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Abstract

We give a complete classification of conjugacy stable parabolic subgroups of Artin-Tits groups of spherical type. This answers a question posed by Ivan Marin and generalizes a theorem obtained by Juan González-Meneses in the specific case of Artin braid groups.

1 Introduction

Let $S$ be a finite set. A Coxeter matrix over $S$ is a symmetric square matrix $M = (m_{s,t})_{s,t \in S}$ indexed by the elements of $S$, such that $m_{s,s} = 1$, and $m_{s,t} \in \{2,3,4,\ldots,\infty\}$ for all $t, s \in S, s \neq t$. Such a Coxeter matrix is usually represented by its Coxeter graph, denoted by $\Gamma = \Gamma_S = \Gamma(M)$. This is a labelled graph whose set of vertices is $S$, in which two distinct vertices $s$ and $t$ are connected by an edge if $m_{s,t} \geq 3$; if in addition $m_{s,t} \geq 4$, the corresponding edge wears the label $m_{s,t}$.

The Coxeter system of $\Gamma$ is the pair $(W, S)$, where $W = W_\Gamma$ is the group

$$W_\Gamma = \left\langle S \mid \begin{array}{ll}
s^2 = 1 & \text{for all } s \in S \\
\Pi(s, t; m_{s,t}) = \Pi(t, s; m_{s,t}) & \text{for all } s, t \in S, s \neq t, m_{s,t} \neq \infty
\end{array} \right\rangle.$$ 

For $m \geq 2$,

$$\Pi(a, b; m) = \begin{cases} (ab)^k & \text{if } m = 2k, \\
(ab)^ka & \text{if } m = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

The group $W_\Gamma$ is called the Coxeter group of $\Gamma$; sometimes we shall also use the notation $W_S$ for this group.

Let $\Sigma_S := \{\sigma_s, s \in S\}$ be a set in one-to-one correspondence with $S$. The Artin-Tits system of $\Gamma$ is the pair $(A, \Sigma)$, where $A = A_\Gamma$ is the group

$$A_\Gamma = \left\langle \Sigma \mid \Pi(\sigma_s, \sigma_t; m_{s,t}) = \Pi(\sigma_t, \sigma_s; m_{s,t}) \text{ for all } s, t \in S, s \neq t, m_{s,t} \neq \infty \right\rangle.$$ 

The group $A_\Gamma$ is called the Artin-Tits groups of $\Gamma$; sometimes we shall also use the notation $A_S$ for this group.

There is a canonical epimorphism $\theta : A_\Gamma \to W_\Gamma$, defined by $\theta(\sigma_s) = s$. Its kernel is called the Colored Artin-Tits group (or Pure Artin-Tits group) of $\Gamma$ and is denoted by $CA_\Gamma$.

A set-theoretic section $\tau : W_\Gamma \to A_\Gamma$ for $\theta$ can be defined in the following way. For $w \in W_\Gamma$, choose any reduced expression $s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_l}$ for $w$ (i.e. a word-representative on $S$ of the shortest possible length) and set $\tau(w) = \sigma_{i_1} \ldots \sigma_{i_l}$; this assignment is well-defined thanks to Matsumoto’s Lemma (see for instance [6] Theorem 1.2.2)].
This information can be synthesized in the following short exact sequence:

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{CA}_\Gamma \xhookrightarrow{\iota} A_\Gamma \longrightarrow \theta \longmapsto W_\Gamma \longrightarrow 1
\]  

(1)

The groups \( A_\Gamma \) and \( W_\Gamma \) are called irreducible if the graph \( \Gamma \) is connected and reducible otherwise. Notice that if \( \Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_r \) are the connected components of \( \Gamma \), then \( W_\Gamma = W_{\Gamma_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\Gamma_r} \) and \( A_\Gamma = A_{\Gamma_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\Gamma_r} \). The group \( A_\Gamma \) is said to be of spherical type if \( W_\Gamma \) is a finite group. By extension, we say that \( \Gamma \) is of spherical type if \( W_\Gamma \) is finite. We recall Coxeter’s classification \([3]\) of connected Coxeter graphs of spherical type, hence of irreducible Artin-Tits groups of spherical type: this result is synthesized in Figure \([1]\). The name of the graph will be used to refer to the corresponding Coxeter (or Artin-Tits) group; for instance the Artin-Tits group of type \( E_7 \) is the Artin-Tits group of the graph \( E_7 \).

Figure 1: Connected Coxeter graphs of spherical type with a specific enumeration of the vertices.

Let \( X \) be a subset of \( S \) and set \( \Sigma_X = \{ \sigma_x, x \in X \} \). The standard parabolic subgroup associated to \( X \) is the subgroup of \( W_\Gamma \) (\( A_\Gamma \), respectively) generated by \( X \) (by \( \Sigma_X \), respectively); denote it by \( W_X \) (\( A_X \), respectively). Consider the subgraph \( \Gamma_X \) of \( \Gamma = \Gamma_S \) generated by \( X \) (the set of vertices is \( X \) and the edges are exactly the edges of \( \Gamma_S \) which connect two vertices in \( X \)). It is known \([2][10]\) that \( (W_X, X) \) (\( (A_X, \Sigma_X) \), respectively) is the Coxeter system (Artin-Tits system, respectively) of \( \Gamma_X \). A parabolic subgroup is a subgroup \( P \) conjugate to some standard parabolic subgroup \( A_X \). Note that \( P \) and \( A_X \) are isomorphic; if \( A_X \) is irreducible of spherical type, the type of \( P \) is the name of the graph \( \Gamma_X \) in Figure \([1]\).

The flagship example of an Artin-Tits group is the braid group on \( n \) strands \( B_n \) (\( n \geq 2 \)). It is associated to the Coxeter graph \( A_{n-1} \) depicted in Figure \([1]\) the corresponding Coxeter group is the symmetric group \( S_n \). Let \( m \) and \( n \) be two positive integers such that \( 2 \leq m \leq n \). Considering only the \( m-1 \) first vertices of the graph \( A_{n-1} \) furnishes a fundamental example of a standard (irreducible) parabolic subgroup: the braid group \( B_m \) embedded in \( B_n \) by adding \( n-m \) straight strands to any \( m \)-strand braid.

Given a group \( G \) and \( g, x \in G \), we denote by \( x^g = g^{-1}gx \) the conjugate of \( x \) by \( g \); this defines a right-action of \( G \) on itself. In the same way, for \( g \in G \) and a subset \( H \) of \( G \), we denote by \( H^g \) the set of \( g \)-conjudgetes of elements of \( H \).

It was shown by González-Meneses \([8]\) that the above embedding \( B_m \to B_n \) (for \( 2 \leq m < n \)) does not merge conjugacy classes, i.e. if two \( m \)-strand braids are conjugate in the \( n \)-strand braid group, they must already be conjugate as \( m \)-strand braids.

Motivated by the latter result, Ivan Marin asked some years ago whether standard parabolic subgroups of irreducible Artin-Tits groups of spherical type are conjugacy stable. A (non-trivial) proper subgroup \( H \) of a group \( G \) is said to be conjugacy stable if any two elements of \( H \) which are conjugated in \( G \) must be conjugated through an element of \( H \); this is equivalent to saying that the conjugacy classes of \( H \) do not merge in \( G \). It is an easy exercise to check that conjugacy stability is preserved under subgroup conjugation; therefore Marin’s question actually covers all parabolic subgroups of irreducible Artin-Tits groups of spherical type.
Suppose now that $A_S$ is a reducible Artin-Tits group of spherical type, expressed as the direct product $A_S = A_{S_1} \times \ldots \times A_{S_r}$, where $r \geq 1$ and each $A_{S_i}$ is non-trivial and irreducible. For a subset $X \subseteq S$, we can consider $X_i = X \cap S_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, r$) and decompose $A_X$ as a direct product of parabolic subgroups $A_X = A_{X_1} \times \ldots \times A_{X_r}$—notice that $A_X$ might be trivial (when $X_i$ is empty) or reducible. Since elements in distinct components of $A_S$ commute pairwise, $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$ if and only if $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_{S_i}$ for all $i$.

In view of the above remarks, the following, which is our main result, allows to decide the conjugacy stability of any given parabolic subgroup of any Artin-Tits group of spherical type (notation is defined in Figure 1):

**Theorem 1.** Let $A_{\Gamma} = A_S$ be an irreducible Artin-Tits group of spherical type and let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S$.

1. If $A_X$ is irreducible, $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$ except in the following cases:
   - (a) $A_X$ is of type $D_5$ and $A_S$ is of type $E_6, E_7$ or $E_8$,
   - (b) $A_X$ is of type $D_7$ and $A_S$ is of type $E_8$,
   - (c) $A_X$ is of type $E_7$ and $A_S$ is of type $E_8$,
   - (d) $A_X$ is of type $D_{2k}$,
   - (e) $A_X$ is of type $H_3$ and $A_S$ is of type $H_4$.

2. If $A_X$ is reducible, $A_X$ is not conjugacy stable in $A_S$ except in the following cases:
   - (a) $A_S$ is of type $B_n$ ($n \geq 3$) and $A_X = A_{\{s_i\}} \times A_Z$, with $Z \subset \{s_3, \ldots, s_n\}$ and $A_Z$ irreducible.
   - (b) $A_S$ is of type $F_4$.

González-Meneses’ proof in the specific case of braids relies heavily on the identification between braids and mapping classes of punctured disks: Birman-Lubotzky-McCarthy’s Canonical Reduction Systems of mapping classes play a fundamental role. Although more combinatorial in spirit, our approach was inspired by González-Meneses’: instead of the Canonical Reduction System, we use the parabolic closure of elements of Artin-Tits groups of spherical type introduced recently in [5]; see Theorem 2.

The main step in our proof consists in reducing conjugacy stability of standard parabolic subgroups at the level of Artin-Tits groups to a problem in the corresponding Coxeter groups.

**Definition 1.** Let $W_{\Gamma} = W_S$ be a Coxeter group and let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S$. We say that $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\bullet_W$ if for all $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$, and $w \in W_S$ such that $Y_1^w = Y_2$, there exists $v \in W_X$ such that $y^v = y^w$ for all $y \in Y_1$.

Our main technical result is the following:

**Proposition 1.** Let $W_{\Gamma} = W_S$ be a finite irreducible Coxeter group and $A_S$ be the corresponding Artin-Tits group. Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S$. If $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\bullet_W$, then $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$.

Given a finite irreducible Coxeter group $W_S$ and a proper subset $X$ of $S$, deciding Property $\bullet_W$ for the pair $(W_X, W_S)$ is a matter of case-checking which is mostly contained in the study of the so-called Coxeter classes in [6, Section 2.3]. If $W_X$ is irreducible, it can be deduced from the results of [6] that Property $\bullet_W$ holds in all the cases except (a) to (e) of Theorem 1(1). A similar simpler proof yields the result for the cases (a) and (b) of Theorem 1(2) ($W_X$ reducible). In all the exceptional cases, we provide examples showing non-stability.

The contents of the paper are organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 1. Section 3 establishes Property $\bullet_W$ for all irreducible subgroups except cases (a) to (e) of Theorem 1(1). In Section 4 we finish the proof of Theorem 1 dealing with the case of reducible parabolic subgroups and providing the expected examples of non-stability.
2 The Property $\star_W$ and conjugacy stability

2.1 The Properties $\star_W$ and $\star_A$

Fix a Coxeter system $(W_S, S)$ with Coxeter graph $\Gamma = \Gamma_S$. Consider also the corresponding Artin-Tits system $(A_S, \Sigma_S)$. In this section, we do not make any assumption on $W_S$; in particular, $W_S$ need not be finite.

The following basic facts about the length and reduced expressions in $W_S$ can be found for instance in [1] Section 1.4. For $w \in W_S$, the length $\ell(w)$ of $w$ is defined as the length of the shortest possible word on $S$ which represents $w$; such a word is called a reduced expression for $w$. For $s \in S$, $w \in W_S$, we have

$$|\ell(ws) - \ell(w)| = |\ell(sw) - \ell(w)| = 1.$$ 

Moreover, the following two statements are equivalent:

- $\ell(ws) = \ell(w) + 1$ (\ell(sw) = \ell(w) + 1, respectively)
- for every reduced expression $s_1 \ldots s_\ell(w)$ of $w$, the word $s_1 \ldots s_{\ell(w)} s$ (the word $s s_1 \ldots s_{\ell(w)}$, respectively) is a reduced expression as well.

In the opposite case, we have the following two equivalent statements:

- $\ell(ws) = \ell(w) - 1$ (\ell(sw) = \ell(w) - 1, respectively)
- $w$ admits a reduced expression finishing with the letter $s$ (starting with the letter $s$, respectively).

In order to prove Proposition 1, we first establish an intermediate property, which is the analogue of Property $\star_W$ at the level of Artin-Tits groups. For this purpose, we will first need to prove a technical lemma. Roughly speaking, the next lemma says that the section $\tau : W_S \rightarrow A_S$ (see the Introduction) allows to transform conjugators in $W_S$ between subsets of $S$ into conjugators in $A_S$ between subsets of $\Sigma_S$. Recall that, for each $s \in S$, we have $\tau(s) = s_x$.

Lemma 1. Let $Y_1, Y_2 \subset S$. If $w \in W_S$ is such that $Y_1^w = Y_2$, then $\tau(w)$ satisfies $\sigma_y^{\tau(w)} = \sigma_y^w$ for all $y \in Y_1$.

Proof. Fix $y \in Y_1$. We know that $\ell(yw) = \ell(wyw) = \ell(w) + 1$. Suppose first that $\ell(yw) = \ell(w) + 1$. If $s_1 \ldots s_{\ell(w)}$ is any reduced expression for $w$, then both $ys_1 \ldots s_{\ell(w)}$ and $s_1 \ldots s_{\ell(w)} yw$ are reduced expressions for $yw = wy^w$. Hence both words $\sigma_y s_{s_1} \ldots s_{s_{\ell(w)}}$ and $s_{s_1} \ldots s_{s_{\ell(w)}} \sigma_y w$ represent the same element $\tau(yw)$ of $A_S$. It follows that $\sigma_y \tau(w) = \tau(yw) \sigma_y$; in other words $\sigma_y^{\tau(w)} = \sigma_y^w$.

Now, suppose that $\ell(yw) = \ell(wyw) = \ell(w) - 1$. Then $w$ admits a reduced expression starting with the letter $y$ and a reduced expression finishing with the letter $y^w$. We then can write $w = yw' = w'y^w$, with $\ell(w') = \ell(w'y) = \ell(w) - 1$. But we observe that $w' = wy^w = yw = w'$. Hence $yw' = w'y^w$, with $\ell(yw') = \ell(w') + 1$. According to the discussion in the above paragraph, we have $\sigma_y^{\tau(w)} = \sigma_y^{\tau(w')}$. Because $\tau(w) = \sigma_y \tau(w')$, it finally follows that $\sigma_y^{\tau(w)} = \sigma_y^{\sigma_y \tau(w')} = \sigma_y^{\tau(w')} = \sigma_y^w$, as desired.

Definition 2. Let $A_S$ be an Artin-Tits group and $\emptyset \neq X \subset S$. We say that $(A_X, A_S)$ satisfies Property $\star_A$ if for all $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$, and $g \in A_S$ such that $\Sigma_{Y_1}^g = \Sigma_{Y_2}$, there exists $h \in A_X$ such that $\sigma_y^g = \sigma_y^h$ for all $y \in Y_1$.

Proposition 2. Let $W_S$ be any Coxeter group and $A_S$ be the corresponding Artin-Tits group. Let $\emptyset \neq X \subset S$. Then $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\star_W$ if and only if $(A_X, A_S)$ satisfies Property $\star_A$.

Proof. Suppose first that $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\star_W$. Let $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$ and consider $g \in A_S$ such that $\Sigma_{Y_1}^g = \Sigma_{Y_2}$. Using the canonical epimorphism $\theta : A_S \rightarrow W_S$, we deduce that $Y_1^{\theta(g)} = Y_2$. 

(for $y \in Y_1$, we have $\theta(\sigma_y^g) = y^{\theta(g)}$). Now, Property $*_W$ provides an element $v \in W_X$ satisfying $y^v = y^{\theta(g)}$, for all $y \in Y_1$. But $\tau(v) \in X_\lambda$ and by Lemma 1 it satisfies $\sigma^\tau_y(v) = \sigma^\tau_{y^v} = \sigma^\theta_y$, for all $y \in Y_1$.

Conversely, assume that $(A_X, A_S)$ has Property $*_A$. Let $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$ and consider $w \in W_S$ such that $Y_1^w = Y_2$. By Lemma 1 we have $\sigma^w_y = \sigma^w_y$, for all $y \in Y_1$, whence $\Sigma^w = \Sigma_{Y_1}$. Thanks to Property $*_A$, $\tau(w)$ may be replaced by $h \in A_X$, satisfying $\sigma^w_b = \sigma^w_{\tau(w)}$ for all $y \in Y_1$. It only remains to observe that $\theta(h) \in W_X$ and apply the epimorphism $\theta$ to the latter equality to obtain $y^{\theta(h)} = y^w$, for all $y \in Y_1$.

\[\square\]

2.2 Proof of Proposition 1

Let us suppose again that $(W_S, S)$ is a Coxeter system with Coxeter graph $\Gamma = \Gamma_S$ and $(A_S, \Sigma_S)$ is the corresponding Artin-Tits system. We now assume in addition that $A_S$ is of spherical type. Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S$. We need to show that if $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $*_W$, then $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$. Thanks to Proposition 2, Proposition 1 will follow from:

**Proposition 3.** Let $A_S$ be an Artin-Tits group of spherical type and let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S$. If $(A_X, A_S)$ has Property $*_A$, then $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$.

Before proceeding to the proof, we recall the important and recently defined notion of parabolic closure of elements of Artin-Tits groups of spherical type:

**Theorem 2.** [4, Section 7, Lemma 8.1] Let $A_S$ be an Artin-Tits group of spherical type. For each $a \in A_S$, there is a unique minimal (with respect to inclusion) parabolic subgroup $P_a$ of $A_S$ which contains $a$; we call this group the parabolic closure of $a$. Furthermore, for $g \in A_S$, we have $P_a^g = P_a^g$.

**Proof.** (of Proposition 1). Let $a, b \in A_X$ and $c \in A_S$ satisfying $a^c = b$. According to Theorem 2 we have that $P_a^c = P_a^c = P_b$. According to [4, Theorem 4], both subgroups $P_a$ and $P_b$ of $A_X$ can be standardized inside $A_X$: i.e. there exist $\alpha, \beta \in A_X$ and subsets $Y_\alpha, Y_\beta$ of $X$ such that $P_\alpha = A_{Y_\alpha}$ and $P_\beta = A_{Y_\beta}$. Notice that $A_{Y_\alpha}^{-1} \beta = A_{Y_\beta}$. By [7, Proposition 2.1.3] we can find $u \in A_S$ with $\Sigma_{Y_\alpha} = \Sigma_{Y_\beta}$ and $v \in A_{Y_\alpha}$, such that $\alpha^{-1} \beta = uv$. By Property $*_A$, we can find $u' \in A_X$ such that $\sigma^{u'}_y = \sigma^u_y$ for every $y \in Y_\alpha$. It follows that $\sigma^{u'u}_y = \sigma^u_y = \sigma^u_{\alpha^{-1} \beta}$ for all $y \in Y_\alpha$; therefore for any element $z \in A_{Y_\alpha}$, we have $z^{u'u} = z^{\alpha^{-1} \beta}$. Applying this to the particular element $a^\alpha \in A_{Y_\alpha}$, we obtain $a^{u'u} = a^{\alpha^{-1} \beta} = a^c = b$. It follows that $b = a^{u'u} \beta^{-1} \in A_X$.

\[\square\]

**Remark 1.** Property $*_A$ for the pair $(A_X, A_S)$ implies that the automorphisms of $A_X$ induced by conjugation by an element in the normalizer $N_{A_S}(A_X)$ are inner automorphisms of $A_X$. Indeed, we know that $N_{A_S}(A_X) = A_X \cdot QZ_{A_S}(A_X)$ (where $QZ_{A_S}(A_X) = \{g \in A_S, X^g = X\}$); Property $*_A$ then says that for $g \in N_{A_S}(A_X)$, we can find $h \in A_X$ such that $x^g = x^h$ for all $x \in A_X$.

3 Checking Property $*_W$ for irreducible subgroups

In this section, $W_S$ is an irreducible finite Coxeter group with Coxeter graph $\Gamma_S$ and $X$ is a non-empty proper subset of $S$ such that $W_X$ is irreducible and the pair $(A_X, A_S)$ of the corresponding Artin-Tits groups does not satisfy any of the conditions (a) to (e) of Theorem 1. Our goal is to show that $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $*_W$. References to Figure 1 whenever considering a Coxeter (Artin-Tits) group of some specific (spherical) type will be frequent and implicit; generating sets are numbered as in Figure 1. Throughout, given any subset $Z$ of $S$, we will denote by $\Gamma_Z$ the subgraph of $\Gamma_S$ induced by the elements of $Z$.
The proof follows Coxeter's classification and we treat several cases, according to the type of \( W_X \).
First, we observe that, as \( W_X \) is a proper subgroup of \( W_S \), it cannot be of type \( E_8, F_4, H_4 \) or \( I_{2m}, m > 5 \). The group \( W_X \) cannot be either of type \( E_7, D_{2k} \) or \( H_3 \); otherwise \((A_X, A_S)\) would satisfy either (c) (d) or (e) of Theorem \( 4 \). Finally, \( W_X \) can be of type \( D_5 \) (\( D_7 \), respectively) only if \( W_S \) is of type \( D_n, n \geq 6 \), \( (n \geq 8, \text{respectively}) \); otherwise \((A_X, A_S)\) would satisfy (a) or (b) of Theorem \( 4 \).

In order to prove Property \( \ast_W \), we need to show that, given any \( Y_1, Y_2 \subset X \) and \( w \in W_S \) such that \( Y_1^w = Y_2 \), we can find some \( v \in W_X \) such that \( y^v = y^w \) for all \( y \in Y_1 \). In this situation, we note that conjugation by \( w \) induces an isomorphism of labeled graphs \( \Gamma_{Y_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Y_2} \). When \( W_X \) is of type \( A_n, E_6, \) or \( I_{2,5} \), a stronger statement than Property \( \ast_W \) may be proved, namely we shall see that each isomorphism of labeled graphs \( \Gamma_{Y_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Y_2} \) is induced by an inner automorphism of \( W_X \) –see Lemma \( 2 \). Lemma \( 3 \) deals with the situation where \( W_X \) is of type \( B \) or \( D \).
Before proceeding to these lemmas, we recall that every finite Coxeter group \( W_S \) contains a unique longest element, usually denoted by \( w_0 = w_0(W_S) \). Relevant facts concerning the longest element of a finite Coxeter group can be found in \[6 \text{ Sections 1.5 and 1.6} \]. It is known that \( w_0(W_S) \) is an involution and that \( S^{w_0} = S \). Moreover, \( w_0(W_S) \) is central if \( W_S \) is of type \( A_1, B_n, D_n \) (\( n \) even), \( E_7, E_8, F_4, H_3, H_4 \) or \( I_{2m} \) (\( m \) even). Table \( 1 \) synthesizes the action by conjugation of the longest element \( w_0(W_S) \) in the other irreducible cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( W_S )</th>
<th>( A_n ) (( n \geq 2 ))</th>
<th>( D_n ) (( n ) odd)</th>
<th>( E_6 )</th>
<th>( I_{2m} ) (( m ) odd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \( s_i^{w_0(W_S)} \) | \( s_{n-i+1} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) | \( \{ s_2 \ i = 1 \}
|                  |                  | \( s_1 \ i = 2 \) | \( s_6 \ i = 1 \) | \( s_5 \ i = 3 \) | \( s_4 \ i = 4 \) | \( s_3 \ i = 5 \) | \( s_1 \ i = 6 \) | \( s_{(i+1)} \mod 2 \) |

In each of the cases considered in Table \( 1 \) we call flip automorphism the inner automorphism \( s \mapsto s^{w_0(W_S)} \) of \( W_S \).

**Lemma 2.** (i) Let \( W_X \) be a Coxeter group of type \( A_n, E_6 \) or \( I_{2,5} \). Let \( Y_1, Y_2 \subset X \), and \( \psi : \Gamma_{Y_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Y_2} \) be an isomorphism of labeled graphs. Then there exists \( v \in W_X \) such that \( \psi(y) = y^v \), for all \( y \in Y_1 \).

(ii) Let \( W_S \) be any Coxeter group, let \( \emptyset \neq X \subseteq S \) such that \( W_X \) is of type \( A_n, E_6 \) or \( I_{2,5} \). Then \( (W_X, W_S) \) has Property \( \ast_W \).

**Proof.** Let us first prove (ii) as a consequence of (i). Let \( Y_1, Y_2 \subset X \) and \( w \in A_S \) such that \( Y_1^w = Y_2 \); in particular, conjugation by \( w \) induces an isomorphism between the labeled graphs \( \Gamma_{Y_1} \) and \( \Gamma_{Y_2} \) and it follows from (i) that we can find \( v \in W_X \) so that \( y^v = y^w \), for all \( y \in Y_1 \).
Let us prove (i). Suppose first that \( W_X \) is of type \( I_{2,5} \). Write \( s_i = s_{(i+1) \mod 2} \), for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( \psi(y) = y \) for all \( y \in Y_1 \), or \( \psi(y) = \bar{y} \) for all \( y \in Y_1 \). It then suffices to take \( v \) to be the identity or the longest element of \( I_{2,5} \), accordingly.
Assume now that \( W_X \) has type \( A_n \) or \( E_6 \). Notice that \( \psi \) extends to a group isomorphism between the standard parabolic subgroups \( W_{Y_1} \) and \( W_{Y_2} \). According to \[3 \text{ Proposition 2.3.8} \] (type \( A \)) and \[6 \text{ Table A.1} \] (type \( E_6 \)), we know that the respective generating sets \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) must be conjugate: there is \( w \in W_X \) such that \( Y_1^w = Y_2 \). If \( y^w = \psi(y) \) for all \( y \in Y_1 \) we are done. Otherwise, the formula \( \eta(y) = \psi^{-1}(y)^w \) defines a non-trivial graph automorphism of \( \Gamma_{Y_2} \) and the result will follow if we show that for any graph automorphism \( \eta \) of \( \Gamma_{Y_2} \), there exists \( v \in W_X \) such that \( y^v = \eta(y) \) for all \( y \in Y_2 \).
When $W_X$ is of type $A$, $\Gamma_Y$ is a disconnected union of path graphs (possibly with a single vertex). There are two types of automorphisms in this case. First, the inversion of a connected component (flip), which can be realized through a conjugation by the longest element in the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup. Second, a permutation of the connected components with the same number of vertices; this also can be realized as a conjugation inside the symmetric group $\Sigma_{s+1}$.

Finally, suppose that $W_X$ has type $E_6$. The desired claim follows from the latter paragraph as soon as $Y_2$ is conjugated into a subset of \{$s_1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6$\} (because this subset generates a standard parabolic subgroup of type $A_5$). Based on [4, Table A.1], conjugacy classes of subsets $Z$ of $X$ ("Coxeter classes") with no representative lying in \{$s_1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6$\} are classified in Table 2. For each of them, Table 3 gives a representative $Z \subset X$ and the type of $W_Z$ (first two columns); for each generator $\eta$ of the automorphism group of the graph $\Gamma_Z$ (described as a permutation of $Z$), we provide an element $v$ of $W_X$ such that $z^v = \eta(z)$ for all $z \in Z$ (third and fourth columns). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

---

**Remark 2.**

- The same statements can be proven identically for the group $W_X$ of type $I_{2m}$, $m$ odd.
- Using [4, Table A.2] and similar arguments as in the above proof, one also can show the analogue statements for $W_X$ of type $E_6$.

We now suppose that $W_X$ is of type $B$ or $D$; it is easily seen that the statement of Lemma 2 is not true in this case. If $W_X$ is of type $B$, it suffices to consider $Y_1 = Y_2 = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and the automorphism $\eta$ of $\Gamma_Y$ which permutes its two vertices; it can be checked that $s_1$ and $s_2$ are not conjugate in $W_X$, so $\eta$ fails to be induced by an inner automorphism of $W_X$. If $W_X$ has type $D$, choosing $Y_1 = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and $Y_2 = \{s_1, s_4\}$, it can be checked that the graph isomorphism $\Gamma_{Y_1} \to \Gamma_{Y_2}$ given by $s_1 \mapsto s_1$ and $s_2 \mapsto s_4$ is not induced by any inner automorphism of $W_X$.

**Lemma 3.** Let $W_S$ be an irreducible finite Coxeter group; let $\emptyset \neq X \subset S$. Assume that:

- $W_X$ is of type $B_n$, or
- $W_X$ is of type $D_n$ ($n$ odd) and $W_S$ is of type $D_m$ ($m > n$).

Then $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\ast_W$.

**Proof.** For the rest of the proof, we fix $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$ and $w \in W_S$ such that $Y_1^w = Y_2$. Suppose first that $W_X$ is of type $B_n$; observe that $W_S$ must be of type $F_4$ or $B_m$ ($m > n$) and that the first possibility might occur only if $n \leq 3$. We give a detailed proof assuming that $W_S$ is of type $B$; the case $W_S$ of type $F_4$ can be dealt with in a similar fashion and is left as an exercise for the reader. Given any subset $Z \subset S$, we denote by $Z'$ the set of vertices of the connected component
of $\Gamma_Z$ containing $s_1$, and we set $Z' = \emptyset$ if $s_1 \not\in Z$; we also denote $\Gamma'' = Z \setminus Z'$. According to Proposition 2.3.10, $Y'' = Y_2$ for $w \in W_S$ is equivalent to $Y'_1 = Y'_2$ with $y'' = y$ for all $y \in Y_1$ (due to the defining relations of $W_S$) and the groups $W_{\Gamma''}$ and $W_{\Gamma'''}$ are isomorphic. If $Y''$ is empty, the identity of $W_X$ performs the same conjugation as $w$ on $Y_1$. Otherwise, we observe that $W_{\Gamma''}$, $W_{\Gamma'''}$ are subgroups of $W_{\{s_2, \ldots, s_n\}}$, which is of type $A_{n-1}$ (if moreover $Y'_1$ is not empty, these subgroups lie in $W_{\{s_3, \ldots, s_n\}}$). According to the proof of Lemma 4, there is $w' \in W_{\{s_2, \ldots, s_n\}} \subset W_X$ such that $y'' = y''$ for all $y \in Y''$ (moreover $w'$ can be chosen in $W_{\{s_2, \ldots, s_n\}}$ if $Y''$ is not empty). Therefore, $w'$ performs the same conjugation as $w$ on $Y_1$ (if $Y'_1$ is not empty, $w'$ commutes with $Y'_1$).

Suppose now that $W_X$ is of type $D_n$ ($n$ odd) and that $W_S$ is of type $D_m$ ($m > n$). Recall (Table 1) that conjugation by the longest element $w_0(W_X)$ leaves invariant $s_3, \ldots, s_n$ and permutes $s_1$ and $s_2$. If each of the chosen subsets $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ contains at most one of $s_1, s_2$, up to replacing one of $Y_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) by $Y_i w_0(W_X)$, we may assume that both $Y_1, Y_2$ are subsets of $\{s_1, s_3, \ldots, s_n\}$; the latter set defines a Coxeter group of type $A_{n-1}$ and by Lemma 2 we can find $v \in W_{\{s_1, s_3, \ldots, s_n\}} \subset W_X$ such that $y'' = y''$ for all $y \in Y_1$. Suppose on the contrary that $Y_1$ contains both $s_1$ and $s_2$; then $Y_2$ also contains both $s_1$ and $s_2$ (it follows from [6, 2.3.11] that $s_1$ and $s_2$ can be simultaneously conjugated in $W_S$ to letters in $S$ only if they are fixed or permuted with each other). For $i = 1, 2$, let $Y_i''$ be the set of vertices of the (union of the) connected component(s) of $\Gamma_Y$ containing $s_1$ and $s_2$. Our hypothesis and the relations in $W_S$ imply that $Y'_1 = Y'_2$. Let also $Y''_i = Y_i \setminus Y_i''$, for $i = 1, 2$. We have $Y''_1 \subset \{s_4, \ldots, s_n\}$ and $W_{\{s_1, s_4, \ldots, s_n\}}$ is of type $A_{n-3}$; as $Y''_1 = Y''_2$, the proof of Lemma 2 tells us that we can find $w' \in W_{\{s_1, s_4, \ldots, s_n\}}$ so that $y'' = y''$ for all $y \in Y''_1$. Finally, define $v = w'$ if $s_i'' = s_1$ and $w = w' w_0(W_X)$ if $s_i'' = s_2$: we have $y'' = y''$ for all $y \in Y_1$. \hfill \Box

4 Proof of Theorem 1

4.1 Reducible $A_X$

We first make a preliminary observation.

Lemma 4. Let $(W_S, S)$ be any Coxeter system and $(A_S, \Sigma_S)$ the corresponding Artin-Tits system. Two letters $\sigma_s, \sigma_t \in \Sigma_S$ are conjugate in $A_S$ if and only if the vertices $s$ and $t$ of the Coxeter graph $\Gamma_S$ can be connected in $\Gamma_S$ through a path following only edges with odd labels (or no label).

Proof. By Lemma 1 $\sigma_s$ and $\sigma_t$ are conjugate in $A_S$ if and only if $s$ and $t$ are conjugate in $W_S$. But this happens if and only if the corresponding vertices can be connected by a path following only edges with odd labels (or no label) in $\Gamma_S$: see for example [2, Chap. IV, §1, no. 3, Proposition 3]. \hfill \Box

Now, let $A_T = A_S$ be as in Theorem 1, let $\emptyset \neq X \subset S$, let $(A_X, \Sigma_X)$ be the corresponding Artin-Tits system and $\Gamma_X$ be the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced by $X$. Suppose that $A_X$ is reducible (so $\Gamma_X$ has at least 3 vertices). We need to show that $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$ if and only if $(A_X, A_S)$ satisfies one of the conditions (a) or (b) of Theorem 1.2.

Suppose first that $A_X$ has at least three irreducible components. Observe (Figure 1) that $\Gamma_X$ has at most one even-labeled edge; it follows from Lemma 3 that we can find $\sigma_s, \sigma_t \in \Sigma_X$, belonging to distinct components of $\Gamma_X$ (hence not conjugate in $A_X$), and such that $\sigma_s$ and $\sigma_t$ are conjugate in $A_S$. This shows that $A_X$ is not conjugacy stable in $A_S$.

The same argument applies if $A_X$ has only two components but $A_S$ is not of type $B_n$ or $F_4$; in this case, every edge in $\Gamma_S$ is odd-labeled.

Finally, if $A_S$ is of type $B_n$ and $A_X$ has two components, each of which contains some letter in $\{\sigma_{s_2}, \ldots, \sigma_{s_n}\}$, the same argument again applies (because all letters in $\{\sigma_{s_2}, \ldots, \sigma_{s_n}\}$ are conjugate in $A_S$) and $A_X$ is not conjugacy stable in $A_S$.

The only remaining possibilities are that $(A_X, A_S)$ satisfies either (a) or (b) of Theorem 1. In any of these two cases, we need to show that $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$. Letting $W_X$ and $W_S$ be
the respective associated Coxeter groups, it is sufficient to show that \((W_X, W_S)\) has Property \(*_W\), according to Proposition 4.

Assume that \(W_S\) is of type \(B_n\) \((n \geq 3)\), \(X = \{s_1\} \cup Z\), with \(Z \subset \{s_3, \ldots, s_9\}\) and \(W_Z\) irreducible. Let \(Y_1, Y_2 \subset X\) and \(w \in W_S\) such that \(Y_1^w = Y_2\). Following the notation in the proof of Lemma 3, we have \(Y_1' = \{s_1\} = Y_2' = \{s_9\}\) and \(Y_1'' = Y_2'' \subset Z\); as \(W_Z\) is of type \(A\), it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists \(v \in W_Z \subset W_X\) so that \(y^v = y^w\) for all \(y \in Y_1 \setminus \{s_1\}\) and hence for all \(y \in Y_1\) (since \(Z\) commutes with \(s_1\)). This shows that \((W_X, W_S)\) satisfies Property \(*_W\).

Finally, assume that \(W_S\) is of type \(F_4\). Then \(W_X\) necessarily has 2 components; more precisely, \(X\) is one of \(\{s_1, s_3\}, \{s_1, s_4\}, \{s_1, s_3, s_4\}, \{s_1, s_2, s_4\}\) or \(\{s_2, s_4\}\). The easy verification that \((W_X, W_S)\) enjoys Property \(*_W\) is left to the reader.

This achieves the proof of Part (2) of Theorem 1.

**Remark 3.** The arguments given in the present section together with Proposition 7 actually show that, given any irreducible \(A_S\) of spherical type, and \(X \subset S\) such that \(A_X\) is reducible, the subgroup \(A_X\) is conjugacy stable in \(A_S\) if and only if the corresponding pair of Coxeter groups \((W_X, W_S)\) has Property \(*_W\).

### 4.2 Non-stable irreducible \(A_X\)

Let \(A_S\) be an irreducible Artin-Tits group of spherical type and let \(\emptyset \neq X \subseteq S\) such that \(A_X\) is irreducible. Suppose that the pair \((A_X, A_S)\) does not satisfy any of the conditions (a) to (e) of Theorem 1.

In order to conclude the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to be proved that \(A_X\) is not conjugacy stable in \(A_S\) whenever \((A_X, A_S)\) satisfies one of the conditions (a) to (e) of Theorem 1. Given any Artin-Tits group of spherical type \(A_S\), define \(\Delta_S\) as the image of the longest element \(w_0(W_S)\) under the map \(\tau: W_S \rightarrow A_S\). Call an element of \(A_S\) positive if it can be expressed as a word on letters in \(\Sigma_S\), without using inverses. The support of a positive element \(g\) of \(A_S\) is defined as

\[
\text{Supp}(g) = \{s \in S, \sigma_s \text{ appears in any positive word on } \Sigma_S \text{ representing } g\}.
\]

In order to find examples showing non-conjugacy stability, we recall the following definition (where for any subset \(T\) of \(S\), \(\Sigma_T = \{\sigma_s, s \in T\}\)):

**Definition 3.** \([5, Definition 4.1]\) Let \(A_S\) be an Artin-Tits group of spherical type. Given \(X \subseteq S\) and \(t \in S\), we define the positive element

\[
r(t, X) = \Delta_X^{-1} \Delta_{X \cup \{t\}}
\]

and we call it a ribbon. If moreover \(t\) is adjacent to \(X\) in the Coxeter graph \(\Gamma_S\), we say that \(r(t, X)\) is an adjacent ribbon.

Note that \(r(t, X)\) conjugates \(\Sigma_X\) to some subset \(\Sigma_X\), of \(\Sigma_{X \cup \{t\}}\). This allows to state:

**Lemma 5.** Let \(g, h\) be positive elements of \(A_S\) such that \(\text{supp}(g) = Y \subset S\) and \(\text{supp}(h) = Z \subset S\). If \(g\) and \(h\) are conjugate in \(A_S\), then there are subsets \(Y = Y_0, \ldots, Y_n = Z\) of \(S\) and adjacent ribbons \(r(t_i, Y_{i-1})\) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\) conjugating \(\Sigma_{Y_{i-1}}\) to \(\Sigma_{Y_i}\).

**Proof.** Let \(y \in A_S\) such that \(g^y = h\); up to multiplying by a power of \(\Delta_S^2\) (which is central), we may assume that \(y\) is a positive element. Set \(Y_0 = Y\). By \([5, Proposition 6.3]\), \(y\) can be decomposed as a product \(r_1 \cdots r_m\) such that \(g_i := g^{r_i}Y_i^{-1}\) is a positive element of \(A_S\), for \(1 \leq i \leq m\). Moreover, if \(Y_i = \text{Supp}(g_i)\), \(r_i\) is then an element of \(A_{Y_{i-1}}\) or an adjacent ribbon of the form \(r(t_i, Y_{i-1})\).

Now, by \([5, Corollary 6.5]\), we know that \(A_{Y_{i-1}}^{r_i} = A_{Y_i}\). So, if \(r_i\) belongs to \(A_{Y_{i-1}}\), then \(r_i\) normalizes \(A_{Y_{i-1}}\), that is, \(A_{Y_{i-1}} = A_{Y_i}\) and it will follow that \(r_1 \cdots r_{i-1} r_{i+1} \cdots r_m\) still conjugates \(A_{Y_0} \) to \(A_{Y_m} = Z\). Therefore, we can consider, in the decomposition \(y = r_1 \cdots r_m\), only the factors which are adjacent ribbons. This yields the desired conclusion. \(\square\)
Lemma 5 now allows to provide our counterexamples. Throughout are considered pairs \((\Gamma_X, \Gamma_S)\) of Coxeter graphs of given explicit type, where \(\Gamma_X\) is an induced subgraph of \(\Gamma_S\). Vertices of \(\Gamma_S\) are numbered according to Figure 1. For each \(i = 1, \ldots, \#S\), we write \(\sigma_i\) for the generator \(\sigma_s\), of the Artin-Tits group \(A_S\). We fix a numbering of the elements of \(X\), according to Figure 1 and we denote \(x_1, \ldots, x_{\#X}\) the elements of \(X\) following this ordering. There might be different ways to embed \(\Gamma_X\) as an induced subgraph of \(\Gamma_S\); following our notation, this is to say that a given \(x_i\) may be equal to distinct \(s_j\)'s, depending on the chosen embedding of \(\Gamma_X\) in \(\Gamma_S\). Finally, let us denote \(\chi_i = \sigma_{x_i} \in A_X\). In each case, we shall exhibit two elements of \(A_X\) which are conjugate in \(A_S\) but not in \(A_X\).

(a) Suppose that \(A_X\) is of type \(D_5\) and \(A_S\) is of type \(E_6, E_7\) or \(E_8\). We first observe that there are 4 different ways to embed \(\Gamma_X\) in \(\Gamma_S\), namely:

\[
x_1 = s_2, x_2 = s_3, x_3 = s_4, x_4 = s_5, x_5 = s_6, \\
x_1 = s_3, x_2 = s_2, x_3 = s_4, x_4 = s_5, x_5 = s_6, \\
x_1 = s_5, x_2 = s_2, x_3 = s_4, x_4 = s_3, x_5 = s_1, \\
x_1 = s_5, x_2 = s_2, x_3 = s_4, x_4 = s_3, x_5 = s_1, \\
\]

but they differ only by pre- or post-composing by graph automorphisms which are induced by conjugation by \(\Delta_X\) or \(\Delta_s\) respectively. Therefore it is enough to give a pair of non-conjugate elements of \(A_X\) whose images under the first of these embeddings are conjugate elements of \(A_S\).

Take \(g = \chi_1 \chi_3 \chi_2 = \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_3\) and \(h = \chi_1 \chi_3 \chi_2 = \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3\); let \(Y = \text{Supp}(g)\) and \(Z = \text{Supp}(h)\). We then have \(Y = \{s_2, s_3, s_4\}\) and \(Z = \{s_3, s_4, s_5\}\). The following product of ribbons (each arrow indicates the conjugation by its label) conjugates \(\Sigma_Y\) to \(\Sigma_Z\) (and \(g\) to \(h\)) in \(A_S\):

\[
\Sigma_Y = \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4\}^r(s_1, Y) \Sigma_Y = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_4\}^r(s_5, Y) \Sigma_Z = \{\sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5\}
\]

However, the only vertex in \(\Gamma_X\) which is adjacent to \(Y = \{x_1, x_3, x_2\}\) is \(x_4\) and we observe that \(r(x_4, Y) = \chi_4 \chi_3 \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \chi_4\) normalizes \(A_Y\). Therefore, by Lemma 5, \(g\) and \(h\) cannot be conjugate in \(A_X\).

(b) Suppose that \(A_X\) is of type \(D_7\) and \(A_S\) is of type \(E_8\). There is only one induced subgraph of type \(D_7\) in \(A_S\) and two ways of embedding it: \(x_1 = s_2\) or \(s_3, x_2 = s_3\) or \(s_2, x_3 = s_4, x_4 = s_5, x_5 = s_6, x_6 = s_7, x_7 = s_8\), which differ by precomposing by the graph automorphism of \(\Gamma_X\) induced by conjugation by \(\Delta_X\); choose \(x_1 = s_2\) (hence \(x_2 = s_3\)).

Take \(g = \chi_1 \chi_3 \chi_2 = \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_3\) and \(h = \chi_4 \chi_3 \chi_2 = \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3\). We conclude exactly in the same way as in (a): \(g\) and \(h\) are conjugate in \(A_S\) but the only vertex \(t\) of \(\Gamma_X\) which is adjacent to \(Y = \text{Supp}(g)\) produces an adjacent ribbon \(r(t, Y)\) which normalizes \(A_Y\). Therefore \(g\) and \(h\) are not conjugate in \(A_X\).

(c) Suppose that \(A_X\) is of type \(E_7\) and \(A_S\) is of type \(E_8\). Here we have \(x_i = s_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq 7\).

Take \(g = \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \) and \(h = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7\); let \(Y = \text{Supp}(g)\) and \(Z = \text{Supp}(h)\).

We have \(Y = \{s_1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6\}\) and \(Z = \{s_2, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7\}\). The following product of ribbons conjugates \(\Sigma_Y\) to \(\Sigma_Z\) (and \(g\) to \(h\)) in \(A_S\):
However, a conjugation in $A_X$ by a sequence of adjacent ribbons never takes $\Sigma_Y = \{\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_4, \chi_5, \chi_6\}$ to $\Sigma_Z = \{\chi_2, \chi_4, \chi_5, \chi_6, \chi_7\}$, as shows the following picture:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma_Y \\
\text{r}(x_7, Y) \\
\text{r}(x_2, Y) \\
\Sigma_{Y_2} = \{\chi_3, \chi_4, \chi_5, \chi_6, \chi_7\} \\
\text{r}(x_1, Y_2) \\
\text{r}(x_2, Y)
\end{array}
\]

Hence, by Lemma 5 $g$ and $h$ are not conjugates in $A_X$.

(d) Suppose that $A_X$ is of type $D_{2k}$. There exists $X \subseteq X' \subseteq S$ so that $A_{X'}$ is of type $D_{2k+1}$ and we can assume that $A_S$ is of type $D_{2k+1}$. We have $x_1 = s_1$ or $x_2 = s_2$ or $s_1$ and $x_1 = s_1$ for $3 \leq i \leq 2k$; these two possible embeddings differ by post-composing by the graph automorphism of $\Gamma_S$ induced by conjugation by $\Delta_S$; choose $x_1 = s_1$ (hence $x_2 = s_2$). Let $\Sigma_Y = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{2k}\}$ and $\Sigma_Z = \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{2k}\}$.

The product of adjacent ribbons $r_1 = r(s_{2k+1}, Y)$ and $r(s_2, Y')$ conjugates $\Sigma_Y$ to $\Sigma_Z$ in $A_S$; it also conjugates the element $\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{2k}$ to $\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{2k}$. However, due to Lemma 5 these two elements are not conjugate inside $A_X$ because the adjacent ribbon $r(x_2, \Sigma_Y)$ (where $x_2$ is the only vertex of $\Gamma_X$ adjacent to $\{x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_{2k}\}$) normalizes $A_{\{x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_{2k}\}}$.

(e) Suppose that $A_X$ is of type $H_3$ and $A_S$ is of type $H_4$. There is only one possible embedding and for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have $x_i = s_i$. We are going to prove that $\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_3$ and $\sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_1$ are conjugate in $A_S$ but not in $A_X$. One can easily verify that conjugation by

\[
r(s_4, \{s_1, s_3\}) \cdot r(s_2, \{s_1, s_4\}) \cdot r(s_1, \{s_2, s_4\}) \cdot r(s_3, \{s_1, s_4\})
\]

permutes $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ and hence conjugates $\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_3$ to $\sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_1$.

However Lemma 5 shows that they are not conjugate in $A_X$ because the adjacent ribbon $r(x_2, \{x_1, x_3\})$ commutes with $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

**Remark 4.**
- *A posteriori, we have shown that, when $A_S$ is an Artin-Tits group of spherical type and $\emptyset \neq X \subset S$, $A_X$ is conjugacy stable in $A_S$ if and only if $(W_X, W_S)$ satisfies Property $\star_W$*. See also Remark 5.

  - Under the same hypothesis, the above two equivalent properties are strictly stronger than conjugacy stability of $W_X$ in $W_S$. Indeed, it is easy to check that the Coxeter group of type $H_3$ is conjugacy stable in the Coxeter group of type $H_4$ although Property $\star_W$ fails.

**Funding**

This work was supported by Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico [Iniciación 11140090 to M.Ca. and B.C.dIC.; Regular 1190335 to M.Ca.]; Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica [PIA ACTI415 to M.Ca., PAI 79160023 to M.Ca.]; Ministerio Español de Ciencia y Competitividad [MTM2016-76453-C2-I-P to M.Ca. and M.Cu.]; Junta de Andalucía [FQM-218 to M.Ca. and M.Cu.]; Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional to M. Ca and M.Cu.; and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología [Convocatoria de Investigación Científica Básica 2016, Referencia: 284621 to B. C. dIC.]

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is very grateful to Ivan Marin for suggesting the problem under study during the Conference SUMA 2016 in Valparaíso, Chile. He also thanks Jesús Juyumaya for showing him Geck-Pfeiffer’s book. All three authors thank Luis Paris for his interest and comments on the problem.
References


