THE BETTI SIDE OF THE DOUBLE SHUFFLE THEORY.  
II. TORSOR STRUCTURES  
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ABSTRACT. Based on our computation of a Betti analogue of the harmonic coproduct, and on the interpretation of Racinet’s double shuffle group scheme $G^{dmr,DR}(-)$ as a stabilizer of this coproduct, we introduce a Betti analogue $G^{dmr,B}(-)$ of $G^{dmr,DR}(-)$, which may also be viewed as an analogue of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group scheme $GT(-)$ in the double shuffle situation. The group scheme $G^{dmr,B}(-)$ allows to complete Racinet’s double shuffle torsor into a bitorsor. We define and compute the discrete version of $G^{dmr,B}(-)$. We also define its pro-$p$ version, after giving proofs of some statements on the pro-$p$ analogue of $GT(-)$.
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0. Introduction

0.1. This paper is a continuation of our paper [EF1]. Let us recall its framework.

According to [DG], the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category $\mathcal{T} := MT(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ is a Tannakian category, equipped with ”Betti” and ”de Rham” fiber functors $\omega_B, \omega_{DR} : \mathcal{T} \to Vec_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-scheme $\text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_B, \omega_{DR})$, taking the $\mathbb{Q}$-ring $\mathbf{k}$ to the set $\text{Isom}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_B \otimes \mathbf{k}, \omega_{DR} \otimes \mathbf{k})$.
It follows from [F2] that there is a morphism of 
\[(0.1.1) \quad (\text{GRT}(\mathbb{Q}), \text{GRT}(\mathbb{k}), M(\mathbb{k})) \] (see [DR]). According to [EF0], there is a torsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme morphism
\[(\text{Aut}_T^\otimes(\omega_B), \text{Aut}_T^\otimes(\omega_{\text{DR}}), \text{Isom}_T^\otimes(\omega_B, \omega_{\text{DR}})) \rightarrow (\text{GRT}(-), \text{GRT}(-), M(-)).\]

According to [R], the study of algebraic relations satisfied by the multiple zeta values gives rise to a torsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme, namely to an assignment taking \(\mathbb{k}\) to a pair of a group and a set, together with a simple and transitive action of the former on the latter; the pair attached to \(\mathbb{k}\) is denoted \(M\) equal to \([\mathbb{R}, \text{EF0}, \text{EF1}].\) In order to explain how these results are obtained, let us first review some results from [R, EF0, EF1].

0.2. The main purpose of this paper is to upgrade the torsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme \((G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(-), \text{DMR}(-))\) to a bitorsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme \((G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(-), G^{\text{dmr,B}}(-), \text{DMR}(-)),\) and the torsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme morphism \((0.1.1)\) to a bitorsor \(\text{Q}\)-scheme morphism
\[(\text{GRT}(-)^{\text{op}}, \text{GT}(-)^{\text{op}}, M(-)^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow (G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(-)^{\text{op}}, \text{DMR}(-)^{\text{op}}).\]

These results are obtained in Theorems 1.15 and 1.19.

0.3. In order to explain how these results are obtained, let us first review some results from [R, EF0, EF1].

The group scheme \(G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(-)\) is constructed in [R] using the linear algebra in the free associative algebra over two generators, and in particular a \(\mathbb{k}\)-module \(\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}}\) and an element \(\hat{\Delta}_s \in \text{Hom}_k(\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}}, (\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}})^{\otimes 2}).\)

In [EF0], one obtains an interpretation of the group scheme \(G^{\text{DR}}(-)\) (see [DR]) and a group subscheme \(G^{\text{DR},\leq 3}(-),\) and one shows that \(\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}}\) is a representation space of \(G^{\text{DR}}(\mathbb{k})\), giving rise to a representation of the same group on \(\text{Hom}_k(\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}}, (\hat{V}_l^{\text{DR},\mathbb{k}})^{\otimes 2}).\) One has then
\[G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(\mathbb{k}) = G^{\text{DR},\leq 3}(\mathbb{k}) \cap \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s)\]

(\text{equality of subgroups of } G^{\text{DR}}(\mathbb{k})), \text{ where } \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s) \text{ is the stabilizer group of } \hat{\Delta}_s.\)

\(^1\text{DMR stands for the French “double mélange et régularisation”}\).
In [EF1], it is observed that \((G^{dmr,DR}(-), DMR(-))\) is a subtorsor of the torsor \((G^{DR}(-), G^{DR}(-))\), where the group \(G^{DR}(-)\) acts on itself from the left. Denoting by \(*\) the action of \(G^{DR}(k)\) on \(\text{Hom}_k(\hat{V}_i^{DR,k}, (\hat{V}_i^{DR,k})[^2])\), this implies that the element \(g^{-1} \cdot \hat{\Delta}_s\) of this space does not depend on the choice of \(g \in G^{DR}(k)\). We introduce an isomorphism \(\text{Iso}_\lambda : \hat{V}_i^{B,k} \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^{DR,k}\). The image \(\hat{\Delta}_e\) of this element in \(\text{Hom}_k(\hat{V}_i^{B,k}, (\hat{V}_i^{B,k})[^2])\) is explicitly computed in [EF1].

0.4. In [EF1], we show that the group \(G^{DR,\geq 3}(k)\) is part of a subtorsor \((G^{DR,\geq 3}(k), G^{DR}_{quad}(k))\) of \((G^{DR}(k), G^{DR}(k))\). The group \(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s)\) is part of a subtorsor \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_s, \hat{\Delta}_e))\) of the same torsor, where \(\text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_s, \hat{\Delta}_e) = \{g \in G^{DR}(k) \mid g^{-1} \cdot \hat{\Delta}_s = \hat{\Delta}_e\}\). The torsor \((G^{dmr,DR}(-), DMR(-))\) is then the intersection of these subtorsors of \((G^{DR}(-), G^{DR}(-))\) (see Theorem 1.25).

0.5. The strategy employed in order to upgrade \((G^{dmr,DR}(-), DMR(-))\) into a bitorsor is to first upgrade both \((G^{DR}(-), G^{DR}(-))\) and its subtorsors \((G^{DR,\geq 3}(k), G^{DR}_{quad}(k))\) and \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_s, \hat{\Delta}_e))\) into bitorsors, and then to form the intersection of these bitorsors. More precisely:

(a) the torsor \((G^{DR}(k), G^{DR}(k))\) is part of a bitorsor with right-acting group denoted \(G^B(k)\), whose construction relies on the prounipotent completion of the free group in two generators \(F_2\);

(b) analogously to the construction of \(G^{dmr,DR}(k)\) in [R] using the free associative algebra over two generators, we construct a subset \(G^{dmr,B}(k)\) of \(G^B(k)\) using the linear algebra of the completion of the group ring \(kF_2\) with respect to powers of the completion ideal (see Definition 1.14);

(c) the subtorsor \((G^{DR,\geq 3}(k), G^{DR}_{quad}(k))\) of \((G^{DR}(k), G^{DR}(k))\) is part of a subbitorsor with right-acting group denoted \(G^{B}_{quad}(k)\);

(d) its other subtorsor \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_s), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_s, \hat{\Delta}_e))\) is part of a subbitorsor with right-acting group \(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_e)\).

As announced, the upgrading of \((G^{dmr,DR}(k), DMR(k))\) to a bitorsor is then achieved by taking the intersection of these subbitorsors, whose right-acting group is \(G^{B}_{quad}(k) \cap \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_e)\) (Theorem 1.19). The upgrading of the torsor morphism \((0.1.1)\) to a bitorsor morphism is obtained simultaneously. The equality of \(G^{dmr,B}(k)\) with this right-acting group is obtained in Theorem 1.25.

0.6. Whereas the group scheme \(\text{GRT}(-)\) is defined in terms of the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{f}_2\) over two generators, the basic ingredient of the definition of the group scheme \(\text{GT}(-)\) is the prounipotent completion of the free group \(F_2\) in two generators. This enables one to define variants of this group scheme, which are discrete, pro-\(p\) (\(p\): a prime) or profinite groups, by suitably replacing the completion of \(F_2\). The group schemes \(G^{dmr,DR}(-)\) and \(G^{dmr,B}(-)\) are similarly based on \(\mathfrak{f}_2\) and \(F_2\), so that one may similarly define variants of the group scheme \(G^{dmr,B}(-)\).
The discrete variant of $G_{dmr, B}(-)$ is a group $G_{dmr, B}$, which we define and compute in §3. The result of this computation ($G_{dmr, B} \simeq \{\pm 1\}$) is a consequence of the conjectural equality between the Lie algebras $gr_{t_1}$ and $dmr_0$.

0.7. In §4 we construct the pro-$p$ version $G_{dmr, B}^p$ of the group scheme $G_{dmr, B}(-)$. We recall some basic results on pro-$p$ completions (§4.1) in order to give detailed proofs of statements on the pro-$p$ analogue $GT_p$ of $GT(-)$ (§4.2), some of which are stated in [Dr]. Based on this material, we construct the group $G_{dmr, B}^p$ and show that it fits in a suitable commutative diagram (§4.3).

0.8. Acknowledgements. A part of the work was done during the visit of the authors at Simons Center. H.F. is supported by grant JSPS KAKENHI JP15KK0159.

1. Construction of a double shuffle bitorsor

In this section, we first recall the notions of torsor and bitorsor (§1.1). We then introduce the setup leading to the construction of the bitorsor of associators (§1.2). We complement this with the extra material leading to the double shuffle torsor (§1.3). We then formulate the main result of the paper, namely the construction of the $\mathbb{Q}$-group scheme $G_{dmr, B}(-)$ and of the double shuffle bitorsor (§1.4). In §1.5 we explain the relation with a stabilizer bitorsor and in §1.6 with the bitorsor of associators. In §1.7 we study the Lie algebra of $G_{dmr, B}(-)$ and compare it with the Lie algebra of $G_{dmr, DR}(-)$, which is equal to the double shuffle Lie algebra $dmr_0 \rtimes Q$ from [R].

Throughout §1, $k$ denotes a commutative associative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra.

1.1. Torsors and bitorsors. A torsor is a triple $(\mathcal{G}, X, \triangleright)$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a group, $X$ is a set, and $\triangleright : \mathcal{G} \times X \to X$ is a left action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $X$, which is free and transitive. A subtorsor is a pair $(\mathcal{G}', X')$, where $X' \subset X$ is a subset and $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a subgroup such that $\triangleright$ restricts to a free and transitive action of $\mathcal{G}'$ on $X'$. Two subtorsors $(\mathcal{G}', X')$ and $(\mathcal{G}'', X'')$ of $(\mathcal{G}, X, \triangleright)$ being given, their intersection is the pair $(\mathcal{G}' \cap \mathcal{G}'', X' \cap X'')$, which is again a subtorsor. A right torsor is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, X, \lhd)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a group, $X$ is a set, and $\lhd : \mathcal{H} \times X \to X$ is a right action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $X$, which is free and transitive. We define right subtorsors and their intersections as in the case of torsors. The opposite of the torsor $(\mathcal{G}, X, \triangleright)$ is the right torsor $(\mathcal{G}^{op}, X^{op}, \lhd)$, where $\mathcal{G}^{op}$ is the opposite group of $\mathcal{G}$, and $X^{op}$ is $X$ equipped with the right action $x \lhd g := g \triangleright x$.

A bitorsor is a 5-uple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, X, \triangleright, \lhd)$, where $(\mathcal{G}, X, \triangleright)$ is a torsor, $\mathcal{H}$ is a group, $(\mathcal{H}, X, \lhd)$ is a right torsor, and the actions of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ on $X$ commute with one another. A morphism of bitorsors $(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, X_1, \triangleright, \lhd) \to (\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, X_2, \triangleright, \lhd)$ is the data a set morphism $X_1 \to X_2$ and of compatible group morphisms $\mathcal{G}_1 \to \mathcal{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$. 


The opposite bitorsor of the bitorsor \((G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\) is \((H^{\text{op}}, G^{\text{op}}, X^{\text{op}}, \triangleleft, \triangleright)\), where the exponent \(\text{op}\) means the opposite group and the actions are given by \(h \triangleright x := x \triangleleft h, \ x \triangleleft g := g \triangleright x\) for \(g, G\), \(x \in X, h \in H\).

A subbitorsor of \((G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\) is then a triple \((G', H', X')\), such that \((G', X')\) is a subtorsor of \((G, X)\) and \((H', X')\) is a right subtorsor of \((H, X, \triangleleft)\). One defines the intersection of two subbitorsors \((G', H', X')\) and \((G'', H'', X'')\) to be \((G' \cap G'', H' \cap H'', X' \cap X'')\); it is a subbitorsor of \((G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\).

To a morphism of bitorsors, one associates its image, which is a subbitorsor of the target bitorsor. It is characterized by the condition that each of its components is the image of the corresponding component of the morphism.

Example 1.1. To a group \(G\), one associates the bitorsor \(\text{bitor}(G)\) where \(H = X = G\) and \(\triangleright, \triangleleft\) are the left and right actions of \(G\) on itself. If \(H\) is a subgroup of \(G\), and if \(a \in G\), then a bitorsor morphism \(\text{inj}_a : \text{bitor}(H) \to \text{bitor}(G)\) is given by the condition that the map \(H \to G\) corresponding to the first (resp. second, third) component of the 5-uple is the canonical inclusion \(h \mapsto h\) (resp. the conjugation \(h \mapsto aha^{-1}\), the map \(h \mapsto ha^{-1}\)). The assignment \(a \mapsto \text{inj}_a(\text{bitor}(H))\) sets up a map \(G/H \to \{\text{subbitorsors of bitor}(G)\}\).

Example 1.2. To a category \(C\) and a pair of objects \(X, Y\) such that \(\text{Iso}_C(Y, X) \neq \emptyset\), one associates the bitorsor \(\text{bitor}(C, X, Y)\) such that \(G = \text{Aut}_C(X), H = \text{Aut}_C(Y)\), and \(X = \text{Iso}_C(Y, X)\). An object \(Z\) and a pair of morphisms \(v : Z \to X\) and \(w : Z \to Y\) being given, one defines a subbitorsor of \(\text{bitor}(C, X, Y, v, w)\) of \(\text{bitor}(C, X, Y)\) by the condition that the morphisms be compatible with \(v\) and \(w\).

Assume given a bitorsor morphism \((G_1, H_1, X_1, \triangleright, \triangleleft) \to (G_2, H_2, X_2, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\) together with a subbitorsor \((G'_1, H'_1, X'_1)\) of the target. Assume that the preimage of \(X'_1\) by the morphism \(X_1 \to X_2\) is nonempty. Then \((G'_1, H'_1, X'_1)\) is a bitorsor, where \(G'_1\) and \(H'_1\) are the preimages of \(G_2\) and \(H_2\) by \(G_1 \to G_2\) and \(H_1 \to H_2\). This is the preimage bitorsor of this morphism of bitorsors.

A \(k\)-module over a bitorsor \((G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\) is the data of a pair of \(k\)-modules \((V, W)\) and of maps \(a : G \to \text{Aut}_k(V), b : H \to \text{Aut}_k(W), c : X \to \text{Iso}_k(W, V)\), such that the first two maps are group morphisms and

\[
\forall g \in G, \forall x \in X, \forall h \in H, \quad c(g \triangleright x \triangleleft h) = a(g) \circ c(x) \circ b(h).
\]

The collection of \(k\)-modules over a given bitorsor forms an abelian category.

Remark 1.3. A \(k\)-module over a bitorsor \(G\) is the same as a bitorsor morphism \(G \to \text{bitor}(k\text{-mod}, V, W)\).

The category of \(k\)-modules over a bitorsor \((G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)\) is tensor with internal homomorphisms. For \((V, W, a, b, c)\) and \((V', W', a', b', c')\) two objects in this category, their tensor product
is

$$(V \otimes_k V', W \otimes_k W', (a \otimes a') \circ \text{diag}_S, (b \otimes b') \circ \text{diag}_H, (c \otimes c') \circ \text{diag}_X)$$

where $\text{diag}_S : S \to S^2$ is the diagonal map associated with a set $S$, and their internal homomorphism object is

$$(\text{Hom}_k(V, V'), \text{Hom}_k(W, W'), a'', b'', c''),$$

where $a'' = (G \ni g \mapsto a'(g) \circ a(g)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_k(\text{Hom}_k(V, V')))\), $b'' = (H \ni h \mapsto b'(h) \circ b(h)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_k(\text{Hom}_k(W, W')))\), $c'' = (X \ni x \mapsto c'(x) \circ c(x)^{-1} \in \text{Iso}_k(\text{Hom}_k(W, W'), \text{Hom}_k(V, V')))\).

Let $(V, W)$ be a $k$-module over a bitorsor $(G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Let $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. Set

$$\text{Iso}(w, v) := \{x \in X \mid c(x)(w) = v\}, \quad \text{Stab}(v) := \{g \in G \mid a(g)(v) = v\}, \quad \text{Stab}(w) := \{h \in H \mid b(h)(w) = w\}.$$ 

One checks that if $\text{Iso}(w, v)$ is nonempty, then $(\text{Stab}(v), \text{Stab}(w), \text{Iso}(w, v))$ is a subbitorsor of $(G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, which we will call the stabilizer bitorsor associated to $(v, w)$.

**Remark 1.4.** This stabilizer bitorsor can be identified with the preimage by the bitorsor morphism $G \to \text{bitor}(k\text{-mod}, V, W)$ of the subbitorsor $\text{bitor}(k\text{-mod}, V, W, v, w)$, where we identify $v, w$ with $k$-module morphisms $k \to V, k \to W$.

### 1.2. Bitorsors related to associators.

#### 1.2.1. Semidirect products.

Let $(G, \odot)$ be a group equipped with an action of $k^\times$, denoted $k^\times \times G \to G$, $(\mu, g) \mapsto \mu \cdot g$. We denote by $k^\times \ltimes G$ its semidirect product with $k^\times$; this is the set $k^\times \times G$, equipped with the product

$$(\mu, g) \odot (\mu', g') := (\mu \mu', g \odot (\mu \cdot g')).$$

#### 1.2.2. Constructions based on Lie algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{f}_2$ be the free Lie algebra over $k$ over generators $e_0, e_1$. It is equipped with a grading given by $\text{deg}(e_i) = 1$ for $i = 0, 1$. Define $U(\mathfrak{f}_2)$ to be its universal enveloping algebra and $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2$ to be its degree completion. Then $U(\mathfrak{f}_2)$ is a graded algebra, let $U(\mathfrak{f}_2)^\wedge$ be its degree completion. It is a topological Hopf algebra. Its group of group-like elements\footnote{If $H$ is a (topological) Hopf algebra, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(H)$ its group of group-like elements.} is $\mathcal{G}(U(\mathfrak{f}_2)^\wedge) = \exp(\mathfrak{f}_2)$, where $\exp$ is the exponential map of $U(\mathfrak{f}_2)^\wedge$. We denote by $\cdot$ the product in the group $\exp(\mathfrak{f}_2)$ as well as in the algebra $U(\mathfrak{f}_2)^\wedge$.

#### 1.2.3. Constructions based on discrete groups.

Let $F_2$ be the free group with generators $X_0, X_1$. Denote by $kF_2$ its group algebra with coefficients in $k$. Denote by $(kF_2)^\wedge$ the completion of this algebra with respect to the topology of the powers of the augmentation ideal. Then $(kF_2)^\wedge$ is a topological Hopf algebra. The group $F_2(k)$ of $k$-points of the prounipotent completion of $F_2$ is defined to be the group of group-like elements of this topological Hopf algebra, so $F_2(k) := \mathcal{G}((kF_2)^\wedge)$. We denote by $\cdot$ the product in the group $F_2(k)$ as well as in the algebra $(kF_2)^\wedge$. 
1.2.4. The group \( (G^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) = k^\times \ltimes (\exp(\tilde{f}_2), \oplus) \). For \( g, h \in \exp(\tilde{f}_2) \), define \( g \oplus h \in \exp(\tilde{f}_2) \) by
\[
(1.2.2) \quad g \oplus h := g \cdot \tilde{a}_g(h) = a_g(h) \cdot g,
\]
where \( \tilde{a}_g, a_g \) are the continuous automorphisms of the group \( \exp(\tilde{f}_2) \) given by
\[
(1.2.3) \quad \tilde{a}_g : e_0 \mapsto e_0, \quad e_1 \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot e_1 \cdot g \quad \text{and} \quad a_g : e_0 \mapsto g \cdot e_0 \cdot g^{-1}, \quad e_1 \mapsto e_1.
\]

Then \( (\exp(\tilde{f}_2), \oplus) \) is a group.

The group \( k^\times \) acts by automorphisms of the algebra \( U(f_2)^\wedge \) by \( \mu \cdot e_i := \mu e_i \) for \( i = 0, 1 \), \( \mu \in k^\times \). This induces an action of \( k^\times \) on the group \( (\exp(\tilde{f}_2), \oplus) \). We set
\[
(G^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) := k^\times \ltimes (\exp(\tilde{f}_2), \oplus).
\]

1.2.5. The group \( (G^\text{B}(k), \oplus) = k^\times \ltimes (F_2(k), \oplus) \). For \( g, h \in F_2(k) \), define \( g \oplus h \in F_2(k) \) by
\[
(1.2.4) \quad g \oplus h := g \cdot \tilde{a}_g(h) = a_g(h) \cdot g,
\]
where \( \tilde{a}_g, a_g \) are the continuous automorphisms of the group \( F_2(k) \) given by
\[
(1.2.5) \quad \tilde{a}_g : X_0 \mapsto X_0, \quad X_1 \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot g \quad \text{and} \quad a_g : X_0 \mapsto g \cdot X_0 \cdot g^{-1}, \quad X_1 \mapsto X_1.
\]

Then \( (F_2(k), \oplus) \) is a group.

The group \( k^\times \) acts by automorphisms of the algebra \( (kF_2)^\wedge \) by \( \mu \cdot X_i := (X_i)^\mu \) for \( i = 0, 1 \), \( \mu \in k^\times \). This induces an action of \( k^\times \) on the group \( (F_2(k), \oplus) \). We set
\[
(G^\text{B}(k), \oplus) := k^\times \ltimes (F_2(k), \oplus).
\]

1.2.6. Actions of \( (G^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) \) and \( (G^\text{B}(k), \oplus) \) on \( G^\text{DR}(k) \). Define a left action of \( (G^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) \) on \( G^\text{DR}(k) \) by
\[
(1.2.6) \quad \forall a, b \in G^\text{DR}(k), \quad a \cdot b := a \oplus b.
\]

Define a right action of \( (F_2(k), \oplus) \) on \( \exp(\tilde{f}_2) \) as follows
\[
(1.2.7) \quad \forall g \in \exp(\tilde{f}_2), \forall h \in F_2(k), \quad g \cdot h := g \cdot \text{iso}_1(h) = g \cdot a_g(\text{iso}_1(h)) = a_g(\text{iso}_1(h)) \cdot g
\]
where \( \text{iso}_1 : (F_2(k), \cdot) \to (\exp(\tilde{f}_2), \cdot) \) is the group isomorphism induced by the algebra isomorphism \( \text{iso}_1 : (kF_2)^\wedge \to U(f_2)^\wedge \) given by \( X_i \mapsto \exp(e_i) \) for \( i = 0, 1 \).

**Lemma 1.5.** The formula
\[
(1.2.8) \quad \forall \mu, \mu' \in k, \quad \forall g \in \exp(\tilde{f}_2), \quad \forall g' \in F_2(k), \quad (\mu, g) \cdot (\mu', g') := (\mu \mu', g \cdot (\mu \cdot g'))
\]
defines a right action of \( (G^\text{B}(k), \oplus) \) on \( G^\text{DR}(k) \), which commutes with the left action of \( (G^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) \) on this set. In particular, \( (G^\text{DR}(k), G^\text{B}(k), G^\text{DR}(k), \cdot, \cdot) \) is a bitorsor.
Proof. The group isomorphism \( \text{iso}_1 \) is equivariant with respect to the action of \( k^\times \) on \( \exp(\hat{f}_2) \) and on \( F_2(k) \), therefore it induces a group isomorphism \( \text{iso}_1 : k^\times \rtimes \exp(\hat{f}_2) \to k^\times \rtimes F_2(k) \). One has

\[
\forall a \in G^{\text{DR}}(k), \quad \forall \bar{a} \in G^{B}(k), \quad a \circ \bar{a} = a \oplus \text{iso}_1(\bar{a}),
\]

which implies the statement. \( \square \)

Remark 1.6. (The associator bitorsor.) The bitorsor \((\text{GT}(k), \text{GRT}(k), M(k))\), where \( M(k) := \cup_{\mu \in k^\times} \{\mu\} \times M_\mu(k) \), where \( M_\mu(k) \) is as in [Dr], p. 848, \( \text{GT}(k) \) is as in [Dr], p. 845 and (4.11), and \( \text{GRT}(k) \) is as in [Dr], p. 851, is a subbitorsor of the opposite bitorsor of \((G^{\text{DR}}(k), G^{B}(k), G^{\text{DR}}(k), \triangleright, \triangleleft)\). Indeed, it is a subbitorsor of the bitorsor \((k\text{-prounipotent completion of the free group with generators} X, Y) \rtimes k^\times, \text{Fr}_k(A, B) \rtimes k^\times, \text{Fr}_k(A, B), \triangleright, \triangleleft)\), where the group structure on the first term is given by [Dr], (4.11), where \( \text{Fr}_k(A, B) = \exp(\hat{f}_k(A, B)) \), \( \hat{f}_k(A, B) \) being the topological Lie algebra over \( A, B \), where the group structure on \( \text{Fr}_k(A, B) \rtimes k^\times \) is given by [Dr], (5.16) and following lines, where the left action \( \triangleright \) is given by [Dr], p. 848 (formula before Proposition 5.1; in this formula, \( e^A, e^B \) should be replaced by \( e^{\mu A}, e^{\mu B} \)), and where the right action \( \triangleleft \) is given by [Dr], p. 852. This bitorsor can be identified with \((G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op}, G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op}, G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op}, \hat{\triangleright}, \hat{\triangleleft})\) as follows: the isomorphism \((k\text{-prounipotent completion of the free group with generators} X, Y) \rtimes k^\times \to G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op} \) is given by \( (\lambda, f(X, Y)) \mapsto (\lambda, f(X_0, X_1)) \), the isomorphism \( \text{Fr}_k(A, B) \rtimes k^\times \to G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op} \) is given by \( k^\times \ni c \mapsto (c, 1) \in G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op}, \text{Fr}_k(A, B) \ni g(A, B) \mapsto (1, g(e_0, e_1)) \in G^{\text{DR}}(k)^\text{op}, \) and the isomorphism \( k^\times \rtimes \text{Fr}_k(A, B) \to G^{\text{DR}}(k) \) is given by \( (\mu, \varphi(A, B)) \mapsto (\mu, \varphi(e_0, e_1)) \).

1.3. The double shuffle torsor.

1.3.1. The (topological) Hopf algebras \((\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l, \Delta^{\text{DR}}_\ast)\) and \((\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l, \hat{\Delta}^{\text{DR}}_\ast)\). Set

\[
\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l := k \oplus U(f_2) \cdot e_1 \subset U(f_2), \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l := k \oplus U(f_2)^\wedge \cdot e_1 \subset U(f_2)^\wedge.
\]

Then \( \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l \) is a graded subalgebra of \( U(f_2) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l \) is a topological subalgebra of \( U(f_2)^\wedge \). For \( \forall n \geq 1, \quad y_n := -e_{n-1}^\wedge e_1 \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l. \)

The family \((y_n)_{n \geq 1}\) freely generates \( \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l \). It follows that there exists a unique algebra morphism

\[
\Delta_\ast : \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l \to (\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l)^{\otimes 2},
\]

such that

\[
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \Delta_\ast(y_n) = y_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k \otimes y_{n-k}.
\]

Then \( \Delta_\ast \) equips \( \mathcal{W}^{\text{DR}}_l \) with a graded Hopf algebra structure. It extends to a topological algebra morphism

\[
\hat{\Delta}_\ast : \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l \to (\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l)^{\otimes 2},
\]

which equips \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR}}_l \) with a topological Hopf algebra structure.
1.3.2. The map \( \exp(\hat{f}_2) \to \mathcal{W}_i^{\text{DR}} \), \( g \mapsto \pi_Y(\Theta(g)) \). For \( a \in U(f_2)^\wedge \) and \( w \) a word in \( e_0, e_1 \) (possibly empty), we denote by \( (a|w) \) the coefficient of \( w \) in the expansion of \( a \), so \( a = \sum w \) word in \( e_0,e_1 (a|w)w \).

For \( g \in \exp(\hat{f}_2) \), set

\[
\Gamma_g(t) := \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (g|e_0^{n-1}e_1)t^n \right) \in k[t]^\times.
\]

Define \( \Theta : \exp(\hat{f}_2) \to (U(f_2)^\wedge)^\times \) to be the map given by

\[
\forall g \in \exp(\hat{f}_2), \quad \Theta(g) := \Gamma_g(-e_1)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot \exp(- (g|e_0)e_0).
\]

Let

\[
\pi_Y : U(f_2)^\wedge \to \mathcal{W}_i^{\text{DR}}
\]

be the projection corresponding to the direct sum decomposition \( U(f_2)^\wedge = \mathcal{W}_i^{\text{DR}} \oplus U(f_2)^\wedge \cdot e_0 \). Then \( \pi_Y \circ \Theta \) is a map \( \exp(\hat{f}_2) \to \mathcal{W}_i^{\text{DR}} \).

1.3.3. The sets \( \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \). For \( \mu \in k \), set

\[
\text{DMR}_\mu(k) := \{ \Phi \in \exp(\hat{f}_2) \mid \pi_Y(\Theta(\Phi)) \text{ is group-like for } \hat{\Delta}, \text{ and } (\Phi|e_0) = (\Phi|e_1) = 0, , (\Phi|e_0e_1) = \mu^2/24. \}
\]

**Theorem 1.7** (§3.2.3 in [R]).  
(1) \( \text{DMR}_0(k) \) is a subgroup of \( (\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus) \), and the functor \( k \mapsto \text{DMR}_0(k) \) is a prounipotent \( \mathbb{Q} \)-group scheme.

(2) For any \( \mu \in k \), the set \( \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \) is nonempty. If \( g \in \text{DMR}_0(k) \) and \( \Phi \in \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \), then \( g \cdot \Phi \in \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \). This defines a left action of \( \text{DMR}_0(k) \) on \( \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \), which is free and transitive. In particular, \( (\text{DMR}_0(k), \text{DMR}_\mu(k), \cdot) \) is a torsor.

1.3.4. A torsor over a semidirect product group. It follows from the compatibilities of \( \pi_Y \), \( \Theta \) and \( \hat{\Delta} \), with the action of the group \( k^\times \) that the action of this group on \( (\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus) \) is such that

\[
\forall k \in k^\times, \quad \forall \mu \in k, \quad k \cdot \text{DMR}_\mu(k) = \text{DMR}_{k\mu}(k).
\]

This implies that the subgroup \( (\text{DMR}_0(k), \oplus) \) of \( (\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus) \) is preserved by the action of \( k^\times \). Let \( (G^{\text{dmr, DR}}(k), \oplus) := k^\times \ltimes (\text{DMR}_0(k), \oplus) \) be the corresponding semidirect product; this is a subgroup of \( (G^{\text{DR}}, \oplus) \). The subset \( \{ (\mu, g) \mid \mu \in k^\times, g \in \text{DMR}_\mu(k) \} \) of \( (G^{\text{DR}}, \oplus) \) may be denoted

\[
(1.3.5) \quad \text{DMR}(k) = \sqcup_{\mu \in k^\times} \{ \mu \} \times \text{DMR}_\mu(k).
\]

---

3 For \( A \) and algebra, we denote by \( A^\times \) the multiplicative group of \( A \).

4 This notation should not be confused with the notation from [R]. Def. 3.2.1, \( \text{DMR}(\Gamma)(k) = \sqcup_{\mu \in k} \text{DMR}_\mu(k) = \text{DMR}^\Gamma(k) \) for \( \Gamma = \{ 1 \} \) and \( \iota : \Gamma \to C^\times \) the canonical embedding.
As \( k^\times \times \exp(\mathfrak{f}_2) \) is the underlying set of the group \((G^{\text{DR}}(k), \circledast)\), \([1.3.3]\) may be viewed as a subset of this group.

**Proposition 1.8** (Proposition 1.3 in \([\text{EF1}]\)). The action of the group \((G^{\text{DR}}(k), \circledast)\) on itself by left multiplication restricts to an action of the group \((G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(k), \circledast)\) on the set \(\text{DMR}(k)\), which we denote by \(\triangleright\). This action is free and transitive. In particular, \((G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(k), \text{DMR}(k), \triangleright)\) is a torsor.

**Remark 1.9.** Set \(G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(k) := \text{Ker}(G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(k) \to k^\times)\). Then we have a group isomorphism \((G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(k), \circledast) \cong (\text{DMR}_0(k), \circledast)\).

### 1.4. The main result: construction of a double shuffle bitorsor.

#### 1.4.1. The (topological) Hopf algebras \((W_i^B, \hat{\Delta}_i)\) and \((\hat{W}_i^B, \hat{\Delta}_i)\). Set

\[
W_i^B := k \oplus kF_2 \cdot (X_1 - 1) \subset kF_2
\]

and let \(\hat{W}_i^B\) be the closure of \(W_i^B\) in \((kF_2)^\wedge\). The algebra \(kF_2\) is filtered by the powers of the augmentation ideal; this induces filtrations in \(W_i^B\) and \(\hat{W}_i^B\); then \(\hat{W}_i^B\) is a topological algebra. For \(n \geq 1\), set

\[
Y_n^\pm := X_0^{n+1} \cdot (X_0^{n+1} - 1)^{n-1}(1 - X_1^{n+1}) \in W_i^B.
\]

According to \([\text{EF1}]\), Proposition 2.3, there is a unique algebra morphism \(\Delta_0 : W_i^B \to (W_i^B)^\otimes 2\), such that

\[
\Delta_0(X_1^{n+1}) = X_1^{n+1} \oplus X_1^{n+1}, \quad \Delta_0(Y_n^\pm) = Y_n^\pm \oplus 1 + 1 \oplus Y_n^\pm + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Y_k^\pm \oplus Y_{n-k}^\pm \quad (n \geq 1).
\]

Then \(\Delta_0\) equips \(W_i^B\) with a Hopf algebra structure. It extends to a topological algebra morphism \(\hat{\Delta}_0 : \hat{W}_i^B \to (\hat{W}_i^B)^\otimes 2\), which equips \(\hat{W}_i^B\) with a topological Hopf algebra structure.

**Remark 1.10.** We will sometimes emphasize the dependence of \(W_i^{\text{DR}}, \hat{W}_i^{\text{DR}}\) on \(k\) by denoting them \(W_i^{\text{DR},k}, \hat{W}_i^{\text{DR},k}\).

#### 1.4.2. The map \(F_2(k) \to \hat{W}_i^B, \ g \mapsto \underline{\Theta}(\underline{g})\). Define a map

\[
F_2(k) \to k[t]^\times, \quad g \mapsto \sum_2(t)
\]

by

\[
\forall \underline{g} \in F_2(k), \quad \sum_2(t) := \Gamma_{\underline{g}}(t),
\]

where the right-hand side is as in \([1.3.2]\). Define

\[
\underline{\Theta} : F_2(k) \to ((kF_2)^\wedge)^\times
\]

to be the map given by

\[
\forall \underline{g} \in F_2(k), \quad \underline{\Theta}(\underline{g}) := \sum_2(-\log X_1)^{-1} \cdot \underline{g} \cdot X_0^{-\Gamma_{\underline{g}}(t_0)}
\]

(\text{where } X_0^a := \exp(a \log X_0)).
Lemma 1.11 (Proposition 6.2.6 in [Wei]). Let \( G \) be the free group over generators \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \), let \( ZG \) be its group algebra with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \) and let \( (ZG)_0 \) be the augmentation ideal of this algebra. Then \( (ZG)_0 \) is freely generated, as a left \( ZG \)-module, by the family \((g_1 - 1, \ldots, g_n - 1)\).

The proof in [Wei] relies on the following statement (not explicitly stated there)
\[
\forall N \geq 1, \quad \#\{g \in G \mid g \neq 1, \ell(g) < N\} = \#\{(g, i) \in G \times \{1, n\} \mid \ell(g) < N, \ell(gg_i) < N\}
\]
which may be proved by counting arguments. Here is an alternative proof of this lemma.

**Alternative proof of Lemma 1.11** There is a unique morphism of left \( ZG \)-modules
\[
a : (ZG)^{\otimes n} \to (ZG)_0, \quad (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(g_i - 1).
\]
It follows from [Fox], equation (2.3) (see also the argument in Proposition 6.2.6 from [Wei]) that the map \( a \) is surjective.

The injectivity of \( a \) may then be established in two ways.

(First proof of injectivity of \( a \).) In [Fox], endomorphisms \( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i} \) (\( i \in [1, n] \)) of the \( Z \)-module \( ZG \) are constructed. These endomorphisms are characterized by the following properties: \( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(1) = 0 \), \( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(g_j) = \delta_{ij} \) (\( j \in [1, n] \)), and \( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(uv) = u \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(u)\epsilon(v) \) for \( u, v \in ZG \), where \( \epsilon : ZG \to \mathbb{Z} \) is the counit map (augmentation). These properties imply the identities \( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i}(f(g_j - 1)) = \delta_{ij}f \) for \( f \in ZG, i \in [1, n] \). As a consequence, the \( Z \)-module map
\[
b : (ZG)_0 \to (ZG)^{\otimes n}, \quad f \mapsto (\frac{\partial}{\partial g_1}f, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial g_n}f)
\]
is such that \( b \circ a = \text{id} \). It follows that \( a \) is injective and therefore an isomorphism of \( ZG \)-modules.

(Second proof of injectivity of \( a \).) Let \( \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \) is the ring of formal series in noncommutative variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \). The group morphism \( G \to \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^\times \) given by \( g_i \mapsto 1 + x_i \) for \( i \in [1, n] \) gives rise to an algebra morphism \( r : ZG \to \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \), which is injective (see [Bbk], Ch. 2, Sec. 5, no. 3, Thm. 1). The map \( r \circ a : ZG^{\otimes n} \to \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \) is given by \((f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} r(f_i)x_i \) and is therefore equal to the composed map \( ZG^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \), where \( \varphi \) is given by \((r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x_i \). This map is injective, as is \( r^{\otimes n} \), which implies that \( r \circ a \) is injective, showing the injectivity of \( a \).

**Corollary 1.12.** There is a direct sum decomposition \((kF_2)^\wedge = \hat{W}_l^B \oplus (kF_2)^\wedge(X_0 - 1)\).

**Proof.** By Lemma 1.11, we have a decomposition
\[
kF_2 = k \oplus (kF_2)(X_1 - 1) \oplus (kF_2)(X_0 - 1) = W_l^B \oplus (kF_2)(X_0 - 1).
\]
By taking completions of the above equalities, we obtain the claim.

Let then
\[
(1.4.2) \quad \pi_Y : (kF_2)^\wedge \to \hat{W}_l^B
\]
be the projection corresponding to the decomposition of Corollary 1.12. Then \(\varpi_y \circ \Theta\) defines a map \(F_2(k) \to \hat{W}^B_l\).

1.4.3. The group \(G^\text{dmr.B}(k)\).

**Lemma 1.13.** Let \(G^\text{quad.B}(k) \subset G^B(k) = k^\times \ltimes F_2(k)\) be the subset of pairs \((\mu, g)\) such that \((\text{iso}_1(g)e_0) = (\text{iso}_1(g)e_1) = 0\), and \(\mu^2 = 1 + 24c(g)\), where \(c(g) := (\text{iso}_1(g)e_0e_1)\). Then \(G^\text{quad.B}(k)\) is a subgroup of \((G^B(k), \oplus)\).

**Proof.** Define \(G^\text{lin.B}(k)\) to be the subset of all pairs \((\mu, g)\) of \(G^B(k)\) such that \((\text{iso}_1(g)e_0) = (\text{iso}_1(g)e_1) = 0\). Then \((G^\text{lin.B}(k), \oplus)\) is obviously a subgroup of \((G^B(k), \oplus)\).

Let \(k^\times\) act on \((k^+, +)\) by \(\lambda \cdot a := \lambda^2 a\). The semidirect product group \(k^\times \ltimes k\) is the group of all pairs \((\mu, c) \in k^\times \times k\), equipped with the product \((\mu, c) \cdot (\mu', c') := (\mu\mu', c + \mu^2 c')\). One checks that

\[
\forall (\mu, g), (\mu', g') \in G^\text{lin.B}(k), \quad c(g \oplus (\mu \cdot g')) = c(g) + \mu^2 c(g'),
\]

which means that the map \((\mu, g) \mapsto (\mu, c(g))\) is a group morphism \(G^\text{lin.B}(k) \to k^\times \ltimes k\).

On the other hand, \(\{(\mu, c) | c = (\mu^2 - 1) / 24, \mu \in k^\times\}\) is a subgroup of \(k^\times \ltimes k\), isomorphic to \(k^\times\). Its preimage by the above group morphism is therefore a subgroup of \((G^\text{lin.B}(k), \oplus)\). The result now follows from the fact that this preimage coincides with \(G^\text{quad.B}(k)\). \(\square\)

**Definition 1.14.** We denote by \(G^\text{dmr.B}(k) \subset G^\text{quad.B}(k)\) the subset of pairs \((\mu, g)\), such that the element \(\varpi_y(\Theta(g)) \in \hat{W}^B_l\) is group-like for \(\hat{\Delta}_k\). One then has

\[
G^\text{dmr.B}(k) := \left\{ (\mu, g) \in G^B(k) \mid \varpi_y(\Theta(g)) \text{ is group-like for } \hat{\Delta}_k \right\}
\]

**Theorem 1.15.** \(G^\text{dmr.B}(k)\) is a subgroup of \((G^\text{quad.B}(k), \oplus)\).

This theorem will be proved in \(\textit{loc.cit.}\) as a consequence of Theorem 2.

There is a unique group morphism \((G^\text{dmr.B}(k), \oplus) \to k^\times\), defined as the composed morphism \(G^\text{dmr.B}(k) \to G^\text{quad.B}(k) \to G^B(k) = k^\times \ltimes F_2(k) \to k^\times\).

**Definition 1.16.** We define the group \((G^\text{dmr.B}_1(k), \oplus)\) by \(G^\text{dmr.B}_1(k) := \text{Ker}(G^\text{dmr.B}(k) \to k^\times)\).

Then \((G^\text{dmr.B}_1(k), \oplus)\) is the subgroup of \((F_2(k), \oplus)\) of all \(g\) such that \((\text{iso}_1(g)e_0) = (\text{iso}_1(g)e_1) = (\text{iso}_1(g)e_0e_1) = 0\), and \(\varpi_y(\Theta(g)) \in \hat{W}^B_l\) is group-like for \(\hat{\Delta}_k\).

**Remark 1.17.** The definition of \((G^\text{dmr.B}_1(k), \oplus)\) is analogous to that of \((G^\text{dmr.DR}_0(k), \oplus) = (\text{DMR}_0(k), \oplus)\). This analogy will be made precise in the Lie algebra setting in \(\textit{loc.cit.}\).

**Remark 1.18.** It follows from Theorem 2 in \(\textit{loc.cit.}\) that the (opposite of the) Grothendieck-Teichmüller group \(\text{GT}(k)^{op}\) is contained in \(G^\text{quad.B}(k)\); more precisely, \(\text{GT}(k)^{op}\) is the subset of \(G^\text{quad.B}(k)\) of pairs \((\mu, g)\), such that \(g\) satisfies the pentagon equation (equation (4) in \(\textit{loc.cit.}\)). In Proposition \(\textit{loc.cit.}\) we will prove the inclusion \(\text{GT}(k)^{op} \subset G^\text{dmr.B}(k) \subset G^\text{quad.B}(k)\).
1.4.4. Torsor structures.

**Theorem 1.19.** (1) The right action of \((G^B(k), \otimes)\) on \(G^{DR}(k)\) from Lemma 1.12 restricts to an action of \((G^{dmr,B}(k), \otimes)\) on \(DMR(k)\), which is free and transitive.

(2) For any \(\mu \in k^\times\), the latter action restricts to an action of \((G^{dmr,B}_1(k), \otimes)\) on \(DMR_\mu(k)\), which is free and transitive.

(3) The following are bitorsors:

- \(G^{DR}(k)\), equipped with the commuting left and right actions of \((G^{DR}(k), \otimes)\) and \((G^B(k), \otimes)\),
- \(DMR(k)\), equipped with the commuting left and right actions of \((G^{dmr,DR}(k), \otimes)\) and \((G^{dmr,B}(k), \otimes)\),
- for any \(\mu \in k^\times\), \(DMR_\mu(k)\), equipped with the commuting left and right actions of \((G^{dmr,DR}_1(k), \otimes)\) and \((G^{dmr,B}_1(k), \otimes)\).

We then have a sequence of bitorsor inclusions

\[(DMR_0(k), G^{dmr,B}_1(k), DMR_\mu(k)) \hookrightarrow (G^{dmr,DR}_1(k), G^{dmr,B}(k), DMR(k)) \hookrightarrow (G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k)).\]

This theorem will be proved in \([2, 3]\).

1.4.5. Isomorphisms between groups. Theorem 1.19 implies that any element \((\mu, \Phi) \in DMR(k)\) gives rise to a group isomorphism \(i_{(\mu, \Phi)} : (G^{dmr,B}(k), \otimes) \rightarrow (G^{dmr,DR}(k), \otimes)\), defined by

\[
\forall g \in G^{dmr,DR}(k), \quad i_{(\mu, \Phi)}(g) \triangleright (\mu, \Phi) = (\mu, \Phi) \triangleleft g.
\]

This group isomorphism is compatible with the morphisms of both sides to \(k^\times\) and therefore restricts to a group isomorphism \((G^{dmr,B}_1(k), \otimes) \rightarrow (G^{dmr,DR}_1(k), \otimes)\).

1.5. Relation with stabilizer bitorsors.

1.5.1. A bitorsor \((\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \tilde{G}^B(k), \tilde{G}^{DR}(k))\). For \(g \in (U(f_2)\wedge)\otimes\wedge\), let \(a_g, \tilde{a}_g\) be the automorphisms of \((U(f_2)\wedge)\otimes\wedge\) defined by the formulas \([12, 3]\). Define a product \(\boxplus\) in \((U(f_2)\wedge)\otimes\wedge\) by formula \([12, 2]\). Then \(((U(f_2)\wedge)\otimes\wedge, \boxplus)\) is a group. As above, \(k^\times\) acts on this group by scaling transformations. We set

\[(\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \boxplus) := k^\times \ltimes ((U(f_2)\wedge)\otimes\wedge, \boxplus).\]

and define a left action of \((\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \boxplus)\) on \(\tilde{G}^{DR}(k)\) by

\[
\forall a, b \in \tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \quad a \triangleright b := a \boxplus b.
\]

We now define a group \((\tilde{G}^B(k), \boxplus)\). For \(\tilde{g} \in ((kF_2)\wedge)\wedge\), let \(\tilde{a}_g, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_g\) be the automorphisms of \((kF_2)\wedge\) defined by \([12, 3]\). Define a product \(\boxplus\) on \(((kF_2)\wedge)\wedge\) by formula \([12, 2]\). Then \(((kF_2)\wedge)\wedge, \boxplus)\) is a group. The action of \(k^\times\) on \((kF_2)\wedge\) induces an action of \(k^\times\) by automorphisms of the group \(((kF_2)\wedge)\wedge, \boxplus)\). We set

\[(\tilde{G}^B(k), \boxplus) := k^\times \ltimes (((kF_2)\wedge)\wedge, \boxplus).\]
Define a right action of \( ((\mathfrak{k}F_2)^\times, \otimes) \) on \( ((U(f_2)^\times, \otimes) \) as follows
\[
\forall g \in (U(f_2)^\times, \forall h \in ((\mathfrak{k}F_2)^\times), \ g \cdot h := g \circ \text{iso}_1(h) = a_g(\text{iso}_1(h)) = a_g(\text{iso}_1(h)) \cdot g.
\]
where \( \text{iso}_1 : (\mathfrak{k}F_2)^\sim \rightarrow U(f_2)^\times \) is the algebra isomorphism defined in \( \text{[1.2.6]} \).

Similarly to Lemma \( \text{[1.2.6]} \) one proves:

**Lemma 1.20.** The formula
\[
\forall \mu, \mu' \in k, \quad \forall g \in (U(f_2)^\times, \forall g' \in ((\mathfrak{k}F_2)^\times), \quad (\mu, g) \cdot (\mu', g') := (\mu \cdot g', g \cdot (\mu \bullet g'))
\]
defines a right action of \( (\hat{G}^B(k), \otimes) \) on \( \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k) \), which commutes with the left action of \( (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \otimes) \) on this set. In particular, \( (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^B(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)), \circ, \otimes \) is a bitorsor.

1.5.2. A bitorsor morphism \( (\Theta, \Theta, \Theta) : (G^\text{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^\text{DR}(k)) \rightarrow (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^B(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)) \).
The map \( \Theta \) given by \( \text{[1.4.1]} \) is a group morphism \( \exp(\hat{f}_2), \otimes \rightarrow ((U(f_2)^\times, \otimes) \). It is also compatible with the actions of \( k^\times \) on both sides. It therefore gives rise to a group morphism
\[
\Theta : (G^\text{DR}(k), \otimes) \rightarrow (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \otimes).
\]
The map \( \Theta \) (see \( \text{[1.4.1]} \)) is compatible with the group structures denoted by \( \otimes \) on both sides, and is compatible with the actions of \( k^\times \), therefore it induces a group morphism
\[
\Theta : (G^B(k), \otimes) \rightarrow (\hat{G}^B(k), \otimes).
\]

**Lemma 1.21.** The triple \( (\Theta, \Theta, \Theta) \) is a bitorsor morphism
\[
(G^\text{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^\text{DR}(k)) \rightarrow (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^B(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)).
\]

**Proof.** As \( \Theta \) is a group morphism, it suffices to prove the identity
\[
\forall (\mu, g) \in G^\text{DR}(k), \forall (\mu', g') \in G^B(k), \quad \Theta((\mu, g) \cdot (\mu', g')) = \Theta(\mu, g) \cdot \Theta(\mu', g').
\]
It follows from the already observed compatibility of the map \( \Theta : \exp(\hat{f}_2) \rightarrow (U(f_2)^\times, \otimes) \) with the actions of \( k^\times \) and from the equality
\[
\forall g \in \exp(\hat{f}_2), \forall g' \in F_2(k), \quad \Theta(g \cdot g') = \Theta(g) \cdot \Theta(g'),
\]
which is a consequence of \( a_{\Theta}(g) = \text{Ad}(\Gamma_g(-e_1)^{-1}) \circ a_g, a_g(\exp(\alpha e_0))g = g \exp(\alpha e_0) \) for \( \alpha \in k \), \( \Gamma_g(t) = \Gamma_g(t) \Gamma_{\text{iso}_1(g)}(t) \), and \( g \circ (g \cdot e_0) = (g \cdot e_0) + (\text{iso}_1(g))e_0 \).

1.5.3. \( k \)-modules over the bitorsor \( (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^B(k)) \). It follows from \( \text{[BFT]}, \text{§1.1.3} \), that there is a group morphism
\[
S : (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \otimes) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{k-mod}}(U(f_2)^\times), \quad (\mu, g) \mapsto S_{\mu, g} := (u \mapsto a_g(\mu \cdot u) \cdot g),
\]
where \( (\mu, g) \in k^\times \times \exp(\hat{f}_2) = G^\text{DR}(k) \) and \( u \) runs in \( U(f_2)^\times \), and where \( a_g \) is given by \( \text{[1.4.1]} \).

One checks that there is a group morphism
\[
S : (\hat{G}^B(k), \otimes) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{k-mod}}((kF_2)^\times), \quad (\mu, g) \mapsto S_{\mu, g} := (f \mapsto a_g(\mu \cdot f) \cdot g),
\]
where \((\mu, g) \in \mathbb{k}^\times \times ((kF_2)^\wedge)^\times = \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)\) and \(f\) runs in \((kF_2)^\wedge\), and where \(\varphi\) is as in (1.2.3).

Define a map

\[ S: \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k) \to \text{Iso}_{k-\text{mod}}((kF_2)^\wedge, U(f_2)^\wedge), \quad (\mu, g) \mapsto S_{(\mu, g)} := S_{(\mu, g)} \circ \text{iso}_1. \]

**Lemma 1.22.** The pair of \(k\)-modules \((U(f_2)^\wedge, (kF_2)^\wedge)\), together with the triple of maps \((S, \hat{S}, \check{S})\), defines a \(k\)-module over the bitorsor \((\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k))\).

**Proof.** One must prove that for \((\mu, g), (\mu', g') \in \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)\), one has

\[ (1.5.1) \quad S_{(\mu, g) \triangleright (\mu', g')} = S_{(\mu, g)} \circ S_{(\mu', g')} \]

and for \((\mu', g') \in G^\text{DR}(k)\), \((\mu'', g'') \in \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)\), one has

\[ (1.5.2) \quad \check{S}_{(\mu', g') \triangleright (\mu'', g'')} = \check{S}_{(\mu', g')} \circ \check{S}_{(\mu'', g'')} \]

(equalities in \(\text{Iso}_{k-\text{mod}}((kF_2)^\wedge, U(f_2)^\wedge)\)).

One has

\[ S_{(\mu, g) \triangleright (\mu', g')} = S_{(\mu, g) \triangleright (\mu', g')} = S_{(\mu, g)} \circ S_{(\mu', g')} \circ \text{iso}_1 = S_{(\mu, g)} \circ \check{S}_{(\mu', g')} = S_{(\mu, g)} \circ S_{(\mu', g')}, \]

where the first equality follows from (1.2.6), the second and the last equalities from the definition of \(\check{S}\) and the third equality from the fact that \(S\) is a group morphism. This proves (1.5.1).

One checks that for \((\mu', g') \in \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)\), \((\mu'', g'') \in \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k)\), one has

\[ (1.5.3) \quad (\mu', g') \circ (\mu'', g'') = (\mu', g') \circ (\mu'', \text{iso}_1(g'')) \]

(equality in \(\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k)\)) and that for \((\mu'', g'') \in \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k)\), one has

\[ (1.5.4) \quad \check{S}_{(\mu'', g'')} = \text{iso}_1^{-1} \circ S_{(\mu'', \text{iso}_1(g'')) \circ \text{iso}_1} \]

(equality in \(\text{Aut}_{k-\text{mod}}((kF_2)^\wedge)\)).

Then for \((\mu', g') \in G^\text{DR}(k)\), \((\mu'', g'') \in \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k)\), one has

\[ \check{S}_{(\mu', g') \circ (\mu'', g'')} = \check{S}_{(\mu', g') \circ (\mu'', g'')} \circ \text{iso}_1 = \check{S}_{(\mu', g') \circ (\mu'', \text{iso}_1(g'')) \circ \text{iso}_1} \]

where the first equality follows from the definition of \(\check{S}\), the second equality follows from (1.5.3), the third equality follows from the fact that \(S\) is a group morphism, the fourth equality follows from (1.5.4), and the last equality follows from the definition of \(\check{S}\). This proves equality (1.5.4).

One checks that the pair \((U(f_2)^\wedge \mathcal{C}_0, (kF_2)^\wedge (X_0 - 1))\) of \(k\)-submodules of \((U(f_2)^\wedge, (kF_2)^\wedge)\) is a \(k\)-submodule over the bitorsor \((\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k), \hat{G}^\text{DR}(k))\). The corresponding quotient object identifies with the pair \((\check{V}_i^{\text{DR}}, \check{V}_i^{\text{LB}})\). The quotient morphism identifies with the pair \((\pi_Y, \pi_O)\) of projections defined by (1.3.1) and (1.4.2). The action maps for the quotient objects are denoted

\[ \check{S}^Y: (\hat{G}^\text{DR}(k), \oplus) \to \text{Aut}_{k-\text{mod}}(\check{V}_i^{\text{DR}}), \quad \check{S}^Y: (\hat{G}^\text{LB}(k), \oplus) \to \text{Aut}_{k-\text{mod}}(\check{V}_i^{\text{LB}}), \]

\[ \check{S}^Y: \hat{G}^\text{LB}(k) \to \text{Iso}_{k-\text{mod}}(\check{V}_i^{\text{DR}}, \check{V}_i^{\text{LB}}). \]
(the maps $S^Y, S^Y$ are as in [EF0], §1.1). Recall that the category of $k$-modules over the bitorsor $(\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \tilde{G}^B(k), \tilde{G}^{DR}(k))$ is equipped with operations of tensor product and internal homomorphisms. Out of the object $(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, \tilde{W}_l^B)$ of this category, one then constructs a new object, namely the internal homomorphism object from this object to its tensor square. This is the pair

$$\tag{1.5.5} (\text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, (\tilde{W}_l^{DR})\hat{\otimes}^2), \text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^B, (\tilde{W}_l^B)\hat{\otimes}^2)),$$

equipped with the morphism

$$(\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \otimes) \to \text{Aut}_{k}\text{-mod}(\text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, (\tilde{W}_l^{DR})\hat{\otimes}^2)), \quad (\mu, g) \mapsto (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ (-) \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1},$$

where $(S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ (-) \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1}$ is the automorphism of $\text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, (\tilde{W}_l^{DR})\hat{\otimes}^2)$ taking the map $h$ to $(S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ h \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1}$, the morphism

$$(\tilde{G}^B(k), \otimes) \to \text{Aut}_{k}\text{-mod}(\text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^B, (\tilde{W}_l^B)\hat{\otimes}^2)), \quad (\mu, g) \mapsto (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ (-) \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1},$$

and the map

$$\tilde{G}^{DR}(k) \to \text{Iso}_{k}\text{-mod}(\text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^B, (\tilde{W}_l^B)\hat{\otimes}^2), \text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, (\tilde{W}_l^{DR})\hat{\otimes}^2))$$
given by

$$(\mu, g) \mapsto (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ (-) \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1}. $$

1.5.4. A stabilizer subbitorsor of $(G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))$. Pulling back the $k$-module $(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, \tilde{W}_l^B)$ over the bitorsor $(\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \tilde{G}^B(k), \tilde{G}^{DR}(k))$ by the bitorsor morphism

$$(\Theta, \Theta, \Theta) : (G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k)) \to (\tilde{G}^{DR}(k), \tilde{G}^B(k), \tilde{G}^{DR}(k))$$

(see Lemma 1.21), one views the pair $(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, \tilde{W}_l^B)$ as a $k$-module over the bitorsor $(G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))$.

Recall the pair of elements $(\hat{\Delta}_*, \hat{\Delta}_*)$, where

$$\hat{\Delta}_* \in \text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^{DR}, (\tilde{W}_l^{DR})\hat{\otimes}^2), \quad \hat{\Delta}_* \in \text{Hom}_{k}\text{-mod}(\tilde{W}_l^B, (\tilde{W}_l^B)\hat{\otimes}^2).$$

The corresponding stabilizer subbitorsor of $(G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))$ is then the triple

$$\tag{1.5.6} (\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_*, \hat{\Delta}_*)), $$

where

$$\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*, \otimes) = \{(\mu, g) \in (G^{DR}(k), \otimes) \mid (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ \hat{\Delta}_* \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1} = \hat{\Delta}_*\},$$

$$\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*, \otimes) = \{(\mu, g) \in (G^B(k), \otimes) \mid (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ \hat{\Delta}_* \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1} = \hat{\Delta}_*\},$$

$$\text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_*, \hat{\Delta}_*) = \{(\mu, g) \in G^{DR}(k) \mid (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})\hat{\otimes}^2 \circ \hat{\Delta}_* \circ (S^Y_{(\mu, g)})^{-1} = \hat{\Delta}_*\}. $$
1.5.5. A subtorsor of \((G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))\) defined by quadratic conditions.

**Lemma 1.23.** Define \(G_{lin}^{DR}(k) := \{ (\mu, g) \in G^{DR}(k) \mid (\log g e_0) = (\log g e_1) = 0 \} \). Then

\[
(G_{lin}^{DR}(k), G^B_{lin}(k), G^{DR}_{lin}(k))
\]

is a subtorsor of \((G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))\), where \(G^B_{lin}(k)\) is as in the proof of Lemma 1.15.

**Proof.** The map \(\exp(\hat{f}_2) \to k^2, \ g \mapsto ((g)e_0), (g)e_1)\) induces a group morphism \((\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus) \to (k^2, +)\), which is compatible with the scaling actions of \(k^\times\) on both sides, therefore it induces a group morphism \(p^{DR} : (G^{DR}(k), \oplus) \to k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\).

The map \(F_2(k) \to k^2, \ g \mapsto ((\log (g)e_0), (\log (g)e_1))\) induces a group morphism \((F_2(k), \oplus) \to (k^2, +)\) and as above a group morphism \(p^B : (G^B(k), \oplus) \to k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\).

The triple of maps \((p^{DR}, p^B, p^{DR})\) then induces a bitorsor morphism from the trivial bitorsor constructed out of the group \(k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\) (this is the bitorsor where \(G, H, X\) are all equal to the given group and \(>, <\) are the left and right actions). Viewing \(k^\times\) as a subgroup of \(k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\) by the injection \(\lambda \mapsto (\lambda, 0, 0)\), one sees that the trivial bitorsor constructed out of \(k^\times\) is a subtor of the trivial bitorsor constructed out of \(k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\). The preimage of this bitorsor is then \((G_{lin}^{DR}(k), G^B_{lin}(k), G^{DR}_{lin}(k))\), which is therefore a subtorsor of \((G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))\).

Define \(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}\) as the subspace of \(\hat{f}_2\) of elements with vanishing parts of degrees 1 and 2. Then \((\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}), \oplus)\) is a subgroup of \((\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus)\), which is preserved by the action of \(k^\times\). We then set

\[
(G^{DR, \geq 2}(k), \oplus) := k^\times \ltimes (\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}), \oplus);
\]

designated as a subgroup of \((G^{DR}(k), \oplus)\).

In Lemma 1.13 we defined a subgroup \((G^{quad}_{quad}(k), \oplus)\) of \((G^B(k), \oplus)\).

Define \(G^{DR}_{quad}(k)\) to be the set of pairs \((\mu, \Phi) \in G^{DR}(k)\), such that \((\Phi|e_0) = (\Phi|e_1) = 0\) and \((\Phi|e_0 e_1) = \mu^2/24\).

**Lemma 1.24.** The triple \((G^{DR, \geq 2}(k), G^B_{quad}(k), G^{DR}_{quad}(k))\) is a subtorsor of \((G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))\).

**Proof.** According to the proof of Lemma 1.13 there is a group morphism \(q^B : (G_{lin}^B(k), \oplus) \to k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\), given by \(q^B(\mu, g) := (\mu, c(g))\).

Define \(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}\) as the subspace of \(\hat{f}_2\) of elements with vanishing part of degree 1. Then \((\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}), \oplus)\) is a subgroup of \((\exp(\hat{f}_2), \oplus)\), stable under the action of \(k^\times\), and the group \((G_{lin}^{DR}(k), \oplus)\) is isomorphic to the semidirect product \(k^\times \ltimes (\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}), \oplus)\). The map \(\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}) \to k, \ g \mapsto (g|e_0 e_1)\) defines a group morphism \((\exp(\hat{f}_2^{\geq 2}), \oplus) \to (k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +))\), which is equivariant with respect to the actions of \(k^\times\) on both sides, the action of \(k^\times\) on \((k, +)\) being given by \(\lambda \cdot a := \lambda^2 a\). One derives from there a group morphism \(q^{DR} : (G_{lin}^{DR}(k), \oplus) \to k^\times \ltimes (k^2, +)\).

Since \(q^{DR}\) is a group morphism, it is compatible with the left action of \(G_{lin}^{DR}(k)\) on itself by left multiplication. Using (1.2.7) and (1.2.8), one proves that \((q^{DR}, q^B)\) is compatible with the
right action of $G_{lin}^{B}(k)$ on $G_{lin}^{DR}(k)$. It follows that $(q^{DR}, q^{B}, q^{DR})$ is a bitorsor morphism

\[(G_{lin}^{DR}(k), G_{lin}^{B}(k), G_{lin}^{DR}(k)) \to \text{bitor}(k^\times \ltimes k).\]

Viewing the group $k^\times \ltimes k$ as the set $k^\times \times k$ equipped with the product law $(\mu, a)(\mu', a') := (\mu \mu', a + \mu^2 a')$, the subset $\{(\mu, 0) | \mu \in k^\times \}$ is a subgroup $k^\times \to k^\times \ltimes k$. Set $a := (1, \frac{1}{3}) \in k^\times \ltimes k$, then $\text{inj}_a(\text{bitor}(k^\times))$ is a subbitorsor of $\text{bitor}(k^\times \ltimes k)$ (see Example 1.1). One checks that the preimage of this subbitorsor under the bitorsor morphism \[1.5.4\] is $(G_{lin}^{DR}(k), G_{quad}^{B}(k), G_{quad}^{DR}(k))$, which is therefore a subbitorsor of $(G_{lin}^{DR}(k), G_{lin}^{B}(k), G_{lin}^{DR}(k))$, and therefore also of $(G_{DR}^{DR}(k), G_{DR}^{B}(k), G_{DR}^{DR}(k))$. \[\square\]

1.5.6. Relation of $\text{DMR}(k)$ with a stabilizer bitorsor. According to the above results, the following triples are subbitorsors of the bitorsor $(G_{DR}^{DR}(k), G_{DR}^{B}(k), G_{DR}^{DR}(k))$:

- the triple $(G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k))$ (see Theorem 1.19);
- the triple $(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_1), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_2), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_2, \hat{\Delta}_3))$ (see \[1.5.3\]);
- the triple $(G_{DR}^{\geq 3}(k), G_{quad}^{B}(k), G_{quad}^{DR}(k))$ (see Lemma 1.22).

**Theorem 1.25.** The subbitorsor $(G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k))$ of $(G_{DR}^{DR}(k), G_{DR}^{B}(k), G_{DR}^{DR}(k))$ is the intersection of the subbitorsors $(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_1), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_2), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_2, \hat{\Delta}_3))$ and $(G_{DR}^{\geq 3}(k), G_{quad}^{B}(k), G_{quad}^{DR}(k))$. More explicitly:

\[(1.5.8) \quad G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k) = \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_1) \cap G_{DR}^{\geq 3}(k),\]

\[(1.5.9) \quad G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k) = \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_2) \cap G_{quad}^{B}(k),\]

\[(1.5.10) \quad \text{DMR}(k) = \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_2, \hat{\Delta}_3) \cap G_{quad}^{DR}(k).\]

This theorem will be proved in \[2.2.\] More precisely, \[1.5.8\] is proved in \[EF0\], \[1.5.9\] will be proved in \[2.1\] \[1.5.10\] will be proved in \[2.2\].

1.6. Relation with the associator bitorsor. We have a pair of bitorsor inclusions

\[(G_{DR}^{DR}(k), G_{DR}^{B}(k), G_{DR}^{DR}(k)) \to \text{bitor}(G_{op}(k), \text{op}(k), \text{op}(k)) \to (G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k))\]

where the left inclusion is based on \[\text{DR}\] (see also Remark 1.6) and the right inclusion follows from Theorem 1.19.

It also follows from \[\text{F}2\] that the subbitorsors $(G_{op}(k), \text{op}(k), \text{op}(k))$ and $(G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k))$ of $(G_{DR}^{DR}(k), G_{DR}^{DR}(k))$ are related by a torsor inclusion $(G_{op}(k), \text{op}(k), \text{op}(k)) \to (G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k)).$

**Proposition 1.26.** There is an inclusion $\text{GT}(k)^{op} \subset G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k)$ of subgroups of $(G_{B}(k), \otimes)$, which gives rise to a bitorsor inclusion of $(G_{op}(k), \text{op}(k), \text{op}(k))$ into $(G_{dimr,DR}^{DR}(k), G_{dimr,B}^{DR}(k), \text{DMR}(k))$. 
Proof. According to [Dr], $M_1(k) \neq \emptyset$ and according to [F2], there is an inclusion $M_1(k) \subset \text{DMR}_1(k)$. Let $\Phi \in \text{DMR}_1(k)$. Then $(1, \Phi) \in \text{DMR}(k)$ gives rise to isomorphisms $\tilde{i}_{(1, \Phi)} : G^{\text{DR}}(k) \to G^B(k)$ and $(i_0)_{(1, \Phi)} : \text{GRT}(k)^{\text{op}} \to \text{GT}(k)^{\text{op}}$, defined by the analogue of (1.4.3). Then the diagram of groups is commutative

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G^{\text{DR}}(k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{(1, \Phi)}} & G^B(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GRT}(k)^{\text{op}} & \sim & \text{GT}(k)^{\text{op}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G^{dmr, \text{DR}}(k) & \sim & G^{dmr, B}(k)
\end{array}
\]

where $\text{GRT}(k)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{i} G^{dmr, \text{DR}}(k) \subset G^{\text{DR}}(k)$ is the inclusion arising from [F2]. If $g \in \text{GT}(k)^{\text{op}}$, then $g = i_{(1, \Phi)} \circ i \circ (i_0)_{(1, \Phi)}^{-1}(g)$, which implies that $g \in G^{dmr, B}(k)$.

The second statement follows from the inclusion $\text{GT}(k)^{\text{op}} \subset G^{dmr, B}(k)$.

\[\square\]

1.7. Lie algebras. An affine $\mathbb{Q}$-group scheme is a functor \{commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras\} $\to$ \{groups\} which is representable by a commutative Hopf algebra. Such a functor $G$ being fixed, the kernel $\text{Ker}(\text{G}(\mathbb{Q}[e]/(e^2)) \to \text{G}((\mathbb{Q})))$ is naturally equipped with a $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebra structure; this is the Lie algebra $(\text{Lie}(G), [\cdot, \cdot])$ of $G$. If $G, H$ are two $\mathbb{Q}$-group schemes, then a morphism $G \to H$ is a morphism from the Hopf algebra of $H$ to that of $G$. It gives rise to a natural transformation between the functors attached to $G$ and $H$, as well as to morphism of Lie algebras $(\text{Lie}(G), [\cdot, \cdot]) \to (\text{Lie}(H), [\cdot, \cdot])$.

In this section, we emphasize the dependence of the groups $G^X, G^X_1$, where $X$ is one of the symbols $B, \text{DR}, (dmr, B), (dmr, DR)$, in the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $k$ by denoting them $G^X(k)$. The functors $k \mapsto G^X(k), G^X_1(k)$ are representable by commutative Hopf algebras and therefore correspond to $\mathbb{Q}$-group schemes. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^X, \mathfrak{g}^X_1$ the corresponding Lie algebras.

1.7.1. Description of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^X, \mathfrak{g}^X_1$. One checks that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}$ is equal to the product $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathfrak{g}^2_2$, equipped with the bracket\[\hat{\cdot}\]

\[
((a, \psi), (a', \psi')) := (0, aD(\psi') - a'D(\psi) + [\psi', \psi] + D_\psi(\psi') - D_{\psi'}(\psi)),
\]

where $D$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{g}^2_2$ induced by $e_i \mapsto e_i$, $i = 0, 1$, and $D_\psi$ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{g}^2_2$ induced by $e_0 \mapsto [\psi, e_0], e_1 \mapsto 0$. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}$ is then isomorphic to $\{0\} \times \mathfrak{g}^2_2 \subset \mathbb{Q} \times \mathfrak{g}^2_2$.

Let $\mathcal{P}((\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge)$ be the Lie algebra of primitive elements of the Hopf algebra $(\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge$. As the exponential map induces a bijection between this set and the set of group-like elements $G((\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge) = F_2(\mathbb{Q})$ of this Hopf algebra, this Lie algebra is equal to $\log F_2(\mathbb{Q})$ (where $\log : \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}$ is such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}(\mathbb{Q}) \ni x \mapsto \text{exp}(x)$, $x$ is the identity of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}}$).

\[\text{This bracket agrees with that of [R], (3.1.10.2); it is opposite to that of [Dr], three lines after (5.7), because the products on the groups arising in these two references are opposite to one another.}\]
$1 + (Q F_2)^\wedge \to (Q F_2)^\wedge_0$ is the inverse of $\exp : (Q F_2)^\wedge_0 \to 1 + (Q F_2)^\wedge$. The Hopf algebra isomorphism $\text{iso} : (Q F_2)^\wedge \to U((x)) = \text{iso} : \log F_2(Q) \to \hat{f}_2$.

One then checks that the Lie algebra $g^B$ is equal to the product $Q \times \log F_2(Q)$, equipped with the bracket

$$\langle (a, \psi), (a', \psi') \rangle := (0, a D(\psi') - a' D(\psi) + [\psi', \psi] + D_{\psi'}(\psi') - D_{\psi}(\psi)),$$

where $D$ is the derivation of $\log F_2(Q)$ induced by $\log X_i \mapsto \log X_i$, $i = 0, 1$, and $D_{\psi}$ is the derivation of $\log F_2(Q)$ induced by $\log X_0 \mapsto \hat{\psi}, \log X_1 \mapsto 0$. The Lie subalgebra $g^B \subset g^B$ is then isomorphic to $\{0\} \times \log F_2(Q) \subset Q \times \log F_2(Q)$.

The map $\text{id} \times \text{iso} : Q \times \log F_2(Q) \to Q \times \hat{f}_2$ sets up a Lie algebra isomorphism $g^B \to g^{DR}$, which restricts to a Lie algebra isomorphism $g^B_1 \to g^{DR}_1$.

**Lemma 1.27.** The Lie subalgebra $g^{dmr, DR} \subset g^{DR}$ is the set of pairs $(a, \psi)$ such that $(\psi | e_0) = (\psi | e_1) = (\psi | e_0 e_1) = 0$, and the element $\pi_Y(\psi) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)^n (\psi | e_0^{n-1} e_1) y_1^n \in \hat{W}^1$ is primitive for $\hat{\Delta}_+$. 

**Proof.** One has $G^{dmr, DR}(-) = G^{dmr, DR}_1(-) \times G_m$, which implies $g^{dmr, DR} = g^{dmr, DR}_1 \times Q$. The result then follows from the description of $g^{dmr, DR}_1 = \mathfrak{g}^0_1$ (see [R]).

Then $g^{dmr, DR}_1 = g^{dmr, DR} \cap g^B_1 = \{ (a, \psi) \in g^{dmr, DR} | a = 0 \}$. Note that the intersection of with $g^{dmr, DR}_1$ (resp. $g^{dmr, DR}$) with $\hat{f}_2$ (resp. $\hat{f}_2 \times Q$) is a dense graded Lie subalgebra of $g^{dmr, DR}_1$ (resp. of $g^{dmr, DR}$).

**Proposition 1.28.** The Lie algebra $g^{dmr, B}$ is the Lie subalgebra of $g^B$ consisting of the pairs $(a, \psi)$ such that $(\text{iso}_1(\psi)| e_0) = (\text{iso}_1(\psi) | e_1) = 0$, $a = 12 \cdot (\text{iso}_1(\psi)| e_0 e_1)$ and

$$1 + (Q F_2)^\wedge \to (Q F_2)^\wedge_0 \to 1 + (Q F_2)^\wedge_0 = \text{iso} : \log F_2(Q) \to \hat{f}_2$$

and $g^{dmr, B}_1 = g^{dmr, B} \cap g^B_1$.

**Proof.** This Lie algebra is the kernel of the morphism $G^{dmr, B}(\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \to G^{dmr, B}(\mathbb{Q})$. It therefore consists of the set of pairs $(1 + a \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon \psi)$, where $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\psi \in \log F_2(Q)$ are such that the equalities

$$(\text{iso}_1(1 + \varepsilon \psi)| e_0) = (\text{iso}_1(1 + \varepsilon \psi)| e_1) = 0, (1 + a \varepsilon)^2 = 1 + 24(\text{iso}_1(1 + \varepsilon \psi)| e_0 e_1)$$

hold in $\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ and such that the element $1 + \varepsilon \cdot (\text{element of } (1.7.1))$ is group-like for the coproduct $\hat{W}^1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \to (\hat{W}^1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \otimes 2$, which is the tensor product with $\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ of the coproduct $\Delta_+ : \hat{W}^1 \to (\hat{W}^1) \hat{\otimes} 2$. By taking coefficients of $\varepsilon$ in all conditions, one obtains the announced description of $g^{dmr, B}$. 

\qed
1.7.2. Filtrations on the Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{g}^X, \mathfrak{g}_1^X \). Recall that a filtration on a Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a decreasing sequence \( \mathfrak{g} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g} \supset \Gamma^1 \mathfrak{g} \supset \cdots \), such that for any \( i, j \geq 1 \), \( [\Gamma^i \mathfrak{g}, \Gamma^j \mathfrak{g}] \subset \Gamma^{i+j} \mathfrak{g} \). The associated graded vector space \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}) \) is then a graded Lie algebra. If \( \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \) is a Lie subalgebra, the induced filtration on \( \mathfrak{h} \) is defined by \( \Gamma^i \mathfrak{h} := \Gamma^i \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{h} \). Then \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{h}) \) is a graded Lie subalgebra of \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}) \).

For \( i \geq 1 \), let \( \Gamma^i \mathfrak{f}^Q_2 \) be the \( i \)-th term of the lower central series of \( \mathfrak{f}^Q_2 \). This is the direct product of the components of \( \mathfrak{f}^Q_2 \) of degrees \( \geq i \). Similarly define \( \Gamma^i \log F_2(\mathbb{Q}) \) to be the \( i \)-th term of the lower central series of \( \log F_2(\mathbb{Q}) \).

We then set
\[
\forall i \geq 1, \quad \Gamma^i \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} := \{0\} \times \Gamma^i \mathfrak{f}^Q_2.
\]
It follows from the fact that \( \langle , \rangle \) is homogeneous for the grading of \( \mathfrak{f}^Q_2 \) that \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \supset \Gamma^1 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \supset \cdots \) is a Lie algebra filtration.

Denote by \( \mathfrak{g}^{B} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g}^{B} \supset \Gamma^1 \mathfrak{g}^{B} \supset \cdots \) the filtration where
\[
\forall i \geq 1, \quad \Gamma^i \mathfrak{g}^{B} := \{0\} \times \Gamma^i \log F_2(\mathbb{Q}).
\]

One checks that this is a Lie algebra filtration (equal to the image of the filtration of \( \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} \) by \( (\text{iso}_1)^{-1} \)).

Denote by \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \supset \Gamma^1 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \supset \cdots \) and \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},B} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},B} \supset \Gamma^1 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},B} \supset \cdots \) the induced filtrations on \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \) and \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},B} \).

Since the filtration \( \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} = \Gamma^0 \mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}} \supset \cdots \) is induced by the grading of \( \mathfrak{d}\text{mr}_0 \times \mathbb{Q} \), one has an isomorphism \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},\text{DR}}) \cong \mathfrak{d}\text{mr}_0 \times \mathbb{Q} \).

The algebra \((\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge\) is equipped with the filtration given by \( \Gamma^i((\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge) := I^i \), where \( I \subset (\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge \) is the augmentation ideal. The associated graded algebra is isomorphic to \( U(\mathfrak{f}^Q_2) \), the isomorphism being induced by the degree one identifications \( [X_i - 1] \mapsto e_i \) for \( i = 0, 1 \).

The filtration of \((\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge\) induces the central series filtration on the Lie subalgebra \( \log F_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subset (\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge \). Composing the corresponding inclusion map with the above identification, one obtains a map \( \text{gr}(\log F_2(\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{gr}(\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge \cong U(\mathfrak{f}_2) \), which factors through a graded Lie algebra isomorphism \( \text{gr}(\log F_2(\mathbb{Q})) \cong \mathfrak{f}_2 \), which yields a graded Lie algebra isomorphism \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}^{B}) \cong \mathfrak{f}_2 \times \mathbb{Q} \).

Lemma 1.29. This isomorphism restricts to an injective morphism of graded Lie algebras \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}^{d\text{mr},B}) \to \mathfrak{d}\text{mr}_0 \times \mathbb{Q} \).

Proof. According to [EF1], the algebra \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} \) admits a filtration \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} = \Gamma^0 \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} \supset \Gamma^1 \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} \supset \cdots \); the associated graded algebra \( \text{gr}(\hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B}) \) is isomorphic with \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{\text{DR}} \); the morphism \( \Delta_\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} \to (\hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B})^{\otimes 2} \) is compatible with this filtration, and the associated graded morphism identifies with \( \Delta_\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{\text{DR}} \to (\hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{\text{DR}})^{\otimes 2} \).

One checks that the maps \( \hat{\pi}_Y \) and \( \hat{\text{corr}} : (\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge \to \hat{\mathcal{W}}_i^{B} \) defined by
\[
\forall \psi \in (\mathbb{Q} F_2)^\wedge, \quad \hat{\text{corr}}(\psi) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (\text{iso}_1(\psi)|e_0^{n-1}e_1)(\log X_1)^n
\]
are compatible with the filtrations on both sides, and that the associated graded maps identify with \( \pi_Y : U(f_2) \rightarrow W^\text{DR}_l \) and \( \text{corr} : U(f_2) \rightarrow W^\text{DR}_l \) given by

\[
\forall \psi \in U(f_2), \quad \text{corr}(\psi) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (\psi|e_n^{n-1} e_1)(-y_1)^n.
\]

Recall the following general statement.

(1.7.2) If \( f : V \rightarrow W \) is a morphism of filtered spaces, then there is an inclusion (1.7.2)

\[
\text{gr}(\text{Ker} f) \subset \text{Ker}(\text{gr} f)
\]

of graded subspaces of \( \text{gr} V \).

Let us apply (1.7.2) in the following situation: \( V = \log F_2(Q), W = (\hat{\text{W}}^B_l)\hat{\otimes}^2, f : V \rightarrow W \) is the composition

\[
\log F_2(Q) \hookrightarrow (QF_2)^{\pi_Y + \text{corr}} \rightarrow \hat{\text{W}}^B_l \overset{\hat{\Delta}_\text{red}}{\rightarrow} (\hat{\text{W}}^B_l)^{\hat{\otimes}^2},
\]

in which the map \( \hat{\Delta}_\text{red} : \hat{\text{W}}^B_l \rightarrow (\hat{\text{W}}^B_l)^{\hat{\otimes}^2} \) is \( x \mapsto \hat{\Delta}_\text{red}(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \). The associated graded morphism is the composition

\[
f_2^Q \hookrightarrow U(f_2) \overset{\pi_Y + \text{corr}}{\rightarrow} \hat{\text{W}}^B_l \overset{\hat{\Delta}_\text{red}}{\rightarrow} (\hat{\text{W}}^B_l)^{\hat{\otimes}^2},
\]

where \( \hat{\Delta}_\text{red} : \hat{\text{W}}^B_l \rightarrow (\hat{\text{W}}^B_l)^{\hat{\otimes}^2} \) is \( x \mapsto \hat{\Delta}_\text{red}(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \). Then \( \text{Ker} f = \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B_1 \), while \( \text{Ker}(\text{gr} f) = \mathfrak{d} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{t} \). It follows that \( \text{gr}(\mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B_1) \subset \mathfrak{d} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{t} \). □

1.7.3. Filtered isomorphisms.

**Proposition 1.30.** If \( \Phi \in \text{DMR}_1(Q) \), then \( i_{(1, \Phi)} : \mathfrak{g}^B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{DR} \) restricts to an isomorphism \( \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.\text{DR} \) of filtered Lie algebra. The associated graded morphism of \( \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.\text{DR} \) is an isomorphism, which coincides with the map from Lemma[1.27]. In particular, this map is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let \( \Phi \in \text{DMR}_1(Q) \). The isomorphism \( i_{(1, \Phi)} \) induces an isomorphism \( \mathfrak{g}^B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{DR} \) which is strictly compatible with filtrations. It also restricts to an isomorphism \( \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.\text{DR} \). Since the filtrations of these Lie algebras are induced by the filtrations of \( \mathfrak{g}^B \) and \( \mathfrak{g}^\text{DR} \), the isomorphism \( i_{(1, \Phi)} : \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.B \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\text{dmr}.\text{DR} \) is strictly compatible with filtrations. It follows that the associated graded morphism is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. □

2. Proofs of statements of [1] (Theorems [1.15] [1.19] and [1.25])

In this section, we first prove Theorem [1.25] [1.5.9] in [2.1] and [1.5.10] in [2.2]. We then prove Theorem [1.15] [2.3], then Theorem [1.19] [2.4].
2.1. Proof of Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 1.25).

**Lemma 2.1.** For $\Phi \in \text{DMR}_1(k)$ and $(\mu, g) \in G^B(k)$, $i_{(1,\Phi)}(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*)$ iff $(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_g)$.

**Proof.** Let $(G, H, X, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a bitorsor. For $x \in X$, there is a unique group isomorphism $i_x : G \rightarrow H$ such that for any $g \in G$, one has $g \triangleright x = x \triangleleft i_x(g)$. If now $(G', H', X')$ is a subbitorsor and $x' \in X'$, then $i_{x'}$ restricts to a group isomorphism $G' \rightarrow H'$. It follows that for $g \in G$, one has $g \in G'$ iff $i_x(g) \in H'$.

The lemma now follows from the application of this statement to the subbitorsor

$$(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_*), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_g), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_g, \hat{\Delta}_*))$$

of the bitorsor $(G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Lemma 1.5.9 (Theorem 1.25).** According to Lemma-Definition 1.11 and Theorem 3.1 from [EF1], one has the inclusion

(2.1.1) \hspace{1cm} \text{DMR}(k) \subset \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_g, \hat{\Delta}_*) \cap G^{DR}_\text{quad}(k) = \{ (\mu, \Phi) \in G^{DR}(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}_* \circ S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)} = (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)})^{\otimes 2} \circ \hat{\Delta}_g \} \cap G^{DR}_\text{quad}(k).

Choose an element $\Phi \in \text{DMR}_1(k)$. Then

(2.1.2) \hspace{1cm} \hat{\Delta}_g = (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)})^{-1} \circ \hat{\Delta}_* \circ S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}.

Equality (2.1.2) implies the equality

(2.1.3) \hspace{1cm} \{ (\mu, g) \in G^B_\text{quad}(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}_g(g_g) = g_g^{\otimes 2} \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G^B_\text{quad}(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}_* (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g)) = (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g))^{\otimes 2} \}.

One has for $(\mu, g) \in G^B(k)$

$$g_g = S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(1),$$

therefore

$$S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g) = S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(1)).$$

Now

$$S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)} \circ S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)} = S^Y_{(\Phi,((\Phi,\mu)\triangleright 1))} = S^Y_{h(i(1,\Phi)\triangleright 1)},$$

where the first equality follows from (1.5.2) and the second equality follows from (1.4.3), therefore

$$S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g) = S^Y_{h(i(1,\Phi)\triangleright 1)}(1) = (i(1,\Phi)(\mu, g \triangleright 1, \Phi)).$$

This implies that

(2.1.4) \hspace{1cm} \{ (\mu, g) \in G^B_\text{quad}(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}_* (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g)) = (S^Y_{(\Phi,\mu)}(g_g))^{\otimes 2} \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G^B_\text{quad}(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}_* ((i(1,\Phi)(\mu, g \triangleright 1, \Phi))) = (i(1,\Phi)(\mu, g \triangleright 1, \Phi))^{\otimes 2} \}.
Lemma 1.24 implies that if \((\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k)\) and \((1, \Phi) \in G_{\text{DR}}^B(k)\), then \((1, \Phi) \triangleleft (\mu, g) = i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) > (1, \Phi) \in G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k)\). We have therefore

\[
\{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid (1, \Phi) \in \text{DMR}(k) \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k) \}.
\]

Equation (1.5.8) then implies the equality

\[
\{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k) \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \cap G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}, \geq 3}(k) \}.
\]

Lemma 1.24 implies that if \((\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k)\) and \((1, \Phi) \in G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k)\), then \(i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}, \geq 3}(k)\).

It follows that

\[
\{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \cap G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}, \geq 3}(k) \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \}.
\]

Then Lemma 2.4 implies that

\[
\{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid i_{(1, \Phi)}(\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid (\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \}.
\]

Combining (2.1.9), (2.1.4), (2.1.5), (2.1.6), (2.1.7), (2.1.8) and (2.1.9), one obtains the equality

\[
\{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid \hat{\Delta}(g) = g^{\otimes 2} \} = \{ (\mu, g) \in G_{\text{quad}}^B(k) \mid (\mu, g) \in \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \},
\]

which proves (1.5.9).

\[\square\]

2.2. Proof of (1.5.11) (Theorem 1.25). We first record the following statement relative to torsors. If \((G, X, \triangleright)\) is a torsor and if \((G', X')\) and \((G'', X'')\) are subtorsors such that \(X' \subset X''\), then \(X' = X''\).

The following pairs are subtorsors of \((G_{\text{DR}}(k), G_{\text{DR}}(k), \triangleright)\):

(a) \((G_{\text{dmr}, \text{DR}}(k), \text{DMR}(k))\) (see Proposition 1.8);
(b) \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta})), \hat{\Delta})\) (see (1.5.4));
(c) \((G_{\text{DR}, \geq 3}(k), G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k))\) (see Lemma 1.24).

The intersection of the two last bitorsors is the pair \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}) \cap G_{\text{DR}, \geq 3}(k), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Delta}) \cap G_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR}}(k))\).
It follows from \((\ref{1.5.8})\) (see \cite{EF0}) that the group of this torsor coincides with that of the above torsor \((a)\). By \((\ref{2.1.1})\), the set of this torsor contains the set of the torsor \((a)\). The above statement about torsors then yields equality \((\ref{1.5.10})\).

2.3. Proof of Theorem \(1.15\) By \((\ref{1.5.9})\), \(G^{dmr.B}(k)\) is the intersection of two subgroups of \(G^B(k)\), therefore it is also a subgroup of \(G^B(k)\). It follows from its definition that \(G^{dmr.B}(k)\) is contained in \(G^B_{quad}(k)\).

2.4. Proof of Theorem \(1.19\) The intersection of the subbitorsors \((\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_+), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_q), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_q, \hat{\Delta}_+))\) and \((G^{DR,\geq 3}(k), G^B_{quad}(k), G^{DR}_{quad}(k))\) of \((G^{DR}(k), G^B(k), G^{DR}(k))\) is the subbitorsor
\[
(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_+)) \cap G^{DR,\geq 3}(k), \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_q) \cap G^B_{quad}(k), \text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_q, \hat{\Delta}_+) \cap G^{DR}_{quad}(k),
\]
in particular \(\text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_q, \hat{\Delta}_+) \cap G^{DR}_{quad}(k)\) is a right torsor under \(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_q) \cap G^B_{quad}(k)\).

According to \((\ref{1.5.10})\), one has \(\text{Iso}(\hat{\Delta}_q, \hat{\Delta}_+) \cap G^{DR}_{quad}(k) = \text{DMR}(k)\). By \((\ref{1.5.9})\) (see the proof in \(\ref{2.1}\), one has the equality of groups \(\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}_q) \cap G^B_{quad}(k) = G^{dmr.B}(k)\).

It follows that \(\text{DMR}(k)\) is a right torsor under \(G^{dmr.B}(k)\). \(\square\)

3. A discrete group \(G^{dmr,B}\)

Recall the group inclusions  
\[
\text{GT}(\mathbb{Q})^{op} \hookrightarrow G^{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \ltimes F_2(\mathbb{Q}).
\]
The last of these groups contains the semigroup \(\{\pm 1\} \ltimes F_2\).

Define a semigroup  
\[
\text{GT} := \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\{\pm 1\} \ltimes F_2)^{op}
\]
(see \cite{Dr}, §4). Then \(\text{GT} \simeq \{\pm 1\}\) (see \cite{Dr}, Proposition 4.1).

Define similarly a semigroup  
\[
G^{dmr,B} := G^{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\{\pm 1\} \ltimes F_2).
\]

**Proposition 3.1.** There is an isomorphism \(G^{dmr,B} \simeq \{\pm 1\}\).

**Proof.** One obviously has \(\{\pm 1\} \subset G^{dmr,B}\). The opposite inclusion will be proved after Lemmas \(3.2\) to \(3.9\).

**Lemma 3.2.** If \(g \in F_2\), then \(\pi_Y(\Theta(g)) = \Gamma(\log X_1)^{-1} \cdot \pi_Y(g)\) (equality in \(\hat{\mathcal{W}}_I^{B,\mathbb{Q}}\)).

**Proof.** This follows from \((\ref{1.4.1})\), together with the identities \(\pi_Y(f \cdot g) = f \cdot \pi_Y(g)\) for \(f \in \mathbb{Q}[\log X_1], g \in (\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge\) and \(\pi_Y(g \cdot h) = \pi_Y(g) \cdot \epsilon(h)\) for \(g \in (\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge, h \in \mathbb{Q}[\log X_0]\), which all follow from the definition of \(\pi_Y\). \(\square\)

Let \(\text{ev} : \hat{\mathcal{W}}_I^{B,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t]]\) be the algebra morphism defined as the composition  
\[
\hat{\mathcal{W}}_I^{B,\mathbb{Q}} \subset (\mathbb{Q}F_2)^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}Z)^\wedge \simeq \mathbb{Q}[[t]],
\]
where the first map is the canonical inclusion, the second map is induced by the group morphism $F_2 \to Z$, $X_0 \mapsto 0$, $X_1 \mapsto 1$ ($k$ being the image of $k \in Z$ under $Z \to \mathbb{Q}[Z]$), and the third morphism is given by $l \mapsto e^l$.

**Lemma 3.3.** The map $ev$ is a morphism of topological Hopf algebras, $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ being equipped with the coproduct $\hat{\Delta}_l$ and $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ with the coproduct for which $t$ is primitive.

**Proof.** The map $ev$ restricts and corestricts to an algebra morphism $ev_0 : \hat{W}_l^{B,Q} \to \mathbb{Q}Z$, as $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ is dense in $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$, and as the coproduct of $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ is induced by the group algebra coproduct of $\mathbb{Q}Z$, it suffices to prove that $ev_0$ is compatible with the coproducts. By [EF1], Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ is generated by the elements $Y_1^\pm (n > 0)$, $X_1^\pm 1$, where $Y_n^\pm := (X_0^\pm - 1)^n X_0^\pm (1 - X_1^\pm 1)$; $ev$ is such that $X_1^\pm 1 \mapsto \pm 1$, $Y_n^\pm \mapsto 0$ and the coproducts are such that $X_1^\pm 1 \mapsto X_1^\pm 1 \otimes X_1^\pm 1$, $Y_n^\pm \mapsto Y_n^\pm \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y_n^\pm + \sum_{n' + n'' = n} Y_n^\pm \otimes Y_n^\pm$ and $\pm 1 \mapsto \pm 1 \otimes \pm 1$; all this implies that $ev_0$ is compatible with the coproducts.

**Lemma 3.4.** If $g \in F_2$ is such that $\pi_Y (\Theta (g))$ is group-like with respect to $\hat{\Delta}_l$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\Gamma_0^\pm (t) = e^\lambda t$ and $\pi_Y (g) \in \hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ is group-like with respect to $\hat{\Delta}_l$.

**Proof.** According to Lemma 3.2 and to the assumption on $g$, we have

\[(3.0.1) \quad \text{the element } \Gamma_0^{-1} (-\log X_1) \pi_Y (g) \in \hat{W}_l^{B,Q} \text{ is group-like with respect to } \hat{\Delta}_l.
\]

Lemma 3.3 then implies that the element $ev(\Gamma_0^{-1} (-\log X_1) \pi_Y (g))$ of $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ is group-like for the coproduct for which $t$ is primitive. This element is $\Gamma_0^{-1} (-t) \cdot ev(\pi_Y (g))$.

There exists a unique collection $n, (a_i)_{i \in \{1,n\}}, (b_i)_{i \in \{1,n\}}, \alpha, \beta$, where $n \geq 0$, the $a_i, b_i$ are nonzero integers, and $\alpha, \beta$ are integers, such that

\[g = X_1^\alpha \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n (X_0^a_i X_1^b_i) \cdot X_0^\beta,
\]

where $\prod_{i=1}^n g_i := g_1 \cdots g_n$. One computes

\[\pi_Y (g) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_0^a_i X_0^b_i \cdots X_0^a_n (X_0^a_n - 1) + X_1^\alpha,
\]

therefore $ev(\pi_Y (g)) = e^{t(\alpha + b_1 + \cdots + b_n)}$.

It follows that $\Gamma_0^{-1} (-t) = e^{t(\alpha + b_1 + \cdots + b_n)}$ is primitive, and therefore that $\Gamma_0^{-1} (-t)$ is primitive, so that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\Gamma_0^{-1} (t) = e^\lambda t$.

Plugging this equality in (3.0.1) and using the fact that $e^{\lambda \log X_1} \in \hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ is group-like with respect to $\hat{\Delta}_l$, one obtains that $\pi_Y (g)$ is group-like with respect to $\hat{\Delta}_l$, and therefore with respect to $\hat{\Delta}_l$ as it belongs to $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$. 

Set for $a \in Z \setminus \{0\}$, $Y_a := X_0^a (X_1 - 1)$. According to [EF1], Lemma 2.5, the algebra $\hat{W}_l^{B,Q}$ is presented by generators $(Y_a)_{a \in Z \setminus \{0\}}, X_1^\pm 1$ and relations $X_1 \cdot X_1^{-1} = X_1^{-1} \cdot X_1 = 1$. It follows
that $\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q}$ admits an algebra grading, for which deg($Y_a$) = 1 for $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and deg$(X_1^{\pm 1}) = 0$. For $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{W}_n$ the part of $\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q}$ of degree $n$. Then

$\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q} = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{W}_n$.

We also set $\mathcal{F}_n := \oplus_{m \leq n} \mathcal{W}_m$.

**Lemma 3.5.** The coproduct $\Delta_l : \mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q} \to (\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q})^\otimes 2$ is such that $\Delta_l(\mathcal{F}_n) \subset \mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$.

**Proof.** This follows that the equalities

$\Delta_l(X_1^{\pm 1}) = X_1^{\pm 1} \otimes X_1^{\pm 1}$

and

$\Delta_l(Y_{\pm a}) = Y_{\pm a} \otimes X_1^{\pm 1} + X_1^{\pm 1} \otimes Y_{\pm a} + \sum_{a' = 1}^{a-1} Y_{\pm a'} \otimes Y_{\pm (a-a')}$

for any $a > 0$ (see [EF1], Lemma 2.5).

**Lemma 3.6.** There is an algebra morphism $\Delta_l^{mod} : \mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q} \to (\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q})^\otimes 2$, defined by $X_1^{\pm 1} \mapsto X_1^{\pm 1} \otimes X_1^{\pm 1}$ and $Y_{\pm a} \mapsto \mp \sum_{a' = 1}^{a-1} Y_{\pm a'} \otimes Y_{\pm (a-a')}$ for $a > 0$. Then $\Delta_l^{mod}(\mathcal{W}_n) \subset \mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathcal{W}_n$ and the diagram

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}_n & \xrightarrow{\Delta_l^{mod}} & \mathcal{F}_n^\otimes 2 \\
\downarrow pr_n & & \downarrow pr_n^\otimes 2 \\
\mathcal{W}_n & \xrightarrow{\Delta_l^{mod}} & \mathcal{W}_n^\otimes 2
\end{array}\]

commutes, where $pr_n : \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{W}_n$ is the projection on the highest degree component.

**Proof.** Immediate. \(\square\)

For $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, set

$\mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) := \text{Span}_Q\{X_1^{b_0} Y_{a_1} X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_1^{b_{n-1}} Y_{a_n} X_1^{b_n} | b_0, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathcal{W}_n$.

**Lemma 3.7.**

$\mathcal{W}_n = \oplus_{a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

**Proof.** This follows from the presentation of $\mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q}$. \(\square\)

For $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, set $S(a) := \{(a', a'') \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} | \text{sgn}(a') = \text{sgn}(a'') = \text{sgn}(a) \text{ and } a' + a'' = a\}$.

**Lemma 3.8.** For $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$\Delta_l^{mod}(\mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \subset \oplus_{(a'_1, a''_1) \in S(a_1), \ldots, (a'_n, a''_n) \in S(a_n)} \mathcal{W}(a'_1, \ldots, a'_n) \otimes \mathcal{W}(a''_1, \ldots, a''_n)$.

**Proof.** This follows from (3.0.2). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $g \in F_2$. Then $\pi_l^\alpha(g) \in \mathcal{W}_l^{B, Q}$ is group-like with respect to $\Delta_l$ iff there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $g = X_1^\alpha X_0^\beta$.
Proof. The group \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) acts on the set \( F_2 \) by \((\alpha, \beta) \cdot \underline{g} := X_1^\alpha X_0^\beta\). For \( n \geq 0 \), set
\[
(F_2)_n := \{X_0^{a_1}X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_0^{a_n}X_1^{b_n} \mid a_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\} \subset F_2.
\]
Then the composition
\[
\sqcup_{n \geq 0}(F_2)_n \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_2/\mathbb{Z}^2
\]
is a bijection.

Set \( S := \{\underline{g} \in F_2 \mid \underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) \in \mathcal{W}_l^{B,Q} \} \) is group-like with respect to \( \Delta_2 \). One checks that \( S \) is stable under the action of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). It follows that
\[
(3.0.4)
\]
\[
S = \sqcup_{n \geq 0}\mathbb{Z}^2 \bullet ((F_2)_n \cap S).
\]

One has
\[
(3.0.5)
\]
\[
(F_2)_0 \cap S = \{e\}.
\]

We now compute \((F_2)_n \cap S \) for \( n > 0 \).

Let \( \underline{g} \in (F_2)_n \). Let \( a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) be such that
\[
\underline{g} = X_0^{a_1}X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_0^{a_n}X_1^{b_n}.
\]
Then
\[
\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_0^{a_i}X_1^{b_i} \cdots X_0^{a_n}(X_1^{b_i} - 1).
\]
The summand corresponding to index \( i \) belongs to \( \mathcal{F}_i \) and \( 1 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \). It follows that \( \underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) \in \mathcal{F}_n \) and that
\[
\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) = X_0^{a_1}X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_0^{a_n}(X_1^{b_n} - 1) \mod \mathcal{F}_{n-1}.
\]
Moreover the elements \( X_0^{a_1}X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_0^{a_n}(X_1^{b_n} - 1) \) and \( X_0^{a_1}(X_1^{b_1} - 1) \cdots X_0^{a_n}(X_1^{b_n} - 1) \) of \( \mathcal{F}_n \) are equivalent \( \mod \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \).

All this implies that
\[
(3.0.6)
\]
\[
\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) = Y_{a_1} \cdot \varphi_{b_1}(X_1) \cdots Y_{a_n} \cdot \varphi_{b_1}(X_1) \mod \mathcal{F}_{n-1},
\]
where for \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \), we set \( \varphi_b(t) := \frac{t^b - 1}{t-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[t,t^{-1}]. \)\( (3.0.6) \) and the fact that for \( b \neq 0 \), \( \varphi_b \neq 0 \) implies that
\[
(3.0.7)
\]
\[
\text{pr}_n(\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g})) \in \mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Assume now that \( \underline{g} \in (F_2)_n \cap S \). Since \( \underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) \) is group-like with respect to \( \Delta_2 \), since \( \underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}) \in \mathcal{F}_n \) and by diagram \((3.1.8)\), one has
\[
(3.0.8)
\]
\[
\Delta_2^{mod}(\text{pr}_n(\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g}))) = \text{pr}_n(\underline{\pi}_Y(\underline{g})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}
\]
(equality in \( \mathcal{W}_n^{\otimes 2} \)). By Lemma \((3.7)\) the left-hand side of \((3.0.8)\) belongs to
\[
\bigoplus_{(a'_1, a''_1) \in S(a_1), \ldots, (a'_n, a''_n) \in S(a_n)} \mathcal{W}(a'_1, \ldots, a'_n) \otimes \mathcal{W}(a''_1, \ldots, a''_n) \subset \mathcal{W}_n^{\otimes 2},
\]
while the right-hand side belongs to
\[
\mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \otimes \mathcal{W}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \subset \mathcal{W}_n^{\otimes 2}.
\]
By the direct sum decomposition
\[ W_n^{\otimes 2} = \oplus_{((a_1,b_1),\ldots,(a_n,b_n)) \in ((\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})^2)^n} W(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \otimes W(b_1,\ldots,b_n) \]
and since \(((a_1, a_1), \ldots, (a_n,a_n)) \notin S(a_1) \times \cdots \times S(a_n)\) (as \((a, a) \notin S(a)\) for any \(a \neq 0\)), both sides of (3.0.8) should be zero, which contradicts (3.0.7). All this implies that \((F_2)_n \cap S = \emptyset\) for \(n > 0\). Together with (3.0.4) and (3.0.5), this implies Lemma 3.9. \(\square\)

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let
\[ (\mu, g) \in G^{\text{dmr,B}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\{\pm 1\}^\times \times F_2). \]
By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.9, the condition that \(\pi_Y(\Theta(\varphi))\) is group-like with respect to \(\hat{\Delta}^\sharp\) implies that for some \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\), one has \(g = X_1^\alpha X_0^\beta\). The conditions \(\text{iso}_1(\varphi)|e_0 = 0\) then imply \(\alpha = \beta = 0\), therefore \(g = 1\). This proves Proposition 3.1. \(\square\)

Remark 3.10. Using the proof of Proposition 3.1, one can prove the stronger result \(G^{\text{dmr,B}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\mathbb{Q}^\times \times F_2) = \{\pm 1\}\). Indeed, this proof implies that if \((\mu, g)\) belongs to this intersection, then \(g = 1\). The condition \(\mu^2 = 1 + 24(\text{iso}_1(\varphi)|e_0 e_1)\) then implies that \(\mu = \pm 1\).

Remark 3.11. Proposition 3.1 is consistent with the conjectural equality of Lie algebras \(\text{grt}_1 = \text{dmr}_0\). Indeed, this equality is equivalent to \(G^{\text{dmr,DR}}(-) = \text{GRT}(-)\), which via the isomorphism \(i_{(1, \Phi)}\), \(\Phi \in M_1(\mathbb{Q})\) is equivalent to \(G^{\text{dmr,B}}(-) = \text{GT}(-)\), which upon taking rational points and intersecting with \(\{\pm 1\} \times F_2\) implies the equality \(G^{\text{dmr,B}} = \text{GT}\), which is Proposition 3.1.

4. Pro-\(p\) aspects

In this section, we first recall some material on the relation between the pro-\(p\) and prounipotent completions of discrete groups (§4.1), \(p\) being a prime number. In §4.2 we recall the definition of the pro-\(p\) analogue \(\text{GT}_p\) of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, and we use the results of §4.1 to prove a statement of [Dr] on the relations of \(\text{GT}_p\) with \(\text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) (Corollary 4.14); we also make precise the relation between \(\text{GT}_p\) and the semigroup \(\text{GT}_p\) introduced in [Dr] (Proposition 4.15). We then define a group \(G^{\text{dmr,B}}_p\) (see Definition 4.16) and show that it fits in a commutative diagram, which makes it into a natural pro-\(p\) analogue of the group scheme \(G^{\text{dmr,B}}(-)\) (§4.3).

4.1. Pro-\(p\) and prounipotent completions of discrete groups.

4.1.1. A morphism \(\Gamma^{(p)} \to \Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_p)\). If \(\Gamma\) is a group, we denote by \(\Gamma^{(p)}\) its pro-\(p\) completion. If \(k\) is a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-algebra, we denote by \(\Gamma(k)\) the group of \(k\)-points of its prounipotent completion. We also denote by \(\text{Lie}(\Gamma)\) the Lie algebra of this prounipotent completion.

Lemma 4.1 ([HM], Lemma A.7). Suppose that \(\Gamma\) is finitely generated discrete group, then there is a continuous homomorphism \(\Gamma^{(p)} \to \Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) compatible with the morphisms from \(\Gamma\) to its source and target.
When $\Gamma$ is the free group $F_n$, this gives a continuous homomorphism $F_n^{(p)} \to F_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

**4.1.2. Injectivity of $F_n^{(p)} \to F_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.** Let $\Gamma$ be a group. Define the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra of $\Gamma$, denoted $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$, to be the inverse limit of the algebras of the quotients of $\Gamma$ which are $p$-groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. This is a topological Hopf algebra. (When $\Gamma$ is a pro-$p$ group, $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$ coincides with the object introduced in $[S]$, p. 7.)

If $H$ is a (topological) Hopf algebra, we denote by $G(H)$ the group of its group-like elements.

**Lemma 4.2.** The group $G(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma])$ is equal to $\Gamma^{(p)}$.

**Proof.** The group $G(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma])$ is the inverse limit of the groups of group-like elements of the group algebras $\mathbb{Z}_pK$, where $K$ runs over all the quotients of $\Gamma$ which are $p$-groups. As $G(\mathbb{Z}_pK)$ is equal to $K$, $G(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma])$ is equal to the inverse limit of the finite quotients of $\Gamma$ which are $p$-groups, therefore to $\Gamma^{(p)}$. □

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $A(n) := \mathbb{Z}_p\langle\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle\rangle$ be the algebra of associative formal power series in variables $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, equipped with the topology of convergence of coefficients. Then $A(n)$ has a Hopf algebra structure with coproduct given by $t_i \mapsto 1 + 1 \otimes t_i + t_i \otimes t_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $F_n$ be the free group over generators $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. There is an isomorphism $F_n^{(p)} \simeq G(A(n))$ induced by $X_i \mapsto 1 + t_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.2 combined with the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[A(n)] \simeq A(n)$, see $[S]$, §I.1.5. Proposition 7. □

**Lemma 4.4.** The map $F_n^{(p)} \to F_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is injective.

**Proof.** If $k$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, there is an isomorphism $(kF_n)^\wedge \simeq k(\langle \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle \rangle)$, where each $u_i$ is primitive. Moreover, $F_n(k) = G((kF_n)^\wedge)$, therefore

$$F_n(k) = G(k(\langle \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle \rangle)).$$

The result now follows from the specialization of this result for $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$, from the topological Hopf algebra inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_p(\langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle \rangle) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(\langle \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle \rangle)$ given by $t_i \mapsto e^{u_i} - 1$, and from Lemma 4.3. □

**4.1.3. Exact sequences of pro-$p$ completions.**

**Lemma 4.5** ([I], see also $[\text{And}]$). Let $1 \to N \to G \to H \to 1$ be an exact sequence of discrete groups such that (i) $(G, N) = (N, N)$, and (ii) $N$ is a free group of finite rank greater than 1. Then the induced sequence of pro-$p$ completions $1 \to N^{(p)} \to G^{(p)} \to H^{(p)} \to 1$ is exact.
For $n \geq 3$, let $K_n$ be the Artin pure braid group with $n$ strands. It is presented by generators $x_{ij}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and relations

$$(a_{ijk}, x_{ij}) = (a_{ijk}, x_{ik}) = (a_{ijk}, x_{jk}) = 1, \quad i < j < k, \quad a_{ijk} = x_{ij}x_{ik}x_{jk},$$

$$(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) = (x_{il}, x_{jk}) = 1, \quad (x_{ik}, x_{ij}^{-1}x_{jl}x_{ij}) \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k < l.$$ 

For any $i \in [1, n]$, the elements $x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{in}$ of $K_n$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $F_{n-1}$, and we have an exact sequence

$$(4.1.1) \quad 1 \to F_{n-1} \to K_n \to K_{n-1} \to 1.$$ 

**Lemma 4.6.** If $n \geq 3$, then the exact sequence $(4.1.1)$ induces a short exact sequence of pro-$p$ groups:

$$1 \to F_{n-1} \to K_n \to K_{n-1} \to 1.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $P_{n+1}$ be the pure sphere group of the sphere with $n + 1$ marked points (cf. [FF1]). It is known that $P_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to the quotient $K_n/Z(K_n)^2$, where $Z(K_n)$ is the center of $K_n$.

In [I], Proposition 2.3.1, it is shown that for any $j \in [1, n] \setminus \{i\}$, $P_{n+1}$ is equal to the product $\langle \bar{x}_{i1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{in} \rangle \cdot C(\bar{x}_{ij})$, where the projection map $K_n \to P_{n+1}$ is denoted $g \mapsto \bar{g}$, and where $C(\bar{x}_{ij})$ is the centralizer subgroup of $\bar{x}_{ij}$.

Since $Z(K_n)^2$ is contained in $C(x_{ij})$, $K_n$ is equal to the product $\langle x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{in} \rangle \cdot C(x_{ij})$, where $C(x_{ij})$ is the centralizer subgroup of $x_{ij}$.

Then any $k \in K_n$ can be expressed as $f \cdot c$, where $f \in \langle x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{in} \rangle$ and $c \in C(x_{ij})$. Then $(k, x_{ij}) = (f \cdot c, x_{ij}) = (f, x_{ij}) \in (F_{n-1}, F_{n-1})$. As this holds for any $j \in [1, n] \setminus \{i\}$, one obtains $(K_n, F_{n-1}) \subset (F_{n-1}, F_{n-1})$, therefore the equality of these subgroups of $K_n$ as the opposite inclusion is obvious.

One can therefore apply Lemma 4.5 to the exact sequence $(4.1.1)$, which yields the result. 

**4.1.4. Exact sequences of prounipotent completions.**

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $k$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra and let $n \geq 3$. The exact sequence $(4.1.1)$ induces a short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \to F_{n-1}(k) \to K_n(k) \to K_{n-1}(k) \to 1.$$ 

**Proof.** According to [Dr], any associator $\Phi \in M_1(\mathbb{Q})$ and parenthesization $P$ of a word with $n$ identical letters gives rise to an isomorphism $b_0^P : \text{Lie}(K_n) \to t_n$, where $t_n$ is the graded $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebra with degree one generators $t_{ij}$, $i \neq j \in [1, n]$ and relations $t_{ji} = t_{ij}$, $[t_{ik} + t_{jk}, t_{ij}] = 0$, and $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ for $i, j, k, l$ all distinct, and where $\hat{t}_n$ is its degree completion.
The morphisms of Lemma 4.1.5 induce Lie algebra morphisms \( \text{Lie}(F_{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(K_n) \) and \( \text{Lie}(K_n) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(K_{n-1}) \). One has a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Lie}(K_n) & \xrightarrow{\hat{b}_P} & \hat{t}_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Lie}(K_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{b_P} & \hat{t}_{n-1}
\end{array}
\]
where \( P^i \) is \( P \) with the \( i \)-th letter erased, and where the right vertical arrow is induced by the morphism \( t_n \rightarrow t_{n-1}, \ t_{ia} \mapsto 0, \ t_{ab} \mapsto t_{f(a)f(b)} \) for \( a,b \in [1,n] \setminus \{i\} \), \( f \) being the increasing bijection \( [1,n] \setminus \{i\} \simeq [1,n-1] \).

It follows from this diagram that \( \hat{b}_P \) restricts to a Lie algebra morphism \( \text{Lie}(F_{n-1}) \rightarrow \hat{t}_{n-1} \), where \( \hat{t}_{n-1} \) is the kernel of \( \hat{t}_n \rightarrow \hat{t}_{n-1} \), which is the degree completion of the kernel of \( t_n \rightarrow t_{n-1} \), a Lie algebra freely generated by the \( t_{ij} \), \( j \in [1,n] \setminus \{i\} \). The abelianization of this morphism can be shown to be an isomorphism, therefore \( \text{Lie}(F_{n-1}) \rightarrow \hat{t}_{n-1} \) is an isomorphism.

It then follows from the exact sequence \( 0 \rightarrow \hat{t}_{n-1} \rightarrow \hat{t}_n \rightarrow \hat{t}_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \) that the above morphisms of Lie algebras fit in an exact sequence of pro-unipotent Lie algebras \( 0 \rightarrow \text{Lie}(F_{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(K_n) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(K_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \). The result follows.

4.1.5. Injectivity of \( K_n^{(p)} \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \).

**Lemma 4.8.** For any \( n \geq 2 \), the map \( K_n^{(p)} \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) is injective.

**Proof.** The statement is obvious for \( n = 2 \) as \( K_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z} \). One then proceeds by induction over \( n \). Assume that the statement holds for \( n-1 \), then we have a natural morphism between two exact sequences, which makes the following diagram commutative
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \rightarrow & F_{n-1}^{(p)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \rightarrow & F_{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \rightarrow & K_n^{(p)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \rightarrow & K_{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \rightarrow & K_{n-1}^{(p)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \rightarrow & K_{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)
\end{array}
\]
The leftmost vertical map is injective by Lemma 4.14 and the rightmost vertical map is as well by the induction assumption, which shows that the middle vertical map is injective.

4.2. Results on \( \text{GT}_p \). Let \( F_2 \) be the free group with generators \( X,Y \). Consider the morphisms from \( F_2 \) to various groups given by the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name of morphism</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \kappa )</th>
<th>( \alpha_1 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_2 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_3 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_4 )</th>
<th>( \alpha_5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>target group</td>
<td>( F_2 )</td>
<td>( F_2 )</td>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>image of ( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( (XY)^{-1} )</td>
<td>( x_{12} )</td>
<td>( x_{23} )</td>
<td>( x_{12}x_{23} )</td>
<td>( x_{23}x_{12} )</td>
<td>( x_{23}x_{12}x_{23} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>image of ( Y )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>( x_{12} )</td>
<td>( x_{23} )</td>
<td>( x_{34} )</td>
<td>( x_{12}x_{13} )</td>
<td>( x_{23}x_{34} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pro-\( p \) completions of these morphisms are denoted in the same way.

In [Dr], \( \text{GT}_p \) is defined as the set of all \( (\lambda, f) \in (1+2\mathbb{Z}_n) \times F_2^{(p)} \) such that
\[
(4.2.1) \quad f\theta(f) = 1, \quad \kappa^2(f)(XY)^{-m}\kappa(f)Y^m fX^m = 1, \quad \alpha_1(f)\alpha_3(f)\alpha_5(f)\alpha_2(f)\alpha_4(f) = 1,
\]
where \( m = (\lambda - 1)/2 \) (equalities in \( F_2^{(p)} \) and \( K_4^{(p)} \)) and \( \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) is the set of all \((\lambda, f) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) such that the same identities hold in \( F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) and \( K_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) \).

A semigroup structure is defined on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times F_2^{(p)} \) by \((\lambda_1, f_1) \circ (\lambda_2, f_2) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2, f)\), where

\[
 f(X, Y) = f_1(f_2(X, Y)X^{\lambda_2} f_2(X, Y)^{-1}, Y^{\lambda_2}) f_2(X, Y)
\]

(see [Dr], (4.11)). The subset \( \text{GT} \) is then defined to be the set of invertible elements in \( \text{GT}_p \).

**Lemma 4.9.** \((F_2^{(p)}, \circledast)\) is a subgroup of \((F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \circledast)\).

**Proof.** Under the identification

\[
 F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle^\times ,
\]

the subset \( F_2^{(p)} \) identifies with \( \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle) \cap (1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0 \).

Moreover, \((4.2.2)\) is compatible with the products \( \circledast \) on \( F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) and on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle^\times \), defined by

\[
 (a \circledast b)(t_1, t_2) = a(t_1, t_2)b(t_1, a^{-1}t_2 a)
\]

(using the identification \( X_0 = 1 + t_1, X_1 = 1 + t_2 \)).

Let us show that \((1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0, \circledast)\) is a subgroup of \((\mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)^\times, \circledast)\). It is obviously a sub-semigroup. Moreover, let \( b \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0, \circledast \) and let \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle^\times \) be its inverse. One has then

\[
 (a \circledast b)(t_1, t_2)b(t_1, a^{-1}t_2 a) = 1.
\]

One shows by induction on \( n \) that

\[
 a \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0 + \mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle >_n .
\]

For \( n = 0 \), this follows from \((4.2.3)\). Assume that \((4.2.4)\) holds for \( n \). Then \( b(t_1, a^{-1}t_2 a) \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0 + \mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle >_{n+1} . \) \((4.2.3)\) then implies \((4.2.4)\) with \( n \) replaced by \( n+1 \). Finally \( a \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0 \). It follows that \((1 + \mathbb{Z}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)_0, \circledast)\) is a subgroup of \((\mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)^\times, \circledast)\). The result now follows from this and from the fact that \( F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) is a subgroup of \((\mathbb{Q}_p \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle)^\times, \circledast)\).

**□**

**Lemma 4.10.** The subgroup of invertible elements of \((\mathbb{Z}_p \times F_2^{(p)}, \circledast)\) is \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^{(p)} \).

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.4. □

**Corollary 4.11.** \( \text{GT}_p = \mathcal{GT}_p \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^{(p)}). \)

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.10 and the definition of \( \text{GT}_p \). □

**Proposition 4.12.** \( \text{GT}_p = \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^{(p)}). \)
Proof. $\text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ defined by the prounipotent versions of the conditions (4.2.1), while $\text{GT}_p$ is the subset of $((1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) \times F_2^p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p$ defined by the pro-$p$ versions of the same conditions (the equality follows from $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for $p = 2$, and $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p \neq 2$). Moreover, the inclusion $K_4^{(p)} \subset K_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the compatibilities of the pro-$p$ and prounipotent completions of a given group morphism imply that an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p$ satisfies the pro-$p$ version of (4.2.1) iff its image in $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ satisfies its prounipotent version. □

Remark 4.13. Proposition 4.12 shows that $\text{GT}_p$ is the intersection of two subgroups of $((\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \circ),$ and is therefore a group.

Corollary 4.14 ([Dr], p. 846). $\text{GT}_p \subset \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. This immediately follows from Proposition 4.12. □

Proposition 4.15. $\text{GT}_p = \text{GT}^p$ for $p = 2$ and $\text{GT}_p = \text{GT}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p \neq 2$.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.11 together with $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for $p = 2$, and $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $p \neq 2$. □

4.3. A pro-$p$ analogue $G_{p}^{dmr,B}$ of the group scheme $G_{dmr,B}(\cdot)$.

Definition 4.16. One sets

$$G_{p}^{dmr,B} := G_{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p).$$

Lemma 4.17. $G_{p}^{dmr,B}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \circ)$. 

Proof. This follows from the fact that both $G_{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p$ are subgroups of $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \circ)$. □

Proposition 4.18. The natural inclusions yield the following commutative diagram of groups, in which both squares are Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GT}_p & \rightarrow & G_{p}^{dmr,B} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) & \rightarrow & G_{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
&& (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p, \circ) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
&& (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times F_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \circ)
\end{array}$$

Proof. The fact that the right square is Cartesian follows from the definition of $G_{p}^{dmr,B}$. Then

$\text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap G_{p}^{dmr,B} = \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (G_{dmr,B}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p))$ (by the definition of $G_{p}^{dmr,B}$)

$= \text{GT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times F_2^p)$ (by the inclusion of group schemes $\text{GT}(\cdot) \subset G_{dmr,B}(\cdot)$)

$= \text{GT}_p$ (by Proposition 4.11),

so that the left square is Cartesian. □
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