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Abstract

A new family of matrix variate distributions indexed by elliptical models are proposed in this work. The so called multimatrixvariate distributions emerge as a generalization of the bimatrix variate distributions based on matrix variate gamma distributions and independence. Some properties and special cases of the multimatrixvariate distributions are also derived. Two new interesting Jacobians in the area are also provided. Finally, an application for time dependent data of DNA molecules is studied.

1 Introduction

New challenges for modeling random variables in the real world, demand advanced statistical analysis of the experiments and the phenomena. In that sense, the statistical literature proposes a number of approaches dealing with complex situations. For example, the assumption of normality has ruled the statistics for decades, but the existence of multiple situations which do not fulfill such requirement has forced new insights based on robust distributions. For example, the elliptical contoured distributions provide a wide class of flexible functions with different kurtosis, tails and properties. This family includes most of the well known univariate, multivariate or matrix variate symmetric distributions, such as: normal, Pearson VII (t and Cauchy)and II, Laplace, Bessel, Kotz, among many others.

The elliptical distributions constitute a robust approach against normality but also demand advanced theory for dealing with probabilistic dependent models. The literature reports that including the dependency is not an easy task, and the theorists prefers models under a weak initial condition based on independence. Then the experts, users of the statistics, do not have any choice for modeling under dependence.
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For example, some experiments involving two random variables, say $X$ and $Y$, usually assume independent probabilistic densities; in this case, $dF_X(x)$ and $dG_Y(y)$, respectively. Then, the joint density function of $X$ and $Y$, is just given by the product $dF_X(x)dG_Y(y)$.

However, the complex phenomena generally do not accept such independence assumption. For example, consider the temperature and rainfall in a context of certain model in hydrology. For practical uses the variables are considered independent ruled by a normal and a gamma distribution, respectively. But any expert knows that the occurrence of one depends on the occurrence of the other variable.

Then we ask for a joint distribution when $X$ and $Y$ are not probabilistically independent. Moreover, we demand that the marginal distributions correspond to the distributions under independence.

In the univariate case, several bivariate type distributions has been proposed, the list includes the pairs: gamma-beta, gamma-gamma, beta-beta among others. They have been applied in hydrology, finance and other areas, see for example Libby and Novick (1982), Chen and Novick (2002), Nadarajah (2005, 2013) and Sarabia et al. (2014) and the references therein. In a general setting, the bimatrix variate type distribution have been studied by several authors, see Olkin and Rubin (1964), Díaz-García, and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2010, 2011), and Bekker et al. (2011), and the references therein. In particular, Ehlers (2011) presents a complete exposition of the advances in this difficult topic. As usual the univariate and multivariate cases are the most common in literature, but the matrix variate case counts few works.

Moreover, there is an important problem in the referred literature of the univariate, multivariate and matrix variate cases. The associated density functions, are based on the joint density function of three independent random variables (scalar, vector or matrix). Then under certain change of variables the "dependent" model is obtained. Clearly, the underlying initial independence keeps the model far from an authentic probabilistic dependent model.

Alternatively, the present article, provides a natural extension to $n$ random matrices in the context of a real subjacent probabilistic dependence. In this case we will start with the joint density function of $k$ dependent probabilistically random matrices. Then, we propose the joint density function of two or more random matrices under dependence, where the corresponding marginals distribution are known. This will be termed multimatrix variate distributions. In Section 2 new results on special Jacobians and some integrals are derived. The central results are presented in Section 3. Some properties of multimatrix variate distributions are obtained in Section 4. Finally an application of DNA is showed in Section 5.

2 Preliminary results

Some basic results about computations of Jacobians and density functions are provided next.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times m}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times m}$, matrices with mathematically independent elements.

i) Define $Y = X(I_m - X'X)^{-1/2}$. Then

$$ (dY) = |I_m - X'X|^{-(n+m+1)/2} (dX), $$

(1)

ii) If $X = Y(I_m + Y'Y)^{-1/2}$, we have

$$ (dX) = |I_m + Y'Y|^{-(n+m+1)/2} (dY), $$

(2)

where $n \geq m$. 
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Proof. i) Define \( A = Y'Y = (I_m - X'X)^{-1/2}X'X(I_m - X'X)^{-1/2} \) and \( B = X'X \), then by Muirhead [2005, Theorem 2.1.14, p. 66], for \( H_1, G_1 \in V_{m,n} \)

\[
(dY) = 2^{-m}|A|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dA)(H_1'dH_1) \\
(dX) = 2^{-m}|B|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dB)(G_1'dG_1),
\]

thus

\[
(dA) = 2^m|A|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dY)(H_1'dH_1)^{-1} \tag{3}
\]

\[
(dB) = 2^m|B|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dX)(G_1'dG_1)^{-1}. \tag{4}
\]

Also, observe that

\[
A = (I_m - X'X)^{-1/2}X'X(I_m - X'X)^{-1/2} \\
= (I_m - B)^{-1/2}B(I_m - B)^{-1/2} \\
= [B^{-1}(I_m - B)]^{-1/2} [B^{-1}(I_m - B)]^{-1/2} \\
= (B^{-1} - I_m)^{-1/2} (B^{-1} - I_m)^{-1/2} \\
= (B^{-1} - I_m)^{-1} = (I_m - B)^{-1}B = (I_m - B)^{-1} - I_m.
\]

Hence, from Muirhead [2005, Theorem 2.1.8, p. 59], we have that

\[
(dA) = |I_m - B|^{-(m+1)}(dB). \tag{5}
\]

Substituting (5) into (4) and equating to (3) we have

\[
2^m|A|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dY)(H_1'dH_1)^{-1} = 2^m|I_m - B|^{-(m+1)}|B|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dX)(G_1'dG_1)^{-1}.
\]

Then, by the uniqueness of the nonnormalized measure on Stiefel manifold, \( (H_1'dH_1) = (G_1'dG_1) \). Thus,

\[
(dY) = |A|^{-(n-m-1)/2}|I_m - B|^{-(m+1)}|B|^{-(n-m-1)/2}(dX).
\]

And using \( |A| = |(I_m - X'X)^{-1/2}X'X(I_m - X'X)^{-1/2}| = |(I_m - X'X)|^{-1}|X'X| \) and \( |B| = |X'X| \), the required result is obtained.

ii). The proof is similar to the preceding exposition given in i). \( \square \)

Now, \( Z \) or order \( N \times m \) has a matrix variate elliptical distribution with respect to the Lebesgue measure \( (dZ) \), if its density function is given by

\[
dF_Z(Z) \propto |\Sigma|^{-N/2}|\Theta|^{-m/2}h \left( (Z - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(Z - \mu) \Theta^{-1} \right) (dZ). \tag{6}
\]

The location parameter is \( \mu : N \times m \); and the scale parameters are given by the positive definite matrices \( \Sigma : N \times N \) and \( \Theta : m \times m \). The distribution is denoted by \( Z \sim \mathcal{E}_{N \times m}(\mu, \Sigma, \Theta; h) \), and indexed by the kernel function \( h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \), where \( \int_0^\infty u^{N-m-1}h(u^2)du < \infty \).

A special case of a matrix variate elliptical distribution appears when \( \mu = 0, \Sigma = I_N \) and \( \Theta = I_m \). In that situation we say that \( Z \) has a matrix variate spherical distribution.
3 Multimatricvariate distributions

First, start with \( X \sim E_{N \times m}(0, I_N, I_m; h) \), such that \( n_1 + \cdots + n_k = N \), and \( X = (X'_1, \ldots, X'_k)' \). Then (6) can be written as

\[
dF_{X_1, \ldots, X_k}(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = h(\text{tr}(X'_1X_1 + \cdots + X'_kX_k)) \prod_{i=1}^{k} (dX_i),
\]

where \( X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m} \), \( n_i \geq m \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Note that the random matrices \( X_1, \ldots, X_k \) are probabilistically independent. Only under a matrix variate normal distribution, the random matrices are independent.

Now, define \( W_i = X_iX_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), therefore from Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.14, p. 66)

\[
(dX_i) = 2^{-m}[W_i | (n_i - m - 1)/2](dW_i)(H_i dH_i),
\]

where \( H_i \in V_{m,n_i} = \{ H_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}; H_i^T H_i = I_m \} \). \( V_{m,n_i} \) is termed the Stiefel manifold. Hence

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} (dX_i) = 2^{-mk} \prod_{i=1}^{k} [W_i | (n_i - m - 1)/2] \prod_{i=1}^{k} (dW_i) \prod_{i=1}^{k} (H_i dH_i),
\]

and by Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.15, p. 70)

\[
\int_{H_1 \in V_{m,n_1}} \cdots \int_{H_k \in V_{m,n_k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (H_i dH_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \int_{H_i \in V_{m,n_i}} (H_i dH_i) = \frac{2^{km} \pi^{Nm/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_m[n_i/2]}.
\]

Thus the joint density \( dF_{W_1, \ldots, W_k}(W_1, \ldots, W_k) \) is given by

\[
\pi^{Nm/2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|W_i|^{(n_i - m - 1)/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_i/2]} \right) h(\text{tr}(W_1 + \cdots + W_k)) \prod_{i=1}^{k} (dW_i). \tag{7}
\]

This distribution was studied in Fang and Zhang (1990) and it will be called multimatricvariate generalised Wishart distribution. Now, let \( \Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i} \) be positive definite matrices, with \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), and define \( V_i = \Sigma_i^{1/2} W_i \Sigma_i^{1/2} \), \( \Sigma_i^{1/2} \) is the positive definite square root of \( \Sigma_i \), i.e., \( \Sigma_i = \Sigma_i^{1/2} \Sigma_i^{1/2} \), see Muirhead (2003, Theorem A9.3, p. 588). Hence, from Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.6, p. 58) (7) \( dW_i = |\Sigma_i|^{-(m-1)/2}(dV_i) \). And

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} (dW_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\Sigma_i|^{-(m-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (dV_i).
\]

Then, by (7), the joint density \( dF_{V_1, \ldots, V_k}(V_1, \ldots, V_k) \) is given by

\[
\pi^{Nm/2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|V_i|^{(n_i - m - 1)/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_i/2] |\Sigma_i|^{n_i/2}} \right) h(\text{tr}(\Sigma_1^{-1} V_1 + \cdots + \Sigma_k^{-1} V_k)) \prod_{i=1}^{k} (dV_i). \tag{8}
\]

A simple particular case is the normal bivariate distribution, full derived by Nadarajah (2007).

Now, a generalization of the bivariate gamma-beta distribution of Nadarajah (2013) is provided next in the context of a multimatricvariate distribution under an elliptical model:
Theorem 3.1. Assume that $X = (X'_0, \ldots, X'_k)'$ has a matrix variate spherical distribution, with $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}$, $n_i \geq m$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$. Define $V_0 = X'_0X_0$ and $T_i = X_iV_0^{-1/2}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then, the joint density $dF_{V_0, T_1, \ldots, T_k}(V_0, T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ is given by

$$
\frac{\pi^{n_0m/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_0/2]} |V_0|^{(n^* - m - 1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} V_0 \left( I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k T'_i T_i \right) \right) \left( dV_0 \right) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dT_i \right),
$$

(9)

where $n^* = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k$, $V_0 > 0$ and $T_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. This distribution will be termed multivariate Wishart-T distribution. Moreover, the so called multivariate Wishart distribution is the marginal density $dF_{T_1, \ldots, T_k}(T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ of $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ and is given by

$$
\frac{\Gamma_m[n^*/2]}{\pi^{m(n^*-n_0)/2} \Gamma_m[n_0/2]} \left| I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k T'_i T_i \right|^{-(n^*/2)} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dT_i \right).
$$

(10)

Proof. The joint density of $X_0, \ldots, X_k$ is

$$
dF_{X_0, \ldots, X_k}(X_1, \ldots, X_k) = h((X'_0X_0 + \cdots + X'_kX_k))^k \bigwedge_{i=0}^k (dX_i).
$$

Then, by setting $V_0 = X'_0X_0$ and $T_i = X_iV_0^{-1/2}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we have by Muirhead (2005, theorems 2.1.4 and 2.1.14),

$$
\bigwedge_{i=0}^k (dX_i) = 2^{-m} |V_0|^{(n_0 - m - 1)/2} (dV_0) \wedge (H'_1 dH_1) \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |V_0|^{n_i/2} \right) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dT_i),
$$

where $H_1 \in V_{m, n_0}$. Thus the joint density $dF_{V_0, H_1, T_1, \ldots, T_k}(V_0, H_1, T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ is

$$
|V_0|^{(n^* - m - 1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} V_0 \left( I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k T'_i T_i \right) \right) \left( dV_0 \right) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dT_i \right) \wedge (H'_1 dH_1).
$$

where $n^* = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k$.

The integration over the Stiefel manifold, by using Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.15), provides the required marginal density \[ \mathbb{V} \] of $V_0, T_1, \ldots, T_k$.

Now, the integration of (9) with respect to $V_0$, by using Gupta and Varga (1993, Corollary 5.1.5.1, p.169) or Caro-Lopera et al. (2010, Corollary 2, p. 34), gives the marginal density of $T_1, \ldots, T_k$. Then, the marginal density is invariant under the elliptical family and is given by (10). \[ \square \]

Now, taking $F_i = T'_iT_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following multivariate Wishart distributions.

Theorem 3.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 define $F_i = T'_iT_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then the joint density $dF_{V_0, F_1, \ldots, F_k}(V_0, F_1, \ldots, F_k)$ is

$$
\frac{\pi^{mn^*/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_m[n_i/2]} |V_0|^{(n^* - m - 1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^k |F_i|^{(n_i - m - 1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} V_0 \left( I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k F_i \right) \right) \left( dV_0 \right) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dF_i \right).
$$
This distribution will be termed multimatricvariate Wishart-beta type II distribution. The associated marginal density function \( dF_{F_1, \ldots, F_k}(F_1, \ldots, F_k) \) is given by

\[
\frac{\Gamma_m[n^*/2]}{\prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_m[n_i/2]} \prod_{i=1}^k |F_i|^{(n_i-m-1)/2} \left| I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k F_i \right|^{-n^*/2} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dF_i). \tag{11}
\]

This distribution will be called multimatricvariate Pearson type II distribution.

Proof. Defining \( F_i = T_i' T_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \), and applying Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.14), we obtain

\[
\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dT_i \right) = 2^{-mk} \prod_{i=1}^k |F_i|^{(n_i-m-1)/2} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \left( dF_i \right) \bigwedge \left( H_i dH_i \right),
\]

where \( H_i \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k \). Then, integrating iteratively with respect to \( H_i \), the results are obtained from (9) and (10), respectively, see Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.15, p. 70).

Now, consider the Gaussian kernel \( h(y) = (2\pi)^{-n^*/2} e^{-y^2/2} \) in Theorem 3.2, then a number of published results for bivariate and bimatrix cases are obtained. But they were originally fully derived under a context of independence, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2010a), Ehlers (2011), and Naderajah (2013). Using a different approach, the normal case of (11) was derived by Olkin and Rubin (1964, Theorem 3.1).

In a similar way, we can obtain the so called multimatricvariate Wishart-Pearson type II, Pearson type II, Wishart-beta type I and beta type I distributions. The results are based on the theorems 3.1 and 3.2 using the Jacobians of Theorem 2.1. The following result summarizes our approach.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that \( X = (X_0', \ldots, X_k')' \) has a matrix variate spherical distribution, with \( X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}, \quad n_i \geq m, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k \). Define \( W_0 = X_0'X_0 \) and \( R_i = X_i (W_0 + X_i'X_i)^{-1/2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k \). Then the joint density of \( W_0, R_1, \ldots, R_k \), denoted as \( dF_{W_0, R_1, \ldots, R_k}(W_0, R_1, \ldots, R_k) \), is given by

\[
\frac{\pi^{n_0 m/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_0/2]} |W_0|^{(n^*-m-1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} W_0 \left( I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k (I_m - R_i' R_i)^{-1} R_i' R_i \right) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^k |I_m - R_i' R_i|^{-(n_i+m+1)/2} (dW_0) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dR_i), \tag{12}
\]

where \( n^* = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k \), \( W_0 \geq 0 \) and \( R_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k \). This distribution will be termed multimatricvariate Wishart-Pearson type II distribution. Moreover, the marginal density \( dF_{R_1, \ldots, R_k}(R_1, \ldots, R_k) \) is

\[
\frac{\Gamma_m[n^*/2]}{\pi^{n^*/2} \Gamma_m(n^*-n_0)/2} \left| \prod_{i=1}^k (I_m - R_i' R_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j \neq i} (I_m - R_j' R_j) R_i' R_i \right|^{-n^*/2} \times \prod_{i=1}^k |I_m - R_i' R_i|^{\left( \sum_{j=1}^k n_j - m - 1 \right)/2} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dR_i), \tag{13}
\]

which will be called multimatricvariate Pearson type II distribution.
Proof. First, if \( T \) has a matricvariate \( T \) distribution, then \( R = T(I_m + T'T)^{-1/2} \) has a matricvariate Pearson type II distribution, see Dickey (1967). Now, using Theorem 2.1, we have

\[
\bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dT) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^k [I_m - R'_{i}R_i]^{-(n_i+m+1)/2}
\]

Then, (3.3) follows is a consequence of (9), \( T = R(I_m - R'R)^{-1/2} \) and

\[
\left| I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k T'_iT_i \right| = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (I_m - R'_{i}R_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (I_m - R'_{i}R_j)R'_iR_i}{\prod_{i=1}^k |I_m - R'_{i}R_i|}.
\]

Finally, by Gupta and Varga (1993, Corollary 5.1.5.1, p. 169) or Caro-Lopera et al. (2010, Corollary 2, p. 34) for the marginal density of \( R_1, \ldots, R_k \) we have the required result (3.3). \( \square \)

The approach of Theorem 3.2 can be applied under the transformation \( U_i = R'_iR_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, k \). First, by Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.14, p. 66), we have

\[
\bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dR_i) = 2^{-mk} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k |U_i|^{(n_i-m-1)/2} \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dU_i) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (P_i'dP_i),
\]

where \( P_i \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_i}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then, multiple integration with respect to \( P_i \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_i} \), and expressions (3.3) and (3.3) provide the following multimatricvariate distributions.

**Theorem 3.4.** Consider \( U_i = R'_iR_i \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), in Theorem 3.3 then the joint density \( dF_{W_0,U_1,\ldots,U_k}(W_0, U_1, \ldots, U_k) \) is

\[
\frac{\pi^{mn^*/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_m[n_i/2]} \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{|U_i|}{|I_m - U_i|} \right)^{(n_i-m-1)/2}
\]

\[
\times h \left( \text{tr} W_0 \left( I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k (I_m - U_i)^{-1}U_i \right) \right) (dW_0) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dU_i).
\]

This distribution will be termed multimatricvariate Wishart-beta type I distribution. Moreover, the density function \( dF_{U_1,\ldots,U_k}(U_1, \ldots, U_k) \) is written as

\[
\frac{\Gamma_m[n^*/2]}{\prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_m[n_i/2]} \prod_{i=1}^k (I_m - U_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (I_m - U_j)U_i
\]

\[
\prod_{i=1}^k \left( |U_i|^{(n_i-m-1)/2} |I_m - U_i| \left( \sum_{j=1}^k n_j - m - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right) \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (dU_i).
\]

This marginal distribution will be called multimatricvariate beta type I distribution.
Observe that Theorem 3.4 can be derived from Theorem 3.2 by taking \( F = (I_m - U)^{-1} - I_m \), where \( F \) has a multivariate beta type II distribution and \( U \) has a multivariate beta type I distribution.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that the parameter domain of the multivariate generalised Wishart distribution can be extended. This distribution is also valid when \( n_i/2 \) are replaced by \( a_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), where \( N/2 = a = a_1 + \cdots + a_k \) and the \( a_i \)s are complex numbers with positive real part. In this scenario, it will be called *multimatrixvariate generalised Gamma distribution*. The referred extended domain holds for all the multivariate distributions considered in this article, but the geometric and/or statistical interpretation is difficult. For example, the multivariate Wishart-beta type I distribution can be termed as *multimatrixvariate gamma-beta type I distribution*. We emphasize that the derived expressions correspond to families of distributions indexed by kernel functions \( h(\cdot) \) with potential advantage for multiple real situations. We most note that the extended domain has losing the geometric and/or statistics interpretation of the parameters.

### 4 Some properties

In this section we study several properties of the multivariate distributions. They include the marginal distributions and the inverse distributions, among many others aspects.

The construction of the multivariate distributions gives us a simple way of computing the associated marginal distributions. For example if the multivariate

\[
(V_0, R_1', \ldots, R_k')
\]

has a gamma-Pearson type II distribution, then, \( V_0 \) has a multivariate gamma distribution and the \( R_i \)s have a multivariate Pearson type II distributions for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

Now, if \( k = 2 \) and the extended parameters \( n_i/2 = a_i \), \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) are considered in (3.4), we have that \( n^*/2 = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 \) and

\[
\left| \prod_{i=1}^{k} (I_m - U_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} (I_m - U_j) U_i \right| = |(I_m - U_1)(I_m - U_2) + (I_m - U_2)U_1 + (I_m - U_1)U_2| = |I_m - U_1 U_2|.
\]

Thus

\[
dF_{U_1, U_2}(U_1, U_2) = \frac{\Gamma_m[a_0 + a_1 + a_2]}{\Gamma_m[a_0] \Gamma_m[a_1] \Gamma_m[a_2]} |U_1|^{a_1-(m+1)/2} |U_2|^{a_2-(m+1)/2} \frac{|I_m - U_1|^{a_0+a_2-(m+1)/2} |I_m - U_2|^{a_0+a_1-(m+1)/2}}{|I_m - U_1 U_2|^{a_0+a_1+a_2}} (dU_1) \wedge (dU_2).
\]

This is the bimatrix variate beta type I distribution obtained by Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2010a,b) in the real and complex cases (see also Ehlers (2011)). The distribution was derived with an approach based on certain independent variables, instead of the dependent scenario of our method. However, both distributions must coincide given the known invariance property of the elliptical distributions, see Gupta and Varga (1993, Section 5.3, p. 182).

In fact, we can derive a number of multivariate distributions mixing three of more types of joint densities.
Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $X = (X'_0, X'_1, X'_2)'$ has a matrix variate spherical distribution, with $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}$, $n_i \geq m$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. Define $W_0 = X'_0X_0$, $T = X'_1W_0^{-1/2}$, $R = X_2(W_0 + X'_2X_2)^{-1/2}$ and $W = W_0 + X'_2X_2$. Then the joint density $dF_{W,T,R}(W,T,R)$ is given by

$$
\frac{\pi^{n_m/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_0/2]} |W|^{(n^* - m - 1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} W + (I_m - R'R)W^{1/2}T'TW^{1/2} \right) \times |I_m - R'R|^{(n_0 + n_1 - m - 1)/2}(dW) \wedge (dT) \wedge (dR),
$$

where $n^* = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$, $W > 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times m}$. The distribution of $W_0, T, R$ will be termed trimatricvariate Wishart-T-Pearson type II distribution. Moreover, setting $F = T'T$ and $U = R'R$, the density $dF_{W,F,U}(W,F,U)$ is

$$
\frac{\pi^{n^*/2}}{\Gamma_m[n^*_0/2]\Gamma_m[n^*_1/2]\Gamma_m[n^*_2/2]} |W|^{(n^* - m - 1)/2} h \left( \text{tr} W + (I_m - U)W^{1/2}FW^{1/2} \right) \times |I_m - U|^{(n_0 + n_1 - m - 1)/2}|F|^{(n_1 - m - 1)/2}|U|^{(n_2 - m - 1)/2}(dW) \wedge (dF) \wedge (dU),
$$

where $W > 0$, $F > 0$, and $U > 0$. This density will be termed trimatricvariate Wishart-beta type II-beta type I distribution.

Proof. The joint density function of $X_0, X_1, X_2$ is

$$
\frac{1}{|W_0|^{n_0/2}|X_0|^{n_1/2}|X_2|^{n_2/2}} \left\{ \text{tr}(X'_0X_0 + X'_1X_1 + X'_2X_2) \right\} (dX_0) \wedge (dX_1) \wedge (dX_2).
$$

Define $W_0 = X'_0X_0$, hence

$$(dX_0) = 2^{-m} |W_0|^{(n_0 - m - 1)/2}(dW_0)(H_1dH_1),$$

where $H_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_0}$. Now, use

$$
\int_{H_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_0}} (H_1dH_1) = \frac{\pi^{n_m/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_0/2]},
$$

the joint density function of $W_0, X_1, X_2$ is

$$
\frac{\pi^{n_m/2}}{\Gamma_m[n_0/2]} |W_0|^{(n_0 - m - 1)/2} h \left\{ \text{tr}(W_0 + X'_1X_1 + X'_2X_2) \right\} (dW_0) \wedge (dX_1) \wedge (dX_2).
$$

Make the change of variables $T = X'_1W_0^{-1/2}$, $R = X_2(W_0 + X'_2X_2)^{-1/2}$ and $W = W_0 + X'_2X_2$. Therefore

$$(dW_0) \wedge (dX_1) \wedge (dX_2) = |W_0|^{n_1/2} |W_0 + X'_2X_2|^{n_2/2}(dW) \wedge (dT) \wedge (dU).$$

Note that

$$|W_0 + X'_2X_2| = |W| \text{ and } |W_0| = |W| |I_m - R'R|. $$

Also observe that

$$\text{tr } W_0 = \text{tr } W(I_m - R'R),$$

$$\text{tr } X'_1X_1 = \text{tr } W^{1/2}(I_m - R'R)W^{1/2}T'T \text{ and } \text{tr } X'_2X_2 = \text{tr } WR'R. $$

Then, the first required result is obtained. Finally, if $F = T'T$ and $U = R'R$, then the exterior product $(dW) \wedge (dT) \wedge (dR)$ is

$$2^{-2m} |F|^{(n_1 - m - 1)/2}|U|^{(n_2 - m - 1)/2}(H'_1dH_1)(P'_1dP_1)(dW) \wedge (dF) \wedge (dU).$$

And integrating $(H'_1dH_1)$ and $(P'_1dP_1)$ over $H_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_1}$ and $P_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n_2}$, respectively, the second distribution is obtained. 

\[\Box\]
When the matrices in a multimatricvariate distribution are non singular, we can derive the corresponding inverse distributions. For example:

Suppose that \( V_1, \ldots, V_{k_1}, V_{k_1+1}, \ldots, V_{k_2} \) follow a multimatricvariate generalised Wishart distribution. Then their joint density is

\[
\frac{\pi^{nm/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right) |\Sigma_i|^{n_i/2}} \left( \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} \frac{|V_j|^{(n_j-m-1)/2}}{\Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_j}{2} \right) |\Sigma_j|^{n_j/2}} \right) \times h \left( \text{tr} \left( \Sigma_1^{-1} V_1 + \cdots + \Sigma_k^{-1} V_k \right) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} (dV_i) \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} (dV_j).
\]

Consider the change of variable \( W_j = V_j^{-1}, j = k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_2 \), then by Muirhead (2005, Theorem 2.1.8, p. 65),

\[
\prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} (dV_j) = \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} |W_j|^{-(m+1)/2} \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} (dW_j).
\]

Hence the joint density \( dF_{V_1, \ldots, V_{k_1}, V_{k_1+1}, \ldots, V_{k_2}}(V_1, \ldots, V_{k_1}, V_{k_1+1}, \ldots, V_{k_2}) \) is

\[
\frac{\pi^{nm/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right) |\Sigma_i|^{n_i/2}} \left( \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} \frac{|W_j|^{-(n_j+m+1)/2}}{\Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_j}{2} \right) |\Sigma_j|^{n_j/2}} \right) \times h \left( \text{tr} \left( \Sigma_1^{-1} V_1 + \cdots + \Sigma_k^{-1} V_k \right) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} (dV_i) \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} (dW_j).
\]

This function will be termed \textit{multimatricvariate generalised Wishart-inverted Wishart distribution.}

In a similar way, assume that \( F_1, \ldots, F_{k_1}, F_{k_1+1}, \ldots, F_{k_2} \) have the density \([\text{[ ]}]\), then we obtain the so called \textit{multimatricvariate generalised beta type I-inverted beta type I distribution}. Both results are summarized next.

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that \( V_1, \ldots, V_{k_1}, V_{k_1+1}, \ldots, V_{k_2} \) follow a multimatricvariate generalised Wishart distribution. And define \( W_j = V_j^{-1}, j = k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_2 \), then the joint density function of \( V_1, \ldots, V_{k_1}, W_{k_1+1}, \ldots, W_{k_2} \) is termed multimatricvariate generalised Wishart-inverted Wishart distribution and is given by \([\text{[ ]}]\). Similarly, if

\[
F_1, \ldots, F_{k_1}, F_{k_1+1}, \ldots, F_{k_2}
\]

have a multimatricvariate generalised beta type I distribution and we define \( E_j = F_j^{-1} \) with \( j = k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_2 \), then the joint density function

\[
dF_{F_1, \ldots, F_{k_1}, E_{k_1+1}, \ldots, E_{k_2}}(F_1, \ldots, F_{k_1}, E_{k_1+1}, \ldots, E_{k_2})
\]

is given by

\[
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right) \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} |E_j|^{-(n_j+m+1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{k_2} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right) \prod_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} \Gamma_m \left( \frac{n_i}{2} \right)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} (dF_i) \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{k_2} (dE_j),
\]

where \( n^* = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_{k_1} + n_{k_1+1} + \cdots + n_{k_2} \). This function will be termed multimatricvariate generalised beta type I distribution.
5 Example

Consider a sample $F_1, \ldots, F_k$, of $2 \times 2$ random matrices taken from a matricvariate beta type II distribution with parameters $n_0$ and $n_1$. By Remark 3.1 we extend the parametric space from $(n_0, n_1)$ to $(a_0, a)$, then the corresponding likelihood function $L(a_0, a; F_1, \ldots, F_k)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{j=1}^{k} f_{F_j}(F_j; a_0, a), & \quad \text{if the } F_j \text{'s are independent;} \\
 f_{F_1, \ldots, F_k}(F_1, \ldots, F_k; a_0, a), & \quad \text{if the } F_j \text{'s are dependent.}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $f_{F_1, \ldots, F_k}(F_1, \ldots, F_k; a_0, a)$ follow from (11) by setting $k = 1$ and denoting $a_i = a$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Explicitly, the likelihood function is:

$$
(F_m[a_0 + a])^k \prod_{j=1}^{k} |F_j|^{-a(m+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |I_m + F_j|^{-(a_0 + a)} ,
$$

in the independent case, and

$$
= \frac{\Gamma_m[a_0 + ka]}{\Gamma_m[a_0] \Gamma_m[a]^k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} |F_j|^{-a(m+1)/2} \left| I_m + \sum_{j=1}^{k} F_j \right|^{-(a_0 + ka)} ,
$$

in the dependent case. Recall that $a^* = a_0 + ka$.

Inference with real data in matrix variate distribution theory is rarely published. Thus, in this section we show that performing inference with the derived densities is a possible task. There are a number of data that we can use. In particular the statistical theory of shape provides interesting situations to be applied. Most of the applications involve time independent configurations of landmarks in two dimensions. In this example we consider the location in angstroms of a part of a 3D DNA molecule with 22 phosphorous atoms. The positions of the atoms are reported in 30 different times. It is clear that the configurations are highly correlated, then a dependent model rules the motion of the molecule. Statistical theory of shape can describe for example the shape evolution or the shape variability in mean and covariance, or the configuration space, among many others, see [Dryden and Mardia 1998] and the references therein.

The original sample consists of $k = 30$ matrices of order $22 \times 3$, which turns into a sample of $3 \times 3$ beta type II matrices, $F_1, \ldots, F_{30}$. We want to estimate the parameters $a_0$ and $a$ under the realistic dependent model. However, we also give the estimation of the independent case for a reference of the involved scales of the parameters and the numerical performance.

As we expect, the optimization algorithm depends on feasible seeds. Given that we do not have suggestions for an appropriate domain of $a_0$ and $a$, we use the corresponding estimates in the univariate beta type II distribution.

Using standard packages for local optimization, as Optimx of R, and Price’s method for global optimization, we find the following maximum likelihood (ML) estimation of the $3 \times 3$ multimatric-variate beta type II distribution under dependence:

$$
a_0 = 0.04724072 \quad \text{and} \quad a = 0.1595563 .
$$

With that model we can perform, for example, simulations of the movement of the associated matricvariate beta type II random matrix of the molecule. The inverse problem also can be considered in order to describe the shape variability of the original $22 \times 3$ configuration throughout larger scales of time by using a technique provided in theorem 3.8 of [Díaz-García, and Caro-Lopera 2017]. In this case we use the fact that $F = X'X$ and we can return to $X$ from $F$. 

\[11\]
Only for a comparison of the scales in the ML estimates, the local and global optimization routines in the independence model converge to:

\[ a_0 = 2.068213 \quad \text{and} \quad a = 4101.160. \]

Recall that both models cannot be compared because they correspond to different situations, in this case, the intrinsic dependence in the objects is out of any discussion.

Finally, note that the multimatricvariate beta type II distribution can be seen as a generalized Wishart distribution. Let \( X \) be a matricvariate T distribution, then the matrix \( F = X'X \) follows a generalized Wishart distribution which coincides with the multimatricvariate beta type II distribution, Dickey (1967).

6 Conclusions

1. Multiple partitions of a matrix variate elliptical distribution generate an uncountable list of dependent models with a priori defined marginal distributions.

2. The extended parametric spaces in multimatricvariate distributions allow new perspectives for dependent models. They also propose new challenges for geometrical and statistical interpretation.

3. The multimatricvariate distributions can be used for likelihood functions of dependent random samples. This novelty seems to be out of the multimatrix literature, which is based on certain independent scenarios.
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