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Abstract. Chebotarëv’s theorem says that every minor of a discrete Fourier
matrix of prime order is nonzero. We prove a generalization of this result
that includes analogues for discrete cosine and discrete sine matrices as spe-
cial cases. We then establish a generalization of the Biró–Meshulam–Tao un-
certainty principle to functions with symmetries that arise from certain group
actions, with some of the simplest examples being even and odd functions. We
show that our result is best possible and in some cases is stronger than that of
Biró–Meshulam–Tao. Some of these results hold in certain circumstances for
non-prime fields; Gauss sums play a central role in such investigations.

1. Introduction

Chebotarëv’s theorem says that every minor of a discrete Fourier matrix of prime
order is nonzero; see [4, 6, 8, 9, 16, 18–20]. In 2005, Terence Tao provided a new
proof of Chebotarëv’s theorem and obtained an improved uncertainty principle for
complex-valued functions on prime fields [20]. This lower bound on the sum of the
size of the support of a function and the size of the support of its Fourier transform
was also independently discovered by András Biró [2] and Roy Meshulam [13] (see [8]
and [20, p. 122] for details about the provenance of the result).

It is common to apply the Fourier transform to functions that exhibit some
symmetry, for example, even or odd functions. We show that the lower bound in
the Biró–Meshulam–Tao principle can be strengthened for these, and much more
generally, for functions with symmetries arising from certain group actions. We
prove broad generalizations of Chebotarëv’s theorem and the Biró–Meshulam–Tao
principle, which yield uncertainty bounds that are best possible for the class of
functions with the specified symmetry, and sometimes stronger than those provided
by Biró–Meshulam–Tao. Moreover, our explorations in the case of non-prime fields
reveal interesting phenomena that are worthy of further study (see Problem 5.13).
1.1. **Nonvanishing minors and Chebotarèv’s theorem.** A square matrix has the nonvanishing minors property if each minor of the matrix is nonzero. We do not restrict our attention to principal minors, that is, we permit the removal of any $k$ distinct rows and any $k$ distinct columns. We consider the determinant of the original matrix itself as one of its minors.

The $n \times n$ matrix

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \cdots & \zeta^{n-1} \\ 1 & \zeta^2 & \zeta^4 & \cdots & \zeta^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \zeta^{n-1} & \zeta^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & \zeta^{(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix},$$

in which $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/n)$, is the discrete Fourier transform matrix (or Fourier matrix) of order $n$. It is symmetric, unitary, and satisfies $F_n^* F_n = I$.

If $n = rs$, in which $1 < r, s < n$, and if we index the rows and columns of $F_n$ from 0 to $n-1$, then the minor of $F_n$ that corresponds to rows $\{0, r\}$ and columns $\{0, s\}$ is zero since it is the determinant of the $2 \times 2$ all-ones matrix. On the other hand, Chebotarèv’s theorem tells us that no minor of $F_p$ vanishes if $p$ is prime.

**Theorem 1.1 (Chebotarèv).** $F_n$ has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $n$ is prime or $n = 1$.

This was first posed to Chebotarèv by Ostrovski, who was unable to find a proof; see [19] for Chebotarèv’s proof and historical background. Chebotarèv’s theorem was independently rediscovered by Dieudonné in 1970 [4]. Other proofs can be found in [4, 7–9, 16, 18].

One of our main results (Theorem 4.8) is a broad generalization of Chebotarèv’s theorem that encompasses several other familiar matrices as special cases. We defer the general result, which is stated in terms of a general class of symmetries based on group actions, until Section 4.2 and instead devote the following section to a few special cases with commonly encountered symmetries. An exploration of the situation for non-prime fields is contained in Section 5.

1.2. **Discrete cosine and sine transforms.** For odd $n$, the discrete cosine transform (DCT) matrix $C_n$ of modulus $n$ is the $\frac{n+1}{2} \times \frac{n+1}{2}$ matrix with rows and columns indexed from 0 to $(n-1)/2$ and whose entry in row $r$ and column $s$ is

$$C_{n,r,s} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1/n} & \text{if } r = s = 0, \\ \sqrt{2/n} & \text{if } r = 0 \text{ or } s = 0, \text{ but not both,} \\ 2 \cos(2\pi rs/n) / \sqrt{n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words,

$$C_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cos \frac{\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cdots & \cos \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{4\pi}{n} & \cdots & \cos \frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \cos \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n} & \cdots & \cos \frac{(n-1)^2\pi}{2n} \end{bmatrix}.$$
There are many variants of “the” discrete cosine transform matrix in the literature. The one selected above is natural from the perspective that it is real, symmetric, unitary, and satisfies $C_n^2 = I$. Discrete cosine transform matrices arise in many engineering and computer science applications, such as signal processing and image compression [10].

If $n$ is an odd composite number, we can write $n = rs$ with $1 < r, s \leq n/3 \leq (n - 1)/2$. Then the minor of $C_n$ corresponding to rows $\{0, r\}$ and columns $\{0, s\}$ is zero. Thus, if $C_n$ has the nonvanishing minors property, then $n$ is not composite. The converse is also true.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $n \geq 1$ be odd. The discrete cosine transform matrix $C_n$ has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $n$ is prime or $n = 1$.

This result arises as a special case of a much more general theorem (Theorem 4.8) concerning Fourier analysis of functions that respect certain group actions; see Remark 1.9. In some instances, generalizations of Theorem 4.8 are possible over non-prime fields, although the details are subtle; see Section 5.

Theorem 4.8 also applies to the discrete sine transform matrix. For odd $n \geq 3$, the discrete sine transform (DST) matrix $S_n$ of modulus $n$ is the $\frac{n-1}{2} \times \frac{n-1}{2}$ matrix with rows and columns indexed from 1 to $(n - 1)/2$ and whose entry in row $r$ and column $s$ is

$$\left( S_n \right)_{r,s} = \frac{2 \sin(2\pi rs/n)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$  (3)

In other words,

$$S_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} & \sin \frac{4\pi}{n} & \cdots & \sin \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} \\ \sin \frac{4\pi}{n} & \sin \frac{8\pi}{n} & \cdots & \sin \frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sin \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} & \sin \frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n} & \cdots & \sin \frac{(n-1)^2\pi}{2n} \end{bmatrix}.$$  (3)

This matrix is real, symmetric, unitary, and satisfies $S_n^2 = I$. If $n$ is an odd composite number, we can write $n = rs$ with $1 < r, s \leq n/3 \leq (n - 1)/2$. Then the $(r, s)$-entry of $S_n$ is zero. Thus, $n$ must be prime for $S_n$ to have the nonvanishing minors property. The converse is also true.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $n \geq 3$ be odd. The discrete sine transform matrix $S_n$ has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $n$ is prime.

1.3. **Uncertainty principles.** Let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the field of order $p$. Let $\text{supp}(f)$ denote the support of a function $f$, that is, the subset of the domain of $f$ on which $f$ does not vanish. We use $|\cdot|$ to denote the cardinality of a set. The Fourier transform of $f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ is the function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\hat{f}(a) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_p} f(b) \exp(2\pi iab/p).$$  (4)

In this context, the classical uncertainty principle states that

$$|\text{supp}(f)| \cdot |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq p$$  (5)

if $f \neq 0$ [5,20]. A remarkable improvement upon (5) is due, independently, to András Biró [2], Roy Meshulam [13], and Terence Tao [20] (see also [3,14,15]):
Theorem 1.4 (Biró–Meshulam–Tao). If $f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero, then
\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq p + 1. \] (6)

The crucial improvement over (5) is the additive nature of (6). Theorem 1.4 is best possible in the following sense. Given $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|S| + |T| \geq p + 1$, there is an $f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{supp}(f) = S$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) = T$ [20]. Chebotarëv’s theorem is at the heart of the proof.

The Biró–Meshulam–Tao uncertainty principle concerns generic functions from $\mathbb{F}_p$ to $\mathbb{C}$. One might hope to obtain stronger versions for functions that enjoy certain symmetries. As a consequence of our generalized Chebotarëv theorem (Theorem 1.8) we obtain stronger versions of Theorem 1.4 for functions that respect certain group actions. Moreover, our lower bounds are never inferior to those of Biró–Meshulam–Tao. We require a bit of notation before presenting these results.

As before, let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the field of order $p$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of the unit group $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ (denoted $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$) and let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character (a group homomorphism). A function $f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(hx) = \chi(h)f(x)$ for every $h \in H$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is called $\chi$-symmetric. Some simple examples follow.

- If $H = \{1\}$, then $\chi$ is trivial and every function from $\mathbb{F}_p$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is $\chi$-symmetric.
- If $p$ is an odd prime, $H = \{1, -1\}$, and $\chi$ is the trivial character (the constant function 1 on $H$), a $\chi$-symmetric function is one with $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, that is, an even function.
- If $p$ is an odd prime, $H = \{1, -1\}$, and $\chi$ is the character with $\chi(-1) = -1$, a $\chi$-symmetric function is one with $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, that is, an odd function.
- If $d|(p-1)$, $|H| = \frac{p-1}{d}$, and $\chi$ is the trivial character on $H$, then a $\chi$-symmetric function is one that is constant on each orbit in $\mathbb{F}_p$ under the action of multiplication by elements of the subgroup $H$. We call these orbits $H$-orbits; they are the cosets of $H$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and the singleton set $\{0\}$. An $H$-closed set is one that is a union of $H$-orbits.

We have the following uncertainty principle for $\chi$-symmetric functions, which is proved later as a special case of Theorem 6.5.

Theorem 1.5. Let $p$ be a prime, let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ is a $\chi$-symmetric function and $f \neq 0$.

(i) If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then
\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq |H| - 1. \]

(ii) If $\chi$ is trivial, then
\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq \begin{cases} p + 2|H| - 1 & \text{if } f(0) = 0 \text{ and } \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ p + |H| & \text{if } f(0) = 0 \text{ or } \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ p + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

Remark 1.6. Since $|H| \geq 2$ whenever $H$ admits a nontrivial character, our lower bounds are never worse than those of the Biró–Meshulam–Tao uncertainty principle (Theorem 1.4). We recover their result if $H = \{1\}$ and $\chi$ is the trivial character on $\mathbb{F}_p$.

The $\chi$-symmetry of the function $f$ in Theorem 1.5 implies that the supports of both $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are $H$-closed (that is, unions of $H$-orbits), and the orbit $\{0\}$...
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cannot be in the supports when \( \chi \) is nontrivial. (See Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.9 for proofs.) Thus when precisely one of \( f \) or \( \hat{f} \) vanishes at 0, we know that 

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \equiv 1 \pmod{|H|} \]

This can be combined with Theorem 1.4 to deduce the lower bound of \( p + |H| \) given as the second case of Theorem 1.4. Similarly, when both \( f \) and \( \hat{f} \) vanish at 0, we can deduce a lower bound of \( p + |H| - 1 \), which recapitulates Theorem 1.5. Theorem 1.5 is best possible.

Theorem 1.9. Let \( p \) be prime, let \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times \), and let \( \chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character.

We illustrate our uncertainty principle with some numerical examples.

Example 1.7. If \( p \) is an odd prime, \( f: \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C} \) is even, and \( f \neq 0 \), then

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq \begin{cases} p + 3 & \text{if } f(0) = \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ p + 2 & \text{if } f(0) = 0 \text{ or } \hat{f}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \]

Following the counting considerations discussed in Remark 1.6, the support of an even function \( f \) is even in size if \( f \) vanishes at 0, or odd in size if \( f \) does not vanish at 0, and the same principle applies to \( \hat{f} \). Thus, when precisely one of \( f \) or \( \hat{f} \) vanishes at 0, the sum of the sizes of their supports is odd, and so we can deduce the lower bound of \( p + 2 \) from Theorem 1.4 and this counting principle. But the same technique applied to the case when both \( f \) and \( \hat{f} \) vanish at 0 cannot be used to improve the bound of \( p + 1 \) given by Theorem 1.4.

The results of this paper give the strictly stronger bound of \( p + 3 \).

Example 1.8. Let \( p = 37 \) and let \( H < \mathbb{F}_p^\times \) have order 4. If \( \chi \) is the trivial character on \( H \), then \( f: \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C} \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric if and only if \( f \) is constant on each of the \( H \)-orbits

\[
\{0\}, \quad \{1, 6, 31, 36\}, \quad \{2, 12, 25, 35\}, \quad \{3, 18, 19, 34\}, \\
\{4, 13, 24, 33\}, \quad \{5, 7, 30, 32\}, \quad \{8, 11, 26, 29\}, \\
\{9, 17, 20, 28\}, \quad \{10, 14, 23, 27\}, \quad \{15, 16, 21, 22\},
\]

in \( \mathbb{F}_p \). In particular, these \( H \)-orbits reflect the multiplicative structure of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) rather than its additive structure. If \( f \neq 0 \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric, then

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq \begin{cases} 44 & \text{if } f(0) = \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ 41 & \text{if } f(0) = 0 \text{ or } \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ 38 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

The lower bound of 38 is what one obtains from Theorem 1.4. The lower bound of 41 when precisely one of \( f \) or \( \hat{f} \) vanishes at 0 can be obtained from Theorem 1.4 if one recognizes that \( |\text{supp}(f)| \) and \( |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \) modulo 4 are 0 and 1 (not necessarily in that order) by the counting principle discussed in Remark 1.6. When both \( f \) and \( \hat{f} \) vanish at 0, the same principle could be used to improve the lower bound of Theorem 1.4 to 40, but not to 44, which is given by the results of this paper.

Recall from Remark 1.9 that if \( f: \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C} \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric for some character \( \chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \), then \( \text{supp}(f) \) and \( \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \) are \( H \)-closed (see Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.9). The following result, which is a special case of Theorem 6.9, shows that Theorem 1.5 is best possible.

Theorem 1.9. Let \( p \) be prime, let \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times \), and let \( \chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character.
(i) If \( \chi \) is nontrivial, then for any \( H \)-closed subsets \( A \) and \( B \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p^\times \) with
\[
|A| + |B| \geq p + |H| - 1,
\]
there is a \( \chi \)-symmetric \( f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{supp}(f) = A \) and \( \text{supp}(\hat{f}) = B \).

(ii) If \( \chi \) is trivial and \( A \) and \( B \) are \( H \)-closed subsets of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) with
\[
|A| + |B| \geq \begin{cases} 
p + 2|H| - 1 & \text{if } 0 \text{ is in neither } A \text{ nor } B, 
p + |H| & \text{if } 0 \text{ is in precisely one of } A \text{ or } B, 
p + 1 & \text{if } 0 \text{ is in both } A \text{ and } B, \end{cases}
\]
then there is a \( \chi \)-symmetric \( f : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{supp}(f) = A \) and \( \text{supp}(\hat{f}) = B \).

Tao [20] used the uncertainty theorem of Theorem 1.4 to obtain a novel proof of the Cauchy–Davenport theorem, a seminal result in additive combinatorics [21]. In some cases we can strengthen this theorem; see Section 6.4.

1.4. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we establish some notation and review Fourier analysis on finite fields. In Section 3 we investigate \( \chi \)-symmetry, which generalizes the underlying symmetry of the discrete cosine and sine transform matrices. In Section 4 we define a class of matrices for which a Chebotarëv-type theorem holds. We also study analogues for non-prime finite fields. In Section 5 we find (see Theorem 5.1) that if our group \( H \) lies in a proper subfield, then the associated matrix does not have the nonvanishing minors property. This is always the case when \( H = \{-1, 1\} \) in a non-prime field, so the analogues of the discrete cosine and sine transform matrices have vanishing minors. But we also find scenarios over non-prime fields that give rise to matrices with the nonvanishing minors property. We pose an open question (Problem 5.13) that asks for the precise condition needed to obtain the nonvanishing minors property over a general finite field. In Section 6 we prove our generalization (Theorem 6.5, which specializes to Theorem 1.9 above) of the Biró–Meshulam–Tao uncertainty principle. We also show that these results are best possible (in Theorem 6.9, which specializes to Theorem 1.9 above). We close with a discussion of the Cauchy–Davenport theorem.

2. Preliminaries

If \( A \) and \( B \) are sets, then \( B^A \) denotes the set of all functions from \( A \) into \( B \). If \( B \) has a zero element and \( f \in B^A \), then the support of \( f \) is
\[
\text{supp}(f) = \{ a \in A : f(a) \neq 0 \}.
\]
The remainder of this section discusses the additive characters of finite fields and the discrete Fourier transform over finite fields that arises from them.

2.1. Finite fields and additive characters. Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) denote the finite field of order \( q \). An additive character of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is a group homomorphism from the additive group \( \mathbb{F}_q \) into the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{C}^\times \). The absolute trace \( \text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_p \) from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) to its prime subfield \( \mathbb{F}_p \) is
\[
\text{Tr}(x) = x + x^p + x^{p^2} + \cdots + x^{q/p}.
\]
The canonical additive character of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is the function \( \varepsilon : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) defined by
\[
\varepsilon(x) = e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(x)/p}.
\]
If \( \psi : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) is an additive character and \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \), define \( \psi_a : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) by
\[
\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax).
\]
Then \( \psi_a \) is an additive character and \( \psi_1 = \psi \). Thus, \( \varepsilon_1 \) is the canonical additive character and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the trivial character, which maps everything to 1. Then
\[
\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q = \{ \varepsilon_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_q \}
\]
is the group of additive characters from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) into \( \mathbb{C}^\times \). The map \( a \mapsto \varepsilon_a \) is a group isomorphism from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (under addition) to \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \) (under pointwise multiplication).

If \( S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \), then \( \varepsilon_S = \{ \varepsilon_s : s \in S \} \) is a subset of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \) that contains precisely \( |S| \) characters. In particular, \( \varepsilon_{\mathbb{F}_q} = \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \).

\[\text{2.2. Group ring.}\] Consider the group ring \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \), whose elements we write as
\[
f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[a].
\]
We use brackets to distinguish elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( \mathbb{C} \) when these have the same appearance (e.g., \( 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( 0 \in \mathbb{C} \)). If \( f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[a] \) and \( g = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} g_a[a] \) are in \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \), then \( fg = h = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} h_a[a] \), in which the coefficients
\[
h_a = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_b g_a - b
\]
are obtained by convolution. Observe that \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra that contains \( \{ c[0] : c \in \mathbb{C} \} \) as an isomorphic copy of \( \mathbb{C} \). One can regard each \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) as a function \( F : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) by the formula \( F(a) = f_a \). In this context, \([7]\) suggests the definition
\[
\text{supp}(f) = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q : f_a \neq 0 \}.
\]
We apply an additive character \( \psi : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) to \([9]\) by linear extension, that is,
\[
\psi(f) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a \psi(a).
\]

\[\text{2.3. Fourier transform.}\] We shall require a more technical definition of \([4]\) that works for all finite fields (not just those of prime order). The Fourier transform of \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) is the function \( \hat{f} \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{F}_q \) defined by
\[
\hat{f}(\psi) = \psi(f) \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q.
\]
This induces an isomorphism
\[
\hat{} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \to \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{F}_q, \quad f \mapsto \hat{f}
\]
of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras, in which \( \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{F}_q \) is equipped with pointwise multiplication. The inverse Fourier transform is defined by
\[
f_a = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q} \overline{\psi(a)} \hat{f}(\psi).
\]

The preceding definitions emphasize the difference between the operations on the domain (convolution) and codomain (pointwise multiplication). Some readers may prefer to use the same domain and codomain (regarded as vector spaces) with the different multiplications only implicitly acknowledged. We adopted this notation...
in Section 1.3 for the sake of simplicity. We offer the following translation between the two perspectives.

- The domain of the Fourier transform can be regarded as \( \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \) rather than \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) by applying the \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space isomorphism that takes the group algebra element \( f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[a] \) to the function \( F: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( F(a) = f_a \) for every \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \).
- The codomain of the Fourier transform can be regarded as \( \mathbb{C}^{\hat{\mathbb{F}_q}} \) rather than \( \mathbb{C}^{\hat{\mathbb{F}_q}} \) by applying the \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space isomorphism that takes \( g: \hat{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \mathbb{C} \) to the function \( G: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( G(a) = g(\varepsilon_a) \) for every \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \).

Then the Fourier transform of \( F: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) is the function \( \hat{F}: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) defined by

\[
\hat{F}(a) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} F(b) \varepsilon_a(b) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} F(b) \varepsilon(ab)
\]

for every \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \). If \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is the prime field \( \mathbb{F}_p \), then

\[
\hat{F}(a) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_p} F(b) \exp(2\pi iab/p)
\]

for every \( a \in \mathbb{F}_p \). This is the formula (1) from Section 1.3.

3. \( \chi \)-Symmetry

In this section we introduce the notion of \( \chi \)-symmetry, which characterizes the functions used to form the discrete cosine matrix (2), discrete sine matrix (3), and their relatives. We then produce bases for the subspaces of \( \chi \)-symmetric elements and their Fourier transforms. This permits us to define a general class of matrices that enjoy the nonvanishing minors property (Section 1).

3.1. Multiplication action. If \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), then \( H \) acts on \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and on \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) by multiplication:

\[
h \cdot a = ha, \quad \text{for } h \in H, a \in \mathbb{F}_q.
\]

The \( H \)-orbit of \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \) is

\[
Ha = \{ ha : h \in H \}.
\]

If \( a \neq 0 \), then the preceding is the \( H \)-coset in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) that contains \( a \). Consequently, the \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) are the \( H \)-cosets that comprise the quotient group \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times /H \). The \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) are those of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) along with \( H0 = \{0\} \). An \( H \)-closed subset of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is one that is closed under the action of \( H \), that is, a union of \( H \)-orbits.

We extend the action of \( H \) to elements (1) of \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) by as follows:

\[
h \cdot f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[ha]. \quad (13)
\]

The dot distinguishes this from the group ring product \( [h]f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[h+a] \).

Similarly, \( H \) acts on \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \) via

\[
h \cdot \psi = \psi_h,
\]

in which \( \psi_h \) is defined by (3). The \( H \)-orbits of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \) are the sets \( \varepsilon_{Ha} \) for \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Thus, the set of nontrivial characters is partitioned into orbits of \( |H| \) characters each. The trivial character, \( \varepsilon_0 \), occupies its own orbit. An \( H \)-closed subset of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \) is one that is closed under the action of \( H \), that is, a union of \( H \)-orbits.
3.2. **Characters of subgroups of** $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ **and** $\chi$-**symmetry.** A **character** of $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a group homomorphism $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. In particular, $\chi$ determines $H$ since the domain of a function is part of its definition. The set of all characters of $H$ is a group under pointwise multiplication. It is isomorphic to $H$ and contains the **trivial character**, which maps every element in $H$ to 1, as its identity element.

Suppose that $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character. Then $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ is $\chi$-**symmetric** if

$$\chi(h)h \cdot f = f \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

In light of (13), $f$ is $\chi$-symmetric if and only if

$$f_{ha} = \chi(h)f_a \quad \text{for all } h \in H \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$ 

For the rest of this paper, we use $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ to denote the set of all $\chi$-symmetric elements in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ when $\chi$ is a character of some subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. The following is a consequence of commutativity and the distributive law in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$.

**Lemma 3.1.** If $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\chi$ is a character of $H$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ of all $\chi$-symmetric elements in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$.

This kind of symmetry is also respected by convolution in the following sense.

**Lemma 3.2.** If $\varphi$ and $\chi$ are characters from $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ into $\mathbb{C}^\times$, if $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ is $\varphi$-**symmetric**, and if $g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ is $\chi$-**symmetric**, then $fg$ is $\varphi \chi$-**symmetric**.

**Proof.** For any $h \in H$,

$$(\varphi \chi)(h)h \cdot (fg) = \varphi(h)\chi(h) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (fg)_a[ha] \quad \text{by (13)}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} (\varphi(h)f_b)(\chi(h)g_{a-b})[ha] \quad \text{by (11)}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} (\varphi(h)h \cdot f)_{ha}(\chi(h)h \cdot g)_{h(a-b)}[ha] \quad \text{by (13)}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_{ha}g_{h(a-b)}[ha] \quad \text{by (14)}$$

$$= \sum_{c,d \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_{d}g_{c-d}[c] \quad \text{since } h \neq 0$$

$$= fg. \quad \square$$

We next show that a $\chi$-symmetric element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ has a constrained support.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, let $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character, and let $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ be $\chi$-symmetric. Then $\text{supp}(f)$ is $H$-**closed** and, if $\chi$ is nontrivial, $0 \notin \text{supp}(f)$.

**Proof.** Since $f_{ha} = \chi(h)f_a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\chi(h) \neq 0$ for every $h \in H$, we see that $\text{supp}(f)$ is $H$-closed. If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then there is an $h \in H$ with $\chi(h) \neq 1$. Consequently, $f_0 = f_{h0} = \chi(h)f_0$ and hence $f_0 = 0$. \hfill \square

We now consider some examples of $\chi$-symmetry that encompass several familiar types of functions (e.g., even and odd functions).

**Example 3.4.** If $H = \{1\}$ and $\chi$ is the trivial character, then every element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ is $\chi$-symmetric.
Example 3.5 (even element). Suppose that \( q \) is odd, \( H = \{1, -1\} \), and \( \chi \) is the trivial character. Then \( f \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric if and only if \( f_{-a} = f_a \) for every \( a \in F_q \), that is, \( f \) is even. Lemma 3.3 implies that the product of two even elements is even.

Example 3.6 (odd element). Suppose that \( q \) is odd, \( H = \{1, -1\} \), and \( \chi \) is the character of \( H \) with \( \chi(-1) = -1 \). Then \( f \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric if and only if \( f_{-a} = -f_a \) for every \( a \in F_q \), that is, \( f \) is odd. Moreover, Lemma 3.3 ensures \( f_0 = 0 \) since \( \chi \) is nontrivial. The product of two odd elements is even by Lemma 3.2.

Example 3.7. Suppose that \( 3|q - 1 \) and \( H = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\} \), in which \( \omega \) is a primitive third root of unity in \( \overline{F}_q \). Let \( \chi \) be the character of \( H \) with \( \chi(\omega) = \zeta_3 = e^{2\pi i/3} \). Then \( f \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric if and only if \( f_{j\omega^a} = \zeta_3^j f_a \) for every \( j \). Since \( \chi \) is nontrivial, Lemma 3.3 tells us that an element with this symmetry has \( f_0 = 0 \).

3.3. Fourier characterization of \( \chi \)-symmetry. We now show that \( \chi \)-symmetry has a dual characterization in the Fourier domain.

Lemma 3.8 (Fourier characterization of \( \chi \)-symmetry). Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( \overline{F}_q^\times \) and \( \chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character. Then \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q] \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric if and only if

\[
\chi(h)f(\psi_h) = \hat{f}(\psi) \quad \text{for all } h \in H \text{ and } \psi \in \overline{F}_q.
\]

Proof. If \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q], \psi \in \overline{F}_q, \) and \( h \in H \), then \( \chi(h)f(\psi_h) = \psi(\chi(h)h \cdot f) \) by (12), (6), and (11). If \( f \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric, then (13) and (12) ensure that the final expression becomes \( \psi(f) = \hat{f}(\psi) \), thus proving (15). Conversely, if we assume (15), then the above calculation shows that \( \psi(\chi(h)h \cdot f) = \hat{f}(\psi) = \psi(f) \) for every \( \psi \in \overline{F}_q \) and \( h \in H \). Since \( \chi(h)h \cdot f \) and \( f \) have the same Fourier transform for every \( h \in H \), the invertibility of the Fourier transform implies that \( \chi(h)h \cdot f = f \) for every \( h \in H \), that is, \( f \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric. \( \square \)

We observe that \( \chi \)-symmetry imposes constraints on the support of the Fourier transform of an element of \( \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q] \). This is the Fourier analogue of Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.9. Let \( H \leq \overline{F}_q^\times \), let \( \chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character, and let \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q] \) be \( \chi \)-symmetric. Then \( \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \) is \( H \)-closed and, if \( \chi \) is nontrivial, \( \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0 \).

Proof. Lemma 3.8 ensures that \( \chi(h)f(\psi_h) = \hat{f}(\psi) \) for \( h \in H \) and \( \psi \in \overline{F}_q \). Since \( \chi(h) \neq 0 \), we see that \( \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \) is \( H \)-closed. If \( \chi \) is nontrivial, then there is an \( h \in H \) with \( \chi(h) \neq 1 \). Consequently, \( \chi(h)f(\varepsilon_0) = \chi(h)\hat{f}(\varepsilon_h) = \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) \), and hence \( \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0 \). \( \square \)

Corollary 3.10. Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( \overline{F}_q^\times \), let \( \chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character, and let \( \mathcal{F}_\chi \) denote the set of \( \chi \)-symmetric elements in \( \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q] \). Let \( S \) be a set of representatives of the \( H \)-orbits of \( \overline{F}_q \) (if \( \chi \) is trivial) or of \( \overline{F}_q^\times \) (if \( \chi \) is nontrivial). If \( f \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{F}_q] \) is \( \chi \)-symmetric, then \( f \) is uniquely determined by the values \( \hat{f}(\varepsilon_s) \) as \( s \) runs through \( S \). That is, the map

\[
f \mapsto \hat{f}|_S
\]

from \( \mathcal{F}_\chi \) to \( \mathbb{C}^\times S \) is injective.

Proof. Given \( \hat{f}|_S \), Corollary 3.9 enables us to reconstruct \( \hat{f}|_{\varepsilon_s,0} \) (apply the corollary if \( \chi \) is nontrivial; \( S \) already contains \( 0 \) if \( \chi \) is trivial). Since \( S \cup \{0\} \) is a set of representatives of the \( H \)-orbits of \( \overline{F}_q \), Lemma 3.3 shows that the value \( \hat{f}(\varepsilon_t) \) for
some $t \in S \cup \{0\}$ determines $\hat{f}(\varepsilon_ht)$ for every $h \in H$. Thus, $\hat{f}(\varepsilon_a)$ is determined for every $a \in F_q$; that is, we can reconstruct the Fourier transform of $f$. The invertibility of the Fourier transform ensures that we can reconstruct $f$. \hfill \Box

In fact, the map (16) is bijective; this is Proposition 3.17 below.

3.4. Basis for the space $F_\chi$ of $\chi$-symmetric elements. Let $H \leq F_q^\times$ and let $\chi: H \to C^\times$ be a character. For each $a \in F_q$, define

$$u_{\chi,a} = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)[ha] \in C[F_q].$$

(17)

These are convenient $\chi$-symmetric elements that we shall use to construct certain matrices later on.

Example 3.11. Suppose that $H = \{1\}$ is the trivial group and $\chi: H \to C^\times$ is the trivial character. Then $u_{\chi,a} = [a]$ for each $a \in F_q$.

Example 3.12. Let $q$ be odd, $H = \{1, -1\}$, and $\chi: H \to C^\times$ be the trivial character. For each $a \in F_q$, we have $u_{\chi,a} = [a] + [-a]$, which is even in the sense of Example 3.5. In particular, $u_{\chi,0} = 0$.

Example 3.13. Let $q$ be odd, $H = \{1, -1\}$, and $\chi: H \to C^\times$ be the character with $\chi(-1) = -1$. For each $a \in F_q$, we have $u_{\chi,a} = [a] - [-a]$, which is odd in the sense of Example 3.6. In particular, $u_{\chi,0} = 0$.

Example 3.14. Let $3|(q - 1)$ and $H = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$, in which $\omega$ is a primitive third root of unity in $F_q^\times$. If $\chi: H \to C^\times$ is the character with $\chi(\omega) = \zeta_3 = e^{2\pi i/3}$, then

$$u_{\chi,a} = [a] + \zeta_3[\omega a] + \zeta_3^2[\omega^2 a]$$

for each $a \in F_q$. In particular, $u_{\chi,0} = 0$; see Example 3.7.

The following lemma explains the properties of the $u_{\chi,a}$ that we have observed.

Lemma 3.15. Let $H \leq F_q^\times$, let $\chi: H \to C^\times$ be a character, and let $a \in F_q$. Then

(i) $u_{\chi,a}$ is $\chi$-symmetric;

(ii) supp($u_{\chi,a}$) = $Ha$ if $\chi$ is trivial or $a \neq 0$;

(iii) $u_{\chi,0} = 0$ if $\chi$ is nontrivial.

Proof. If $a \in F_q$ and $g \in H$, then $u_{\chi,a}$ is $\chi$-symmetric since

$$\chi(g)g \cdot u_{\chi,a} = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(gh)[gha] \quad \text{by (17) and (13)}
= u_{\chi,a} \quad \text{since } g \in H, \text{ and by (17)}.$$

If $a \neq 0$, then the summands in (17) run through a set of nonzero $C$-scalar multiples of the elements of $Ha$. Consequently, supp($u_{\chi,a}$) = $Ha$. On the other hand $u_{\chi,0} = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)[0]$. If $\chi$ is trivial, this is $|H|[0]$, whose support is $\{0\} = H0$. If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then $\sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) = 0$ and hence $u_{\chi,0} = 0$. \hfill \Box

The fact that distinct $H$-orbits are disjoint leads to the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.16. Let $H \leq F_q^\times$, let $\chi: H \to C^\times$ be a character, and let $R$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $F_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $F_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Then $\{u_{\chi,r} : r \in R\}$ is a $C$-linearly independent subset of the $C$-vector subspace $F_\chi$ of $\chi$-symmetric elements of $C[F_q]$. 

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that $C$ is a $C$-vector space isomorphism. Since $H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the $C$-dimension of the vector spaces involved does not decrease. However, dim $\text{span}_C \{ u_{\chi,r} : r \in R \}$ by Corollary 3.10 and dim $C^\times = |S| = |S| = |R|$. Thus, all three spaces have dimension $|R|$ and hence both maps are $C$-vector space isomorphisms. Since $\{ u_{\chi,r} : r \in R \}$ is linearly independent (Corollary 3.16) and spans $\mathcal{F}_\chi$, it is a basis of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$. 

**Proof.** Recall from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ is $C$-vector space and consider the maps
\[
\text{span}_C \{ u_{\chi,r} : r \in R \} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\chi \rightarrow C^\times,
\]
in which $\hookrightarrow$ is the inclusion map (valid by Corollary 3.10) and the second map is $f \mapsto \hat{f}|_S$, which Corollary 3.10 ensures is injective. Both maps are injective, so the $C$-dimension of the vector spaces involved does not decrease. However, dim $\text{span}_C \{ u_{\chi,r} : r \in R \}$ by Corollary 3.10 and dim $C^\times = |S| = |S| = |R|$. Thus, all three spaces have dimension $|R|$ and hence both maps are $C$-vector space isomorphisms. Since $\{ u_{\chi,r} : r \in R \}$ is linearly independent (Corollary 3.16) and spans $\mathcal{F}_\chi$, it is a basis of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$. 

### 3.5. Basis for the space of Fourier transforms of $\chi$-symmetric elements.

We now introduce a natural basis for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\chi$, the space of Fourier transforms of $\chi$-symmetric elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$. If $\psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$, then we define $\delta_\psi \in C^\times$ by
\[
\delta_\psi(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi = \psi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]
for $\varphi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$. If $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character, then for any $\psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$, let
\[
v_{\chi,\psi} = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \delta_\psi h.
\]
These elements can be used to form a basis for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\chi$.

**Proposition 3.18.** Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, let $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character, and let $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ be the set of $\chi$-symmetric elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$, and let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\chi$ be the space of Fourier transforms of elements of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$. Let $S$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Then $\{ v_{\chi,r} : s \in S \}$ is a $C$-basis of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ (which is $|S|$-dimensional).

**Proof.** The set $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ is an $|S|$-dimensional $C$-vector space by Proposition 3.14. Since the Fourier transform is a $C$-vector space isomorphism, dim $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\chi = \dim \mathcal{F}_\chi$.

We claim that $v_{\chi,\psi} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\chi$ for every $\psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Suppose that $\varphi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is not in the $H$-orbit of $\psi$. Then $\varphi_h$ is not in the $H$-orbit of $\psi$ for all $h \in H$. Consequently,
\[
v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi) = v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi_h) = 0,
\]
and hence
\[
\chi(h)v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi_h) = v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi).
\]
On the other hand, if $\varphi$ is in the $H$-orbit of $\psi$, then we may write it as $\varphi = \psi_k$ for some $k \in H$. Then for each $h \in H$, this ensures that
\[
\chi(h)v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi_h) = \chi(h)v_{\chi,\psi}(\psi_k h) = \chi(h)v_{\chi,\psi}(\psi_k)
\]
by (19).
Since $\chi(h)v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi_h) = v_{\chi,\psi}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \hat{F}_q$ and $h \in H$, Lemma 3.8 implies that $v_{\chi,\psi} \in \hat{F}_X$. Next observe that

$$\text{supp}(v_{\chi,\epsilon_s}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{Hs} & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ \varepsilon_{\{0\}} & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \chi \text{ is trivial,} \\ \emptyset & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \chi \text{ is nontrivial,} \end{cases}$$

in which the final case is evaluated from (19) and the fact that $\chi$ is nontrivial. The disjointness of supports and nonvanishing except in the final case makes $\{v_{\chi,\epsilon_s} : s \in S\}$ a $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent set of size $|S|$ in $\hat{F}_X$, hence a basis of $\hat{F}_X$. $\square$

4. COMpressed FOURIER TRANSFORM

Here we use the $\chi$-symmetry introduced in Section 3 to define a class of matrices that includes (up to scaling of rows and columns) the discrete Fourier transform matrix (1), the discrete cosine transform matrix (2), and the discrete sine transform matrix (3) discussed in Section 1. When the finite field underlying the $\chi$-symmetry is a prime field, our matrices enjoy the nonvanishing minors property (Theorem 4.8), which implies Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. If the underlying field is not a prime field, there are cases in which our matrices have the nonvanishing minors property and other cases in which they do not; we leave our exploration of the non-prime context to Section 5.

4.1. Compressed Fourier transform and its matrices. Suppose that $H \leq F_q^\times$ and $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character. Let $S$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $F_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $F_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Recall that $F_X$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of $\chi$-symmetric elements in $\mathbb{C}[F_q]$. Proposition 3.17 ensures that the linear map

$$f \mapsto f|_{\epsilon_S}$$

from $F_X$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_S}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space isomorphism. We call this the $(\chi, S)$-compressed Fourier transform.

Let $R$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $F_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $F_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial) and define the functions $u_{\chi,a}$ as in (17). Then $\{u_{\chi,r} : r \in R\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $F_X$ by Proposition 3.17. Define the functions $\delta_\psi$ as in (18), and note that $\{\delta_\psi : s \in S\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_S}$.

A square matrix whose rows and columns are indexed respectively by the sets $R$ and $S$ (endowed with some orderings), and whose $(r,s)$-entry is $\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r})$ is called a $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix. It is the matrix representation (with the matrix acting on row vectors on its left) of the $(\chi, S)$-compressed Fourier transform with respect to the basis $\{u_{\chi,r} : r \in R\}$ for the domain $F_X$ and the basis $\{\delta_\psi : s \in S\}$ for the codomain $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_S}$. A $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix has $(q-1)/|H|$ rows if $\chi$ is nontrivial, and $(q-1)/|H| + 1$ rows if $\chi$ is trivial. If $R = S$ and we order $R$ the same way for indexing both rows and columns, we call it a $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix.
Example 4.1 (DFT matrix). Let $p$ be an odd prime, let $H = \{1\}$ be the trivial subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and let $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the trivial character. Then $R = \mathbb{F}_p$ is a set of $H$-orbit representatives of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Every element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_p]$ is $\chi$-symmetric (see Example 3.3) and the elements $u_{\chi,r} = [r]$ (see Example 3.11) for $r \in R$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ by Proposition 3.17. Then for $0 \leq r, s \leq p - 1$, the corresponding $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has in its $r$th row and $s$th column the entry
\[ \varepsilon_s([r]) = \exp(2\pi irs/p). \]
If we scale each entry by $1/\sqrt{p}$, we obtain the discrete Fourier transform matrix \((1)\) of order $p$. Thus, the discrete Fourier transform matrix is the simplest example of an $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix.

Example 4.2 (DCT matrix). Let $p$ be an odd prime, let $H = \{-1, 1\} \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and let $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the trivial character. Let $R = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, (p - 1)/2\}$, which is a set of $H$-orbit representatives of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then the $\chi$-symmetric elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_p]$ are the even elements (see Example 3.5), and the elements $u_{\chi,r} = [r] + [-r]$ (see Example 3.12) for $r \in R$ form a basis of the space of even elements by Proposition 3.17. For $0 \leq r, s \leq (p - 1)/2$, a $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has in its $r$th row and $s$th column the entry
\[ \varepsilon_s([r] + [-r]) = 2\cos(2\pi rs/p). \]
If we scale rows with $r \neq 0$ by $1/\sqrt{p}$, and scale the row with $r = 0$ by $1/\sqrt{2p}$, and scale the column with $s \neq 0$ by $1/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain the matrix $C_p$ in \((2)\). Thus, the discrete cosine transform matrix has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if this $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has it.

Example 4.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime, let $H = \{-1, 1\} \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and let $\chi: H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character with $\chi(-1) = -1$. Let $R = \{1, 2, \ldots, (p - 1)/2\}$, which is a set of $H$-orbit representatives of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Then the $\chi$-symmetric elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_p]$ are the odd elements (see Example 3.6), and the elements $u_{\chi,r} = [r] - [-r]$ (see Example 3.13) for $r \in R$ form a basis of the space of odd elements by Proposition 3.17. For $1 \leq r, s \leq (p - 1)/2$, a $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has in its $r$th row and $s$th column the entry
\[ \varepsilon_s([r] - [-r]) = 2i\sin(2\pi rs/p). \]
If we scale each row by $-i/\sqrt{p}$, we obtain the matrix $S_p$ from \((3)\). So the discrete sine transform matrix has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if this $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has it.

Now we consider some basic properties of compressed Fourier matrices.

Lemma 4.4. A $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix is symmetric.

Proof. The $(r,s)$-entry of our matrix is
\[ \varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \varepsilon_s\left( \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) [hr] \right) = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \varepsilon_s(hr) = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \varepsilon(hrs), \]
which depends only on the product $rs$ of the indices. \qed
Lemma 4.5. Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character of $H$. Let $R, S, R', S'$ be sets of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times^2}$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). If $M$ is a $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix and $N$ is a $(\chi, R', S')$-compressed Fourier matrix, then one can transform $M$ into $N$ by applying a permutation of rows, and then scaling each row by a root of unity (possibly different for different rows), then applying a permutation of the columns, and then scaling each column by a root of unity (possibly different for different columns).

Proof. We begin by writing the elements of $R$ as $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$, the elements of $R'$ as $r'_1, r'_2, \ldots, r'_m$, and the elements of $S'$ as $s'_1, s'_2, \ldots, s'_m$, where the elements of each set are indexed in the order that they are used as indices of rows or columns for $M$ or $N$. Then there are permutations $\rho, \sigma$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m$ and $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m$ of $H$ such that $r'_j = g_j r_{\rho(j)}$ and $s'_k = h_k s_{\sigma(k)}$ for every $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then the $(j, k)$-entry of the $N$ is

$$\varepsilon_{s'_k}(u_{\chi, r'_j}) = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \varepsilon(hr'_j s'_k)$$

by (17)

$$= \sum_{h \in H} \chi(g_j h r_{\rho(j)} h_k s_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \sum_{g \in H} \chi(g_j g^{-1} h_k g r_{\rho(j)} h_k s_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \chi(g_j) \chi(h_k) \sum_{g \in H} \chi(g) \varepsilon(g r_{\rho(j)} s_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \chi(g_j) \chi(h_k) \varepsilon_{s_{\sigma(k)}}(u_{\chi, r_{\rho(j)}})$$

by (17),

which is $\chi(g_j) \chi(h_k)$ times the $(\rho(j), \sigma(k))$-entry of $M$. \hfill \Box

Corollary 4.6. Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character. Let $R, S, R', S'$ be sets of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times^2}$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). If $M$ is a $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix and $N$ is a $(\chi, R', S')$-compressed Fourier matrix, then $M$ has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $N$ does.

In view of Corollary 4.6, we see that the nonvanishing minors property for compressed Fourier matrices is independent of choice of representatives and how they are ordered to index the rows and columns of the matrix. So we simply say that the pair $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ itself has the nonvanishing minors property to mean that the $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrices with $R$ and $S$ sets of representatives of $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times^2}$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial) have the nonvanishing minors property.

4.2. Prime fields. We now show that compressed Fourier matrices have the nonvanishing minors property whenever the underlying finite field is of prime order. Our proof relies on Chebotarëv’s theorem (Theorem 10.1), an equivalent form of which we now state. The weight $\text{wt}(f)$ of a polynomial $f$ is the number of nonzero coefficients of $f$. Chebotarëv’s theorem is equivalent to the following statement [8][17].

Lemma 4.7. Let $p$ be prime and $f$ be a nonzero complex polynomial with $\deg f \leq p - 1$. If $f$ has $m$ different roots that are $p$th roots of unity, then $\text{wt}(f) > m$. 
We are now ready to prove that compressed Fourier matrices over prime fields enjoy the nonvanishing minors property.

**Theorem 4.8.** If $p$ is prime, $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character, then $(\mathbb{F}_p, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property. That is, every $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix over a prime field has the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** Let $R$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_p$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). By Corollary 4.6 it suffices to show that some $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has the nonvanishing minors property.

Suppose toward a contradiction that our $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix has a zero minor. Since Lemma 4.4 ensures that each $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix is symmetric, if we have a vanishing minor that involves column 0 but not row 0, we may consider the transpose instead and obtain a minor that involves row 0 but not column 0. Thus, we may assume that if column 0 is involved in our vanishing minor, then so is row 0.

Then there are $A, B \subseteq R$ with $|A| = |B|$ such that the minor corresponding to rows in $A$ and columns in $B$ vanishes. Thus, there are complex coefficients $(c_a)_{a \in A}$, at least one of which is nonzero, such that

$$\sum_{a \in A} c_a \varepsilon_b(u_{\chi, a}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$ 

The definition (17) of $u_{\chi, a}$ ensures that

$$\sum_{a \in A} c_a \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \varepsilon_b(ha) = 0, \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$ 

Let $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/p)$. The canonical additive character $\varepsilon : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{C}$ is $\varepsilon(x) = \zeta^x$, so

$$\sum_{a \in A} c_a \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \zeta^{bha} = 0, \quad \text{for all } b \in B. \quad (20)$$

If $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $\lambda(x)$ denote the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq \lambda(x) < p$ such that $\lambda(x) \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Then let

$$f(z) = \sum_{a \in A} c_a \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) z^{\lambda(ha)} \in \mathbb{C}[z], \quad (21)$$

which satisfies

$$\deg f < p \quad \text{and} \quad \wt(f) \leq |HA|,$$

in which $HA = \{ha : h \in H, a \in A\}$. Note that $f(z)$ is nonzero because at least one $c_a$ is nonzero, every $\chi(h)$ is nonzero, elements of $A$ represent distinct $H$-classes, and the only power of $z$ that can arise from more than one $(a, h)$ pair is $z^0$ (which only arises if $a = 0$, and this can only occur when $\chi$ is trivial, in which case the constant term in (21) is $c_0|H|$).

The set

$$U = \{\zeta^{hb} : h \in H, b \in B\}$$

contains $|HB|$ distinct $p$th roots of unity. If we take any $u \in U$, say $u = \zeta^g$ with $b \in B$ and $g \in H$, then

$$f(u) = \sum_{a \in A} c_a \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \zeta^{\lambda(ha)g} \quad \text{by (21)}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in A} c_a \sum_{j \in H} \chi(g^{-1}j) \zeta^{bja} \quad \text{since } \lambda(ha) \equiv ha \pmod{p} \text{ and } g \in H.$$
Thus, \( f(z) \) vanishes at \(|HB|\) distinct \( p \)th roots of unity.

If we can show that \(|HB| \geq |HA|\), then \( f \) will vanish at a number of \( p \)th roots of unity equal to or greater than its weight, which contradicts Lemma 4.4. This will show that our \((\chi, R)\)-compressed Fourier matrix has no vanishing minors. There are two cases to consider.

- If \( 0 \notin B \), then \(|HB| = |H||B|\) since \( B \) is a set of \( H \)-orbit representatives in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \). Because \(|A| = |B|\), it follows that \(|HB| = |H||A| \geq |HA|\).
- If \( 0 \in B \), then \( 0 \in A \) by our initial symmetry argument. Then \(|HA| = (|A| - 1)|H| + 1 \) since \( A \setminus \{0\} \) is a set of \( H \)-coset representatives in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \). Similarly, \(|HB| = (|B| - 1)|H| + 1 \) and hence \(|HB| = |HA|\) since \(|A| = |B|\).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.9.** In view of Examples 4.2 and 4.3, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are immediate corollaries of Theorem 4.8.

### 5. Non-prime fields

Theorem 4.8 completely addresses the nonvanishing minors property for prime fields. What happens if we move to non-prime fields? In this section we systematically investigate this question. We also pose, at the end, an open problem (Problem 5.13). If \( H \) is a subgroup of the unit group \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) of a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and \( \chi \) is a complex-valued character of \( H \), then recall from Section 4 that we say that \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property if the \((\chi, R, S)\)-compressed Fourier matrices have the nonvanishing minors property (which either holds for all of them or none of them, by Corollary 4.9).

#### 5.1. Vanishing minors

Suppose that \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is a finite field of characteristic \( p \) and order \( q = p^n \). An additive character of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) is of the form \( \varepsilon_a(x) = \exp(2\pi i \text{Tr}(ax)/p) \), in which \( \text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \) is the absolute trace, a \((q/p)\)-to-one function from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) onto \( \mathbb{F}_p \); see Section 2.1. If \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is not a prime field (i.e., if \( n > 1 \)), then the noninjectivity of the trace map makes the discrete Fourier transform matrix for \( \mathbb{F}_q \) not have the nonvanishing minors property. This is a consequence of a more general result, which we show first.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( F \) be a finite field, and let \( H \) be subgroup of \( F^\times \) such that \( H \) lies entirely within a proper subfield of \( F \). Let \( \chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \) be any character of \( H \). Then \((F,\chi)\) does not have the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** Let \( K \) be a proper subfield of \( F \) containing \( H \), and let \( \mathbb{F}_p \) be the prime subfield of \( F \). Then the absolute trace \( \text{Tr} \) is the composition \( \text{Tr}_{K/F_p} \circ \text{Tr}_{F/K} \), where \( \text{Tr}_{K/F_p} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \) is the absolute trace of \( K \) and \( \text{Tr}_{F/K} : F \rightarrow K \) is the relative trace from \( F \) to \( K \). Since \( \text{Tr}_{F/K} \) is a \((|F|/|K|)\)-to-1 surjective map from \( F \) to \( K \), let \( b \) be a nonzero element of \( F \) such that \( \text{Tr}_{F/K}(b) = 0 \). Then for any \( h \in H \), we have

\[
\text{Tr}(hb) = \text{Tr}_{K/F_p}(h \text{Tr}_{F/K}(b)) = 0,
\]

so that \( \varepsilon_1(x) = 1 \) for every \( x \in Hb \).

If \( \chi \) is the trivial character, and \( R \) and \( S \) are sets of representatives of \( H \)-orbits of \( F \), then let \( \{0, h'b\} \subseteq R \) and \( \{0, h''\} \subseteq S \) with \( h', h'' \in H \). Consider the functions
from (17). We have
\[ u_{\chi,0} = |H|[0] \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\chi,h'b} = \sum_{h \in H} [hb], \]
so that
\[ \varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,0}) = |H| \quad \text{for every } s \in S, \]
\[ \varepsilon_0(u_{\chi,h'b}) = |H|, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \varepsilon_{h''}(u_{\chi,h'b}) = |H| \quad \text{since } \varepsilon_1(x) = 1 \text{ for every } x \in Hb. \]
Thus, our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix has a 2 \times 2 submatrix corresponding to rows 0 and \(h'b\) and columns 0 and \(h''\) whose determinant vanishes.

If \(\chi\) is a nontrivial character, and \(R\) and \(S\) are sets of representatives of \(H\)-orbits of \(F\), then let \(h'b \in R\) and \(h'' \in S\) with \(h',h'' \in H\). Then consider the function
\[ u_{\chi,h'b} = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)[hh'b], \]
from (17). We have
\[ \varepsilon_{h''}(u_{\chi,h'b}) = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \quad \text{since } \varepsilon_1(x) = 1 \text{ for every } x \in Hb \]
\[ = 0 \quad \text{since } \chi \text{ is a nontrivial character of } H, \]
so our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix has a vanishing entry. \(\square\)

**Corollary 5.2.** Let \(F\) be a non-prime field, let \(H\) be the trivial subgroup of \(F^\times\), and let \(\chi\) be the trivial character of \(H\). Then \((F,\chi)\) does not have the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** The subgroup \(H\) lies in the prime subfield of \(F\), which is a proper subfield of \(F\) since \(F\) is not a prime field. So we may apply Theorem 5.1 \(\square\)

The following corollary says that the analogues of the discrete cosine transform matrix (when \(\chi\) is trivial) and the discrete sine transform matrix (when \(\chi\) is nontrivial) over non-prime fields lack the nonvanishing minors property.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \(F\) be a non-prime field of odd characteristic, let \(H = \{1,-1\}\), the unique subgroup of order 2 in \(F^\times\), and let \(\chi\) be any character of \(H\). Then \((F,\chi)\) does not have the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** The subgroup \(H\) lies in the prime subfield of \(F\), which is a proper subfield of \(F\) since \(F\) is not a prime field. So we may apply Theorem 5.1 \(\square\)

### 5.2. Gauss sums and matrix entries.

Since a subfield of a finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\) has at most \(\sqrt{q}\) elements, Theorem 5.1 considers subgroups that are small compared to the size of the field, with the extreme case \(H = \{1\}\) detailed in Corollary 5.2. We now look at what happens at the other extreme when \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\).

For any subgroup \(H\) of \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\), we let \(\hat{H}\) denote the group of multiplicative characters from \(H\) into \(\mathbb{C}^\times\): this is a cyclic group of order \(|H|\). Restriction of domains from \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\) to \(H\) gives a homomorphism of groups from \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\) to \(\hat{H}\), which is known to be surjective because each character of \(H\) can be extended to a character of \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\). Therefore each character in \(\hat{H}\) has \(|\mathbb{F}_q^\times : H|\) distinct extensions in \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\). More specifically, if \(\Theta\) is the
unique subgroup of order $|\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times : H|$ in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$, then the set of extensions in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ of any $\chi \in \hat{H}$ is a coset of $\Theta$ in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$. The identity element of $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ is written $\chi_0$ and called the trivial (or principal) character; it has $\chi_0(a) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

For any $\varphi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$, we define the Gauss sum

$$G(\varphi) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \varepsilon(a)\varphi(a).$$

One can show that $G(\chi_0) = -1$ and $|G(\varphi)| = \sqrt{q}$ when $\varphi \neq \chi_0$ [12, Theorem 5.11].

We first provide a lemma that will help us calculate the entries of $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrices.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be any finite field, let $m$ be a positive divisor of $q - 1$, and let

$$H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times m = \{a^m : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\},$$

the unique subgroup of index $m$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character of $H$, and let $X$ be the set of extensions of $\chi$ in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$. Let $R$ and $S$ be sets of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Then for any $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, the $(r,s)$-entry of our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \begin{cases} |H| & \text{if } rs = 0, \\ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi' \in X} \chi'(rs)G(\chi') & \text{if } rs \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let $\Theta$ be the unique subgroup of order $m$ in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$. For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, one can show that

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \theta(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi_1 \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ be any multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ that extends $\chi$. Given any $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, the $(r,s)$-entry of our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \varepsilon_s \left( \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)|hr| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)\varepsilon_s(hr)$$

$$= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \theta(a)\chi_1(a)\varepsilon_s(ar),$$

and we note that $\theta\chi_1$ runs through the set $X$ of extensions of $\chi$ in $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ as $\theta$ runs through $\Theta$, so we have

$$\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi' \in X} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi'(a)\varepsilon(rs).$$

If $rs \neq 0$, then we can reparameterize with $b = rsa$ to get

$$\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi' \in X} \chi'(rs) \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi'(b)\varepsilon(b).$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi' \in \mathcal{X}} \chi'(rs)G(\chi').$$

If \(rs = 0\), then \(\chi\) must be the trivial character of \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\), and so we can take \(\chi_1 = \chi_0\) in (22) to obtain

$$\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \theta(a).$$

For any \(\varphi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\), we know that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \varphi(a) = \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is trivial}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

so we see that if \(rs = 0\), then \(\varepsilon_s(u_{\chi,r}) = (q - 1)/m = |H|\).

Now we investigate the extreme case \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\) and find that, unlike the other extreme case when \(H = \{1\}\), every \((\chi,R)\)-compressed Fourier matrix has the non-vanishing minors property.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be any finite field, let \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\), and let \(\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\) be a character. Then \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** First suppose that \(\chi\) is the trivial character \(\chi_0\). We may take \(R = S = \{0, 1\}\) as our sets of \(H\)-orbit representatives of \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Then we apply Lemma 5.4 with \(X = \{\chi_0\}\). It tells us that our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 \\ q - 1 & G(\chi_0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 \\ q - 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has the nonvanishing minors property.

Now suppose that \(\chi\) is a nontrivial character. We may take \(R = S = \{1\}\) as our sets of \(H\)-orbit representatives of \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\). Then Lemma 5.4 with \(X = \{\chi\}\) shows that our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(\chi) \end{bmatrix},$$

which has the nonvanishing minors property since Gauss sums are nonzero.

Now we investigate the extreme case \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\) and find that, unlike the other extreme case when \(H = \{1\}\), every \((\chi,R)\)-compressed Fourier matrix has the non-vanishing minors property.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be any finite field, let \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\), and let \(\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\) be a character. Then \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** First suppose that \(\chi\) is the trivial character \(\chi_0\). We may take \(R = S = \{0, 1\}\) as our sets of \(H\)-orbit representatives of \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Then we apply Lemma 5.4 with \(X = \{\chi_0\}\). It tells us that our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 \\ q - 1 & G(\chi_0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 \\ q - 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has the nonvanishing minors property.

Now suppose that \(\chi\) is a nontrivial character. We may take \(R = S = \{1\}\) as our sets of \(H\)-orbit representatives of \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\). Then Lemma 5.4 with \(X = \{\chi\}\) shows that our \((\chi,R,S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(\chi) \end{bmatrix},$$

which has the nonvanishing minors property since Gauss sums are nonzero.

**5.3. Subgroups of index 2.** Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 5.5 deal with rather trivial extreme cases when \(H = \{1\}\) (in which case the matrix has a vanishing minor) and \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times\) (in which case the matrix does not have a vanishing minor). However, the question of what happens between these extremes is largely open. In this section and the next, we list some results for when the subgroup \(H\) is neither the trivial group nor the full multiplicative group of the field. If \(q\) is odd and \(H\) is the unique subgroup of index 2 in \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\), the following theorems tell us exactly when \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be any finite field with \(2 \mid (q - 1)\), let \(H = \mathbb{F}_q^{x2} = \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}\), the unique subgroup of index 2 in \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\). Let \(\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\) be the trivial character. Then \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property.

**Proof.** Let \(\alpha\) be a non-square in \(\mathbb{F}_q^\times\), and then we may use \(R = S = \{0, 1, \alpha\}\) as our sets of representatives of \(H\)-orbits in \(\mathbb{F}_q\). We invoke Lemma 5.4 with \(X = \{\chi_0, \eta\}\),
where $\eta$ is the quadratic character, to see that our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{q-1}{2} & \frac{q-1}{2} \\
\frac{q-1}{2} & \frac{G(\chi) - G(\eta)}{2} \\
\frac{q-1}{2} & \frac{G(\chi) + G(\eta)}{2}
\end{bmatrix},
$$

which is 1/2 times the matrix

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix}
q - 1 & q - 1 & q - 1 \\
q - 1 & -1 + G(\eta) & -1 - G(\eta) \\
q - 1 & -1 - G(\eta) & -1 + G(\eta)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

because $G(\chi_0) = -1$. So our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $M$ has it. We now examine the various minors of $M$:

- Since $|G(\eta)| = \sqrt{q} > 1$, no entry of $M$ is 0.
- The $2 \times 2$ submatrices of the form
  $$
  \begin{bmatrix}
  q - 1 & q - 1 \\
  q - 1 & -1 \pm G(\eta)
  \end{bmatrix}
  $$
  have nonzero determinant because $|G(\eta)| = \sqrt{q} < q$.
- The $2 \times 2$ submatrices that equal (up to transposition and permutation of rows and columns)
  $$
  \begin{bmatrix}
  q - 1 & q - 1 \\
  -1 + G(\eta) & -1 - G(\eta)
  \end{bmatrix}
  $$
  have nonzero determinant because $G(\eta) \neq 0$.
- The $2 \times 2$ submatrix
  $$
  \begin{bmatrix}
  -1 + G(\eta) & -1 - G(\eta) \\
  -1 - G(\eta) & -1 + G(\eta)
  \end{bmatrix}
  $$
  has determinant $-4G(\eta) \neq 0$.
- The full matrix $M$ has determinant $-4q(q - 1)G(\eta) \neq 0$.

Thus, $M$ has the nonvanishing minors property. \qed

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be any finite field with $2 \mid (q - 1)$, let $H = \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}$, the unique subgroup of index 2 in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a nontrivial character. Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if $G(\chi_1) \neq \pm G(\chi_2)$, where $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ are the two characters in $\hat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ that extend $\chi$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha$ be a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and then we may use $R = S = \{1, \alpha\}$ as our sets of representatives of $H$-orbits in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. We invoke Lemma 5.4 with $X = \{\chi_1, \chi_2\}$, which is a coset in $\hat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of the subgroup $\Theta = \{\chi_0, \eta\}$, where $\eta$ is the quadratic character. Therefore $\chi_2 = \eta\chi_1$ and so $\chi_2(\alpha) = -\chi_1(\alpha)$. Then we see that our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{G(\chi_1) + G(\chi_2)}{2} & \frac{\chi_1(\alpha)(G(\chi_1) - G(\chi_2))}{2} \\
\frac{\chi_1(\alpha)(G(\chi_1) - G(\chi_2))}{2} & \frac{-\chi_1(\alpha)(G(\chi_1) + G(\chi_2))}{2}
\end{bmatrix},
$$
and by scaling the second row and second column by $\chi_1(\alpha)$ and then scaling the whole matrix by 2, we obtain the matrix
\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
G(\chi_1) + G(\chi_2) & G(\chi_1) - G(\chi_2) \\
G(\chi_1) - G(\chi_2) & G(\chi_1) + G(\chi_2)
\end{bmatrix},
\]
which has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if our $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix does. We see that $\det M = 4G(\chi_1)G(\chi_2)$, which does not vanish, since the two Gauss sums in the product do not vanish. The $1 \times 1$ minors are all nonvanishing if and only if $G(\chi_1) \neq \pm G(\chi_2)$.

**Remark 5.8.** To make full use of Theorem 5.7, we would like to know precise conditions on $\chi$ such that $G(\chi_1) = \pm G(\chi_2)$, where $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ are the two extensions of our nontrivial character $\chi : \mathbb{F}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}$. This condition is often but not always met. For example, consider the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ where $q = \{8, 16\}$. From our table, we see that $G(\chi_1) = G(\chi_2)$ if and only if $j \in \{6, 15\}$. We also see that $G(\chi_1) = -G(\chi_2)$ if and only if $j \in \{6, 15\}$. Thus, Theorem 5.7 tells us that a $(\chi, R, S)$-compressed Fourier matrix has a vanishing minor if and only if $\chi$ is one of the four characters of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ whose order is 3 or 4.

**Table 1. Gauss Sums for $\mathbb{F}_p$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$j$</th>
<th>$G(\omega^j)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(1) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(1)) = -1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 12, or 20</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(5) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(5)) = -5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 or 16</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(-5) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(-5)) = 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(1 + 2\xi^6) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(1 - 2\xi^6)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(1 - 2\xi^6) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(-1 + 2\xi^6)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or 10</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(-2 + \xi^6) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(2 - \xi^6))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 or 22</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(-2 - \xi^6) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(2 + \xi^6)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 15</td>
<td>$((\xi + \xi^4)(-2 + \xi^6) + (\xi^2 + \xi^3)(1 + 2\xi^6))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 or 21</td>
<td>$((\xi - \xi^4)(-2 - \xi^6) + (\xi^2 - \xi^3)(1 - 2\xi^6)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 or 5</td>
<td>$((\xi - \xi^4)(1 + \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3) + (\xi^2 - \xi^3)(1 - \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 or 23</td>
<td>$((\xi - \xi^4)(1 + \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3) + (\xi^2 - \xi^3)(1 - \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 or 11</td>
<td>$(\xi - \xi^4)(1 - \xi^3 + \xi^6 + 2\xi^7) + (\xi^2 - \xi^3)(1 - \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 or 17</td>
<td>$(\xi - \xi^4)(1 - \xi^3 + \xi^6 - 2\xi^7) + (\xi^2 - \xi^3)(1 + \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3) = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Theorem 5.8** also has some interesting consequences for Gauss and Jacobi sums over prime fields.

**Corollary 5.9.** Let $p$ be an odd prime, let $\chi \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and let $\eta$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Then $G(\chi) \neq \pm G(\chi\eta)$.

**Proof.** This is clear if $\chi$ is either the trivial character $\chi_0$ or $\eta$ since $G(\chi_0) = -1$ and $|G(\eta)| = \sqrt{q}$, so we may assume $\chi \notin \{\chi_0, \eta\}$ henceforth. Let $H = \mathbb{F}_p^x$ and notice...
that \( \chi \) and \( \chi \eta \) restrict to the same nontrivial character on \( H \), which we shall call \( \chi' \). Then \( (\mathbb{F}_p, \chi') \) has the nonvanishing minors property by Theorem 4.8 and so by Theorem 5.8 we conclude that \( G(\chi) \neq \pm G(\chi \eta) \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 5.10.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime, let \( \eta \) be the quadratic character of \( \mathbb{F}_p^\times \), and let \( \chi \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \) with \( \chi \neq \chi_0, \eta \). Then the Jacobi sum
\[
J(\chi, \eta) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0, 1\}} \chi(a) \eta(1 - a)
\]
is not real if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), and is not pure imaginary if \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \).

**Proof.** By [12, Theorem 5.21], we have
\[
J(\chi, \eta) = \frac{G(\eta)G(\chi)}{G(\chi \eta)}.
\]

We know that \( G(\chi)/G(\eta \chi) \) is not real by Corollary 5.9, and we know that \( G(\eta) = \sqrt{p} \) if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( G(\eta) = i \sqrt{p} \) if \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) by [12, Theorem 5.15].

\[ \square \]

**Remark 5.11.** One can apply [11, Theorem 2.1.4] to see that Corollary 5.10 (which implies Corollary 5.9) is a consequence of a result of Evans [6, Corollary 8], who obtained his result by very different methods.

5.4. *Subgroups of larger index.* Having investigated subgroups of index 2 in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), we now consider subgroups of index 3. The details are correspondingly more complicated and suggest the difficulty of determining when \( (\mathbb{F}_q, \chi) \) has the nonvanishing minors property in general.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be any finite field of characteristic \( p \) with \( 3 \mid (q - 1) \), let \( H = \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 3} = \{a^3 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \} \), the unique subgroup of index 3 in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \). Let \( \chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be the trivial character. Then \( (\mathbb{F}_q, \chi) \) has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \).

**Proof.** Let the cubic characters in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) be denoted by \( \kappa \) and \( \tau = \kappa^2 \). Let \( \zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3) \). Let \( \alpha \) be an element of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) with \( \tau(\alpha) = \zeta_3 \). Then we may take \( R = S = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} \) as our sets of representatives of \( H \)-orbits in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \). We invoke Lemma 5.3 with \( X = \{\chi_0, \kappa, \tau\} \) to see that our \((\chi, R, S)\)-compressed Fourier matrix is
\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} \\
\frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} \\
\frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} \\
\frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3} & \frac{q-1}{3}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
which is 1/3 times the matrix
\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
q-1 & q-1 & q-1 & q-1 \\
q-1 & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) \\
q-1 & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) \\
q-1 & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa)
\end{bmatrix},
\]
because \( G(\chi_0) = -1 \) and \( G(\tau) = \kappa(-1)G(\kappa) = G(\kappa) \) by [12, Theorem 5.12(iii)] and the fact \( \kappa(-1) = 1 \) because \( -1 \) is a cube (of itself). Our compressed \((\chi, R, S)\)-Fourier matrix has the nonvanishing minors property if and only if \( M \) does.
Let $p$ be the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $q$ must be an even power of $p$ since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then by the Davenport-Hasse Theorem [12, Theorem 5.14] and a theorem of Stickelberger [12, Theorem 5.16], we know that $G(\kappa)$ is real. So the $2 \times 2$ submatrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
q-1 & 1 - 1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 \bar{G}(\kappa) \\
1 - 1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 \bar{G}(\kappa) & q-1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
has vanishing determinant.

Henceforth we assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. All of our Gauss sums lie in cyclotomic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, on which a $p$-adic valuation is defined. Stickelberger’s theorem on the $p$-adic valuations of Gauss sums [11, p. 6-7] tells us that the $p$-adic valuations of $G(\kappa)$ and $G(\bar{\kappa})$ are $[F_q : F_p]/3$ and $2[F_q : F_p]/3$, in some order, and recall that $G(\bar{\kappa}) = G(\kappa)$. We now examine the various minors of $M$:

- Because $G(\kappa)$ and $G(\bar{\kappa})$ have strictly positive $p$-adic valuations, every entry in $M$ has a $p$-adic valuation of 0 and is therefore nonzero.
- The $2 \times 2$ submatrices of the form
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
q-1 & 1 \\
q-1 & 1 + \alpha G(\kappa) + \bar{\alpha} \bar{G}(\kappa)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for some $\alpha \in \{1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$ have nonvanishing determinant because
\[
|\alpha G(\kappa) + \bar{\alpha} \bar{G}(\kappa)| \leq 2|G(\kappa)| = 2\sqrt{q} < q,
\]
since $q > 4$ (because $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$).
- The $2 \times 2$ submatrices that equal (up to transposition)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
q-1 & 1 \\
1 + \alpha G(\kappa) + \bar{\alpha} \bar{G}(\kappa) & q-1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
with $\alpha, \beta$ distinct elements of $\{1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$ have vanishing determinant if and only if
\[
\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\beta - \alpha} G(\kappa) = G(\kappa).
\]
Since conjugating a power of $\zeta_3$ is the same as squaring it, we would need
\[
-(\alpha + \beta) G(\kappa) = G(\kappa)
\]
for our determinant to vanish. If $\gamma$ is the complex third root of unity distinct from $\alpha$ and $\beta$, then $-(\alpha + \beta) = \gamma$, which has $p$-adic valuation of 0, and Stickelberger’s theorem assures us that the $p$-adic valuations of $G(\kappa)$ and its conjugate are different. Thus, the determinant of our $2 \times 2$ submatrix cannot be 0.
- Consider the $2 \times 2$ submatrices that equal
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 + \alpha G(\kappa) + \bar{\alpha} \bar{G}(\kappa) & q-1 \\
1 + \gamma G(\kappa) + \bar{\gamma} \bar{G}(\kappa) & 1 + \delta G(\kappa) + \bar{\delta} \bar{G}(\kappa)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta, \gamma$ and $\alpha \delta = \beta \gamma$. Then the determinant is
\[
(\alpha \bar{\delta} + \beta \bar{\gamma} - \bar{\beta} \gamma |G(\kappa)|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \left( (\beta + \gamma - \alpha - \delta)G(\kappa) \right),
\]
and as it turns out, $\alpha \delta + \pi \eta - \beta \gamma - \overline{\beta \gamma} \in \{\pm 3\}$ and $\beta + \gamma - \alpha - \delta$ must be 3 times a sixth root of unity, so that the determinant cannot be zero because $|G(\kappa)| = \sqrt{q} > 2$ since $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$.

- Now consider the $3 \times 3$ submatrices that equal
  
  $\begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 & q - 1 \\ q - 1 & -1 + \alpha G(\kappa) + \overline{\alpha G(\kappa)} & -1 + \beta G(\kappa) + \beta G(\kappa) \\ q - 1 & -1 + \gamma G(\kappa) + \gamma G(\kappa) & -1 + \delta G(\kappa) + \delta G(\kappa) \end{bmatrix}$,

  where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta, \gamma$ and $\alpha \delta = \beta \gamma$. Since $|G(\kappa)|^2 = q$, the determinant is $q(q - 1)$ times

  $(\alpha \delta + \pi \eta - \beta \gamma - \overline{\beta \gamma}) + 2 \Re((\beta + \gamma - \alpha - \delta)G(\kappa))$.

  In every case $(\alpha \delta + \pi \eta - \beta \gamma - \overline{\beta \gamma}) \in \{\pm 3\}$ has a $p$-adic valuation of 0 (since $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$). But Stickelberger’s theorem ensures that $G(\kappa)$ and its conjugate have positive $p$-adic valuations, so the determinant is not 0.

- Now consider the $3 \times 3$ submatrices that equal (up to transposition and permutation of rows and columns)

  $\begin{bmatrix} q - 1 & q - 1 & q - 1 \\ -1 + G(\kappa) + \overline{G(\kappa)} & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} \\ -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) \end{bmatrix}$.

  The determinant of this matrix is $-9(q - 1)|G(\kappa)|^2 \neq 0$.

- The $3 \times 3$ submatrix

  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} \\ -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) & -1 + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) \\ -1 + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} + \overline{\zeta_3 G(\kappa)} & -1 + G(\kappa) + G(\kappa) & -1 + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) + \zeta_3 G(\kappa) \end{bmatrix}$

  has determinant $27|G(\kappa)|^2 \neq 0$.

- Finally, the full $4 \times 4$ matrix $M$ has determinant is $27q(q - 1)|G(\kappa)|^2 \neq 0$. \(\blacksquare\)

By now it should be clear that many subtleties arise in determining in general whether a compressed Fourier matrix has the nonvanishing minors property. Since Theorem 4.8 handles the case of prime fields, an investigation of non-prime finite fields is needed. We pose the following open question that we hope will inspire further research.

**Problem 5.13.** Find a criterion for when $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property.

### 6. Uncertainty Principle for \(\chi\)-Symmetric Elements

In this section, we prove several general results that specialize to the main theorems announced in Section 1. In particular we first prove an uncertainty principle for \(\chi\)-symmetric functions (Theorem 6.3) which specializes, for prime fields, to Theorem 4.3 with bounds that are never inferior to those of the Biró–Meshulam–Tao uncertainty principle (Theorem 4.4). We then give a proof that the bounds we give are best possible (Theorem 6.9) which specializes, for prime fields, to Theorem 4.9. We conclude the section and thus the paper with some remarks about the Cauchy–Davenport theorem. In addition to working for all prime fields, our results also extend to non-prime fields in situations where the nonvanishing minors property is known to hold (see Section 4).
6. Supports. Before we prove Theorem 1.5 we require a few preliminaries. Recall from Section 2.1 that the support of \( f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} f_a[a] \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \) is
\[
\text{supp}(f) = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q : f_a \neq 0 \}.
\]
For \( R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \), we define the \( R \)-restricted support of \( f \) to be
\[
\text{supp}_R(f) = \text{supp}(f) \cap R.
\]
Similarly, if \( g \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{F}_q} \), then
\[
\text{supp}(g) = \{ \psi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q : g(\psi) \neq 0 \}
\]
and we can define the \( R \)-restricted support of \( g \) to be
\[
\text{supp}_R(g) = \text{supp}(g) \cap \epsilon_R,
\]
in which we recall the definition of \( \epsilon_R \) from Section 2.1. The next several results show how the sizes of restricted and full supports are related.

**Lemma 6.1.** Suppose that \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) and \( R \) is a set of representatives of \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). If \( A \) is an \( H \)-closed subset of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), then
\[
|A| = \begin{cases} |H| |A \cap R| & \text{if } 0 \notin A, \\ |H| |A \cap R| - (|H| - 1) & \text{if } 0 \in A. \end{cases}
\]

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that \( A \) is a union of \( H \)-orbits, and the \( H \)-orbits consist of the singleton set \( \{0\} \) and the cosets of \( H \) (each of size \( |H| \)) that make up the quotient group \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times / H \). \( \square \)

An analogous result holds for \( H \)-closed subsets of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \).

**Corollary 6.2.** Suppose that \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) and \( R \) is a set of representatives of \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). If \( \Psi \) is an \( H \)-closed subset of \( \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q \), then
\[
|\Psi| = \begin{cases} |H| |\Psi \cap \epsilon_R| & \text{if } \epsilon_0 \notin \Psi, \\ |H| |\Psi \cap \epsilon_R| - (|H| - 1) & \text{if } \epsilon_0 \in \Psi. \end{cases}
\]

*Proof.* Let \( A = \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_q : \epsilon_a \in \Psi \} \). Then \( A \) is \( H \)-closed with \( |A| = |\Psi| \) and \( |A \cap R| = |\Psi \cap \epsilon_R| \). Moreover, \( 0 \in A \) if and only if \( \epsilon_0 \in \Psi \), so the result follows from Lemma 6.1. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.9 tell us that \( \chi \)-symmetric elements and their Fourier transforms have \( H \)-closed supports and that these supports do not contain the identity if \( \chi \) is nontrivial. This yields the following result.

**Corollary 6.3.** Suppose that \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), that \( \chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \) is a character, that \( R \) is a set of representatives of \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and that \( f = \sum_{a \in A} f_a[a] \) is a \( \chi \)-symmetric element of \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q] \). Then
\[
|\text{supp}(f)| = \begin{cases} |H| |\text{supp}_R(f)| & \text{if } f_0 = 0, \\ |H| |\text{supp}_R(f)| - (|H| - 1) & \text{if } f_0 \neq 0, \end{cases}
\]
in which the former case always obtains when \( \chi \) is nontrivial, and
\[
|\text{supp}(\hat{f})| = \begin{cases} |H| |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| & \text{if } \hat{f}(\epsilon_0) = 0, \\ |H| |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| - (|H| - 1) & \text{if } \hat{f}(\epsilon_0) \neq 0, \end{cases}
\]
in which the former case always obtains when \( \chi \) is nontrivial.
6.2. Uncertainty principle. We are now ready to prove a generalization of the Biró–Meshulam–Tao uncertainty principle. We begin with a preliminary version for supports restricted to $H$-orbit representatives.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character, and let $R$ be a set of representatives of the $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Suppose that $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property (which is always true if $q$ is prime). If $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ is a $\chi$-symmetric element and $f \neq 0$, then

$$|\text{supp}_R(f)| + |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| > |R|.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that $f$ is a $\chi$-symmetric element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ with

$$|\text{supp}_R(f)| + |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| \leq |R|. \tag{23}$$

We intend to show that $f = 0$. Let $S = \text{supp}_R(f)$ and use (24) to obtain a set $T \subseteq R$ such that

$$|T| = |S| \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_T \cap \text{supp}_R(\hat{f}) = \emptyset.$$

Let $\{u_{\chi,s} : r \in R\}$ denote the basis for the space $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ of $\chi$-symmetric elements described in (17) and Proposition 3.17. Consider the map $g \mapsto \hat{g}|_{\varepsilon_T}$ from span$_\mathbb{C}\{u_{\chi,s} : s \in S\}$ (which contains $f$ and is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$) to $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon_T}$. The matrix for this map with respect to the bases $\{u_{\chi,s} : s \in S\}$ (for inputs) and $\{\delta_{\varepsilon_t} : t \in T\}$ (for outputs) is invertible by hypothesis, since it is a square submatrix of our $(\chi, R)$-compressed Fourier matrix. Since $\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})$ is disjoint from $\varepsilon_T$, it follows that $f$ is mapped to $\hat{f}|_{\varepsilon_T} = 0$. Consequently, $f = 0$. $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** Let $H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character. Suppose that $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property (which is always true if $q$ is prime). Suppose that $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ is a $\chi$-symmetric element and $f \neq 0$.

(i) If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then

$$|\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq q + |H| - 1. \tag{24}$$

(ii) If $\chi$ is trivial, then

$$|\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq \begin{cases} 
q + 2|H| - 1 & \text{if } f_0 = 0 \text{ and } \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0, \\
q + |H| & \text{if } f_0 = 0 \text{ or } \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0, \\
q + 1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

**Proof.** Let $R$ be a set of representatives of $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Then Proposition 6.4 yields

$$|\text{supp}_R(f)| + |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| \geq |R| + 1. \tag{26}$$

If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then $|H| |R| = q - 1$, and Corollary 6.3 implies that

$$|H| |\text{supp}_R(f)| = |\text{supp}(f)|, \quad \text{and} \quad |H| |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| = |\text{supp}(\hat{f})|.$$

Multiply (26) by $|H|$ and obtain the desired result (24). If $\chi$ is trivial, then $|H| |R| = q + |H| - 1$, and Corollary 6.3 yields

$$|H| |\text{supp}_R(f)| = |\text{supp}(f)| + (|H| - 1)(1 - \delta_{f_0,0}), \quad \text{and}$$
\[ |H| |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| = |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| + (|H| - 1)(1 - \delta_{f(\varepsilon_0),0}), \]

in which the preceding \( \delta \) is a Kronecker delta. Multiply (29) by \(|H|\) and obtain

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| + (|H| - 1)(2 - \delta_{f(0),0} - \delta_{\hat{f}(\varepsilon_0),0}) \geq q + 2|H| - 1, \]

which reduces to

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq q + 1 + (|H| - 1)(\delta_{f_0,0} + \delta_{\hat{f}(\varepsilon_0),0}). \]

The preceding is equivalent to the desired result (25). \( \square \)

Now let us see Theorem 6.5 applied to fields that need not be of prime order.

**Example 6.6.** Let \( H \) be the subgroup of index 3 in \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) where \( q > 4 \) and \( 3 \mid (q-1) \), and let \( \chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be the trivial character. If \( f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) is a nonzero \( \chi \)-symmetric function, then

\[ |\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{5(q-1)}{3} & \text{if } f_0 = 0 \text{ and } \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0, \\ \frac{4(q-1)}{3} + 1 & \text{if } f_0 = 0 \text{ or } \hat{f}(\varepsilon_0) = 0, \\ q + 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

We are using the fact that \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property, proved in Theorem 5.12. If \( q \) is not prime, then we cannot apply the Biró–Meshulam–Tao discrete uncertainty principle (Theorem 1.4), but we may compare our result with the much weaker classical uncertainty principle for Fourier analysis over finite abelian groups [20], which merely says that \(|\text{supp}(f)||\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq q\).

### 6.3. Sharpness

In this section, we show that the lower bounds in Proposition 6.3 and Theorem 6.5 are best possible. We first require a technical lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** Let \( K \) be a field, let \( S \) be a set, let \( V \) be a \( K \)-vector subspace of \( K^S \), and let \( n \) be a positive integer with \( n < |K| \). Then the following are equivalent.

(i) For every \( T \subseteq S \) with \(|T| = n\), there is a \( v \in V \) such that \( \text{supp}(v) = T \).

(ii) For every \( T \subseteq S \) with \(|T| \geq n\), there is a \( v \in V \) such that \( \text{supp}(v) = T \).

**Proof.** The latter statement clearly implies the former, so we assume the former and prove the latter. Suppose that \( U \subseteq S \) and \(|U| > n\). Let \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k \) be a collection of \( n \)-element subsets of \( S \) whose union is \( U \) and that are all pairwise disjoint, except for possibly \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \), whose intersection can be made to have fewer than \( n \) elements. Let \( v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots, v^{(k)} \) be elements of \( V \) with \( \text{supp}(v^{(j)}) = T_j \) for each \( j \). Write \( v^{(j)} = (v^{(j)}_s)_{s \in S} \) for coordinates of these elements, and let \( \lambda \) be a nonzero element of \( K \) such that \( \lambda \neq -v^{(2)}_s/v^{(1)}_s \) for every \( s \in T_1 \cap T_2 \). Since \(|K^\times| > n-1 \) and \(|T_1 \cap T_2| \leq n-1 \), such a \( \lambda \) exists. Then \( v = \lambda v^{(1)} + v^{(2)} + \cdots + v^{(k)} \) has \( S \) as its support since the choice of \( \lambda \) has given it nonzero coordinates for indices in \( T_1 \cap T_2 \) and for any other index in \( S \), nonvanishing is guaranteed because only one \( v^{(j)} \) has a nonzero entry at that coordinate. \( \square \)

Now we prove that Proposition 6.3 is best possible.

**Proposition 6.8.** Let \( H \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), let \( \chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character, and suppose that \((\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)\) has the nonvanishing minors property (which is always true if \( q \) is prime). Let \( R \) be a set of representatives of the \( H \)-orbits of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (if \( \chi \) is trivial) or of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) (if \( \chi \) is nontrivial). Let \( S, T \subseteq R \) with \(|S| + |T| > |R|\). Then there is a \( \chi \)-symmetric element \( f \) of \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_p] \) with \( \text{supp}_R(f) = S \) and \( \text{supp}_R(\hat{f}) = \varepsilon_T \).
Proof: To each $\chi$-symmetric $f$ in $\mathbb{C}[F_q]$, associate the vector in $\mathbb{C}^{R_\chi R}$ whose components are $(f_r)_{r \in R}$ and $(f_s)_{s \in R}$. The set of all such vectors is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace $V$ of $\mathbb{C}^{R_\chi R}$ since the set of $\chi$-symmetric elements is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[F_q]$ and the Fourier transform is a linear transformation.

We want to find an element of $V$ whose support is $S \cup \varepsilon_T$. Lemma 6.7 permits us to take $|S| + |T| = |R| + 1$. Pick $t \in T$ and let

$$Y = (R \setminus T) \cup \{t\},$$

so that $|Y| = |R| - |T| + 1 = |S|$. Proposition 3.17 says that $\{u_{\chi,r} : r \in R\}$ is a basis for the space $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ of $\chi$-symmetric elements in $\mathbb{C}[F_q]$.

Consider the linear map $f \mapsto \hat{f}|_Y$ from span$_\mathbb{C}\{u_{\chi,s} : s \in S\}$ (which is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}_\chi$) to $\mathbb{C}^Y$. The matrix for this map with respect to the bases $\{u_{\chi,s} : s \in S\}$ (for inputs) and $\{\delta_{y,s} : y \in Y\}$ (for outputs) is invertible by hypothesis, since it is a square submatrix of our $(\chi,R)$-compressed Fourier matrix. Thus, there is a $\chi$-symmetric $f \in$ span$_\mathbb{C}\{u_{\chi,s} : s \in S\}$ with $\hat{f}(\varepsilon_t) \neq 0$ and $\hat{f}(\varepsilon_y) = 0$ for all $y \in Y \setminus \{t\}$, that is, for all $y \in R \setminus T$. This $f$ is nonzero and supp$(\hat{f}) \subseteq \varepsilon_T$. Moreover, supp$_R(f) \subseteq S$ since each $u_{\chi,s}$ with $s \in S$ is supported on the $H$-orbit of $s$. These containments must be equalities since otherwise

$$|\text{supp}_R(f)| + |\text{supp}_R(\hat{f})| < |S| + |T| = |R| + 1,$$

which contradicts Proposition 6.3. \qed

We next show that Theorem 6.5 and its specialization, Theorem 6.6, are best possible. Theorem 1.1 from Section 1 is the specialization of the following theorem to prime fields.

**Theorem 6.9.** Let $H \leq F_q^\times$, let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character, and suppose that $(\mathbb{F}_q, \chi)$ has the nonvanishing minors property (which is always true if $q$ is prime).

(i) If $\chi$ is nontrivial, then for any $H$-closed subsets $A$ and $B$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with

$$|A| + |B| \geq q + |H| - 1,$$

there is a $\chi$-symmetric $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ with supp$(f) = A$ and supp$(\hat{f}) = \varepsilon_B$.

(ii) If $\chi$ is trivial and $A$ and $B$ are $H$-closed subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with

$$|A| + |B| \geq \begin{cases} q + |H| & \text{if } 0 \text{ is in precisely one of } A \text{ or } B, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } 0 \text{ is in both } A \text{ and } B, \end{cases}$$

then there is a $\chi$-symmetric $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_q]$ with supp$(f) = A$ and supp$(\hat{f}) = \varepsilon_B$.

**Proof.** Let $R$ be a set of representatives of $H$-orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (if $\chi$ is trivial) or of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ (if $\chi$ is nontrivial). Let $S = A \cap R$ and $T = B \cap R$.

First suppose that $\chi$ is trivial. There are several possibilities.

- If $0 \not\in A, B$, then $|A| = |S||H|$ and $|B| = |T||H|$ by Lemma 6.1. Thus, $(|S| + |T||H|) = |A| + |B| \geq q + 2|H| - 1$.

- If $0 \in A$ and $0 \not\in B$, then $|A| = 1 + (|S| - 1)|H|$ and $|B| = |T||H|$.
by Lemma 6.1. Thus,

\[(|S| + |T|)|H| = |A| + |B| + |H| - 1 \geq q + 2|H| - 1.\]

The same inequality holds if 0 \in B and 0 \notin A.

- If 0 \in A, B, then

\[|A| = 1 + (|S| - 1)|H| \quad \text{and} \quad |B| = 1 + (|T| - 1)|H|\]

by Lemma 6.1. Thus,

\[(|S| + |T|)|H| = |A| + |B| + 2|H| - 2 \geq q + 2|H| - 1.\]

Therefore,

\[(|S| + |T|)|H| \geq q - 1 + 2|H|\]

if \(\chi\) is trivial. The preceding inequality implies that

\[|S| + |T| \geq \frac{q - 1}{|H|} + 2 = |R| + 1.\]

Now suppose that \(\chi\) is nontrivial. Since 0 \notin A, B by assumption,

\[|A| = |S| |H| \quad \text{and} \quad |B| = |T| |H|\]

by Lemma 6.1. Thus,

\[|S| + |T| \geq \frac{q + |H| - 1}{|H|} = |R| + 1.\]

In both cases, \(|S| + |T| > |R|\) and hence Proposition 6.8 provides a \(\chi\)-symmetric \(f\) with \(\text{supp}_R(f) = S\) and \(\text{supp}_R(\hat{f}) = \varepsilon_T\). Lemma 3.3 says that \(\text{supp}(f)\) is an \(H\)-closed subset that contains \(S\), is disjoint from \(R \setminus S\), and does not contain 0 if \(\chi\) is nontrivial. Consequently, \(\text{supp}(f) = HS = A\). Corollary 3.9 tells us that \(\text{supp}(\hat{f})\) is an \(H\)-closed subset that contains \(\varepsilon_T\), is disjoint from \(\varepsilon_{R \setminus T}\), and does not contain \(\varepsilon_0\) if \(\chi\) is nontrivial. This means that \(\text{supp}(\hat{f}) = \varepsilon_{HT} = \varepsilon_B\). \(\square\)

6.4. The Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. If \(A, B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p\) are nonempty, then

\[|A + B| \geq \min\{|A| + |B| - 1, p\},\]

in which \(A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}\). This is the Cauchy–Davenport inequality, a seminal result in additive combinatorics [21]. In [20] Tao used Theorem 1.4 to obtain a new proof of this result. Now suppose that \(H \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^\times\) acts on \(\mathbb{F}_p\) by multiplication. If \(A, B\) are assumed to be \(H\)-closed, then one might wonder whether (27) can be improved, and if so, whether we can obtain such an improvement by using the new uncertainty principle (Theorem 1.5). We show that one can improve (27) slightly when the sets involved do not contain 0, and then give some examples showing that further improvements along these lines are not possible.

**Theorem 6.10.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime, let \(H\) be a nontrivial subgroup of \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\), and suppose that \(A\) and \(B\) are nonempty \(H\)-closed subsets of \(\mathbb{F}_p\) with 0 \notin A, 0 \notin B, and 0 \notin A + B. Then \(|A| + |B| \leq p - 1\), and \(|A + B| \geq |A| + |B|\).

We present two ways to prove this result. The first proof is based on the standard Cauchy–Davenport inequality and congruences for cardinalities of \(H\)-closed subsets.
Let \(|X|\) be a nontrivial character of \(H\), and let \(\overline{\chi}\) be the conjugate (inverse) character, that is, \(\overline{\chi}(h) = \chi(h)^{-1}\) for every \(h \in H\). Since \(|A| + |X| = |Y| + |B| = p - 1 + |H|\), we may use Theorem 1.3 to obtain a \(\chi\)-symmetric function \(f\) with \(\text{supp}(f) = A\) and \(\text{supp}(\overline{f}) = X\), and also a \(\overline{\chi}\)-symmetric function \(g\) with \(\text{supp}(g) = B\) and \(\text{supp}(\overline{g}) = Y\). Then Lemma 3.2 shows that their convolution \(fg\) is id-symmetric, where id is the trivial character of \(H\). And by the nature of convolution, we have \(\text{supp}(fg) \subseteq A + B\) and \(\text{supp}(\overline{fg}) = X \cap Y\). In particular, \(fg\) vanishes at 0 (since \(0 \notin A + B\) by hypothesis) and \(fg\) vanishes at 0 because of our choice of \(X\) and \(Y\). Thus Theorem 1.3 shows that \(|\text{supp}(fg)| + |\text{supp}(\overline{fg})| \geq p + 2|H| - 1\), so that \(|A + B| + |X \cap Y| \geq p - 1 + 2|H|\). Then we use (28) to obtain \(|A + B| \geq |A| + |B| - 1\).

We now give some examples that show that all the hypotheses in Theorem 6.10 are necessary to get the improved bound, and that the bound is sometimes met. First we note that there are cases where 0 is in one of the sets, but not in the other, nor in their sum, and an improved bound is not possible.

**Example 6.11.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime, let \(H\) be any nontrivial subgroup of \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\), let \(A = \{0\}\), and let \(B\) be any nonempty \(H\)-closed subset of \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\). Then \(A\) and \(B\) are \(H\)-closed, and \(A + B = B\) does not contain 0, but \(|A + B| = |A| + |B| - 1\).

Next we note that there are cases where 0 is in neither \(A\) nor \(B\), yet it is in their sum, and an improved bound is not possible.

**Example 6.12.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime, let \(H\) be the subgroup \(\{1, -1\}\) in \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\), let \(a\) be a nonzero element of \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\), and let \(A = B = \{-a, a\}\). Then \(A\) and \(B\) are \(H\)-closed, and \(A + B = \{-2a, 0, 2a\}\). We note that \(|A + B| = 3 = |A| + |B| - 1\).

We also note that if either of \(A\) or \(B\) is not \(H\)-closed for some nontrivial subgroup \(H\) of \(\mathbb{F}_p^\times\), then we do not get an improved bound, even if \(0 \notin A, B, A + B\).
Example 6.13. Let $p > 3$ be an odd prime, let $H$ be any nontrivial proper subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and let $A$ be any nonempty $H$-closed proper subset of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Let $b$ be an element of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ such that $-b \not\in A$, and let $B = \{b\}$. Then $B$ cannot be $H$-closed since it cannot contain any $H$-coset and $b \neq 0$. We note that $0 \not\in A, B, A + B$, and $|A + B| = |A| = |A| + |B| - 1$.

Finally, we show that the bound of Theorem 6.10 is sometimes met.

Example 6.14. Let $p > 3$ be an odd prime, let $H$ be the subgroup $\{1, -1\}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ let $a$ and $b$ be nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $a \not\in \{-b, b\}$. Let $A = \{-a, a\}$ and $B = \{-b, b\}$. Then $A$ and $B$ are $H$-closed, and $A + B = \{-a - b, -a + b, a - b, a + b\}$. We note that $|A + B| = 4 = |A| + |B|$.

An interesting corollary of Theorem 6.10 is that if $p$ is an odd prime, then certain sets of consecutive elements of $\mathbb{F}_p$ cannot be $H$-closed for any nontrivial $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. This gives examples of how proper subsets of prime fields that are highly structured with respect to addition cannot simultaneously be highly structured with respect to multiplication.

Corollary 6.15. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $A = \{a, a + 1, \ldots, a + b\}$ be either a subset of $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, (p - 1)/2\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ or else a subset of $\{(p + 1)/2, (p + 3)/2, \ldots, p - 1, 0\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. If $A$ is neither empty nor equal to $\{0\}$, then there is no nontrivial subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ such that $A$ is $H$-closed.

Proof. For any $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, note that $A$ is $H$-closed if and only if $\{-a : a \in A\}$ is $H$-closed, and also $A$ is $H$-closed if and only if $A \setminus \{0\}$ is $H$-closed. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $A$ is a nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, (p - 1)/2\}$. Given the range of elements in $A$, we have $A + A = \{2a, 2a + 1, \ldots, 2(a + b)\}$ with $0 \not\in A + A$ and $|A + A| = |A| + |A| - 1$. Since $0 \not\in A$, Theorem 6.11 tells us that $A$ cannot be $H$-closed for any nontrivial $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. \hfill $\square$
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