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Abstract. In 1999, Y. Kashina introduced the exponent of a Hopf algebra. In this paper, we prove that the exponent of a finite dimensional non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra with Chevalley property in characteristic 0 is infinite, and the exponent of a finite dimensional non-cosemisimple pointed Hopf algebra in positive characteristic is finite.
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1. Introduction

Let \((H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)\) be a Hopf algebra over a field \(k\). We use Sweedler’s notation \(\Delta(h) = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}\) for \(h \in H\).

Y. Kashina [1] defined the \(n\)th Hopf power as \([n] = m_n \circ \Delta_n : H \to H\), where \(\Delta_n : H \to H^\otimes n, h \mapsto \sum h_{\otimes n} = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{(n)}\) and \(m_n : H^\otimes n \to H, h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n \mapsto h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n\), for all \(h, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n \in H\). The exponent of \(H\) is the least positive integer \(n\) such that \([n] = u \circ \varepsilon\). We denote the exponent of \(H\) by \(\exp(H)\) if such an \(n\) exists, otherwise we write \(\exp(H) = \infty\). For a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra \(H\), Y. Kashina [2] conjectured that \(\exp(H)\) is finite and divides the dimension of \(H\).

In 1999, P. Etingof and S. Gelaki [3] used the notion of exponent of \(H\) to stand for the least positive integer \(n\) such that \(\sum h_{(1)} S^{-2} (h_{(2)}) \cdots S^{-2n+2} (h_{(n)}) = \varepsilon(h) 1_H\) for all \(h \in H\).

For a semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra \(H\), the two notions of exponent are the same, and the exponent of \(H\) divides \((\dim_k H)^3\). In 2006, Y. Kashina, Y. Sommerhäuser, Y. Zhu [4] proved that any prime number dividing \(\dim_k H\) also divides \(\exp(H)\).

In this paper, we study the exponent of non-cosemisimple Hopf algebras. We prove in Section 4 that over a field of characteristic 0, the exponent of a non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra with Chevalley property is \(\infty\). When the characteristic of the base field is positive, we prove in Section 5 that the exponent of a finite-dimensional non-cosemisimple pointed Hopf algebra \(H\) is finite.

2. Coradical Orthonormal Idempotents in \(H^*\)

Throughout this paper, we let \(k\) be a field, \((H, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) be a coalgebra over \(k\), and \(H^*\) be the dual (algebra) of \(H\). Denote the the coradical filtration of \(H\) by \(\{H_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\), and the set of all simple subcoalgebras of \(H\) by \(\mathcal{S}\), then we have \(H_0 = \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S}} C\).
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Definition 2.1 ([5] Definition 3.5.12)]. Let \( H \) be a coalgebra. An orthonormal family of idempotents of \( H^* \) is a family of non-zero idempotents \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( H^* \) such that \( e_i e_j = 0 \) whenever \( i, j \in I \) are different and \( \sum_{i \in I} e_i = 1 \).

Remark 2.1. Assume that a family of idempotents \( \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( H^* \) satisfying the condition that \( e_i e_j = 0 \) whenever \( i, j \in I \) are different. Then \( \sum_{i \in I} e_i \) is a well-defined idempotent since \( H \) is locally finite by the fundamental theorem of coalgebras.

D.E. Radford affirmed the existence of an orthonormal family of idempotents \( \{e_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{S}} \subseteq H^* \) on every coalgebra \( H \) such that the natural actions (both left and right) of \( H^* \) on \( H_0 \) by each \( e_C \) is exactly the \( \kappa \)-projection from \( H_0 \) onto \( C \).

Proposition 2.1 ([5] Definition 3.5.15)]. Let \( H \) be a coalgebra. Let \( H_0 = \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S}} C \) be the coradical of \( H \). Then there exists an orthonormal family of idempotents \( \{e_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{S}} \subseteq H^* \) such that \( e_C|_{H_0} = \delta_C, D \in \mathcal{S} \), where \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta.

In this paper, an orthonormal family of idempotents \( \{e_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{S}} \subseteq H^* \) satisfying the property in the previous proposition will be called \( \{ \text{a family of} \} \) coradical orthonormal idempotents of \( H^* \). It’s not unique in general. For any family of coradical orthonormal idempotents \( \{e_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{S}} \), we use for convenience the notations below:

\[
C_h = h \iff e_C, \quad c_h = e_D \rightarrow h, \quad c_h^D = e_D \rightarrow h \leftarrow e_C, \quad \forall h \in H, \forall C, D \in \mathcal{S}.
\]

Specially if \( C = \mathbb{k}g \) is pointed, we write \( \gamma h \) as \( c_h = c_h^g = h^g \), and \( V^g = V^g \mathbb{k} g = e_C \rightarrow V \).

Proposition 2.2. Let \( H \) be a coalgebra and \( \{e_C\}_{C \in \mathcal{S}} \subseteq H^* \) be a family of coradical orthonormal idempotents. Then for all \( C, D \in \mathcal{S} \), we have

1. \( C H_0^D = \delta_C, D \mathcal{C} \).
2. \( C H_1^D \subseteq \Delta^{-1}(C \otimes C H_1^D + C H_1^D \otimes D) \).
3. \( C H_1^D \subseteq \text{Ker } \epsilon \text{ if } C \neq D \).
4. For any \( \mathbb{k} \)-subspace \( V \subseteq H \), we have the direct-sum decomposition \( V = \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S}} C V = \bigoplus_{C, D \in \mathcal{S}} C V^D \).

3. Multiplicative Matrices and Primitive Matrices

In 1988, Y. Manin [6] introduced the concept “multiplicative matrix” for quantum group constructions. We first recall Manin’s notations on matrices over \( \mathbb{k} \)-vector spaces. For positive integers \( r \) and \( s \), we use \( \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(V) \) to denote the set of all \( r \times s \) matrices over a vector space \( V \). If \( r = s \), we write \( \mathcal{M}_r(V) = \mathcal{M}_{r \times r}(V) \).

Notation 3.1 ([6] Section 2.6)]. Let \( V, W \) be \( \mathbb{k} \)-vector spaces. Define a bilinear map

\[
\tilde{\otimes} : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(V) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times t}(V \otimes W); (v_{ij}) \otimes (w_{kl}) \mapsto \left( \sum_{k=1}^{s} v_{ik} \otimes w_{kj} \right).
\]

Remark 3.1. \( \tilde{\otimes} \) is not the usual tensor product of \( \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(V) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}(W) \). However, the associative law \( \tilde{\otimes} \circ (\tilde{\otimes} \otimes \text{id}) = \tilde{\otimes} \circ (\text{id} \otimes \tilde{\otimes}) \) on \( \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(U) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}(V) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{t \times u}(W) \) holds.
In order to calculate counits and coproducts of all the entries in a matrix over a coalgebra, we use the following notations.

**Notation 3.2** ([6, Section 2.6]). Let $V$, $W$ be any $k$-vector spaces, and let $f \in \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$, we use the same symbol $f$ to denote the linear map $f : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(W), (v_{ij}) \mapsto (f(v_{ij}))$. Specifically,

1. If $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra, then
   $$\Delta : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H \otimes H), (h_{ij}) \mapsto (\Delta(h_{ij})).$$
   $$\varepsilon : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(k), (h_{ij}) \mapsto (\varepsilon(h_{ij})).$$

2. If $(H, m, u)$ is an algebra, then
   $$m : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H \otimes H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H), (h_{ij} \otimes h_{ij}') \mapsto (h_{ij}h_{ij}').$$

3. If $H$ is Hopf algebra with antipode $S$, then
   $$S : \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H), (h_{ij}) \mapsto (S(h_{ij})).$$

The following proposition is immediate.

**Proposition 3.1.**

1. Let $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra, then the equalities $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ and $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta$ hold on $\mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H)$.

2. Let $(H, m, u)$ be an algebra, then $m \circ \otimes$ on $\mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}(H)$ is exactly the matrix multiplication $\mathcal{M}_{r \times s}(H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r \times t}(H)$.

A “multiplicative matrix” over a coalgebra has similar properties to a group-like element.

**Definition 3.1** ([6, Section 2.6]). Let $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra and $r$ be a positive integer. Let $I_r$ denote the identity matrix of order $r$ over $k$. A matrix $G \in \mathcal{M}_r(H)$ is called a multiplicative matrix over $H$ if $\Delta(G) = G \otimes G$ and $\varepsilon(G) = I_r$.

We also call a square matrix $G$ over a $k$-vector space $H$ with linear maps $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ and $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow k$ multiplicative whenever $\Delta(G) = G \otimes G$ and $\varepsilon(G) = I$ hold, even if $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is not a coalgebra.

**Example 3.1.** Let $C$ be a simple coalgebra over an algebraically closed field $k$. Then $\dim_k C = r^2$ for some natural integer $r$, and there exists a basis $\{c_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}$ such that $\Delta(c_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ik} \otimes c_{kj}$ and $\varepsilon(c_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$. Then the $r \times r$ matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ is a multiplicative matrix over $C$.

A multiplicative matrix $C$ over a simple coalgebra $C$ is called a basic multiplicative matrix of $C$ if all the entries of $C$ form a basis of $C$. It is not unique in general.

Now we give a description of the left integral of a Hopf algebra with basic multiplicative matrices.

**Proposition 3.2** (cf. [7, Proposition 9]). Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional involutory Hopf algebra. Define $\Lambda_0 \in H$ by $\langle h^*, \Lambda_0 \rangle = \text{Tr}(L(h^*))$ for all $h^* \in H^*$, where $\text{Tr}(L(h^*))$ is the trace of the left multiplication

$$L(h^*) : H^* \rightarrow H^*, k^* \mapsto h^*k^*.$$

Then

1. $\Lambda_0$ is a left integral of $H$. 
Remark 3.2. In fact, if \( \Delta(w) \) is a multiplicative matrix, we define a similar concept.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( H \) be a coalgebra. Then for any \( w \in C H_1^D \),

\[
\Delta(w) = \sum_{i,r} c_{ri} \otimes x_i^{(r')} + \sum_{j,j'} y_j^{(j')} \otimes d_{jj'},
\]

where \( x_i^{(r)}, y_j^{(j')} \in C H_1^D \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), \( 1 \leq j \leq s \). Furthermore, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( H \) be a coalgebra. Then for any \( w \in C H_1^D \),
(1) If $C \neq D$, then $x^{(i')}_{i}, y^{(j')}_{j} \in (C H_{1}^{D})^{+}$ for all $1 \leq i', i \leq r, 1 \leq j, j' \leq s$. In other words, 
\[
\Delta(w) \in C \otimes (C H_{1}^{D})^{+} + (C H_{1}^{D})^{+} \otimes D.
\]
(2) If $C = D$, if we choose that $C = D$, then
\[
\Delta \left( w - \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{i} + y^{(i')}_{i'}) c_{i'} \right) \in C \otimes (C H_{1}^{C})^{+} + (C H_{1}^{C})^{+} \otimes C.
\]

**Proof.**

(1) If $C \neq D$, $\epsilon(C H_{1}^{D}) = 0$ by Proposition 2.2(3), thus the claim holds.

(2) If $C = D$ and $C = D$, then for any $w \in C H_{1}^{D}$, there exist basic multiplicative matrices for $C$ and $D$.

\[
\Delta \left( w - \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{i} + y^{(i')}_{i'}) c_{i'} \right) = \Delta(w) - \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{i}) \Delta(c_{i'}) - \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} \epsilon(y^{(i')}_{i}) \Delta(c_{i'})
\]
\[
= \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} c_{i'} \otimes x^{(i')}_{i} + \sum_{j, j'=1}^{s} y^{(j')}_{j} \otimes c_{jj'}
\]
\[
- \sum_{i', i, k=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{i}) c_{i' k} \otimes c_{ki} - \sum_{j, j', l=1}^{s} \epsilon(y^{(j')}_{j}) c_{jl} \otimes c_{lj'}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} c_{i'} \otimes x^{(i')}_{i} + \sum_{j, j'=1}^{s} y^{(j')}_{j} \otimes c_{jj'}
\]
\[
- \sum_{i', k, i=1}^{r} c_{i' k} \otimes \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{k}) c_{ik} - \sum_{j, j', l=1}^{s} \epsilon(y^{(j')}_{l}) c_{lj} \otimes c_{jj'}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i', i=1}^{r} c_{i'} \otimes \left[ x^{(i')}_{i} - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{k}) c_{ik} \right]
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j, j'=1}^{s} \left[ y^{(j')}_{j} - \sum_{l=1}^{s} \epsilon(y^{(j')}_{l}) c_{lj} \right] \otimes c_{jj'}.
\]

It’s easy to verify that
\[
x^{(i')}_{i} - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \epsilon(x^{(i')}_{k}) c_{ik}, y^{(j')}_{j} - \sum_{l=1}^{s} \epsilon(y^{(j')}_{l}) c_{lj} \in (C H_{1}^{D})^{+}
\]
for each $1 \leq i', i \leq r, 1 \leq j, j' \leq s$.

\begin{proof}

Theorem 3.1. Let $H$ be a coalgebra, $C, D$ be simple subcoalgebras of $H$ and $C = (c_{i'})_{r \times r}, D = (d_{jj'})_{s \times s}$ be respectively basic multiplicative matrices for $C$ and $D$. Then

(1) If $C \neq D$, then for any $w \in C H_{1}^{D}$, there exist $rs$ $(C, D)$-primitive matrices
\[
W^{(i', j')} = \left( w_{ij}^{(i', j')} \right)_{r \times s} \quad (1 \leq i' \leq r, 1 \leq j' \leq s),
\]

\end{proof}
such that \( w = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i,j)} \);

(2) If \( C = D \), then for any \( w \in CH_1^D \), there exist \( rs \) \((C,D)\)-primitive matrices

\[ W^{(i',j')} = \left( w_{ij}^{(i',j')} \right)_{r \times s} \quad (1 \leq i' \leq r, 1 \leq j' \leq s), \]

such that \( w - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i,j)} \in C \).

Proof. (1) If \( C \neq D \), then by Lemma 3.1 (1),

\[ \Delta(w) = \sum_{i',i=1}^{r} c_{i'i} \otimes x_i^{(i')} + \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} y_j^{(j')} \otimes d_{jj'}, \]

where \( x_i^{(i')}, y_j^{(j')} \in (CH_1^D)^+ \) for all \( 1 \leq i', i \leq r, 1 \leq j, j' \leq s \). Then

\[ w = w \rightarrow \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{(i)}. \] (1)

We write for convenience \( \Delta(w) \in \sum_{i',i=1}^{r} c_{i'i} \otimes x_i^{(i')} + H_1 \otimes D \). By coassociativity,

\[ (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(w) \in \sum_{i',i=1}^{r} c_{i'i} \otimes \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) + H_1 \otimes D \otimes D \]

\[ \subseteq \sum_{i',i=1}^{r} c_{i'i} \otimes \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) + H_1 \otimes H_1 \otimes D, \]

on the other hand,

\[ (\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta(w) \in \sum_{i',i,k=1}^{r} c_{i'k} \otimes c_{ki} \otimes x_i^{(i')} + H_1 \otimes H_1 \otimes D \]

\[ \subseteq \sum_{i',i,k=1}^{r} c_{i'k} \otimes c_{ik} \otimes x_k^{(i')} + H_1 \otimes H_1 \otimes D. \]

Therefore \( \sum_{i',i=1}^{r} c_{i'i} \otimes \left[ \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) - \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes x_k^{(i')} \right] \in H_1 \otimes H_1 \otimes D \). As \( \{ c_{i'i} \mid 1 \leq i', i \leq r \} \)

are linearly independent, thus for each \( 1 \leq i', i \leq r \),

\[ \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) - \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes x_k^{(i')} \in H_1 \otimes D, \]

\[ \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) \in \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes x_k^{(i')} + H_1 \otimes D. \]

Hence we can write

\[ \Delta(x_i^{(i')}) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes x_k^{(i')} + \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{jj'}, \]
for \(w_{ij}^{(i',j')} \in H_1\). It follows that
\[
x_i^{(i')} = \varepsilon \to x_i^{(i')} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i',j)}.
\]

Furthermore by a similarly argument,
\[
(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta (x_i^{(i')}) &= \sum_{k,m=1}^{r} c_{im} \otimes c_{mk} \otimes x_k^{(i')} + \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} \Delta (w_{ij}^{(i',j')}) \otimes d_{jj'},
\]
\[
(id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta (x_i^{(i')}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes \left( \sum_{m=1}^{r} c_{km} \otimes x_m^{(i')} + \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} w_{kj}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{jj'} \right) + \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{ij} \otimes d_{jj'}
\]
\[
= \sum_{m,k=1}^{r} c_{im} \otimes c_{mk} \otimes x_k^{(i')}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes w_{kj}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{jj'} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} w_{il}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{ij} \otimes d_{jj'} \right] \otimes d_{jj'}.
\]

We obtain
\[
\sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} \Delta (w_{ij}^{(i',j')}) \otimes d_{jj'} = \sum_{j,j'=1}^{s} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes w_{kj}^{(i',j')} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} w_{il}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{ij} \right] \otimes d_{jj'}.
\]

So
\[
\Delta (w_{ij}^{(i',j')}) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik} \otimes w_{kj}^{(i',j')} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} w_{il}^{(i',j')} \otimes d_{ij}.
\]

for all \(1 \leq i', i \leq r, 1 \leq j, j' \leq s\).

Therefore, \(w_{ij}^{(i',j')} = \left( w_{ij}^{(i',j')} \right)_{r \times s} \) is \((C, \mathcal{D})\)-primitive for each \(1 \leq i' \leq r, 1 \leq j' \leq s\).

By equalities (1) and (2), we have
\[
w = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} w_{ij}^{(i,j)}.
\]

(2) If \(C = D\) and \(C = \mathcal{D}\), by Lemma 3.1(2), there exists an element \(c \in C\) such that
\[
\Delta (w - c) \in C \otimes (C H_1 C)^+ + (C H_1 C)^+ \otimes C.
\]

Then the same proof of (1) can be applied to the element \(w - c\), and we are done. \(\square\)
4. The Exponent of a Non-Cosemisimple Hopf Algebra with Chevalley Property in Characteristic 0

We prove in this section that the exponent of a non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra in characteristic 0 is $\infty$ if its coradical is a finite-dimensional subHopf algebra.

Recall that the exponent for a Hopf algebra $H$ is the least positive integer $n$ such that the $n$th Hopf power $[n]$ is trivial. It can be defined on bialgebras, and it differs from the definition in P. Etingof and S. Gelaki [3], which uses the antipode $S$.

**Definition 4.1** ([1 Section 0] and [3 Section 1]). Let $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a $k$-bialgebra,

1. For a positive integer $n$, denote following maps $\Delta_n : H \to H^\otimes n, h \mapsto \sum_h h(1) \otimes h(2) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(n)$ and $m_n : H^\otimes n \to H, h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n \mapsto h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n$, where $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n \in H$. And the $n$th Hopf power map on $H$ is defined to be $[n] = m_n \circ \Delta_n$.
2. The $n$th Hopf power of $h \in H$ is said to be trivial if $h^{[n]} = \varepsilon(h)1$. The Hopf order of $h$ is the least positive integer $n$ such that the $n$th Hopf power of $h$ is trivial.
3. The exponent of $H$ is the least positive integer $n$ such that the $n$th Hopf power of every element in $H$ is trivial, and $\infty$ if such an $n$ does not exist. Denote the exponent of $H$ by $\exp(H)$.

Y. Kashina [2] checked that $(\dim_k H)$th Hopf power $[\dim_k H]$ is trivial on $H$ if and only if it is trivial on a Hopf algebra $H \otimes_k K$ over any extension field $K$ over $k$. In fact the exponent is invariant under field extensions of bialgebras, and P. Etingof and S. Gelaki also listed this property (for exponent with $S^{-2}$) in [3 Proposition 2.2(8)].

**Proposition 4.1** (cf. [2 Lemma 3]). Let $H$ be a $k$-bialgebra. Let $K \supseteq k$ be a field extension. Then $\exp(H \otimes_k K) = \exp(H)$.

**Proof.** For all $h \in H, \alpha \in K$ and for any positive integer $n$, $(h \otimes \alpha)^{[n]} = h^{[n]} \otimes \alpha$ and $u \circ \varepsilon(h \otimes \alpha) = \varepsilon(h)1_H \otimes \alpha$ in $H \otimes_k K$. Thus, the $n$th Hopf power on $H \otimes_k K$ is trivial if and only if the $n$th Hopf power on $H$ is trivial. \hfill $\square$

Consider the $n$th Hopf power of a multiplicative matrix $G = (g_{ij})$ over $H$. We need to calculate $G^{[n]} = (g_{ij}^{[n]}) = m_n \circ \Delta_n(G)$. First, $\Delta_n(G) = G \otimes G \otimes \cdots \otimes G$ ($n$ $G$s in total). Then $m_n \circ \Delta_n(G) = G^n$ by Proposition 3.1(2). So the $n$th Hopf power of a multiplicative matrix equals to the $n$th (multiplication) power of it.

The following proposition is an analogues of a result of Y. Kashina, Y. Sommerhäuser and Y. Zhu [1 Corollary 3].

**Proposition 4.2** (cf. [1 Corollary 3]). Let $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a $k$-bialgebra. Let $G$ be an $r \times r$ multiplicative matrix over $H$. Then

1. $G^{[n]} = m_n \circ \Delta_n(G) = G^n$ for any positive integer $n$.
2. If $H$ is a Hopf algebra with antipode $S$, then $S(G)G = GS(G) = I_r$.

**Proof.** We prove (2). Since $\Delta(G) = G \otimes G$, then $S(G)G = m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(G) = u \circ \varepsilon(G) = u(I_r) = I_r$. \hfill $\square$

Now we consider a non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra $H$ over $k$.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $H$ be a non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then $H_1^1 \supseteq k1$, i.e. $(C H_1^1)^+ \neq 0$ for some $C \in S$. 
Proof. Suppose that $H_1^1 = k\mathbf{1}$. We will conclude a contradiction.
We first show that $H^1 = k\mathbf{1}$. Obviously we have $H_0^1 = k\mathbf{1}$ and $H_1^1 = k\mathbf{1}$. We will show by induction that $H_n^1 = k\mathbf{1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
Assume that we have proved $H_0^1 = H_1^1 = \cdots = H_{n-1}^1 = k\mathbf{1}$. Then
$$
\Delta(H_n^1) \subseteq H_0 \otimes H_n^1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i \otimes H_{n-i}^1 = H_0 \otimes H_n^1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i \otimes k\mathbf{1} \subseteq H_0 \otimes H_n^1 + H_n \otimes k\mathbf{1} \subseteq H_0 \otimes H + H \otimes H_0.
$$
Therefore by coradical definition, $H_n^1 \subseteq H_1$, and $H_n^1 = (H_n^1) \subseteq H_1^1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. As a consequence, $H_n^1 = H_1^1 = k\mathbf{1}$.
Now $H^1 = k\mathbf{1}$ holds. By Proposition 2.2 (4),
$$
H = H^1 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} H^D \right) = k\mathbf{1} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} H^D \right).
$$
Consider $e_{k\mathbf{1}} \in H^*$. It is clear that
$$
\left\langle e_{k\mathbf{1}}, \bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} H^D \right\rangle = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} \langle e_{k\mathbf{1}}, H^D \rangle = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} \langle e_{k\mathbf{1}}e_D, H \rangle = 0.
$$
Note that $\bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} H^D$ is a left coideal of $H$. It follows that $e_{k\mathbf{1}}$ is a left integral in $H^*$. However, $\langle e_{k\mathbf{1}}, 1 \rangle = 1$ implies the cosemisimplicity of $H$ by dual Maschke Theorem [9, Theorem 2.4.6], which contracts with the assumption that $H$ is non-cosemisimple. Consequently $H_1^1 \not\supseteq k\mathbf{1}$.

By a result of Radford [10, Formula (1.5c)], we have $H^1 = k\mathbf{1} \oplus (H_1^1)^+$. On the other hand, $(C^{H_1^1})^+ = C^{H_1^1}$ for each $C \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}$ holds by Proposition 2.2 (3). Therefore
$$
H_1^1 = \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S}} C^{H_1^1} = 1H_1^1 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} C^{H_1^1} \right) = \mathbb{K} \oplus (1H_1^1)^+ \oplus \left[ \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{k\mathbf{1}\}} (C^{H_1^1})^+ \right] = \mathbb{K} \oplus \left[ \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{S}} (C^{H_1^1})^+ \right] \not\supseteq k\mathbf{1}
$$
by Proposition 2.2 (4). Thus we have $(C^{H_1^1})^+ \neq 0$ for some $C \in \mathcal{S}$. □

Note that if $H$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-Hopf algebra, then $H$ is cosemisimple if and only if $H \otimes_\mathbb{K} K$ is cosemisimple for any field extension $K/\mathbb{K}$ (R.G. Larson [11, Lemma 1.3]). One observe that the exponent of $H$ is also invariant under field extensions by Proposition 4.1.
Theorem 4.1. Let $H$ be a non-cosemisimple Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbb{k}$ of characteristic 0. If the coradical $H_0$ is a finite-dimensional subHopf algebra (the Chevalley property), then there exists an element in $H_1^1$ having infinite Hopf order. Thus $\exp(H) = \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbb{k}$ is algebraically closed, and then every simple subcoalgebra of $H$ has a basic multiplicative matrix. The non-cosemisimplity of $H$ implies that there exists a simple subcoalgebra $C \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(C^H_1)^+ \neq 0$ by Proposition 4.3.

If $C = \mathbb{k}1$, then we choose a non-zero element $w \in (1^H_1)^+$. It’s straightforward to verify that $\Delta(w) = 1 \otimes w + w \otimes 1$ (in fact, $(1^H_1)^+$ consists of all primitive elements). Thus $w^n = nw \neq 0$ for each positive integer $n$.

If $C \neq \mathbb{k}1$, we need to find an element with infinite Hopf order. Choose an $r \times r$ basic multiplicative matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ of $C$, and choose a non-zero element $w \in (C^H_1)^+$. By Theorem 3.1 (1), $w$ is the sum of $r$ elements, each of which is an entry of a $(C, 1)$-primitive matrix. So one of those $(C, 1)$-primitive matrices is not zero. We denoted this matrix by $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{M}_{r \times 1}(C^H_1)$. Apparently, $\begin{pmatrix} C & \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is multiplicative. So its $n$th Hopf power is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} C & \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} C^n & (I_r + C + C^2 + \cdots + C^{n-1})\mathcal{W} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

by Proposition 4.2 (1).

It remains to show that $\mathcal{W}^n = (I_r + C + C^2 + \cdots + C^{n-1})\mathcal{W} \neq 0 = \varepsilon(\mathcal{W})$ for each positive integer $n$. Consider the finite-dimensional subHopf algebra $H_0$. It is semisimple since it is cosemisimple and char $\mathbb{k} = 0$. Hence $H_0$ is involutory by [12, Theorem 3] and unimodular. Using Proposition 3.2 (3), we have a non-zero integral $\Lambda_0 = 1 + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{S}\setminus\{1\}} r_D t_D$ of $H_0$, where $r_D$ is a positive integer and $t_D \in D$ for each $D \in \mathcal{S}\setminus\{1\}$.

Since $\Lambda_0$ is a right integral of $H_0$, then

$$
\Lambda_0 \mathcal{W}^n = \Lambda_0 (I_r + C + C^2 + \cdots + C^{n-1})\mathcal{W}
$$

$$
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_0 C^i \right) \mathcal{W}
$$

$$
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_0 I_r \right) \mathcal{W}
$$

$$
= n\Lambda_0 \mathcal{W}.
$$

So it suffices to show that $\Lambda_0 \mathcal{W} \neq 0$.

Let $x \in (C^H_1)^+$ be a non-zero entry of $\mathcal{W}$. We will show that $\Lambda_0 x \neq 0$. First by $\Delta(x) \in C^H_1 \otimes 1 + C \otimes C^H_1$,

$$
\Delta(t_D x) \in D(C^H_1) \otimes D + DC \otimes D(C^H_1)
$$

$$
\subseteq H_1 \otimes D + H_0 \otimes H_1,
$$

$\forall D \in \mathcal{S}\setminus\{1\}$, where the last inclusion holds since $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is also an algebra filtration, which is followed by the Chevalley property of $H$ (Montgomery [9, Lemma 5.2.8]). Then for
any \( D \in S \setminus \{ k1 \} \), \((t_D x)^1 \subseteq H_1 \langle e_{k1}, D \rangle + H_0 \langle e_{k1}, H_1 \rangle \subseteq H_0 \). Thus
\[
(\Lambda_0 x)^1 = ([1_H + \sum_{D \in S \setminus \{ k1 \}} r_D t_D]) x^1 = x^1 + \sum_{D \in S \setminus \{ k1 \}} r_D (t_D x)^1 \subseteq x + H_0.
\]

But \( 0 \neq x \in CH_1^1 \) implies \( 0 \notin x + H_0 \) because \( H_0 \oplus CH_1^1 \) is direct by Proposition \( 2.2(3) \). So \( \Lambda_0 x \neq 0 \), and \( \Lambda_0 W^{[n]} = n \Lambda_0 W \neq 0 \) holds for each positive integer \( n \). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

5. The Exponent of a Finite-Dimensional (Non-Cosemisimple) Pointed Hopf Algebra in Positive Characteristic

We prove in this section that the exponent of a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra \( H \) in characteristic \( p > 0 \) is finite. The proof bases on decomposing an arbitrary element as a sum of elements, each of which is an entry of a multiplicative matrix.

First, it should be pointed out that the definition of multiplicative matrices over a coalgebra \( H \) can be extended to block case. A block matrix
\[
\mathcal{G} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
G_{11} & G_{12} & \cdots & G_{1r} \\
G_{21} & G_{22} & \cdots & G_{2r} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
G_{r1} & G_{r2} & \cdots & G_{rr}
\end{array} \right)
\]
over \( H \) is called a block multiplicative matrix if \( G_{ii} \) is a square block for each \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) and \( \Delta(G_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} G_{ik} \tilde{\otimes} G_{kj} \), \( \varepsilon(G_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
\text{the identity matrix } I, & \text{if } i = j \\
\text{the zero matrix } 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \) for each \( 1 \leq i, j \leq r \). It is straightforward to verify that a block matrix is a block multiplicative matrix if and only if it is a usual multiplicative matrix. The following proposition shows that any upper triangular block multiplicative matrix over a bialgebra has finite order or Hopf order.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a \( \mathbb{k} \)-bialgebra, where \( \text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0 \). Assume
\[
\mathcal{Z} = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc}
G_0 & W_{01} & W_{02} & \cdots & W_{0,n-1} & W_{0n} \\
0 & G_1 & W_{12} & \cdots & W_{1,n-1} & W_{1n} \\
0 & 0 & G_2 & \cdots & W_{2,n-1} & W_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_{n-1} & W_{n-1,n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & G_n
\end{array} \right)
\]
is a multiplicative block matrix over \( H \). If there exists a positive integer \( d \) such that \( G_0^d = I, G_1^d = I, \ldots, \) and \( G_n^d = I \), then \( \mathcal{Z}^{[dp^{\lceil \log_p n \rceil}+1]} = \mathcal{Z}^{dp^{\lceil \log_p n \rceil}+1} = I \), where \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) denotes the floor function.
Proof. It is clear that

\[ Z^d = \begin{pmatrix}
I & W'_{01} & W'_{02} & \cdots & W'_{0n-1} & W'_{0n} \\
0 & I & W'_{12} & \cdots & W'_{1n-1} & W'_{1n} \\
0 & 0 & I & \cdots & W'_{2n-1} & W'_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I & W'_{n-1n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I
\end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( W'_{ij} \) have the same sizes as \( W_{ij} \), for all \( 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n \). Set

\[ W = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & W'_{01} & W'_{02} & \cdots & W'_{0n-1} & W'_{0n} \\
0 & 0 & W'_{12} & \cdots & W'_{1n-1} & W'_{1n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & W'_{2n-1} & W'_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W'_{n-1n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \]

and it is easy to show that \( W^{n+1} = 0 \). Thus

\[ Z^{dp[\log p n]+1} = (I + W)^{dp[\log p n]+1} = I + W^{dp[\log p n]+1} = I, \]

since \( \text{char } k = p \) and \( p^{\log p n}+1 > n \). Finally \( Z^{dp[\log p n]+1} = Z^{dp[\log p n]+1} \) follows from that \( Z \) is multiplicative by Proposition 4.2 (1). \( \square \)

However one cannot expect, like \( W_0 \) in the Proposition 5.1, that any element in \( H_n \) can be expressed as an entry of the top right corner of one \( n \times n \) upper triangular multiplicative (block) matrix over \( H \) or sums of the top right corner of finite number of such matrices. As we will see, we have to embedded \( H \) into a larger coalgebra. This technique relies on the notion of free bialgebras on coalgebras (for knowledge of free bialgebras, see Radford [5, Definition 5.3.2]).

A free bialgebra on the coalgebra \( H \) over \( k \) is a pair \((\iota_H, T(H))\) which satisfies:

1. \( T(H) \) is a \( k \)-bialgebra and \( \iota_H : H \hookrightarrow T(H) \) is a coalgebra map;
2. If \( A \) is a \( k \)-bialgebra and \( f : H \rightarrow A \) is a coalgebra map, then there exists a unique bialgebra map \( F : T(H) \rightarrow A \) such that \( F \circ \iota_H = f \).

In fact, a free bialgebra on \( (H, \Delta, \varepsilon) \) can be chosen as \( T(H) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^\otimes n \), which is the tensor algebra on the vector space \( H \). Comultiplication \( \Delta_{T(H)} \) and counit \( \varepsilon_{T(H)} \) on \( T(H) \) are algebra maps determined by \( \varepsilon_{T(H)} \circ \iota = \varepsilon \) and \( \Delta_{T(H)} \circ \iota_H = (\iota_H \otimes \iota_H) \circ \varepsilon \) respectively.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a bialgebra over \( k \). Let \( T(H) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^\otimes n \) be the free bialgebra on \( H \) as a coalgebra. Then

1. There exists a unique bialgebra map \( \pi_H : T(H) \rightarrow H \) making the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \xrightarrow{\iota_H} T(H) \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
\pi_H & & \pi_H \end{array}
\]

2. \( \pi_H \) is the projection of \( T(H) \) onto \( H \).
In fact, \( \pi_H(h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n) = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n \) and \( \pi_H(1) = 1_H \), where \( h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n \in H \). Therefore \( H \cong T(H)/\ker \pi_H \), and \( \varphi : h \mapsto h + \ker \pi_H \) is a bialgebra isomorphism.

(2) Let a vector-space direct-sum \( \overline{H} = H \oplus V \) be a \( \mathbb{k} \)-coalgebra, such that \( H \subseteq \overline{H} \) is a subcoalgebra. Let \( T(\overline{H}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{H}^\otimes n \) be the free bialgebra on \( \overline{H} \). Then

(i) \( T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H}) \) is a biideal of \( T(\overline{H}) \);

(ii) \( [T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \cap T(H) = \ker \pi_H \).

So the bialgebra inclusion \( T(H) \hookrightarrow T(\overline{H}) \) leads to the bialgebra monomorphism \( \phi : T(H)/\ker \pi_H \rightarrow T(\overline{H})/[T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \).

(iii) The following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T(H)/(\ker \pi_H) \\
\downarrow{\iota_H} & & \downarrow{\phi} \\
T(\overline{H}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T(\overline{H})/[T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})]
\end{array}
\]

where \( \varphi \) is the bialgebra isomorphism, \( \varphi \) is the bialgebra isomorphism, \( \phi \) is the bialgebra monomorphism, \( \theta \) is the quotient bialgebra map, and \( \iota_{\overline{H}|H} \) is just a coalgebra inclusion.

**Proof.**

(1) Regard \( id_H \) as a coalgebra epimorphism from coalgebra \( H \) onto bialgebra \( H \). Then by the universal property of free bialgebras, there exists a unique surjective bialgebra map \( \pi_H : T(H) \rightarrow H \) such that \( \pi_H \circ \iota_H = id_H \).

(2) (i) First we show that \( T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H}) \subseteq T(H) \) is a coideal and thus a biideal. As \( \ker \pi_H \) is a coideal of \( T(H) \), we have \( \varepsilon_{T(H)}(\ker \pi_H) = 0 \) and then \( \varepsilon_{T(\overline{H})}(\ker \pi_H) = 0 \). Since \( \varepsilon_{T(\overline{H})} \) is an algebra map, which follows that

\[
\varepsilon_{T(\overline{H})}(T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})) = 0.
\]

On the other hand, it is clear that \( T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H}) \) is an ideal of \( T(\overline{H}) \), so the quotient map \( \theta : T(\overline{H}) \twoheadrightarrow T(\overline{H})/[T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \) is an algebra epimorphism. Since \( \theta \) and \( \Delta_{T(\overline{H})} \) are both algebra maps, then

\[
(\theta \otimes \theta) \circ \Delta_{T(\overline{H})}(T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})) \\
\subseteq [T(\overline{H}) \otimes T(\overline{H})][(\theta \otimes \theta) \circ \Delta_{T(\overline{H})}(\ker \pi_H)][T(\overline{H}) \otimes T(\overline{H})] \\
= [T(\overline{H}) \otimes T(\overline{H})][(\theta \otimes \theta) \circ \Delta_{T(H)}(\ker \pi_H)][T(\overline{H}) \otimes T(\overline{H})] \\
= 0,
\]

where the last equation holds also because \( \ker \pi_H \) is a coideal of \( T(H) \) which implies

\[
\Delta_{T(\overline{H})}(\ker \pi_H) = \Delta_{T(H)}(\ker \pi_H) \subseteq \ker \pi_H \otimes T(H) + T(H) \otimes \ker \pi_H,
\]

and \( \theta(\ker \pi_H) = 0 \). Hence \( T(\overline{H})(\ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H}) \) is a coideal of \( T(\overline{H}) \).
Let $\eta$ denote the $k$-linear projection from $\overline{H} = H \oplus V$ onto $H$. Then by the universal property, there exists a unique algebra epimorphism $\overline{\eta} : T(\overline{H}) \to T(H)$ making the following diagram commute ($\overline{\eta}$ will be a bialgebra map if $V$ is in addition a coideal of $\overline{H}$):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{H} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\eta}} & T(\overline{H}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{\eta} \\
H & \xrightarrow{\eta} & T(H)
\end{array}
\]

In fact $\overline{\eta}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \eta(a_1) \otimes \eta(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta(a_n)$, where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \overline{H}$. Moreover, $\overline{\eta}|_{T(H)} = id_{T(H)}$ if we regard $T(H) \subseteq T(\overline{H})$ which is a bialgebra inclusion.

In order to show that $[T(\overline{H})(Ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \cap T(H) = Ker \pi_H$, we only need to prove $Ker \pi_H \subseteq [T(\overline{H})(Ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \cap T(H)$. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes h_i \otimes b_i$ be an arbitrary element in $T(\overline{H})(Ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})$, where $a_i, b_i \in T(\overline{H})$ and $h_i \in Ker \pi_H$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

\[\overline{\eta}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\eta}(a_i) \otimes \overline{\eta}(h_i) \otimes \overline{\eta}(b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta(a_i) \otimes h_i \otimes \eta(b_i).\]

So if $a \in T(H)$, then $\pi_H(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\pi_H \circ \overline{\eta}(a_i)] \otimes \pi_H(h_i) \otimes [\pi_H \circ \overline{\eta}(b_i)] = 0$. Thus $[T(\overline{H})(Ker \pi_H)T(\overline{H})] \cap T(H) \subseteq Ker \pi_H$, and the equation then follows.

(ii) It is straight forward to verify that the diagram commutes.

\[
\square
\]

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $H$ be a coalgebra. Assume for each $i \in \Gamma$, $H_{(i)} = H \oplus V_{(i)}$ is a coalgebra such that the inclusion $H \subseteq H_{(i)}$ is a coalgebra map. Then we can make $\overline{H} \triangleq H \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} V_{(i)} \right)$ a coalgebra such that the inclusion $H_{(i)} \subseteq \overline{H}$ is a coalgebra map, for each $i \in \Gamma$.

**Proof.** Since $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon), (H_{(i)}, \Delta_i, \varepsilon_i) (i \in \Gamma)$ are all coalgebras, we define linear maps $\overline{\Delta}$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}$ on $\overline{H}$ to be $\Delta_i$ and $\varepsilon_i$ respectively when they are restricted on $V_{(i)}$, and $\overline{\Delta}|_H = \Delta, \overline{\varepsilon}|_H = \varepsilon$. Then $(\overline{H}, \overline{\Delta}, \overline{\varepsilon})$ is obviously a coalgebra. Clearly, $H_{(i)}$ is a subcoalgebra of $\overline{H}$.

From now on, $H$ will be a finite-dimensional pointed $k$-coalgebra, and we let $G(H)$ to denote all group-like elements of $H$. Take a family of coradical orthonormal idempotents $\{e_g\}_{g \in G(H)}$ of $H^*$.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional pointed $k$-coalgebra. Then for any positive integer $n$, if $g, h \in G(H)$ and $z \in (^n H_n h)^+$, then

\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} + z \otimes h,
\]
where \( J_i \) is a finite set for each \( i \), \( x_{i,j}^{(k)} \in (gH_i^k)^+ \), \( y_{i,j}^{(k)} \in (kH_{n-i}^h)^+ \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), \( j \in J_i \) and \( k \in G(H) \).

**Proof.** For \( z \in gH_n^h \), 
\[
\Delta(z) = \sum_{z} \langle e_g, z(1) \rangle \otimes \langle e_h, z(2) \rangle. \]

Since \( \sum_{k \in G(H)} e_k = \varepsilon \),
\[
\Delta(z) = \sum_{z} \langle e_g, z(1) \rangle \otimes \langle e_h, z(2) \rangle \otimes z(3) \langle e_h, z(4) \rangle.
\]

It turns out that
\[
\Delta(gH_n^h) \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k \in G(H)} gH_i^k \otimes kH_{n-i}^h = g \otimes H_n^h + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k \in G(H)} gH_i^k \otimes kH_{n-i}^h + gH_n \otimes h.
\]

Therefore we can write
\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} + z'' \otimes h,
\]
where \( J_i \) is a finite set, and \( x_{i,j}^{(k)} \in gH_i^k \), \( y_{i,j}^{(k)} \in kH_{n-i}^h \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), \( j \in J_i \) and \( k \in G(H) \).

On the other hand, it is straightforward to show that \( gH_n^g = kg \oplus (gH_n^g)^+ \) for each \( g \in G(H) \), and \( gH_n^h = (gH_n^h)^+ \) if \( g \neq h \). Denote \( + = id - g \delta_{g,h} \varepsilon : gH_i^h \rightarrow (gH_i^h)^+ \) to be the \( k \)-linear projection.

Then
\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} + z'' \otimes h.
\]

Now if we set
\[
\bar{z}' = z' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} \varepsilon(x_{i,j}^{(k)}) \left[ y_{i,j}^{(k)} \right] + \varepsilon(y_{i,j}^{(k)}) h \in H_n,
\]
\[
\bar{z}'' = z'' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} \varepsilon(x_{i,j}^{(k)}) ^{+} \varepsilon(y_{i,j}^{(k)}) h \in H_n,
\]

then Equation (3) becomes
\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes \bar{z}' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} ^{+} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} ^{+} + z'' \otimes h.
\]

(4)
Now we let \( z \in (gH_n^h)^+ \). By applying \( \varepsilon \otimes \text{id} \) and \( \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \) respectively, we obtain \( z' = z - \varepsilon(\tilde{z}'')h \) and \( \tilde{z}'' = z - \varepsilon(\tilde{z}')g \). So

\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \left( x_{1,j}^{(k)} \right)^+ \otimes \left( y_{1,j}^{(k)} \right)^+ + z \otimes h - [\varepsilon(\tilde{z}') + \varepsilon(\tilde{z}'')]g \otimes h.
\]

Note that \( \varepsilon(\tilde{z}') + \varepsilon(\tilde{z}'') = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(z) = 0 \). This finishes the proof. \( \Box \)

As usual, for \( g, h \in G(H) \), we let \( P_{g,h}(H) = \{ w \in H \mid \Delta(w) = g \otimes w + w \otimes h \} \) denote the set of all \( g, h \)-primitive elements in \( H \).

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a coalgebra and \( g, h \in G(H) \). Define a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix (over \( H \)) to be an upper triangular multiplicative matrix in which the top left entry is \( g \) and the bottom right entry is \( h \).

Now for a given \( z \in (gH_n^h)^+ \), we will embed \((H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H)\) into a larger coalgebra \( H_{(n)} \), in which \( z \) can be written as a sum of finite number of elements, with each of them being the \((1, n+1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular matrix over \( H_{(n)} \). Since \((gH_0^h)^+ = 0 \) for all \( g, h \in G(H) \), only consider the case when \( n \geq 1 \). We begin with the case \( n = 1, 2 \).

**Definition 5.2.** Assume that \( g, h \in G(H) \).

(1) For \( z \in (gH_1^h)^+ \), we let

- (i) \( V_{(1)} \triangleq 0 \). We call it the 1st \((g, h)\)-extended space with \( z \);
- (ii) \( H_{(1)} \triangleq H \). We call it the 1st \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra with \( z \) (of \( H \)).

(2) For \( z \in (gH_2^h)^+ \), as in Proposition 5.2, we write

\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z + \sum_{j \in J_1, k \in G(H)} x_{1,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{1,j}^{(k)} + z \otimes h,
\]

where \( J_1 \) is a finite set, and each \( x_{1,j}^{(k)} \in (gH_1^h)^+, y_{1,j}^{(k)} \in (kH_1^h)^+ \) for all \( j \in J_1 \) and \( k \in G(H) \).

Then we let

- (i) \( V_{(2)} \) be a vector space with basis \( \{ z_{1,j}^{(k)} \mid j \in J_1, k \in G(H) \} \). We call it the 2nd \((g, h)\)-extended space with \( z \);
- (ii) \( H_{(2)} \triangleq H \oplus V_{(2)} \), on which the coalgebra structure is defined by \( \Delta|H = \Delta_H \), \( \varepsilon|H = \varepsilon_H \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) &= g \otimes z_{1,j}^{(k)} + x_{1,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{1,j}^{(k)} + z_{1,j}^{(k)} \otimes h \quad (\forall j \in J_1, k \in G(H)), \\
\varepsilon(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

We call \( H_{(2)} \) the 2nd \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra with \( z \).

Some straightforward property of \( H_{(2)} \) should be noted.

**Proposition 5.3.** For any \( g, h \in G(H) \) and \( z \in (gH_2^h)^+ \), we let \( V_{(2)} \) and \( H_{(2)} = H \oplus V_{(2)} \) be the 2nd \((g, h)\)-extended space and coalgebra with \( z \) respectively. Then

(1) \( (H_{(2)}, \Delta, \varepsilon) \) is a coalgebra containing \( H \), with its coradical being \( H_0 \);
Proof.

(1) The definition of \((H, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) induces multiplicative matrices

\[
Z_{1,j}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} g & x_{1,j}^{(k)} & z_{1,j}^{(k)} \\ 0 & k & y_{1,j}^{(k)} \\ 0 & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_3(H_{(2)})
\]

for all \(j \in J_1\) and \(k \in G(H)\), this implies that

\[
\begin{cases}
(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) = Z_{1,j}^{(k)} \otimes Z_{1,j}^{(k)} \otimes Z_{1,j}^{(k)} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) \\
(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) = Z_{1,j}^{(k)} = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}).
\end{cases}
\]

So

\[
\begin{cases}
(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) \\
(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}) = z_{1,j}^{(k)} = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(z_{1,j}^{(k)}),
\end{cases}
\]

\(\forall j \in J_1, k \in G(H), \) which deduces that the coassociative axiom and counit axiom on \((H_{(2)}, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) hold.

It is apparent that \((H_{(2)})_0 = H_0\).

(2) The equation holds by a straightforward calculation.

\(\square\)

Next we define inductively the \(n^{th}\) \((g, h)\)-extended spaces and coalgebras with \(z \in (g H_n^h)^+\) for \(n \geq 3\).

**Definition 5.3.** Let \(n \geq 3\). Let \((H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H)\) be a finite-dimensional pointed coalgebra. For any \(g, h \in G(H)\), and \(z \in (g H_n^h)^+\), as in Proposition 5.2, we write

\[
\Delta(z) = g \otimes z + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} + z \otimes h,
\]

where each \(J_i\) is finite and each \(x_{i,j}^{(k)} \in (g H_i^h)^+, y_{i,j}^{(k)} \in (k H_{n-i}^h)^+\) for all \(1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)\). Assume that following spaces have been defined already:

1. \(U_{i,j}^{(k)}\) and \(G_{i,j}^{(k)} = H \oplus U_{i,j}^{(k)}\) are the \(i^{th}\) \((g, k)\)-extended space and coalgebra with \(x_{i,j}^{(k)}\) respectively. There exists a finite set \(K_{i,j}^{(k)} \subseteq U_{i,j}^{(k)}\) consisting of elements, each of which is the \((1, i+1)\)-entry of a \((g, k)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix (over \(G_{i,j}^{(k)}\)) of order \(i + 1\), such that

\[
x_{i,j}^{(k)} = \sum_{x \in K_{i,j}^{(k)}} x \in P_{g, k}(G_{i,j}^{(k)});
\]
Definition 5.3, Proof. Our first goal is to show that every element in the finite set \( y_{i,j}^{(k)} \) is the \((1, n-1)-\)entry of a \((k, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix (over \( H_{i,j}^{(k)} \)) of order \( n-1, n+1 \), such that

\[
y_{i,j}^{(k)} = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{(k)}} y \in P_{k,h}(H_{i,j}^{(k)}).
\]

Then define:

(i) \( V_{(n)} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \left( U_{i,j}^{(k)} \oplus V_{i,j}^{(k)} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_{i,j}^{(k)} \times \mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{(k)}) \).

We call it the \( n^{th} \) \((g, h)\)-extended space with \( z \);

(ii) \( H_{(n)} \triangleq H \oplus V_{(n)} \), on which the coalgebra structure is defined by \( \Delta|_H = \Delta|_H \oplus \varepsilon|_H = \varepsilon|_H \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta(z_{x,y}) &= g \otimes z_{x,y} + x \otimes y + z_{x,y} \otimes h \\
\varepsilon(z_{x,y}) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \overline{H} = H \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \left( U_{i,j}^{(k)} \oplus V_{i,j}^{(k)} \right) \)

is constructed because \( H \) is the subcoalgebra of each \( G_{i,j}^{(k)} \) and \( H_{i,j}^{(k)} \) by Lemma 5.2. We call \( H_{(n)} \) the \( n^{th} \) \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra with \( z \).

The \( n^{th} \) \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra has similar properties to the \( 2^{nd} \) \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra.

Lemma 5.3. For any positive integer \( n \geq 2 \), \( g, h \in G(H) \) and any \( z \in (gH_n h)^+ \), let \( V_{(n)} \) and \( H_{(n)} = H \oplus V_{(n)} \) be the \( n^{th} \) \((g, h)\)-extended space and coalgebra with \( z \) respectively. Then

1. \( (H_{(n)}, \Delta, \varepsilon) \) is indeed a coalgebra containing \( H \), and its coradical is \( H_0 \);
2. \( z - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{i,j}^{(k)}, y \in \mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{(k)}} z_{x,y} \in P_{g,h}(H_{(n)}) \).
3. There exists a finite set \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq H_{(n)} \) such that every element in \( \mathcal{F} \) is the \((1, n+1)-\)entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix (over \( H_{(n)} \)) of order \( n+1 \), and the sum of them equals to \( z \).

Proof. Our first goal is to show that every element in the finite set

\[
\mathcal{F} \triangleq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \mathcal{X}_{i,j}^{(k)} \times \mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{(k)} \subseteq H_{(n)}
\]

is the \((1, n+1)-\)entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix of order \( n+1 \). By Definition 5.3 \( \mathcal{X}_{i,j}^{(k)} \) and \( \mathcal{P}_{i,j}^{(k)} \) consist of elements from the top-right corner of multiplicative
matrices. Thus for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $j \in J_i$ and $k \in G(H)$, and for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}^{(k)}_{i,j}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{Y}^{(k)}_{i,j}$, there are upper triangular matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
g & \cdots & x \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & k
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{i+1}(H(n)),
\begin{pmatrix}
k & \cdots & y \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & h
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n-i+1}(H(n)).
\]

Note that such two matrices can be put into a $(g, h)$-upper triangular multiplicative matrix
\[
Z_{x, y} = \begin{pmatrix}
g & \cdots & x & \cdots & z_{x, y} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & k & \cdots & y \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & h
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}(H(n)) \,(x \in \mathfrak{X}^{(k)}_{i,j}, \, y \in \mathfrak{Y}^{(k)}_{i,j}).
\]

Hence the statement about $\mathfrak{Y}'$ is proved.

(1) Recall in Definition 5.3 that
\[
H_{(n)} \triangleq H \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{X}^{(k)}_{i,j} \times \mathfrak{Y}^{(k)}_{i,j}),
\]
where
\[
H \triangleq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \left( U^{(k)}_{i,j} \oplus V^{(k)}_{i,j} \right)
\]
is already a coalgebra. Thus in order to prove $H_{(n)}$ is a coalgebra, it is enough to show that coassociative axiom and counit axiom holds on every element in $\mathfrak{Y}' \triangleq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \mathfrak{X}^{(k)}_{i,j} \times \mathfrak{Y}^{(k)}_{i,j}$. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 5.3 (1), since every element in the finite set $\mathfrak{Y}'$ is the $(1, n+1)$-entry of a $(g, h)$-upper triangular multiplicative matrix of order $n + 1$.

It is apparent that $(H_{(n)})_0 = H_0$.

(2) Straightforward by calculations. Let
\[
z' \triangleq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{X}^{(k)}_{i,j}, y \in \mathfrak{Y}^{(k)}_{i,j}} z_{x, y} \in H_{(n)}
\]
denote the sum of all elements in $\mathfrak{Y}'$. By Definition 5.3 that
\[
\Delta(z_{x, y}) = g \otimes z_{x, y} + x \otimes y + z_{x, y} \otimes h, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, j \in J_i, k \in G(H),
\]

we have
\[
\Delta(z') = g \otimes z' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j \in J_i, k \in G(H)} x_{i,j}^{(k)} \otimes y_{i,j}^{(k)} + z' \otimes h.
\]

So $z - z' \in P_{g,h}(H_{(n)})$. 

Choose one tuple \((i_0, j_0, k_0)\), satisfying \(1 \leq i \leq n - 1, j \in J, k \in G(H)\) and an element \(w \in X_{i_0,j_0}^{(k_0)} \times Y_{i_0,j_0}^{(k_0)}\). We claim that the element \(z - (z' - w)\) is also the \((1, n + 1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix over \(H_{(n)}\) of order \(n\).

Assume that \(w\) is the \((1, n + 1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix \(W \triangleq (w_{i,j}) \in M_{n+1}(H_{(n)})\). It follows that \(\Delta(w) = g \otimes w + w \otimes h + \sum_{l=1}^{n} w_{1l} \otimes w_{ln+1}\). Thus
\[
\Delta(z - (z' - w)) = \Delta(z - z') + \Delta(w)
\]
\[
= g \otimes (z - z') + (z - z') \otimes h + g \otimes w + w \otimes h + \sum_{l=1}^{n} w_{1l} \otimes w_{ln+1}
\]
\[
= g \otimes \left[z - (z' - w)\right] + \sum_{l=1}^{n} w_{1l} \otimes w_{ln+1} + [z - (z' - w)] \otimes h,
\]
and \(\varepsilon(z - (z' - w)) = 0\). That is to say \(W\) will be still multiplicative of if \(z - (z' - w)\) is substituted for its \((1, n + 1)\)-entry \(w_{n+1,n+1}\). Hence \(z - (z' - w)\) is also the \((1, n + 1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix.

Finally set \(\mathcal{F} \triangleq \left\{z' \cup \{z - (z' - w)\}\right\} \setminus \{w\}\). Apparently every element of \(\mathcal{F}\) is the \((1, n + 1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix of order \(n + 1\), and \(z = (z' - w) + [z - (z' - w)]\) is the sum of all the elements of \(\mathcal{F}\). This completes the proof.

By Lemma 5.3 every \(z \in (gH_n^h)^+\) is a finite sum of elements in \(H_{(n)}\), and each of them is the \((1, n + 1)\)-entry of a \((g, h)\)-upper triangular multiplicative matrix. With the help of it and Lemma 5.1, we can finally prove the theorem in this section.

Since the coradical of a pointed Hopf algebra \(H\) is a group algebra, it has finite exponent dividing the dimension of \(H\) (Kashina [2, Section 1]).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \(H\) be a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra over a field of characteristic \(p > 0\). Assume \(H = H_n\) for some positive integer \(n\). Denote \(d = \exp(H_0) < \infty\). Then \(\exp(H) \leq dp^{[\log_p{n}]+1}\).

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.2 (3), \(H = H_n = H_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{g,h \in G(H)} (gH_n^h)^+\). Thus it is enough to show that for any \(g, h \in G(H)\) and any \(z \in (gH_n^h)^+, z^{[dp^{[\log_p{n}]+1}]} = 0 = \varepsilon(z)\) holds.

Let \(H_{(n)}\) be the \(n^{th}\) \((g, h)\)-extended coalgebra with \(z\). Since \(H\) is a subcoalgebra of \(H_{(n)}\), by Lemma 5.1 (2), we have the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & T(H)/(\text{Ker} \pi_H) \\
\downarrow_{\iota_{H_{(n)}}} & & \downarrow_{\Phi} \\
T(H_{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T(H_{(n)})/[T(H_{(n)})(\text{Ker} \pi_H)T(H_{(n)})]
\end{array}
\]
where $\varphi$ is a bialgebra isomorphism, $\phi$ is a bialgebra monomorphism, $\theta$ is a quotient bialgebra map, and $\iota_{H(n)}: H$ is just a coalgebra inclusion. Recall that $\pi_H : T(H) \to H, h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_l \mapsto h_1 h_2 \cdots h_l$ in Lemma [5.1] (1), where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_l \in H$.

In order to show $z^{[dp^{|\log p |+1}]} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon(z) = 0$ in $H$, we only need to prove $(\phi \circ \varphi)(z^{[dp^{|\log p |+1}]}) = 0$ in $T(H(n))/[T(H(n))(\Ker \pi_H)T(H(n))]$, since $\phi \circ \varphi$ is injective.

On the other hand, we have

$$(\phi \circ \varphi)(z^{[dp^{|\log p |+1}]}) = (\phi \circ \varphi)(z)^{[dp^{|\log p |+1}]} = [\theta \circ \iota_{H(n)}](z)^{[dp^{|\log p |+1}]}$$

because $\phi$, $\varphi$ and $\theta$ are bialgebra maps and the diagram above commutes. Note that $\iota_{H(n)}(z)$ is a finite sum of elements, each of which is the $(1, n + 1)$-entry of a $(g, h)$-upper triangular multiplicative matrix $Z$ over $T(H(n))$. And so is $\theta \circ \iota_{H(n)}(z)$, where the corresponding matrix is $\theta(Z)$, since $\theta$ is a coalgebra map.

It remains to show that each $Z$ has order dividing $dp^{|\log p |+1}$. By Proposition [5.1] it is enough to verify that every element on the diagonal of $\theta(Z)$ has order dividing $d$ in $T(H(n))/[T(H(n))(\Ker \pi_H)T(H(n))]$. This is because for any $g \in G(H) = G(H(n))$, we have

$$(\theta \circ \iota_{H(n)})(g)^d = (\phi \circ \varphi)(g)^d = (\phi \circ \varphi)(g^d) = 1.$$ 

The proof of the theorem is now complete. \hfill \Box
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