s; H^{s-\beta r})} \leq C_\epsilon \epsilon$.

3. One has $R_0 \in \text{Lip}(\Omega_\gamma; C^r(T^n; B(H^s; H^{s+\kappa})))$.

We end the section by remarking that Theorem 2.4 is now an immediate consequence of the Theorem 7.3 of [Bam18].
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