DISPLACEMENT CONVEXITY FOR FIRST-ORDER MEAN-FIELD GAMES
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Abstract. Here, we consider the planning problem for first-order mean-field games (MFG). When there is no coupling between players, MFG degenerate into optimal transport problems. Displacement convexity is a fundamental tool in optimal transport that often reveals hidden convexity of functionals and, thus, has numerous applications in the calculus of variations. We explore the similarities between the Benamou-Brenier formulation of optimal transport and MFG to extend displacement convexity methods from to MFG. In particular, we identify a class of functions, that depend on solutions of MFG, that are convex in time and, thus, obtain new a priori bounds for solutions of MFG. A remarkable consequence is the log-convexity of $L^q$ norms. This convexity gives bounds for the density of solutions of the planning problem and extends displacement convexity of $L^q$ norms from optimal transport. Additionally, we prove the convexity of $L^q$ norms for MFG with congestion.

1. Introduction

Displacement convexity is an alternative concept of convexity used often in minimization problems in spaces of measures. Displacement convexity was introduced in [30] to study a non-convex variation problem where it revealed a hidden convexity that gives existence and uniqueness of minimizers.

Given two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in P(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say that a map $T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ transports $\mu$ into $\nu$ if $\nu = T_\# \mu$, where

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dT_\# \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(T(x)) d\nu(x)$$

for all bounded continuous $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. In optimal transport, we are given two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in P(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and we seek to transport $\mu$ into $\nu$ in the most efficient way according to a given transport cost, see for example the surveys [34], [36], and [37]. While this problem is discrete in nature, a remarkable alternative formulation due to Benamou and Brenier [3], looks instead at paths in $P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that connect $\mu$ to $\nu$. The Benamou-Brenier formulation of optimal transport consists of minimizing the energy functional

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \rho^t(x) |v(x,t)|^2 dx dt,$$

over all smooth velocity fields $v(x,t)$, with trajectories $T_x(t)$, and densities $\rho^t = T_x(t)_\# \mu$, such that $\rho^0 = \mu$ and $\rho^1 = \nu$. Under suitable regularity conditions, the optimality conditions of this variational problem are

$$\begin{cases}
-\frac{u_t}{2} + \frac{|Du|^2}{2} = \bar{H} \\
(\rho^t)_t - \text{div}(\rho^t Du) = 0 \\
\rho^t \in P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \forall t \in [0,1] \\
\rho^0 = \mu, \quad \rho^1 = \nu,
\end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $v(x,t) = -D_x u(x,t)$ and $\bar{H} \in \mathbb{R}$. The displacement interpolant between $\mu$ and $\nu$ is the minimizer of the Benamou-Brenier problem. A functional, $F : P(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$, is
displacement convex if $t \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\rho^t)$ is convex for all displacement interpolants $\rho^t$. By using \eqref{eq:1.1}, we can differentiate twice $\mathcal{F}(\rho^t)$ to study displacement convexity. This methodology was used in \cite{5}, where the author identifies a new class of displacement convex functionals that depend on spatial derivatives of the density.

Mean-field games (MFG) model the interaction between identical rational agents, see the original papers in \cite{23,24} and \cite{25,26,27}, or the surveys \cite{1,6,18,19}. In these games, each agent minimizes a value function, which is the same for every agent. In classical MFG, agents choose their trajectories given an initial configuration and a terminal cost. In the MFG planning problem \cite{1,29,32}, the initial and terminal distribution of the agents are prescribed while the terminal cost is unknown. Here, we focus on the planning problem for first-order MFG. These games are given by a Hamilton-Jacobi equation coupled with a continuity equation

$$\begin{cases}
-u_t + H(Du) = g(m) \\
m_t - \text{div}(mD_m H(Du)) = 0 \\
m(\cdot,0) = m^0(\cdot), \ m(\cdot,T) = m^T(\cdot).
\end{cases} \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times (0,T) \tag{1.2}
$$

Here, we use periodic boundary conditions, thus the spatial domain for \eqref{eq:1.2} is $\mathbb{T}^d$, the $d$-dimensional torus. A classical solution of \eqref{eq:1.2} is a pair $(u(x,t), m(x,t)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \times [0,T])$, such that $u(x,t)$ and $m(x,t) \geq 0$ are periodic in $x$ for all time $t \in [0,T]$. The function $m$ represents the statistical distribution of the agents in space, whereas $u$ represents their value function. The Hamiltonian, $H(Du)$, takes into accounts the movement cost of the agents and their preferred direction of motion, and $g(m)$ determines the interactions between agents. As can be seen by comparing \eqref{eq:1.1} with \eqref{eq:1.2}, the optimal transport problem is a special case of a first-order MFGs where the interaction between the agents does not exist; that is, $g = 0$. In the initial-terminal value problem, \eqref{eq:1.2} is endowed with initial, $m(\cdot,0) = m^0(\cdot)$, and terminal, $u(\cdot,T) = u^T(\cdot)$, conditions; that is, agents are given a terminal cost, and their initial distribution is specified. In contrast, in the planning problem, $m^0$ and $m^T$, the initial and terminal distributions, are specified. Thus, our goal is to find a cost, $u$, that steers agents from an initial distribution, $m^0$, to a desired terminal distribution, $m^T$.

The initial-terminal value problem for second-order MFGs is now well understood. The existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions of the time dependent problem for were first studied in \cite{24,27}, and examined in detail in \cite{29}. Subsequently, several authors considered classical \cite{14,15,16,17} and weak solutions \cite{10,33}. For first-order MFGs, several Sobolev regularity results were obtained in \cite{5,7,10}, and \cite{22}. The planning problem was addressed from a variational numerical perspective in \cite{1} and for second-order MFGs in \cite{32,33}.

Here, we explore displacement convexity properties to construct a new class of estimates for first-order MFGs. In particular, the primary goal of this paper is to identify functions $U : \mathbb{R}_d^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$t \mapsto \int U(m(x,t))dx \quad \text{is convex}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $m(x,t)$ solves \eqref{eq:1.2}. In the case of optimal transport, \eqref{eq:1.3} is displacement convex if

$$\begin{cases}
P(z) = U'(z)z - U(z), \\
P \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_d^+), \ P(z) \geq 0, \\
P(z) \quad \text{non-decreasing.}
\end{cases} \quad \tag{1.4}
$$

The convexity of the preceding functional gives the following a priori bound:

$$\int U(m(x,t))dx \leq \frac{t}{T} \int U(m(x,T))dx + \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int U(m(x,0))dx,$$

which are particularly interesting in the case of the planning problem because $m(x,0)$ and $m(x,T)$ are known.

In Section \ref{sec:3} we prove the following result on the convexity of functionals that depend on the density of solutions of first-order MFGs, as in \eqref{eq:1.3}.
\textbf{Theorem 1.1.} Let \( m, u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d \times [0, T]) \), \( m \geq 0 \), be periodic solutions of the first-order MFG
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
-u_t + H(Du) = g(m) \\
m_t - \text{div}(mD_p H(Du)) = 0
\end{cases}
\end{align}
(1.5)
with \( g : \mathbb{R}_+^\dagger \to \mathbb{R} \), \( H : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) smooth, \( g \) non-decreasing, and \( H \) convex. If \( U : \mathbb{R}_+^\dagger \to \mathbb{R} \) is such that (1.4) holds, then
\[ t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} U(m(x,t))dx \text{ is convex.} \]

Functionals of the form \( t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} m(x,t)^q dx \) satisfy the conditions of the preceding theorem. Moreover, a careful computation reveals the convexity of \( t \mapsto \ln(||m(\cdot,t)||_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d)}) \) for all \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \), see Proposition 1.2. Furthermore, this log-convexity generalizes the result in [30] concerning the displacement convexity of \( \rho \mapsto \int \rho(x)^q dx \). Here, we should also mention the recent work [28] where, using a discretization method and ideas that are reminiscent from displacement convexity, the authors prove additional regularity for mean-field games.

MFGs with congestion model the case where the agents’ displacement cost increases in high-density regions. These games correspond to the system
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
-u_t + m^\alpha H \left( \frac{Du}{m^\alpha} \right) = g(m) \\
m_t - \text{div} \left( mD_p H \left( \frac{Du}{m^\alpha} \right) \right) = 0 \\
m(\cdot,0) \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \\
m(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d)
\end{cases}
\end{align}

for \( \alpha > 0 \). The existence and uniqueness of solutions of second-order classical MFG with congestion were studied in [12, 13] in the stationary case and in [2, 21] in the non-stationary case. First-order MFG with congestion were studied in the stationary case in [11, 31], and in [13] and in the time-dependent case, for the forward-forward model, in [20]. In particular, as far as the authors are aware the planning problem was not studied previously. Here, in Section 4 we examine the case where \( H(p) = \frac{|p|^\alpha}{\beta} \) and, in Theorem 4.1, prove the convexity of \( t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} m(x,t)^p dx \), \( p \) depending on \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). As an application, we obtain \( L^\infty \) bounds for the density in Corollary 4.2.

\section{Preliminaries}

Here, we briefly review the optimal transport problem and the Benamou-Brenier formulation. Subsequently, we recall displacement convexity and discuss elementary examples.

\subsection{Optimal Transport}

Let \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be the set of probability measures in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( \mathcal{P}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) the subset of those probabilities that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

The optimal transport problem, also known as the Monge-Kantorovich problem, studies the optimal way of moving mass between two different locations. We are given an initial distribution of mass determined by a probability measure, \( \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), and a target distribution given by another probability measure, \( \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). To each unit of mass moved from \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) to \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d \), we associate a cost, \( c(x,y) \). The Monge-Kantorovich problem consists of minimizing the total cost,
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} c(x,y)d\pi(x,y), \]
(2.1)
over the set of plans \( \Pi[\mu,\nu] = \{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ with marginals } \mu,\nu \} \). If \( c(x,y) \) is positive and lower semi-continuous, there exist a minimizer of (2.1), see [36]. For a quadratic cost, \( c(x,y) = |x-y|^2 \), a duality formulation due to Kantorovich uncovers remarkable properties of the optimal plan. If \( \mu,\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and have finite second-order moments, the minimizing plan is unique and can be written in the form
\[ \pi = (Id \times D\phi)_\# \mu, \]
where $D\phi$ is the unique gradient of a convex function such that $\nu = D\phi \# \mu$; that is, for every $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ measurable,

$$\nu(E) = (D\phi \# \mu)(E) = \mu((D\phi)^{-1}(E)).$$

Thus, the minimum of (2.1) equals to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - D\phi(x)|^2 d\mu(x)dy. \quad (2.2)$$

In the literature, $D\phi$ is called the Brenier’s map transporting $\mu$ into $\nu$, see [3].

### 2.2. The Benamou-Brenier Formulation.

In the time-dependent optimal transport problem, each particle moves from $\mu$ to $\nu$ according to a piecewise $C^1$ trajectory $T_x(t) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$. At $t = 0$, $T_x(0) = x$, and at time $t = 1$ particles reach their destination in $\text{supp}(\nu)$. Accordingly, we require $\nu = T_{1\#}(\mu)$. The time-dependent optimal transport problem consists of minimizing a displacement cost $C = C(T_x(\cdot))$ over all trajectories $\{T_x(\cdot)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ transporting $\mu$ into $\nu$, i.e.

$$\inf \left\{ \int_X C(\{T_x(t)\}_{t \in (0,1)})d\mu(x) : T_x(0) = x, \ T_{1\#}(\mu) = \nu \right\}.$$ 

An important case is given by differential cost function $c = (1 - \rho \cdot v)_+$, where the infimum on the r.h.s is taken over all smooth $(\rho, v)$ trajectories. Thus, the minimum of (2.1) equals to

$$C(\{T_x(t)\}_{t \in (0,1)}) = \int_0^1 c(T_x(t))dt,$$

where $c$ is a convex function. Thanks to Jensen’s inequality, we find

$$\int_0^1 c(T_x(t))dx \geq c \left( \int_0^1 T_x(t)dx \right) = c(y - x). \quad (2.3)$$

For $c(x) = |x|^2$, by comparing (2.3) with (2.2), we see that straight lines are admissible trajectories. Hence, they are minimizers. Thus, the optimal velocities are $x - D\phi(x)$, $D\phi(x)$ being the Brenier’s map transporting $\mu$ into $\nu$. This means that the minimizing straight lines are

$$T_x(t) = (1 - t)x + tD\phi(x). \quad (2.4)$$

At each time $t \in [0,1]$, $\mu$ is transported into

$$\rho^t = ((1 - t)x + tD\phi(x))\# \mu.$$ 

The previous discussion suggests we move our perspective to the Eulerian point of view. For that, we fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and consider a smooth trajectory $T_x(t)$ determined by a Lipschitz velocity field $v(x, t)$; that is,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{T}_x(t) = v(T_x(t), (t)) \\ T_x(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

If $\{T_{t\#}(\cdot)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a Lipschitz family of diffeomorphisms, the pushforward $\rho^t = T_{t\#}(\mu)$ is the unique solution of the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho^t}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho^t v) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

in the weak sense. We look for a path $\rho^t$ that minimizes the total action

$$A[\rho, v] = \int_0^1 E(t)dt = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^t(x) |v(x, t)|^2 dx dt.$$ 

As in [3], if $\mu, \nu \in P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are compactly supported and satisfy suitable conditions [36], then

$$\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y) = \inf_{\rho, v} A[\rho, v],$$

where the infimum on the r.h.s is taken over all smooth $(\rho, v)$ solving (2.5) with $\rho^0 = \mu$ and $\rho^1 = \nu$. The optimality conditions of this problem correspond to (1.1), as we describe next. We already have a partial differential equation solved by the density $\rho^t$. Moreover, if
\( \{ T_x(t) \}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \) are constant speed trajectories such that \( x \mapsto T_x(t) \) are diffeomorphisms for all \( t \), then \( v(x,t) \) solves

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot Du = 0.
\]

Because of (2.4), it turns out that \( v \) is a gradient. Thus, \( v = -D_x u \). Consequently, \( u \) solves a Hamilton-Jacobi equation

\[
-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{|Du|^2}{2} = \bar{H}, \ H \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

If we combine (2.6) with (2.5), we get the following system

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{|Du|^2}{2} = \bar{H} \\
\div(\rho^t Du) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.7)

Because displacement interpolants are constant speed trajectories, (2.7) are the corresponding optimality conditions.

The system (2.7) has a triangular structure. The first equation does not depend on \( m \), while the second one depends on \( Du \). First-order MFGs are recovered by adding a coupling \( g = g(m) \) to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Due to this coupling, MFGs no longer have this triangular structure, and, thus, their study becomes substantially more challenging.

### 2.3. Displacement Convexity

Displacement convexity was introduced in [30] to study a non-convex variational problem from the theory of interacting gases. In that problem, the gas density is determined by a probability, \( \rho \in P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Each particle is subject to two forces: one given by an interaction potential \( W(x - y) \) that increases with the distance between particles, and the other given by the internal energy, \( U(\cdot) \). The potential is

\[
W(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(x - y) d\rho(x) d\rho(y),
\]

and the internal energy is

\[
U(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(\rho(x)) dx.
\]

In that model, the configuration of the gas minimizes the energy

\[
E(\rho) = U(\rho) + W(\rho).
\]

Given the variational nature of the problem, the convexity of \( E \) is of paramount importance. If \( U \) is convex, then \( U \) is also convex. However, convexity of \( W \) does not imply the convexity of \( W \).

A fundamental contribution in [30] is a new way of interpolating two probabilities densities, \( \mu, \nu \in P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), that reveals a hidden convexity in \( U \) and \( W \). For a given family of trajectories \( \{ T_\chi(t) \}_{\chi \in (0,1)} \), \( T_\chi(t) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \), we set \( \rho^t = T_\chi(t) \# \rho \). Thus,

\[
W(\rho^t) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(x - y) d\rho^t(x) d\rho^t(y)
= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(T_\chi(t) - y) d(T_\chi(t) \# \rho)(y) d(T_\chi(t) \# \rho)(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(T_x(t) - y) d\rho(y) d\rho(x).
\]

Therefore, if \( T_x(t) \) is linear in time, the map \( t \mapsto W(\rho^t) \) is convex.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \mu, \nu \in P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( D\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) the unique gradient of a convex function such that \( \nu = D\phi \# \mu \). The displacement interpolant between \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) is

\[
\rho^t = ((1 - t)(\cdot) + tD\phi(\cdot)) \# \mu.
\]

A function \( F : P_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R} \) is displacement convex if it is convex along displacement interpolants; that is,

\[
t \mapsto F(\rho^t) \text{ is convex for all } \rho^t \text{ displacement interpolants}.
\]
As we have seen, the map \( t \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\rho^t) \) is convex; that is, \( \rho \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\rho) \) is displacement convex. In general, even if \( U \) is convex, \( U \) may not be displacement convex. However, the following condition proven in [30] gives the required convexity: if 
\[
\begin{align*}
  z \mapsto z^d U(z^{-d}), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^+
\end{align*}
\]
is convex, non-increasing and \( U(0) = 0, \) \( (2.8) \) then
\[
  t \mapsto U(\rho^t) = \int U(\rho^t(x))dx \quad \text{is convex.}
\]

In [36], the author derives conditions equivalent to \( (2.8) \) for \( U \) sufficiently smooth in terms of the pressure 
\[
\begin{align*}
  P(z) = U'(z)z - U(z).
\end{align*}
\]

By differentiating twice \( z \mapsto z^d U(z^{-d}) \) and using the preceding identity into the resulting expression, we conclude that for \( U \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_0^+) \) and if \( P \) satisfies \( (1.4) \). then \( \rho \mapsto \int U(\rho) \) is displacement convex. Notice that \( P' \) is non-negative, as we recover by differentiating \( \frac{P(z)}{z^d} \),
\[
  zP'(z) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{d} \right) P(z) \geq 0.
\]

Consequently, we can differentiate \( P \) to show that the above condition implies the convexity of \( U \):
\[
  P'(z) = U''(z)z + U'(z) - U'(z)z \geq 0.
\]

Here, we use an alternative approach explored in [35] to study displacement convexity. Formally, because displacement interpolants are solutions of the Benamou-Brenier problem, \( (2.7) \), to check displacement convexity, it is enough to prove that
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int U(\rho^t(x))dx \geq 0 \quad (2.9)
\end{align*}
\]
for all \( (\rho^t, u) \) smooth solutions of \( (2.7) \). Because first-order MFGs are recovered by coupling the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in \( (2.7) \), differentiating \( (2.9) \) for \( \rho^t \equiv m \) solving \( (2.7) \) may lead to similar displacement convexity inequalities. In the next section, we prove that this holds provided that the coupling \( g(m) \) is non-decreasing and \( H(p) \) is convex.

3. DISPLACEMENT CONVEXITY IN FIRST-ORDER MEAN-FIELD GAMES

Here, we prove that, if \( U \) satisfies \( (1.4) \), then \( t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} U(m(x, t))dx \) is convex, where \((u, m) \) solves \( (1.5) \). We end this section by examining the one-dimensional case, where more precise results can be proven.

3.1. Convex Functionals for First-Order Mean-Field Games. Here, we prove our main result, Theorem \( (1.1) \) that extends displacement convexity to MFG.

**Proof of Theorem \( (1.1) \)** We begin by the following computation
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{d}{dt} \int U(m) &= \int U'(m) m_t = \int U'(m) \operatorname{div}(m D_p H) \\
  &= \int U'(m) m \operatorname{div}(D_p H) + U'(m) Dm D_p H \\
  &= \int U'(m) m \operatorname{div}(D_p H) + D(U(m)) D_p H \\
  &= \int U'(m) m \operatorname{div}(D_p H) - U(m) \operatorname{div}(D_p H) = \int P(m) \operatorname{div}(D_p H),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( P(m) \) is given by \( (1.4) \). Differentiating again, we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int U(m) &= \int P'(m)m_t \operatorname{div}(D_p H) + P(m) \operatorname{div}(D_p H_t) \\
  &= \int P'(m) \operatorname{div}(m D_p H) \operatorname{div}(D_p H) + P(m) \operatorname{div}(D^2_p H Du_t)
\end{align*}
\]
The first three terms, $A$, $B$ and $C$, correspond to the optimal transport case; that is, $g = 0$. Due to conditions (2.4), $A + B + C ≥ 0$. We note that $A = A'$. Next, we integrate by parts $B$ to get

$$
B = \int P'(m)DmD_pH \text{div}(D_pH) = \int D(P(m))D_pH \text{div}(D_pH)
$$

To simplify $C$, we compute

$$
\text{div}(D_{pp}^2H D(H)) = \text{div}(D_{pp}^2H D^2 u D_pH) = \text{div}(D(D_pH)D_pH)
$$

$$
= (H_{pp})_{x_j}H_{x_i} + (H_{pp})_{x_j}H_{x_i} + (H_{pp})_{x_j}H_{x_i} + (H_{pp})_{x_j}H_{x_i}
$$

$$
= D(\text{div}(D_pH))D_pH + \text{tr}((D(D_pH))^2).
$$

Then, we expand $C$ as follows

$$
C = \int P(m) \text{div}(D_{pp}^2H D(H)) = \int P(m) \text{div}(D(D_pH))D_pH + P(m) \text{tr}((D(D_pH))^2)
$$

and notice that $Q$ cancels the corresponding term in (3.1). Finally, $D$ is

$$
D = \int (-P(m) \text{div}(D_{pp}^2H D(g(m)))) = \int P'(m)g'(m)DmD_{pp}^2H Dm.
$$

According to the preceding identities, we get

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int U(m) = \int \left\{ P'(m)m \text{div}(D_pH)^2 - P(m) \text{div}(D_pH)^2 \right. \\
+ (P(m) \text{tr}((D(D_pH))^2) + P'(m)g'(m)DmD_{pp}^2H Dm.
$$

Because $D(D_pH) = D_{pp}HD^2 u$ is the product of a positive semidefinite matrix and a symmetric matrix, Lemma (A.1) implies

$$
\text{tr}((D(D_pH))^2) ≥ \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}(D(D_pH))^2 = \frac{1}{d} \text{div}(D_pH)^2.
$$

Since $P$ is non-negative, we obtain

$$
\int P(m) \text{tr}((D(D_pH))^2) ≥ \int \frac{1}{d} P(m) \text{div}(D_pH)^2.
$$

Finally, in view of the preceding identities, the last expression becomes

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int U(m) ≥ \int \left( P'(m)m - P(m) + \frac{1}{d} P(m) \right) \text{div}(D_pH)^2 + P'(m)g'(m)DmD_{pp}^2H Dm, \tag{3.3}
$$

which is convex because (1.4) holds, because $g'(m) ≥ 0$, and because $H(p)$ is convex. □
3.2. \( L^q \) Estimates. In the previous section, we identified conditions on \( U \) such that \( t \mapsto \int U(m(x,t))dx \) is convex when \((u,m)\) solves a first-order MFG. The function \( U(z) = z^q \) satisfies \([3.3]\) for all \( 1 \leq q < \infty \). Here, we refine this result and prove the log-convexity of the \( L^q \) norms of the density.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( u, m \in C^\infty(T^d \times [0,T]) \) be periodic solutions of \([1.5]\) with \( g, H \) smooth, \( g \) non-decreasing, and \( H \) convex. Then, for all \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \),

\[
\|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(T^d)} \leq \|m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^q(T^d)}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|m^\gamma(\cdot)\|_{L^q(T^d)}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall \ t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.4}
\]

**Proof.** First of all, notice that if \( f \) is smooth and positive, then \( \ln f \) is convex if and only if

\[
(\ln f)'' = \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)' = \frac{f''f - (f')^2}{f^2} \geq 0; \tag{3.5}
\]

that is,

\[
f''f - (f')^2 \geq 0. \tag{3.6}
\]

First, we consider the case \( 1 \leq q < \infty \). We begin by computing \( P(z) = U'(z)z - U(z) = (qz^{q-1})z - z^q = (q-1)z^q \). Then, plug \( U(z) = z^q \) into \([3.3]\) to get

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int m(x,t)^q \geq \int (q - 1 + \frac{1}{d}) (q-1)m^q \text{div}(D_p H)^2 + q(q-1)m^{q-1}g'(m)DmD_p^2Hdm
\]

\[
\geq (q-1)^2 \int m^q \text{div}(D_p H)^2.
\]

Thus,

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \int m^q \right)^2 \leq (q-1)^2 \left( \int m^q \text{div}(D_p H)^2 \right).
\]

The preceding inequality combined with \([3.0]\) shows that \( \ln(\int m^q) \) is convex. Therefore,

\[
\ln \left( \int m(x,t)^q \right) \leq \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \ln \left( \int m^0(x)^q \right) + \frac{t}{T} \ln \left( \int m^T(x)^q \right)
\]

\[
= \ln \left( \left( \int m^0(x)^q \right)^{1-\frac{t}{T}} \left( \int m^T(x)^q \right)^{\frac{t}{T}} \right).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\int m(x,t)^q \leq \left( \int m^0(x)^q \right)^{1-\frac{t}{T}} \left( \int m^T(x)^q \right)^{\frac{t}{T}}.
\]

Exponentiating the previous inequality to \( \frac{1}{q} \) to obtain the result.

Finally, we address the case \( q = \infty \). Because \( L^\infty(T^d) = 1 < \infty \), we can pass to the limit in \([3.3]\) as \( q \to \infty \) to derive the estimate for the supremum. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.2.** For \( g(m) = 0 \) and \( H(p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2} + \hat{H}, \hat{H} \in \mathbb{R} \), solutions of \([1.5]\) are displacement interpolants between the initial density, \( m^0 \), and the terminal density, \( m^T \). Therefore, Proposition \([5.1]\) gives both the log convexity of \( L^q \) norms and \( L^\infty \) bounds for the optimal transport problem, provided the initial and terminal densities are bounded.

For certain choices of \( g \) and \( H \), the preceding estimate can be improved even further if \( 1 < q < \infty \). For example, here, we examine the case \( g'(m) \geq Cm^\alpha, C > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( H \) uniformly convex. When \( \alpha < 0 \), we assume \( m(x,t) > 0 \) everywhere.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( m(x,t) \) be as in Theorem \([1.1]\) and suppose that

\[
\int_{T^d} m(x,t)dx = 1
\]
for all \( t \in [0, T] \). Assume also that \( \|m^0\|_{L^1}, \|m^T\|_{L^1} > 1, g'(m) \geq C m^\alpha, C > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( H \) is uniformly convex. Then, for all \( 1 < q < \infty \),

\[
\|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(T^d)} < \|m^0(\cdot)\|_{L^q(T^d)}^{1 - \frac{1}{q}} \|m^T(\cdot)\|_{L^q(T^d)}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \forall \ t \in (0, T).
\]

Proof. We select \( f(t) = \int m(x, t)^q \), to which corresponds \( P(z) = (q - 1)z^q \), and use (3.3) and (3.5) to get the inequality

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln \left( \int m^q \right) \geq \frac{\left( \int m^q \right) \left( \int P'(m)g'(m)DmD_p^2Hdm \right)}{\left( \int m^q \right)^2} = C \int m^{q-1+\alpha} |Dm|^2 \int m^q \leq C \left( \int |D(m^{\alpha+1})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \alpha \neq -q - 1
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \int |D\ln(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \alpha = -q - 1
\]

Because \( m \) integrates to 1, Jensen’s inequality implies \( \|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(T^d)} = 1 \) if and only if \( m(x, t) = 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{T}^d \). Therefore, \( \|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} > 1 \) if and only if \( \|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(T^d)} \) is strictly convex in a neighborhood of \( \bar{t} \). Because \( \|m^0\|_{L^p} > 0 \), then \( t \mapsto \|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \) is strictly convex in a neighborhood of 0. Analogously, \( t \mapsto \|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \) is strictly convex in a neighborhood of \( t = T \). Therefore, the inequality in (3.3) is strict for all \( t \in (0, T) \).

3.3. Convexity in dimension 1. Finally, we address the one-dimensional case, \( d = 1 \). A direct computation shows that the convexity of \( U \) implies the convexity of \( t \mapsto \int_0^1 U(m(x, t))dx \). Accordingly, convexity holds for functions of the form \( U(z) = (z + \varepsilon)^{-q}, q \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0 \); that is,

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(m(x, t) + \varepsilon)^q} dx \leq \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_0(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx + \frac{t}{T} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_T(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx.
\]

Now, raising both sides to the power \( \frac{1}{q} \) and bounding the r.h.s. we get

\[
\|m(\cdot, t) + \varepsilon\|_{L^q} \leq \left( \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_0(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx + \frac{t}{T} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_T(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \max \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_0(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx, \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m_T(x) + \varepsilon)^q} dx \right\} = \max \{ \|m^0(\cdot) + \varepsilon\|_{L^q}, \|m^T(\cdot) + \varepsilon\|_{L^q} \}.
\]

By letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and then \( q \to \infty \), we get

\[
\|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \max \{ \|m^0\|_{L^\infty}, \|m^T\|_{L^\infty} \}.
\]

Finally, we invert the above inequality to get quasi-concavity for the infimum

\[
\inf m(\cdot, t) \geq \min \{ \inf m^0(\cdot), \inf m^T(\cdot) \}.
\]

4. Extension to First-Order MFG with congestion

In MFG with congestion, the Hamiltonian-Jacobi equation depends on the inverse of the density, \( m(x, t) \). Here, we study MFGs with Hamiltonians \( H(p) = \frac{p^\beta}{\beta} \) and with a congestion exponent \( \alpha > 0 \).

Theorem 4.1. Let \( m, u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \times [0, T]) \), \( m > 0 \), be periodic solutions of the first-order MFG with congestion

\[
\begin{cases}
- u_t + m^{\alpha(1-\beta)} |Du|^\beta = g(m) \\
m_t - \text{div}(m^{1+\alpha(1-\beta)} Du |Du|^{\beta-2}) = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times (0, T)
\end{cases}
\]

with \( g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) smooth and non-decreasing. If

\[
\beta \geq 2, \quad q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} = \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{2} \geq 0
\]
Thus, we have

\[ 1 < \beta < 2, \quad q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \geq 0, \quad (4.3) \]

then

\[ t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} m(x, t)^q \, dx \quad \text{is convex.} \quad (4.4) \]

**Proof.** First, we compute

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int m^q = q \int m^{q-1} m_t = q \int m^{q-1} \operatorname{div}(m^{1+\alpha(1-\beta)} Du|Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

\[
= q \int m^{q-1} \left( (1 + \alpha(1 - \beta))m^{\alpha(1-\beta)} Dm Du |Du|^{\beta-2} + m^{1+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \right)
\]

\[
= q \int (1 + \alpha(1 - \beta))m^{q-1+\alpha(1-\beta)} Dm Du |Du|^{\beta-2} + m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

\[
= q \int \left( 1 + \frac{1 + \alpha(1 - \beta)}{q + \alpha(1 - \beta)} \right) m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

\[
= \int \frac{q(q-1)}{q + \alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}).
\]

Thus, we have

\[
\frac{1}{q(q-1)} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int m^q = \int \frac{m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1}}{m_t^2} \underbrace{m_t \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})}_{\text{Part A}} + \frac{1}{q + \alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}((Du|Du|^{\beta-2})_t).
\]

Now, we expand and integrate by parts A

\[ A = \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} m_t \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

\[
= \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} \operatorname{div}(m^{1+\alpha(1-\beta)} Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

\[
= \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \left( (1 + \alpha(1 - \beta))m^{\alpha(1-\beta)} Dm Du |Du|^{\beta-2} + m^{1+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \right)
\]

\[
= \int (1 + \alpha(1 - \beta))m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-1} Dm Du |Du|^{\beta-2} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) + m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2
\]

\[
= \int \left( 1 + \frac{1 + \alpha(1 - \beta)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div} \left( Du|Du|^{\beta-2} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \right) + m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2
\]

\[
= \int \left( 1 + \frac{1 + \alpha(1 - \beta)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2
\]

Before simplifying B, we compute \((Du|Du|^{\beta-2})_t\):

\[
(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})_t = |Du|^{\beta-2} Du_t + Du(\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du Du_t \\
= (I|Du|^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du \otimes Du) Du_t = RDu_t,
\]

where \(I\) is the identity matrix and \(R = (I|Du|^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du \otimes Du) = D_{pp} H\).

Using the preceding identity, we expand \(B\) as follows

\[
B = \frac{1}{q + \alpha(1 - \beta)} \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)} \operatorname{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})_t = - \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} Dm(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})_t
\]
where we used (3.2). We add all terms and simplify, to conclude

Finally, we get

Because \( \beta \geq 1 \), we get \( D \geq 0 \) as follows

\[
D = \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) Dm (Du)^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du \otimes Du) Dm
\]

\[
= \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) (|Dm|^2 |Du|^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du Dm)^2
\]

\[
\geq \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) (|Dm Du|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du Dm)^2
\]

\[
= \int (\beta - 1) m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) |Dm Du|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4},
\]

where we used \( |Dm Du| \leq |Dm||Du| \). Concerning \( C \), we expand further the expression

\[
C = - \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} DmRD \left( \frac{|Du|^\beta}{\beta} \right)
\]

\[
= - \int m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} DmR \left( \alpha(1-\beta) m^{\alpha(1-\beta)-1} \frac{|Du|^\beta}{\beta} Dm + m^{\alpha(1-\beta)} Du |Du|^{\beta-2} R Dm \right)
\]

\[
= \int (\beta - 1) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} DmRDm \left( \frac{|Du|^\beta}{\beta} \right) - m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-1} DmRDm^2 Du |Du|^{\beta-2}.
\]

The first term above simplifies to

\[
E = \int m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} Dm (Du)^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du \otimes Du) Dm \left( \frac{|Du|^\beta}{\beta} \right)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{\beta} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |Dm|^2 |Du|^{\beta-2} + \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)}{\beta} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |Dm Du|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4}
\]

\[
\geq \int \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{\beta} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |Dm Du|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4}.
\]

Finally, \( F \) becomes

\[
F = \int -m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-1} Dm (\beta - 2) |Du|^{\beta-4} Du \otimes Du) D^2 u Du |Du|^{\beta-2}
\]

\[
= \int \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1-\beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du u Du |Du|^{\beta-2} + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du Du D^2 u Du |Du|^{2\beta-6})
\]

\[
= \int \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1-\beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div} \left( (D^2 u Du + (\beta - 2)|Du|^{\beta-4} Du Du) |Du|^{\beta-2} \right)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1-\beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{tr} \left( D(Du |Du|^{\beta-2}) |Du|^{\beta-2} \right)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1-\beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} D(\text{div}(Du |Du|^{\beta-2}) Du |Du|^{\beta-2})
\]

where we used (3.2). We add all terms and simplify, to conclude

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int m^q \geq \int \left( 1 - \frac{(1 + \alpha(1-\beta))}{q + 2\alpha(1-\beta)} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du |Du|^{\beta-2})^2.
\]
\[
- \frac{(1 + \alpha(1 - \beta))}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} D(\text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}))Du|Du|^{\beta-2} \\
+ \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{tr}(D(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2) \\
+ \frac{1}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} D(\text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \\
+ \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{\beta} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{2\beta-4} \\
+ (\beta - 1)m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4},
\]

where we used (A.1) to estimate
\[
\text{tr}(D(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2) \geq \frac{1}{d} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2.
\]

We only need to bound \( G \) from below. So, we integrate it by parts and use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to conclude that
\[
G = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} Du|Du|^{\beta-2} D(\text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})) \\
= \int_{\Omega} - (1 + \alpha(1 - \beta)) \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} \text{div}(m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \\
= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
- \alpha(\beta - 1)m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} (m^{-1} DmDu|Du|^{\beta-2}) \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2}) \\
\geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
- \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{2} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{2\beta-4} \\
- \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{2} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} - \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{2} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
- \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{2} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{2\beta-4} \\
+ (\beta - 1)m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4}.
\]

We use this last term into (4.5) to get
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( 1 - \frac{(1 + \alpha(1 - \beta) - \frac{1}{2})}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
+ \left( \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} - \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{2} \right) m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)} \text{div}(Du|Du|^{\beta-2})^2 \\
- \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{2} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{2\beta-4} \\
+ \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)^2}{\beta} m^{q+2\alpha(1-\beta)-2} |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{2\beta-4} \\
+ (\beta - 1)m^{q+\alpha(1-\beta)-1} g'(m) |DmDu|^2 |Du|^{\beta-4}
\]
then, for every 
First, we examine the case 
$\alpha = \beta$

proof for the case 
From the above inequality, we see that if (4.4) holds, then

Thus, we have

□

Q > 0 such that, for all $q$ as

As $q \to \infty$, the r.h.s of the above identity converges to $\varepsilon$ for all $d \geq 1$. Thus, there exists $Q > 0$ such that, for all $q > Q$,

$$ 1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{q}}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} - \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{2} > 0. $$
Moreover, upon taking $Q$ large enough, we can assume that $q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta) > 0$ for all $q > Q$. By Theorem 3.1, $t \mapsto \int m(x, t)dx$ is convex for all $q > Q$. Following similar computations to (3.3), we get

$$\|m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(T^d)} \leq \max\{\|m(\cdot)^0\|_{L^\infty(T^d)}, \|m(\cdot)^T\|_{L^\infty(T^d)}\}.$$ 

Analogously, in the case $1 < \beta < 2$, we use (1.3), set $\alpha = 2(1 - \varepsilon)$, and follow the same reasoning as for $\beta \geq 2$ to obtain (4.7).

**Remark 4.3.** In dimension $d = 1$, because

$$\frac{1 - \beta}{q + 2\alpha(1 - \beta)} = 0$$

for all $q > 2\alpha(\beta - 1)$, we do not need to use the $\varepsilon$ argument. Therefore, (4.7) holds even in the case $\beta \geq 2$ and $\alpha = \frac{2}{\beta - 2}$ or $1 \leq \beta < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$.

**A. Appendix**

Here, we prove the lemma used in the proofs of theorems 1.1 and 4.1

**Lemma A.1.** Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be symmetric matrices with $A$ positive semidefinite, then

$$\text{tr}\left((AB)^2\right) \geq \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}(AB)^2.$$

**Proof.** Notice that, if $A$ is symmetric positive semidefinite, then $A_\varepsilon = A + \varepsilon I$ is symmetric positive definite and converges to $A$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. By approximation, it is then enough to prove the lemma for $A$ positive definite.

Since $A$ is symmetric positive definite, it admits an invertible square root $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Multiplying $AB$ by $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ on the left and by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on the right, we conclude that

$$A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(AB)A^{\frac{1}{2}} = A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

that is, $AB$ is similar to a symmetric matrix and, thus, it is diagonalizable. Accordingly, we have $AB = S^{-1}\Lambda S$, where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d)$. Then,

$$\text{tr}(AB)^2 = (\sum_i \lambda_i)^2 = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \leq \sum_{i,j} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{2} = d \sum_i \lambda_i^2 = \text{tr}\left((AB)^2\right).$$
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