ON AN APPLICATION OF HAYASHI’S INEQUALITY FOR DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

MOHAMMAD W. ALOMARI

ABSTRACT. In this work, we show that the proof of the main result in [An Application of Hayashi’s Inequality for Differentiable Functions, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 32 (6) (1996), 95–99, by R.P. Agarwal and S.S. Dragomir] was wrong. A correction of the proof is given. More general related inequalities are also provided.

1. Introduction

The Hayashi’s inequality states that ([9], pp. 311-312):

Theorem 1. Let \( p : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be a nonincreasing mapping on \([a, b]\) and \( h : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) an integrable mapping on \([a, b]\) with \( 0 \leq h(x) \leq A \) for all \( x \in [a, b] \). Then, the inequality

\[
A \int_{b-\lambda}^{b} p(x)dx \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(x)h(x)dx \leq A \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} p(x)dx
\]

holds, where \( \lambda = \frac{1}{A} \int_{a}^{b} h(x)dx \).

This inequality is a simple generalization of Steffensen’s inequality which holds with same assumptions with \( A = 1 \).

In 1996, Agarwal and Dragomir [1] presented an application of this inequality, as follows:

Theorem 2. Let \( f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a differentiable mapping on \( I^\circ \) (the interior of \( I \)) and \([a, b] \subseteq I^\circ \) with \( M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f’(x) < \infty \), \( m = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f’(x) < \infty \) and \( M > m \). If \( f’ \) is integrable on \([a, b]\), then the following inequality holds

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f'(t) dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \\
\leq \frac{[f(b) - f(a) - m(b-a)] [M(b-a) - f(b) + f(a)]}{2(M-m)(m-a)} \\
\leq \frac{(M-m)(b-a)}{8}.
\]

This results is valid as we improve in this work (see Corollary 1), however, after investigation the presented proof in [1] was wrong. More precisely, it was established for a very special case when \( f(x) = a - x \) but not for general \( f \).
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In 2002, Gauchman [7] generalized (1.2) for \( n \)-times differentiable using Taylor expansion. So that (1.2) become a special case of Gauchman result when \( n = 0 \). However, it seems that Gauchman didn’t notice the fallacy of the presented proof in [1].

In this work, a corrected proof of (1.2) is provided. Some other related results are also obtained.

2. The Results

Let us begin with the following generalization of (1.2).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a, b]\) with \( 0 \leq g'(t) \leq (b - a) \) and \((\cdot - t)g'\) is integrable on \([a, b]\). Then

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{(x - a)g(a) + (b - x)g(b)}{b - a} - \lambda \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right| \\
\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} (b - a - \lambda) \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{8}
\]

for all \( x \in [a, b] \). The equality satisfied when \( g(x) = x \), \( x \in [0, 1] \). In particular, for \( x = \frac{a + b}{2} \)

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{g(a) + g(b)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} (b - a - \lambda) \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{8},
\]

where \( \lambda = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a} \).

**Proof.** Let \( f(t) = x - t \), \( t \in [a, b] \). Applying the Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) by setting \( p(t) = f(t) \) and \( h(t) = g'(t) \), we get

\[
(b - a) \int_{b - \lambda}^b (x - t) \, dt \leq \int_{b - \lambda}^b (x - t)g'(t) \, dt \leq (b - a) \int_a^{a + \lambda} (x - t) \, dt
\]

where, \( A = b - a \) or we write

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g'(t) \, dt = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a}.
\]

Also, we have

\[
\int_{b - \lambda}^b (x - t) \, dt = \lambda (x - b) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2,
\]

\[
\int_a^b (x - t)g'(t) \, dt = -(x - a)g(a) - (b - x)g(b) + \int_a^b g(t) \, dt,
\]

and

\[
\int_a^{a + \lambda} (x - t) \, dt = \lambda (x - a) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2.
\]
Substituting the above equalities in (2.2) and dividing by \((b - a)\), we get

\[
\ell_1(x) := \lambda (x - b) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2
\]

\[
\leq -\frac{(x - a) g(a) + (b - x) g(b)}{b - a} + \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt := I
\]

\[
\leq \lambda (x - a) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 := \ell_2(x).
\]

We also have

\[
\left| I - \frac{\ell_1(x) + \ell_2(x)}{2} \right| = \left| I - \lambda \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\ell_2(x) - \ell_1(x)}{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda}{2} (b - a - \lambda)
\]

which proves the first inequality in (2.1). The second inequality follows by applying the same technique in ([1], pp. 96-97).

**Remark 1.** For very close related results of Theorem 3, we refer the reader to [2-8] and [11].

The corrected generalized version of Agarwal-Dragomir result (1.2) is incorporated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let \(g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}\) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a, b]\) with \(\gamma \leq g'(t) \leq \Gamma\) and \((-t)g'\) is integrable on \([a, b]\). Then

\[
(2.3)
\]

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{(x - a) g(a) + (b - x) g(b)}{b - a} - \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a} \cdot \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Gamma - \gamma}{2} \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{(b - a - \lambda)}{b - a} \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma) (b - a)}{8},
\]

for all \(x \in [a, b]\). In particular, for \(x = \frac{a + b}{2}\)

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{g(a) + g(b)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma - \gamma}{2} \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{(b - a - \lambda)}{b - a} \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma) (b - a)}{8},
\]

where \(\lambda = \frac{g(b) - g(a) - (b - a)}{1 - \gamma}\).

**Proof.** Repeating the proof of Theorem 3, with \(h(t) = g'(t) - \gamma\), \(t \in [a, b]\), we get the first inequality. The second inequality in (2.3) follows by applying the same technique in ([1], pp. 96-97). Some manipulations in the particular \(x = \frac{a + b}{2}\) gives the same result in (1.2).

**Remark 2.** Let the assumptions of Corollary 1 be satisfied. Then, the Corollaries 3-4 and the Remarks 1-2 in [1] (p. 97) are hold.

In 1997, Dragomir and Wang [6] introduced an inequality of Ostrowski–Grüss’ type as follows:

\[
(2.4)
\]

\[
f(x) - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} (b - a) (\Gamma - \gamma),
\]
holds for all \( x \in [a, b] \), where \( f \) is assumed to be differentiable \([a, b] \) with \( f' \in L^1[a, b] \) and \( \gamma \leq f'(x) \leq \Gamma, \forall x \in [a, b] \).

In 1998, another result for twice differentiable was proved in [5]. In 2000, the constant \( \frac{1}{4} \) in (2.4) was improved by \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \) in [10].

A better improvement of (2.4) can be deduced by applying the Hayashi’s inequality as presented in the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a, b]\) with \(0 \leq g'(t) \leq (b - a)\) and \((· - t)g'(t)\) is integrable on \([a, b]\). Then

\[
\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) dt - g(x) + \lambda \left(x - \frac{a + b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{b - a}{2} - \lambda^2 \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{16},
\]

for all \( x \in [a, b] \), where \( \lambda = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a} \). In particular, for \( x = \frac{a + b}{2} \)

\[
\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t) dt - g \left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{b - a}{2} - \lambda^2 \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{16}.
\]

**Proof.** Fix \( x \in [a, b] \) and let \( f(t) = a - t, t \in [a, x] \). Applying the Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) by setting \( p(t) = f(t) \) and \( h(t) = g'(t) \), we get

\[
(b - a) \int_{x - \lambda}^{x} (a - t) dt \leq \int_{x}^{x} (a - t)g'(t) dt \leq (b - a) \int_{a}^{a + \lambda} (a - t) dt
\]

where,

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g'(t) dt = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a}.
\]

Also, we have

\[
\int_{x - \lambda}^{x} (a - t)dt = -\lambda (x - a) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2,
\]

\[
\int_{a}^{x} (a - t)g'(t)dt = -(x - a) \cdot g(x) + \int_{a}^{x} g(t) dt,
\]

and

\[
\int_{a}^{a + \lambda} (a - t)dt = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2.
\]

Substituting in (2.6), we have

\[
(b - a) \left( -\lambda (x - a) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \right) \leq -(x - a) \cdot g(x) + \int_{a}^{x} g(t) dt \leq -\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (b - a).
\]

Now, let \( f(t) = b - t, t \in [x, b] \). Applying Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) again we get

\[
(b - a) \int_{b - \lambda}^{b} (b - t) dt \leq \int_{b}^{b} (b - t)g'(t) dt \leq (b - a) \int_{b}^{b + \lambda} (b - t) dt
\]

where,

\[
\int_{b - \lambda}^{b} (b - t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2.
\]
\[ \int_x^b (b - t)g'(t)dt = -(b - x)g(x) + \int_x^b g(t)dt, \]
and
\[ \int_x^{x+\lambda} (b - t)dt = \lambda (b - x) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2. \]
Substituting in (2.8), we have
\[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (b - a) \leq -(b - x)g(x) + \int_a^b g(t)dt \leq (b - a) \left( \lambda (b - x) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \right). \]
Adding (2.7) and (2.9) we get
\[ (b - a) (-\lambda (x - a) + \lambda^2) \leq \int_a^b g(t)dt - (b - a)g(x) \leq (b - a) \left( \lambda (b - x) - \lambda^2 \right). \]
Setting
\[ I := \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t)dt - g(x), \]
\[ \ell_1(x) = (-\lambda (x - a) + \lambda^2), \]
and
\[ \ell_2(x) = \left( \lambda (b - x) - \lambda^2 \right). \]
Therefore,
\[ \left| I - \frac{\ell_1(x) + \ell_2(x)}{2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t)dt - g(x) + \lambda \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\ell_2(x) - \ell_1(x)}{2} \leq \lambda \frac{b - a}{2} - \lambda^2, \]
which proves the first inequality in (2.5). To prove the second inequality, define the mapping \( \phi(t) = -t^2 + \frac{b - a}{2} t \), then \( \max \phi(t) = \phi \left( \frac{b - a}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{b - a}{2} \right)^2 \), so that \( \phi(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + \frac{b - a}{2} \lambda \leq \left( \frac{b - a}{2} \right)^2 \), which completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.** Let \( g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a, b]\) with \( \gamma \leq g'(t) \leq \Gamma \) and \((\cdot - t) g'(t)\) is integrable on \([a, b]\). Then
\[ \left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t)dt - g(x) + \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a} \cdot \left( x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)}{b - a} \left( \lambda \frac{b - a}{2} - \lambda^2 \right) \leq \frac{(b - a) (\Gamma - \gamma)}{16}, \]
for all \( x \in [a, b] \), where \( \lambda = \frac{a(b - g(a)) - \gamma(b - a)}{1 - \gamma} \). In particular, for \( x = \frac{a + b}{2} \)
\[ \left| \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b g(t)dt - g \left( \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)}{b - a} \left( \lambda \frac{b - a}{2} - \lambda^2 \right) \leq \frac{(b - a) (\Gamma - \gamma)}{16}. \]
Proof: Repeating the proof of Theorem 4, with \( h(t) = g'(t) - \gamma, \ t \in [a, b] \), we get the first inequality. The second inequality (2.10) follows by applying the same technique in the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 3. As we notice, (2.10) improves (2.4) by \( \frac{1}{\lambda} \), which is better than Matić et al. improvement in [10].

In [3], under the assumptions of Theorem 4, the author of this paper proved the following version of Guessab–Schmeisser type inequality (see [8]):

\[
\tag{2.11}
\left| g(x) + g(a+b-x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)(b-a)}{8},
\]

for all \( x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}] \).

An improvement of (2.11) is considered as follows:

**Theorem 5.** Let \( g : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a,b]\) with \( 0 \leq g'(t) \leq (b-a) \) and \((\cdot, t)g'(t)\) is integrable on \([a,b]\). Then

\[
\tag{2.12}
\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{g(x) + g(a+b-x)}{2} \right| \leq \lambda \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} - \frac{3\lambda}{2} \right] \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{24},
\]

for all \( x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}] \), where \( \lambda = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b-a} \).

Proof. Fix \( x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}] \) and let \( f(t) = a - t, \ t \in [a, x] \). Applying Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) by setting \( p(t) = f(t) \) and \( h(t) = g'(t) \), then (2.6) holds, i.e.,

\[
\tag{2.13}
(b-a) \left[ -\lambda(x-a) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \right] \leq - (x-a) g(x) + \int_a^x g(t) \, dt \\
\leq - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 (b-a).
\]

where,

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g'(t) \, dt = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b-a}.
\]

Now, let \( f(t) = \frac{a+b}{2} - t, \ t \in [x, a+b-x] \). Applying the Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) again we get

\[
\tag{2.14}
(b-a) \int_{a+b-x}^{a+b-x} \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) \, dt \leq \int_{x}^{a+b-x} \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) g'(t) \, dt \\
\leq (b-a) \int_{x}^{a+b-x} \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) \, dt
\]

where,

\[
\int_{a+b-x}^{a+b-x} \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) \, dt = -\lambda \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2,
\]

\[
\int_{x}^{a+b-x} \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) g'(t) \, dt \\
= - \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right) (g(x) + g(a+b-x)) + \int_{x}^{a+b-x} g(t) \, dt,
\]

...
and
\[\int_x^{x+\lambda} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\right) dt = \lambda \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - x\right) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2.\]

Substituting in (2.14), we have
\[- (b-a) \left[\lambda \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - x\right) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2\right]\]
\[
\leq - \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - x\right) (g(x) + g(a+b-x)) + \int_x^{a+b-x} g(t) dt
\]
\[
\leq (b-a) \left[\lambda \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - x\right) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2\right].
\]

Now, let \(f(t) = b - t, t \in [a+b-x, b]\). Applying Hayashi’s inequality (1.1) again we get
\[
(b-a) \int_{b-\lambda}^{b} (b-t) dt \leq \int_{a+b-x}^{b} (b-t) g'(t) dt
\]
\[
\leq (b-a) \int_{a+b-x}^{a+b-x+\lambda} (b-t) dt
\]
where,
\[
\int_{b-\lambda}^{b} (b-t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2,
\]
\[
\int_{a+b-x}^{b} (b-t) g'(t) dt = -(x-a) g(a+b-x) + \int_{a+b-x}^{b} g(t) dt
\]
and
\[
\int_{a+b-x}^{a+b-x+\lambda} (b-t) dt = \lambda (x-a) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2.
\]

Substituting in (2.16), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (b-a) \leq -(x-a) g(a+b-x) + \int_{a+b-x}^{b} g(t) dt
\]
\[
\leq (b-a) \left[\lambda (x-a) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2\right].
\]

Adding (2.13), (2.15) and (2.17) we get
\[-\lambda (b-a) \left[\frac{b-a}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda\right] \leq \int_a^b g(t) dt - (b-a) \frac{g(x) + g(a+b-x)}{2}
\]
\[
\leq \lambda (b-a) \left[\frac{b-a}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda\right],
\]
which is equivalent to the first inequality in (2.12). To prove the second inequality in (2.12), define the mapping \(\phi(t) = -\frac{1}{2} (b-a) t^2 + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} t\), then \(\max \phi(t) = \phi \left(\frac{b-a}{6}\right) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{24}\), so that \(\phi(\lambda) = -\frac{3}{2} (b-a) \lambda^2 + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} \lambda \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{24}\), which completes the proof of the theorem.

\[\square\]

A generalization of (2.11) and (2.12) is incorporated in the following result.
Corollary 3. Let \( g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an absolutely continuous function on \([a, b]\) with 
\( \gamma \leq g'(t) \leq \Gamma \) and \((-t)g'(t)\) is integrable on \([a, b]\). Then

\[
\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt - \frac{g(x) + g(a + b - x)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \frac{\Gamma - \gamma}{b-a} \right) ((b-a) - 3\lambda) \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)(b-a)}{24},
\]

for all \( x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}] \), where \( \lambda = \frac{g(b) - g(a) - \gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma - \gamma} \).

Proof. Repeating the proof of Theorem 5, with \( h(t) = g'(t) - \gamma, t \in [a, b] \), we get the first inequality. The second inequality in (2.18) follows by applying the same technique in the proof. \( \square \)
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