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ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of fractional Schrödinger-Poisson type equations with magnetic field of the type

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s_{A/\varepsilon} u + V(x)u + \varepsilon^{-2t}(|x|^{2t-3} + |u|^2)u = f(|u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s, t \in (0,1)$ are such that $2s + 2t > 3$, $A: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth magnetic potential, $(-\Delta)^s_A$ is the fractional magnetic Laplacian, $V: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous electric potential and $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^1$ subcritical nonlinear term. Using variational methods, we obtain the existence, multiplicity and concentration of nontrivial solutions for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the following fractional nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson type equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s_{A/\varepsilon} u + V(x)u + \varepsilon^{-2t}(|x|^{2t-3} + |u|^2)u = f(|u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

(1.1)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter and $s, t \in (0,1)$ are such that $2s + 2t > 3$. Throughout the paper, we assume that $V: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous potential verifying the following condition

$$V_\infty = \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} V(x) > V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$$

(RV)

introduced by Rabinowitz in [48]. Here we assume that $V_\infty \in (0, \infty)$. The nonlinearity $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^1$ function such that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, and

- $(f_1)$ $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$;
- $(f_2)$ there exists $q \in (4, 2s^*)$ where $2s^* = 6/(3 - 2s)$, such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t)/t^{4-2s} = 0$;
- $(f_3)$ there exists $\theta > 4$ such that $0 < \frac{\theta}{2} F(t) \leq tf(t)$ for any $t > 0$, where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau$;
- $(f_4)$ $t \to \frac{f(t)}{t}$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$;
- $(f_5)$ there exist $\sigma \in (4, 2s^*)$ and a constant $C_\sigma > 0$ such that $f'(t)t - f(t) \geq C_\sigma t^{\sigma-2}$ for any $t \geq 0$.

We note that assumption $(f_2)$ forces to be $s \in (3/4, 1)$. The nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^s_A$ is the fractional magnetic Laplacian which may be defined along smooth functions $u: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ by setting

$$(-\Delta)^s_A u(x) := c_{3,s} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot A(\frac{r}{2})}u(y)}{|x-y|^{3+2s}}dy,$$

(1.2)

This operator has been introduced in [24, 33] and replies essentially on the Lévy-Khintchine formula for the generator of a general Lévy process. In absence of magnetic field, that is $A \equiv 0$, the operator $(-\Delta)^s_A$ reduces to the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ which has been extensively considered in the last years due to its great application in a lot of pure and applied mathematical problems; see [25, 42] for more details. As showed in [47] and [51], up to correcting the operator by the factor
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(1 - s), it is possible to see that, as $s \to 1$, $(-\Delta)^s u$ converges to the magnetic Laplacian $-(\nabla - iA)^2 u$ defined as

$$-(\nabla - iA)^2 u = -\Delta u + 2iA(x) \cdot \nabla u + |A(x)|^2 u + iu \text{div}(A(x));$$

see [37] for more details. In fact, the study of our problem (1.1) is motivated by some interesting results obtained for the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation with magnetic field

$$-(\frac{\varepsilon}{i} \nabla - A(z))^2 u + V(x)u = f(x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for which several existence and multiplicity results have been established; see [2,3,12,18,19,21,27,35]. This equation plays a very important role when we look for standing wave solutions $\psi(x,t) = u(x)e^{-i\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}t}$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$, to the following time dependent magnetic Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - A(z)\right)^2 \psi + (V(z) + E)\psi - f(|\psi|^2)\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck’s constant. Then, one is interested in the existence and the shape of such solutions when $\hbar = \varepsilon \to 0$. Indeed, it is well known that the transition from quantum mechanics to classical mechanics can be formally performed by sending the Planck’s constant to zero.

When $A \equiv 0$ and $\phi_{|u|}^\alpha := |x|^{2t-3} * |u|^2 = 0$, equation (1.1) becomes the fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

(1.3)

formulated by Laskin [36] as a result of expanding the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian-like to the Lévy-like quantum mechanical paths. We recall that equation (1.3) has attracted the attention of many researchers and different results concerning the existence, multiplicity and concentration behavior as $\varepsilon \to 0$ have been established for it; see for instance [4,7,8,23,26,50].

On the other hand, when $A \equiv 0$ and $\phi_{|u|}^\alpha \neq 0$, equation (1.1) can be deduced from a fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system of the type

$$\begin{cases}
\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)\phi u = g(x,u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\
\varepsilon^{2t}(-\Delta)^t \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.
\end{cases}$$

(1.4)

When $s = 1$, system (1.4) becomes the classical Schrödinger-Poisson system which arises in the study of quantum mechanics models [16] and in semiconductor theory [40]. These systems have been widely studied in the last two decades; see [13,15,49,58] for unperturbed problems (i.e. $\varepsilon = 1$) and [5,22,31,32,53,55] for perturbed problems (i.e. $\varepsilon > 0$ small).

In the nonlocal framework, with $A \equiv 0$, we can mention only few results for (1.4). For instance, Giammetta [30] investigated the local and global well-posedness of a one dimensional fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system in which $\varepsilon = 1$ and the fractional diffusion appears only in the Poisson equation. Zhang et al. [56] dealt with the existence of positive solutions to (1.4) involving a general nonlinearity having subcritical or critical growth. Murcia and Siciliano [44] proved that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the number of positive solutions is estimated below by the Ljusternick-Schnirelmann category of the set of minima of the potential. Teng [52] studied the existence of ground state solutions for a critical fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system like (1.4) with $\varepsilon = 1$. Liu and Zhang [38] focused on the multiplicity and concentration of solutions to (1.4) involving the critical exponent and under assumption (RV). On the other hand, in recent years, appeared some interesting results for fractional magnetic Schrödinger equations of the type

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(1.5)

For instance, d’Avenia and Squassina [24] considered the existence of ground state solutions for an autonomous fractional magnetic problem. Zhang et al. [57] focused on the study of nontrivial solutions for a critical magnetic Schrödinger equation. Mingqi et al. [39] dealt with the existence and multiplicity for a fractional magnetic Kirchhoff problem with subcritical nonlinearities. Fiscella
et al. [29] obtained a multiplicity result for a fractional magnetic boundary value problem. In [11] the author and d’Avenia investigated the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions to (1.5) under the condition (RV). For other papers concerning the fractional magnetic Laplacian we refer to [9, 10, 46] and references therein. After an accurate bibliographic review, we have realised that no results for fractional magnetic Schrödinger-Poisson equations are available in literature. Strongly motivated by this fact and by the papers [2, 11, 31], in this work we focus our attention on the existence, multiplicity and concentration of nontrivial solutions to (1.1). In particular way, we are interested in relating the number of nontrivial solutions of (1.1) with the set of global minima of $V$ given by

$$M = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : V(x) = V_0 \}.$$  

(1.6)

For any $\delta > 0$, we also define

$$M_\delta = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, M) \leq \delta \}.$$  

(1.7)

In order to state precisely our main result, we recall that if $Y$ is a given closed set of a topological space $X$, we denote by $\text{cat}_X(Y)$ the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of $Y$ in $X$, that is the least number of closed and contractible sets in $X$ which cover $Y$. Then we prove the following main result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that (RV) and $(f_1)$-$(f_5)$ hold. Then, for any given $\delta > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, problem (1.1) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial solutions. Moreover, if $u_\varepsilon$ denotes one of these solutions and $x_\varepsilon$ be the global maximum point of $|u_\varepsilon|$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0$$

and there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$|u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C} \varepsilon^{3+2s}}{\varepsilon^{s+2} + |x - x_\varepsilon|^{3+2s}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$  

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is obtained applying suitable variational methods. Firstly, we use the change of variable $x \mapsto \varepsilon x$ to see that problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following one

$$(-\Delta)^s_{A_\varepsilon} u + V_\varepsilon(x) u + (|x|^{2t-3} * |u|^2) u = f(|u|^2) u \quad \text{in} \mathbb{R}^3,$$  

(1.8)

where $A_\varepsilon(x) = A(\varepsilon x)$ and $V_\varepsilon(x) = V(\varepsilon x)$. Then, we look for weak solutions to (1.8) studying the critical points of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange functional. The assumption on the behavior of $V$ at infinity and the superlinear-4 growth condition on $f$, will play a fundamental role to deduce some compactness properties; see Proposition 4.2. The Hölder regularity of the magnetic field together with the fractional diamagnetic inequality (Lemma 2.2) and some interesting decay properties of the positive solutions of the limit problem associated with (1.8) (see proof of Lemma 3.6), will be crucial to obtain the existence of a solution to (1.8) for small $\varepsilon$; see Theorem 5.1. We point out that the restriction $2s + 2t > 3$ will be used to prove that the operator $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi \frac{t}{|u|} |u|^2 dx$ and its differential possess a Brezis-Lieb splitting property [17]; see Lemma 2.9. After that, we use some appropriate tools like the barycenter map and the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory to prove a multiplicity result for (1.8). Finally, we study the concentration of solutions by combining a Moser iteration scheme [43] with an approximation argument inspired by the Kato’s inequality [34] for the magnetic Laplacian; see Lemma 7.1. We also provide a decay estimate for the modulus of solutions to (1.1) with the help of papers [4, 28].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some results for the fractional magnetic spaces and we give some useful lemmas. In Section 3 we introduce the functional associated with (1.8) and we also consider the corresponding autonomous problem. In Section 4 we study the compactness properties of the functional and in Section 5 we give a first existence result. The Section 6 is dedicated to the multiplicity result for (1.1) and in the last section we study the behavior of maximum points of the modulus of nontrivial solutions.
2. Preliminaries

For the reader’s convenience, in this section, we fix the notations and we give some lemmas which will be used in the next sections. Let us denote by \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) the set of functions \( u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \, dx < \infty \). It is clear that \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) is a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

\[
(u, v)_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \bar{v} \, dx,
\]

where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. Consider the magnetic Gagliardo semi-norm of a function

\[
[u]^2_A := \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(x)} u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dxdy,
\]

and set

\[
D^s_A(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) : [u]^2_A < \infty \right\}.
\]

Let us introduce the Hilbert space

\[
H^s_\varepsilon := \left\{ u \in D^s_A(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon(x) |u|^2 \, dx < \infty \right\}
\]

endowed with the scalar product

\[
\langle u, v \rangle_\varepsilon := \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\varepsilon(x) u \bar{v} \, dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(x)} u(y))(v(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(x)} v(y))}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dxdy
\]

and let

\[
\|u\|_\varepsilon := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_\varepsilon}.
\]

We recall the following useful properties for the space \( H^s_\varepsilon \) (see [11, 24] for more details):

**Lemma 2.1.** The space \( H^s_\varepsilon \) is complete and \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) is dense in \( H^s_\varepsilon \).

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( u \in H^s_\varepsilon \), then \( |u| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and the following fractional diamagnetic inequality holds

\[
||u||^2 \leq [u]^2_{A_\varepsilon}
\]

where

\[
[u]^2 := \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 \, dxdy.
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** The space \( H^s_\varepsilon \) is continuously embedded in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) for all \( r \in [2, 2_s^*] \), and compactly embedded in \( L^r_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) for all \( r \in [1, 2_s^*] \).

Moreover, if \( V_\infty = \infty \), then, for any bounded sequence \( (u_n) \) in \( H^s_\varepsilon \), we have that, up to a subsequence, \( \{u_n\} \) is strongly convergent in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( r \in [2, 2_s^*] \).

**Lemma 2.4.** If \( u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( u \) has compact support, then \( w = e^{iA(0) \cdot x} u \in H^s_\varepsilon \).

Now, let \( u \in H^s_\varepsilon \), and we define

\[
\hat{u}_j(x) := \varphi_j(x) u(x)
\]

where \( j \in \mathbb{N}^s \) and \( \varphi_j(x) = \varphi(2x/j) \) with \( \varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \), \( \varphi(x) = 1 \) if \( |x| \leq 1 \), and \( \varphi(x) = 0 \) if \( |x| \geq 2 \). Note that \( \hat{u}_j \in H^s_\varepsilon \) and \( \hat{u}_j \) has compact support. Moreover, from [57, Lemma 3.2] it follows that

**Lemma 2.5.** For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), it holds \( ||\hat{u}_j - u||_\varepsilon \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \).
Moreover, we recall the following useful result proved in [57, Lemma 3.1]:

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $\tau \in [2, 2^*_s)$ and $(u_n) \subset H^s$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_j}) \subset H^s$ such that for any $\sigma > 0$ there exists $r_{\sigma, \tau} > 0$ such that

$$
\limsup_{j \to \infty} \int_{B_r(0) \setminus B_{r_{\sigma}}(0)} |u_{n_j}|^\tau \, dx \leq \sigma
$$

(2.2)

for any $r \geq r_{\sigma}$.

In view of $(f_1)$-$(f_2)$ and arguing as in [11, Lemma 2.7], we can prove the following properties for the nonlinearity:

**Lemma 2.7.** Assume that $(f_1)$-$(f_4)$ hold. Then $f$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) for every $\xi > 0$ there exists $C_\xi > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\frac{\theta}{2} F(t^2) \leq f(t^2) t^2 \leq \xi t^4 + C_\xi |t|^q;
$$

(ii) there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $F(t^2) \geq C_1 |t|^q - C_2$;

(iii) if $u_{n_j} \to u$ in $H^s$ and $\hat{u}_j$ is defined as in (2.1) we have that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|u_{n_j}|^2) - F(|u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j|^2) - F(|\hat{u}_j|^2) \, dx = o_j(1) \quad \text{as } j \to \infty,
$$

where $o_j(1) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$;

(iv) if $(u_n) \subset H^s$ is bounded, $(u_{n_j})$ a subsequence as in Lemma 2.6 such that $u_{n_j} \to u$ in $H^s$ and $\hat{u}_j$ is defined as in (2.1) we have that

$$
\Re \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(|u_{n_j}|^2)u_{n_j} - f(|u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j|^2)(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(|\hat{u}_j|^2)\hat{u}_j] \varphi \, dx \right) \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty
$$

uniformly with respect to $\varphi \in H^s$ with $||\varphi||_s \leq 1$.

Now, let $s, t \in (0, 1)$ be such that $4s + 2t \geq 3$. Recalling the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ for all $q \in [2, 2^*_s)$ (see [25, Theorem 6.5]), we get

$$
H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^{\frac{12}{7+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}).
$$

(2.3)

Fix $u \in H^s$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that $|u| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Now, let us define the functional $\mathcal{L}_{|u|} : D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{|u|}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 v \, dx,
$$

where $D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) = \{ u \in L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) : |u| < \infty \}$. Using Hölder inequality and (2.3) we can see that

$$
|\mathcal{L}_{|u|}(v)| \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\frac{12}{7+2t}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{7t}{12}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C ||u||_{D^{t,2}}^2 ||v||_{D^{t,2}},
$$

(2.4)

where

$$
||v||_{D^{t,2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2t}} \, dx \, dy.
$$

Then, $\mathcal{L}_{|u|}$ is a linear continuous functional, and applying the Lax-Milgram Theorem we can find a unique $\phi^t_{|u|} \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
(-\Delta)^t \phi^t_{|u|} - |u|^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,
$$

(2.5)

which can be expressed via the following $t$-Riesz formula

$$
\phi^t_{|u|}(x) = c_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3-2t}} \, dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3), \quad c_t = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-2t} \frac{\Gamma(3-2t)}{\Gamma(t)}.
$$

(2.6)
In the sequel, we will omit the constant $c_\varepsilon$ for convenience in (2.6). Now we prove the following properties of the function $\phi^t_{|u|}$.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let us assume that $4s + 2t \geq 3$ and $u \in H_s^\varepsilon$. Then we have:

1. $\phi^t_{|u|} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \to D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets,
2. if $u_n \to u$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$ then $\phi^t_{|u_n|} \to \phi^t_{|u|}$ in $D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$,
3. $\phi^t_{|ru|} = r^2 \phi^t_{|u|}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi^t_{|u(x+y)|} = \phi^t_{|u|}(x + y)$,
4. $\phi^t_{|u|} \geq 0$ for all $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$, and we have

$$\|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{1+2t}{2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-\Delta)^{\frac{t}{2}} \phi^t_{|u|} \frac{\nabla u}{|u|} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 v dx$$

for all $v \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\|\mathcal{L}_{|u|}\|_{\mathcal{L}(D^{t,2})} = \|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}}$ for all $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$ (here $\mathcal{L}(D^{t,2}, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of bounded linear operators from $D^{t,2}$ into $\mathbb{R}$), and our claim is to prove that $u \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{|u|}$ is continuous to deduce that $\phi^t_{|u|}$ is continuous. Let $u_n \to u$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$. In view of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 we have $|u_n| \to |u|$ in $L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, for all $v \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, we can see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{|u_n|}(v) - \mathcal{L}_{|u|}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|u_n|^2 - |u|^2) v dx \leq \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_n|^2 + |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{3+2t}{6}} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{6}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right)^\frac{3}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_n| + |u| dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{D^{t,2}} \leq C\|u_n - u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|v\|_{D^{t,2}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

(2) Let $u_n \to u$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$ and fix $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. In the light of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 we get $|u_n| \to |u|$ in $L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $q \in [1, 2^*)$. Therefore

$$\langle \phi^t_{|u_n|} - \phi^t_{|u|}, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|u_n|^2 - |u|^2) v dx \leq \left( \left( \int_{\text{supp}(v)} |u_n| + |u|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_n| + |u|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right) \leq C\|u_n - u\|_{L^2(\text{supp}(v))} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0,$$

and the conclusion follows by a density argument. (3) is obtained by the definition of $\phi^t_{|u|}$.

(4) It is clear that $\phi^t_{|u|} \geq 0$. Using (2.7) with $v = \phi^t_{|u|}$, Hölder inequality and (2.3) we have

$$\|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{1+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\phi^t_{|u|}\|_{D^{t,2}}.$$

On the other hand, in view of (2.6), Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [37, Theorem 4.3] and (2.3) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi^t_{|u|} |u|^2 dx \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$
In the lemma below we prove a Brezis-Lieb splitting property [17] (see also [1,41,58]) for the following operator

\[ \Psi : H_s^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \Psi(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{[u]}^t |u|^2 dx \]

and its differential \( \Psi' \). These results will be useful to study the decomposition of the functional associated with (1.8) along (PS) sequences; see Proposition 4.1 in Section 4.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let us assume that \( 2s + 2t > 3 \). Then we have the following splittings:

(i) if \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) in \( H_s^\varepsilon \) and \( \hat{u}_j \) is defined as in (2.1) we have that

\[ \Psi(u_n) - \Psi(u) - \Psi(\hat{u}_j) = o_j(1) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty; \]

(ii) if \( (u_n) \subset H_s^\varepsilon \) is bounded, \( (u_{n_j}) \) a subsequence as in Lemma 2.6 such that \( u_{n_j} \rightharpoonup u \) in \( H_s^\varepsilon \) and \( \hat{u}_j \) is defined as in (2.1) we have that

\[ \langle \Psi'(u_{n_j}) - \Psi'(u) - \Psi'(\hat{u}_j), \varphi \rangle = o_j(1) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \]

uniformly with respect to \( \varphi \in H_s^\varepsilon \) with \( \| \varphi \|_\varepsilon \leq 1 \).

**Proof.** The verification of (i) is similar to and simpler than that of (ii), so we only check the latter. Combining \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) in \( H_s^\varepsilon \), Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.8, we can see that for any \( r > 0 \)

\[ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_r(0)} (\phi_{[u_n]}^t u_n - \phi_{[u_n-\hat{u}_j]}^t (u_n - \hat{u}_j) - \phi_{[\hat{u}_j]}^t \hat{u}_j) \varphi dx \right| = 0 \]

uniformly with respect to \( \varphi \in H_s^\varepsilon \) with \( \| \varphi \|_\varepsilon \leq 1 \).

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.2, it follows that for any \( \sigma > 0 \) there exists \( r_\sigma > 0 \) such that

\[ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0) \setminus B_r(0)} |u_n|^2 dx \leq \sigma \]

for any \( r \geq r_\sigma \). Then

\[ \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_j(0) \setminus B_r(0)} |\hat{u}_j|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r(0)} |u|^2 dx \leq \sigma \]

for any \( r \geq r_\sigma \). Now, since \( 2s + 2t > 3 \), we can find \( \frac{3}{2s} < p < \frac{3}{3-2t} \) so that \( 2p' \in (2, 2^*_s) \). Moreover, taking \( q > \frac{3}{3-2t} \) and using again \( 2s + 2t > 3 \), we obtain that \( 2q' \in (2, 2^*_s) \). Then, applying Hölder inequality, we have for all \( \varphi \in H_s^\varepsilon \)

\[ \phi_{[u]}^t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3-2t}} dy \leq \|u\|_{L^{2p'}(B_1(x))} \left( \int_{|y-x|<1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{p(3-2t)}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|u\|_{L^{2q'}(B_1(x))} \left( \int_{|y-x|>1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{q(3-2t)}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]

\[ \leq C \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|u\|_{L^{2q'}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right\} \]

for some \( C > 0 \) independent of \( x \). Fix \( \varphi \in H_s^\varepsilon \) such that \( \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1 \). Taking into account the boundedness of \( (u_{n_j}) \) and \( (\hat{u}_j) \) in \( H_s^\varepsilon \) and using Lemma 2.5, we can see that the above estimate
yields
\[
\limsup_{j \to \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi^t|_{u_{n_j}} - \phi^t|_{u_j})(u_{n_j} - u_j)(\phi^t|_{u_j} - \bar{u}_j) dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \int_{B(0)} \left| \phi^t|_{u_{n_j}} - \phi^t|_{u_j})(u_{n_j} - u_j) - \phi^t|_{u_j} - \bar{u}_j dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq C \limsup_{j \to \infty} \left[ \|u_{n_j}\|_{L^2(B(0))} + \|\bar{u}_j\|_{L^2(B(0))} \right] \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]
\[
\times \max \left\{ \|u_{n_j}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|u_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|\bar{u}_j\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right\}
\]
\[
\leq C \sigma^{1/2}.
\]
From the arbitrariness of \( \sigma > 0 \) we get the thesis. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.1.** In order to lighten the notation, in what follows we neglect the constant \( c_{3,8} \) appearing in the definition of \( [\cdot]_A \).

3. Functional setting

In order to find weak solutions to (1.8), we look for critical points of the functional \( J_\varepsilon : H^s_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R} \) associated with (1.8) defined by
\[
J_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|u|^2) dx.
\]
In view of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, it is easy to check that \( J_\varepsilon \) is well-defined, \( J_\varepsilon \in C^1(H^s_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}) \) and its differential is given by
\[
\langle J'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left( u(x) - e^{t(x-y)} A_s(x, y) u(y) \right) f(v(x) - e^{t(x-y)} A_s(x, y) v(y)) \right) dx dy
\]
\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) u \bar{v} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|^2) u \bar{v} dx.
\]
Hence, the critical points of \( J_\varepsilon \) are exactly the weak solutions of (1.8). Now we show that, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the functional \( J_\varepsilon \) possesses a mountain pass geometry [6].

**Lemma 3.1.** The functional \( J_\varepsilon \) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) there exist \( \alpha, \rho > 0 \) such that \( J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \alpha \) with \( \|u\|_\varepsilon = \rho \);

(ii) there exists \( \varepsilon \in H^s_\varepsilon \) with \( \|\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon > \rho \) such that \( J_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) < 0 \).

**Proof.** (i) Using Lemma 2.7-(i), Lemma 2.8-(4) and Lemma 2.3, for \( \xi \) sufficiently small we have
\[
J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{\xi}{4} \|u\|^4_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} - C_\xi \|u\|^q_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2_\varepsilon - \xi C \|u\|^4_\varepsilon - C_2 \|u\|^q_\varepsilon.
\]

(ii) In view of Lemma 2.7-(ii) and recalling that \( \theta > 4 \), we can see that for any \( u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( u \neq 0 \), we obtain
\[
J_\varepsilon(Tu) = \frac{T^2}{2} \|u\|^2_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{T^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(T^2|u|^2) dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{T^4}{2} \left( \|u\|^2_\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t|u|^2 dx \right) - C T^\theta \|u\|^\theta_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C < 0
\]
for \( T > 0 \) large enough. \( \square \)
In view of Lemma 3.1, we can use the Ekeland Variational Principle to see that there exists a \((PS)_{c_{\varepsilon}}\) sequence \((u_n) \subset H^s_{\varepsilon}\), that is
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \to c_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad J'_{\varepsilon}(u_n) \to 0,
\]
where \(c_{\varepsilon}\) is the minimax level of the mountain pass theorem, that is
\[
c_{\varepsilon} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))
\]
where
\[
\Gamma_{\varepsilon} := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H^s_{\varepsilon}) : \gamma(0) = 0, J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.
\]
Moreover, we can see that the following assertion holds:

**Lemma 3.2.** If \((u_n)\) is a \((PS)_{c_{\varepsilon}}\) sequence then \((u_n)\) bounded in \(H^s_{\varepsilon}\).

**Proof.** In view of (3.1) we can see that
\[
c_{\varepsilon} + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|u_n|}^t |u_n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|u_n|^2) dx
\]
and
\[
o(1) = \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|u_n|}^t |u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx.
\]
Then, using \((f_3)\) we can deduce that
\[
c_{\varepsilon} + o(1) = \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - 2F(|u_n|^2) dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \left( \frac{\vartheta - 4}{8} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|u_n|^2) dx
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_{\varepsilon}^2,
\]
which implies that \((u_n)\) is bounded in \(H^s_{\varepsilon}\). \(\square\)

As in [54, Chapter 4], it is easy to see that \(c_{\varepsilon}\) can be characterized as follows:
\[
c_{\varepsilon} = \inf_{u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon}(tu) = \inf_{u \in N_{\varepsilon}} J_{\varepsilon}(u),
\]
where
\[
N_{\varepsilon} := \{ u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_{\varepsilon}(u), u \rangle = 0 \}
\]
is the Nehari manifold associated to \(J_{\varepsilon}\). Moreover, we have the following properties.

**Lemma 3.3.** We have:

(i) there exists \(K > 0\) such that, for all \(u \in N_{\varepsilon}\), \(\|u\|_{\varepsilon} \geq K\);

(ii) for any \(u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\}\) there exists a unique \(t_0 = t_0(u)\) such that \(J_{\varepsilon}(t_0 u) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon}(tu)\) and then \(t_0 u \in N_{\varepsilon}\).

**Proof.** (i) Fix \(u \in N_{\varepsilon}\). In view of Lemma 2.7-(i) and Lemma 2.8-(4) we can obtain
\[
0 = \|u\|_{\varepsilon}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|u|}^t |u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|^2) |u|^2 dx
\]
\[
\geq \|u\|_{\varepsilon}^2 - \varepsilon \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 - C\|u\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4
\]
\[
\geq \|u\|_{\varepsilon}^2 - \varepsilon C\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^4 - C\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^4
\]
which implies that there exists \(K > 0\) such that \(\|u\|_{\varepsilon} \geq K\).

(ii) Take \(u \in H^s_{\varepsilon} \setminus \{0\}\) and set \(h(t) := J_{\varepsilon}(tu)\) for \(t \geq 0\). From the arguments in Lemma 3.1, we can see that \(h(0) = 0, h(t) > 0\) for \(t > 0\) small and \(h(t) < 0\) for \(t\) large. Then there exists \(t_0 > 0\)
such that \( h(t_u) = \max_{t \geq 0} h(t) \) so that \( h'(t_u) = 0 \) and \( t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \). In order to prove the uniqueness of a such \( t_u \), let \( 0 < t_u < t'_u \) such that \( t_u u, t'_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \). Then we have

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|t'_u|} - \frac{1}{|t_u|} \right) \|u\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{f((t'_u)^2|u|^2)}{|t'_u|^2|u|^2} - \frac{f((t_u)^2|u|^2)}{|t_u|^2|u|^2} \right) |u|^4 \, dx.
\]

Using (f4) we can deduce that the above equation makes no sense. □

We will see that it is very important to compare \( c_\varepsilon \) with the minimax level of the autonomous problem

\[
(-\Delta)^s u + \mu u + \phi_1' u = f(u^2)u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,
\]

with \( \mu > 0 \), whose solutions can be obtained as critical points of the functional \( I_\mu : H^s_\mu \to \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[
I_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s_\mu}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_1 u^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(u^2) \, dx,
\]

where \( H^s_\mu \) is the space \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) endowed with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{H^s_\mu}^2 := |u|^2 + \mu \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.
\]

We also define the Nehari manifold associated to \( (P_\mu) \)

\[
\mathcal{M}_\mu = \{ u \in H^s_\mu : \langle I_\mu'(u), u \rangle = 0 \}
\]

and

\[
m_\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} I_\mu(u).
\]

**Remark 3.1.** Arguing as in Lemma 3.3 we can prove that for every fixed \( \mu > 0 \) there exists \( K > 0 \) such that, for all \( u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu \), \( \|u\|_{H^s_\mu} \geq K \) and that for any \( u \in H^s_\mu \setminus \{0\} \) there exists a unique \( t_0 = t_0(u) \) such that \( I_\mu(t_0 u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\mu(tu) \) and then \( t_0 u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu \).

In order to prove that \( m_\mu \) can be achieved, we first recall the following useful lemma [28, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( q \in [2, 2^*_s) \). If \( (u_n) \) is a bounded sequence in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^q \, dx = 0
\]

for some \( R > 0 \), then \( u_n \to 0 \) in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( r \in (q, 2^*_s) \).

At this point we can prove the following result.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( d \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( (u_n) \subset H^s_\mu \) be a \((PS)_d\) sequence for \( I_\mu \). Then, one of the following alternatives occurs:

(i) \( u_n \to 0 \) in \( H^s_\mu \);

(ii) there are a sequence \( (y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) and constants \( R, \beta > 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^4 \, dx \geq \beta > 0.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that (ii) does not hold true. Then, for every \( R > 0 \) we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^4 \, dx = 0.
\]
Arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we can see that \((u_n)\) is bounded in \(H^s_\mu\). In view of Lemma 3.4, we infer that \(\|u_n\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0\) for all \(r \in (2, 2^*_s)\). This and \((f_1)\) and \((f_2)\) imply that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n^2) u_n^2 \, dx \to 0.
\]
Since \(u_n \to 0\) in \(L^{\frac{12}{4+3}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), from Lemma 2.8-(4) we deduce that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 \, dx \to 0.
\]
Therefore
\[
o_n(1) = \langle I'_\mu(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^2_\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(u_n^2) u_n^2 \, dx = \|u_n\|^2_\mu + o_n(1)
\]
which implies that \(u_n \to 0\) in \(H^s_\mu\) as \(n \to \infty\). \(\square\)

In the next result we show that \(m_\mu\) can be achieved.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \((u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu\) be a sequence satisfying \(I_\mu(u_n) \to m_\mu\). Then, up to subsequences, the following alternatives hold:

(i) \((u_n)\) strongly converges in \(H^s_\mu\);

(ii) there exists a sequence \((\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) such that, up to a subsequence, \(v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)\) converges strongly in \(H^s_\mu\).

In particular, there exists a minimizer \(w \in H^s_\mu\) for \(I_\mu\) with \(I_\mu(w) = m_\mu\).

**Proof.** Using a version of the mountain pass theorem without \((PS)\) condition (see [54]), we may suppose that \((u_n)\) is a \((PS)_{m_\mu}\) sequence for \(I_\mu\). Arguing as in Lemma 3.2, it is easy to check that \((u_n)\) is bounded in \(H^s_\mu\) so we may assume that \(u_n \rightharpoonup u\) in \(H^s_\mu\). The weak convergence together with Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 imply that \(I'_\mu(u) = 0\). Now, we assume that \(u \neq 0\). Since \(u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu\), we can use \((f_3)\) and Fatou’s Lemma to see that
\[
m_\mu \leq I_\mu(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\mu(u), u \rangle
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} \|u\|^2_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} f(u^2) u - F(u^2) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ I_\mu(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\mu(u), u \rangle \right] = m_\mu,
\]
which implies that \(I_\mu(u) = m_\mu\).

Let us consider the case \(u = 0\). Since \(m_\mu > 0\) and \(I_\mu\) is continuous, we can see that \(\|u_n\|_\mu \not\to 0\). Then we can use Lemma 3.5 to find a sequence \((y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) and constants \(R, \beta > 0\) such that
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^4 \, dx \geq \beta > 0.
\]
Let us define \(v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n)\), and we note that \(v_n\) has a nontrivial weak limit \(v\) in \(H^s_\mu\). It is clear that also \((v_n)\) is a \((PS)_{m_\mu}\) sequence for \(I_\mu\), and arguing as before we can deduce that \(I_\mu(v) = m_\mu\).

In conclusion, we have proved that for all \(\mu > 0\), problem \((P_\mu)\) admits a ground state solution.

Now, let \(u\) be a ground state for \((P_\mu)\). Taking \(\varphi = u^-\) as test function in \(\langle I'_\mu(u), \varphi \rangle = 0\), it is easy to check that \(u \geq 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\). In particular, observing that \(\phi_0^\mu \geq 0\) and \(f\) has a subcritical growth, we
can argue as in [26, Proposition 5.1.1] to see that $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, we have
\[
\phi_u^t(x) = \int_{|y-x| > 1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3-2r}} dy + \int_{|y-x| < 1} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3-2r}} dy 
\leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_{|y-x| < 1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{3-2r}} dy \leq C,
\]
so that $g(x) = f(u^2)u - \mu u - \phi_u^t u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Applying [28, Lemma 3.4] we can deduce that $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Let $w$ be a solution to $-\Delta w = \mu u - \phi_u^t u + f(u^2)u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. From the Schauder estimates for the Laplacian, we know that $w \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It follows from $2s + \alpha > 1$ that $(-\Delta)^{1-s} w \in C^{1,2s+\alpha-1}$, and being $(-\Delta)^s(u - (-\Delta)^{1-s} w) = 0$, we get that $u - (-\Delta)^{1-s} w$ is harmonic and $u$ has the same regularity as $(-\Delta)^{1-s} w$. Therefore $u \in C^{1,2s+\alpha-1}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Recalling the following integral representation for the fractional Laplacian [25, Lemma 3.2]
\[
(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \frac{-c_{3,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dy,
\]
we can see that if $u(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then
\[
0 > (-\Delta)^s u(x_0) = -\mu u(x_0) - \phi_u^t u(x_0) + f(u(x_0)^2)u(x_0) = 0
\]
that is a contradiction. Therefore $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Since $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can deduce that $u(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Then we can find $R > 0$ such that $(-\Delta)^s u + \frac{\mu}{2} u \leq 0$ in $|x| > R$. Using [28, Lemma 4.3] we know that there exists a positive function $w$ such that for $|x| > R$ (taking $R$ larger if it is necessary), it holds $(-\Delta)^s w + \frac{\mu}{2} w \geq 0$ and $w(x) = \frac{\mu}{|x|^{3+2s}}$. In view of the continuity of $u$ and $w$ there exists some constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $z = u - C_1 w \leq 0$ on $|x| = R$. Moreover, we can see that $(-\Delta)^s z + \frac{\mu}{2} z \leq 0$ in $|x| \geq R$. From the maximum principle we can deduce that $z \leq 0$ in $|x| \geq R$, that is $0 < u(x) \leq C_1 w(x) = \frac{\mu}{|x|^{3+2s}}$ for all $|x|$ big enough. This last estimate will be useful to prove the existence of a nontrivial solution to (1.8).

\[
\square
\]

4. A COMPACTNESS CONDITION

In this section we prove some compactness results for the functional $J_\epsilon$. We start proving the following property on the $(PS)_d$ sequence for $J_\epsilon$ in the noncoercive case $V_\infty < \infty$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $V_\infty < \infty$ and let $(v_n)$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for $J_\epsilon$ in $H_\epsilon^s$ with $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H_\epsilon^s$. If $v_n \not\to 0$ in $H_\epsilon^s$, then $d \geq m_{V_\infty}$, where $m_{V_\infty}$ is the minimax level of $I_{V_\infty}$.

**Proof.** Let $(t_n) \subset (0, +\infty)$ be a sequence such that $(t_n |v_n|) \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$. Then our first aim is to prove that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} t_n \leq 1$.

Assume by contradiction that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence, still denoted by $(t_n)$, such that
\[
t_n \geq 1 + \delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Since $(v_n)$ is a $(PS)_d$ sequence for $J_\epsilon$, we know that $(v_n)$ is bounded in view of Lemma 3.2 and from $\langle J'_\epsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = o_n(1)$ we can see that
\[
|v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\epsilon(x) |v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|v_n|}^t |v_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|v_n|^2) |v_n|^2 dx + o_n(1).
\]
Recalling that $t_n |v_n| \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$ we have
\[
t_n^2 (|v_n|^2 + V_\infty |v_n|^2) + t_n^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|v_n|}^t |v_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_n^2 |v_n|^2) t_n^2 |v_n|^2 dx.
\]
Putting together (4.2), (4.3) and applying Lemma 2.2 we obtain
\[ o_n(1) + \left( \frac{1}{t_n} - 1 \right) |v_n|^2 \Lambda + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \frac{f(t_n^2|v_n|^2)}{t_n^2|v_n|^2} - \frac{f(|v_n|^2)}{|v_n|^2} \right] |v_n|^4 \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{V_\infty}{t_n^2} - V_\varepsilon(x) \right) |v_n|^2 \, dx + o_n(1). \] (4.4)

Taking into account (RV), we know that for every \( \zeta > 0 \) there exists \( R = R(\zeta) > 0 \) such that
\[ \frac{V_\infty}{t_n^2} - V_\varepsilon(x) \leq \zeta \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq R. \] (4.5)

In view of (4.5), \( |v_n| \to 0 \) in \( L^2(B_R(0), \mathbb{R}) \) (because Lemma 2.3 and the weak convergence yield \( v_n \to 0 \) in \( L^2(B_R(0), \mathbb{C}) \)) and \( (v_n) \) in \( H^s_\varepsilon \) is bounded, we have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{V_\infty}{t_n^2} - V_\varepsilon(x) \right) |v_n|^2 \, dx \leq o_n(1) + \zeta. \]

This fact and (4.4) yield
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \frac{f(t_n^2|v_n|^2)}{t_n^2|v_n|^2} - \frac{f(|v_n|^2)}{|v_n|^2} \right] |v_n|^4 \, dx \leq \zeta + o_n(1). \] (4.6)

Since \( v_n \not\to 0 \), we can use Lemma 3.5 to find a sequence \((y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\), and two constants \( \tilde{R}, \beta \) such that
\[ \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}(y_n)} |v_n|^4 \, dx \geq \beta > 0. \] (4.7)

Set \( w_n = |v_n|(\cdot + y_n) \). By (RV), Lemma 2.2 and the boundedness of \((v_n)\) in \( H^s_\varepsilon \), we deduce that \((w_n)\) is bounded in \( H^s_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), that is
\[ \|w_n\|_{Y_\varepsilon}^2 = \|v_n\|_Y^2 \leq \|v_n\|_Y^2 \leq C. \]

Hence \( w_n \to w \) in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( w_n \to w \) in \( L^4_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, by (4.7), there exists \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with positive measure and such that \( w \neq 0 \) in \( \Omega \). Putting together (4.1) and (4.6) we can infer
\[ 0 < \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{f((1 + \delta)^2 w_n^2)}{(1 + \delta)^2 w_n^2} - \frac{f(w_n^2)}{w_n^2} \right] w_n^4 \, dx \leq \zeta + o_n(1). \]

Taking the limit as \( n \to \infty \) in the above inequality and applying Fatou’s Lemma and (f4) we obtain
\[ 0 < \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{f((1 + \delta)^2 w^2)}{(1 + \delta)^2 w^2} - \frac{f(w^2)}{w^2} \right] w^4 \, dx \leq \zeta C \]
for any \( \zeta > 0 \), which leads to a contradiction.

Now, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} t_n = 1 \).

In this case there exists a subsequence still denoted by \((t_n)\) such that \( t_n \to 1 \). Since \((v_n)\) is a \((PS)_d\) sequence for \( J_\varepsilon, m_{V_\infty} \) is the minimax level of \( I_{V_\infty} \), and Lemma 2.2, we get
\[ d + o_n(1) = J_\varepsilon(v_n) \]
\[ \geq J_\varepsilon(v_n) - I_{V_\infty}(t_n |v_n|) + m_{V_\infty} \]
\[ \geq \frac{1 - t_n^2}{2} ||v_n||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V_\varepsilon(x) - t_n^2 V_\infty) |v_n|^2 \, dx \]
\[ + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 - t_n^4) \phi_{t_n} |v_n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(t_n^2 |v_n|^2) - F(|v_n|^2) \right] \, dx + m_{V_\infty}. \] \quad \text{(4.8)}

From the boundedness of \((|v_n|)\) in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( t_n \to 1 \), we have
\[ \frac{1 - t_n^2}{2} ||v_n||^2 = o_n(1). \] \quad \text{(4.9)}
Using the Mean Value Theorem, Lemma 2.7-(i), \( t_n \to 1 \), and the boundedness of \( (v_n) \), we can see that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ F(t_n^2|v_n|^2) - F(|v_n|^2) \right] \, dx = o_n(1). \tag{4.10}
\]
Then, (RV), (4.10), \( (v_n) \) is bounded in \( H_x^s \) yield
\[
d + o_n(1) \geq o_n(1) - \zeta C + m_{V_\infty},
\]
and taking the limit as \( n \to \infty \) we can find \( d \geq m_{V_\infty} \).

**Case 2:** \( \lim sup_{n \to \infty} t_n = t_0 < 1 \).

In this case there exists a subsequence still denoted by \( (t_n) \), such that \( t_n \to t_0 \) and \( t_n < 1 \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). By (4.5), \(|v_n| \to 0 \) in \( L^2(B_R(0), \mathbb{R}) \) and \( (v_n) \) is bounded, we can see that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V_{\infty} - V_{\varepsilon}(x))|v_n|^2 \, dx \leq \zeta C + o_n(1). \tag{4.11}
\]
Let us note that the map \( t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} f(t) - F(t) \) is increasing for \( t > 0 \) in view of \((f_4)\). This combined
with \( t_n|v_n| \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}, \ t_n < 1, \ (4.11) \) and Lemma 2.2, yields
\[
m_{V_\infty} \leq I_{V_\infty}(t_n|v_n|) - \frac{1}{4} < I_{V_\infty}(t_n|v_n|), t_n|v_n|) \]
\[
= \frac{t_n^2}{4} \left( |v_n|^2 + V_\infty |v_n| L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{1}{2} f(t_n^2|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 - F(t_n^2|v_n|^2) \right) \, dx \]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{4} |v_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{1}{2} f(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 - F(|v_n|^2) \right) \, dx + \zeta C + o_n(1) \]
\[
= J_{\varepsilon}(v_n) - \frac{1}{4} < J_{\varepsilon}(v_n), v_n > + \zeta C + o_n(1) \]
\[
= d + \zeta C + o_n(1).
\]
Letting the limit as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and then \( n \to \infty \), we get \( d \geq m_{V_\infty} \). \( \square \)

Now, we give the conditions on the levels \( c \) for which \( J_{\varepsilon} \) satisfies the \((PS)_c\) condition.

**Proposition 4.1.** The functional \( J_{\varepsilon} \) satisfies the \((PS)_c\) condition at any level \( c < m_{V_\infty} \) if \( V_\infty < \infty \),
and at any level \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) if \( V_\infty = \infty \).

**Proof.** Let \( (u_n) \) be a \((PS)_c\) sequence for \( J_{\varepsilon} \). Hence \( (u_n) \) is bounded in \( H_x^s \) (see Lemma 3.2) and, up
to a subsequence, we may assume that \( u_n \to u \) in \( H_x^s \) and \( u_n \to u \) in \( L^q(B_R(0), \mathbb{C}) \) for any \( q \in [1, 2^*_s) \).

From assumptions \((f_1)\), \((f_2)\) and Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.8 it is easy to see that \( J_{\varepsilon}'(u) = 0 \).
Moreover, by \((f_3)\), we can see that
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(u) = J_{\varepsilon}(u) - \frac{1}{4} < J_{\varepsilon}'(u), u > = \frac{1}{4} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{1}{2} f(|u|^2)|u|^2 - F(|u|^2) \right) \, dx \geq 0. \tag{4.12}
\]
Invoking Lemma 2.6 we can find a subsequence \( (u_{n_j}) \subset H_x^s \) verifying (2.2).
Now, let \( v_j = u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j \) where \( \hat{u}_j \) is defined as in (2.1). Using \((iii)-(iv)\) in Lemma 2.7 and \((i)-(ii)\) in
Lemma 2.9, we can see that
\[
J_{\varepsilon}(v_j) = c - J_{\varepsilon}(u) + o_j(1) \tag{4.13}
\]
and
\[
J_{\varepsilon}'(v_j) = o_j(1). \tag{4.14}
\]
Let us suppose that \( V_\infty < \infty \) and \( c < m_{V_\infty} \). From (4.12) we get \( c - J_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq c < m_{V_\infty} \). Then,
recalling that \( (v_j) \) is a \((PS)_{c-J_{\varepsilon}(u)}\) sequence for \( J_{\varepsilon} \) (by (4.13) and (4.14)) and that \( v_j \to 0 \) in \( H_x^s \), we
can use Lemma 4.1 to deduce that \( v_j \to 0 \) in \( H_x^s \). Applying Lemma 2.5 we can deduce that \( u_{n_j} \to u \). 
in $H^s_\varepsilon$ as $j \to \infty$.

If $V_\infty = +\infty$ holds, we can use Lemma 2.3, $v_j \to 0$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ for any $r \in [2, 2^*_s)$, (4.14) and Lemma 2.7-(i) to infer that
\[
\|v_j\|_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{|v_j|}^\prime |v_j|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|v_j|^2)|v_j|^2 \, dx + o_j(1) = o_j(1).
\]

As before, we can deduce that $u_{n_j} \to u$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$ as $j \to \infty$ and this ends the proof of proposition. \(\Box\)

Now we show that $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a natural constraint, namely that the constrained critical points of the functional $J_\varepsilon$ on $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ are critical points of $J_\varepsilon$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$.

**Proposition 4.2.** The functional $J_\varepsilon$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition at any level $c < m_{V_\infty}$ if $V_\infty < \infty$, and at any level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if $V_\infty = \infty$.

**Proof.** Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence of $J_\varepsilon$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Using [54, Proposition 5.12], we can find a sequence $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that
\[
J_\varepsilon'(u_n) = \lambda_n T_\varepsilon'(u_n) + o_n(1)
\] (4.15)
where $T_\varepsilon : H^s_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as
\[
T_\varepsilon(u) = \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^\prime_{|u|} |u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|^2)|u|^2 \, dx.
\]
In light of $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $(f_5)$ we can see that
\[
\langle T_\varepsilon'(u_n), u_n \rangle = 2\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^\prime_{|u_n|} |u_n|^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f'(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^4 \, dx
\]
\[
= -2\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 - f'(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^4] \, dx \leq -2C_\sigma \|u_n\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 < 0.
\]
Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\langle T_\varepsilon'(u_n), u_n \rangle \to \ell \leq 0$.

If $\ell = 0$, then
\[
o_n(1) = |\langle T_\varepsilon'(u_n), u_n \rangle| \geq C \|u_n\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2
\]
so we obtain that $u_n \to 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$. Since $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $J_\varepsilon(u_n) \to c$ as $n \to \infty$, we can argue as in Lemma 3.2 to see that $(u_n)$ is bounded in $H^s_\varepsilon$. Then, by interpolation, we also have $u_n \to 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$. Hence, using Lemma 2.7-(i), we have
\[
\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{|u_n|} |u_n|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 \, dx = o_n(1),
\]
which implies that $u_n \to 0$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$. This is impossible in view of Lemma 3.3-(i). Therefore $\ell < 0$ and by (4.15) we deduce that $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$. From (4.15), we have $J_\varepsilon'(u_n) = o_n(1)$, that is $(u_n)$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_\varepsilon$. Then we can apply Proposition 4.1 to obtain the thesis. \(\Box\)

Arguing as before we can see that the following result holds.

**Corollary 4.1.** The constrained critical points of the functional $J_\varepsilon$ on $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ are critical points of $J_\varepsilon$ in $H^s_\varepsilon$.

5. An existence result for (1.8)

In this section we give a first existence result to (1.8). More precisely:

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that (RV) and $(f_1)$-$(f_5)$ hold. Then, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, problem (1.8) has a nontrivial solution.
Proof. Since $J_\varepsilon$ has a mountain pass geometry (see Lemma 3.1), we can apply the Ekeland Variational Principle, to find a $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ sequence $(u_n) \subset H^s_\varepsilon$ for $J_\varepsilon$.

If $V_\infty = \infty$, by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 4.1 we deduce that $J_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon$ and $J'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$, where $u \in H^s_\varepsilon$ is the weak limit of $u_n$.

Now, assume that $V_\infty < \infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$V(0) = V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x).$$

Fix $\mu \in (V_0, V_\infty)$. Clearly $m_{V_0} < m_\mu < m_{V_\infty}$. Let $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ be a positive ground state to autonomous problem $(P_\mu)$ (which there exists in view of Lemma 3.6) and we recall that $w \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < w(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{3+2s}}$ for $|x| > 1$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function such that $\eta = 1$ in $B_1(0)$ and $\eta = 0$ in $B_2(0)$. We let $w_r(x) := \eta_r(x)w(x)e^{tA(0)x}$, with $\eta_r(x) = \eta(x/r)$ for $r > 0$, and we observe that $|w_r| = \eta_rw$ and $w_r \in H^s_\varepsilon$ in view of Lemma 2.4. Take $t_r > 0$ such that

$$I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\mu(t|w_r|)$$

Let us prove that there exists $r$ sufficiently large such that $I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) < m_{V_\infty}$. Assume by contradiction that $I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) \geq m_{V_\infty}$ for any $r > 0$. Using Lemma 5 in [45] we can see that $|w_r| \to w$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ as $r \to \infty$, and being $w \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, we have $t_r \to 1$ and

$$m_{V_\infty} \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \inf I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) = I_\mu(w) = m_\mu$$

which gives a contradiction because of $m_{V_\infty} > m_\mu$. Then we can find $r > 0$ such that

$$I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) = \max_{r \geq 0} I_\mu(\tau(t_r|w_r|)) \text{ and } I_\mu(t_r|w_r|) < m_{V_\infty}. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we prove the following limit:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} [w_r]_{A_\varepsilon}^2 = [\eta_rw]^2. \quad (5.2)$$

Let us note that

$$[w_r]_{A_\varepsilon}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|e^{iA(0)x}\eta_r(x)w(x) - e^{iA_\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon+y}{\varepsilon})}(x-y)e^{iA(0)y}\eta_r(y)w(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= |\eta_r w|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\eta_r^2(y)w^2(y)\epsilon_{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon+y}{\varepsilon})]-A(0)](x-y) - 1|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$+ 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\eta_r(x)w(x) - \eta_r(y)w(y))\eta_r(y)w(y)(1 - e^{-i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon+y}{\varepsilon})]-A(0)](x-y))}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= |\eta_r w|^2 + X_\varepsilon + 2Y_\varepsilon.$$

Since $|Y_\varepsilon| \leq |\eta_rw|\sqrt{X_\varepsilon}$, it is enough to show that $X_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to infer that (5.2) holds. For $0 < \beta < \alpha/(1 + \alpha - s)$, we have

$$X_\varepsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|e^{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon+y}{\varepsilon})]-A(0)](x-y) - 1|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y| < \varepsilon^{-\beta}} \frac{|e^{i[A_\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon+y}{\varepsilon})]-A(0)](x-y) - 1|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \, dx$$

$$= X_\varepsilon^1 + X_\varepsilon^2. \quad (5.3)$$

Since $|e^{i\tau} - 1|^2 \leq 4$ and recalling that $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can observe that

$$X_\varepsilon^1 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{\varepsilon^{-\beta}}^{\infty} \rho^{-1-2s}d\rho \leq C\varepsilon^{2+\beta} \to 0. \quad (5.4)$$
Regarding $X^2_\varepsilon$, since $|e^{it} - 1|^2 \leq t^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in C^{0,\alpha} (\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and $|x + y|^2 \leq 2(|x - y|^2 + |y|^2)$, we can obtain

\[
X^2_\varepsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y|<\varepsilon^\beta} \frac{|A_\varepsilon(x+y/2) - A(0)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s-2}} dx
\]
\[
\leq C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y|<\varepsilon^\beta} \frac{|x+y|^{2\alpha}}{|x-y|^{3+2s-2}} dx
\]
\[
\leq C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y|<\varepsilon^\beta} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{3+2s-2-2\alpha}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^{2\alpha} w^2(y)dy \int_{|x-y|<\varepsilon^\beta} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{3+2s-2}} dx \right)
\]
\[
= C \varepsilon^{2\alpha} (X^{2,1}_\varepsilon + X^{2,2}_\varepsilon).
\]

Therefore

\[
X^{2,1}_\varepsilon = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2(y)dy \int_0^{\varepsilon^\beta} \rho^{1+2\alpha-2s} d\rho \leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1+\alpha-s)}.
\]  

(5.6)

On the other hand, recalling the polynomial decay estimate on $w$ we infer that

\[
X^{2,2}_\varepsilon \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y|^{2\alpha} w^2(y)dy \int_0^{\varepsilon^\beta} \rho^{1-2s} d\rho
\]
\[
\leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1-s)} \left[ \int_{B_1(0)} w^2(y)dy + \int_{B_1(0)} \frac{1}{|y|^{2(3+2s)-2\alpha}} dy \right]
\]
\[
\leq C \varepsilon^{-2\beta(1-s)}.
\]  

(5.7)

Taking into account (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) we can conclude that $X_\varepsilon \to 0$. Now, in view of (RV), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

\[
V_\varepsilon(x) \leq \mu \text{ for all } x \in \text{supp}(|w_r|), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).
\]  

(5.8)

Therefore, putting together (5.1), (5.2) and (5.8), we deduce that

\[
\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_\varepsilon \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \max_{\tau \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(\tau t_r w_r) \right] \leq \max_{\tau \geq 0} I_\mu(\tau t_r |w_r|) = I_\mu(t_r |w_r|) < m V_\infty
\]

which implies that $c_\varepsilon < m V_\infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then we can apply Proposition 4.1 to deduce the thesis.

6. Multiple solutions to (1.8)

This section is devoted to the proof of a multiplicity result for (1.8). For this purpose, we begin proving the following compactness result.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ and $(u_n) \subset N_{\varepsilon_n}$ be such that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \to m V_0$. Then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the translated sequence

\[
v_n(x) := |u_n|(x + \tilde{y}_n)
\]
has a subsequence which converges in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $(y_n) := (\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$ is such that $y_n \to y \in M$. 


Proof. Since \((J'_{e_n}(u_n), u_n) = 0\) and \(J_{e_n}(u_n) \to m_{V_0}\), we can argue as in Lemma 3.2 to see that \(\|u_n\|_{e_n} \leq C\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Let us note \(\|u_n\|_{e_n} \to 0\) otherwise \(J_{e_n}(u_n) \to 0\) which is impossible since \(m_{V_0} > 0\). Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we can find a sequence \((\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) and constants \(R, \beta > 0\) such that
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_n)} |u_n|^4 dx \geq \beta.
\]
Let us define
\[
v_n(x) := |u_n|(x + \tilde{y}_n).
\]
Applying Lemma 2.2 we can see that \((|u_n|)\) is bounded in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) and, using (6.1), we may suppose that \(v_n \to v\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) for some \(v \neq 0\).

Let \((t_n) \subset (0, +\infty)\) be such that \(w_n = t_nv_n \in M_{V_0}\), and set \(y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n\). Taking into account Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.8 and that \(u_n \in N_{e_n}\), we can see that
\[
m_{V_0} \leq I_{V_0}(w_n) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{e_n}(tu_n) = J_{e_n}(u_n) = m_{V_0} + o_n(1),
\]
which yields \(I_{V_0}(w_n) \to m_{V_0}\).

Now, using the fact that \((v_n)\) and \((w_n)\) are bounded in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\) and \(v_n \to 0\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), we can deduce that \((t_n)\) is bounded. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that \(t_n \to t_0 \geq 0\). Let us show that \(t_0 > 0\). Otherwise, if \(t_0 = 0\), from the boundedness of \((v_n)\), we get \(w_n \to t_0v_0 \to 0\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), that is \(I_{V_0}(w_n) \to 0\) which contradicts \(m_{V_0} > 0\). Thus, up to a subsequence, we may assume that \(w_n \to w := t_0v \neq 0\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\). From Lemma 3.6, we can deduce that \(w_n \to w\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), which gives \(v_n \to v\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\). This concludes the first part of the proposition.

Now, we aim to show that \((y_n)\) has a bounded subsequence. Assume by contradiction that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by \((y_n)\), such that \(|y_n| \to +\infty\). Firstly, we consider the case \(V_\infty = \infty\). By Lemma 2.2, we can note that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |v_n|^2 dx \leq |\varepsilon_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{t_n}_{|v_n|} |v_n|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|v_n|^2) |v_n|^2 dx
\]
which together with Fatou’s Lemma and (RV) implies that
\[
\infty = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|v_n|^2) |v_n|^2 dx
\]
and this is impossible since \((f(|v_n|^2) |v_n|^2)\) is bounded in \(L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\).

Now, we assume that \(V_\infty < \infty\). Taking into account \(w_n \to w\) in \(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})\), \(V_0 < V_\infty\), Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.8-(3), we can see that
\[
m_{V_0} = I_{V_0}(w) < I_{V_0}(w)
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |u_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{t_n}_{|u_n|} |u_n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|w_n|^2) dx \right]
\]
\[
= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{t_n^2}{2} |u_n|^2 + \frac{t_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon_n z) |w_n|^2 dx + \frac{t_n^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{t_n}_{|u_n|} |u_n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t_n^2 |u_n|^2) dx \right]
\]
\[
\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J_{e_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J_{e_n}(u_n) = m_{V_0}
\]
(6.2)
which gives a contradiction.

Therefore, \((y_n)\) is bounded and, up to a subsequence, we may assume that \(y_n \to y\). If \(y \notin M\), then \(V_0 < V(y)\) and we can argue as in (6.2) to deduce a contradiction. Thus \(y \in M\) and this ends the proof of proposition.
Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed and $\omega \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ be a ground state solution of problem $(P_\mu)$ for $\mu = V_0$ given by Lemma 3.6. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, [0, 1])$ be a nonincreasing function such that $\psi = 1$ in $[0, \delta/2]$ and $\psi = 0$ in $[\delta, \infty)$.

For any $y \in M$, we define

$$\Psi_{\epsilon,y}(x) := \psi(|x - y|) \omega \left( \frac{x - y}{\epsilon} \right) e^{i\tau_y(\frac{x - y}{\epsilon})}$$

where $M$ is defined in (1.6) and $\tau_y(x) := \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j(y)x_j$, and let $t_\epsilon > 0$ be the unique number such that

$$J_\epsilon(t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}) = \max_{t \geq 0} J_\epsilon(t \Psi_{\epsilon,y}).$$

Let us introduce the map $\Phi_\epsilon : M \to N_\epsilon$ by setting $\Phi_\epsilon(y) = t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}$. By construction, $\Phi_\epsilon(y)$ has compact support for any $y \in M$.

**Lemma 6.1.** The functional $\Phi_\epsilon$ satisfies the following limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} J_\epsilon(\Phi_\epsilon(y)) = mV_0 \text{ uniformly in } y \in M.$$

**Proof.** Assume by contradiction that there there exist $\kappa > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\epsilon_n \to 0$ such that

$$|J_{\epsilon_n}(\Phi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) - mV_0| \geq \kappa.$$

Since $\langle J_{\epsilon_n}'(\Phi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)), \Phi_{\epsilon_n}(y_n) \rangle = 0$ and using the change of variable $z = (\epsilon_n x - y_n)/\epsilon_n$, and that, if $z \in B_{\delta/\epsilon_n}(0)$ then $\epsilon_n z + y_n \in B_{\delta}(y_n) \subset M_\delta$, we can see that from

$$\|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}\|_{\epsilon_n}^2 + t_{\epsilon_n}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^t \Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|t_{\epsilon_n} \psi|(|\epsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^2)|\psi(|\epsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^2 \, dz,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{t_{\epsilon_n}^2} \|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}\|_{\epsilon_n}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^t \Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{t_{\epsilon_n}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|t_{\epsilon_n} \psi|(|\epsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^2)|\psi(|\epsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^2 \, dz$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{t_{\epsilon_n}^2} \int_{B_{\delta/2}(0)} f(|t_{\epsilon_n} \omega(z)|^2)\omega^2(z) \, dz$$

$$\geq \frac{f(|t_{\epsilon_n} \omega(z)|^2)}{|t_{\epsilon_n} \omega(z)|^2} \int_{B_{\delta/2}(0)} \omega^4(z) \, dz$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, with $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_\delta(0) \subset B_{\delta/2}(0)$ and $\alpha = \min \{ \omega(z) : |z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \}$. In the last passage we used $(f_3)$. Now, using Lemma 4.1 in [11], we can note that as $n \to \infty$

$$\|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}\|_{\epsilon_n}^2 \to \|\omega\|_{V_0}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

Then, if $t_{\epsilon_n} \to \infty$, in view of $(f_3)$ and (6.4) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^t \Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^2 \, dx \to \infty$$

which is a contradiction because $|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}| = \psi(|x - y|) \omega \left( \frac{x - y}{\epsilon_n} \right)$ converges strongly to $\omega$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ (see [45, Lemma 5]), and using property (6) in [52, Lemma 2.3], we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}^t |\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|^2 \, dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\epsilon_n}^t |\omega|^2 \, dx.$$
Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to t_0 \geq 0$. Putting together (6.3), (i) in Lemma 3.3, (6.4) and (f1)-(f2) we can see that $t_0 > 0$.

Now, taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ in (6.3), we get

$$[\omega]^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0|\omega|^2\,dx + t_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^2_0|\omega|^2\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_0|\omega|^2)|\omega|^2,$$

that is $t_0\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$. On the other hand, recalling that $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$, we can see that

$$\left(\frac{1}{t_0^2} - 1\right) ||\omega||^2_{V_0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{f(t_0|\omega|^2)}{|t_0\omega|^2} - \frac{f(|\omega|^2)}{|\omega|^2} \right) |\omega|^4\,dx.$$

Using (f3), we get $t_0 = 1$. Thus, invoking the Dominated Convergence Theorem and $t_{\varepsilon_n} \to 1$, we can see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(\omega^2),$$

and then we can deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = I_{V_0}(\omega) = m_{V_0},$$

which is impossible. \hfill \Box

Now, for any $\delta > 0$, let $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$ and we define $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ by setting

$$\Upsilon(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| < \rho \\ \rho x/|x| & \text{if } |x| \geq \rho. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we consider the barycenter map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Upsilon(\varepsilon x)|u(x)|^4\,dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x)|^4\,dx}.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** The function $\Phi_\varepsilon$ verifies the following limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y$$

uniformly in $y \in M$.

**Proof.** Assume by contradiction that there exist $\kappa > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \kappa. \quad (6.5)$$

Set $z = (\varepsilon_n x - y_n)/\varepsilon_n$, and we have

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Psi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + y_n)|\psi(\varepsilon_n z)|^4|\omega(z)|^4\,dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi(\varepsilon_n z)|^2|\omega(z)|^4\,dz}.$$

Since $(y_n) \subset M \subset M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$, it follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = o_n(1)$$

which is an absurd in view of (6.5). \hfill \Box

At this point, we introduce a subset $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ of $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ by setting

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : J_\varepsilon(u) \leq m_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon) \right\},$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is such that $h(\varsigma) \to 0$ as $\varsigma \to 0$.

Fixed $y \in M$, we conclude from Lemma 6.1 that $h(\varsigma) = |J_\varsigma(\Phi_\varsigma(y)) - m_{V_0}| \to 0$ as $\varsigma \to 0$. Hence $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, and $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, we have the following interesting relation between $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and the barycenter map.
Lemma 6.3. For any \( \delta > 0 \), it holds
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{u \in \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(\beta_\varepsilon(u), M_\delta) = 0.
\]

Proof. Let \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( (u_n) \in \widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_n} \) such that
\[
\sup_{u \in \widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_n}} \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u) - y| = \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y| + o_n(1).
\]
Then, it is enough to verify that there exists \( (y_n) \subset M_\delta \) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y_n| = 0.
\] (6.6)

By Lemma 2.2, we can see that \( I_{V_0}(t|u_n|) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) \) for any \( t \geq 0 \). This fact and recalling that \( (u_n) \subset \widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset N_{\varepsilon_n} \) yield
\[
m_{V_0} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(t|u_n|) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq m_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n)
\]
which gives \( J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \to m_{V_0} \) being \( h(\varepsilon_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).
Then, applying Proposition 6.1, we can see that there exists \( (\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that \( y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \in M_\delta \) for \( n \) sufficiently large.
Hence
\[
\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \mathcal{Y}(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n \right]|u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^4 dz.
\]
Since, up to a subsequence, \( |u_n|(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \) converges strongly in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \varepsilon_n z + y_n \to y \in M \) for any \( z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), we can infer that (6.6) holds true.

Finally, we give the proof of our multiplicity result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix \( \delta > 0 \). Using Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 and arguing as in [20, Section 6], we can find \( \delta_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( \varepsilon \in (0, \delta_0) \), the diagram
\[
M \xrightarrow{\Phi_\varepsilon} \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\beta_\varepsilon} M_\delta
\]
is well-defined and \( \beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon \) is homotopically equivalent to the embedding \( \iota : M \to M_\delta \). This fact and [14, Lemma 4.3] imply that
\[
\text{cat}_{\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon}(\beta_\varepsilon) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M).
\]
In view of the definition \( \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon \) and Proposition 4.2, we know that \( J_\varepsilon \) verifies the Palais-Smale condition in \( \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon \) (taking \( \varepsilon_\delta \) smaller if necessary), so we can use standard Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory for \( C^1 \) functionals (see [54, Theorem 5.20]) to deduce that \( J_\varepsilon \) restricted to \( N_\varepsilon \) has at least \( \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M) \) critical points. Consequently, by Corollary 4.1, we can see that \( J_\varepsilon \) has at least \( \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M) \) critical points in \( H^s_\varepsilon \).

7. Concentration phenomenon as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \)

In this last section we study the behavior of maximum points of the modulus of nontrivial solutions to (1.1). In order to do this, we first prove the following result in which we combine a suitable Moser-type iteration [43] and an approximation argument inspired by the Kato’s inequality [34].

Lemma 7.1. Let \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) and \( u_n \in N_{\varepsilon_n} \) be a solution to (1.8). Set \( v_n = |u_n|(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \). Then \( v_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},
\]
where \( \tilde{y}_n \) is given by Lemma 6.1. Moreover
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} v_n(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Proof. For any $L > 0$, we denote by $u_{L,n} := \min\{u, L\} \geq 0$ and we define $v_{L,n} = u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}u_n$ and $w_{L,n} := |u_n|^{\beta - 1}$, with $\beta > 1$ to be determined later. Taking $v_{L,n}$ as test function in (1.8), we get

$$
\Re \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y))u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y) dx dy}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_t |u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\epsilon n}(x)|u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)} dx. \quad (7.1)
$$

Now, we can see that

$$
\Re \left[ (u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y))u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y) \right] = \Re \left[ |u_n(x)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n(x)u_n(y)u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{-iA(x,y)}(x,y) - u_n(y)u_n(x)u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y) + |u_n(y)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y) \right]
$$

$$
\geq (|u_n(x)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n(x)||u_n(y)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y) - |u_n(y)||u_n(x)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) + |u_n(y)|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y) = (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(|u_n(x)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)),
$$

which yields

$$
\Re \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y))u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x,y) dx dy}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} \right) \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} (|u_n(x)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - |u_n(y)||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y) dx dy. \quad (7.2)
$$

For all $t \geq 0$, set

$$
\gamma(t) = \gamma_{L\beta}(t) = tt_{L}^{2(\beta - 1)}
$$

where $t_{L} = \min\{t, L\}$. Since $\gamma$ is an increasing function, we have

$$
(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Let us define the functions

$$
\Lambda(t) = \frac{|t|^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(t) = \int_0^t (\gamma'(\tau))^2 d\tau.
$$

and we note that

$$
\Lambda'(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq |\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b)|^2 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.3)
$$

Indeed, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$, the Jensen inequality yields

$$
\Lambda'(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) = (a - b) \int_b^a \gamma'(t) dt = (a - b) \int_b^a (\Gamma'(t))^2 dt \geq \left( \int_b^a \Gamma'(t) dt \right)^2 = (\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b))^2.
$$

Analogously, we can prove that $\Lambda'(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq (\Gamma(b) - \Gamma(a))^2$ for all $a \geq b$, which implies that (7.3) holds true. From (7.3) we can deduce that

$$
|\Gamma(|u_n(x)|) - \Gamma(|u_n(y)|)|^2 \leq (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(|u_n||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(x) - (|u_n||u_{L,n}^{2(\beta - 1)}(y)). \quad (7.4)
$$
Putting together (7.2) and (7.4), we can see that
\[ R \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))e^{iA(x,y)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \right) (u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}(x) - u_n u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}(y)e^{iA(x,y)}(x-y)) \, dx \, dy \geq |\Gamma(|u_n|)|^2. \] (7.5)

Observing that \( \Gamma(|u_n|) \geq \frac{1}{\beta}|u_n|^{\beta-1} \) and using the fractional Sobolev inequality \( D^s,2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \subset L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), we find
\[ [\Gamma(|u_n|)]^2 \geq S_* ||\Gamma(|u_n|)||^2_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2 S_* ||u_n||^{\beta-1}_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (7.6)

Taking into account (7.1), (7.5), (7.6) and property (4) of Lemma 2.8, we obtain
\[ \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2 S_* ||u_n||^{\beta-1}_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\xi}(x)|u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}(x) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2)|u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}(x) \, dx. \] (7.7)

Now, by \((f_1)\) and \((f_2)\), we can see that for any \( \xi > 0 \) there exists \( C_\xi > 0 \) such that
\[ f(t^2) t^2 \leq \xi |t|^2 + C_\xi |t|^{2^*_s} \] for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). (7.8)

Then, fixed \( \xi \in (0, V_0) \) and using (7.7) and (7.8) we get
\[ ||w_{L,n}||^2_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s} u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}. \] (7.9)

Take \( \beta = \frac{2s}{2} \) and fix \( R > 0 \). Since \( 0 \leq u_{L,n} \leq |u_n| \) and applying Hölder inequality we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s} u_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)}(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s-2}|u_n|^2 u_{L,n}^{2^*_s-2} \, dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s-2}(|u_n| u_{L,n}^{2^*_s-2}) \, dx
\leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq R\}} R^{2^*_s-2}|u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx + \int_{\{|u_n| > R\}} |u_n|^{2^*_s-2}(|u_n| u_{L,n}^{2^*_s-2}) \, dx
\leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq R\}} R^{2^*_s-2}|u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx + \left( \int_{\{|u_n| > R\}} |u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s-2}{2^*_s}} \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n| |u_{L,n}|^{2^*_s-2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s}{2^*_s}}} {R^{2^*_s-2}}.
\] (7.10)

Since \( |u_n| \) is bounded in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), we have for \( R \) big enough
\[
\left( \int_{\{|u_n| > R\}} |u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*_s-2}{2^*_s}} \leq \frac{1}{2\beta^2}.
\] (7.11)

In view of (7.9), (7.10) and (7.11) we get
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n| |u_{L,n}|^{2^*_s-2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R^{2^*_s-2}|u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx < \infty
\]
and letting the limit as \( L \to \infty \) we obtain \( |u_n| \in L^{\frac{(2^*_s)^2}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \).

Now, using \( 0 \leq u_{L,n} \leq |u_n| \) and passing to the limit as \( L \to \infty \) in (7.9) we obtain
\[ ||u_n||^2_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s+2(\beta-1)}. \]
which implies that
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s-1}} \leq (C\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s+2(\beta-1)} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s+2(\beta-1)}}.
\]

For \( m \geq 1 \) we define \( \beta_{m+1} \) inductively so that \( 2^*_s + 2(\beta_{m+1} - 1) = 2^*_s \beta_m \) and \( \beta_1 = \frac{2^*_s}{2} \).

Hence
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{\beta_{m+1}2^*_s} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m+1}-1}} \leq (C\beta_{m+1})^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m+1}-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s\beta_m} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s(\beta_m-1)}}.
\]

Set
\[
D_m = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{2^*_s\beta_m} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s(\beta_m-1)}}.
\]

Using an iterative argument, we can see that there exists \( C_0 > 0 \) independent of \( m \) such that
\[
D_{m+1} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{m} (C\beta_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{2^*_s(x+k-1)}} D_1 \leq C_0 D_1.
\]

Taking the limit as \( m \to \infty \) we can deduce that
\[
\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_0 D_1 =: K \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

(7.12)

Consequently, by interpolation, \((|u_n|)\) strongly converges in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( r \in (2, \infty) \). Using \((f_1)-(f_2)\), we can also see that \( f(|u_n|^2)|u_n| \) strongly converges in the same Lebesgue spaces.

Next we show that \( |u_n| \) is a weak subsolution to
\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta v + V_0 v = f(v^2)v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\
v \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.
\end{cases}
\]

(7.13)

Take \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \varphi \geq 0 \). Let \( u_{\delta,n} = \sqrt{|u_n|^2 + \delta^2} \) for \( \delta > 0 \) and we use \( \psi_{\delta,n} = \frac{u_n}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi \) as test function in (1.8). We are going to prove that \( \psi_{\delta,n} \in H^s_n \) for all \( \delta > 0 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Clearly, \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_{\delta,n}(x) \varphi \, dx = \int_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} \psi_{\delta,n}(x) \varphi \, dx < \infty \). Now, we note that
\[
\psi_{\delta,n}(x) - \psi_{\delta,n}(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})} = \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \right) \varphi(x) - \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) \varphi(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}
\]
\[
= \left[ \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \right) - \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})} \right] \varphi(x)
\]
\[
+ \left( \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \right) \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \right) e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) \varphi(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}
\]

so we can see that
\[
|\psi_{\delta,n}(x) - \psi_{\delta,n}(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}|^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{4}{\delta^2} |u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}|^2 \varphi(x)^2 + \frac{4}{\delta^2} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^2 |u_n|^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{4}{\delta^2} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left| u_{\delta,n}(y) - u_{\delta,n}(x) \right|^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{4}{\delta^2} |u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{iA_\delta (\frac{x+y}{2})}|^2 \varphi(x)^2 + \frac{4K^2}{\delta^2} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{4K^2}{\delta^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|u_n(y) - u_n(x)\|^2
\]
where we used $|z + w + k|^2 \leq 4(|z|^2 + |w|^2 + |k|^2)$ for all $z, w, k \in \mathbb{C}$, $|e^{it}| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{\delta,n} \geq \delta$, $|\frac{u_n}{u_{\delta,n}}| \leq 1$, (7.12) and $|\sqrt{|z|^2 + \delta^2} - \sqrt{|w|^2 + \delta^2}| \leq ||z| - |w||$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $u_n \in H^s_{\delta,n}$, $|u_n| \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ (by Lemma 2.2) and $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, we can conclude that $\psi_{\delta,n} \in H^s$. Therefore

$$
\Re \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{i\mathcal{A}_c(\frac{x+y}{2})}(x-y))}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y)e^{-i\mathcal{A}_c(\frac{x+y}{2})}(x-y) \right) dxdy \right] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\delta,n}(x) |u_n|_4^2 dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^t \left( \frac{|u_n|}{u_{\delta,n}} \right)^2 \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) \frac{|u_n|}{u_{\delta,n}} \varphi dx. \quad (7.14)
$$

Since $\Re(z) \leq |z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|e^{it}| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\Re \left[ (u_n(x) - u_n(y)e^{i\mathcal{A}_c(\frac{x+y}{2})}(x-y)) \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y)e^{-i\mathcal{A}_c(\frac{x+y}{2})}(x-y) \right) \right] = \Re \left[ \left( \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(y)| \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) \right) \right] \geq \left( \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(y)| \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) \right). \quad (7.15)
$$

Now, we can observe that

$$
\frac{|u_n(x)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x) + \frac{|u_n(y)|^2}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(x)| \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \varphi(y) - |u_n(y)| \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} \varphi(x)
$$

where in the last inequality we used

$$
\left( \frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} - \frac{|u_n(y)|}{u_{\delta,n}(y)} \right) (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) \varphi(y) \geq 0
$$

since

$$
h(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 + \delta^2}} \text{ is increasing for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.
$$

Then, observing that

$$
\frac{|u_n(x)|}{u_{\delta,n}(x)} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \leq \frac{|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|}{|x-y|^\frac{3+2s}{2}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^6),
$$
and \( \frac{|u_n(x)|}{\|u_\delta,n(x)\|} \to 1 \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) as \( \delta \to 0 \), and using (7.15), (7.16), we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to obtain

\[
\limsup_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{F} \left[ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))e^{A_\varepsilon(x+y)(x-y)}}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{u_\delta,n(x)} \varphi(x) - \frac{u_n(y)}{u_\delta,n(y)} \varphi(y)e^{-A_\varepsilon(x+y)(x-y)} \right) dxdy \right] 
\geq \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|u_n(x)|}{|u_\delta,n(x)|} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dxdy 
= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dxdy. \tag{7.17}
\]

From the Dominated Convergence Theorem again (we recall that \( \frac{|u_n|^2}{\|u_\delta,n\|^2} \leq |u_n| \)), Fatou’s Lemma and \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \), we can also deduce that

\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\varepsilon,n}(x) \frac{|u_n|^2}{\|u_\delta,n\|^2} \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_{\varepsilon,n}(x) u_n \varphi dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |u_n| \varphi dx \tag{7.18}
\]

\[
\liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^i_{|u_n|} \frac{|u_n|^2}{\|u_\delta,n\|^2} \varphi dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^i_{|u|} |u| \varphi dx \geq 0 \tag{7.19}
\]

and

\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) \frac{|u_n|^2}{\|u_\delta,n\|^2} \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n| \varphi dx. \tag{7.20}
\]

Taking into account (7.14), (7.17), (7.18), (7.19) and (7.20) we can see that

\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} (|u_n(x)| - |u_n(y)|)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |u_n| \varphi dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n| \varphi dx
\]

for any \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \varphi \geq 0 \). Hence \( |u_n| \) is a weak subsolution to (7.13). Now, we note that \( v_n = |u_n| (\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \) solves

\[
(-\Delta)^s v_n + V_0 v_n \leq f(v_n^2) v_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{7.21}
\]

Let \( z_n \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) be the unique solution to

\[
(-\Delta)^s z_n + V_0 z_n = g_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{7.22}
\]

where

\[
g_n := f(v_n^2) v_n \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall r \in [2, \infty].
\]

Since (7.12) implies that \( \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), by interpolation we can see that \( v_n \to v \) strongly converges in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( r \in (2, \infty) \), for some \( v \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \). Using (f1)-(f2), we also have \( g_n \to f(v^2) v \) in \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \|g_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Now, being \( z_n = K \ast g_n \), where \( K \) is the Bessel kernel (see [28, Section 3]), and arguing as in [4, Lemma 2.6], we can see that

\[
|z_n(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty \text{ uniformly with respect to } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Recalling that \( v_n \) verifies (7.21) and \( z_n \) satisfies (7.22), it is easy to use a comparison argument to deduce that \( 0 \leq v_n \leq z_n \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore, \( v_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \) uniformly with respect to \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). \( \Box \)

Now, we study the concentration of maximum points. Let \( u_{\varepsilon,n} \) be a solution to (1.8) and \( v_n = |u_{\varepsilon,n}| (\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \), where \( \tilde{y}_n \) is given by Proposition 6.1. Firstly, we note that

\[
\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \delta \text{ for some } \delta > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.23}
\]

Assume by contradiction that \( \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0 \). Then, in view of (f1), there exists \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\frac{f\left(\|v_n\|^2\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right)}{\|v_n\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}} < V_0 \text{ and } \|v_n\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Using \( \langle J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}), u_{\varepsilon_n} \rangle = 0 \) and Lemma 2.2 we get
\[
[v_n] + V_0|v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi'[v_n]|v_n|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(|v_n|^2)}{|v_n|^2}|v_n|^4 dx
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(||v_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)})}{||v_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}}|v_n|^4 dx
\]
\[
\leq V_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^4 dx
\]
\[
\leq V_0||v_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{V_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 dx
\]
which implies that \( ||v_n||_{V_0} \to 0 \) and this is a contradiction because \( ||v_n||_{V_0} \to ||v||_{V_0} \neq 0 \).

Now, let \( p_n \) be a global maximum point of \( v_n \). In view of the second statement in Lemma 7.1 and (7.23), we can see that \( p_n \in B_R(0) \) for some \( R > 0 \). Thus \( z_{\varepsilon_n} = p_n + \tilde{y}_n \) is a global maximum point of \( |u_{\varepsilon_n}| \) and as a consequence \( \eta_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n z_{\varepsilon_n} \) is the maximum point of \( \hat{u}_n = u_{\varepsilon_n}(x/\varepsilon_n) \) which is a solution to (1.1). Therefore, \( \eta_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n p_n + y_n \to y \in M \) and from he continuity of \( V \) it follows that \( V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n}) \to V(y) = V_0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

In what follows, we prove the power decay estimate of \( |\hat{u}_n| \). Using [28, Lemma 4.3], we know that there exists a function \( w \) such that
\[
0 < w(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{3+2s}}, \quad (7.24)
\]
and
\[
(-\Delta)^s w + \frac{V_0}{2} w \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_1}(0) \quad (7.25)
\]
for some suitable \( R_1 > 0 \).

Since \( v_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \) uniformly in \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (see Lemma 7.1), there exists \( R_2 > 0 \) such that
\[
h_n = f(v_n^2)v_n \leq \frac{V_0}{2} v_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_2}(0). \quad (7.26)
\]
Let \( w_n \) be the unique solution to
\[
(-\Delta)^s w_n + V_0 w_n = h_n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.
\]
Then \( w_n(x) \to 0 \) as \( |x| \to \infty \) uniformly in \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and by comparison \( 0 \leq v_n \leq w_n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). From (7.26) we deduce that
\[
(-\Delta)^s w_n + \frac{V_0}{2} w_n = h_n - \frac{V_0}{2} w_n \leq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_2}(0).
\]
Put \( R_3 = \max\{R_1, R_2\} \) and we consider
\[
a = \inf_{B_{R_3}(0)} w > 0 \text{ and } \tilde{w}_n = (b + 1)w - aw_n. \quad (7.27)
\]
where \( b = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||w_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty \). Our purpose is to show that
\[
\tilde{w}_n \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (7.28)
\]
We note that
\[
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{w}_n(x) = 0, \quad (7.29)
\]
\[
\tilde{w}_n \geq ba + w - ba > 0 \text{ in } B_{R_3}(0), \quad (7.30)
\]
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_n \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_3}(0). \quad (7.31)
\]

We argue by contradiction, and assume that there exists a sequence \((\tilde{x}_{j,n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) such that
\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{w}_n(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_{j,n}) < 0. \quad (7.32)
\]

In light of (7.29), we obtain that \((\tilde{x}_{j,n})\) is bounded, and, up to subsequence, we may suppose that there exists \(\tilde{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3\) such that \(\tilde{x}_{j,n} \to \tilde{x}_n\) as \(j \to \infty\). Hence (7.32) yields
\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{w}_n(x) = \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) < 0. \quad (7.33)
\]

Using the minimality of \(\tilde{x}_n\) and the representation formula for the fractional Laplacian [25, Lemma 3.2], we get
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) = \frac{c_{3,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2\tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) - \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n + \xi) - \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n - \xi)}{|\xi|^{3+2s}} d\xi \leq 0. \quad (7.34)
\]

On the other hand, \(\tilde{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_3}(0)\) by (7.30) and (7.32), and in view of (7.33) and (7.34), we can obtain
\[
(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_n(\tilde{x}_n) < 0,
\]
which contradicts (7.31). Consequently, (7.28) holds true and using (7.24) and \(v_n \leq w_n\) we deduce that
\[
0 \leq v_n(x) \leq w_n(x) \leq \frac{(b + 1)}{a} w(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + |x|^{3+2s}} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\]
for some constant \(\tilde{C} > 0\). Recalling the definitions of \(v_n\) and \(\hat{u}_n\) we can see that for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\)
\[
|\hat{u}_n(x)| = |u_{\varepsilon_n}| \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}\right) = v_n \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \varepsilon_n y_n\right)
\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + |\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \varepsilon_n y_n|^{3+2s}}
= \frac{\tilde{C} \varepsilon_n^{3+2s}}{\varepsilon_n^{3+2s} + |x - \varepsilon_n y_n|^{3+2s}}
\leq \frac{\tilde{C} \varepsilon_n^{3+2s}}{\varepsilon_n^{3+2s} + |x - \eta\varepsilon_n|^{3+2s}}.
\]
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