COMPLETE \( \lambda \)-SURFACES IN \( \mathbb{R}^3 \)

QING-MING CHENG AND GUOXIN WEI

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study complete \( \lambda \)-surfaces in Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). A complete classification for 2-dimensional complete \( \lambda \)-surfaces in Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with constant squared norm of the second fundamental form is given.

1. Introduction

One of the most important problems in mean curvature flow is to understand the possible singularities that the flow goes through. A key starting point for singularity analysis is Huisken’s monotonicity formula. The monotonicity implies that the solution to the flow is asymptotically self-similar near a given type I singularity. Thus, it is modeled by self-shrinking solutions of the flow. An \( n \)-dimensional submanifold \( X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \) in the \( (n+p) \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \) is called a self-shrinker if it satisfies

\[
\vec{H} + X^\perp = 0,
\]

where \( X^\perp \) and \( \vec{H} \) denote the normal part of the position vector \( X \) and mean curvature vector of this submanifold. It is known that self-shrinkers play an important role in the study on singularities of the mean curvature flow because they describe all possible blow-ups at a given singularity.

For the classification of complete self-shrinkers with co-dimension 1, many nice works were done. Abresch and Langer [1] classified closed self-shrinking curves in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and showed that the round circle is the only embedded self-shrinker. Huisken [20, 21], Colding and Minicozzi [14] classified \( n \)-dimensional complete embedded self-shrinkers in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with mean curvature \( H \geq 0 \) and with polynomial volume growth. According to the results of Halldorsson [18], Ding and Xin [15], Cheng and Zhou [13], one knows that for any positive integer \( n \), \( \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) is a complete self-shrinker without polynomial volume growth in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), where \( \Gamma \) is a complete self-shrinking curve of Halldorsson [18]. Hence, the condition of polynomial volume growth in [21] and [14] is essential. Furthermore, for the study on the rigidity of complete self-shrinkers, many important works have been done (cf. [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [15], [16], [25], [26], [27] and so on). In particular, by estimating the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem, Ding and Xin [16] studied 2-dimensional complete self-shrinkers with polynomial volume growth. They proved that a 2-dimensional
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complete self-shrinker $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with polynomial volume growth and with constant squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form is isometric to one of $\mathbb{R}^2$, $S^1(1) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S^2(\sqrt{2})$. Cheng and Peng in [8] have proved that for an $n$-dimensional complete self-shrinker $X : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\inf H^2 > 0$, if the squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form is constant, then $M^n$ is isometric to either $S^n(\sqrt{n})$ or $S^m(\sqrt{m}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

Recently, Cheng and Ogata [6] have given a complete classification for 2-dimensional complete self-shrinkers with constant squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form, that is, they have proved the following:

**Theorem CO.** A 2-dimensional complete self-shrinker $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with constant squared norm of the second fundamental form is isometric to one of the following:

1. $\mathbb{R}^2$,
2. $S^1(1) \times \mathbb{R}$,
3. $S^2(\sqrt{2})$.

On the other hand, from a view of variations, self-shrinkers of mean curvature flow can be characterized as critical points of the weighted area functional. In [10], the authors gave a definition of weighted volume and studied the weighted area functional for variations preserving this volume. Critical points for the weighted area functional for variations preserving this volume are called $\lambda$-hypersurfaces by the authors in [10]. Precisely, an $n$-dimensional hypersurface $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a $\lambda$-hypersurface if

\[(1.1) \quad \langle X, N \rangle + H = \lambda,\]

where $\lambda$ is a constant, $H$ and $N$ denote the mean curvature and unit normal vector of $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, respectively.

**Remark 1.1.** If $\lambda = 0$, $\langle X, N \rangle + H = \lambda = 0$, then $X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a self-shrinkers. Hence, the notation of $\lambda$-hypersurfaces is a natural generalization of the self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow.

It is well-known that there are three standard examples of $\lambda$-hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$: the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, the $n$-dimensional sphere $S^n(r)$ and the $n$-dimensional cylinder $S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. In [12], Cheng and Wei have constructed compact rotational symmetric $\lambda$-hypersurfaces. Very recently, Ross [30], Li and the second author [28] have constructed very interesting compact $\lambda$-hypersurfaces. For recent years, the study on $\lambda$-hypersurfaces has attracted a lot of attention. For example, in [10], Cheng and Wei have proved that $S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, are the only complete embedded $\lambda$-hypersurfaces with polynomial area growth in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if $H - \lambda \geq 0$ and $\lambda (f_3(H - \lambda) - S) \geq 0$, where $f_3 = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^3$, $\lambda_j$ is the principal curvature of this hypersurface. In [11], the authors have studied the growth on upper and lower bounds of area for complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces and stability for compact $\lambda$-hypersurfaces. A number of nice works are about the study of rigidity for complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces under point-wise pinching conditions or global pinching conditions (7, 12, 32, 35, 17, 24, 30, 31, 33).
In this paper, by using of the generalized maximum principle, we give a complete classification for 2-dimensional complete \( \lambda \)-surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with constant squared norm of the second fundamental form. More precisely, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( X : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a 2-dimensional complete \( \lambda \)-surface in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). If the squared norm \( S \) of the second fundamental form is constant, then either \( S = 0 \), or \( S = \frac{2 + \lambda^2 + \lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2} \), or \( S = \frac{4 + \lambda^2 + \lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 8}}{4} \) and \( X : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) is isometric to one of

1. \( \mathbb{R}^2 \),
2. \( S^1(\frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4}}{2}) \times \mathbb{R} \),
3. \( S^2(\frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 8}}{2}) \).

2. **Preliminaries**

Let \( X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) be an \( n \)-dimensional connected hypersurface of the \( n + 1 \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). We choose a local orthonormal frame field \( \{e_A\}_{A=1}^{n+1} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) with dual coframe field \( \{\omega_A\}_{A=1}^{n+1} \), such that, restricted to \( M \), \( e_1, \cdots, e_n \) are tangent to \( M^n \).

From now on, we use the following conventions on the ranges of indices:

\[ 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n \]

and \( \sum_i \) means taking summation from 1 to \( n \) for \( i \). Then we have

\[ dX = \sum_i \omega_i e_i, \]

\[ de_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} e_j + \omega_{in+1} e_{n+1}, \]

\[ de_{n+1} = \omega_{n+1i} e_i, \]

where \( \omega_{ij} \) is the Levi-Civita connection of the hypersurface.

By restricting these forms to \( M \), we get

\[ (2.1) \quad \omega_{n+1} = 0 \]

and the induced Riemannian metric of the hypersurface is written as \( ds_M^2 = \sum_i \omega_i^2 \).

Taking exterior derivatives of \( (2.1) \), we obtain

\[ 0 = d\omega_{n+1} = \sum_i \omega_{n+1i} \land \omega_i. \]

By Cartan's lemma, we know

\[ \omega_{in+1} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji}, \]

\[ h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \]
and

\[ H = \sum_i h_{ii} \]

are called the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of \( X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), respectively. Let \( S = \sum_{ij} (h_{ij})^2 \) be the squared norm of the second fundamental form of \( X : M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \). The induced structure equations of \( M \) are given by

\[ d\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}, \]

\[ d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l, \]

where \( R_{ijkl} \) denotes components of the curvature tensor of the hypersurface. Hence, the Gauss equations are given by

\[ R_{ijkl} = h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}. \]  

Defining the covariant derivative of \( h_{ij} \) by

\[ \sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} + \sum_k h_{ik} \omega_{kj} + \sum_k h_{kj} \omega_{ki}, \]

we obtain the Codazzi equations

\[ h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}. \]

By taking exterior differentiation of (2.3), and defining

\[ \sum_l h_{ijkl} \omega_l = dh_{ijk} + \sum_l h_{ijl} \omega_{kl} + \sum_l h_{ilj} \omega_{kl} + \sum_l h_{ijl} \omega_{kl}, \]

we have the following Ricci identities:

\[ h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_m h_{mj} R_{mikl} + \sum_m h_{im} R_{mjkl}. \]

Defining

\[ \sum_m h_{ijklm} \omega_m = dh_{ijkl} + \sum_m h_{mjk} \omega_{mil} + \sum_m h_{iml} \omega_{mkj} + \sum_m h_{ijm} \omega_{mlk} + \sum_m h_{ijkm} \omega_{ml} \]

and taking exterior differentiation of (2.5), we get

\[ h_{ijkln} - h_{ijkl} = \sum_m h_{mjk} R_{mikln} + \sum_m h_{imk} R_{mjln} + \sum_m h_{ijm} R_{mkln}. \]

For a smooth function \( f \), we define

\[ \sum_i f_i \omega_i = df, \]

\[ \sum_j f_{ij} \omega_j = df_i + \sum_j f_{jji} \omega_i, \]
\[ |\nabla f|^2 = \sum_i (f_i)^2, \quad \Delta f = \sum_i f_{ii}. \]

The \(\mathcal{L}\)-operator is defined by
\[ \mathcal{L}f = \Delta f - \langle X, \nabla f \rangle, \]
where \(\Delta\) and \(\nabla\) denote the Laplacian and the gradient operator, respectively.

Formulas in the following Lemma 2.1 can be found in [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(X : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) be an \(n\)-dimensional \(\lambda\)-hypersurface in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\). We have
\[ \mathcal{L}H = H + S(\lambda - H). \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}|X|^2 = n - |X|^2 + \lambda(\lambda - H). \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}S = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + (1 - S)S + \lambda f_3, \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}H^2 = |\nabla H|^2 + H^2 + S(\lambda - H)H. \]

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3\) be a 2-dimensional \(\lambda\)-surface in \(\mathbb{R}^3\). If \(S\) is constant, we have
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijkl})^2 + (2 - S) \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 + 6 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijk} h_{ili} h_{jlp} h_{klp} \]
\[ - 3 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijk} h_{ili} h_{jlp} h_{klp} + 3\lambda \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ijk} h_{ijl} h_{kl} \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 \]
\[ = \frac{3}{2} \lambda H |\nabla H|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^3 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^2 S(\lambda - H) - \frac{3}{4} \lambda SH - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S^2(\lambda - H). \]

**Proof.** By making use of the Ricci identities \((2.6), (2.8)\) and a direct calculation, we can obtain \((2.17)\). From the formula \((2.15)\) in Lemma 2.1 and \(f_3 = \frac{H(3S - H^2)}{2}\), we can prove \((2.18)\). \(\square\)

3. **Proofs of the main results**

If \(\lambda = 0\), we know that \(X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3\) is a self-shrinker. From Theorem CO in Section one, we know that our results are proved. Hence, we only consider the case \(\lambda \neq 0\) in this section.
In order to prove our results, the following generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$-operator on $\lambda$-hypersurfaces will play an important role, which was proved by Cheng, Ogata and Wei in [7]:

**Lemma 3.1.** *(Generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$-operator)* Let $X : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete $\lambda$-hypersurface with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Let $f$ be any $C^2$-function bounded from above on this $\lambda$-hypersurface. Then, there exists a sequence of points $\{p_m\} \subset M^n$, such that

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} f(X(p_m)) = \sup f, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \|\nabla f|(X(p_m)) = 0, \quad \limsup_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{L} f(X(p_m)) \leq 0.
$$

First of all, we prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a 2-dimensional complete $\lambda$-surface $X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with constant squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form, we have either*

1. $\lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2$ and $\sup H^2 = S$, or
2. $\lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2$ and $\sup H^2 = 2S$, or
3. $\lambda^2 S = \frac{2(1 + S)^2}{9}$ and $\sup H^2 = 2S$.

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} H^2 = |\nabla H|^2 + H^2 + S(\lambda - H)H.
$$

At each point $p \in M^2$, we choose $e_1$ and $e_2$ such that

$$
h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}.
$$

From $2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} b^2$, we obtain

$$
S = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2, \quad H^2 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \leq 2(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) = 2S.
$$

Hence, we have on $M^2$

$$
H^2 \leq 2S
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Since $S$ is constant, from the Gauss equations, we know that the Ricci curvature of $X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is bounded from below. We can apply the generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$-operator to the function $H^2$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{p_m\}$ in $M^2$ such that

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} H^2(p_m) = \sup H^2, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H^2(p_m)| = 0, \quad \limsup_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{L} H^2(p_m) \leq 0.
$$

Since $X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a $\lambda$-surface, we have

$$
H, i = \sum_k h_{ik} \langle X, e_k \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

If $\sup H^2 = 0$, then $H \equiv 0$. From the formula

$$
\mathcal{L} H = H + S(\lambda - H),
$$

we get $\lambda S \equiv 0$. We conclude that $S \equiv 0$ and $X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is $\mathbb{R}^2$. It is impossible because of $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence, we have $\sup H^2 > 0$. Without loss of the generality, at each point $p_m$, we can assume $H(p_m) \neq 0$. From (2.2), (2.6), (2.8), Lemma 2.1 and
the definition of \( S \), we know that \( \{ h_{ij}(p_m) \} \), \( \{ h_{ijk}(p_m) \} \) and \( \{ h_{ijkl}(p_m) \} \) are bounded sequences for \( i, j, k, l = 1, 2 \). We can assume

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ij}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_i \delta_{ij}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ijk}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ijk}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ijkl}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ijkl},
\]

for \( i, j, k, l = 1, 2 \).

From Lemma 2.1, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{m \to \infty} H^2(p_m) &= \sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2, \\
\lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H^2(p_m)| &= 0, \\
0 &\geq \lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H|^2(p_m) + \bar{H}^2 + S(\lambda - \bar{H})\bar{H}.
\end{align*}
\]

From \( \lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H^2(p_m)| = 0 \) and \( |\nabla H|^2 = 4 \sum_i (HH_i)^2 \), we have

\[
\bar{H}_k = 0,
\]

that is,

\[
\bar{h}_{11k} + \bar{h}_{22k} = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.
\]

From the definition of \( \lambda \)-surfaces, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{H}_1 &= \bar{\lambda}_1 \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle X, e_1 \rangle(p_m) = 0, \\
\bar{H}_2 &= \bar{\lambda}_2 \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle X, e_2 \rangle(p_m) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( S \) is constant, we know

\[
\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}h_{ijk} = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.
\]

Thus,

\[
\sum_{i,j} \bar{h}_{ij}\bar{h}_{ijk} = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2,
\]

that is,

\[
\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{11k} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{22k} = 0.
\]

We next consider three cases.

**Case 1:** \( \bar{\lambda}_2 = 0 \).

Since \( \bar{H}^2 \neq 0 \), we have \( \bar{\lambda}_1 \neq 0 \). From (3.5) and (3.7), we get

\[
\bar{h}_{11k} = \bar{h}_{22k} = 0,
\]

for \( k = 1, 2 \). Therefore, we have \( \bar{h}_{ijk} = 0 \) for \( i, j, k = 1, 2 \). From (2.15) in Lemma 2.1, we have

\[
0 = S(1 - S) + \lambda \bar{H} S
\]

since \( f_3 = \frac{H(3S-H^2)}{2} \) and \( \bar{H}^2 = S \). Then we obtain

\[
\lambda \bar{H} = S - 1, \quad S = \sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2 = \frac{(S - 1)^2}{\lambda^2}.
\]

**Case 2:** \( \bar{\lambda}_1 = 0 \).
Since $H^2 \neq 0$, we have $\bar{\lambda}_2 \neq 0$. By making use of the same arguments in Case 1, we get

$$S = \sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2 = \frac{(S-1)^2}{\lambda^2}.$$  

**Case 3:** $\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 \neq 0$.

From (3.6), we know

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \langle X, e_1 \rangle(p_m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle X, e_2 \rangle(p_m) = 0.$$  

Since

$$\nabla_k \nabla_i H = \sum_{j} h_{ijk}(X, e_j) + h_{ik} + \sum_{j} h_{ij} h_{jk}(\lambda - H),$$

we conclude

$$\bar{H}_{ik} = \bar{h}_{ik} + \sum_{j} \bar{h}_{ij} \bar{h}_{jk}(\lambda - H).$$

In particular, we have

$$\bar{h}_{1111} + \bar{h}_{2211} = \bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2 (\lambda - \bar{H}),$$
$$\bar{h}_{1122} + \bar{h}_{2222} = \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2 (\lambda - \bar{H}),$$
$$\bar{h}_{1112} + \bar{h}_{2212} = 0,$$
$$\bar{h}_{1121} + \bar{h}_{2221} = 0.$$  

From Ricci identities (2.6), we obtain

$$\bar{h}_{1112} - \bar{h}_{1121} = 0,$$
$$\bar{h}_{2212} - \bar{h}_{2221} = 0,$$
$$\bar{h}_{1212} - \bar{h}_{1221} = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 (\bar{\lambda}_1 - \bar{\lambda}_2).$$

Since $S$ is constant, we know

$$\sum_{i,j} \bar{h}_{ij} \bar{h}_{ijk} = 0, \text{ for } k = 1, 2$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j} \bar{h}_{ijl} \bar{h}_{ijk} + \sum_{i,j} \bar{h}_{ij} \bar{h}_{ijkl} = 0, \text{ for } k, l = 1, 2.$$  

Especially,

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1111} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{2211} = 0, \quad \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1122} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{2222} = 0,$$
$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1112} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{1121} = -\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1112}^2 - 2\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1212} - \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{1221},$$
$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1212} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{2212} = -\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1212}^2 - 2\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1222} - \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{2222},$$
$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1112} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \bar{h}_{2212} = -\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{h}_{1112} \bar{h}_{1122} - 2\bar{h}_{1212} \bar{h}_{1222} - \bar{h}_{2212} \bar{h}_{2222}.$$  

**Subcase 3.1:** $\bar{\lambda}_1 \neq \bar{\lambda}_2$.

From (3.5), (3.7) and (2.15) in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\bar{h}_{ijk} = 0, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2$$
and

\[(3.17) \quad 0 = S(1 - S) + \lambda \tilde{H}\frac{3S - H^2}{2}.\]

From (3.11), (3.12) and (3.15), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}_{1112} &= \tilde{h}_{1222} = \tilde{h}_{2221} = \tilde{h}_{2111} = 0, \\
\tilde{h}_{2211} &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}_1^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(1 + \bar{\lambda}_1(\lambda - \bar{H})), \\
\tilde{h}_{1111} &= -\frac{\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(1 + \bar{\lambda}_1(\lambda - \bar{H})), \\
\tilde{h}_{1122} &= -\frac{\bar{\lambda}_2^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(1 + \bar{\lambda}_2(\lambda - \bar{H})), \\
\tilde{h}_{2222} &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(1 + \bar{\lambda}_2(\lambda - \bar{H})).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.18)

According to (2.15) in Lemma 2.1:

\[
0 = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + S(1 - S) + \lambda H \frac{3S - H^2}{2},
\]

we have, for \(l, m = 1, 2,\)

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk} h_{ijkl} + \frac{3\lambda}{2}(S - H^2)H.l &= 0, \\
2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijkm} h_{ijkl} + 2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk} h_{ijklm} + \frac{3\lambda}{2}(S - H^2)H.lm - 3\lambda HH.mH.l &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.19)

Since \(\tilde{h}_{ijk} = 0,\) we get from (3.19) that

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \sum_{i,j,k} \tilde{h}_{ijkm} \tilde{h}_{ijkl} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda(S - H^2)H.lm &= 0, \quad \text{for } l, m = 1, 2,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.20)

specifically,

\[
\begin{align*}
2\tilde{h}_{1111}^2 + 6\tilde{h}_{1121}^2 + 6\tilde{h}_{1221}^2 + 2\tilde{h}_{2221}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda(S - H^2)(\tilde{h}_{1111} + \tilde{h}_{2221}) &= 0, \\
2\tilde{h}_{1112}^2 + 6\tilde{h}_{1122}^2 + 6\tilde{h}_{1222}^2 + 2\tilde{h}_{2222}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda(S - H^2)(\tilde{h}_{1112} + \tilde{h}_{2222}) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, we have the following equations from (3.18),

\[
\begin{align*}
2\tilde{h}_{1111}^2 + 6\tilde{h}_{1121}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda(S - H^2)(\tilde{h}_{1111} + \tilde{h}_{2221}) &= 0, \\
6\tilde{h}_{1122}^2 + 2\tilde{h}_{2222}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda(S - H^2)(\tilde{h}_{1112} + \tilde{h}_{2222}) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.21)
From (3.11), (3.18), (3.21) and \( S - H^2 = -2\lambda_1\lambda_2 \), we know

\[
(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} 
(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(2(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(\lambda_2^2 + 3\lambda_1^2) - 3\lambda\lambda_1) = 0, \\
(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H))(2(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H))(3\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1^2) - 3\lambda\lambda_2) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Since

\[
(3.23) \quad \lambda - H = \frac{S(S - 1)}{\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3} - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2,
\]

by a direct calculation, from (3.22) and (3.23), we get that this subcase does not occur.

In fact, from (3.22), we have

\[
(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H)) = 0
\]
or

\[
(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H)) \neq 0.
\]

If \((1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H)) = 0\), we have \(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H) = 0\) and \(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H) = 0\) or \(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H) = 0\) and \(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H) \neq 0\) because of \(\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2\).

Hence, we get

\[
(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} 
1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H) = 0, \\
\frac{2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H))(3\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1^2) - 3\lambda\lambda_1 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
or

\[
(3.25) \quad \begin{cases} 
1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H) = 0, \\
\frac{2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H))(\lambda_2^2 + 3\lambda_2^2) - 3\lambda\lambda_2 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

If (3.24) holds, we have

\[
(3.26) \quad \lambda - H = -\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \quad 1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - H) = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1}, \quad \lambda\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - 1.
\]

From (3.24), we know

\[
(3.27) \quad \frac{2(3\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1^2)}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} - 3(\lambda_1(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - 1) = 0,
\]

that is,

\[
(3.28) \quad 5\lambda_1^2 + 6\lambda_2^2 + 3\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 - 3\lambda_1^4 - 3\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 0.
\]

On the other hand, from (3.23) and \(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - H) = 0\), we get

\[
(3.29) \quad \lambda_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2^2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)) = 0,
\]

namely,

\[
(3.30) \quad \lambda_2 - \lambda_1^2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) = 0
\]
since \(\lambda_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \neq 0\). From (3.28) and (3.30), we obtain

\[
(3.31) \quad 5\lambda_1^2 + 9\lambda_2^2 = 0.
\]
It is impossible. By the same assertion, we know that (3.25) does not occur also. Thus, we must have the following equations from (3.22)

\[
\begin{cases}
2 \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (1 + \bar{\lambda}_1(\lambda - \bar{H}))(\bar{\lambda}_2^2 + 3\bar{\lambda}_1^2) - 3\lambda\bar{\lambda}_2 = 0, \\
2 \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (1 + \bar{\lambda}_2(\lambda - \bar{H}))(3\bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \bar{\lambda}_1^2) - 3\lambda\bar{\lambda}_1 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

From (3.32), we get

\[
\bar{\lambda}_1 = \frac{(1 + \lambda_2(\lambda - \bar{H}))(\lambda_1^2 + 3\lambda_2^2)}{(1 + \lambda_1(\lambda - \bar{H}))(\lambda_2^2 + 3\lambda_1^2)} = \bar{\lambda}_2.
\]

Therefore, we infer

\[
3\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + 2\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\lambda}_2 + 3\bar{\lambda}_2^2 + 3(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2)(\lambda - \bar{H}) = 0.
\]

Substituting

\[
\lambda = \frac{S(S - 1)}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} = \frac{2S(S - 1)}{\bar{H}(3S - \bar{H}^2)},
\]

and \(2\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\lambda}_2 = \bar{H}^2 - S\) into (3.34), we get

\[
2S + \bar{H}^2 + 3S \times \frac{2S(S - 1)}{3S - \bar{H}^2} - 3\bar{H}^2S = 0,
\]

namely,

\[
SH^2 - \bar{H}^4 - 9\bar{H}^2S^2 + 3\bar{H}^4S + 6S^3 = 0.
\]

Therefore, we infer

\[
(S - \bar{H}^2)(6S^2 - 3\bar{H}^2S + \bar{H}^2) = 0.
\]

This is impossible since \(S - \bar{H}^2 = -2\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\lambda}_2 \neq 0\) and \(6S^2 - 3\bar{H}^2S + \bar{H}^2 > 0\).

**Subcase 3.2**: \(\bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2\).

\[
sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} H^2(p_m) = (\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)^2 = 2S.
\]

From (2.15) in Lemma 2.1, one has

\[
0 = \sum_{i,j,k} \bar{h}_{ijk}^2 + S(1 - S) + \lambda\bar{H} \frac{S}{2},
\]
From (3.5), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.15), we get

\[ \bar{h}_{1112} = \bar{h}_{2111}, \]
\[ \bar{h}_{1122} = \bar{h}_{2211}, \]
\[ \bar{h}_{1222} = \bar{h}_{2221}, \]
\[ \bar{h}_{2222} = \bar{h}_{1111}, \]

(3.41)
\[ \bar{h}_{1111} + \bar{h}_{1222} = 0, \]
\[ \bar{h}_{2211} + \bar{h}_{1111} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2 (\lambda - \bar{H}), \]
\[ \bar{h}_{1111} = -\bar{h}_{1222}, \quad \bar{h}_{1112} = -\bar{h}_{2222}, \]
\[ \sum_{i,j,k} \bar{h}_{ijk}^2 = 4(\bar{h}_{1111}^2 + \bar{h}_{1112}^2) = S(S - 1) - \frac{\lambda \bar{H} S}{2}. \]

From (3.19), we have

\[ 2\bar{h}_{1111}\bar{h}_{1111} + 6\bar{h}_{1122}\bar{h}_{1121} + 6\bar{h}_{1122}\bar{h}_{1221} + 2\bar{h}_{2222}\bar{h}_{2221} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda (S - \bar{H}^2)(\bar{h}_{1111} + \bar{h}_{2211}) = 0, \]
\[ 2\bar{h}_{1111}\bar{h}_{1111} + 6\bar{h}_{1112}\bar{h}_{1122} + 6\bar{h}_{1122}\bar{h}_{1222} + 2\bar{h}_{2222}\bar{h}_{2222} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda (S - \bar{H}^2)(\bar{h}_{1112} + \bar{h}_{2222}) = 0. \]

Thus, from (3.41) and the above equations, we obtain

(3.42)
\[ \begin{cases} 
\bar{h}_{122}(-\bar{h}_{1111} + 3\bar{h}_{2211}) + 4\bar{h}_{211}\bar{h}_{2111} = 0, \\
-4\bar{h}_{122}\bar{h}_{2111} + \bar{h}_{211}(-\bar{h}_{1111} + 3\bar{h}_{2211}) = 0.
\end{cases} \]

If
\[ (-\bar{h}_{1111} + 3\bar{h}_{2211})^2 + 16\bar{h}_{2111}^2 \neq 0, \]
we have the following equations from (3.42) and (3.41)
\[ \bar{h}_{ijk} = 0, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2 \]
and
\[ 0 = S(1 - S) + \lambda \bar{H} \frac{S}{2}, \quad 2S = \sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2 = \frac{4(S - 1)^2}{\lambda^2}. \]

If
\[ (-\bar{h}_{1111} + 3\bar{h}_{2211})^2 + 16\bar{h}_{2111}^2 = 0, \]
from (3.41), we have

(3.43) \[ \bar{h}_{2111} = \bar{h}_{2221} = 0, \quad \bar{h}_{1111} = 3\bar{h}_{2211}, \quad \bar{h}_{2211} = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2 (\lambda - \bar{H})). \]
From Lemma 2.2 and \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2(p_m) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (\bar{h}_{ijkl})^2 + 6 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} \bar{h}_{ijkl} \bar{h}_{ijl} \bar{h}_{klp} - 3 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} \bar{h}_{ijkl} \bar{h}_{ijl} \bar{h}_{klp} + 3 \lambda \sum_{i,j,k,l} \bar{h}_{ijkl} \bar{h}_{ijl} \bar{h}_{klp}
\]

(3.44)

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2(p_m)
\]

(3.45)

Hence, we get the following equation from (3.44) and (3.45)

\[
(3.46) \quad 24 \bar{h}_{2211}^2 + (8 + 2S + 6\lambda \bar{H})(\bar{h}_{1111}^2 + \bar{h}_{1112}^2) - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S \bar{H} - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S^2(\lambda - \bar{H}) = 0.
\]

From (3.43) and \( \bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2 \), we have

\[
\bar{h}_{2211} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_1}{8}(2 + H(\lambda - \bar{H})).
\]

Hence, we get

\[
3(2 + H(\lambda - \bar{H}))^2 + 2(8 + 2S + 6\lambda \bar{H})(2S - 2 - \lambda \bar{H}) - 12\lambda \bar{H} - 12\lambda S(\lambda - \bar{H}) = 0,
\]

that is, from \( 2 \bar{H}^2 = S \),

\[
(2 + H(\lambda - \bar{H}))(2S + 3\lambda \bar{H}) = 0.
\]

Thus, either \( 2 + H(\lambda - \bar{H}) = 0 \) or \( 2S + 3\lambda \bar{H} = 0 \).

If \( 2 + H(\lambda - \bar{H}) = 0 \), we have

\[
2\lambda^2 S = \lambda^2 \bar{H}^2 = 4(1 - S)^2,
\]

that is,

\[
\lambda^2 S = 2(S - S)^2, \quad \sup H^2 = 2S.
\]

If \( 2 + 2S + 3\lambda \bar{H} = 0 \),

\[
9\lambda^2 \bar{H}^2 = 4(1 + S)^2,
\]

that is,

\[
9\lambda^2 S = 2(1 + S)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup H^2 = 2S.
\]

We complete this proof of Theorem 3.1. \( \square \)
Theorem 3.2. Let $X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a 2-dimensional $\lambda$-surface. If either $\lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2$, or $\lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2$, or $9\lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2$, then the mean curvature $H$ satisfies $H \neq 0$ on $M^2$.

Proof. If there exists a point $p \in M^2$ such that $H = 0$ at $p$, then we know, at $p$,

$$H = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0, \quad S = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 2\lambda_1^2.$$

Since $S \neq 0$, we get $\lambda_1(p) = -\lambda_2(p) \neq 0$. From

$$H_i = \sum_k h_{ik}(X, e_k), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$(3.47) \quad h_{111} + h_{221} = \lambda_1(X, e_1), \quad h_{112} + h_{222} = \lambda_2(X, e_2).$$

Since $S$ is constant, we obtain,

$$(3.48) \quad \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}h_{ijk} = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2$$

$$\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}h_{ijk} + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}h_{ijkl} = 0, \quad \text{for } k, l = 1, 2.$$

Hence, we have

$$(3.49) \quad h_{111} = h_{221}, \quad h_{112} = h_{222},$$

$$\lambda_1(h_{111} - h_{221}) = -2h_{111}^2 - 2h_{112}^2,$$

$$\lambda_1(h_{112} - h_{222}) = -2h_{111}^2 - 2h_{112}^2,$$

$$\lambda_1(h_{111} - h_{222}) = -4h_{111}h_{112},$$

$$\lambda_1(h_{112} - h_{221}) = -4h_{111}h_{112}.$$

From (2.15) in Lemma 2.1 and (3.49), we obtain, at $p$,

$$0 = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + S(1 - S) = 4(h_{221}^2 + h_{112}^2) + S(1 - S),$$

that is,

$$(3.51) \quad 4(h_{221}^2 + h_{112}^2) = S(S - 1),$$
and

\[(3.52)\quad 2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}h_{ijkl} + \frac{3\lambda}{2} SH = 0, \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2.\]

In particular,

\[
\begin{cases}
2h_{111}h_{1111} + 6h_{112}h_{1121} + 6h_{122}h_{1221} + 2h_{222}h_{2221} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda S(h_{111} + h_{221}) = 0, \\
2h_{111}h_{1112} + 6h_{112}h_{1122} + 6h_{122}h_{1222} + 2h_{222}h_{2222} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda S(h_{112} + h_{222}) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

that is,

\[(3.53)\quad \begin{cases}
h_{111}(h_{1111} + 3h_{2211} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda S) + h_{112}(3h_{2111} + h_{2221}) = 0, \\
h_{111}(h_{1112} + 3h_{2122}) + h_{112}(3h_{1122} + h_{2222} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda S) = 0.
\end{cases}\]

From (3.49) and (3.50), we have

\[(3.54)\quad \begin{align*}
h_{2211} &= \frac{2h_{111}^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2}{2}, \\
h_{1111} &= -\frac{2h_{112}^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2}{2}, \\
h_{1112} &= -\frac{2h_{112}^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2}{2}, \\
h_{2222} &= \frac{2h_{111}^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2}{2}, \\
h_{2221} &= \frac{2h_{111}h_{112}}{\lambda_1}, \quad h_{2111} = -\frac{2h_{111}h_{112}}{\lambda_1}, \\
h_{1112} &= -\frac{2h_{111}h_{112}}{\lambda_1}, \quad h_{1222} = \frac{2h_{111}h_{112}}{\lambda_1}.
\end{align*}\]

From \(h_{111} = h_{221}, \ h_{112} = h_{222}\) and \(\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2\), at \(p\), we know

\[\sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijkl}h_{il}h_{lp} = 0, \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ijk}h_{ijl}h_{kl} = 0, \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijk}h_{ijl}h_{kp} = \frac{S}{2} S(S-1).\]

From Lemma 2.2, we get

\[(3.55)\quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijkl})^2 + (2 - S) \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 + 6 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijk}h_{il}h_{jp}h_{kp} - 3 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p} h_{ijk}h_{ij}h_{kp}h_{kp} + 3\lambda \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_{ijk}h_{ij}h_{kl} + \frac{h_{111}^2 + 3h_{2111}^2 + 3h_{2211}^2 + h_{2222}^2 + 3h_{1222}^2 + 3h_{1122}^2 + h_{1112}^2}{2} + (4 - 5S)S(S-1).\]
and
\[
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2
\]
\[
= \frac{3}{2} \lambda H | \nabla H |^2 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^3 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^2 S (\lambda - H) - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S H - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S^2 (\lambda - H)
\]
\[
= - \frac{3}{4} \lambda^2 S^2.
\]
Hence, we get the following equation from (3.55) and (3.56)
\[
(3.57)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \lambda H | \nabla H |^2 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^3 + \frac{3}{4} \lambda H^2 S (\lambda - H) - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S H - \frac{3}{4} \lambda S^2 (\lambda - H)
\]
\[
= - \frac{3}{4} \lambda^2 S^2.
\]
We now have to consider four cases.

**Case 1:** $h_{111} = 0$, $h_{112} = 0$.

From (3.51), we have $S = 1$. It is impossible from (3.57).

**Case 2:** $h_{111} = 0$, $h_{112} \neq 0$.

In this case, we know the following equations from (3.54) and (3.51),
\[
(3.58)
\]
\[
h_{2211} = h_{1222} = 0,
\]
\[
h_{2211} = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} S \lambda, \quad h_{1111} = \frac{S \lambda}{4} + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_1 - S \lambda_1,
\]
\[
h_{1122} = -\lambda_1 S + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} S \lambda, \quad h_{2222} = \frac{S \lambda}{4} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}.
\]

Putting these equations into (3.57), we get
\[
(3.59)
\]
\[-2 S^2 + 5 \lambda^2 S - 8 \lambda_1 \lambda S + 6 S + 8 \lambda_1 \lambda = 0.
\]
From the second equation in (3.53), we know
\[
(3.60)
\]
\[5 \lambda_1 \lambda = 3 S - 1.
\]
Therefore, we conclude
\[
(3.61)
\]
\[25 \lambda^2 = 2(3S - 1)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -2S^2 + 5 \lambda^2 S - 8\left(\frac{3S - 1}{5}\right)(S - 1) + 6S = 0.
\]
We get
\[
S = 3, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{128}{75}
\]
because of $S \geq 1$ from (3.51). It contradicts either $S \equiv \frac{(S - 1)^2}{\lambda^2}$, or $S \equiv \frac{2(S - 1)^2}{\lambda^2}$, or $S \equiv \frac{9 \lambda^2 S^2}{2(1 + S)^2}$.

**Case 3:** $h_{211} = 0$, $h_{221} \neq 0$.

By making use of the same assertion as in the above case, we know that it is impossible.

**Case 4:** $h_{211} \neq 0$, $h_{221} \neq 0$.

Putting (3.54) into (3.53)
Proof. We apply the generalized maximum principle for \( -H \). We get
\[
\inf_{H} \lambda S = 0, \]
(3.62)
\[
\frac{6}{\lambda_1} (h_{111}^2 - h_{112}^2) + 2\lambda_1 + \lambda S = 0, \]
\[
\frac{6}{\lambda_1} (h_{111}^2 - h_{112}^2) + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda S = 0. \]
Hence, we have \( \lambda_1 = 0 \), which contradicts \( S \neq 0 \). Hence, we conclude that \( H \neq 0 \) on \( M^2 \).
\[\square\]

Theorem 3.3. Let \( X : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a 2-dimensional complete \( \lambda \)-surface with constant squared norm \( S \) of the second fundamental form. Then either \( \lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2 \) and \( \inf H^2 = S \), or \( \lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2 \) and \( \inf H^2 = 2S \).
Proof. We apply the generalized maximum principle for \( L \)-operator to the function \( -H^2 \). Thus, there exists a sequence \( \{p_m\} \) in \( M^2 \) such that
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} H^2(p_m) = \inf H^2 = \bar{H}^2, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H^2(p_m)| = 0, \quad \liminf_{m \to \infty} L H^2(p_m) \geq 0,
\]
that is,
\[
\begin{cases}
\lim_{m \to \infty} H^2(p_m) = \sup H^2 = \bar{H}^2, \\
0 \geq \lim_{m \to \infty} |\nabla H^2(p_m) + \bar{H}^2 + S(\lambda - \bar{H})\bar{H}|.
\end{cases}
\]
(3.63)
By taking the limit and making use of the same assertion as in Theorem 3.2, we can prove \( \inf H^2 \neq 0 \). Hence, without loss of the generality, we can assume
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ijkl}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ijkl}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ij}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ij} = \bar{\lambda} \delta_{ij}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} h_{ijkl}(p_m) = \bar{h}_{ijkl},
\]
for \( i, j, k, l = 1, 2 \). If \( \bar{\lambda}_1 = 0 \) or \( \bar{\lambda}_2 = 0 \), we have
\[
\lambda \bar{H} = S - 1, \quad S = \frac{(S - 1)^2}{\lambda^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf H^2 = S.
\]
(3.64)
If \( \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 \neq 0 \), by making use of the same assertion as in the proof of the theorem 3.1, we get \( \bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2 \). In this case,
\[
\inf H^2 = (\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)^2 = 2S.
\]
(3.65)
We have
\[
0 \leq 2S - H^2 \leq \text{sup}(2S - H^2) = 2S - \inf H^2 = 0.
\]
Namely, we obtain \( H \) is constant. Hence, we conclude from (2.13) \( H^2 = 2S \) and \( 2S(1 - S)^2 = H^2(1 - S)^2 = \lambda^2 S^2 \).
The proof of Theorem 3.3 is finished.
\[\square\]

Proof of Theorem 3.3. If \( \lambda = 0 \), \( X : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a complete self-shrinker. From Theorem CO of Cheng and Ogata [6], we know that our results hold. If \( \lambda \neq 0 \), from Theorem 3.3 we know that \( \lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2 \) or \( \lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2 \). It is easy to check that \( \lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2 \) and \( \lambda^2 S = 2(S - 1)^2 \) do not hold simultaneously. If \( \lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2 \), we have \( \inf H^2 = S = \sup H^2 \) from Theorem 3.1. Hence, \( H \) is
constant. If \( \lambda^2 S = (S - 1)^2 \), we have inf \( H^2 = 2S = \sup H^2 \) from Theorem 3.1. \( H \) is also constant. Thus, we conclude that \( X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is an isoparametric surface. By a classification theorem due to Lawson [22], \( X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is \( S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{2-k} \), \( k = 1, 2 \). By a direct calculation, we conclude \( X : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is either \( S^1(- \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}) \times \mathbb{R}^1 \), or \( S^2(- \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 8}) \).

\[ \square \]
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