Mesoscopic Interference for Metric and Curvature (MIMAC) & Gravitational Wave Detection
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A compact detector for space-time metric and curvature is highly desirable, especially if it could also detect gravitational waves. Here we show that quantum spatial superpositions of mesoscopic objects, of the type feasible with potential advancement of techniques, can be exploited to create such a detector. By using Stern-Gerlach (SG) interferometry with masses much larger than atoms, where the interferometric signal is extracted by measuring spins, we show that accelerations as low as $5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ms}^{-2}\text{Hz}^{-1/2}$, as well as the frame dragging effects caused by the Earth, can be sensed. The apparatus is constructed to be non-symmetric so as to enable the direct detection of curvature and gravitational waves (GWs). In the latter context, we find that it can be used as meter sized, orientable and vibrational (thermal/seismic) noise resilient detector of mid and low frequency GWs from massive binaries (same regimes as those targeted by atom interferometers and LISA).

Matter wave interferometry, very successful with atoms [1], and implemented already with macromolecules ($10^4$ amu mass) [2], is gradually progressing towards ever more macroscopic masses. Several viable ideas have been proposed to date to demonstrate quantum interferometry with larger masses, primarily with foundational motivations such as testing the limits of the superposition principle [3–19] or exploring the quantum nature of gravity [20, 21]. It is thus worthwhile to question the extent to which a large object matter wave interferometer can detect the full classical gravitational effects in a location as quantified by the metric and curvature. This comes against a backdrop of proposals of smaller particle interferometers [22–24] or larger quantum optomechanical systems [25, 26] to detect a $g_{00}$ metric component arising from a Newtonian potential, whose variations can be used to infer the associated component of curvature or to detect the Earth’s rotation [27, 28] or general relativistic effects [29, 30]. The most challenging entities to detect are the GWs, the $g_{ij}$ metric components, whose detection has been a huge recent success using kilometre long optical interferometers [31, 32], with future devices proposed in space[33]. On the other hand there are also proposals for usage of atomic interferometers [34–39] and various quantum resonators [40–43], but nothing yet on the potential of interferometers for propagating (untrapped) objects much larger than single atoms.

In this paper, we will employ mesoscopic-object interference for detecting metric and curvature (MIMAC), based on the Stern-Gerlach principle [13, 44, 45]. Here, although a spatial interferometry involving superpositions of separated motional states takes place, the output signal of the interferometer is encoded in a spin degree of freedom in a manner which is insensitive to the initial noise in the motional state (thermal and seismic). We demonstrate that it can be used to observe the metric and, by using a non-symmetric set-up, also “directly” observe the derivatives in the interferometric signal which determine the curvature of a perturbed Minkowski metric (as opposed to measuring the metric in proximal regions and inferring the curvature by taking appropriate derivatives). Additionally, these interferometers enable the measuring of the Earth’s frame dragging and gravitational waves of certain strength and frequency range. In all these cases, it is remarkable, and indeed directly due to the high masses of the objects undergoing interferometry, that the interferometer is very compact (smaller than a meter), and highly sensitive at a single object level, i.e., does not require a high flux of objects.

Interferometric setup: The interferometric system we consider is an asymmetric modification of that proposed by Wan et. al. [13] as shown in Fig. 1. We use a mesoscopic mass containing an embedded spin 1 degree of freedom (three spin states $|+1\rangle, |0\rangle, |−1\rangle$). An example is a diamond crystal of nanometer to micrometer diameter with a NV-centre spin, which is being widely probed as a candidate for this type of experiment [10, 12, 46, 47]. The mass is emitted by a source in a motional wavepacket $|\psi\rangle$ centred at velocity $\vec{v} = (0, v_y, 0)$ in a spin state $|0\rangle$. At time $t = 0$ it is initialised (by the application of a sudden microwave pulse) in a superposition of spin eigenstates $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+1\rangle + |0\rangle)$. The presence of a magnetic field gradient in the $x$ direction induces an acceleration $\vec{a} = (a, 0, 0)$ on the $|+1\rangle$ spin state (i.e., couples the spin and motional states). The acceleration of the $|+1\rangle$ component is reversed at time $t = \tau_1$ by applying a microwave pulse which accomplishes $|+1\rangle \leftrightarrow |−1\rangle$ and reversed again at $t = \tau_2 = 3\tau_1$ by another identical pulse so that at any time $t$, the combined state of its spin and motion is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle |\psi_{\sigma=0}(t)\rangle + |\sigma\rangle |\psi_{\sigma=\sigma}(t)\rangle)$, where $\sigma = +1$ for $0 < t < \tau_1$ and $\tau_2 < t \leq \tau_3$, and $\sigma = −1$ for $\tau_1 \leq t \leq \tau_2$. This procedure will lead to a maximum spatial superposition at time $t = 2\tau_1$, at which point the centres of the spatial states $|\psi_{\sigma=\sigma}(2\tau_1)\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\sigma=\sigma}(2\tau_1)\rangle$ are separated by $\Delta x = a\tau_1^2$. These are then brought back together so that their motional states exactly over-
FIG. 1. Interferometer path diagram showing spin $|\pm 1\rangle$ solid blue path and spin $|0\rangle$ path dashed in orange. The vertical axis shows the spatial separation distance in the $x$ direction which will reach a maximum of $\sigma x$. The vertical dotted lines act as timestamps showing the position when the spin flips occur, causing a reversal in direction of the non-zero spin components acceleration.

lap at time $t = \tau_3 = 4\tau_1$, i.e. $|\psi_{\sigma = 0}(4\tau_1)\rangle = |\psi_{\sigma \neq 0}(4\tau_1)\rangle$. This has two striking consequences [13]: (i) The relative phase $\Delta \phi$ between the interferometric arms is mapped onto the spin state in the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|+1\rangle + e^{i\Delta \phi} |0\rangle)$, so that it can be measured by measuring the spin state alone (for example, from the probability of the state to be brought to the spin state $|0\rangle$ by the application of a third microwave pulse). (ii) The $\Delta \phi$ depends solely on the difference between phases accumulated in the interferometric paths, and is quite independent of the initial motional state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ of the object, making the interferometric signal unaffected by an initial mixed thermal state or other noise (e.g. seismic) in the initial motional state as these can always be modelled as probabilistic choices of $|\psi(0)\rangle$.

**The non-relativistic action:** The phase difference between the two interferometric paths $\Delta \phi = \Delta S/\hbar$, where $\Delta S$ is the difference in action between the two arms. Consider the space-time metric $g_{\mu \nu} = \eta_{\mu \nu} + h_{\mu \nu}$ where $\eta_{\mu \nu}$ is the standard Minkowski metric of signature $(- + + +)$ and $h_{\mu \nu}$ is some small perturbation that may have space and time dependencies. Then the action for a particle of mass $m$ along a trajectory in the non-relativistic limit (so that the laboratory time $t$ will be used as a replacement for the proper time) is

$$S \approx m \int \left[ c^2 \left( 1 - \frac{h_{00}}{2} \right) - \eta_{0j} v^j + (\eta_{ij} + h_{ij}) \frac{v^i v^j}{2} \right] dt .$$

Already by inspection of the above formula it is evident that compared to the term with $h_{00}$, the terms $h_{ij}$ are harder to detect as $c$ is replaced by a non-relativistic velocity $v_j$, while $h_{ij}$ is the most difficult to detect with $c^2$ replaced by $v_i v_j$. On the other hand, a high value of $m$ helps in amplifying the action and hence the phase difference. We expand $S$ to the second order in derivatives of $h_{\mu \nu}$ assuming a temporally static and spatially slowly varying metric. This gives the difference in the action between the two interferometric paths due to the different components $h_{\mu \nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, x, y, z$) as

$$\Delta S (h_{00}) = m c^2 a^2 \tau_1^3 \left( \partial_x h_{00} + \frac{23}{60} a^2 \partial_x^2 \partial_x h_{00} + \ldots \right) ,$$

$$\Delta S (h_{0j}) = m c a v_j \left( -2 \tau_1^3 \partial_x h_{0x} + 2 \tau_1^2 \partial_x h_{0y} + \frac{23}{30} a^2 \partial_x^2 \partial_x h_{0y} + \ldots \right) ,$$

$$\Delta S (h_{ij}) = - \frac{2}{3} h_{x x} m a^2 \tau_1^3 + \ldots .$$

where all truncated terms are not pertinent to the effects explored in this letter. Note that we detect the second derivatives of $h_{\mu \nu}$ in the phase as well, so that space-time curvature characterised by the Riemann tensor can be extracted from these derivatives of the perturbation as $R_{\mu \rho \sigma \nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\sigma \partial_\mu h_{\rho \nu} + \partial_\nu \partial_\rho h_{\mu \sigma} - \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma h_{\rho \nu} - \partial_\nu \partial_\rho h_{\mu \sigma})$.

**Newtonian potential:** If we only consider the first non-Minkowski term we can make the substitution $h_{00} = 2 MG/c^2 R$ with a vertical $x$-axis, the experiment taken to be performed at ground level so $R$ is radius of the Earth, and $M$ Earth’s mass, the difference in action between the two arms up to the second order in $(a \tau_1^2/R)$ is

$$\Delta S (h_{00}) \approx - \frac{2}{3} m g_\ast \rho \sigma \tau_1^3 + \frac{2}{3} m R G a^2 \tau_1^5 ,$$

$$\Delta \phi (h_{00}) \approx - 2 \times 10^{35} m a \tau_1^3 + 2 \times 10^{28} m a \tau_1^5 .$$

Eq.4 is consistent with the expectation discussed in [48] that any curvature detection will be of the form $U (L/R)^2$ where $U$ is the gravitational potential and $L$ is the characteristic laboratory length (in the above case, $L \sim a \tau_1^2$). Despite this quadratic suppression of the curvatures effect, it is still detectable due to the $1/\hbar$ factor in the phase difference. As such, we can expect to observe even second order effects (curvature effects) as large phase shifts. Fig. 2 shows how these results scale with the mass of the object in the interferometer assuming a maximum spatial separation $(a \tau_1^2)$ between the interferometric paths possible due to the requirements to create and maintain the coherence of such a superposition for relevant time-scales, as discussed below. From Fig.2 it can be seen that a mass of $10^{-16}$ kg in a $\sim$ 1 mm interferometer with interrogation time $\tau_1 \sim 100$ ns gives a detection of acceleration with sensitivity down to $5 \times 10^{-16}$ ms$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1/2}$ when a flux of $N = 200$ objects at a time is used (in this case, inter-particle interactions give only a 5% error).

**Frame Dragging:** To explore the detection of frame dragging effects the slowly rotating metric has to be considered, see [49]

$$ds^2 = -H (r) c^2 dt^2 + J (r) \left[ dx^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 (\theta) (d\phi - \Omega dt)^2 \right]$$

(6)
where \( \Omega = 2MGv/c^2R \) is the scaled angular velocity of the central rotating mass, \( M \) is the mass of the Earth, \( R \) is its radius, \( v \) its angular velocity and

\[
H(r) \approx 1 - \frac{8GM}{c^2r} + \cdots, \quad J(r) \approx 1 + \frac{8MG}{c^2r} + \cdots, \quad (7)
\]

The relevant component of Eq. 6 is the cross term \( d\phi dt \). The apparatus can be aligned along \( \Delta r = \Delta x \) and \( \Delta \theta = 0 \) which is to say, the \( x \) direction is ‘up’ and \( y \) direction is aligned parallel to earth’s lines of latitude, specifically we will assume to be located at the equator. We also have \( \Delta y \) giving rise to \( d\phi \), we will make the small angle approximation given the trajectories length is relatively short, hence \( d\phi/d\tau \approx v_y/r \). The phase difference, again to the second order in \( (aR^2/R) \), is thus:

\[
\Delta \phi(h_{ij}) \approx \frac{8mMGv^2 \sin^2(\theta) v_y}{hcR} \left( \frac{1}{r_1^3} - \frac{3M^2G^2}{c^4R^2} \right) + \frac{92mM^3G^3 \nu^2 \sin^3(\theta) v_y}{5hc^2R^4} a^2 r_1^5. \quad (8)
\]

Substituting all known constants, assuming the interferometer is located on the surface of the Earth, gives \( \Delta \phi(h_{ij}) \approx 4 \times 10^{21} ma v_y \tau_1^2 \) as the first order, metric dependent phase and \( \Delta \phi(h_{ij}) \approx 6 \times 10^{-4} ma^2 v_y \tau_1^2 \) for the second order, curvature dependent phase. Again greater sensitivities can be achieved with larger mass particles. These effects are significantly more modest so high precision measurements would be needed, specifically to measure the second order derivatives of the metric perturbations. With such measurement, this would provide an independent verification of the results from Gravity Probe B [30]. In Fig. 2 we have also plotted the phase due to first and second order effects independently with respect to the object mass.

**Gravitational waves (GWs):** Our setup can also extract the phase from the transverse traceless perturbations around the Minkowski background:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_{xx} &= -h_{yy} = h_+ \cos(\psi_0 + \omega t) \\
    h_{xy} &= h_{yx} = h_\times \cos(\psi_0 + \omega t).
\end{align*}
\]  

We have assumed the GW is propagating along the \( x_3 = z \) direction perpendicularly to the interferometer with angular frequency \( \omega \) and taken the two helicity states of the GWs as \( h_+, h_\times \ll 1 \). We also ignore the kinetic energy component of the atoms action, see Eq.(1), as it is not relevant for the purpose of detecting the phase. The GW induced phase difference is

\[
\Delta \phi(h_{ij}) = \frac{4mh_+)a^2 \tau_1 \cos(\psi_0) \cos(\omega \tau_1)}{\hbar \omega^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(\omega \tau_1)}{\omega \tau_1} \right)
\]

(11)

where \( \psi_0 \) is the wave’s phase at \( t = 2\tau_1 \). Note that the \( h_+ \) component is not recorded in our interferometer, as it is proportional to \( v_z \) which varies between positive and negative values, thus cancelling itself out unlike \( h_\times \) as it is a function of \( v_z^2 \). To detect \( h_\times \), one has to rotate our apparatus by 45 degrees.

At this point, it is worthwhile to compare our proposal with other interferometric schemes for GW detection, although we acknowledge that our scheme has much to develop as here we are only showing its “in principle” feasibility with certain achievable advances in technology. In the domain of atomic interferometry, one of the most advanced of these suggestions is the Atomic GW Interferometric Sensor (AGIS) as discussed in [38] which generates an approximate phase difference of \( \sim 10^{10} h_+ \) for the space based detector [37] with baseline size \( L \sim 10^7 \) m compared to our value of \( \sim 10^{17} h_+ \) for a baseline size of 1m as shown in Fig. 3. Note however, that our proposal differs significantly from AGIS and so the phase difference they are referring to is between two different atom interferometers, while our value is the phase difference between the two arms of the one interferometer. As such this comparison, though worth making, is not intended to capture the entire effectiveness of these two proposals. Indeed single atom interferometers have also been suggested for GW detection [34–36]. With respect to those, our advantage stems purely from the much larger \( m \) of our massive particle interferometers as our SG methodology opens up the scope to create a high enough \( \Delta x \), even as the mass is increased. As far as optical interferometric setups such as LISA are concerned, which is the frequency domain in which our interferometer is most effective, one can make a comparison by noting that in our case, the path length differences of \( \sim h_+ L \) are essentially being measured in units of the matter wave de Broglie wavelength, which can be \( 10^{-17} \) times smaller than typical optical wavelengths through our SG scheme. Thus the lengths \( L \) required can be much smaller (a meter suffices). The scale of the superpositions considered here are consistent with previous results regarding decoherence [50] as our masses are not scaling with the superposition sizes.
With respect to the frequency spectrum observable, one can see from Eq 11 that the phase output will be independent of GW frequency provided $\omega t_3 \sim \omega t_1 \ll 1$ as seen in Fig. 3. This and the higher frequency detectability scaling can be understood by noting it is susceptible to the average wave amplitude over the time-frame of the interferometer, which tends to zero for higher frequency waves. As such, higher frequency GWs can be detected by using shorter time detectors, as seen in Fig. 3, albeit with a lower sensitivity without also increasing the magnetic field gradient and mass. Note that we define a detectable strain as one that gives $\Delta \phi(h_{ij}) = 1$. However, if there are several particles traversing the interferometer at once, as well as several interferometers in parallel, so that the phase signal is to be read from $N$ objects in one shot of the apparatus, then strains causing $\Delta \phi(h_{ij}) = 1/\sqrt{N}$ are detectable. Further note that around $10^{-10}$ kHz, at which LIGO is performing[51], our setup will not be able to compete. However it will serve as a complementary procedure in the range of eLISA [33] ($10^{-6} - 10$ Hz).

**Practical implementation:** In the proposed system, a magnetic field gradient $\partial_x B$ is used to create the spatial superposition of size $\Delta x = a \tau_1^2$ with $a = g_{NV} \mu_B \partial_x B/m$ where $g_{NV}$ is the Landé $g$ factor and $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton. For large mass interferometry to carry advantage over its atomic counterpart, $\Delta x$ must be kept significant even while $m$ increases. Thus a large value of $m$ requires a significant magnetic field gradient and coherence times for the spatial and spin states. A magnetic gradient as high as $10^6$ Tm$^{-1}$ can be achieved at a distance of 1μm from a 10μm sized superconducting magnet trapping a flux of 5T (larger values have been realised [52]). The difficult task of keeping the magnet consistently about 1μm from the object can be achieved by shaping an appropriate elongated magnet or by moving the magnet in tandem with the motion of the object corresponding to the non-zero spin state. The spatial coherence offers a huge window with low pressure ($\sim 10^{-14}$ Pa, with much lower achieved in cryogenically cooled systems [53]) and low internal temperature (50mK), as used in previous proposals [20, 54]. For a mass of $\sim 10^{-17}$ kg (100nm radius), using the results of [54], scattering rates are 0.006 Hz due to scattering of air molecules and 0.06 Hz due to blackbody photon emission. The electron spin coherence at 10mK can also reach one second with dynamical decoupling [55, 56] which is partially present here due to the spin flipping pulses. This can be further extended by applying decoupling pulses to the spin bath [57]. Considering the most difficult metric component to detect, namely the GWs, the greatest sensitivity of detecting $h_+ \sim 10^{-17}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ will occur for the mass $\sim 10^{-17}$kg. We can further stretch this sensitivity to $h_+ \sim 10^{-19}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ by considering a flux of $N=400$ particles traversing the interferometer at once, such that their mutual gravitational and Casimir-Polder interactions effect on the phase is negligible ($\sim 10^{-3}$ radians). For the detection of frame dragging, we use a $v_p$ of 10ms$^{-1}$. This can be achieved for polarizable particle such as nanodiamond using rapid acceleration in a pulsed optical field [58].

**Conclusion:** We have presented a protocol for a compact (meter scale) interferometry for objects of mass $\sim 10^{-17}$ kg which can not only detect metric components of Newtonian potentials, but also the Earth’s frame dragging and low frequency GWs. The SG principle implies that simply by changing the orientation of a magnet, the whole interferometer is re-oriented to identify the angular origin of sources. The compactness means that a large network of interferometers can be built to identify localized noise sources and cancel them to extract the signal and that whole GW sensitive interferometers can be put in a single vibrational isolation platform [51]. The sensitivity can be modulated by changing the magnetic field gradient (say, by moving the magnet) so as to identify terms of decreasing strength in succession starting from the Newtonian term and reaching up to the gravitational waves (re-orientation can also aid this). We can also identify separate contributions by operating another interferometer in a symmetric configuration (insensitive to curvature effects and GWs) using an initial spin superposition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+1\rangle + |\text{-1}\rangle)$ and subtract its signal. The different functional dependences of the signal from curvature effects ($\tau^5$) and GWs ($\tau^3$) can be used to separate them. By construction, our interferometric signal only depends on the relative phase between the two arms and thereby is immune to thermal and seismic noise in the initial wavepacket of the mass. The high sensitivity to the signal necessitates a high sensitivity to noise (e.g. due to orientation uncertainty). Thus our interferometric sensor has to operate in tandem with other sensors such as differential operation (another interferometer tied to the same magnet)[24] to, for example to monitor the orientation. We leave a quantitative analysis of noises, following, for example, the procedures of Refs.[37, 59, 60] for the future. Though the proposed implementation uses optimistic magnetic field gradients and coherence times, much lower values of both suffice to
detect the less demanding components such as \( h_{00} \) or for functioning as an accelerometer (e.g. \( B = 10^4 \text{Tm}^{-1} \) and \( \tau_1 \sim 70\text{ms} \) can already detect both the Newtonian curvature and the Earth’s frame dragging, given a mass of \( 10^{-18} \text{kg} \) and \( \Delta x = 1\text{mm} \)). Furthermore, we may be able to test modifications of gravity at short distances \([61, 62]\), and aspects of self-localization of the wavefunction in its own gravitational potential \([63, 64]\).
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