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Abstract

The set of standard Capelli bitableaux and the set of standard Young-Capelli bitableaux are bases of $U(gl(n))$, whose action on the Gordan-Capelli basis of polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ have remarkable properties (see, e.g. \cite{5, 6, 7, 8}).

We introduce a new class of elements of $U(gl(n))$, called Capelli immanants, that can be efficiently computed and provide a system of linear generators of $U(gl(n))$. The Okounkov quantum immanants are proved to be simple linear combinations of diagonal Capelli immanants, with explicit coefficients (Theorem 6.2 Eq. (33)). Quantum immanants can also be expressed as sums of double Young-Capelli bitableaux (Theorem 6.9 Eq. (40)). Since double Young-Capelli bitableaux uniquely expand into linear combinations of standard Young-Capelli bitableaux, Eq. (40) leads to canonical presentations of quantum immanants, and, furthermore, it doesn’t involve the computation of the irreducible characters of symmetric groups.
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1 Introduction

The study of central elements in $U(gl(n))$ is a classical subject of the theory of Lie algebras, see e.g. [20]; it is an old and actual one, since it may be regarded as an offspring of the celebrated Capelli identity ([11], [15], [25], [26], [45], [49], [52]), relates to its modern generalizations and applications ([1], [29], [30], [36], [37], [39], [40], [48]) as well as to the theory of Yangians (see, e.g. [34], [35], [38]).

The center $\zeta(n)$ of $U(gl(n))$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\Lambda^\ast(n)$ of shifted symmetric polynomials (e.g., factorial symmetric functions, [2], [16], [22]) via the Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\chi_n$ (see, e.g. [41]). The algebra $\Lambda^\ast(n)$ admits a quite relevant linear basis, the basis of the shifted Schur polynomials $s_{\mu|n}$, $\mu_1 \leq n$, discovered by Sahi [46], and extensively studied by Okounkov and Olshanski [41]. Okounkov’s (normalized) quantum immanants are the preimages in $\zeta(n)$ of the shifted Schur polynomials in $\Lambda^\ast(n)$ ([39], [40] (see also [38]).

We define two set of linear generators in the enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$: the set of Young-Capelli bitableaux and the set of Capelli immanants. These two sets are obtained as the images of the corresponding set of generators in the polynomial algebra $C[M_{n,n}]$, under the so-called bitableaux correspondence isomorphism, which is an earlier result of the present authors [7], [8].

The action of the Capelli immanants on $C[M_{n,n}]$ can be computed explicitly by using the method of virtual variables (Proposition 4.10 below). Using this computation, we are able to express Okounkov’s quantum immanants as linear combinations of diagonal Capelli immanants with explicit coefficients.

Our method heavily relies upon the “Bitableaux correspondence isomorphism / Koszul map” Theorem (BCK Theorem, for short) [8] that describes a pair of mutually inverse vector space isomorphisms, the bitableaux correspondence isomorphism ([7], [8]; see Section 3.3 below and Theorem 4.1). Since the standard bitableaux are a basis of $C[M_{n,n}]$ ([21], [19], [18], [24]; see subsection 2.2.5 below, Theorem 2.1), then the standard Capelli bitableaux are a basis of $U(gl(n))$ [7].

In the polynomial algebra $C[M_{n,n}]$, column bitableaux are, up to a sign, monomials. Their images in $U(gl(n))$ - under the isomorphism $B$ - are the column Capelli bitableaux (Section 4.3 below).
Although column Capelli bitableaux are far from being “monomials” in the enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$, their images under the Koszul isomorphism $K$ are indeed (commutative) monomials in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$. Therefore, column Capelli bitableaux play the same crucial role in $U(gl(n))$ that monomials play in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$. Capelli bitableaux and Young-Capelli bitableaux expand - up to a global sign - into column Capelli bitableaux just in the same way as bitableaux, right symmetrized bitableaux and immanants expand into the corresponding monomials in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$.

The expressions of column Capelli bitableaux in $U(gl(n))$ can be simply computed (Proposition 4.3.1 below). Furthermore, column Capelli bitableaux admit an elegant and meaningful interpretation as polynomial differential operators in the Weyl algebra associated to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ (Proposition 4.10 below).

The isomorphism $B$ leads to a natural definition of the Capelli immanants $\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1i_2\cdots i_h;j_1j_2\cdots j_h]$, $\lambda \vdash h$ in $U(gl(n))$ as images under $B$ of the classical immanants $\text{imm}_\lambda(i_1i_2\cdots i_h;j_1j_2\cdots j_h) \in \mathbb{C}^h$ in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ (Littlewood and Richardson [32], see also [33], [23]). Capelli immanants are generalizations of the famous Capelli determinant in $U(gl(n))$, just as immanants are generalizations of the determinant in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$.

The isomorphism $B$ maps a right symmetrized bitableau $(S|T)$ in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ to the Young-Capelli bitableau $[S|T]$ in $U(gl(n))$ (Section 3.3 below, and Theorem 4.2). Since the standard right symmetrized bitableaux $(S|T)$ are the Gordan-Capelli basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ ([51], [5], [3]; see Subsection 2.3 below, Theorem 2.3), then the standard Young-Capelli bitableaux $[S|T]$ are a basis of $U(gl(n))$.

Right symmetrized bitableaux $(S|T)$ of shape $\lambda \vdash h$ expand into immanants defined by the irreducible character $\chi^\lambda$ of the symmetric group $S_h$ associated to the same shape $\lambda \vdash h$, and viceversa (Propositions 2.15 and 2.12). Then, by applying the operator $B$, we obtain that any Capelli immanant $\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1i_2\cdots i_h;j_1j_2\cdots j_h]$ can be written as a linear combination of standard Young-Capelli bitableaux $[U|V]$ in $U(gl(n))$ of the same shape $\lambda$ and viceversa (Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 below).

The Okounkov quantum immanants ([39], [40]) are proved to be simple linear combinations of diagonal Capelli immanants with explicit coefficients (Theorem 6.2, Eq. (33)). This Theorem, in combination with Proposition 4.3.1, allows the computation of quantum immanants to be reduced to a fairly simple process (see, e.g. Example 38 below).

Quantum immanants can also be expressed as sums of double Young-Capelli bitableaux (Theorem 6.9, Eq. (40)). Since double Young-Capelli bitableaux
uniquely expand into linear combinations of standard Young-Capelli bitableaux, Eq. (40) leads to canonical presentations of quantum immanants, and it doesn’t involve the irreducible characters of symmetric groups. Furthermore, Eq. (40) is better suited to the study of the eigenvalues on irreducible \( gl(n) \)-modules, and of the duality in the algebra \( \zeta(n) \) (see our preliminary manuscript [10], Section 4).

2 The polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \)

2.1 Biproducts in \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \)

As usual, the algebra of algebraic forms in \( n \) vector variables of dimension \( d \) is the polynomial algebra in \( n \times d \) (commutative) variables:

\[
\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}]_{i=1,\ldots,n; j=1,\ldots,d},
\]

and \( M_{n,d} \) denotes the matrix with \( n \) rows and \( d \) columns with “generic” entries \( x_{ij} \):

\[
M_{n,d} = [x_{ij}]_{i=1,\ldots,n; j=1,\ldots,d} = \begin{bmatrix}
x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1d} \\
x_{21} & \ldots & x_{2d} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{n1} & \ldots & x_{nd}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

For the sake of readability, we will write \( (i|j) \) in place of \( x_{ij} \), and call the alphabets \( L = \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \) and \( P = \{1,2,\ldots,d\} \) the letter and the place alphabets, respectively; sometimes, we will consistently write \( \mathbb{C}[[ij]]_{i=1,\ldots,n; j=1,2,\ldots,d} \) in place of \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \).

Let \( \omega = i_1i_2\cdots i_p \) be a word on the alphabet \( L = \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \), and \( \varpi = j_1j_2\cdots j_q \) a word on the alphabet \( P = \{1,2,\ldots,d\} \).

Following [24] and [5], the biproduct of the two words \( \omega \) and \( \varpi \)

\[
(\omega|\varpi) = (i_1i_2\cdots i_p|j_1j_2\cdots j_q)
\]

is the element of \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) defined in the following way:

- If \( p = q \), the biproduct \( (\omega|\varpi) \) is the signed minor

\[
(\omega|\varpi) = (-1)^{\binom{p}{2}} \det( (i_r|j_s) )_{r,s=1,2,\ldots,p} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}].
\]

- If \( p \neq q \), the biproduct \( (\omega|\varpi) \) is set to be zero.
2.2 Bitableaux in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$

2.2.1 Young tableaux

Let $\lambda \vdash h$ be a partition, and label the boxes of its Ferrers diagram with the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, h$ in the following way:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_1 \\
\lambda_1 + 1 & \lambda_1 + 2 & \cdots & \lambda_1 + 2 & \lambda_1 + 2 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & h
\end{array}
\]

A Young tableau $T$ of shape $\lambda$ over a (finite) alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is a map $T : h = \{1, 2, \ldots, h\} \to \mathcal{A}$; the element $T(i)$ is the symbol in the cell $i$ of the tableau $T$.

The sequences

\[
T(1)T(2)\cdots T(\lambda_1), \\
T(\lambda_1 + 1)T(\lambda_1 + 2)\cdots T(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\
\ldots
\]

are called the row words of the Young tableau $T$.

We will also denote a Young tableau by its sequence of rows words, that is $T = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_p)$. Furthermore, the word of the tableau $T$ is the concatenation

\[
w(T) = \omega_1\omega_2\cdots\omega_p. \tag{2}\]

The content of a tableau $T$ is the function $c_T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}$,

\[
c_T(a) = \sharp\{i \in h : T(i) = a\}.
\]

A Young tableau $T$ is said to be multilinear if $\mathcal{A} = h$ and the map $T$ is a permutation of $h$. As usual, $T^{-1}$ denotes the inverse map. In the sequel, multilinear Young tableaux will be always denoted by bold symbols, and $T_0$ will denote the “identity” tableau $T_0(i) = i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$.

Note that, given any Young tableau $S$ on an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and any multilinear Young tableau $T$ on the alphabet $h$ of the same shape $\lambda \vdash h$, there exists a unique (specialization) map $J : h \to \mathcal{A}$ such that

\[
S = J \circ T,
\]

that is $S(i) = (J \circ T)(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$.

To stress this relation between $S$ and $T$, we write

\[
S = J_T. \tag{3}
\]

Given a linear order on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, a Young tableau over $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be (semi)standard whenever its rows are increasing from left to right and its columns are non-decreasing from top to bottom.
2.2.2 (determinantal) Young bitableau

Let \( S = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_p) \) and \( T = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \ldots, \varpi_n) \) be Young tableaux on \( L = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) and \( P = \{1, 2, \ldots, d\} \) of shapes \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), respectively.

Following again [24] and [5], the (determinantal) Young bitableau

\[
(S|T) = \begin{pmatrix}
\omega_1 & \varpi_1 \\
\omega_2 & \varpi_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\omega_p & \varpi_p \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4)
is the element of \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) defined in the following way:

- If \( \lambda = \mu \), the (determinantal) Young bitableau \((S|T)\) is the signed product of the biproducts of pairs of corresponding rows:

\[
(S|T) = \pm (\omega_1|\omega_1)(\omega_2|\omega_2) \cdots (\omega_p|\omega_p),
\]

(5)
where

\[
\pm = (-1)^{\ell(\omega_2)\ell(\varpi_1)+\ell(\omega_3)(\ell(\varpi_1)+\ell(\varpi_2))+\cdots+\ell(\omega_p)(\ell(\varpi_1)+\ell(\varpi_2)+\cdots+\ell(\varpi_{p-1}))},
\]

(6)
and the symbol \( \ell(w) \) denotes the length of the word \( w \).

- If \( \lambda \neq \mu \), the Young bitableau \((S|T)\) is set to be zero.

2.2.3 Column bitableaux in \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \)

A column tableau is a Young tableau of shape \( \lambda = (1,1,\ldots,1) \vdash h \), and the number \( h \) of 1’s is called the depth.

A column bitableau in \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) is a (determinantal) bitableau \((S|T)\), where \( S \) and \( T \) are column Young tableaux of the same depth. A column bitableau of depth \( h \) equals, up to a sign, a monomial in \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_h & j_h \\
\end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} (i_1|j_1)(i_2|j_2) \cdots (i_h|j_h).
\]

(7)

Although the notion of column bitableaux may appear fairly obvious, it will play a crucial role in the passage from the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) to the enveloping algebra \( \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \) via the bitableaux correspondence isomorphism, Section 3 below.

2.2.4 Bitableaux expansion into column bitableaux

Recall that

\[
(i_1i_2\cdots i_h|j_1j_2\cdots j_h) = (-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \text{det}(i_s|j_t)|_{s,t=1,2,\ldots,h} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}],
\]
and, therefore, the biproduct \((i_1i_2 \cdots i_h|j_1j_2 \cdots j_h) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) expands into column bitableaux as follows:

\[
(i_1i_2 \cdots i_h|j_1j_2 \cdots j_h) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} (-1)^{\left|\sigma\right|} \begin{pmatrix}
  i_{\sigma(1)} & j_1 \\
  i_{\sigma(2)} & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{\sigma(h)} & j_h
\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} (-1)^{\left|\sigma\right|} \begin{pmatrix}
  i_1 & j_{\sigma(1)} \\
  i_2 & j_{\sigma(2)} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_h & j_{\sigma(h)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Notice that, in the passage from monomials to column bitableaux, the sign \((-1)^{\left|\sigma\right|}\) disappears, due to Eq. (7).

The preceding arguments extend to bitableaux of any shape \(\lambda, \lambda_1 \leq n\). Given a bitableau \((S|T) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) of shape \(\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m) \vdash h\) with

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
  i_{p_1} & \ldots & \ldots & i_{p_{\lambda_1}} \\
  i_{q_1} & \ldots & \ldots & i_{q_{\lambda_2}} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  i_{r_1} & \ldots & \ldots & i_{r_{\lambda_m}}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
T = \begin{pmatrix}
  j_{s_1} & \ldots & \ldots & j_{s_{\lambda_1}} \\
  j_{t_1} & \ldots & \ldots & j_{t_{\lambda_2}} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  j_{v_1} & \ldots & \ldots & j_{v_{\lambda_m}}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

we have

\[
(S|T) = \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left|\sigma_k\right|} \begin{pmatrix}
  i_{p_{\sigma_1(1)}} & j_{s_{\sigma_1(1)}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{p_{\sigma_1(\lambda_1)}} & j_{s_{\sigma_1(\lambda_1)}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r_{\sigma_m(1)}} & j_{v_{\sigma_m(1)}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r_{\sigma_m(\lambda_m)}} & j_{v_{\sigma_m(\lambda_m)}}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left|\sigma_k\right|} \begin{pmatrix}
  i_{p_1} & j_{s_1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{p_{\lambda_1}} & j_{s_{\lambda_1}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r_1} & j_{v_{\lambda_1}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{r_{\lambda_m}} & j_{v_{\lambda_m}}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the multiple sums range over all permutations \(\sigma_1 \in S_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \sigma_m \in S_{\lambda_m}\).

Notice that only the signs of permutations remain.
2.2.5 The straightening algorithm and the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$

Given a positive integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $C_h[M_{n,d}]$ denote the $h$-th homogeneous component of $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$.

Consider the set of all bitableaux $(S|T) \in C_h[M_{n,d}]$, where $sh(S) = sh(T) \vdash h$. In the following, let denote by $\leq$ the linear order on this set defined by the following two steps:

- $(S|T) < (S'|T')$ whenever $sh(S) <_l sh(S')$, where $<_l$ denotes the lexicographic order on partitions $\lambda \vdash h$.
- $(S|T) < (S'|T')$ whenever $sh(S) = sh(S')$, $w(S)w(T) >_l w(S')w(T')$.

where the shapes and the concatenated words $w(S)w(T)$, $w(S')w(T')$ of the tableaux $S, T$ and $S', T'$ (see Eq. (2)) are compared in the lexicographic order.

The next Theorem is a well-known result for the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ ([21], [19], [18], for the general theory of standard monomials see, e.g. [13], Chapt. 13).

**Theorem 2.1. (The Standard basis theorem for $C_h[M_{n,d}]$)**

- The set
  $\{(S|T) \text{ standard; } sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h, \lambda_1 \leq n, d \}$
  is a basis of $C_h[M_{n,d}]$.
- Furthermore, a Young bitableau $(P|Q) \in C_h[M_{n,d}]$ can be uniquely written as a linear combination
  \[(P|Q) = \sum_{S,T} a_{S,T} (S|T),\]
  of standard bitableaux $(S|T)$, where
  - the coefficient $a_{S,T} = 0$ whenever $(S|T) \nleq (P|Q)$;
  - the contents of the tableaux are preserved, that is $c_S = c_P$, $c_T = c_Q$.

For a proof, see e.g. [19], [18].

2.3 Right symmetrized bitableaux and the Gordan-Capelli basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$

Given a Young tableau $T$, we say that another tableau $\overline{T}$ is a column permuted of $T$ whenever each column of $\overline{T}$ can obtained by permuting the corresponding column of $T$.

A right symmetrized bitableau $(S[\overline{T}])$ is the element of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ defined as the following sum of bitableaux:

\[(S[\overline{T}]) = \sum_T (S|\overline{T}),\]
where the sum is extended over all $\bar{T}$ column permuted of $T$ (hence, repeated entries in a column give rise to multiplicities).

**Example 2.2.**

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 \\
2 & 4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 2 \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
+ 2 \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We recall a fundamental result:

**Theorem 2.3. (The Gordan-Capelli basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$)** Let $h \in \mathbb{N}$.

- The set
  \[
  \{(S \bar{T}); S, T \text{ standard}, \ sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h, \ \lambda_1 \leq n, d\}
  \]
  is a basis of $\mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,d}]$.

- Any right symmetrized bitableau $(U \bar{V})$, $sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda \vdash h$, (uniquely) expands into a linear combination of right symmetrized bitableau $(S \bar{T})$, $S, T$ standard of the same shape $\lambda = sh(S) = sh(T)$.

- Let $(U \bar{V})$, $sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda \vdash h$, $\lambda_1 \nleq n, d$. Then
  \[
  (U \bar{V}) = 0.
  \]

**Corollary 2.4.** The subspace $\mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,d}]$ decomposes as:

\[
\mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,d}] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash h} \mathbb{C}_h^\lambda[M_{n,d}], \quad \lambda_1 \leq n, d, \quad (9)
\]

where $\mathbb{C}_h^\lambda[M_{n,d}]$ is the subspace spanned by the right symmetrized bitableaux $(U \bar{V})$ of shape $\lambda = sh(U) = sh(V)$.

Theorem 2.3 was proved, in a different language, by Wallace [51] in the classical commutative case. A superalgebraic version of this result was proved by the present authors in [5]; for a more detailed discussion, see [3].

**2.4 Right symmetrized bitableaux in $\mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,d}]$, Young symmetrizers and the natural units in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_h]$**

In this subsection, we summarize some basic notions from the representation theory of the symmetric group; furthermore, we provide useful descriptions of right symmetrized determinantal bitableaux in terms of Young symmetrizers and of the natural units in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_h]$.  
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2.4.1 The symmetric group $S_h$

Our main reference here is the treatise of James and Kerber [27], Chapter 3, with the proviso that here the role of rows and columns of a Young tableau are interchanged.

Given a pair $S, T$ of multilinear tableaux of the same shape $sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h$, the Young symmetrizer $e_{ST}^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[S_h]$ is the element:

$$e_{ST}^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in R(S), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma \theta_{ST} \tau,$$

where $\theta_{ST}$ is the permutation of $h$ such that $\theta_{ST}(i) = (S \circ T^{-1})(i) = S(T^{-1}(i))$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$, and $R(S), C(T) \subseteq S_h$ are the row subgroup of $S$ and the column subgroup of $T$, respectively.

Clearly, $e_{ST}^\lambda = \theta_{ST} e_{TT}^\lambda = e_{SS}^\lambda \theta_{ST}$.

Remark 2.5. By Eq. (10), the trivial representation is here associated to the column shape $\lambda = (1^h)$ and the sign representation is here associated to the row shape $\lambda = (h)$. □

We will denote by $\gamma_{ST}^\lambda$ the natural units of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_h], \lambda \vdash h$, $S, T$ multilinear standard tableaux of the same shape $sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h$.

Given $\lambda \vdash h$, recall that

$$\mathbb{C}[S_h] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash h} \mathbb{C}^\lambda[S_h],$$

where $\mathbb{C}^\lambda[S_h]$ denotes the isotypic (simple) component of $\mathbb{C}[S_h]$ associated to $\lambda$.

Proposition 2.6.

1) The set

$$\left\{ e_{ST}^\lambda : S, T \text{ multilinear standard tableaux, } sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h \right\}$$

is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^\lambda[S_h]$.

2) The set

$$\left\{ \gamma_{ST}^\lambda : S, T \text{ multilinear standard tableaux, } sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash h \right\}$$

is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^\lambda[S_h]$.

3) Let $S, S', T, T'$ be multilinear standard tableaux of shape $\lambda \vdash h$, then

$$\gamma_{ST}^\lambda \gamma_{S'T'}^\lambda = \delta_{TS} \gamma_{ST'}^\lambda,$$

$$\gamma_{ST}^\lambda e_{S'T'}^\lambda = \delta_{TS} e_{ST'}^\lambda.$$
Let $\lambda \vdash h$ be a partition and denote by $\chi^\lambda$ the irreducible character associated to the irreducible representation of shape $\lambda$ of the symmetric group $S_h$. Let
\[ \chi(\lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[S_h]. \]  
(11)

**Proposition 2.7.**

1) The elements
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} \bar{\chi}_\lambda, \quad \lambda \vdash h \]
are the primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{C}[S_h]$.

2) We have:
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} \bar{\chi}_\lambda = \sum_T \gamma^\lambda_{TT}, \]
where the sum ranges over all multilinear $T$ standard tableaux on $h$ of shape $\lambda$, and
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} = \frac{1}{H(\lambda)}, \]
$H(\lambda)$ the hook number of the partition $\lambda$.

3) The elements
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} \bar{\chi}_\lambda, \quad \lambda \vdash h \]
are the projectors from $\mathbb{C}[S_h]$ to the isotypic (simple) components $\mathbb{C}^\lambda[S_h]$.

**Proof.** Assertion 1) is an instance of a standard fact of the representation theory of finite groups. Assertions 2) and 3) follow from assertion 1) and Proposition 2.6. \hfill \Box

**2.4.2 Right symmetrized bitableaux and Young symmetrizers: the multilinear case**

We consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}_h[M_{h,h}]$, that is $n = d = h$, the polynomial algebra generated by the variables $(i|j)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, h$.

We establish the following convention. Given an element
\[ p = \sum_s c_s \sigma_s \in \mathbb{C}[S_h], \]
and a column tableau
\[ \begin{pmatrix} I(1) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(h) & J(h) \end{pmatrix}, \]
we set
\[
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
I(p(1)) & J(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I(p(h)) & J(h)
\end{array}\right) = \sum_s c_s \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
I(s(1)) & J(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I(s(h)) & J(h)
\end{array}\right). 
\tag{12}
\]

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( S, T \) be multilinear tableaux of the same shape \( \lambda \), then
\[
(S \left| T \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in R(S)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\sigma S(1) & \tau T(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma S(h) & \tau T(h)
\end{array}\right).
\tag{13}
\]

**Proof.** Since
\[
(S \left| T \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in R(S)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\sigma S(1) & \tau T(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma S(h) & \tau T(h)
\end{array}\right)
\]
(see subsection 2.2.3), then
\[
(S \left| T \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in R(S), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\sigma^\theta_{ST} T(1) & \tau T(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma^\theta_{ST} T(h) & \tau T(h)
\end{array}\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\sigma \in R(S), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\sigma^\theta_{ST} \tau^{-1} T(1) & T(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma^\theta_{ST} \tau^{-1} T(h) & T(h)
\end{array}\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{\sigma \in R(S), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\sigma^\theta_{ST} \tau(1) & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma^\theta_{ST} \tau(h) & h
\end{array}\right)
\]

Since
\[
e_{ST}^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in R(S), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma^\theta_{ST} \tau,
\]
then
\[
(S \left| T \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c}
e_{ST}^\lambda(1) & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
e_{ST}^\lambda(h) & h
\end{array}\right).
\]
2.4.3 Right symmetrized bitableaux and Young symmetrizers: the general case

Let $U, V$ be Young tableaux on the alphabets $\mathbb{n}, \mathbb{d}$, and let $S, T$ be multilinear tableaux of the same shape $\lambda \vdash h$. There exists a unique pair of maps $I : \mathbb{h} \to \mathbb{n}$, $J : \mathbb{h} \to \mathbb{d}$ such that

$$U = I_S \quad V = J_T$$

(see Eq. (3)).

Proposition 2.9.

$$(U | V) = (I_S | J_T) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I(\mathbf{e}_ST(1)) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(\mathbf{e}_ST(h)) & J(h) \end{array} \right).$$ (14)

Proof. From Proposition 2.8 we get:

$$(I_S | J_T) = \sum_{\eta \in R(T), \tau \in C(T)} (-1)^{|\eta|} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I(\eta \theta_{ST} \tau^{-1}(1)) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(\eta \theta_{ST} \tau^{-1}(h)) & J(h) \end{array} \right).$$

2.5 Immanants in $C_h[M_{n,d}]$

The immanant of a matrix was defined by D. E. Littlewood and A. R. Richardson as a generalization of the concepts of determinant and permanent [32] (see also [33], [3], [23]).

Let $\lambda \vdash h$ be a partition and denote by $\chi^\lambda$ the irreducible character associated to the irreducible representation of shape $\lambda$ of the symmetric group $S_h$, and let

$$\chi^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[S_h].$$

Example 2.10. Let $n = 3$, $\lambda = (2,1) \vdash 3$.

The irreducible character element of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ associated to the partition $\lambda = (2,1)$ is

$$\chi^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \sigma = 2I - (123) - (132) \in \mathbb{C}[S_3].$$

The (generalized) immanant

$$\text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h), \quad (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h) \in \mathbb{n}^h, \quad (j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \in \mathbb{d}^h$$

in the polynomial algebra in $C_h[M_{n,d}]$ is the element:
Proposition 2.11. The map

\[ \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} i_{\sigma(1)} & j_1 \\ i_{\sigma(2)} & j_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ i_{\sigma(h)} & j_h \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & j_{\sigma(1)} \\ i_2 & j_{\sigma(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ i_h & j_{\sigma(h)} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Since the characters are invariant on the conjugacy classes of \( S_h \), it follows that

\[ \text{imm}_\lambda(i_{\tau(1)} i_{\tau(2)} \cdots i_{\tau(h)}; j_{\tau(1)} j_{\tau(2)} \cdots j_{\tau(h)}) = \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h). \]

Hence,

Proposition 2.11. The map

\[ \text{IMM}_\lambda : \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ \vdots \\ i_h \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \]

defines a linear map

\[ \text{IMM}_\lambda : \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \to \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]. \]

Clearly, the immanant \( \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) is the natural generalization of the biproducts (signed minors) \( (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h | j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \) in \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \).

It is obvious that the immanants \( \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \) are homogeneous elements of degree \( h \in \mathbb{N} \) of the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \); therefore, by Theorem 2.3, the immanants \( \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \) expand into linear combination of standard right symmetrized bitableaux of shapes that are partitions of \( h \).

Furthermore, the following stronger result holds.

Proposition 2.12. Let \( \lambda \vdash h \). Any immanant \( \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \) can be written as a linear combination of standard right symmetrized bitableaux of the same shape \( \lambda \):

\[ \text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = \sum_{U,V} g_{U,V} (U \begin{bmatrix} V \end{bmatrix}), \]

\[ g_{U,V} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda. \]
Proof. Let \( I : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{g}, J : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{g} \), \( I(s) = i_s, J(s) = j_s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, h \).

Item 4) of Proposition 2.6 implies:

\[
imm(\imath_1 \imath_2 \cdots \imath_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_h} \chi(\sigma) \left( \begin{array}{l} I(\imath(1)) \\ I(\imath(2)) \\ \vdots \\ I(\imath(h)) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{l} I(\imath(1)) \\ I(\imath(2)) \\ \vdots \\ I(\imath(h)) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{l} I(\gamma_{TT}^\lambda(1)) \\ I(\gamma_{TT}^\lambda(2)) \\ \vdots \\ I(\gamma_{TT}^\lambda(h)) \end{array} \right) = H(\lambda) \sum_T \left( \begin{array}{l} I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(1)) \\ I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(2)) \\ \vdots \\ I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(h)) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l} J(1) \\ J(2) \\ \vdots \\ J(h) \end{array} \right)
\]

Since the natural units \( \gamma_{TT}^\lambda \) expand into Young symmetrizers of the same shape:

\[
\gamma_{TT}^\lambda = \sum_{s_1, s_2} C_{TT, s_1 s_2} \cdot e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda, \quad C_{TT, s_1 s_2} \in \mathbb{C},
\]

then

\[
imm(\imath_1 \imath_2 \cdots \imath_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = H(\lambda) \sum_T \sum_{s_1, s_2} C_{TT, s_1 s_2} \left( \begin{array}{l} I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(1)) \\ I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(2)) \\ \vdots \\ I(e_{s_1 s_2}^\lambda(h)) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l} J(1) \\ J(2) \\ \vdots \\ J(h) \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= H(\lambda) \sum_T \sum_{s_1, s_2} C_{TT, s_1 s_2} |I_{s_1} J_{s_2}|.
\]

\[\Box\]

From Proposition 2.12 and Theorem 2.3 it follows:

Corollary 2.13. Let \( \lambda \vdash h \). If \( \lambda_1 \not\leq \text{min}\{n, d\} \), then

\[
imm(\imath_1 \imath_2 \cdots \imath_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = 0.
\]

\[\Box\]

The scalar multiple \( \chi(\lambda) / n! \) \( \text{IMM}_\lambda \) of the linear operator \( \text{IMM}_\lambda \) of Proposition 2.11 acts on \( \mathbb{C}_h[M, n, d] \) as the projector on the direct summand \( \mathbb{C}_h^\lambda[M, n, d] \) in the Gordan-Capelli direct sum decomposition of Corollary 2.4.

Proposition 2.14. Let \( U, V \) be Young tableaux of the same shape \( sh(U) = sh(V) = \mu \vdash h \). We have:
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1. if $\mu = \lambda$, then
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} IMM_\lambda \left( (U\mid V) \right) = (U\mid V); \] (15)

2. if $\mu \neq \lambda$, then
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} IMM_\lambda \left( (U\mid V) \right) = 0. \] (16)

Proof. Set
\[ U = I_{T_0}, \quad V = J_{T_0}, \quad sh(U) = sh(V) = sh(T_0) = \mu. \]

Equation (14) implies
\[ (U\mid V) = (I_{T_0} \mid J_{T_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} I(e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}(1)) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}(h)) & J(h) \end{pmatrix}. \]

Item 4) of Proposition 2.6 implies
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} IMM_\lambda \left( (U\mid V) \right) = \left( \sum_T \gamma^\lambda_{TT} e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}(1) \right) \begin{pmatrix} I(\sum_T \gamma^\lambda_{TT} e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}(1)) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(\sum_T \gamma^\lambda_{TT} e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}(h)) & J(h) \end{pmatrix}. \]

If $\lambda \neq \mu$, the natural units $\gamma^\lambda_{TT}$ and the Young symmetrizer $e^\mu_{T_0 T_0}$ belong to different simple components of the semisimple algebra
\[ C[S_h] = \bigoplus_{\nu \neq h} C^\nu[S_h], \]
and are therefore orthogonal. This proves the second assertion.

If $\lambda = \mu$, since (Proposition 2.6 item 3)
\[ \gamma^\lambda_{TT} e^\mu_{T_0 T_0} = \delta_{T,T_0} e^\lambda_{T_0 T_0}, \]
we get
\[ \frac{\chi^\lambda(I)}{n!} IMM_\lambda \left( (U\mid V) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} I(e^\lambda_{T_0 T_0}(1)) & J(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I(e^\lambda_{T_0 T_0}(h)) & J(h) \end{pmatrix} = (U\mid V), \]
and the first assertion is proved. \qed
Proposition 2.15. Let $\lambda \vdash h$. Any right symmetrized bitableau $(U \| V)$ of shape $sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda$ can be written as a linear combination of immanants $imm_{\lambda}(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h)$ associated to the same shape $\lambda$.

Proof. Expand the right symmetrized bitableau $(U \| V)$ into monomials and apply to each summand the linear operator $IMM_{\lambda}$. By combining Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.15, we get

Proposition 2.16. The set of immanants

$$imm_{\lambda}(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h),$$

with

$$\lambda \vdash h, \lambda_1 \not\subseteq \min\{n,d\}, \quad (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h) \in \mathbb{N}^h, \quad (j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) \in \mathbb{Z}^h,$$

is a spanning set of $\mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,d}]$.

3 The superalgebraic approach to the enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$

In this Section, we provide a synthetic presentation of the superalgebraic method of virtual variables for $gl(n)$.

This method was developed by the present authors for the general linear Lie superalgebras $gl(m|n)$, in the series of notes [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

The technique of virtual variables is an extension of Capelli’s method of variabili ausilarie (Capelli [15], see also Weyl [52]). Capelli introduced the technique of variabili ausilarie in order to manage symmetrizer operators in terms of polarization operators and to simplify the study of some skew-symmetrizer operators (namely, the famous central Capelli operator).

Capelli’s idea was well suited to treat symmetrization, but it did not work in the same efficient way while dealing with skew-symmetrization. One had to wait the introduction of the notion of superalgebras (see, e.g. [17], [28]) to have the right conceptual framework to treat symmetry and skew-symmetry in one and the same way. To the best of our knowledge, the first mathematician who intuited the connection between Capelli’s idea and superalgebras was Koszul in 1981 [31]. Koszul proved that the classical determinantal Capelli operator can be rewritten - in a much simpler way - by adding to the symbols to be dealt with an extra auxiliary symbol that obeys to different commutation relations.

The superalgebraic method of virtual variables allows us to express remarkable classes of elements in $U(gl(n))$ as images - with respect to the Capelli de-virtualization epimorphism (Subsection 3.2.1 below) - of simple monomials and to obtain transparent combinatorial descriptions of their actions on irreducible $gl(n)$–modules.
Among these classes, here we recall the classes of Capelli bitableaux \([S|T]\)
and Young-Capelli bitableaux \([S|T]\)
(see \([6, 7, 8]\), and subsection \(3.3.2\) below), and introduce the new class of Capelli immanants

\[ C\text{imm}_{\lambda[i_1i_2\cdots i_h; j_1j_2\cdots j_h]} \]

(see Section \(5\) below).

Moreover, this method throws a bridge between the theory of \(U(gl(n))\) and the (super)straightening techniques in (super)symmetric algebras (see, e.g. \([24, 27, 28, 30]\)).

### 3.1 The superalgebras \(\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]\) and \(gl(m_0|m_1+n)\)

#### 3.1.1 The general linear Lie super algebra \(gl(m_0|m_1+n)\)

Given a vector space \(V_n\) of dimension \(n\), we will regard it as a subspace of a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded vector space \(W = W_0 \oplus W_1\), where

\[ W_0 = V_{m_0}, \quad W_1 = V_{m_1} \oplus V_n. \]

The vector spaces \(V_{m_0}\) and \(V_{m_1}\) (informally, we assume that \(\dim(V_{m_0}) = m_0\) and \(\dim(V_{m_1}) = m_1\) are “sufficiently large”) are called the positive virtual (auxiliary) vector space, the negative virtual (auxiliary) vector space, respectively, and \(V_n\) is called the (negative) proper vector space.

The inclusion \(V_n \subset W\) induces a natural embedding of the ordinary general linear Lie algebra \(gl(n)\) of \(V_n\) into the auxiliary general linear Lie superalgebra \(gl(m_0|m_1+n)\) of \(W = W_0 \oplus W_1\) (see, e.g. \([28, 47]\)).

Let \(A_0 = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m_0}\},\ A_1 = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m_1}\},\ L = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\) denote fixed bases of \(V_{m_0}, V_{m_1}\) and \(V_n\), respectively; therefore \(|\alpha_s| = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2,\ |\beta_t| = |\beta_{m_1}| = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2\).

Let

\[ \{e_{a,b}; a, b \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L\}, \quad |e_{a,b}| = |a| + |b| \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \]

be the standard \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homogeneous basis of the Lie superalgebra \(gl(m_0|m_1+n)\) provided by the elementary matrices. The elements \(e_{a,b} \in gl(m_0|m_1+n)\) are \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homogeneous of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-degree \(|e_{a,b}| = |a| + |b|\).

The superbracket of the Lie superalgebra \(gl(m_0|m_1+n)\) has the following explicit form:

\[ [e_{a,b}, e_{c,d}] = \delta_{bc} e_{a,d} - (-1)^{(|a|+|b|)(|c|+|d|)}\delta_{ad} e_{c,b}, \]

\(a, b, c, d \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L\).

**Remark 3.1.** In the following, the elements of the sets \(A_0, A_1, L\) will be called positive virtual symbols, negative virtual symbols and negative proper symbols, respectively.
3.1.2 The supersymmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$

As already said, we will write $(i|j)$ in place of $x_{ij}$, and regard the (commutative) algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ as a subalgebra of the "auxiliary" supersymmetric algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] = \mathbb{C}[(\alpha_s|j), (\beta_t|j), (i|j)]$$

generated by the ($\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) variables $(\alpha_s|j), (\beta_t|j), (i|j)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, where

$$|(\alpha_s|j)| = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \ and \ (\beta_t|j)| = |(i|j)| = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

subject to the commutation relations:

$$(a|h)(b|k) = (-1)^{|(a|h)||(|b|k)|} (b|k)(a|h),$$

for $a, b \in \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m_0}\} \cup \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m_1}\} \cup \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

In plain words, all the variables commute each other, with the exception of pairs of variables $(\alpha_s|j), (\alpha_t|j)$ that skew-commute:

$$(\alpha_s|j)(\alpha_t|j) = - (\alpha_t|j)(\alpha_s|j).$$

In the standard notation of multilinear algebra, we have:

$$\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \cong \Lambda [W_0 \otimes P_d] \otimes \text{Sym}[W_1 \otimes P_t]$$

$$= \Lambda [V_{m_0} \otimes P_d] \otimes \text{Sym}[(V_{m_1} \oplus V_n) \otimes P_d]$$

where $P_d = (P_d)_1$ denotes the trivially (odd) $\mathbb{Z}_2$–graded vector space with distinguished basis $\{j; \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$ is a supersymmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2$–graded algebra (super-algebra), whose $\mathbb{Z}_2$–gradation is inherited by the natural one in the exterior algebra.

3.1.3 Left superderivations and left superpolarizations

A left superderivation $D$ ($\mathbb{Z}_2$–homogeneous of degree $|D|$) (see, e.g. [17], [28]) on $\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$ is an element of the superalgebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}[\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]]$ that satisfies "Leibniz rule"

$$D(p \cdot q) = D(p) \cdot q + (-1)^{|D||p|} p \cdot D(q),$$

for every $\mathbb{Z}_2$–homogeneous of degree $|p|$ element $p \in \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$.

Given two symbols $a, b \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L$, the superpolarization $D_{a,b}$ of $b$ to $a$ is the unique left superderivation of $\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$ of parity $|D_{a,b}| = |a| + |b| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that

$$D_{a,b}((c|j)) = \delta_{bc} (a|j), \ c \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L, \ j = 1, \ldots, d. \ (17)$$

Informally, we say that the operator $D_{a,b}$ annihilates the symbol $b$ and creates the symbol $a.$
3.1.4 The superalgebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \) as a \( \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \)-module

Since

\[
D_{a,b}D_{c,d} - (-1)^{(|a|+|b|)(|c|+|d|)}D_{c,d}D_{a,b} = \delta_{b,c}D_{a,d} - (-1)^{(|a|+|b|)(|c|+|d|)}\delta_{a,d}D_{c,b},
\]

the map

\[
e_{a,b} \mapsto D_{a,b}, \quad a, b \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L.
\]

(that send the elementary matrices to the corresponding superpolarizations) is an (even) Lie superalgebra morphism from \( gl(m_0|m_1+n) \) to \( \text{End}_\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]] \) and, hence, it uniquely defines a morphism (i.e. a representation):

\[
\varrho : \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \to \text{End}_\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]].
\]

In the following, we always regard the superalgebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \) as a \( \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \)-supermodule, with respect to the action induced by the representation \( \varrho \):

\[
e_{a,b} : p = D_{a,b}(p),
\]

for every \( p \in \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \).

We recall that \( \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \) is a semisimple \( \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \)-supermodule, whose irreducible (simple) submodules are - up to isomorphism - Schur supermodules (see, e.g. [5], [6], [3]. For a more traditional presentation, see also [17]).

Clearly, \( \mathbb{U}(gl(0|n)) = \mathbb{U}(gl(n)) \) is a subalgebra of \( \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \) and the subalgebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \) is a \( \mathbb{U}(gl(n)) \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \).

3.2 The virtual algebra \( \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) \) and the virtual presentations of elements in \( \mathbb{U}(gl(n)) \)

3.2.1 The Capelli devirtualization epimorphism \( p : \text{Virt}(m_0+m_1,n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{U}(gl(n)) \)

We say that a product

\[
e_{a,n}e_{a,b_1} \cdots e_{a_2,b_1} \in \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)), \quad a_i, b_i \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m
\]

is an irregular expression whenever there exists a right subword

\[
e_{a_1,b_1} \cdots e_{a_2,b_1} e_{a_1,b_1},
\]

\( i \leq m \) and a virtual symbol \( \gamma \in A_0 \cup A_1 \) such that

\[
\# \{ j : b_j = \gamma, j \leq i \} > \# \{ j : a_j = \gamma, j < i \}. \tag{18}
\]

The meaning of an irregular expression in terms of the action of \( \mathbb{U}(gl(m_0|m_1+n)) \) on the algebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}] \) is that there exists a virtual symbol \( \gamma \) and a right subsequence in which the symbol \( \gamma \) is annihilated more times than it was already created.
Example 3.2. Let $\gamma \in A_0 \cup A_1$ and $x_i, x_j \in L$. The product
\[
e^{-\gamma \gamma} e^{x_i} e^{-\gamma \gamma} e^{x_j} e^{-\gamma \gamma}
\]
is an irregular expression.

Let $\text{Irr}$ be the left ideal of $U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))$ generated by the set of irregular expressions.

Remark 3.3. The action of any element of $\text{Irr}$ on the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$ via the representation $\hat{\varphi}$ is identically zero.

Proposition 3.4. (\cite{7, 4}) The sum $U(gl(0|n)) + \text{Irr}$ is a direct sum of vector subspaces of $U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))$.

We come now to one of the main notions of the virtual method. The virtual algebra $\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)$ is the subalgebra
\[
\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) = U(gl(0|n)) \oplus \text{Irr} \subset U(gl(m_0|m_1+n)).
\]

The proof of the following proposition is immediate from the definitions.

Proposition 3.5. The left ideal $\text{Irr}$ of $U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))$ is a two sided ideal of $\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)$.

The Capelli devirtualization epimorphism is the projection
\[
p : \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) = U(gl(0|n)) \oplus \text{Irr} \twoheadrightarrow U(gl(0|n)) = U(gl(n))
\]
with $\text{Ker}(p) = \text{Irr}$.

Example 3.6. Let $x \in L$, $\alpha \in A_0$. The element
\[
e^{x_a} e^{a_x} e^{a_x} = -e^{a_x} e^{x_a} + e^{x_x} + e^{a_a}
\]
belongs to the virtual algebra $\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)$ and
\[
p(e^{x_a} e^{a_x}) = e^{x_x} \in U(gl(n)).
\]

Example 3.7. Let $x, y \in L$, $\alpha \in A_0$. Then
\[
e^{-x_a} e^{x_a} e^{x_a} e^{a_x} e^{a_y} = -e^{-x_a} e^{x_a} e^{a_y} + e^{x_a} e^{a_x} e^{a_y} + e^{-x_a} e^{a_x} e^{a_y}
\]
\[
= -e^{x_a} e^{x_a} e^{a_y} - e^{x_a} e^{a_x} e^{x_y}
\]
\[
- e^{x_a} e^{x_a} e^{y_x} - e^{x_x} e^{a_x} + e^{x_a} e^{a_x}
\]
\[
+ e^{x_a} e^{y_x} + e^{x_x} e^{y_x} - e^{x_x} e^{a_x}
\]
\[
= e^{x_a} e^{x_a} e^{y_x} - e^{x_a} e^{y_x} - e^{x_x} e^{a_x}
\]
\[
- e^{x_a} e^{x_a} e^{y_x} + e^{x_a} e^{y_x} - e^{x_x} e^{a_x}
\]
\[
+ e^{y_x} e^{a_x} + e^{y_x} e^{a_x} + e^{y_y} + e^{a_a} \in U(gl(m_0|m_1+n)).
\]
Therefore
\[ e_{ya}e_{xa}e_{ax}e_{ay} \in \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) \]
and
\[ \mathfrak{p}(e_{ya}e_{xa}e_{ax}e_{ay}) = -e_{yx}e_{xy} + e_{xx}e_{yy} + e_{yy} \in \mathbf{U}(gl(n)). \]

Any element in \( M \in \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) \) defines an element in \( \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \) via the map \( \mathfrak{p} \) and \( M \) is called a \textit{virtual presentation} of \( \mathfrak{m} \).

Since the map \( \mathfrak{p} \) is a surjection, any element \( \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \) admits several virtual presentations. In the sequel, we even take virtual presentations as the \textit{true definition} of special elements in \( \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \), and this method will turn out to be quite effective.

**Example 3.8. (A virtual presentation of the Capelli determinant)** As a generalization of Example 3.7, we describe a “\textit{monomial}” virtual presentation in \( \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) \) of the classical Capelli determinant in \( \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \).

Let \( \alpha \in A_0 \). The monomial element
\[ C = e_{x_{\alpha,0}} \cdots e_{x_{\alpha,n}} \cdot e_{\alpha,x_1} \cdots e_{\alpha,x_n} \in \mathbf{U}(gl(m_0|m_1 + n)) \tag{19} \]
belongs to the virtual algebra \( \text{Virt}(m_0|m_1 + n) \). The image of the element \( C \) under the Capelli devirtualization epimorphism \( \mathfrak{p} \) equals the \textit{column determinant} \( \text{cdet} \)
\[ \text{H}_n(n) = \text{cdet} \begin{pmatrix} e_{x_1,x_1} + (n - 1) & e_{x_1,x_2} & \cdots & e_{x_1,x_n} \\ e_{x_2,x_1} & e_{x_2,x_2} + (n - 2) & \cdots & e_{x_2,x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{x_n,x_1} & e_{x_n,x_2} & \cdots & e_{x_n,x_n} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(gl(n)). \]

This result is a special case of the result that we called the “Laplace expansion for Capelli rows” (\cite{3} Theorem 2, \cite{9} Theorem 6.3). A sketchy proof of it can also be found in Koszul \cite{31}.

The next results will play a crucial role in the study of \textit{central} elements of \( \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \).

**Proposition 3.9.** For every \( e_{x_i,x_j} \in gl(n) \subset gl(m_0|m_1 + n) \), let \( \text{ad}(e_{x_i,x_j}) \) denote its adjoint action on \( \text{Virt}(m_0+m_1,n) \); the ideal \( \textit{Irr} \) is \( \text{ad}(e_{x_i,x_j}) \)-invariant. Then
\[ \mathfrak{p}(\text{ad}(e_{x_i,x_j})(\mathfrak{m})) = \text{ad}(e_{x_i,x_j})(\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{m})), \quad \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n). \tag{20} \]

**Corollary 3.10.** The Capelli epimorphism image of an element of \( \text{Virt}(m_0|m_1 + n) \) that is an invariant for the adjoint action of \( gl(n) \) is in the center \( \zeta(n) \) of \( \mathbf{U}(gl(n)) \).

\(^1\text{The symbol } \text{cdet} \text{ denotes the column determinant of a matrix } A = [a_{ij}] \text{ with noncommutative entries: } \text{cdet}(A) = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} a_{\sigma(1),1}a_{\sigma(2),2} \cdots a_{\sigma(n),n}.\)
Example 3.11. Recall that
\[
ad(e_{x_i,x_j})(e_{x_k,\alpha}) = \delta_{jh}e_{x_i,\alpha},
\]
for every virtual symbol $\alpha$, and that $ad(e_{x_i,x_j})$ acts as a derivation, for every $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

The monomial $C$ of Example 3.8, Eq.(19) is annihilated by $ad(e_{x_i,x_j})$, $i \neq j$, by skew-symmetry. Furthermore, $ad(e_{x_i,x_j})(C) = C = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$; hence, $C$ is an invariant for the adjoint action of $gl(n)$.

Since $p(C) = H_n(n)$, the Capelli determinant $H_n(n)$ is central in $U(gl(n))$, by Corollary 3.10.

3.2.2 The action of $Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$ on the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$

From the representation-theoretic point of view, the core of the method of virtual variables lies in the following result.

Theorem 3.12. The action of $Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$ leaves invariant the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[M_{m_0|m_1+n,d}]$, and, therefore, the action of $Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ is well defined. Furthermore, for every $v \in Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$, its action on $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ equals the action of $p(v) \in U(gl(n))$.

Therefore, instead of studying the action of an element in $U(gl(n))$, one can study the action of a virtual presentation of it in $Virt(m_0|m_1+n)$. The advantage of virtual presentations is that they are frequently of monomial form, admit quite transparent interpretations and are much easier to be dealt with (see, e.g. [5], [6], [9], [3], [4]).

A prototypical instance of this method is provided by the celebrated Capelli identity [11], [52], [25], [26], [49]. From Example ??, it follows that the action of the Capelli determinant $H_n(n)$ on a form $f \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ is the same as the action of its monomial virtual presentation, and this leads to a few lines proof of the identity [9], [4].

3.2.3 Balanced monomials as elements of the virtual algebra $Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$

In order to make the virtual variables method effective, we need to exhibit a class of nontrivial elements that belong to $Virt(m_0 + m_1, n)$.

A quite relevant class of such elements is provided by balanced monomials.

In plain words, a balanced monomial is product of two or more factors where the rightmost one annihilates the $k$ proper symbols $x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k}$ and creates some virtual symbols; the leftmost one annihilates all the virtual symbols and creates the $k$ proper symbols $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}$; between these two factors, there might be further factors that annihilate and create virtual symbols only.

In a formal way, balanced monomials are elements of the algebra $U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))$ of the forms:
where \(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}, x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k} \in L\), i.e., the \(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}, x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k}\) are \(k\) proper symbols.

The next result is the (superalgebraic) formalization of the argument developed by Capelli in [15], CAPITOLO I, §X. Metodo delle variabili ausiliarie, page 55 ff.

**Proposition 3.13.** ([5], [6], [9], [3], [4]) Every balanced monomial belongs to \(\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)\). Hence its image under the Capelli epimorphism \(p\) belongs to \(U(gl(n))\).

In plain words, the action of a balanced monomial on the subalgebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) equals the action of a suitable element of \(U(gl(n))\).

### 3.3 Two special classes of elements in \(\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)\) and their images in \(U(gl(n))\)

We will introduce two classes of remarkable elements of the enveloping algebra \(U(gl(n))\), that we call *Capelli bitableaux* and *Young-Capelli bitableaux*, respectively.

Capelli bitableaux are the analogues in \(U(gl(n))\) of bitableaux in the polynomial algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\), as well as Young-Capelli bitableaux are the analogues in \(U(gl(n))\) of right symmetrized bitableaux. Besides this analogy, their meaning lies deeper, as we shall see in Section 4.

#### 3.3.1 Bitableaux monomials in \(U(gl(m_0 + m_1, n))\)

Let \(S\) and \(T\) be two Young tableaux of same shape \(\lambda \vdash h\) on the alphabet \(A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L\):

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
    z_{i_1} & \cdots & z_{i_{\lambda_1}} \\
    z_{j_1} & \cdots & z_{j_{\lambda_2}} \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_{\lambda_p}}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix}
    z_{h_1} & \cdots & z_{h_{\lambda_1}} \\
    z_{k_1} & \cdots & z_{k_{\lambda_2}} \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_{t_1} \cdots z_{t_{\lambda_p}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(21)

To the pair \((S, T)\), we associate the *bitableau monomial*:

\[
e_{S,T} = e_{z_{i_1} z_{h_1}} \cdots e_{z_{i_{\lambda_1}} z_{h_{\lambda_1}}} e_{z_{j_1} z_{k_1}} \cdots e_{z_{j_{\lambda_2}} z_{k_{\lambda_2}}} \cdots e_{z_{s_1} z_{t_1}} \cdots e_{z_{s_{\lambda_p}} z_{t_{\lambda_p}}} (22)
\]

in \(U(gl(m_0|m_1 + n))\).

By expressing the Young tableaux \(S, T\) in the functional form (see subsection 2.2.1):

\[
S : h \to A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L, \quad T : h \to A_0 \cup A_1 \cup L,
\]

25
the bitableau monomial $e_{S,T}$ of Eq. (22) becomes:

$$e_{S,T} = e_{S(1),T(1)}e_{S(2),T(2)} \cdots e_{S(h),T(h)}.$$  

Let us denote by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \in A_0$, $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\lambda_1} \in A_1$ two arbitrary families of mutually distinct positive and negative virtual symbols, respectively (see Remark 3.1). Set

$$D^*_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \ldots & \beta_{\lambda_1} \\ \beta_1 & \ldots & \beta_{\lambda_2} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_1 & \ldots & \beta_{\lambda_p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C^*_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \ldots & \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \ldots & \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p & \ldots & \alpha_p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

The tableaux of kind (23) are called virtual Deruyts and Coderuyts tableaux of shape $\lambda$, respectively.

### 3.3.2 Capelli bitableaux and Young-Capelli bitableaux

Given a pair of Young tableaux $S, T$ of the same shape $\lambda$ on the proper alphabet $L$, consider the elements

$$e_{S,C^*_\lambda}e_{C^*_\lambda,T} \in U(gl(m_0|m_1 + n)), \quad (24)$$

$$e_{S,C^*_\lambda}e_{C^*_\lambda,D^*_\lambda}e_{D^*_\lambda,T} \in U(gl(m_0|m_1 + n)). \quad (25)$$

Since elements (26) and (39) are balanced monomials in $U(gl(m_0|m_1 + n))$, then they belong to the subalgebra $\text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n)$ (Section 3.2.3).

Hence, we can consider their images in $U(gl(n))$ with respect to the Capelli epimorphism $p$.

We set

$$[S|T] = p\left(e_{S,C^*_\lambda}e_{C^*_\lambda,T}\right) \in U(gl(n)), \quad (26)$$

and call the element $[S|T]$ a Capelli bitableau.

We set

$$[S|T] = p\left(e_{S,C^*_\lambda}e_{C^*_\lambda,D^*_\lambda}e_{D^*_\lambda,T}\right) \in U(gl(n)). \quad (27)$$

and call the element $[S|T]$ a Young-Capelli bitableau.

### Remark 3.14

The elements defined in (26) and (39) do not depend on the choice of the virtual Deruyts and Coderuyts tableaux $D^*_\lambda$ and $C^*_\lambda$.

The next result will play a crucial role subsection 4.2 below. In plain words, it states that Young-Capelli bitableaux expand into Capelli bitableaux in the enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$ just in the same formal way as right symmetrized bitableaux expand into bitableaux in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ (subsection 2.3).
Proposition 3.15. Let $S, T$ be Young tableaux, $sh(S) = sh(T)$. The following identity holds in the enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$: 

$$[S|T] = \sum_{\mathcal{T}} [S|\mathcal{T}],$$

where the sum is extended over all $\mathcal{T}$ column permuted of $T$ (hence, repeated entries in a column give rise to multiplicities).

The proof easily follows from the definitions, by applying the commutator identities in the superalgebra $U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))$.

Example 3.16. (cfr. Example 2.2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_1 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_1 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}. $$

4 The bitableaux correspondence isomorphism $\mathcal{B}$ and the Koszul map $\mathcal{K}$

4.1 The BCK theorem

Our next aim is to describe an extremely relevant pair of (mutually inverse) vector space isomorphisms between the polynomial algebra of forms $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ and the universal enveloping algebra $U(gl(n))$.

In order to do this, it is worth to simplify the notation in the following way:

- we will write $i$ in place of $x_i$ and $e_{ij}$ in place of $e_{x_i,x_j}$;
- consistently, we set $L = P = n = \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$.

The main advantage of this convention is that it allows us to write bitableaux in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ and Capelli bitableaux in $U(gl(n))$ as elements associated to pairs of Young tableaux on the same alphabet.

More specifically, given a shape (partition) $\lambda$ with $\lambda_1 \leq n$, to any pair of Young tableaux $S,T$ on the alphabet $\underline{\mathcal{N}} = \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and of the same shape $sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda$, one associates the (determinantal) bitableau $(S|T) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$, and the Capelli bitableau $[S|T] \in U(gl(n))$. 
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Theorem 4.1. (The BCK theorem) The “bitableaux correspondence” map
\[
B : (S|T) \mapsto [S|T]
\] (28)
uniquely defines a linear isomorphism
\[
B : \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}] \cong \text{Sym}(gl(n)) \rightarrow U(gl(n)).
\]
Furthermore, this isomorphism is the inverse of the Koszul map
\[
K : U(gl(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}] \cong \text{Sym}(gl(n))
\]
introduced by J.-L. Koszul in \[31\].

Eq. (28) indeed defines a linear operator since bitableaux in \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]\) and Capelli bitableaux in \(U(gl(n))\) are ruled by the same straightening laws (see \[7\], Proposition 7).

The linear isomorphism \(B\) was introduced in \[8\], Theorem 1. The fact that \(B\) and \(K\) are inverse of each other was proved in \[8\], Theorem 2 (see also, \[4\]).

4.2 Right symmetrized bitableaux and Young-Capelli bitableaux
The "bitableaux correspondence" and the Koszul isomorphisms behave well with respect to right symmetrized bitableaux
\[
(S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]
\]
and Young-Capelli bitableaux
\[
[S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix} = p(e_{SC}^{*}e_{C}^{*}e_{D}^{*}e_{T}^{*}c) \in U(gl(n)).
\]

In plain words, any Young-Capelli bitableaux \([S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}\) is the image - with respect to the linear operator \(B\) - of the right symmetrized bitableaux \((S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}\).

Theorem 4.2. We have:
\[
B : (S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}) \mapsto [S|T],
K : [S|T] \mapsto (S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Proof. Indeed, we have:
\[
B\left( (S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}) \right) = B\left( \sum_{T} (S|T) \right)
= \sum_{T} [S|T],
\]
where the sum is extended over all \(T\) column permuted of \(T\).

By Proposition 3.15 the last summation equals the Young-Capelli bitableaux \([S\begin{bmatrix}T\end{bmatrix}\).\]
By Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 2.3 we have:

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( h \in \mathbb{N} \). The set of Young-Capelli bitableaux

\[
\bigcup_{k=0}^{h} \left\{ [S|T] : S, T \text{ standard, } sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash k, \lambda_1 \leq n \right\}
\]

is a basis of the filtration element \( U(gl(n))^{(h)} \).

**Remark 4.4.** The basis elements

\[
\left\{ [S|T] : S, T \text{ standard, } sh(S) = sh(T) = \lambda \vdash k, \lambda_1 \leq n \right\}
\]

act in a quite remarkable way on Gordan-Capelli basis elements

\[
\left\{ (U|V) : U, V \text{ standard, } sh(U) = sh(V) = \mu \vdash h, \mu_1 \leq n \right\}.
\]

Indeed, we have:

- If \( h < k \), the action is zero.
- If \( h = k \) and \( \lambda \neq \mu \), the action is zero.
- If \( h = k \) and \( \lambda = \mu \), the action is nondegenerate triangular (with respect to a suitable linear order on standard tableaux of the same shape).

See [6] and [3], Theorem 10.1.

### 4.3 Column Capelli bitableaux in \( U(gl(n)) \)

A column Capelli bitableau in \( U(gl(n)) \) is a Capelli bitableau \([S|T]\), where \( S \) and \( T \) are column Young tableaux of the same depth.

Although column Capelli bitableaux are far from being “monomials” in \( U(gl(n)) \), they play the same role that column bitableaux – signed monomials – play in the polynomial algebra \( C[M_{n,n}] \). Specifically, Capelli bitableaux and Young-Capelli bitableaux expand into column Capelli bitableaux just in the same way as bitableaux and right symmetrized bitableaux expand into column bitableaux in the polynomial algebra \( C[M_{n,n}] \).

**Remark 4.5.** The column Capelli bitableau \([i|j]\) of depth \( h = 1 \) equals the generator \( e_{i,j} \) of the algebra \( U(gl(n)) \), \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Indeed

\[
[i|j] = p [e_{i,j} e_{\alpha,\alpha} - e_{\alpha,j} e_{i,\alpha} + \delta_{i,j} e_{\alpha,\alpha}] = e_{ij}.
\]

Since column bitableaux in the polynomial algebra \( C[M_{n,n}] \) are signed commutative monomials, then column Capelli bitableaux are invariant with respect to permutations of their rows, that is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_h & j_h
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
i_{\sigma(1)} & j_{\sigma(1)} \\
i_{\sigma(2)} & j_{\sigma(2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_{\sigma(h)} & j_{\sigma(h)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for every \( \sigma \in S_n \).

Let us denote by \( \mathbb{C}_h[M_{n,n}] \) the homogeneous component of degree \( h \in \mathbb{N} \) of the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}] \) and denote \( U(gl(n))^{(h)} \) the \( h \)-th filtration element of the enveloping algebra \( U(gl(n)) \).

**Corollary 4.6.** The bitableaux correspondence isomorphism \( \mathcal{B} \) and the Koszul isomorphisms \( \mathcal{K} \) induce, by restriction, a pair of mutually inverse isomorphisms

\[
\mathcal{B} : \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathbb{C}_k[M_{n,n}] \rightarrow U(gl(n))^{(h)}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{K} : U(gl(n))^{(h)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathbb{C}_k[M_{n,n}].
\]

The preceding assertion can be regarded as a sharpened version of the PBW Theorem for \( U(gl(n)) \).

**4.3.1 Devirtualization of column Capelli bitableaux in \( U(gl(n)) \)**

Given any column Capelli bitableau, devirtualized expressions of it as an element of \( U(gl(n)) \) can be easily obtained by means of iterations of the following identities.

**Proposition 4.7.** In the enveloping algebra \( U(gl(n)) \), we have:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
  i_h & j_h \\
\end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{h-1} e_{i_1,j_1} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
  i_h & j_h \\
\end{bmatrix} + (-1)^{h-2} \sum_{k=2}^h \delta_{i_k,j_1} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_1 & j_k \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{k-1} & j_{k-1} \\
  i_{k+1} & j_{k+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_h & j_h \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Proof. By definition,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
  i_h & j_h \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, h_{h-1} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h}} \\
  = p\left[ e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h} \right] = \\
  = p\left[ -e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h} + e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot \delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot e_{\alpha_h, j_h} \right] = \\
  = p\left[ -e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h} + e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot \delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot e_{\alpha_h, j_h} \right].
\]

Notice that

\[
\delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h} = \\
\delta_{i_h, j_1} (-1)^{h-2} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} c_{\alpha_1, j_{h_1}} \cdots e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}}
\]
as elements of the algebra \( \mathfrak{U}(gl(m_0|m_1 + n)) \).

Therefore, the summand

\[
p\left[ e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot \delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} \cdot e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot e_{\alpha_1, j_1} \right]
\]
equals

\[
(-1)^{h-2} \delta_{i_h, j_1} \begin{bmatrix}
  i_1 & j_h \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By repeating the above procedure of moving left the element \( e_{\alpha_{1, j_1}} \) - using the commutator identities in \( \mathfrak{U}(gl(m_0|m_1 + n)) \) - we finally get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_1 & j_1 \\
  i_2 & j_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
  i_h & j_h \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} \cdot c_{\alpha_h, j_h} + \sum_{i=0}^{h-2} (-1)^i e_{i_1, \alpha_1} \cdots \delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} c_{\alpha_1, j_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} e_{\alpha_1, j_1} \right]
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
  (-1)^{h-1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} e_{\alpha_1, j_1} + \sum_{i=0}^{h-2} (-1)^i e_{i_1, \alpha_1} \cdots \delta_{i_h, j_1} e_{i_1, \alpha_1} e_{i_2, \alpha_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-1, \alpha_{h-1}}} e_{i_h, \alpha_h} \cdot c_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} c_{\alpha_1, j_1} \cdots e_{\alpha_{h-1}, j_{h-1}} e_{\alpha_1, j_1} \right].
\]
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Notice that the summand
\[
(-1)^i \delta_{i_{h-i}, j_1} e_{i_1, a_1} \cdots e_{i_{h-i}, a_{h-i}} e_{i_h, a_h} \cdot e_{a_2, j_2} \cdots e_{a_k, j_k}
\]
equals
\[
(-1)^i \delta_{i_{h-i}, j_1} (-1)^{h-i-2} \times e_{i_1, a_1} \cdots e_{i_{h-i}, a_{h-i}} e_{i_h, a_h} \cdot e_{a_2, j_2} \cdots e_{a_k, j_k}
\]
as elements of the algebra \(U(gl(m_0|m_1+n))\).

Hence
\[
p \left[ (-1)^i \delta_{i_{h-i}, j_1} e_{i_1, a_1} \cdots e_{i_{h-i}, a_{h-i}} e_{i_h, a_h} \cdot e_{a_2, j_2} \cdots e_{a_k, j_k} \right]
equals
\[
(-1)^{h-2} \delta_{i_{h-i}, j_1} \begin{bmatrix}
i_1 & j_{h-i} \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_{h-i} & j_{h-i} \\
i_{h-i+1} & j_{h-i+1} \\
i_h & j_h
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Furthermore
\[
p \left[ (-1)^{h-1} e_{i_1, a_1} e_{a_1, j_1} e_{i_2, a_2} \cdots e_{i_{h-i}, a_{h-i}} e_{i_h, a_h} \cdot e_{a_2, j_2} \cdots e_{a_k, j_k} e_{a_k, j_k} \right] =
\]
\[
= (-1)^{h-1} e_{i_1, j_1} \begin{bmatrix}
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_{h-1} & j_{h-1} \\
i_h & j_h
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By setting \(k = h - i\), we proved the first expansion identity. The second expansion identity can be proved in a similar way. \(\Box\)

**Example 4.8.**
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = [1|2] \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 3 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = -e_{12}e_{21} + e_{11}e_{31} \in U(gl(n)).
\]

Notice that
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = [3|1] \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
3 & 2 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} =
\]
\[
= -[3|1][(2|1)[(1|2) - (2|2)] + [2|1][3|2] = -e_{31}e_{21}e_{12} + e_{31}e_{22} + e_{21}e_{32} =
\]
\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1 \\
3 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{bmatrix} [3|1] =
\]
\[
= (-[1|2][2|1] + [1|1])[3|1] = -e_{12}e_{21}e_{31} + e_{11}e_{31} \in U(gl(n)).
\]
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Remark 4.9. Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, in combination with Proposition 4.7, allows the explicit devirtualized forms in \( U(gl(n)) \) of Capelli bitableaux and of right Young-Capelli bitableaux to be easily computed. The process can be illustrated by an example. Let \( n \geq 2 \), \( h = 3 \), \( \lambda = (2, 1) \). Consider the Capelli bitableaux

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\in U(gl(n)).
\]

By Theorem 4.1,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} = B\left(\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}\right)
= B\left(\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}\right)
= \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By Proposition 4.7,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 2
\end{bmatrix} = -e_{11}e_{22}e_{12} + e_{12}e_{21} - e_{12} \in U(gl(n)),
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = -e_{12}e_{21}e_{12} + e_{12}e_{22} + e_{11}e_{12} - e_{12} \in U(gl(n)).
\]

4.3.2 Column Capelli bitableaux as polynomial differential operators on \( C[M_{n,d}] \)

The next result will play a crucial role in Section 6. In the language of Procesi ([45], chapter 3), it describes the action of column Capelli bitableaux as elements of the Weyl algebra associated to the polynomial algebra \( C[M_{n,d}] \).

Proposition 4.10. The action of the column Capelli bitableau

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
i_h & j_h
\end{bmatrix}
\in U(gl(n))
\]

on the algebra \( C[M_{n,d}] \) equals the action of the polynomial differential operator

\[
(-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_h) \in d^h} (i_1|\varphi_1)(i_2|\varphi_2) \cdots (i_h|\varphi_h) \partial_{(j_1|\varphi_1)} \partial_{(j_2|\varphi_2)} \cdots \partial_{(j_h|\varphi_h)},
\]
Proof. Consider a monomial $M \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$,
\[ M = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (i|1)^{s_1}(i|2)^{s_2} \cdots (i|d)^{s_d} \]
and let $\alpha \in A_0$ be a positive virtual symbol. Given $j_h = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, consider the action of the superpolarization $D_{\alpha,j_h}$ on the supersymmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n|m_1+n,d}] \supset \mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$. A straightforward computation shows that
\[ D_{\alpha,j_h}(M) = \sum_{\varphi=1}^{d} \partial_{(j_1|\varphi)}(M)(\alpha|\varphi). \quad (29) \]
Furthermore, notice that:
\[ D_{\alpha,\varphi}D_{\alpha_1,\varphi_1}(M) = \sum_{\varphi_2=1}^{d} \left( D_{\alpha,\varphi} \left( \partial_{(j_1|\varphi)}(M) \right) \right)(\alpha_1|\varphi_2), \]
that equals
\[ \sum_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2=1}^{d} \left( \partial_{(j_1|\varphi_1)} \partial_{(j_2|\varphi_2)}(M) \right)(\alpha_1|\varphi_1)(\alpha_1|\varphi_2). \quad (30) \]
Recall that the action of the column Capelli bitableau
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
i_1 & j_1 \\
i_2 & j_2 \\
& \vdots \\
i_h & j_h
\end{bmatrix}
\in U(gl(n))
\]
on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]$ is implemented by the product of superpolarizations
\[ D_{i_1,\alpha_1} \cdots D_{i_h,\alpha_h-1}D_{j_h,\alpha_h}D_{\alpha_1,j_1} \cdots D_{\alpha_h,j_h} \]
where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h-1, \alpha_h$ are distinct arbitrary positive virtual symbols. Note that $|D_{i_r,\alpha_r}| = |D_{\alpha_r,j_r}| = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, for every $r = 1, 2, \ldots, h$.

From Eqs. (29) and (30), it immediately follows:
\[ D_{\alpha_1,j_1} \cdots D_{\alpha_h,j_h}(M) = \sum_{(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_h) \in \mathcal{A}^h} \partial_{(j_1|\varphi_1)} \cdots \partial_{(j_h|\varphi_h)}(M)(\alpha_1|\varphi_1) \cdots (\alpha_h|\varphi_h) \quad (31) \]
Since $|\langle \alpha_r|\varphi_r \rangle | = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, for every $r = 1, 2, \ldots, h$, from Eq. (31), we infer:
\[ D_{i_1,\alpha_1} \cdots D_{i_{h-1},\alpha_{h-1}}D_{i_h,\alpha_h}\left( D_{\alpha_1,j_1} \cdots D_{\alpha_{h-1},j_{h-1}}D_{\alpha_h,j_h}(M) \right) \]
equals
\[ (-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\ldots,\varphi_h) \in \mathcal{A}^h} (i_1|\varphi_1)(i_2|\varphi_2) \cdots (i_h|\varphi_h) \partial_{(j_1|\varphi_1)} \partial_{(j_2|\varphi_2)} \cdots \partial_{(j_h|\varphi_h)}(M). \]
\[ \square \]
5 Capelli immanants and Young-Capelli bitableaux in $U(gl(n))$

The bitableaux correspondence (linear) isomorphism

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}] \to U(gl(n)),$$

leads to the following natural definition of Capelli immanant

$$\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h]$$

in the enveloping algebra in $U(gl(n))$:

$$\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h] = \mathcal{B}\left(\text{imm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h)\right).$$

By linearity of the operator $\mathcal{B}$, we get

$$\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \begin{bmatrix} i_{\sigma(1)} & j_1 \\ i_{\sigma(2)} & j_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ i_{\sigma(h)} & j_h \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, the notion of Capelli immanants provides a natural generalization of the notion of Capelli determinant (see Example 3.8).

Since a Young-Capelli bitableau $[U|V] \in U(gl(n))$ is the image of the right symmetrized bitableau $(U|V) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{n,n}]$ with respect to the isomorphism $\mathcal{B}$, Proposition 2.12 implies

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $\lambda \vdash h$. Any Capelli immanant $\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h]$ can be written as a linear combination of standard Young-Capelli bitableaux $[U|V]$ in $U(gl(n))$ of the same shape $\lambda$:

$$\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h] = \sum_{U,V} \varrho_{U,V} [U|V],$$

where $\varrho_{U,V} \in \mathbb{C}$, $sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda$.

From Corollary 2.13 it follows:

**Corollary 5.2.** Let $\lambda \vdash h$. If $\lambda_1 \notin n$, then

$$\text{Cimm}_\lambda(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1 j_2 \cdots j_h) = 0.$$
**Theorem 5.3.** Let $\lambda \vdash h$. Any Young-Capelli bitableau $[U \mid V]$ in $U(gl(n))$ of shape $sh(U) = sh(V) = \lambda$ can be written as a linear combination of Capelli immanants $\text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1i_2 \cdots i_h; j_1j_2 \cdots j_h]$ associated to the same shape $\lambda$.

Proposition 2.16 implies

**Theorem 5.4.** The set of Capelli immanants

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{h} \{ \text{Cimm}_\lambda[i_1i_2 \cdots i_k; j_1j_2 \cdots j_k]; \lambda \vdash k, \lambda_1 \leq n, (i_1i_2 \cdots i_k), (j_1j_2 \cdots j_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \}$$

is a spanning set of $U(gl(n))^{(h)}$.

6 The Okounkov quantum immanants

Our main result is a description of quantum immanants as simple linear combinations of Capelli immanants. This result – in combination with Proposition 4.7 – allows the computation of quantum immanants as elements of $U(gl(n))$ to be reduced to a fairly simple process (see, e.g. Example 38 below).

Quantum immanants are central elements associated to shapes $\mu, \tilde{\mu}_1 \leq n$, and equal (up to the scalar factor $\frac{1}{\pi(h)}$) the elements of the Schur basis, that is the preimage, in the center $\zeta(n)$ of $U(gl(n))$, of the Sahi–Okounkov–Schur basis of the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials, with respect to the Harish-Chandra isomorphism [41].

We follow the notational conventions of Okounkov [39] and [40].

**Remark 6.1.** Given a partition $\mu \vdash h$, $V^\mu$ denotes the irreducible representation associated to $\mu$ in the sense of James and Kerber [27]. We recall that the irreducible representation $V^\mu$ is the representation associated to the shape $\tilde{\mu}$ in the notation of the previous sections of this work.

Furthermore:

- $T$ denotes a multilinear standard Young tableau of shape $sh(T) = \mu \vdash h$.
- For every $s = 1, 2, \ldots, h$, let $(i, j)$ be the pair of row and column indices of the cell of $T$ that contains $s$. Set $c_T(s) = j - i$ (the “Frobenius content” of the cell $(i, j)$).
- $v_T$ denotes the element of the seminormal Young basis of $V^\mu$ associated to the multilinear standard tableau $T$. Since each basis vector is defined only up to a scalar factor, we assume that $(v_T, v_T) = 1$ (see Okounkov and Vershik [42]; for a more traditional approach, see James and Kerber [27]).
- given the element

$$\Psi_T = \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} (\sigma \cdot v_T, v_T)\sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[S_h],$$
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\[\Psi_T^h\] denotes the matrix that represents the element \(\Psi_T\) as a linear operator on the tensor space \((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes h}\).

- Let \(E = [e_{ij}]_{i,j=1,2,...,n}\) be the matrix whose entries are the elements of the standard basis of \(gl(n)\).

- Let

\[
\Xi_T = ((E - c_T(1)) \otimes (E - c_T(2)) \otimes \cdots \otimes (E - c_T(h))) \Psi_T^h
\]

be the fusion matrix; the fusion matrix \(\Xi_T\) is a \((n^h \times n^h)\)-matrix with entries in \(\mathbb{U}(gl(n))\).

Following Okounkov ([10], [39]), the element

\[
\text{Tr}(\Xi_T) \in \mathbb{U}(gl(n))^{(h)}
\]

is the quantum immanant associated to the multilinear standard tableau \(T\), \(sh(T) = \mu\).

The higher Capelli identities ([10], [39]), imply ([10], Eq. (5.1)) that the action of the quantum immanant

\[
\text{Tr}(\Xi_T), \quad sh(T) = \mu
\]

on the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) equals the action of the polynomial differential operator

\[
\frac{1}{\text{dim}(V^n)} \text{Tr}\left( X^{\otimes h} (D')^{\otimes h} \chi_{\mu}^h \right), \quad (32)
\]

where

- \(X\) denotes the matrix \([(i|\varphi)]_{i=1,...,n;\varphi=1,...,d}\)
- \(D\) denotes the matrix \([\partial (i|\varphi)]_{i=1,...,n;\varphi=1,...,d}\) of partial derivatives on the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\), and the prime stands for transposition.
- \(\chi_{\mu}^h\) denotes the matrix that represents the element

\[
\chi_{\mu}^h = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_h} \chi^{\mu}(\sigma) \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_h]
\]

of Eq. (11) as a linear operator on the tensor space \((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes h}\).

Since the action of \(\mathbb{U}(gl(n))\) on the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) is a faithful action whenever \(n \leq d\), and the differential operator of Eq. (32) is independent from the choice of the multilinear standard tableau \(T\), the quantum immanant \(\text{Tr}(\Xi_T)\) only depends on the shape \(\mu\).
Theorem 6.2. The quantum immanant
\[ \text{Tr}(\mathbb{E}_T), \quad s h(T) = \mu \]
equals the linear combination of Capelli immanants:
\[
(-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{h_1 + h_2 + \ldots + h_n = h} \frac{H(\mu)}{h_1! h_2! \cdots h_n!} \text{Cimm}_{\bar{\mu}}[1^{h_1} 2^{h_2} \ldots n^{h_n}; 1^{h_1} 2^{h_2} \ldots n^{h_n}],
\]
where \(1^{h_1} 2^{h_2} \ldots n^{h_n}\) is a short notation for the non decreasing sequence \(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h\) with
\(h_p = \#\{i_q = p; \; q = 1, 2, \ldots, h\}, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots, n.\)

Proof. For every \(\sigma \in S_h, \bar{1} = (i_1, \ldots, i_h) \in \underline{h}, \; \underline{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_h) \in \underline{d}^h\), we set
\[
P_{\sigma}[\bar{1}; \underline{\varphi}] = (i_1|\varphi_1) \cdots (i_h|\varphi_h) \partial_{(i_1(1)|\varphi_1)} \cdots \partial_{(i_h(h)|\varphi_h)}.\]

By straightforward computation, the right-hand side of Eq. \eqref{eq:32} equals
\[
\frac{1}{\dim(V^\mu)} \sum_{\bar{1} = (i_1, \ldots, i_h) \in \underline{h}^h} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\mu(\sigma) \left( \sum_{\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_h) \in \underline{d}^h} P_{\sigma}[\bar{1}; \underline{\varphi}] \right) \right). \tag{34}
\]

By Proposition \ref{prop:4.10} the action of the Capelli immanant
\[
\text{Cimm}_{\bar{\mu}}[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h] \in U(gl(n))
\]
on the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) equals the action of the polynomial differential operator
\[
(-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_h} \chi^\mu(\sigma) \left( \sum_{\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_h) \in \underline{d}^h} P_{\sigma}[\bar{1}; \underline{\varphi}] \right),
\]
for every \(\bar{1} = (i_1, \ldots, i_h) \in \underline{h}^h\).

Since the action of \(U(gl(n))\) on the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[M_{n,d}]\) is a faithful action whenever \(n \leq d\), it immediately follows that any quantum immanant equals - up to a scalar factor - a linear combination of Capelli immanants. Indeed, we have:
\[
\text{Tr}(\mathbb{E}_T) = (-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \frac{1}{\dim(V^\mu)} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_h) \in \underline{h}^h} \text{Cimm}_{\bar{\mu}}[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h] \in U(gl(n)).
\]

Since
\[
\text{Cimm}_{\bar{\mu}}[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h; i_1 i_2 \cdots i_h] = Cimm_{\bar{\mu}}[\tau(1)\tau(2) \cdots \tau(h); \tau(1)\tau(2) \cdots \tau(h)],
\]
for every \(\tau \in S_h\), the right-hand side of Eq. \eqref{eq:35} equals
\[
(-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{h_1 + h_2 + \ldots + h_n = h} \frac{H(\mu)}{h_1! h_2! \cdots h_n!} \text{Cimm}_{\bar{\mu}}[1^{h_1} 2^{h_2} \ldots n^{h_n}; 1^{h_1} 2^{h_2} \ldots n^{h_n}].
\]
Corollary 6.3. Let \( T \) be a multilinear standard tableau, \( \text{sh}(T) = \mu \).
If \( \tilde{\mu} \subseteq n \), then
\[
\text{Tr}(\mathbb{E}_T) = 0.
\]

Let \( \mu \) with \( \tilde{\mu} \subseteq n \), and let recall that \( \zeta(n) \) is the center of \( U(gl(n)) \). According to Okoukov [40], [39], the Schur element \( S_\mu(n) \in \zeta(n) \) is defined by setting
\[
S_\mu(n) = \frac{\dim(V_\mu)}{h!} \text{Tr}(\mathbb{E}_T).
\]
Since \( \dim(V_\mu) = \frac{h!}{\mu!} \), Theorem 6.2 implies:

**Corollary 6.4.**

\[
S_\mu(n) = (-1)^{\binom{h}{2}} \sum_{h_1 + \cdots + h_n = h} \frac{1}{h! \cdots h_n!} \text{Cimm}^{-n}_{\mu}[1^{h_1} \cdots n^{h_n}; 1^{h_1} \cdots n^{h_n}]. \tag{36}
\]

**Remark 6.5.** If \( \mu = (1^h) \), \( h \leq n \), is the column shape of length \( h \), then \( S_{(1^h)}(n) \) is immediately recognized as the \( h \)-th determinantal Capelli generator \( H_h \) (see, e.g. [8], [4]; see also Capelli [11], [13], [14] and [15], Howe and Umeda [20]).

If \( \mu = (h) \) is the row shape of length \( h \), then \( S_{(h)}(n) \) is immediately recognized as the \( h \)-th permanental Nazarov–Umeda generator \( I_h \) (see, e.g. [3], Nazarov [37], Umeda [50]).

**Example 6.6.** Let \( h = 3, \mu = (2,1) = \tilde{\mu}, n = 2 \). Recall that \( H(\mu) = 3 \). Then

\[
S_{(2,1)}(2) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Cimm}_{(2,1)}[112; 112] + \text{Cimm}_{(2,1)}[122; 122] \right) \tag{37}
\]

\[
= - \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{array} \right]. \tag{38}
\]

By Eq. (38) and Proposition 4.7, we have:

\[
S_{(2,1)}(2) = + e_{11}^2 e_{22} - e_{11} e_{22} - e_{11} e_{12} e_{21} + e_{11}^2 + e_{12} e_{21} - e_{11}
\]

\[
+ e_{11} e_{22} - e_{11} e_{22} - e_{12} e_{21} e_{22} + e_{11} e_{22} + e_{12} e_{21} - e_{11}
\]

\[
= + e_{11} e_{22} - e_{11} e_{12} e_{21} + e_{11} e_{21}^2 - e_{12} e_{21} e_{22}
\]

\[
- e_{11} e_{22} + e_{11}^2 + 2 e_{12} e_{21} - 2 e_{11} \in U(gl(2)).
\]

**Remark 6.7.** According to Theorem 5.4, the central element \( S_{(2,1)}(2) \) also equals, in turn, a linear combination of Young-Capelli bitableaux. Indeed, we
The previous identity is an instance of an alternative presentation (see our preliminary manuscript [10], Subsection 4.5.1) of the Schur element $S_{\mu}(n) \in \zeta(n)$, $\bar{\mu}_1 \leq n$ of Corollary 6.4.

Given a pair of row (strictly) increasing tableaux $S$ and $T$ of shape $sh(S) = sh(T) = \bar{\mu} + h$ on the proper alphabet $L = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, consider the element

$$e_{S,C_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{D_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{C_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{C_{\bar{\mu}}}, T \in \text{Virt}(m_0 + m_1, n) \subset U(gl(m_0|n_1 + n)).$$

We set

$$[S | T] = p(e_{S,C_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{D_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{C_{\bar{\mu}}} \cdot e_{C_{\bar{\mu}}}, T) \in U(gl(n)). \quad (39)$$

and call the element $[S | T]$ a double Young-Capelli bitableau.

**Proposition 6.8.** Any double Young-Capelli bitableau equals a sum of Young-Capelli bitableaux:

$$[S | T] = (-1)^{|T|} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{|\sigma|} [S | T^\sigma],$$

where the sum is extended to all Young tableaux $T^\sigma$ obtained from $T$ by permutations of the elements of each row, and $(-1)^{|\sigma|}$ is the product of the signatures of row permutations.

**Proof.** See our preliminary manuscript [10].

**Theorem 6.9.** We have

$$S_{\mu}(n) = \frac{1}{H(\mu)} \sum_{S} [S | S] \in U(gl(n)) \quad (40)$$

where the sum is extended to all row (strictly) increasing tableaux $S$ of shape $sh(S) = \bar{\mu} + h$ on the proper alphabet $L = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

**Proof.** (Sketch) Let $\chi_n$ be the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$\chi_n : \zeta(n) \to \Lambda^*(n),$$

where $\zeta(n)$ is the center $\zeta(n)$ of $U(gl(n))$, and $\Lambda^*(n)$ is the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials in $n$ variables (see, e.g. [41]).
The technique is to show that both sides of Eq. (40) have the same image under the isomorphism $\chi_n$.

The right-hand side of Eq. (40) is easily proved to be an element of the center $\zeta(n)$, and its image via the Harish-Chandra isomorphism satisfies the hypotheses (see Theorem 6.64 of [10]) of the Sahi/Okounkov Characterization Theorem (Theorem 1 of [7] and Theorem 3.3 of [41], see also [39]) for the Schur shifted symmetric polynomial $s_{\mu|n}^*$ of [41]. Then

$$\chi_n \left( \frac{1}{H(\mu)} \sum_S [S|S] \right) = s_{\mu|n}^*.$$  

Since

$$\chi_n \left( \frac{\dim(V^\mu)}{h!} Tr(E_T) \right) = s_{\mu|n}^*$$

(see [10], [39]), the assertion follows (for details, see our preliminary manuscript [10]).

**Example 6.10.** We have

$$S_{(2,1)}(2) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left( - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Since

$$- \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$- \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$S_{(2,1)}(2) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

as in Remark 6.7. 
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Presentation 40 is more supple and effective than presentation 36. Indeed:

- Presentation 40 doesn’t involve the irreducible characters of symmetric groups.

- Presentation 40 is better suited to the study of the eigenvalues on irreducible $gl(n)$–modules, and of the duality in the algebra $\zeta(n)$ (see our preliminary manuscript 10, Section 4).

- Presentation 40 is better suited to the study of the limit $n \to \infty$, via the Olshanski decomposition, see our preliminary manuscript 10, Section 5, Olshanski [43], [44] and Molev [34], pp. 928 ff.
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