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Abstract. We study parallelisable bimodule noncommutative Riemannian geometries in small dimensions, working over the field \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) of 2 elements and with coordinate algebras up to dimension \( n \leq 3 \). We find a rich moduli of examples for \( n = 3 \) and top form degree 2, including 9 that are Ricci flat but not flat. Their coordinate algebras are commutative but their differentials are not. We also study the quantum Laplacian \( \Delta = \langle \ , \ \rangle \nabla d \) on our models and characterise when it has a massive mode.

1. Introduction

Emerging from modern ideas for quantum gravity is the now widely accepted view that momentum space could be curved and conversely that spacetime could be noncommutative or ‘quantum’ due to Planck scale corrections\[1\] and that this could in principle be measurable by secondary effects such as in \[2, 3\]. There is also evidence for such a quantum spacetime hypothesis in 3D quantum gravity\[4, 5\], where the theory is better understood albeit topological, while in 4D the hypothesis gives a route into elements of effective quantum gravity without knowing the full theory, including predictions at the Poisson or ‘classical quantum gravity’ level\[6\]. There are also plenty of other potential applications of quantum geometry, such to the geometry of other quantum integrable where quantum groups play a central role, and in principle to actual quantum systems systems where there can be phase spaces that also have a metric structure (as in the Kahler manifold case\[7\]) and quantum geometry allows us to follow this into the quantum algebra of observables. So far this last possibility has been little studied but there are instances, such as the quantum Hall effect\[8\] and more recently the fractional quantum Hall effect\[9\] where noncommutative geometry is thought to be relevant.

With these and many other motivations, quantum or noncommutative geometry has been extensively developed since the 1980s. One approach, that of Connes, comes out of operator algebras and a ‘spectral triple’ generalisation of the Dirac operator\[10\]. Other approaches are more algebraic and in particular, coming out of experience with quantum groups but not limited to them, is a constructive approach that builds up the different layers of Riemannian geometry one after the other\[11, 12, 13, 14, 15\]. One feature of these works is the use of ‘bimodule connections’ which have a Leibniz rule with respect to products of functions on 1-forms from both the left and the right, a concept going back to \[16, 17\]. The other feature relevant to us is that this constructive approach works in principle over any field, even if the focus till now has been over \( \mathbb{C} \).
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Therefore the idea in two recent works\cite{18,19} is that we can even work at the other extreme i.e. ‘digitally’ over the field $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ of two elements, and here we continue in this setting. (Note that there are some different ideas in mathematics towards an abstract notion of a ‘field of one element’ but we do not consider anything like that here). In particular, we can let our ‘coordinate algebra’ $A$ be finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{F}_2$ in which case it has a finite number of elements, i.e. literally a finite quantum Riemannian geometry. The long-term motivation is that we could eventually do physics on such algebras and continuum infinities would now be made finite. This is the same principle as lattice or graph approximations in physics (which can also be done using noncommutative geometry\cite{14}) but goes in an orthogonal direction by changing the field that we work over. Hence when the algebra is also finite-dimensional, this represents a more drastic finiteness even than working on a finite graph geometry over $\mathbb{C}$ and gives us complete control of any and all infinities. On the other hand it is unclear what would be the physics of quantum gravity given by a sum over the moduli of all finite ‘digital’ quantum geometries if the action was also in $\mathbb{F}_2$; it likely makes more sense to quantise this discrete $\mathbb{F}_2$ moduli space more conventionally in terms of functions on it with values in $\mathbb{C}$.

The first step is to have a sense of what this moduli space of digital quantum geometries looks like, which is the aim of present paper for $A$ of dimension $n \leq 3$, and to explore the resulting $\mathbb{F}_2$-geometries themselves, which turn out to be quite rich and to include 9 Ricci-flat but not flat ones. As it happens they have $A$ commutative, but the differentials are noncommutative so they are strictly ‘quantum’. An immediate application of having a repertoire of digital quantum geometries is that they can be used to test ideas and conjectures in the general theory if we expect them to hold for any field, even if we are mainly interested in the theory over $\mathbb{C}$. Indeed, the nonlinear nature of the quantum Levi-Civita condition makes it very hard to solve by analytic means for a general quantum metric, with the result that to date only the square graph was fully solved in terms of the moduli of all quantum metrics and connections on it\cite{20}. Having so few general examples to work with makes it very hard to further develop the general theory in a convincing way (notably the correct notion of stress energy and conservation laws are poorly understood). It is also possible, geometry being ubiquitous in science and engineering, that there could be applications of $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_p$ quantum geometries in theory own right. One of these could be to transfer geometric ideas into digital electronics as explained in \cite{19}. Why exactly one would want to do this remains to be seen, but one area of application could be to build digital quantum computing gates as analogues of what we may wish to build in an actual quantum computer and with potentially some of the benefits, as well as being ‘training wheels’ for the real thing.

The paper begins in Section 2 with some preliminary definitions from the constructive ‘bimodule’ approach to noncommutative Riemannian geometry but written entirely in tensor terms, which is needed for computer implementation. The paper is a sequel to \cite{19} where we classified digital geometries on $A = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ with differential calculus defined by commutative $n$-dimensional algebras $(V, \circ)$ and we already know all possible such algebras over $\mathbb{F}_2$ up to $n \leq 4$ from that work. The difference now is that $A = (V, \circ)$ itself is our coordinate algebra on which we do the noncommutative differential geometry. We also do not need $A$ to be commutative, but there are no noncommutative unital algebras as $n = 2$ and only one over $\mathbb{F}_2$. 

at \( n = 3 \) which does not appear to admit an interesting quantum geometry, so in practice \( A \) will remain commutative. The classification is then done by computer methods using mainly Mathematica to try all possible values for the Christoffel symbols, with some work by hand as a check of the implementation. Results for \( n = 2 \) are at the start of Section 3 and the more interesting results for \( n = 3 \) are in Sections 3.3 – 3.5. After this, Section 4 computes the Laplacian for the main examples and Section 5 studies the Ricci tensor and scalar. A summary of the results is provided in the concluding Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

This is a short account of the bimodule approach in [11, 12, 13] but in an explicit form with structure constants and over a general field \( k \). We will then look for solutions over \( F_2 \) in later sections. Compared to [19] we denote the algebra by \( A \), its product by omission and the identity element by 1 (in the previous work they were denoted \( \circ \), \( e \)).

(i) Let \( \{ x^\mu \} \) be a basis of our algebra \( A \) with \( e = x^0 = 1 \) the unit and \( \mu = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \). We write structure constants by

\[
(2.1) \quad x^\mu x^\nu = V^{\mu\nu}_\rho x^\rho, \quad V^{\mu\nu}_\rho \in k.
\]

For a unital commutative associative algebra we of course need

\[
(2.2) \quad V^0_{\mu\nu} = \delta^\mu_{\nu}, \quad V^{\mu\nu}_\rho = V^{\nu\mu}_\rho, \quad V^{\mu\nu}_\rho V^{\rho\lambda}_{\gamma} = V^{\nu\mu}_\lambda V^{\nu\lambda}_{\gamma}.
\]

Next we define the differential structure by specifying a space of 1-forms \( \Omega^1 \) which we assume has a basis \( \{ \omega^i \} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) over \( A \), where necessarily \( m \leq n - 1 \) is the dimension of the calculus over \( A \). The case \( m = n - 1 \) is the ‘universal calculus’ and any other is a quotient of this. Our assumption is that \( \Omega^1 = A \). \( \{ \omega^i \} \) as a free left module by the product in \( A \) and we also require a right action of \( A \) which we specify by structure constants along with structure constants for the exterior differential \( d: A \to \Omega^1 \) by

\[
(2.3) \quad \omega^i x^\mu = a^{i\mu}_{\nu j} x^\nu \omega^j, \quad dx^\mu = d^\mu_{\nu j} x^\nu \omega^j, \quad a^{i\mu}_{\nu j}, \quad d^\mu_{\nu j} \in k.
\]

Such a calculus is called ‘left parallelisable’. In the nicest case moving algebra generators to the left can be inverted, so we can equally take \( \Omega^1 = \{ \omega^i \}. A \). These are required to obey the axioms of a bimodule \( a((db)c) = (a db)c \) (the left and right actions commute with each other) and the Leibniz rule \( d(ab) = (da)b + adb \) which becomes

\[
(2.4) \quad a^{i\mu}_{\nu j} a^{j\nu}_k V^{\sigma\tau}_{\eta} = a^{i\nu}_{\eta k} V^{\mu\nu}_\rho, \quad V^{\mu\nu}_\rho d^\rho_{\sigma j} = d^{\mu}_{\lambda i} a^{i\nu}_{\rho j} V^{\lambda\gamma}_{\sigma} + d^{\nu}_{\delta j} V^{\mu\delta}_{\sigma}.
\]

We also want \( \Omega^1 \) to be spanned by things of the form \( adb \) (surjectivity condition) and optionally we ask for the calculus to be connected in the sense that only the constant function is killed by \( d \). These translate respectively as

\[
(2.5) \quad B^{\mu\nu}_{\eta j} := V^{\mu\rho}_{\eta j} d^\nu_{\rho i}, \quad \text{rank nm}
\]

\[
(2.6) \quad d^\mu_{\nu i}, \quad 1 - \text{dimensional null space}.
\]

Note that for any unital algebra we have \( d1 = 0 \) and \( \omega^i.1 = \omega^i = 1.\omega^i \) which also implies that \( dx^\mu.1 = 1.dx^\mu = dx^\mu \) and fixes some of the structure constants.
(ii) Next we define a metric as an invertible element of $g \in \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$. It turns out that invertibility by a bimodule inner product $(\ ,\ ) : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \to A$ forces $g$ to be central (to commute with functions). In terms of structure constants the centrality is

\begin{equation}
\label{metric-compatible}
g = g_{\mu ij} x^\mu \omega^i \otimes \omega^j, \quad g_{\mu ij} \in k; \quad g_{\mu ij} a^{i\lambda} a^{j\gamma}_m V^{\mu \gamma}_\sigma = g_{\rho m k} V^{\nu \rho}_\sigma.
\end{equation}

We still need nondegeneracy, which is best explained by writing the metric with coefficients in the middle, so $g = \omega^i \tilde{g}_{\mu ij} x^\mu \otimes \omega^j$ or equivalently, given the commutation relations,

\begin{equation}
\label{metric-coefficients}
g_{\mu ij} = a^{\nu \mu} \mu i \nu \rho k j.
\end{equation}

Then we need existence of an algebra-valued matrix $g^{ij} = (\omega^i, \omega^j) \in A$ which is inverse to $\tilde{g}_{ij} = g_{\mu ij} x^\mu \in A$ in the sense $g^{ij} \tilde{g}_{jk} = \delta_{ik} = g_{ij} g^{jk}$. For a quantum metric with inverse one has a natural ‘quantum dimension’

\begin{equation}
\label{dimension}
\dim = (\ ,\ ) (g) \in k.
\end{equation}

Next, by a ‘left connection’ on $\Omega^1$ we mean $\nabla : \Omega^1 \to \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ such that

\begin{equation}
\nabla (a \omega) = a (\nabla \omega) + da \otimes \omega, \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \omega \in \Omega^1.
\end{equation}

The reader can think of a covariant derivative $\nabla_v : \Omega^1 \to \Omega^1$ along all vector fields $v$ but we specify all of these together by an extra left-most copy of $\Omega^1$ waiting to be evaluated against any vector field if available. This is a normal approach in noncommutative geometry and allows us to dispense with vector fields entirely. By ‘bimodule connection’ we mean a left connection such that in addition for some bimodule map $\sigma : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \to \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$,

\begin{equation}
\nabla (\omega_a) = (\nabla \omega) a + \sigma (\omega \otimes da), \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \omega \in \Omega^1.
\end{equation}

If a left connection admits such a $\sigma$ then the latter is unique, hence this is a property of $\nabla$ and not further data. In this case $\nabla$ extends to $\nabla g = (\nabla \otimes \id) g + (\sigma \otimes \id)(\id \otimes \nabla) g$ and we say that $\nabla$ is metric-compatible if $\nabla g = 0$. We write structure constants for the connection as

\begin{equation}
\label{structure-constants}
\nabla \omega^j = \Gamma^{j}_{\nu km} x^\nu \omega^k \otimes \omega^m, \quad \sigma (\omega^j \otimes \omega^j) = \sigma^{ij}_{\mu km} x^\mu \omega^k \otimes \omega^m.
\end{equation}

**Lemma 2.1.** In terms of our structure constants, the full left connection is

\begin{equation}
\nabla (x^\mu \omega^j) = \left(V^{\nu \rho}_\sigma \Gamma^{j}_{\rho km} + d^\nu_{\rho k} \delta^j_m\right) x^\nu \omega^k \otimes \omega^m.
\end{equation}

A bimodule connection has $\sigma$ obeying

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^{i}_{\nu st} a^{i\mu}_{\lambda \mu} a^{\lambda m}_{\nu} V^{\gamma}_\rho + d^\nu_{\lambda j} a^{i\mu}_{\lambda \mu} a^{\lambda m}_{\gamma \tau} \sigma^{ij}_{\beta \gamma \beta \mu} V^{\gamma \beta}_\rho = a^{i\mu}_{\nu j} \left(V^{\nu \rho}_\sigma \Gamma^{j}_{\rho \alpha km} + d^\nu_{\rho \beta} \delta^j_m\right) - \sigma^{ij}_{\rho \nu \nu \nu m} a^{i\mu}_{\nu j} a^{\mu m}_{\nu} V^{\rho \sigma}_\sigma = 0.
\end{equation}

Such a connection is metric compatible if

\begin{equation}
g_{\mu mn} d^\nu_{\nu k} + g_{\mu j} \Gamma^{i}_{\rho km} V^{\nu \rho}_\nu + g_{\mu ij} \Gamma^{j}_{\beta sn} a^{i\beta}_{\alpha \tau} \sigma^{ij}_{\alpha \tau} V^{\mu \alpha}_\rho V^{\rho \sigma}_\sigma = 0.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Here by the connection derivation rule, $\nabla (x^\mu \omega^j) = x^\mu (\nabla \omega^j) + d x^\mu \otimes \omega^j = x^\mu (\Gamma^{j}_{\rho km} x^\rho \omega^k \otimes \omega^m) + d x^\mu_{\nu k} x^\nu \omega^k \otimes \omega^j = V^{\nu \rho}_\sigma x^\nu \Gamma^{j}_{\rho km} V^{\sigma \rho}_\rho V^{\rho \sigma}_\sigma + d x^\nu_{\nu k} x^\nu \omega^k \otimes \delta^j_m \omega^m.$
giving the expression stated. For bimodule connection, we additionally require
\[ \nabla(\omega^i x^\mu) = (\nabla x^\mu) x^i + \sigma(\omega^i \otimes dx^\mu) = (\Gamma_{\nu st} x^{\mu} \omega^s \otimes \omega^t) x^i + d\mu_{\lambda j} \sigma(\omega^i x^\lambda \otimes \omega^j) = \Gamma_{\nu st} a^i_{\lambda m} \lambda_k x^\mu \omega^s \otimes \omega^t + d\mu_{\lambda j} a^i_{\lambda m} \lambda_k x^\mu \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega^j) = \Gamma_{\nu st} a^i_{\lambda m} a^s_{\lambda k} x^\mu \omega^s \otimes \omega^t + d\mu_{\lambda j} a^i_{\lambda m} a^s_{\lambda k} \lambda_k x^\mu \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega^j) \]
comparing gives the first condition stated which, if \(\sigma\) exists, characterises it. We also need \(\sigma\) to be well-defined as a bimodule map. This comes down to equality of the expressions
\[ \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega^j x^\mu) = a^i_{\mu k} \sigma(\omega^i x^\mu \otimes \omega^k) = a^i_{\mu k} a^\nu_{\mu m} x^\mu \sigma(\omega^m \otimes \omega^k) = a^i_{\mu k} a^\nu_{\mu m} x^\mu \sigma(\omega^m \otimes \omega^t) = a^i_{\mu k} a^\nu_{\mu m} x^\mu \sigma(\omega^m \otimes \omega^t) \]
which is the second condition stated. Once we have a bimodule connection, the metric compatibility makes sense and reads
\[ \nabla g = \nabla (g_{\mu ij} x^i x^j \omega^k \otimes \omega^l) = g_{\mu ij} d_{i k} x^j x^k \omega^l \otimes \omega^i \otimes \omega^j + g_{\mu ij} x^j \Gamma_{\nu st} x^i \partial_{\mu k} x^\nu \omega^s \otimes \omega^t + g_{\mu ij} x^j \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \Gamma_{\nu st} x^j \omega^s \otimes \omega^t) \]
and the last term here computes to
\[ g_{\mu ij} x^j \Gamma_{\nu st} x^i \partial_{\mu k} x^\nu \sigma(\omega^t \otimes \omega^s) \otimes \omega^i \otimes \omega^j \]
We then replace products giving application of \(V\) and relabel so that all terms are multiples of \(x^i \omega^k \otimes x^m \omega^n\). This then gives the condition stated for \(\nabla g = 0\).

For \(m = 1\) we drop the form indices so that
\[ \nabla \omega = \Gamma_{\nu \rho} x^{\nu} \omega \otimes \omega, \quad \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega) = \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega) = \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega) = g_{\nu i} \omega \otimes \omega \]
and the (first) bimodule connection condition and the metric condition become respectively
\[ \Gamma_{\nu \rho \lambda} a^{\lambda} \gamma V^{\nu \gamma} \rho + d\mu_{\lambda} a^{i \lambda} x^{\mu} \gamma V^{\nu \gamma} \rho = a^i_{\nu \lambda} (V^{\nu \alpha} \rho V_{\alpha} + d^i \rho) \]
\[ g_{\nu i} d^i \rho + g_{\mu i} \Gamma_{\rho} V^{i \rho} \rho + g_{\mu i} \Gamma_{\beta} x^\beta a_{\alpha}^i \sigma(\omega^i \otimes \omega) = 0. \]
The second bimodule condition drops out provided the algebra product is commutative (which we have not assumed in proving the lemma but which is our case of interest in the paper).

(iii) Next we suppose that \(A = \Omega^0\) and \(\Omega^1\) are part of a differential graded or ‘exterior algebra’ \(\Omega = \Omega^0 + \Omega^1\) with a wedge product \(\wedge\) and to which \(d\) extends obeying \(d^2 = 0\) and the graded-Leibniz rule. This requires a little more data to specify at least \(\Omega^2\). We will limit attention to the case where \(\Omega^2 = A\).Vol is a 1-dimensional free
module over $A$, with basis Vol say (and we assume that this is also true from the right). For $m = 1$ it is natural to take Vol $\omega \wedge \omega$ but we could take any invertible function of this, while more generally it depends on the calculus if Vol exists and how it looks. If it does, we define
\[
\omega^i \wedge \omega^j = \epsilon^{ij}_\mu x^\mu \text{Vol} = \epsilon^{ij} \text{Vol}, \quad \epsilon^{ij}_\mu \in k, \quad \epsilon^{ij} \in A.
\]
We want an associative wedge product including the action by elements of $A$, in which case centrality of the volume form comes down to certain commutation relations between $\omega^i \wedge \omega^j$, namely
\[
(2.11) \quad \epsilon^{ij}_\mu x^\mu = a^{jk}_\mu a^{i\rho} x_\rho \epsilon^{mk}.
\]
In general one can suppose that $\epsilon^{ij}$ is invertible with $\epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{jk} = \delta_{ik} = \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon_{jk}$. Finally, we want $d$ to extend with $d = 0$ which we write as
\[
(2.12) \quad d\omega^i = \tau^i_\mu x^\mu \text{Vol} = \tau^i \text{Vol}; \quad d\epsilon^{ij}_\mu x^\mu + d\epsilon^{i\rho} \rho x^\rho \epsilon^{jk} = 0.
\]
The extension of $d$ to general 1-forms is by the Leibniz rule and this has to be consistent with the bimodule commutation relations, which is
\[
(2.13) \quad \tau^i a^{j\mu} x_\mu \epsilon^{k\rho} \rho x^\rho + d\epsilon^{i\rho} \rho x^\rho \epsilon^{jk} = a^{i\mu} \mu x_\mu \epsilon^{jk} + a^{i\nu} \nu x^\nu \tau^i.
\]
Note that $\tau^i, \epsilon^{ij}$ are elements of $A$ so there are $V$ products if we wish the write equations involving these more explicitly. If $\omega^i = dx^i$ which will be our usual case then $\tau^i = 0$ and in this case if $\epsilon^{ij}$ is a solution then so is any invertible function times it, which corresponds to the same $\Omega^2$ with a different volume form. One could have other choices e.g. $\Omega^2 = 0$ for which such restrictions and our further conditions below involving $\wedge$ would be empty.

For $m = 1$ we drop the indices so that $\omega \wedge \omega = \epsilon \text{Vol}$ where $\epsilon \in A$ is invertible. Then
\[
(2.11) \rightarrow (2.13)
\]
become
\[
(2.14) \quad \epsilon x^\mu = a^{\mu} \mu x^\rho \epsilon \\
(2.15) \quad d\epsilon^{i\mu} \mu x^\rho \epsilon + d\epsilon^{i\rho} \rho x^\rho \epsilon = 0 \\
(2.16) \quad \tau a^{\mu} \mu x^\rho \epsilon - d\epsilon^{i\mu} \mu x^\rho \epsilon = a^{\mu} \mu x^\rho \epsilon + a^{i\rho} \rho x^\rho \tau
\]
with $\epsilon = 1$ the canonical choice.

(iv) Once we have specified at least $\Omega^2$, we can ask for our metric to be ‘quantum symmetric’ in the sense
\[
(2.17) \quad \wedge (\mathbf{g}) = 0; \quad g_{\mu j} V^{\mu \nu} \epsilon^{ij}_\nu = 0
\]
or in $A$-valued notation $g_{ij} \epsilon^{ij} = 0$. Also, for any left connection, we define its torsion by $T^i_V := \nabla - d$. This commutes with left multiplication by $A$ so for its vanishing it is enough to look on basis elements. A quantum Levi-Civita connection (QLC) is defined as one where $\nabla \mathbf{g} = T^i_V = 0$ where the latter torsion freeness, explicitly, is
\[
(2.18) \quad \Gamma^i_{\mu j k} x^\mu V^{\nu \mu} \rho \epsilon^{ij}_\nu = \tau^i_\rho.
\]
There is also a weaker notion of weak quantum Levi-Civita connection (WQLC) where we have a left connection which is torsion free and also cotorsion free in the sense $\cot T^i_V := (d \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \nabla) \mathbf{g} = 0$ which, explicitly, is
\[
(2.19) \quad g_{\gamma im} d^i_{\lambda k} \epsilon^{k i}_\sigma V^{\lambda \alpha} \sigma + g_{\gamma im} \gamma^i_\lambda V^{\gamma \lambda} \theta = g_{\gamma ij} V^{\gamma \sigma} \alpha a^{\lambda \sigma} \sigma \Gamma^j_{\lambda km} \epsilon^{r k}_\beta V^{\alpha \beta} \sigma.
\]
This can be useful when $\nabla$ is not a bimodule connection, e.g. when one does not exist, or when it is computationally too hard to search at first for all bimodule connections. In this case one can first try to classify all WQLCs and then see which of them are QLCs.

For $m = 1$ with $\Omega_1^2$ one-dimensional as above, (2.17) cannot hold and (2.18)–(2.19) become

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_\mu \epsilon_\nu V^{\mu \nu} = \tau_\rho \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_\epsilon = \tau
\end{equation}

(2.20)

\begin{equation}
g_\gamma d^\gamma \lambda_\alpha V^\lambda_\theta + g_\gamma \tau_\lambda V^\gamma_\chi_\theta = g_\gamma V^\gamma_\theta, \alpha d^\lambda_\sigma \tau_\lambda V^\alpha_\beta_\theta \Leftrightarrow g'_\epsilon + g_\tau = g_\Gamma \epsilon
\end{equation}

(2.21)

where if $\tau = 0$ then (2.20) cannot hold unless $\Gamma = 0$, while (2.21) becomes $q' = q\Gamma$.

Here we adopted a shorthand $\tilde{f} = f_\mu a^\mu, x^\nu$ for any $f = f_\mu x^\mu \in A$, so that $df = f'_\omega$ and $\omega f = f\omega$.

(v) Once we have constructed our geometries we will be interested in the geometric Laplacian and the curvature. These are given respectively by

$$
\Delta = (\cdot, \cdot) \nabla: A \to A, \quad R_\nabla = (d \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \nabla) \nabla: \Omega^1 \to \Omega^2 \otimes_A \Omega^1.
$$

In terms of components, these are

\begin{equation}
\Delta x^\mu = g_\gamma ^{\mu \nu \gamma}(V^\nu_\sigma \Gamma^{\gamma \mu}_\nu + d^\nu_\sigma \delta^\gamma_\nu) V^\gamma_\nu \epsilon x^\nu
\end{equation}

(2.22)

\begin{equation}
R_\nabla \omega^\gamma = \rho_\mu^j x^\mu Vol \otimes \omega^\gamma = \rho_\mu^j Vol \otimes \omega^\gamma;
\end{equation}

(2.23)

$$
\rho_{j \beta} = \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} + \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} - \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} - \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \quad \rho = \rho_\mu^j Vol \otimes \omega^\gamma
$$

When $m = 1$ these become

$$
\Delta x^\mu = \tilde{g}_\gamma ^{\mu \nu \gamma}(V^\nu_\sigma \Gamma^{\gamma \mu}_\nu + d^\nu_\sigma \delta^\gamma_\nu) V^\gamma_\nu \epsilon x^\nu; \quad \Delta f = \tilde{g}_\gamma ^{\mu \nu \gamma}(f^\mu + f^\gamma \Gamma)
$$

for all $f \in A$, where $(\omega, \omega) = \tilde{g}_\gamma ^{\mu \nu \gamma}$ is the inverse metric, and $R_\nabla \omega = \rho Vol \otimes \omega$ with

$$
\rho_{j \beta} = \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta + \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta - \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta - \Gamma^i_\mu \epsilon_\nu \nu^\mu_\alpha \beta \quad \rho = \rho_\mu^j Vol \otimes \omega^\gamma
$$

It should be stressed that these definitions are not ad-hoc; they are part of a general noncommutative or ‘quantum’ Riemannian geometry that applies to large range of examples including q-deformation ones and graph geometries.

(vi) The Ricci tensor is less well understood but the proposal in [13] is to define it with respect to a ‘lifting’ bimodule map $i: \Omega^1 \to \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ such that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = id$. If we assume that $\Omega_1^2$ is one-dimensional with central basis $Vol$ then we write $i(Vol) = I_{ij} \omega^j \otimes \omega^j$ for some central element of $\Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ such that $\langle I \rangle = Vol$. The latter is explicitly,

\begin{equation}
I_{ij} \epsilon^i = 1; \quad I_{ij} \epsilon^i V^{\mu \alpha}_\epsilon = \delta_{\alpha \beta}.
\end{equation}

(2.24)

Note that such $I$ is generally not unique, namely we can add any functional multiple $\gamma g$ for $\gamma \in A$ if $g$ is central and quantum symmetric (which may not always be the case). Then

$$
\text{Ricci} = g_{ij}(\langle \omega^i, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})(i \otimes \text{id}) R_\nabla \omega^j = g_{ij}(\omega^i, \rho_{j \alpha} I_{\mu \alpha} \omega^\mu) \omega^j \otimes \omega^k;
$$

(2.25)

$$
\text{Ricci}_{ij} = g_{mn} \rho_{j \alpha} I_{k \alpha} V^{\beta \mu}_\alpha \omega^m \gamma_k \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \omega^n \gamma_\delta \omega^\delta \gamma_\xi \omega^\xi
$$

where we used the bimodule commutation relations and $g_{ij} = (\omega^i, \omega^j)$ as inverse to $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ according to the general analysis above, and $\rho_{j \beta}$ is as in (2.23). The main idea
then is to use the freedom in $I$ to adjust Ricci to have the same quantum symmetry and centrality as $g$, which may or may not be possible, and if it is it may not be uniquely so. The Ricci scalar is defined as
\begin{equation}
S = \langle \ , \ \rangle \text{Ricci} = \text{Ricci}_{ij} \bar{g}^{ij}.
\end{equation}
If $m = 1$ and $\Omega^2$ is 1-dimensional then we have $i(\text{Vol}) = \epsilon^{-1} \omega \otimes \omega$ as the unique choice and
\[\text{Ricci} = g \bar{\rho}^{-1} \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} \bar{\omega} \otimes \omega.\]
This has the same (not quantum symmetric) form as the metric in this case.

### 3. Geometries with $n \leq 3$

Here we look at the noncommutative Riemannian geometry of commutative unital algebras up to dimension $n \leq 3$. For $n = 1$ there is just $k$, $d1 = 0$ and no metric. For $n = 2$ we do the calculation by hand as a check of some computer methods and for $n = 3$ we then proceed entirely by computer (using Mathematica and R) for $m = 1$ and partly by hand and computer in a nontrivial case with $m = 2$.

#### 3.1. Classification of geometries for $n = 2$

For $n = 2$ over any field $k$ and up to normalisation of the element $x \neq 1$, we have (i) $x^2 = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in k$ (some of these could be the same up to normalisation) or (ii) $x^2 = \lambda + x$ where $\lambda \in k$. Note that if $\lambda = 0$ in the case (i) then $(1 + x)^2 = 1 + 2x = -1 + 2(1 + x)$ which is in case (ii) after normalisation if $2$ is invertible in the field and in case (i) with $\lambda = 1$ if $2$ is not invertible. Similarly if $\lambda = 1$ over $F_2$ then this is equivalent to $\lambda = 0$ by a change in variable. Over $F_2$ this means three possibilities but for future reference we work with general $k$ for as long as we can. In each case there is a unique non-zero differential calculus $\Omega^1$, namely the universal calculus with dimension $m = 1$ (any other is a quotient).

In each case we first describe this universal calculus to degree 2 under the assumption that $\omega = dx$ is our basis of $\Omega^1$ from the left or right. The universal calculus for $n = 2$ must have $m = 1$ (so on indices related to that) and we must have the form
\[d^{\mu}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = 0.\]

The universal calculus is given by applying $d$ to the relations. We recall our notation $df = f' \omega$. The universal calculus is always connected to $f' = 0$ implies that $f$ is a multiple of 1.

(i) (This includes algebra $A$ in the table). We have $dx.x + x.dx = 0$ so $\{\omega, x\} = 0$. Applying $d$ again we have $-\omega \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ hence there are no relations in degree 2 for the universal calculus. In terms of structure constants this is
\[d^{\mu}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = 0\]

which one can check is the most general solution for our structure constants $V^{11}_0 = \lambda$ and $V^{11}_1 = 0$ given that we fixed the form of $d$.

We take $\Omega^2 = A.\text{Vol}$ where Vol = $\omega \wedge \omega$ is central. In this case a general central non-zero metric is $g = g\omega \otimes \omega$ for any invertible $g \in A$, and can never be quantum.
symmetric. A general connection is $\nabla \omega = \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega$ for $\Gamma \in A$ and can never be torsion free unless $\Gamma = 0$ in which case $\nabla(x\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega$. This is a bimodule connection if $\nabla(\omega x) = \sigma(\omega \otimes \omega)$ which given the commutation relations means $\sigma(\omega \otimes \omega) = -\omega \otimes \omega$. One can see this also from the bimodule conditions in Lemma 2.1. Then metric compatibility is

$$0 = \nabla g = g' \omega \otimes \omega \otimes \omega$$

needs $g$ to be a multiple of 1. Hence up to normalisation only $g = \omega \otimes \omega$ admits a QLC. If we look only for a WQLC we have $g$ needs compatibility is $\nabla \omega = \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega$, giving the same conclusion of QLC. If we looked only for a WQLC we have $g$ needs compatibility is $\nabla \omega = \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega$.

Alternatively, we can quotient to $\Omega^2 = 0$. In this case any connection is (trivially) a WQLC for any metric and any metric is quantum symmetric. In this case we do not need to set $\Gamma = 0$ and in this case the condition for $\sigma$ by the same steps as above is $\nabla(x\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega + x\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega = -\nabla(\omega x) = -\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega - \sigma(\omega \otimes \omega)$ which now gives $\sigma(\omega \otimes \omega) = -(1 + 2x\Gamma) \omega \otimes \omega$. This time the metric compatibility condition is

$$0 = \nabla g = g' \omega \otimes \omega \otimes \omega + g \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega \otimes \omega + \sigma(\omega \otimes \omega) \otimes \omega$$

which simplifies to

$$g' + g \Gamma - g \bar{\Gamma}(1 + 2x \Gamma) = 0$$

in the algebra. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$ this simplifies to $g^2 = 0$ which for $\lambda = 0$ has only $g = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0, x$ as solutions. This is also in the row for algebra $A$ in Table 1 and applies for any $\Omega^2$.

(ii) (This includes algebras $B, C$ in the table.) Now we have $dx.x + x.dx = dx$ so $\{\omega, x\} = \omega$. Applying $d$ again we have $-\omega \wedge \omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ hence there are no relations in degree 2 for the universal calculus. In terms of structure constants this is

$$a^\mu_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = 0$$

which one can check is the most general solution for our structure constants $V^{11}_0 = \lambda$ and $V^{11}_1 = 1$ given that we fixed the form of $d$.

We again can take $\Omega^2 = A.\operatorname{Vol}$ where $\operatorname{Vol} = \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega$ now obeys $\operatorname{Vol} x = -\omega \wedge x \omega + \operatorname{Vol} x = x \omega \wedge \omega - \operatorname{Vol} \operatorname{Vol} = x \operatorname{Vol}$ so this is again central. Similarly, a general central non-zero metric is again of the form $g = \omega \otimes \omega$ for any invertible $g \in A$ and can never be quantum symmetric. As before, a general connection is $\nabla \omega = \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega$ for $\Gamma \in A$ and can never be torsion free unless $\Gamma = 0$, in which case a bimodule connection requires $\nabla(x\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega + x\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega = -\nabla(\omega x) = -\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega - \sigma(\omega \otimes \omega)$ which gives $\sigma(\omega \otimes \omega) = -\omega \otimes \omega$ as before. Then metric compatibility needs $g$ constant hence up to normalisation only $g = \omega \otimes \omega$ admits a QLC just as before. Also as before only $g = \omega \otimes \omega$ for to have a WQLC (these steps are identical the the previous case). If we drop $T_\Gamma = 0$ and ask only for $coT_\Gamma = 0$ then we need $g' = g \bar{\Gamma}$ again where now $\bar{\Gamma}: A \to A$ is the automorphism $\bar{x} = 1 - x$. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with $\lambda = 0$ this has only $g = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0$ again as solutions. With $\lambda = 1$ we this and also $\Gamma = g$ for $g = x, 1 + x$ as shown in the table for algebras $B, C$. 
Alternatively, we can quotient to $\Omega^2 = 0$. As before, in this case any connection is (trivially) a WQLC for any metric and any metric is quantum symmetric. The condition for $\sigma$ by the same steps as above is $\nabla (x\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega + x\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega = -\nabla (\omega x) + \nabla \omega = -\Gamma \omega \otimes \omega x - \sigma (\omega \otimes \omega) + \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega$ which now gives $\sigma (\omega \otimes \omega) = -(1 + (2x - 1)\Gamma) \omega \otimes \omega$. This time the metric compatibility condition is

$$0 = \nabla g = g' \omega \otimes \omega \otimes \omega + \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega \otimes \omega + g (\Gamma \omega \otimes \Gamma \omega) \otimes \omega$$

which simplifies to

$$g' + g \Gamma - g (1 + (2x - 1)\Gamma) = 0$$

in the algebra. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$ this simplifies to

$$g' = g (\Gamma + \Gamma \Gamma)$$

with only $g = 1$ and $\Gamma = 0$ as solutions. This is shown also in the table for rows $B$, $C$ as it applies for any $\Omega^2$. In geometric terms algebra $A$ is the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $z = 1 + x$ obeying $z^2 = 1$ and algebra $B$ is the function algebra $\mathbb{F}_2 (\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $x$, $1 + x$ the delta-functions at the two points (and the calculi are covariant with respect to the Hopf algebra structure). The algebra $C$ is $\mathbb{F}_2 [x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$ with is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{F}_4$ as an extension of $\mathbb{F}_2$.

**Remark 3.1.** Over $\mathbb{F}_2$ for all the algebras $A$, $B$, $C$ one can check that the only solutions to (2.14)-(2.16) have $\tau = 0$, so $\omega = dx$ as we assumed in our analysis, and that $\epsilon$ is unique up to multiplication by an invertible functions so that without loss of generality we can take $\epsilon = 1$, or $\text{Vol} = \omega \wedge \omega$, as we also assumed.

3.2. Classification geometries for $n = 3$ and $m = 1$. In this case there are many more algebras and we restrict to $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then by the results in [19] there are 6 unital commutative algebras $A - F$ and we consider each in turn followed by
a further noncommutative one $G$ which turns up by the same method when we drop commutativity. For differential structures we first list the universal one with $m = 2$ (the geometry of which we consider later) and then use a computer to find all possible 1-dimensional quotients. The algebra relations and the universal calculus relations by applying $d$ to them are

A) $x^2 = 0 = y^2, \ xy = 0$,

$$dx.y = xdy, \ dy.x = ydx, \ [dx, x] = [dy, y] = 0;$$

B) (this is $\mathbb{F}_2(Z_3)$ or functions on a triangle) $x^2 = x, \ y^2 = y, \ xy = 0$

$$dx.y = xdy, \ dy.x = ydx, \ [dx, x] = dx, \ [dy, y] = dy$$

C) $x^2 = x, \ y^2 = xy = 0$

$$dx.y = xdy, \ dy.x = ydx, \ [dx, x] = dx, \ [dy, y] = 0$$

D) (this is $\mathbb{F}_2 Z_3$) $x^2 = y, \ y^2 = x, \ xy = x + y$

$$dx.y = xdy + dx + dy, \ dy.x = ydx + dx + dy, \ [dx, x] = dy, \ [dy, y] = dx$$

E) (this is an anyonic line $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2) \ x^2 = y, \ y^2 = xy = 0$

$$dx.y = xdy, \ dy.x = ydx, \ [dx, x] = dy, \ [dy, y] = 0$$

F) (the field extension $\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[y]/(y^3 + y^2 + 1) \ y^2 = x, \ xy = 1 + x$ (and $x^2 = 1 + x + y$ implied)

$$dx.y = xdy + dx, \ dy.x = ydx + dx, \ [dx, x] = dx + dy, \ [dy, y] = dx$$

G) (noncommutative) $\mathbb{F}_2(x, y)$ modulo the ideal generated by the relations $x^2 = x, \ y^2 = 0, \ xy = y, \ yx = 0$

$$dx.x = (1 + x)dx, \ dx.y = (1 + x)dy, \ dy.x = ydx, \ dy.y = ydy$$

For $m = 1$, we have in each case to add a relation to the ones coming from the universal calculus. The results are as follows.

(i) For algebras A, D, and E there are no solutions for 1-dimensional differential calculi $\Omega^1$ even of the left-parallelizable form assumed in our general analysis.

(ii) Algebra F has 13 families of differential calculi $\Omega^1$
conclude that for any exterior algebra \( d \times x \) apply. For example, for calculus B, basis element \( \text{Vol} \), which is an added complication as the analysis in Section 2 won’t of the algebra so we either have to take \( \Omega_R^C \). They all have torsion in the case of \( \Omega^\mu \) central. On the other hand, some of the metrics do admit compatible connections. Also for these calculi \( \Omega^\mu \) preferred case). However, it is exactly these more geometrical ones which admit a \( a^\mu_{\nu} \) invertible (iii) Algebra B has 8 families of left-parallelisable differential calculi of which only B.4 and B.8 have invertible bimodule relations as in [F1]. (iv) The similar computer results for the algebra C at \( m = 1 \) are summarised in Table 3 and again we see that there are some non-invertible central metrics. Also in all cases \( a^\mu_{\nu} \) is not invertible so these are not fully parallelisable in our sense and we have similar issues that we should take \( \Omega^\mu \) as the generator, in some cases not central. On the other hand, some of the metrics do admit compatible connections. They all have torsion in the case of \( \Omega^2 \neq 0 \) with \( T_{\Gamma^\omega} = \Gamma \text{Vol} \) for any connection \( \Gamma = n_0 + n_1 x + n_2 y \), say. The curvatures of the latter for the four calculi are:

**C.1.:** \( R_{\Gamma^\omega} = (n_0 + n_1 + n_0 n_1 + (1 + n_0 + n_1) n_2 y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega \). For the six metric compatible ones in the table the curvature is always \( R_{\Gamma^\omega} = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega \) except for \( \Gamma = 1 + x + y \) which has a factor 1 + \( y \) out front.

**C.2.:** \( R_{\Gamma^\omega} = (n_0 + n_1 + n_0 n_1 + (n_1 + (1 + n_0 + n_1) n_2) y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega \). For the 6 metric compatible ones we have the same options for the curvature, with the 1 + \( y \) factor when \( \Gamma = x, x + y, 1 + x \).

**C.3.:** \( R_{\Gamma^\omega} = (n_0 + n_2 + (n_1 + n_0 n_1 + n_2) x) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega \)

**C.4.:** \( R_{\Gamma^\omega} = (n_0 + n_2 + (n_1 + n_0 n_1 + n_2) x + n_2 y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega \).
Table 2. 1-dimensional differential calculi for the algebra B with the corresponding central metrics, but none invertible. None of them admit a metric compatible connection (or a cotorsion free or torsion free one unless $\Omega^2 = 0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family B</th>
<th>Differential calculi relations</th>
<th>Central metrics (non-invertible)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.1</td>
<td>$dx = \omega$, $dy = y \cdot \omega$  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = 0 ]</td>
<td>$g_{B.1} = (1 + y) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{B.1} = \omega \otimes \omega = x \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{B.1} = (1 + x) \cdot g_{B.1} = (1 + x + y) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.2</td>
<td>$dx = \omega + y \cdot \omega$, $dy = y \cdot \omega$  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = 0 ]</td>
<td>metrics the same as in B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.3</td>
<td>$dx = \omega$, $dy = x \cdot \omega + y \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = x \cdot \omega ]</td>
<td>$g_{B.3} = (x + y) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{B.3} = x \cdot g_{B.3} = x \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{B.3} = y \cdot g_{B.3} = y \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.4</td>
<td>$dx = \omega + y \cdot \omega$, $dy = x \cdot \omega + y \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = x \cdot \omega ]</td>
<td>no central metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.5</td>
<td>equivalent to B.1 under $x \leftrightarrow y$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.6</td>
<td>equivalent to B.3 under $x \leftrightarrow y$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.7</td>
<td>equivalent to B.2 under $x \leftrightarrow y$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.8</td>
<td>equivalent to B.4 under $x \leftrightarrow y$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. 1-dimensional differential calculi for the algebra C with the corresponding central but non-invertible metrics. None of the metrics are quantum symmetric and none of the metric compatible connections have $T = 0$ for $\Omega^2 \neq 0$. (For $\Omega^2 = 0$ all metrics are quantum symmetric, all connections are WQLC and the metric compatible ones in the table are the QLCs.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family C</th>
<th>Differential calculi relations</th>
<th>Central metrics (non-invertible)</th>
<th>Connections (with torsion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.1</td>
<td>$dx = \omega$, $dy = y \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = 0 ]</td>
<td>$g_{C.1} = (x + y) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{C.1} = x \cdot g_{C.1} = x \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{C.1} = (1 + x) \cdot g_{C.1} = y \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$</td>
<td>only $g_{C.1}$ metric compatible  (and no others with $\cot T = 0$):  $\nabla_{C.1} = \nabla_{C.1} - \nabla_{C.1} : \Gamma = 0, y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.2</td>
<td>$dx = \omega + y \cdot \omega$, $dy = y \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = \omega + x \cdot \omega, \omega, y = 0 ]</td>
<td>metrics the same as for C.1</td>
<td>connections the same as for C.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.3</td>
<td>$dx = \omega + x \cdot \omega$, $dy = x \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = 0, \omega, y = y \cdot \omega ]</td>
<td>$g_{C.3} = (1 + x) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{C.3} = (x + y) \cdot g_{C.3} = (1 + x + y) \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$  $g_{C.3} = y \cdot g_{C.3} = y \cdot \omega \otimes \omega$</td>
<td>none metric comp. or $\cot T = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.4</td>
<td>$dx = x \cdot \omega$, $dy = x \cdot \omega + y \cdot \omega$,  [ \omega, x = 0, \omega, y = y \cdot \omega ]</td>
<td>metrics the same as for C.3</td>
<td>none metric comp. or $\cot T = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(v) For the noncommutative algebra G there are several parallelisable calculi with $m = 1$. They all appear to have similar issues of the nonexistence of an invertible metric and problems with $\Omega^2$ as the B and C algebras at $m = 1$, so we do not discuss them individually.
3.3. Classification of $n = 3, m = 2$ geometries on the algebra $D$. We will now consider each of the 6 algebras above but with the $m = 2$ case of the universal calculus $\Omega^1$. To keep things simple we consider geometries with basis $\omega^1 = dx, \omega^2 = dy$ for $\Omega^1$, so that $\tau^1 := d\omega^1 = 0$. The universal calculus at $\Omega^2$ is normally too large to be reasonable for a geometry—we will need to quotient it to obtain something more 'reasonable' such as $\Omega^2$ 1-dimensional. This has to be searched for on a case-by-case basis for each algebra. In this section we illustrate the method in detail on the algebra $D$ and then for the other algebras we just list the results.

In fact the algebra $D$ is isomorphic to the group algebra on the group $\mathbb{Z}_4$ since $z = 1 + x$ obeys $z^2 = 1 + y$ and $z^3 = 1$ in the algebra. The bimodule commutation relations in terms of these are
\[
\omega^1 z = \omega^1 (x+1) = (x + 1)\omega^1 + \omega^2 = z\omega^1 + \omega^2
\]
\[
\omega^2 z = \omega^2 (x+1) = (y + 1)\omega^1 + \omega^2 = z^2\omega^1.
\]

From these it is easy to see that
\[
(3.1) \quad g = \alpha z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \alpha\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \beta z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \beta\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2
\]
\[
= \alpha (1 + x)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \alpha\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \beta (1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \beta\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2
\]
is the general form of a central element in the tensor square, for any two functions $\alpha, \beta$ in the algebra.

Next, applying $d$ to these gives no relations with the result that $\Omega^2$ for the universal calculus is 4-dimensional. For a natural 1-dimensional $\Omega^2$ we appeal to the group theory where we have left-invariant 1-forms $e_a$ forming a Grassmann algebra. Making the isomorphism formally (which one can do by making a field extension to adjoin a cube root of 1) and transferring back, we are led to define
\[
\omega^1 \omega^2 = \omega^2 \omega^1 = 0, \quad (\omega^1)^2 + z(\omega^2)^2 = 0
\]
which gives a 1-dimensional $\Omega^2$ which we take with basis $\text{Vol} = (\omega^1)^2 = z(\omega^2)^2$ say. This is central and has
\[
\omega^i \omega^j = \epsilon^{ij} \text{Vol}, \quad \epsilon^{11} = 1, \quad \epsilon^{22} = z^2, \quad \epsilon^{12} = \epsilon^{21} = 0.
\]

One can check that one has a DGA with $d\omega^1 = 0$ (so $\tau^1 = 0$).

Then $\Lambda(g) = 0$ requires $\alpha = z\beta$ so we have just a 1-functional parameter of non-zero central quantum symmetric metrics,
\[
g = \beta (z(\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^1 + (z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^2) = \beta ((\omega^1 z^2 + \omega^2 z) \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 z \otimes \omega^2)
\]
\[
= \beta (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \beta (1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \beta (1 + x)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \beta\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2
\]
where the latter expression makes it clear that this is invertible at least when $\beta = 1$ because the 'internal' coefficient matrix is invertible (and for typical $\beta$ according to how the coefficients look when $\beta$ is commuted to the middle).

We now use a computer to solve for QLCs where torsion depends on the choice of $\Omega^2$ which in the 1-dimensional case comes down to the choice of volume element $\text{Vol}$. We find four QLCs for each choice of $\beta = 1, z, z^2$ of invertible $\beta$, all with curvature except for one flat one of the twelve.
1. \( (\beta = 1) \) \( g_{D.1} = z(z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^1 + (z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^2 \):
\[
\nabla_{D.1.1}\omega^1 = z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + z)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.1.1}\omega^2 = z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.1.1}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.1.1}}\omega^2 = z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \\
\nabla_{D.1.2}\omega^1 = z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.1.2}\omega^2 = z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.1.2}}\omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{D.1.2}}\omega^2 = (1 + z^2) \text{Vol} \otimes (\omega^1 + \omega^2); \\
\nabla_{D.1.3}\omega^1 = (z + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.1.3}\omega^2 = z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (z + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.1.3}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.1.3}}\omega^2 = z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \\
\nabla_{D.1.4}\omega^1 = (z + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + z)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.1.4}\omega^2 = z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (z + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.1.4}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.1.4}}\omega^2 = z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1.
\]

2. \( (\beta = z) \) \( g_{D.2} = z^2(z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^1 + z(z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^2 \):
\[
\nabla_{D.2.1}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.2.1}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.2.1}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.2.1}}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \\
\nabla_{D.2.2}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (z + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \\
\nabla_{D.2.2}\omega^2 = (1 + z)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (z + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.2.2}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.2.2}}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \\
\nabla_{D.2.3}\omega^1 = (z + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \\
\nabla_{D.2.3}\omega^2 = (1 + z)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + z^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (z + z^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.2.3}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.2.3}}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \\
\n\nabla_{D.2.4}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_{D.2.4}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + z^2(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.2.4}}\omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{D.2.4}}\omega^2 = (1 + z^2) \text{Vol} \otimes (\omega^1 + \omega^2). 
\]

3. \( (\beta = z^2) \) \( g_{D.3} = (z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^1 + z^2(z\omega^1 + \omega^2) \otimes \omega^2 \):
\[
\nabla_{D.3.1}\omega^1 = z\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1, \quad \nabla_{D.3.1}\omega^2 = z^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.3.1}}\omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{D.3.1}}\omega^2 = 0; \\
\n\nabla_{D.3.2}\omega^1 = z\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \quad \nabla_{D.3.2}\omega^2 = z^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \\
R_{\nabla_{D.3.2}}\omega^1 = z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1, \quad R_{\nabla_{D.3.2}}\omega^2 = (1 + z^2) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + z^2\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; 
\]
For this family there are in fact 3 possible (but equivalent) solutions for \(\epsilon\) (that are invertible and satisfy (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.17) for a central volume form with metric quantum symmetric). They are multiples by the invertible functions of

\[
\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}
\]

which is the one is assumed above with Vol = \((\omega^1)^2\). The other solutions are \(z, z^2\) times this which one can view as \(z^2, z\) times the volume form for the same calculus.

3.4. Classification of \(n = 3, m = 2\) geometries on the algebra \(B\). In this section we keep the \(m = 2\) or universal calculus with \(\omega^1 = dx\) and \(\omega^2 = dy\) and \(\Omega^2\) again one-dimensional so that we can use the same general set-up as above, but we consider the other possible algebras from our list. First we find by computer that:

**Lemma 3.2.** For algebras A,C,E,G there are no invertible central metrics for the \(m = 2\) calculus.

For example, for the noncommutative algebra G finds from the commutation relations that there is a unique central element

\[
g_G = (1 + x)dy \otimes dy + ydy \otimes dx = dx.y \otimes dy + dy.y \otimes dx
\]

so that \(\tilde{g}_G = y\sigma_1\) (a Pauli matrix), which is not invertible since \(y\) is not.

That leaves algebras B,F and we consider B in this section. There is one invertible quantum symmetric central metric:

\[
g_B = (1 + y)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x + y)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + (1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2
\]

There is only one solution for \(\epsilon\) (which is invertible and satisfies (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.17) for a central volume form with metric quantum symmetric)

\[
\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x + y \\ x + y + 1 + x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + y x + y \\ x + y 1 + x \end{pmatrix}
\]

There are 4 QLCs for this family and the metric above, of which only one is flat:

\[
\nabla_B,1\omega^1 = (1 + x + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_B,1\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + x + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla B,1}\omega^1 = 0, \quad R_{\nabla B,1}\omega^2 = (x + y) Vol \otimes (\omega^1 + \omega^2);
\]

\[
\nabla_B,2\omega^1 = (1 + x)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
\nabla_B,2\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \\
R_{\nabla B,2}\omega^1 = (x + y) Vol \otimes (\omega^1 + \omega^2), \quad R_{\nabla B,2}\omega^2 = 0;
\]
In fact the algebra here is \( F \) structure, From this point of view the metric is the Euclidean metric standard triangle graph calculus) and Grassmann algebra which provide a manageable route to solving these equations by hand, with the same

\[ R_{V_{B.3}} \omega^1 = R_{V_{B.4}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{B.4} \omega^1 = (1 + x + y) \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 \right) \]
\[ R_{V_{B.4}} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (x + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2 \]
\[ R_{V_{B.4}} \omega^2 = (x + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2. \]

In fact the algebra here is \( F_2(Z_3) \) with \( x = \delta_1, y = \delta_2 \) and \( 1 + x + y = \delta_0 \) for the three delta-functions. We can identify left-invariant 1-forms with respect to the group structure,

\[ e^1 = (x + 1) \omega^1 + (x + y) \omega^2, \quad e^2 = (x + y) \omega^1 + (y + 1) \omega^2 \]

which provide a manageable route to solving these equations by hand, with the same results as above and simple commutation relations \( e^1 \delta_2 = \delta_1 e^1, e^2 \delta_1 = \delta_2 e^2 \) etc (the standard triangle graph calculus) and Grassmann algebra \( (e^1)^2 = 0, e^1 e^2 = e^2 e^1 = \text{Vol} \). From this point of view the metric is the Euclidean metric \( g_B = e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1 \) which over \( F_2 \) is unique as 1 is the only invertible function.

3.5. **Classification of** \( n = 3, m = 2 \) **geometries on the algebra** \( F \). For the algebra \( F \) with its \( m = 2 \) universal \( \Omega^1 \), there are 7 invertible quantum symmetric central metrics namely any nonzero multiple of any one of them, eg

\[ g = \beta \left( y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right) \]

for any nonzero \( \beta \) (necessarily invertible since the algebra here is a field). However, only four of them admit QLCs:

1) \( (\beta = y^2) \ g_{F.1} = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \)

with 12 QLCs, four of which are flat:

\[ \nabla_{F.1,1} \omega^1 = (1 + y^2) \left( \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right), \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,1} \omega^2 = 0, \quad R_{V_{F.1,1}} \omega^1 = R_{V_{F.1,1}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,2} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right), \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,2} \omega^2 = 0, \quad R_{V_{F.1,2}} \omega^1 = R_{V_{F.1,2}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,3} \omega^1 = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,3} \omega^2 = y^2(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2) + y^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{V_{F.1,3}} \omega^1 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{V_{F.1,3}} \omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,4} \omega^1 = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1,4} \omega^2 = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y^2 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{V_{F.1,4}} \omega^1 = R_{V_{F.1,4}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.5} \omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (y^2 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.5} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.5}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.5}} \omega^2 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.6} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.6} \omega^2 = (y + y^2) \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \right), \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.6}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.6}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.7} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.7} \omega^2 = (y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.7}} \omega^1 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.7}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.8} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.8} \omega^2 = (y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.8}} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.8}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.9} \omega^1 = y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.9} \omega^2 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.9}} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.9}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.10} \omega^1 = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.10} \omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.10}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.10}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.11} \omega^1 = y \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right), \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.11} \omega^2 = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.11}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F.1.11}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.12} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.1.12} \omega^2 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.1.12}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.1.12}} \omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2. \]

2) \( \beta = 1 + y^2 \) \( g_{F.2} = (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \)

with 12 QLCs, four of which are flat:
\[ \nabla_{F.2.1} \omega^1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{F.2.1} \omega^2 = (1 + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2), \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.2.1}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F.2.1}} \omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F.2.2} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F.2.2} \omega^2 = (y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R_{\nabla_{F.2.2}} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F.2.2}} \omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,3}\omega^1 = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,3}\omega^2 = (1 + y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,3}\omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R\nabla_{F,2,3}\omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,4}\omega^1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{F,2,4}\omega^2 = (y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2), \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,4}\omega^1 = R\nabla_{F,2,4}\omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,5}\omega^1 = (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,5}\omega^2 = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,5}\omega^1 = R\nabla_{F,2,5}\omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,6}\omega^1 = (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,6}\omega^2 = (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,6}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1, \quad R\nabla_{F,2,6}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,7}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,7}\omega^2 = (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,7}\omega^1 = R\nabla_{F,2,7}\omega^2 = 0; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,8}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,8}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,8}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,9}\omega^1 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,9}\omega^2 = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,9}\omega^1 = (1 + y)\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,10}\omega^1 = (1 + y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2) + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,10}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,10}\omega^1 = (1 + y)\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R\nabla_{F,2,10}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,11}\omega^1 = (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,11}\omega^2 = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,11}\omega^1 = y\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R\nabla_{F,2,11}\omega^2 = (1 + y)\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,12}\omega^1 = (1 + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \]
\[ \nabla_{F,2,12}\omega^2 = (y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2) + (1 + y^2)(\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2), \]
\[ R\nabla_{F,2,12}\omega^1 = (1 + y)\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \quad R\nabla_{F,2,12}\omega^2 = y\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2. \]

3) \((\beta = 1 + y) g_{F,3} = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2\)
with 12 QLCs, four of which are flat:

\[
\nabla_{F,3.1} \omega^1 = y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.1} \omega^2 = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.1}} \omega^1 = 0, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.1}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.2} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.2} \omega^2 = y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.2}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.2}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.3} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.3} \omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.3}} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.3}} \omega^2 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.4} \omega^1 = (1 + y^2) (\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) = \nabla_{F,3.4} \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.4}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,3.4}} \omega^2 = 0;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.5} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.5} \omega^2 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.5}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.5}} \omega^2 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.6} \omega^1 = y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.6} \omega^2 = y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.6}} \omega^1 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.6}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \left( \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2 \right);
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.7} \omega^1 = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \left( \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right),
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.7} \omega^2 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y^2 \left( \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right),
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.7}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,3.7}} \omega^2 = 0;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.8} \omega^1 = y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.8} \omega^2 = (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.8}} \omega^1 = y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.8}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.9} \omega^1 = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.9} \omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 \right) + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.9}} \omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1, \quad R_{\nabla_{F,3.9}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.10} \omega^1 = (1 + y) \left( \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 \right) = \nabla_{F,3.10} \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.10}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,3.10}} \omega^2 = 0;
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.11} \omega^1 = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{F,3.11} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2,
\]

\[
R_{\nabla_{F,3.11}} \omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,3.11}} \omega^2 = (1 + y) \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2;
\]
\( \nabla_{F,3,12}\omega^1 = y^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( \nabla_{F,3,12}\omega^2 = (1 + y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2), \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,3,12}}\omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,3,12}}\omega^2 = 0. \)

4) \((\beta = y)\) \(g_{F,4} = (1 + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2\)

with 4 QLCs, one of which is flat:
\( \nabla_{F,4,1}\omega^1 = y^2\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,1}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + y^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,1}}\omega^1 = y\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,1}}\omega^2 = (1 + y)(\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2); \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,2}\omega^1 = (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + y^2\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2, \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,2}\omega^2 = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)(\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1) + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,2}}\omega^1 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,2}}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1; \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,3}\omega^1 = (1 + y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1; \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,3}\omega^2 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + y\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,3}}\omega^1 = (1 + y)(\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2); \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,3}}\omega^2 = \text{Vol} \otimes \omega^1 + y\text{Vol} \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,4}\omega^1 = (1 + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (y + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( \nabla_{F,4,4}\omega^2 = (1 + y)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (y + y^2)\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y^2)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2; \)
\( R_{\nabla_{F,4,4}}\omega^1 = R_{\nabla_{F,4,4}}\omega^2 = 0. \)

For this family there are 7 possible equivalent solutions for \( \epsilon \) (that are invertible and satisfy \([2.11],[2.12],[2.13],[2.17]\)) to provide a central volume form with metric symmetric). These are all nonzero, hence invertible, functions times
\( \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} y & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 + y + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + y & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \)

which is the one is assumed above with \( \text{Vol} = (\omega^1)^2 \), while the others correspond to a volume form which is the inverse of the corresponding nonzero function times this.

4. Laplacians

The Laplacian is defined by \( \Delta = (\ ,\ )\nabla d \) and the quantum dimension by \( \text{dim} = (\ ,\ ) (g) \) as in Section 2

For \( m = 1 \) we find the following. Using the analysis in Section 2 with commutative coordinate algebra, we write \( g = g\omega \otimes \omega \) and \( \nabla \omega = \Gamma \omega \otimes \omega \), then
\[ \Delta f = \frac{f'' + f'\Gamma}{g}, \quad \text{dim} = \frac{g}{g} \]

where \( \omega f = \tilde{f}\omega \) and \( df = f'\omega \) in our basis, which one can compute for the \( m = 1 \) examples above. For \( n = 3 \) our central metrics were not invertible and the theory does not apply. For the \( n = 2 \) algebras in Table 1 we have \( f'' = 0 \) for all \( f \) and
we always have $\Delta 1 = 0$ so we only need to give the value on the basis element $x$, namely $\Delta x = \Gamma / g$. Hence

| A:  | $g = 1, \Gamma = 0$:  | $\Delta = 0$ |
| A:  | $g = 1, \Gamma = x$:  | $\Delta x = x$ |
| A:  | $g = 1 + x = \Gamma$: | $\Delta x = 1$ |
| B:  | $g = 1, \Gamma = 0$:  | $\Delta = 0$ |
| C:  | $g = 1, \Gamma = x$:  | $\Delta x = x$ |
| C:  | $g = 1, \Gamma = 1 + x$: | $\Delta x = 1 + x$ |
| C:  | $g = x = \Gamma$:     | $\Delta x = 1 + x$ |
| C:  | $g = 1 + x = \Gamma$: | $\Delta x = x$ |

remembering that $\bar{x} = 1 + x = x^{-1}$ in algebra $C$. Among the nonzero $\Delta$, we have $\text{Tr}(\Delta) = 1$ except for the third case of algebra $A$, and these are precisely the ones which have an eigenvector with eigenvalue $1$ (the other eigenvector is $1$ with eigenvalue $0$). The exception where the trace is zero has only the eigenvector $1$ with zero eigenvalue and is not fully diagonalisable. All the quantum dimensions are nonzero (and all except the last two are $1$).

**For $m = 2$ we use the tensor formula** (2.22) and we will list the resulting operators in $M_n$ on our basis $\{ x^m \}$ of $A$. When listing the Laplacians the main invariant is the dimension of the null-space which is between $1$ and $n$ and whether $\Delta$ can be diagonalised to a bases of eigenvectors with the two eigenvalues $0, 1$.

*Algebra D or $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{Z}_3$ with its three metrics $g_{D,1} - g_{D,3}$. In each case we compute the inverse metric and the quantum dimension $\dim = (\ , ) (g)$, as

\[
g_{D,1}^{ij} = (\omega, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^2 \\ z^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dim_{D,1} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 1
\]

\[
g_{D,2}^{ij} = (\omega', \omega') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dim_{D,2} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 1
\]

\[
g_{D,3}^{ij} = (\omega^2, \omega') = \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dim_{D,3} = 1 + 1 = 0.
\]

We then combine with the QLC’s from Section 3.3 to find the following Laplacians and their traces:

\[
g_{D,1}: \quad \Delta 1 = 0, \Delta z = 1, \Delta z^2 = z, \quad \text{Tr}(\Delta) = 0;
\]

\[
g_{D,2}: \quad \Delta 1 = 0, \Delta z = z^2, \Delta z^2 = 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\Delta) = 0;
\]

\[
g_{D,3}: \quad \Delta = 0
\]

independently of the four QLCs in each case. We check the first of these computations for $\Delta$ (for $\nabla_{D,1,1}$) by hand as a check of the implementation:

\[
\Delta_{D,1,1} x = (\ , )_{D,1} \nabla_{D,1,1} x = (\ , )_{D,1} \nabla_{D,1,1} (z) = (\ , )_{D,1} (z^2 \omega^1 \omega^1 + (1 + z) (\omega^1 \omega^2 + \omega^2 \omega^1) + \omega^2 \omega^2) = z^2 \cdot 0 + (1 + z) z^2 + (1 + z) z^2 + 1 \cdot 1 = 1,
\]

\[
\Delta_{D,1,1} y = (\ , )_{D,1} \nabla_{D,1,1} y = (\ , )_{D,1} \nabla_{D,1,1} (z^2 \omega^1 \omega^1 + z \omega^1 \omega^2 + z \omega^2 \omega^1 + \omega^2 \omega^2) = z^2 \cdot 0 + z \cdot z^2 + z^2 \cdot z^2 + 1 \cdot 1 = z.
\]
**Proposition 4.1.** For the \( n = 3, m = 2 \) examples above where metrics and QLCs exist (namely, algebras B,D,F) we have

(i) \( \Delta = 0 \) if and only if \( \dim = 0 \).

(ii) If \( \Delta \neq 0 \) and \( \text{Tr}(\Delta) = 1 \) then \( \Delta \) has one mode with eigenvalue 1 and two with eigenvalue 0.
(iii) If $\Delta \neq 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\Delta) = 0$ then $\Delta$ has one mode (namely 1) with eigenvalue 0 and no other eigenvectors.

Hence the reasonable case for physics seems to be when $\text{Tr}(\Delta) = 1$ which for $n = 3, m = 2$ also entails that $\dim = 1$. Specifically, the massive eigenvectors here are

$$v = y^2, \quad v = 1 + y^2; \quad v = y, \quad v = 1 + y + y^2; \quad v = y^2, \quad v = y$$

for the 6 relevant Laplacians in the above list for the algebra $F$, in order. These solve the massive Klein-Gordon equation $\Delta v + v = 0$ and in each case there are also two massless modes in the kernel of $\Delta$.

5. Ricci and Einstein tensors

As explained in Section 2, the Ricci tensor for a general algebra $A$ with calculus $\Omega$ in the approach [13] requires the additional data of a ‘lift’ bimodule map $i : \Omega^2 \to \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1$, which in our examples where $\Omega^2$ is one-dimensional with a chosen central basis element $\text{Vol}$ means $i(\text{Vol}) = I_{ij}\omega^i \otimes \omega^j$ for some central element $I \in \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1$ such that $\wedge(I) = \text{Vol}$. We can then contract as explained in Section 2 to obtain $\text{Ricci} \in \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1$ as in (2.25) and adjust $I$ so that $\text{Ricci}$ has the same quantum symmetry as $g$ if possible. We will also be interested in when $\nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = 0$, where $\nabla \cdot$ means to apply $\nabla$ in the element of $\Omega^1 \otimes A \Omega^1$ (same as for the metric) and then contract the first two factors with $(\ , \ )$.

In this section we use this method to construct Ricci and its scalar $S = (\ , \ )\text{(Ricci)}$ for our models and also explore possible Einstein tensors. For the latter, the usual definition $\text{Eins} = \text{Ricci} - \frac{1}{2} S g$ makes no sense over $F_2$ but in our case where the geometry is 2D we could take $\text{Eins} = \text{Ricci} - \frac{1}{\dim} S g$ as proposed in [13] for a 2D quantum geometry. Classically the quantum dimension would be 2 as per the usual Einstein tensor but for a quantum model it may have a different value. For the purpose of our exploration in $F_2$ we actually have only two choices, 0, 1, for the coefficient of $S g$ and we will focus on the latter, which is consistent with $\dim = 1$ found to be of interest in Section 4. Thus we set

$$\text{Eins} = \text{Ricci} + S g = (\text{Ricci}_{\mu ij} + S_{\nu} g_{\mu ij} V^{\nu \rho}) x^{\mu} \omega^i \otimes \omega^j$$

as the provisional definition of $\text{Eins}$ in this section, whilst leaving open the possibility that in some models we might want Ricci alone or at the other extreme $S g$ alone as the Einstein tensor. We will be interested in the values of $\text{Eins}$ and if this is not zero (as it would be classically for a 2D manifold) then $\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$. Here

$$\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = \nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} + ((\ , \ ) \otimes \text{id}) (dS \otimes g) = \nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} + dS$$

given the properties of a connection, the inverse metric and $\nabla g = 0$ for a QLC. In tensor component terms, this translates to

$$\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = (\text{Ricci}_{\rho mn} d^{\mu} \nu \omega_k + \text{Ricci}_{\mu \rho \nu} V^{\rho \nu}) + \text{Ricci}_{\rho \nu} V^{\rho \nu}$$

For $m = 1$ we have a unique $i(\text{Vol}) = \omega \otimes \omega$ as there is no quantum symmetric metric freedom that could be added to this. We also have $R_{\nabla}(\omega) = \rho \text{Vol} \otimes \omega$ where
For the parts of Eins are separately conserved. We also have dim $n$ of the Riemann curvature, as classically in 2 dimensions. The only case of interest so the Ricci tensor and scalar contain the same information as the one component $\rho$ for any function $\nabla \cdot$ whenever dim $\text{Ricci flat}$ for all lifts (and only actually flat for

The value of this and the results for all twelve connections are shown in Table 4. The Einstein tensors are listed in Table 5. Interestingly, for each metric one connection is Ricci flat for all lifts (and only actually flat for $g_{D,3}$) and the other three connections all have the same Ricci curvature. This is quantum symmetrizabile via two lifts whenever dim $= 1$, in which case Einstein$=0$, and never symmetrizable when dim $= 0$. In all cases we can more generally chose the lift so that $\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$. In particular, we have the following natural lifts for each metric and the group of 3 connections.

$g_{D,1}$: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_3 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1$, $\text{Ricci} = g_{D,1}$, $S = 1$, $\nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = 0$, $\text{Eins} = 0$

### Table 4. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra $D$. For each metric one connection is Ricci flat. For metrics with $\text{dim} = 1$, the other connections have two lifts making Ricci quantum symmetric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>GD.1</th>
<th>Ricci (central for all $\gamma_i$)</th>
<th>$S = \nabla \cdot (\text{Ricci})$</th>
<th>qua. symmetric</th>
<th>$\nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GD.1</td>
<td>$\nabla D.1,2$</td>
<td>Ricci $= 0$</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1$: Ricci $= (1 + \gamma_3)z^2w^1 \otimes w^1 + (1 + \gamma_3)z^2w^2 \otimes w^2 + z^2w^1 \otimes w^1 + z^2w^2 \otimes w^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 0$: Ricci $= \gamma_2^2z^2w^1 \otimes w^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nabla D.1.1$</td>
<td>Ricci $= (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2z^2)^2w^1 \otimes w^1$</td>
<td>$S = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3z^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 0$: Ricci $= \gamma_2^2z^2w^1 \otimes w^1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nabla D.1.3$</td>
<td>$S = 1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3z^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 0$: Ricci $= \gamma_2^2z^2w^1 \otimes w^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nabla D.1.4$</td>
<td>$S = 1 + \gamma_3z^2 + \gamma_1z^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 0$: Ricci $= \gamma_2^2z^2w^1 \otimes w^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\rho = \Gamma' + \Gamma \Gamma'$ so that

$$\text{Ricci} = \frac{g\rho}{g} \omega \otimes \omega, \quad S = \frac{g\rho}{g^2}, \quad \text{Eins} = 0$$

so the Ricci tensor and scalar contain the same information as the one component $\rho$ of the Riemann curvature, as classically in 2 dimensions. The only case of interest is $n = 2$ (to have $g$ invertible) and the algebra $A$ with $g = 1$ and $\Gamma = x$ as the only case with curvature, where $\rho = 1$. Then $\text{Ricci} = \omega \otimes \omega = g$ and $S = 1$ so that both parts of Eins are separately conserved. We also have $\text{dim} = 1$.

For our $n = 3, m = 2$ models we generally resort to computer calculations, starting with the model where we have also done computations by hand as a check.

For the D model in Section 3.3 we already saw in (6.1) what the moduli of central elements in $\Omega^1 \otimes A \Omega^1$ looks like, from which one finds by hand that the most general form of $I = i(\text{Vol})$ is

$$i(\text{Vol}) = z^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + z \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g$$

for any function $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2z + \gamma_3z^2$ is any element of the algebra (so there are 8 lifts as we vary $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$), where we can take $g = g_{D,1}$ without loss of generality.

The resulting Ricci for the first metric $g_{D,1}$ and the first connection $\nabla D.1.1$ is

$$\text{Ricci} = z \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma_i (\omega^j \rho^k \kappa g_{rs}, \omega^r) \otimes \omega^s \otimes \omega^k.$$
TABLE 5. Einstein tensor for the algebra D. Metrics where $\dim = 1$ have zero Einstein tensor when Ricci is lifted to be quantum symmetric. The metric $g_{D,3}$ where $\dim = 0$ has two lifts for the non-flat connections with $\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$ and $S = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>QLC</th>
<th>Ricci $= \text{Ricci} + Sg$</th>
<th>Ricci-qsymm</th>
<th>$\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,1}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,1.1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,1}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,1.2}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (\gamma_1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3))(\gamma_2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2)$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,2}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,2.1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,2}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,2.2}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)\omega_2 \otimes \omega^2)$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,3}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,3.1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$ (flat connection)</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,3}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,3.2}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)\omega_2 \otimes \omega^2)$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,3}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,3.3}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{D,3}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{D,3.4}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2)$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 6. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra B for its unique metric $g_B$. Three connections are Ricci flat and $\nabla_{B,4}$ never has Ricci quantum symmetric, so that column is omitted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLC</th>
<th>Ricci (central for all $\gamma_i$)</th>
<th>$S = (\cdot, j)(\text{Ricci})$</th>
<th>$\nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$ (only $\nabla_{B,3}$ is flat)</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,2}$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$ (only $\nabla_{B,3}$ is flat)</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,4}$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = (\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y))\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + x) + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + x))\omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$</td>
<td>$S = \gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y) + (1 + \gamma_3)(1 + y)$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,4}$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = (\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y))\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + x) + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + x))\omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$</td>
<td>$S = \gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y) + (1 + \gamma_3)(1 + y)$</td>
<td>$\text{Ricci} = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 7. Einstein tensor for the algebra B for its unique metric $g_B$ showing two lifts with for $\nabla_{B,4}$ with $\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$ and $S = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLC</th>
<th>$\text{Eins} = \text{Ricci} + Sg$</th>
<th>$\nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$ (only $\nabla_{B,3}$ is flat)</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,2}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$ (only $\nabla_{B,3}$ is flat)</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{B,4}$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (x + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y))\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + x))\omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$</td>
<td>$\text{Eins} = (x + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + y))\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)(1 + x)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(1 + x))\omega^3 \otimes \omega^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$g_{D,2} : \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0, \quad \text{Ricci} = g_{D,2}, \quad S = 1, \quad \nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = 0, \quad \text{Eins} = 0$

$g_{D,3} : \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_3 = 0, \quad S = 1, \quad \nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0, \quad \text{Eins} \neq 0$

where the last case is unusual in that classically the Einstein tensor in 2D would vanish, but this is also the ‘unphysical’ case where $\dim = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$.

For the algebra B model in Section 3.4 the most general lifting map has the form

$$i(\text{Vol}) = y\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + y)\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + x)\omega^3 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g_B$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 x + \gamma_3 y$ is any element of the algebra (so there are 8 lifts). The Ricci and Einstein tensors as functions of $\gamma$ are shown in the first columns of Tables 6 and 7. Connections $\nabla_{B,1}, \nabla_{B,2}, \nabla_{B,3}$ are Ricci flat for all lifts (with only the last of these actually flat). For $\nabla_{B,4}$ we can never make Ricci quantum symmetric nor
Eins = 0, but we do have the two lifts (the free choices of γ₁) for which \( \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 \).

\[ \nabla_{B.4} : \quad \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 1, \quad S = 1, \quad \nabla \cdot \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0, \quad \text{Eins} = 0 \]

similar to the group of 3 connections for \( g_{D.3} \). Indeed, this is another case where \( \text{dim} = 0 \) and \( \Delta = 0 \).

For the algebra \( F \) model in Section 2.3 the most general form of the lifting map is

\[ i(\text{Vol}) = (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g \]

where \( \gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2 \) is any element of the algebra (so there are 8 lifts) and \( g = g_{F,1} \), say, without loss of generality. The Ricci and Einstein tensors are shown in Tables 8.15 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( F_{1.1} )</th>
<th>( F_{1.2} )</th>
<th>( F_{1.3} )</th>
<th>( F_{1.4} )</th>
<th>( F_{1.1.1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ricci</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.5} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.6} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.7} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.8} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.9} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.10} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla_{F.1.12} )</td>
<td>( (1 + (1 + \gamma_2 y + \gamma_3 y^2) y) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + y) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \gamma g )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = 1</td>
<td>( \text{Ricci} = \nabla \cdot \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td>( \text{Eins} = 0 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra \( F \), metric \( g_{F,1} \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QL</th>
<th>Eins = Ricci + Sy</th>
<th>Ricci qsymm</th>
<th>∇ Eins = 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 1</td>
<td>Eins = 0 (all except ∇ F.1 3 are flat)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 2</td>
<td>Eins = ({3 \times (1 + y)a + (1 + y^2)b}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 3</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 4</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 5</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (y + y^2)}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (y + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 6</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 7</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 8</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 9</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (y + y^2)}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (y + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 10</td>
<td>Eins = ({3 \times (1 + y) + (1 + y^2)}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({3 \times (1 + y^2)}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(\gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇ F.1 12</td>
<td>Eins = ({1 + (1 + y + y^2)}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1}) + ({1 + (1 + y + y^2)^2}^{\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1})</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Einstein tensor for the algebra F, metric \(g_{F,1}\) showing a unique connection \(\nabla F_{10}\) which is not Ricci flat but has Eins = 0.
### Table 10. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra $F$, metric $g_{F.2}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nabla F_{2.1}$</th>
<th>$\nabla F_{2.4}$</th>
<th>$\nabla F_{2.5}$</th>
<th>$\nabla F_{2.7}$</th>
<th>$\nabla F_{2.12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ricci = 0 (all except $\nabla F_{2.12}$ are flat)</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
<td>qua. symmetric</td>
<td>$\nabla F_{2.12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla F_{2.12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricci = (1 + $\gamma_1 + y_2$) $y + (1 + y_2)^2$ $\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + y_1 + y_2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$ + (1 + $\gamma_1 + y_2 + y_3$) $y + (1 + y_2)^2$ $\omega^1 \otimes \omega^2$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2 + y_2)^2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2 + y_2)^2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$S = 1 + \gamma_1 + y_2^2 + (1 + \gamma_1 + y_2)^2$ $\omega^1 \otimes \omega^1$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2 + y_2)^2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2 + y_2)^2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^1$ + (1 + $\gamma_2 + (1 + \gamma_2 + y_2)^2$) $\omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>no sol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\nabla F_{2.2}$

$\nabla F_{2.3}$

$\nabla F_{2.6}$

$\nabla F_{2.8}$

$\nabla F_{2.9}$

$\nabla F_{2.10}$

$\nabla F_{2.11}$

$\gamma_1 = 1; \gamma_2 = 3; \gamma_3 = 0; \gamma_4 = 0$
Table 11. Einstein tensor for the algebra \( F \), metric \( g_{F,2} \) showing a unique connection \( \nabla_{F,2.3} \) which is not Ricci flat but has \( \text{Eins} = 0 \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLC</th>
<th>Ricci</th>
<th>$S = \langle , \rangle_{(\text{Ricci})}$ qua. symmetric</th>
<th>$\nabla\text{Ricci} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.1}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.1}$</td>
<td>Ricci = 0 (all except $\nabla_{F.3.9}$ are flat)</td>
<td>$S = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.1}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.1}$</td>
<td>$S = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) y^2 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1, \gamma_3 = 0$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.2}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.2}$</td>
<td>$S = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1, \gamma_3 = 0$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.3}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.3}$</td>
<td>$S = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) (1 + y + y^2) \omega^1 \otimes \omega^2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) y^2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.5}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.5}$</td>
<td>$S = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 1$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.6}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.6}$</td>
<td>$S = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 1$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.8}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.8}$</td>
<td>$S = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 1$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.11}$</td>
<td>$\nabla_{F.3.11}$</td>
<td>$S = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3) y \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + (1 + \gamma_1) y^2) \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$</td>
<td>$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_3 = 1$: no sol.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra $F$, metric $g_{F.3}$. 
Table 13. Einstein tensor for the algebra $F$, metric $g_{F,3}$ showing a unique connection $\nabla_{F,3,2}$ which is not Ricci flat but has $\text{Eins} = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nabla_{F,3.4}$</th>
<th>$\text{Eins} = \text{Ricci} + S\eta$</th>
<th>$\text{Ricci}$ qumyn</th>
<th>$\nabla \text{Eins} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F,3.7}$</td>
<td>Eins = $\left{ \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \right} \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td>$1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \gamma^2$</td>
<td>$\nabla F_{3.9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F,3.9}$</td>
<td>Eins = $\left{ \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \right} \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla_{F,3.11}$</td>
<td>Eins = $\left{ \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \right} \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14. Ricci tensor and scalar for the algebra $F$, metric $g_{F,3}$.

Three connections are Ricci flat and $\nabla_{F,4.4}$ never has Ricci quant symmetric, so that column is omitted.
We see for $g_{F,1} - g_{F,3}$ with $\dim = 1$ that for each metric there is a unique Ricci-flat connection that is not flat (and four that we already knew were flat), namely $\nabla_{F,1.3}, \nabla_{F,2.12}, \nabla_{F,3.9}$ respectively. Of the other connections we see that for each metric there are two liftings which render all Ricci quantum symmetric (e.g. for the first metric the lift is $g_{1} = g_{2} \in \{0, 1\}, g_{3} = 0$) and resulting in a unique connection which is not Ricci flat but has Einstein=0, namely $\nabla_{F,1.10}, \nabla_{F,2.3}, \nabla_{F,3.2}$ respectively. This is also the only case for each metric where $\nabla \cdot Eins = 0$. Indeed, the other cases have Ricci not central, which implies that it could not be any multiple of the metric. It is also striking that all the other connections in this group have the same value of $S$. By contrast, the metric $g_{F,4}$ has $\dim = 0$ and behaves more like $g_{D,3}$ and $g_{B}$ above. It has three Ricci flat connections of which one is actually flat, and the remaining connection never has Ricci quantum symmetric but has two lifts that render $\nabla \cdot Eins = 0$.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have mapped out the landscape of all reasonable up to 2D quantum geometries over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$ on unital algebras of dimension $n \leq 3$. The interesting ones up to this dimension have commutative coordinate algebras, which would mean the algebra of functions on up to 3 ‘points’ if we were working over $\mathbb{C}$ but over a finite field such as $\mathbb{F}_2$ we have more possibilities. We used the constructive ‘bimodule connection’ approach [11, 16, 17, 12, 13, 14, 15] in which the layers of geometry are added one at a time starting with a calculus $\Omega^1$ free and of dimension $m \leq 2$ over the algebra. For the exterior algebra we focussed on the case of $\Omega^2$ free and 1-dimensional with a central basis element Vol, so like a 2-manifold. For $n = 2$ we also covered the case of $\Omega^2 = 0$ as for a 1-manifold.

The first striking conclusion is that even under this restricted set of assumptions there are a lot of such ‘digital’ finite quantum geometries at least by the time we get to $n = 3$. For $n = 2$ there are only a few geometries. First, the calculus for $n = 2$ has to have $m = 1$ and there are no calculi with $\Omega^2$ top that admit a strictly quantum-symmetric metric. If we relax that then each of the three algebras $\mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{F}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbb{F}_4$ admit only the flat metric $g = \omega \otimes \omega$ with the zero connection $\nabla \omega = 0$ if we want a QLC, see Table 1. If we insist on quantum symmetry of the metric, as we do elsewhere in the classification, then $n = 2$ forces us to $\Omega^2 = 0$ (so a 1D geometry from the top form point of view), the same flat metric and now respectively 2, 1, 3 QLCs (the table also shows more options if we allow the weaker requirement of a WQLC). Many more quantum geometries emerge for $n = 3$. First off, there are
6 possible commutative algebras as already known from another context [19] and we find one further noncommutative one. But none of them meet our requirements for a well-behaved calculus $\Omega^1$ of dimension $m=1$ while still admitting a quantum metric that meets the invertibility axiom (there are some examples if we drop this, see Tables 2 and 3). These also have issues with $\Omega^2$ if we take this to be non-zero. We did not fully analyse the $m=1$ case of the algebra $G$ but it appears to be similar to the others and at best the quantum metric will not be quantum symmetric. Therefore the landscape at $n=3$ properly needs $m=2$. In this case we find that only three of the six algebras, namely $B=\mathbb{F}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$, $D=\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{Z}_3$, $F=\mathbb{F}_8$, meet our full requirements on the calculus including $\Omega^2$ as top form and existence of a quantum symmetric metric. For each algebra we find an essentially unique calculus and a unique quantum metric up to an invertible functional factor, giving respectively 1, 3, 4 quantum metrics that admit QLCs. Between them there are respectively 4, 12, 40 metric and QLC pairs (or ‘quantum Riemannian geometries’) of which 1, 1, 13 are flat in the sense of zero Riemann curvature $R^\nabla$, see Sections 3.4, 3.3 and 3.5 respectively. These results suggest an even richer moduli of quantum geometries when $n \geq 4$ but beyond reach of our current method of trying all possible $2^{24}$ Christoffel symbol values to find the QLCs.

We also used our landscape of quantum Riemannian geometries to study the canonical geometric Laplacian $\Delta$ and Ricci tensor. For the former in Section 4 a striking observation that holds across all the viable $n=3, m=2$ quantum geometries is that $\Delta=0$ if and only if the quantum dimension $\dim=0$. In the $\dim=1$ case we found that the trace of $\Delta$ determines if there is a massive eigenmode (i.e. eigenvalue 1) or not, see Proposition 4.1, resulting in 6 Laplacians on $\mathbb{F}_8$ that have this massive mode, none for the other geometries. Another feature is that $\Delta$ always depends on the connection with a four-fold degeneracy (four connections give the same $\Delta$) with the result that it does not depend on the connections for $\mathbb{F}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ and $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{Z}_3$ but only on the metric, while for $\mathbb{F}_8$ this is also true for one of the metrics $g_{F,4}$ but for each of the other three metrics the 12 connections are divided into groups of four. It will be interesting to see if any of these features extend as we increase the dimension.

For the Ricci tensor and scalar $S$ in Section 5 we used an approach [13] that depends on a lifting map $i$. A corollary of our analysis of quantum metrics on the B,D,F algebras is that the possible lifts form an affine space taking the form $i(\text{Vol}) = I_0 + \gamma g$ where $g$ is any fixed quantum metric, $I_0$ is any fixed central 1-1 tensor with $\wedge I_0 = \text{Vol}$ and the parameter $\gamma$ is an element of the algebra (so there are 8 possible lifts) as featuring in Tables 4-15. The most striking result was that we found 3, 3, 18 respectively Ricci flat quantum geometries independently of the choice of lift. Hence there are 2, 2, 5 respectively or a total of 9 interesting Ricci flat but not flat quantum geometries over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with $n=3$ and $m=2$. These deserve more study in view of the important role of Ricci flat metrics in classical GR (as vacuum solutions of Einstein’s equations). We also tentatively proposed over $\mathbb{F}_2$ to take the Einstein tensor as $\text{Ricci}^i + S g^i$ (given that the usual factor -1/2 makes no sense). This worked as expected for $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{Z}_3$ with its $g_{D,1}, g_{D,2}$ metrics in the sense that there exist liftings such that Ricci is quantum symmetric and then Einstein=0 independently of which lift and which connection (just as in classical geometry in dimension 2) and it also worked for $\mathbb{F}_8$ with each of its $g_{F,1}, g_{F,2}, g_{F,3}$ metrics in the limited sense that Ricci could always be made quantum symmetric independently of the
connection and among the QLCs there was a unique one with Einstein=0. These are all quantum metrics with \( \dim = 1 \). By contrast the \( g_{D,3}, g_B \) and \( g_{F,A} \) metrics with \( \dim = 0 \) followed a non-classical pattern with Ricci never quantum-symmetric for any lift, but instead we found a lift existing such that \( \nabla \cdot \text{Einstein} = 0 \) holds. It should be recalled that in quantum Riemannian geometry the QLC condition is linear plus quadratic in the Christoffel symbols and quite typically has classical-like solutions (sometimes unique) and non-classical ones\[13\].

Looking forward, interesting quantum geometries over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) for \( n = 4 \) and higher certainly exist, for example as special cases of results known over \( \mathbb{C} \) adapted with care over any field (this is possible in at least a few cases) and then specialised to \( \mathbb{F}_2 \). Intrinsically \( \mathbb{F}_p \) quantum geometries for any prime \( p \) were introduced in \[18\] as the Hopf algebras \( A_d = \mathbb{F}_p[ x ] \) with the relation \( x^{p^d} = x \) and a natural translation-invariant differential calculus. The \( n = 4 \) algebra \( A_2 \) over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) was solved for its three translation-invariant quantum metrics to find in each case two translation-invariant QLCs (as natural examples, rather than a moduli of all quantum geometries on the algebra). Aside from the landscape in higher dimensions of algebra and calculus, it would also be interesting to see which of our solutions extend to higher \( \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \) and to other \( \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \) and \( \mathbb{C} \). As mentioned in the introduction, the finite field setting also allows one to test definitions and conjectures that are expected to hold over any field, even if the main interest is over \( \mathbb{C} \).

As also discussed in the introduction, once we have a good handle on the moduli of classes of small \( \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \) quantum Riemannian geometries, we can then consider quantum gravity, for example as a weighted sum over the moduli space of them much as in lattice approximations\[21\], but now finite. One may also consider how quantum geometries could develop by transitions much as in the dynamical poset approach \[22\] \[23\], as well as finite versions of other established approaches. This is a second direction for further work.
Finally, just as geometry has many applications, we envisage many applications of ‘finite’ quantum geometry both over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and more generally over $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ (as well as over $\mathbb{C}$). It is not clear to what extent physics entirely over $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ makes sense but this could be interesting to explore in terms of quantum mechanics. For quantum field theory the second quantisation can be done over $\mathbb{C}$ working with functions on the discrete moduli of finite solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation over $\mathbb{F}_2$ defined by $\Delta$. Quantum mechanics fully over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is unlikely to have a physical meaning but as an analogous formalism it may lead to ‘quantum geometric’ constructions for gates in a ‘digital quantum computer’ (as well as actual geometric gates over $\mathbb{C}$). Discrete geometric ideas with real or complex coefficients are also used in network theory [24] and finite versions might be useful. Although these ideas are currently speculative, a first step could be the Laplacian for the quantum geometry. As a map $\Delta : A \to A$, this can be realised digitally by choosing a basis of $A$. Each basis element then corresponds to an input wire with an element of $A$ specified by those basis elements where the wire is active. Similarly for the output copy of $A$. In this notation the non-zero Laplacians in Section 4 are shown in Figure 1 as labelled by the metric or by a representative connection. Only two input wires are effective as 1 is in the kernel, and clearly Laplacians of practical interest would need to be somewhat more complicated. It is explained in [19] how to handle tensor products and the wiring diagrams for the algebra products of $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{F}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, $\mathbb{F}_4$ are given there. Such operations and their possible applications to engineering constitute another direction for further work.
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