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1. Introduction

Nowadays noncommutative space(time) algebras are introduced and studied for several reasons. In particular: to regularize ultraviolet (UV) divergences in quantum field theory (QFT) [2, 3, 4]; as an arena to formulate Quantum Gravity (QG) that naturally induces uncertainty relations of the type $\Delta x \gtrsim L_p$, as predicted by QG arguments (see e.g. [5, 6, 7, 8]); as an arena for the unification of fundamental interactions (see e.g. [9, 10]). Today Noncommutative Geometry [11, 12, 13, 14] is a sophisticated framework that develops the whole machinery of differential geometry on noncommutative spaces. Fuzzy spaces are particularly appealing noncommutative spaces: a fuzzy space is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite-dimensional algebras such that $\mathcal{A}_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{A} \equiv$algebra of regular functions on an ordinary manifold, with $\dim(\mathcal{A}_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \infty$. The first and seminal fuzzy space is the Fuzzy Sphere (FS) of Madore and Hoppe [15, 16], the first applications to QFT models are in [17, 18]; $\mathcal{A}_n \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by coordinates $x^i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) fulfilling

$$[x^i, x^j] = \frac{2i}{\sqrt{n^2 - 1}} \epsilon^{ijk} x^k, \quad r^2 := x^i x^i = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\} \quad (1.1)$$

(sum over repeated indices is understood); in fact they are obtained by the rescaling $x^i = 2L_i/\sqrt{n^2 - 1}$ of the elements $L_i$ of the standard basis of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ in the irreducible representation $(\pi_r, V_r)$ characterized by $L_i L_i = l(l + 1), n = 2l + 1$. Fuzzy spaces have raised a big interest in the high energy physics community as a non-perturbative technique in QFT based on a finite-discretization of space(time) alternative to the lattice one: the main advantage is that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_n$ can carry representations of Lie groups (not only of discrete ones). They can be used also for internal (e.g. gauge) degrees of freedom (see e.g. [19]), or as a new tool in string and D-brane theories (see e.g. [20, 21]).

Relations (1.1) are covariant under $SO(3)$, but not under the whole $O(3)$; in particular not under parity $x^i \mapsto -x^i$, as the ordinary sphere $S^2$. In our opinion, another reason why the FS does not approximate $S^2$ in the best possible way is that $V_r$ carries an irreducible representation of $SO(3)$ (so that the Casimir $r^2$ is identically 1), whereas the Hilbert space of a quantum particle on $S^2$ has the following decomposition in irreducible representations of $SO(3)$:

$$\mathcal{L}^2(S^2) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} V_l. \quad (1.2)$$

Here we present new fuzzy approximations [1] of quantum mechanics (QM) on $S^d$ ($d = 1, 2$) overcoming these two problems: We start with an ordinary zero-spin quantum particle in $\mathbb{R}^D$ configuration space ($D = d + 1$) with Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta + V(r). \quad (1.3)$$

Here $r^2 := x^i x^i$, $\Delta := \partial_i \partial_i$, $\partial_i \equiv \partial / \partial x^i$, $i = 1, \ldots, D$; we use dimensionless cartesian coordinates, momentum components and Hamiltonian $x^i, p_i := -i \partial_i H$, $x^i, p_i$ generate the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{O}$ of observables. The canonical commutation relations $[x^i, x^j] = 0$, $[p_i, p_j] = 0$, $[x^i, p_j] = i \delta^i_j$ as well as the Hamiltonian are invariant under all orthogonal transformations $x^i \mapsto Q^i_j x^j (Q^{-1} = Q^T)$, including parity $Q = -I$. We choose $V(r)$ as a confining potential with a very sharp minimum.
at \( r = 1 \), i.e. with \( V'(1) = 0 \) and very large \( k := V''(1)/4 > 0 \), and fix \( V_0 := V(1) \) so that the ground state has zero energy, \( E_0 = 0 \). We choose an energy cutoff \( \bar{E} \) satisfying first of all the condition

\[
V(r) \simeq V_0 + 2k(r-1)^2 \quad \text{if } r \text{ fulfills } V(r) \leq \bar{E},
\]

so that \( V(r) \) is approximately harmonic in the classical region \( v_\bar{E} \) compatible with the energy cutoff \( V(r) \leq \bar{E} \). Then we project the theory onto the finite-dimensional Hilbert subspace \( \mathcal{H}_\bar{E} \subset \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^D) \) spanned by \( \psi \) fulfilling the eigenvalue equation

\[
H \psi = E \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^D),
\]

with \( E \leq \bar{E} \). This entails replacing every observable \( A \) by \( \bar{A} \):

\[
A \mapsto \bar{A} := P_\bar{E} A P_\bar{E},
\]

where \( P_\bar{E} \) is the projection on \( \mathcal{H}_\bar{E} \). \( H, L_{ij}, P_\bar{E} \) commute; \( L_{ij} := x^i p_j - x^j p_i \) are the angular momentum components. Decomposing the Laplacian on \( \mathbb{R}^D \) in polar coordinates \( r, \varphi, \ldots \)

\[
\Delta = \partial_r^2 + (D-1) \frac{1}{r} \partial_r - \frac{1}{2r^2} L_{ij} L_{ij},
\]

recalling that the eigenvalues of the square angular momentum \( L^2 = L_{ij} L_{ij} / 2 \) are \( j(j + D - 2) \), and using the Ansatz \( \psi = f(r) Y(\varphi, \ldots) \) \( Y \) are eigenfunctions of \( L^2 \) and of the elements of a Cartan subalgebra of \( so(D) \) we transform (1.5) into this auxiliary ODE in the unknown \( f(r) \):

\[
\left[ -\partial_r^2 - \frac{D-1}{r} \partial_r + \frac{j(j + D - 2)}{r^2} + V(r) \right] f(r) = Ef(r).
\]

To obtain the lowest eigenvalues at leading order in \( 1/k \) we don’t need to solve it exactly: condition (1.4) allows us to approximate (1.7) with the eigenvalue equation of a 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator, by Taylor expanding \( V(r), 1/r, 1/r^2 \) around \( r = 1 \).

As a second condition on the cutoff we ask that it be sufficiently low to ‘freeze’ radial excitations, so that the eigenvalues of \( H \) fulfilling \( E \leq \bar{E} \) coincide at leading order with those of the square angular momentum \( L^2 = L_{ij} L_{ij} / 2 \) of the Laplacian on the sphere \( S^d \); this can be considered as a quantum version of the constraint \( r = 1 \). It turns out that on \( \mathcal{H}_\bar{E} \) the \( x^i \) are noncommutative à la Snyder, namely their commutators depend only on the angular momentum, and that they generate the whole algebra of observables. The whole procedure is \( O(D) \)-covariant by construction. Making \( \bar{E}, V''(1) \gg 0 \) diverge with some \( \Lambda \in \mathbb{N} \) (while \( E_0 = 0 \), and keeping the leading terms in \( 1/\Lambda \), we get a sequence \( \{ \mathcal{A} \}_{\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}} \) of fuzzy approximations of ordinary quantum mechanics (QM) on \( S^d \). On \( \mathcal{H}_\bar{E} = \mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\Lambda} V_l \) the square distance \( \mathcal{B}^2 \) from the origin is not identically 1, but a function of \( L^2 \), whose spectrum collapses to 1 in the \( \Lambda \to \infty \) limit.

Our construction is inspired by the Landau model, where noncommuting \( x, y \) are obtained projecting QM with a strong uniform magnetic field \( B \) on the lowest energy subspace; therefore the method is physically sound. Our models might have applications to quantum models in condensed matter physics with an effective one- or two-dimensional configuration space in the form of a circle, a cylinder or a sphere, because they respect parity, and the restriction to the circle, cylinder or sphere
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is an effective one obtained “a posteriori” from the exact dynamics in the physical dimension 3. But we think that they are interesting also as new toy-models of fuzzy geometries in quantum field theory, quantum gravity, string theory. Our procedure can be generalized in a straightforward manner to $D > 3$, as well as to other confining potentials; the dimension of the accessible Hilbert space $H_\mathcal{E}$ will be approximately $B/h^D$, where $h, B$ are the Planck constant and the volume of the classically allowed region in phase space (i.e. the one characterized by energies $E \leq \mathcal{E}$). If $H$ is invariant under some symmetry group, then the projection $P_\mathcal{E}$ on $H_\mathcal{E}$ is invariant as well, and the projected theory will inherit that symmetry. Imposing a cutoff $\mathcal{E}$ on a given theory may have various motivations, in particular: it can yield an effective description of a system when our preparation of the system, or our measurements, or the interactions with the environment, cannot bring its state to energies $E > \mathcal{E}$; or it may even be a necessity if we believe $\mathcal{E}$ represents the threshold for the onset of new physics not accountable by that theory.

In sections 2, 3 we treat the cases $D = 2, 3$ leading to $S^1_\Lambda, S^2_\Lambda$ respectively. Section 4 contains a comparison with the literature, final remarks, outlook and conclusions. For more details, explicit computations and proofs we refer the reader to Ref. [1].

![Figure 1: Three-dimensional plot of $V(r)$](image1)

![Figure 2: Two-dimensional plot of $V(r)$ including the energy-cutoff](image2)

2. $D = 2$: $O(2)$-covariant fuzzy circle

The potential is shown in fig. 1. For convenience we look for the solution $\psi$ of (1.5) in the form $\psi = e^{im\phi} f(\rho)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ = spectrum of $L \equiv L_{12}$, $\rho := \ln r$, and expand around $\rho = 0$. The harmonic oscillator approximation of (1.7) has eigenvalues and (Hérmite) eigenfunctions

$$E = E_{n,m} = 2n\sqrt{2k} - 2n + m^2 + O\left(1/\sqrt{k}\right)$$

$$f_{n,m}(\rho) = N_{n,m} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-\tilde{\rho}_{n,m})^2}{2k_{n,m}}\right] H_n\left(\frac{\rho-\tilde{\rho}_{n,m}}{\sqrt{k_{n,m}}}\right),$$

$$k_{n,m} = 2(k-E_{n,m}+V_0), \quad \tilde{\rho}_{n,m} = \frac{E_{n,m} - V_0}{k_{n,m}}.$$

3
with \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \); we have set \( V_0 = -\sqrt{2k} + 2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \) to fulfill the requirement \( E_{0,0} = 0 \). Up to terms \( O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \) (2.1) gives

\[
E_m \equiv E_{0,m} = m^2,
\]

which are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian \( L^2 \) on \( S^1 \), while \( E_{n,m} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) if \( n > 0 \) we have (we have highlighted the unwelcome \( n \)-dependent contribution in red, the welcome \( m \)-dependent one in blue); we can eliminate the latter eigenvalues by choosing a cutoff \( E < 2\sqrt{2k} - 2 \). The eigenfunctions of \( H \) corresponding to \( E = E_m \) are

\[
\psi_m(\rho, \varphi) = N_m e^{im\varphi} e^{-\sqrt{\rho^2 + \xi^2}}.
\]

We set \( \Lambda := \sqrt{E} \in \mathbb{N} \) and abbreviate \( \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \equiv \mathcal{H}_E \). \( E_m \leq E \) implies

\[
m^2 \leq \Lambda^2 < 2\sqrt{2k} - 2
\]

so that all \( E_m \) are smaller than the energy levels corresponding to \( n > 0 \) (see fig. 2). Clearly \( \dim(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) = 2\Lambda + 1 \). We recover the whole spectrum of \( L^2 \) on \( S^1 \) by allowing \( \sqrt{E} \), or equivalently \( \Lambda \), to diverge with \( k \) while respecting (2.4).

Let \( x^\pm := \frac{x^\pm \xi^\pm}{\sqrt{2}} = re^{\pm \varphi} \). By explicit computations

\[
\langle \psi_n, x^\pm \psi_m \rangle = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m(m \pm 1)}{2k} \right] \delta_{m \pm 1}^n
\]

with \( a = 1 + \frac{9}{4} + \frac{37}{64k} + \ldots \). To get rid of \( a \) we rescale \( \tilde{\xi}^\pm := \frac{\xi^\pm}{a} \). \( \tilde{x}^\pm, \tilde{\xi}^\pm \) are resp. the adjoints of \( x^\pm, \xi^\pm \). Then, up to terms \( O(1/k^{3/2}) \)

\[
\tilde{\xi}^\pm \psi_m = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m(m \pm 1)}{2k} \right] \psi_{m \pm 1} & \text{if } -\Lambda \leq \pm m \leq \Lambda - 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

\( \mathcal{L} \psi_m = m \psi_m \).

We define the square distance from the origin as the \( O(2) \)-invariant \( \mathcal{R}^2 := \tilde{\xi}^+ \tilde{\xi}^- + \tilde{\xi}^- \tilde{\xi}^+ \); let \( \tilde{P}_m \) be the projection over the 1-dim subspace spanned by \( \psi_m \). Eq. (2.6) implies at leading order in \( 1/k \)

\[
\left[ \tilde{\xi}^+, \tilde{\xi}^- \right] = -\frac{\mathcal{L}}{k} + \left[ 1 + \frac{\Lambda(\Lambda + 1)}{k} \right] \frac{\tilde{P}_\Lambda - \tilde{P}_{-\Lambda}}{2}.
\]

\[
\prod_{m = -\Lambda}^{\Lambda} (\mathcal{L} - ml) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{L})^\dagger = \mathcal{L},
\]

\[
[\mathcal{L}, \tilde{\xi}^\pm] = \pm \tilde{\xi}^\pm, \quad \tilde{\xi}^+ \tilde{\xi}^- = \tilde{\xi}^- \tilde{\xi}^+, \quad (\tilde{\xi}^\pm)^{2\Lambda + 1} = 0.
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}^2 = 1 + \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{k} - \left[ 1 + \frac{\Lambda(\Lambda + 1)}{k} \right] \frac{\tilde{P}_\Lambda + \tilde{P}_{-\Lambda}}{2}.
\]
Eq. (2.7-2.10) are exact if we adopt (2.6) as definitions of $\xi^+, \xi^-$, $\mathcal{L}$. To obtain a fuzzy space we can choose $k$ as a function of $\Lambda$ fulfilling (2.4), for example $k = \Lambda^2(\Lambda+1)^2$, and the commutative limit will be $\Lambda \to \infty$. Then e.g. (2.7) becomes
\[
[\xi^+, \xi^-] = \frac{-\mathcal{L}}{\Lambda^2(\Lambda+1)^2} + \left[1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda(\Lambda+1)}\right] \frac{\mathcal{P}_L - \mathcal{P}_L}{2}. \tag{2.11}
\]
Let us summarize what we have found so far:

- The matched confining potential and energy cutoff lead to a non-zero commutator of the coordinates of the Snyder’s Lie algebra type, i.e. depending only on $L$, and vanishing as $k \to \infty$. To obtain a fuzzy space we can choose $k$ as a function of $\Lambda$ fulfilling (2.4); and the commutative limit will be $\Lambda \to +\infty$.

- $\mathbb{S}^2 \neq 1$, but it is a function of $L^2$; its eigenvalues (except on $\pm \Lambda$) are close to 1, slightly grow with $|m|$ and collapse to 1 as $\Lambda \to \infty$.

- Relations (2.7-2.10) are $O(2)$-invariant, because in the original model both the commutation relations and $H$ (hence also $P_k$) are.

- The ordered monomials $(\xi^+)^h (\xi^-)^l$ [with degrees $h, l, n$ bounded by (2.8-2.9)] make up a basis of the $*$-algebra of observables $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda := \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda)$ [a $(2\Lambda+1)^2$-dim vector space]; for instance, the $P_m$ themselves can be expressed as polynomials in $\mathcal{L}$.

- $\xi^+, \xi^-$ (or equivalently $x^+, x^-$) generate $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$, because also $\mathcal{L}$ can be expressed as a non-ordered polynomial in $\xi^+, \xi^-$. An alternative set of generators is $\{E^+, E^-, \ldots\}$ in the $(2\Lambda+1)$-dimensional representation of $Uso(2)$ (see below).

- As $\Lambda \to \infty \ [\xi^+, \xi^-] \to 0$, $\dim(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) \to \infty$, $\psi_m \to \delta(\rho)e^{im\varphi}$.

What about the operators $\overline{\partial}_\pm$? As seen, they are not needed as generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$. Actually, $\overline{\partial}_\pm$ do not go to $\partial_\pm$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$ because every $\partial_\pm \psi_m$ has a non-negligible $n = 1$ component. On the contrary, $\mathcal{L} \to L$; this is welcome, because in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$ all vector fields tangential to $S^2$ are of the form $f(\varphi)L$.

\section*{2.1 Realization of the algebra of observables through $Uso(3)$}

The algebra of observables $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda := \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to
\[
\mathcal{A}_\Lambda \simeq M_N(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \pi_\Lambda[Uso(3)], \quad N = 2\Lambda+1, \tag{2.12}
\]
where $\pi_\Lambda$ is the $N$-dimensional unitary representation of $Uso(3)$. The latter is characterized by the condition $\pi_\Lambda(C) = \Lambda(\Lambda+1)$, where $C = E^aE^{-a}$ is the Casimir, and $E^a$ ($a \in \{+, 0, -\}$) make up the Cartan-Weyl basis $E^a$ of $so(3)$,
\[
[E^+, E^-] = E^0, \quad [E^0, E^\pm] = \pm E^\pm, \quad E^{a\dagger} = E^{-a}. \tag{2.13}
\]
To simplify the notation we drop $\pi_\Lambda$. In fact we can realize $\xi^+, \mathcal{L}, \xi^-$ by setting
\[
\mathcal{L} = E^0, \quad \xi^\pm = f_\pm(E^0)E^\pm,
\]
where
\[
f_\pm(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1+s(s-1)/k}{\Lambda(\Lambda+1)-s(s-1)}} f_-(s-1). \tag{2.14}
\]
2.2 *-Automorphisms of the algebra of observables

Within the group $SU(N)$ of *-automorphisms of $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{A}_N$
\[ a \mapsto g a g^{-1}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_N \cong M_N, \quad g \in SU(N), \quad (2.15) \]
a special role is played by the subgroup $SO(3)$ acting through the representation $\pi_\Lambda$, namely $g = \pi_\Lambda [e^{\alpha}]$, where $\alpha \in so(3)$ is a combination with real coefficients of $E^0, E^+ + E^-, i(E^- - E^+)$. $O(2) \subset SO(3)$ plays the role of isometry group. In particular, choosing $\alpha = \theta E^0$ amounts to a rotation by an angle $\theta$ in the $\bar{x}^1\bar{x}^2$ plane: $L \mapsto \bar{L}$ and
\[ \bar{x}^\pm \mapsto \bar{x}^\pm = e^{\pm i\theta} x^\pm \iff \begin{cases} \bar{x}^1 = x^1 \cos \theta + x^2 \sin \theta \\ \bar{x}^2 = -x^1 \sin \theta + x^2 \cos \theta. \end{cases} \]
Choosing $\alpha = \pi(E^+ + E^-)/\sqrt{2}$ we obtain a $O(2)$-transformation with determinant $= -1$ in such a plane: $E^0 \mapsto -E^0$, $E^\pm \mapsto E^\mp$. As $f_\pm(-s) = f_\pm(1+s) = f_\mp(s)$, this is equivalent to $\bar{x}^1 \mapsto \bar{x}^1$, $\bar{x}^2 \mapsto -\bar{x}^2$, $L \mapsto -L$.

2.3 Convergence to $O(2)$-covariant quantum mechanics on $S$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$

Define the natural $O(2)$-covariant embedding $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \hookrightarrow L^2(S) \equiv \mathcal{H}_S$ by setting $\mathcal{I}(\psi_m) := u^m \ (u \equiv e^{i\theta})$ and applying linear extension; below we drop $\mathcal{I}$ and identify $\psi_m = u^m$ as elements of the Hilbert space. Clearly $P_\Lambda \phi \to \phi$ in the $\mathcal{H}_S$-norm $|||$, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_S$; $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ ‘invades’ $\mathcal{H}_S$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$.

$\mathcal{I}$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \hookrightarrow B[\mathcal{H}_S]$ in the operator algebra, with $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ annihilating $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^\perp$; one easily finds that $L = L$ on $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$, and $L \phi \to L \phi$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$, for all $\phi \in D(L) \subset \mathcal{H}_S$. Bounded (resp. continuous) functions $f$ on $S$, acting as multiplication operators $f : \phi \in \mathcal{H}_S \mapsto f \phi \in \mathcal{H}_S$, make up a subalgebra $B(S)$ [resp. $C(S)$] of $B[\mathcal{H}_S]$. The fuzzy analog of the vector space $B(S)$ is:
\[ \mathcal{C}_\Lambda := \left\{ \sum_{n=2\Lambda}^{2\Lambda} f_n \eta^n, \ f_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad (2.16) \]
where $\eta^m = (\sqrt{2} \xi^+)^m$, $\eta^{-m} = (\sqrt{2} \xi^-)^m$, $m \geq 0$. $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ as a vector space, but not as a subalgebra. One easily shows that $\eta^h \phi \to u^h \phi$. Moreover, setting $\hat{f}_\Lambda := \sum_{n=-2\Lambda}^{2\Lambda} f_n \eta^n \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ for all $f \in B(S)$, we find

**Proposition 3.3 in [1]**. Choose $k(\Lambda) \geq 2\Lambda(\Lambda + 1)(2\Lambda + 1)^2$. Then $\hat{f}_\Lambda \to f \cdot, \ (\hat{f}g)_\Lambda \to fg \cdot, \ \hat{f}_\Lambda \hat{g}_\Lambda \to fg \cdot$ strongly as $\Lambda \to \infty, \ \forall f, g \in B(S)$.

On the other hand, the corresponding convergences in the operator norm do not hold, because for all $\Lambda > 0$ the operators $\bar{x}^\pm, L$ annihilate $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^\perp$, whereas $u^{\pm 1}, L$ do not.

3. D=3: $O(3)$-covariant fuzzy sphere

We associate the pseudovector $L_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} L_{jk}$ to the antisymmetric matrix $L_{ij}$ of the angular momentum components. For all vectors $v$ depending on $x, iV$ we shall use either the components
In particular, the one by \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}, |m| \leq l \). Under assumption (1.4) the harmonic oscillator approximation of (1.7) admits the (Hérmite) eigenfunctions:

\[
L^2 Y^m_l(\theta, \varphi) = l(l + 1) Y^m_l(\theta, \varphi), \quad L_3 Y^m_l(\theta, \varphi) = m Y^m_l(\theta, \varphi),
\]

with \( l \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}, |m| \leq l \). Under assumption (1.4) the harmonic oscillator approximation of (1.7) admits the (Hérmite) eigenfunctions:

\[
f_{n, l}(r) = N_{n, l} e^{-\frac{(r-\tilde{r}_l)^2}{2}} H_n\left((r-\tilde{r}_l)\frac{\sqrt{k}}{k_l}\right), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots
\]

with \( k_l = 2k + 3l(l + 1), \tilde{r}_l = \frac{2k + 4l(l + 1)}{2k + 3l(l + 1)} \). We set \( V_0 = -\sqrt{2k} \) to fulfill the requirement \( E_{0,0} = 0 \); then the energies associated to \( \psi_{n, l, m} = \frac{f_{n, l}(r)}{r} Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \) are

\[
E_{n, l} = 2n\sqrt{2k} + l(l + 1) + O\left(1/\sqrt{2k}\right).
\]

Again \( E_{n, l} = l(l + 1) =: E_l \) are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian \( L^2 \) on \( S^2 \), while \( E_{n, l} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) if \( n > 0 \) (we have highlighted the unwelcome \( n \)-dependent contribution in red, the welcome \( l \)-dependent one in blue). We can eliminate the latter (i.e. constrain \( n = 0 \)) imposing a cutoff

\[
E \leq \Lambda(\Lambda + 1) \equiv \mathcal{E} < 2\sqrt{2k}, \tag{3.3}
\]

namely projecting the theory on the Hilbert subspace \( \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) spanned by

\[
\psi^m_l := \psi_{0, l, m} \approx \frac{N_l}{r} e^{-\frac{(r-\tilde{r}_l)^2}{2}} Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi), \quad |m| \leq l, \quad l \leq \Lambda. \tag{3.4}
\]

Clearly \( \dim(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) = (\Lambda + 1)^2 \). Multiplication by \( x^a = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \) \((a = 0, \ldots, 3)\) on \( \psi^m_l \) factorizes into the one by \( r \) on \( \frac{f_{0, l}(r)}{r} \) and the one by \( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \) on \( Y_l^m \). After projection we find

\[
\frac{x^a}{r} \psi^m_l = c_l A_l^a, m Y^m_{l-1} + c_{l+1} A_{l+1}^{-a, m+1} Y^{m+1}_{l+1}, \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
c_0 = c_{\Lambda+1} = 0, \quad c_l = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\ell^2}{k}} \quad 1 \leq l \leq \Lambda
\]

up to terms \( O\left(1/k^2\right) \); here \( A_l^a, m, B_l^a, m \) are the coefficients determined by the ordinary multiplication rules

\[
\frac{x^a}{r} Y^m_l = A_l^a, m Y^m_{l-1} + B_l^a, m Y^{m+1}_{l+1}.
\]
At leading order the $\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{x}^i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, fulfill

$$\prod_{l=0}^{\Lambda} \left[ \tilde{L}^2 - l(l + 1) \right] = 0, \quad \prod_{m=l}^{j} (\tilde{L}_3 - ml) \tilde{P}_l = 0,$$

$$\tilde{L}_i = L_i, \quad [\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{L}_j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{L}_{kh}, \quad \tilde{x}^i = x^i, \quad \tilde{x}^i \tilde{L}_i = 0,$$

$$[\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{x}^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{x}^k.$$

where $K = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l^2}{2\Lambda + 1}$, $\tilde{L}^2 := L_i L_i = L_a L_a$ is $L^2$ projected on $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$, and $\tilde{P}_l$ is the projection on its eigenspace with eigenvalue $l(l+1)$. Moreover, the square distance from the origin is the $O(3)$-invariant

$$\delta^2 := \delta x^2 = 1 + \frac{\tilde{L}^2 + 1}{k} - \left[ 1 + \frac{(\Lambda+1)^2}{2\Lambda + 1} \right] \frac{\Lambda + 1}{k}. \quad (3.10)$$

Relations (3.7-3.10) are exact if we adopt (3.5) as definitions of $x^i$. To obtain a fuzzy space we can choose $k$ as a function of $\Lambda$ fulfilling (3.3); one possible choice is $k = \Lambda^2(\Lambda + 1)^2$, and the commutative limit will be $\Lambda \to +\infty$. Again:

- The commutators $[\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{x}^j]$ (3.9) depend only on the angular momentum and are Snyder-like, i.e. (apart from the additional term in the second formula) are proportional to angular momentum components, and vanish as $\Lambda \to +\infty$; in the same limit $\psi^m \to \delta(r-1)Y^m$.

- Hence (3.7-3.9) are covariant under the whole group $O(3)$, including parity $\tilde{x}_i \mapsto -\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{L}_i \mapsto -\tilde{L}_i$, contrary to Madore’s and Hoppe’s FS.

- $\delta^2 \neq 1$; but it is a function of $L^2$, and, for each fixed $\Lambda$, its eigenvalues (except the highest one) are close to 1, slightly grow with $l$ and collapse to 1 as $\Lambda \to +\infty$.

- The ordered monomials in $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{L}_i$ make up a basis of the $(\Lambda+1)^4$-dim vector space $\mathcal{A} := \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) \simeq M_{(\Lambda+1)^2}(\mathbb{C})$, because the $\tilde{P}_l$ themselves can be expressed as polynomials in $L^2$.

- Actually, $\tilde{x}_i$ generate the *-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, because also the $\tilde{L}_i$ can be expressed as a non-ordered polynomial in the $\tilde{x}_i$.

### 3.1 Realization of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ of observables through $U\operatorname{so}(4)$

We recall that $\operatorname{so}(4) \simeq su(2) \oplus su(2)$; hence this Lie algebra is spanned by $\{E^1_i, E^2_i\}_{i=1}^3$ fulfilling

$$[E^1_i, E^2_j] = 0, \quad [E^1_i, E^1_j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} E^1_k, \quad [E^2_i, E^2_j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} E^2_k. \quad (3.11)$$
Let $L_i := E^1_i + E^2_i$, $X_i := E^1_i - E^2_i$ make up alternative basis of $so(4)$ and fulfill

$$[L_i, L_j] = \pm i e^{ijk} L_k, \quad [L_i, X_j] = \pm i e^{ijk} X_k, \quad [X_i, X_j] = i e^{ijk} L_k. \quad (3.12)$$

The $L_i$ close another $su(2)$. Passing to generators labelled by $a \in \{-, 0, +\}$, we find

$$[L_i, L_-] = L_0, \quad [L_0, L_\pm] = \pm L_\pm = [X_0, X_\pm], \quad [X_\pm, X_-] = L_0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[L_\pm, X_\mp] = \pm X_0, \quad [L_0, X_\pm] = \pm X_\pm = [X_0, L_\pm], \quad [L_0, X_0] = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

(in the last formula there is no sum over $a$), where $L^2 := L_i L_i = L_{aL_{-a}}$, $X^2 := X_i X_i = X_a X_{-a}$.

In the representation $\pi_\Lambda := \pi_2^{\Lambda} \otimes \pi_2^{\Lambda}$ of $Uso(4) \simeq Usu(2) \otimes Usu(2)$ on the Hilbert space $V_\Lambda := V_2^{\Lambda} \otimes V_2^{\Lambda}$ it is $C^1 := E^1_i E^1_i = \frac{\Lambda}{2} (\frac{\Lambda}{2} + 1) = E^2_i E^2_i =: C^2$, or equivalently

$$X \cdot L = L \cdot X = 0, \quad X^2 + L^2 = \Lambda (\Lambda + 2) \quad (3.15)$$

(we have dropped the symbols $\pi_\Lambda$). $V_\Lambda$ admits an orthonormal basis consisting of common eigenvectors of $L^2$ and $L_0$; in standard ket notation,

$$L_0 |l, m\rangle = m |l, m\rangle, \quad L^2 |l, m\rangle = l (l + 1) |l, m\rangle \quad (3.16)$$

with $0 \leq l \leq \Lambda$ and $|m| \leq l$. $V_\Lambda$, $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ have the same dimension $(\Lambda + 1)^2$ and decomposition in irreducible representations of the $L_i$ subalgebra; we identify them setting $\psi^m_l \equiv |l, m\rangle$. The action of $X^a$ on $V_\Lambda$ reads

$$X^a |l, m\rangle = d_l A_l^{a,m} |l - 1, m + a\rangle + d_{l+1} B_l^{a,m} |l + 1, m + a\rangle \quad (3.17)$$

$$d_l := \sqrt{(\Lambda + 1)^2 - l^2}$$

We can naturally realize $\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}^a$ within $\pi_\Lambda [Usu(2) \otimes Usu(2)]$ [1]. Define $\lambda := \frac{\sqrt{l^2 + 1} - 1}{2}$; then $\lambda |l, m\rangle = l |l, m\rangle$. The Ansatz

$$\mathcal{T}_a = L_a, \quad \mathcal{T}^a = g(\lambda) X^a g(\lambda), \quad (3.18)$$

fulfills (3.5) and therefore (3.7-3.9), provided

$$g(l) = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{l-2} (\Lambda + 1 - 2i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{l+1} (\Lambda + 1 + 2i)}} \prod_{j=0}^{l+1} \frac{1 + (l + 2j^2)^2}{1 + (l - 2j)^2} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Lambda + 1}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{\Lambda - 1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{l + 1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{l + 1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{\Lambda + 1}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{\Lambda - 1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{l + 1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{l + 1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})}}$$

here we have used Euler’s gamma-function $\Gamma$. The inverse of (3.18) is clearly $X^a = [g(\lambda)]^{-1} \mathcal{T}^a [g(\lambda)]^{-1}$.

We have thus explicitly constructed a *-algebra isomorphism

$$so_\Lambda := End (\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) \simeq M_N (\mathbb{C}) \simeq \pi_\Lambda [Uso(4)], \quad N := (\Lambda + 1)^2. \quad (3.20)$$
3.2 \( \ast \)-Automorphisms of the algebra of observables

Within the group of \( \ast \)-automorphisms of the algebra of observables \( M_N(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \)

\[
b \rightarrow gbg^{-1}, \quad b \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda, \quad g \in SU(N)
\]

again a special role is played by the subgroup \( SO(4) \) acting through the representation \( \mathcal{P}_\Lambda \), namely \( g = \mathcal{P}_\Lambda \left( e^{i \alpha L_i} \right), \alpha \in so(4) \). \( O(3) \subset SO(4) \) plays the role of isometry subgroup. In particular, choosing \( \alpha = \alpha_i L_i \) \( (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}) \) the automorphism amounts to a \( SO(3) \) transformation (a rotation in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \)). An \( O(3) \) transformation with determinant \(-1\) in the \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) space, and therefore also in the \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) space, is parity: \( (L_i, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow (L_i, -\mathbf{x}) \), or equivalently \( E^1 \leftrightarrow E^2 \), the only automorphism of \( so(4) \) (corresponding to the exchange of the two nodes in the Dynkin diagram).

3.3 Convergence to \( O(3) \)-covariant quantum mechanics on \( S^2 \) as \( \Lambda \to \infty \)

Define the \( O(3) \)-covariant embedding \( \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(S^2) \cong \mathcal{H}_L \) by setting \( \mathcal{I} (\psi^m) := Y^m_l \) and applying linear extension; below we drop \( \mathcal{I} \) and identify \( \psi^m_l = Y^m_l \) as elements of the Hilbert space. Clearly \( P_\Lambda \phi \rightarrow \phi \) in the \( \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \)-norm \( \| \| \), for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{H}_L \); \( \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \) ‘invades’ \( \mathcal{H}_L \) as \( \Lambda \rightarrow \infty \).

\( \mathcal{I} \) induces the embedding of operator algebras \( \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \rightarrow B [\mathcal{H}_L] \), with \( \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \) annihilating \( \mathcal{H}_L \); \( \mathcal{T}_L = L_i \) on \( \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \), and \( \mathcal{T}_L \phi \rightarrow L_i \phi \) as \( \Lambda \to \infty \), for all \( \phi \in D(L_i) \subset \mathcal{H}_L \). Bounded (resp. continuous) functions \( f \) on \( S^2 \), acting as multiplication operators \( f \phi \in \mathcal{H}_L \), make up a subalgebra \( B(S^2) \) [resp. \( C(S^2) \)] of \( B [\mathcal{H}_L] \). We define the fuzzy analog of the vector space \( B(S^2) \) as

\[
\mathcal{C}_\Lambda := \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{2\Lambda} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} f^m_l \hat{Y}^m_l, f^m_l \in \mathbb{C} \right\},
\]

where \( \hat{Y}^m_l := M_l \sqrt{(l+m)!2l!m!(l-m)!} L^{l-m}_l(\mathbf{x}^+) \) are the fuzzy analogs of \( Y^m_l \in B(S^2) \). \( \mathcal{C}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \) as a vector space, but not as a subalgebra. The decomposition of \( \mathcal{C}_\Lambda \) in irreducible representations of \( O(3) \) reads \( \mathcal{C}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{2\Lambda} \mathcal{V}_l \). In [1] we have shown that for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{H}_L \), \( \mathcal{T}_L \phi \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}/r) \phi \); more generally, setting \( \hat{f}_\Lambda := \sum_{l=0}^{2\Lambda} \sum_{|m| \leq l} f^m_l \hat{Y}^m_l \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \) for all \( f \in B(S^2) \) we find

**Proposition 4.3** in [1]. Choose \( k(\Lambda) \geq 2^{3\Lambda+3} \Lambda^{A+5}(\Lambda+1) \). Then \( \hat{f}_\Lambda \rightarrow f \cdot, (\hat{f}g)_\Lambda \rightarrow fg \cdot, \hat{f}_\Lambda \hat{g} \rightarrow fg \cdot \) strongly as \( \Lambda \to \infty \), \( \forall f, g \in B(S^2) \).

On the other hand, the corresponding convergences in the operator norm do not hold, because for all \( \Lambda > 0 \) the operators \( \mathcal{T}_L, \mathcal{L}_L \) annihilate \( \mathcal{H}_L \), whereas \( \mathcal{T}_L, \mathcal{L}_L \) do not.

4. Comparison with the literature, final remarks and outlook

In conclusion, for \( d = 1, 2 \) we have built a sequence \( (\mathcal{A}_\Lambda, \mathcal{H}_\Lambda) \) of finite-dim, \( O(D) \)-covariant \( (D = d+1) \) approximations of quantum mechanics of a spinless particle on the sphere \( S^d \); \( \mathcal{R}^2 \geq 1 \) collapses to 1 as \( \Lambda \to \infty \). This result has been achieved imposing an energy-cutoff \( E \leq \Lambda(\Lambda+d-1) \) on quantum mechanics of a particle in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) subject to a sharp confining potential \( V(r) \) on the sphere \( r = 1 \). \( \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \) is a fuzzy approximation of the whole algebra of observables of the particle on \( S^d \)
(phase space algebra), and converges to it in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. We have explicitly determined a $^*$-isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda \simeq \pi_\Lambda[Uso(D+1)]$, with a suitable irreducible representation $\pi_\Lambda$ of $Uso(D+1)$ on $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. On the other hand $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ carries a reducible representation of the $Uso(D)$ subalgebra generated by the $T_{ij}$: $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ is the direct sum of all irreducible representations fulfilling $L^2 \leq \Lambda (\Lambda + d - 1)$. A similar decomposition holds for the subspace $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ of completely symmetrized polynomials in the $\mathfrak{t}$ acting as multiplication operators on $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. As $\Lambda \to \infty$ these respectively become the decompositions (1.2) of $L^2(S^d)$ and of $C(S^d)$ acting on $L^2(S^d)$.

Our approach seems applicable to $d \geq 3$; this will allow a more direct comparison with the rest of the literature. The fuzzy spheres of dimension $d = 4$ introduced in [22], as well as the $d \geq 3$ ones considered in [23, 24, 25], are based on $\text{End}(V)$, where $V$ carries a particular irreducible representation of $SO(D)$; as $\mathcal{R}^2$ is central, it can be set $\mathcal{R}^2 = 1$ identically. The commutation relations are also Snyder-like, hence $O(D)$-covariant. The fuzzy spherical harmonics are elements of $End(V)$, but do not close a subalgebra of $End(V)$, i.e. the product $Y \cdot Y'$ of two spherical harmonics is not a combination of spherical harmonics. This is exactly as in our models, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ is a subspace, but not a subalgebra, of $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$. (One can introduce a product in $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ by projecting the result of $Y \cdot Y'$ to the vector space $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$, but it will be non-associative).

In [26, 27] Steinacker and Sperling consider the possibility of constructing a fuzzy 4-sphere $S_5^4$ through a reducible representation of $Uso(5)$ on a Hilbert space $V$ obtained decomposing an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $Uso(5)$ characterized by a triple of highest weights $(N, n_1, n_2)$; so $End(V) \simeq \pi[Uso(5)]$, in analogy with our result. The elements $X'$ of a basis of the vector space $so(5) \setminus so(6)$ play the role of noncommuting cartesian coordinates. Hence, the $O(5)$-scalar $\mathcal{R}^2 = X'X'$ is no longer central, but its spectrum is still very close to 1 provided $N \gg n_1, n_2$, because then $V$ decomposes only in few irreducible $SO(5)$-components, all with eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}^2$ very close to 1; if $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ then $\mathcal{R}^2 \equiv 1$ ($V$ carries an irreducible representation of $O(5)$), and one recovers the fuzzy 4-sphere of [22]. On the contrary, in our approach $\mathcal{R}^2 \simeq 1$ is guaranteed by adopting $\mathfrak{t}' = g(L^2)X'g(L^2)$ rather than $X'$ as noncommutative cartesian coordinates, and $\mathcal{R}^2 = \mathfrak{t}'\mathfrak{t}'$.

Many other aspects of these new fuzzy spheres deserve investigations: e.g. space uncertainties, optimally localized states (coherent states [28]), their distance (as done e.g. in [29] for the FS), extension to particles with spin, etc. We hope that progresses on these and other issues can be reported soon.
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