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Quantum coherence is a useful resource for some tasks that classical devices are hard to fulfill. Especially, it is suggested that coherence is the origin of quantum speedup for several computational algorithms. In this work, we interpret the scattering process of the linear optical network with partially distinguishable photons from the perspective of coherence resource theory. With incoherent operations that preserve the diagonal elements of quantum states up to permutation, which we name \textit{permuted genuinely incoherent operation} (pGIO), we present some evidence of the surmise that the decrease of coherence corresponds to a computationally less complex system of partially distinguishable boson sampling.

\textit{Introduction}.— Boson sampling (BS) \textsuperscript{[1]} is a non-universal quantum computing device that can be easily realized with the quantum linear optical network (LON). The BS process has some practical advantage over known quantum algorithms using universal quantum computers, e.g., Shor algorithm. Indeed, it can be implemented with a more feasible system (LON) than the algorithms based on universal quantum computers. In the original BS setup proposed in Ref. \textsuperscript{[1]}, \(N\) single-photon states are initially prepared in \(M (\gg N)\) input modes. The photons are injected into a LON that generates interference by unitary operations and then are detected in \(M\) output modes. As a result, the transition amplitude between the product of single photon states is hard to simulate with classical Turing machines. Therefore, BS seems to be a strong candidate to refute the Extended Church-Turing Thesis (ECT), which conjectures that a Turing machine becomes efficient, we can roughly surmise that the amount of \(x\) directly determines the degree of indistinguishability (DOI). However, when \(S\) is required to be in a generalized form with more parameters, it is not that straightforward to determine DOI for the given matrix. For such a case we need to find some scalar measures that can compare DOI between different forms of \(S\).

Therefore, for a comprehensive discussion on the relation between particle distinguishability and BS complexity, embracing that in Ref. \textsuperscript{[12]}, we first need to present a generic and rigorous criterion of DOI for the generalized \(S\). In this work, we approach this problem by exploiting the \textit{quantum coherence resource theory}, which was quantitatively formalized first in Ref. \textsuperscript{[13]} (for a general review for the theory, see Ref. \textsuperscript{[14]}). The coherence resource theory has incoherent states as free states and incoherence operations as free operations \textsuperscript{[13]}. On the other hand, since \(S\) should remain in the form of a Gram matrix with all diagonal elements 1, we need a very restricted set of incoherent operations to apply the coherence resource theory to our current system. We name such a class \textit{the permuted genuinely incoherent operation} (pGIO). This is a slightly extended set of operations from the genuinely coherent operation (GIO) \textsuperscript{[16]}, by adding permutations. An intriguing property of pGIO is that it is the intersection of the strictly incoherent operation (SIO) set \textsuperscript{[17]} and the fully incoherent operation (FIO) \textsuperscript{[16]} set.

The main focus in this work is to identify the behavior of transition probability of partially indistinguishable photons under a pGIO. We expect that \textit{when a pGIO is applied to a distinguishability matrix \(S\), this operation can be exploited to decrease the computational cost of BS with partially distinguishable photons}. Examples to support this surmise are presented here. We introduce for our analysis three \textit{permutated genuine} (pG) coherence monotones, i.e., \(N(S)\) (the number of nonzero entries of \(S\)), \(\text{perm}(|S|)\) (the permanent of the entrywise absolute
values of $S$), and $J_\sigma(S) = |\prod_{i=1}^n S_{i,\sigma_i}|$ where $S_{ij}$ denotes the elements of $S$. With these monotones, we will analyze the behavior of the upper bound of the transition probability and the runtime for exactly simulating the transition probability of a given BS system.

**Partial distinguishability in LON.**— We first introduce the concept of $N \times N$ distinguishability matrix $S$ and explain how it affects the transition probability in multi-mode linear optical network systems [11]. We discuss the role of partial distinguishability among photons in the original Fock state BS by Aaronson and Arkhipov [1], in which each input and output mode contains no more than one photon [18].

All possible internal degrees of freedom (e.g., angular frequency, polarization) of the $i$th photon ($1 \leq i \leq N$) can be described in general with a normalized “internal” state $|\phi_i\rangle$. The mutual distinguishability of $N$ photons is represented with the distinguishability matrix $S$ with the elements

$$S_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle.$$  

(1)

While most works [4, 11] explain the concept of partial distinguishability in the second quantization language [19], the first quantization language reveals the physical implication of $S$ more clearly, which is presented in more detail in Supplemental Material. We can directly see that $S$ is a Gram matrix, and hence positive semidefinite (PSD). Since $|\phi_i\rangle$ are non-orthogonal normalized states, we have $0 \leq |S_{ij}| \leq 1$ and $S_{ii} = 1$ for all $i$. When all internal states are orthogonal to each other (all particles are completely distinguishable), we have $S = I$ ($S_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$). On the other hand, when all internal states are proportional to each other (completely indistinguishable), we have $S_{ij} = 1$ for all $i$ and $j$.

With a nontrivial $S$, the transition probability of Fock state BS does not become the absolute square of the transition amplitude. Indeed, the probability for a post-selected photon distribution is in general given by [11]

$$P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \left( \prod_{i} S_{i,\sigma_i} \right) \text{perm}(V \otimes V^\ast_\sigma).$$

(2)

Here $V$ is the submatrix of the linear optical unitary operation $U$ that actually generates mode interchange. More specifically, when the input and output photon distribution vectors are given by $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_M)$ and $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_M)$ respectively, with $N (= \sum_i n_i = \sum_j m_j)$ photons and $M$ modes, we have $U = U_{\vec{n}, \vec{m}} (N \times N)$ submatrix of $U$ that has $n_i$ ($m_i$) of the $i$th row (column) of $U$. $V^\ast_\sigma$ is the complex conjugate of $V$ with columns permuted along a specific permutation $\sigma$. The entrywise Schur product (or Hadamard product) is denoted by $\odot$, and the summation of permutations is over all elements of the permutation group $S_N$. When particles are fully indistinguishable ($S_{ij} = 1$ for all $i$ and $j$), $P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) = |\text{perm}(V)|^2$. When particles are fully distinguishable ($S_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$), $P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) = \text{perm}(|V|^2)$.

We can understand the relation between $S$ and density matrices from the viewpoint of decoherence, which is indispensable for the connection of our system to the coherence resource theory. For a pure state in two given $N$-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, expressed as $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} |i\rangle_A \otimes |\phi_i\rangle_B$, the partial trace over $\mathcal{H}_B$ gives $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$. This relation renders the application of coherence resource theory to the multi-mode scattering of partial distinguishable particles [20]. We define $\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{N} S^\ast$, which satisfies the conditions for a density matrix.

**Coherence resource theory and permuted genuinely incoherent operation (pGIO).**— Coherence resource theory [13, 17, 21] recently has drawn extensive attention, and it turns out that the coherence enhances the efficiency of various quantum computational tasks such as Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm [22] and Grover algorithm [23, 24]. Coherence depends on a specific set of computational bases, and we define an incoherent state in $d$-dimensional Hilbert state $\mathcal{H}$ as a diagonalized state in the computational basis set $\{|i\rangle \}_{i=1}^d$. The standard incoherent operations (IO) [13] corresponds to the following Kraus decomposition:

$$\Lambda_1[\rho] = \sum_n K_n \rho K_n^\dagger \tag{3}$$

with $\sum_n K_n K_n^\dagger = \mathbb{I}$ and $K_n \delta K_n^\dagger / \text{tr}[K_n \delta K_n^\dagger] = \delta'$ ($\delta, \delta'$ are both incoherent states). The Kraus operators are explicitly expressed as $K_n = \sum_i \sqrt{\delta_i} |f_i^n\rangle \langle i|$ ($f^n$ is a function that sends $i$ to $i'$, not necessarily one-to-one). On the other hand, other kinds of incoherent operations have been suggested according to various physical motivations. Strictly incoherent operations (SIO) are those which cannot use the coherence in input states, which has $K_n = \sum_i \sqrt{\alpha_i} |\sigma^n_i\rangle \langle i|$ ($\sigma^n$ is now a permutation, hence one-to-one) [13, 21]. Genuinely incoherent operations (GIO) preserve all incoherent states, which has $K_n = \sum_i \sqrt{c_{ij}^n} |f_i^n\rangle \langle i|$ [10]. Fully incoherent operations (FIO) have the most general form that are incoherent for all $K_n$, with $K_n = \sum_i \sqrt{c_{ij}^n} |f_i^n\rangle \langle i|$, i.e., $K_n$ have the same matrix form for all $n$ (A GIO is naturally an FIO) [23].

The close relation between coherence and indistinguishability was first pointed out in Ref. [20] for the case of one photon in two modes, but the application of coherence to the partial distinguishability case requires a very different mathematical approach. Here we should analyze the behavior of the transition probability Eq. (2) when the coherence of $S$ changes according to some incoherence operations. However, since the diagonal elements of $\tilde{S}$ should be preserved under any operation as $1/N$ for all $i$, we need a special kind of incoherent operations that satisfy this restriction to analyze our physical
system. Here we suggest permuted genuinely incoherent operations (pGIO) as such a class of incoherence operations:

Definition 1. Permuted genuinely incoherent operations (pGIO) are those which preserve the diagonal elements of given states within permutation.

It is explained in Supplemental Material that the set of pGIO is the intersection of the sets of SIO and FIO.

Theorem 1. Under pGIO, a Gram matrix transforms to another Gram matrix.

Proof. From Theorem 2 of Ref. [16], a density matrix $\rho = \sum_{i,j} \rho_{ij} |i\rangle \langle j|$ transforms under pGIO to $\rho' = \sum_{i,j} (A \circ \rho)_{ij} |\sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j |$ where $A$ is a Gram matrix with $A_{ij} = 1$ for all $i$. It is straightforward that a matrix whose elements are the Hadamard product of two Gram matrices is also a Gram matrix [27].

Using Theorem 1 and the definition of $\hat{S}$, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 2. Any state that has the form of $\hat{S}$ can be obtained by taking pGIOs to a maximally coherent state $\rho_M$ ($\rho_{Mij} = e^{i\theta_{ij}}/N$ for all $i$ and $j$).

Note that, by permutation, an unspeakable resource theory (GIO) becomes a speakable theory (pGIO) [28].

To see the relation between pGIO and partially distinguishable BS, it is convenient to find quantum quantities that can evaluate the degree of coherence (permuted genuine coherence monotones). Following the definitions for established incoherence operations including IO [13], the conditions that a permuted genuine (pG) coherence monotone $C_{pG}$ must fulfill are as follows:

(pG1) Nonnegativity: $C_{pG}(\rho) \geq 0$, and $C_{pG}(\rho) = 0$ if and only if $\rho$ is incoherent.

(pG2) Monotonicity: $C_{pG}(\Lambda(\rho)) \leq C_{pG}(\rho)$ for any pGIO $\Lambda$.

(pG3) Strong monotonicity: $C_{pG}$ does not increase under selective operations for any Kasu operator set $\{K_n\}$, i.e., $\sum_n q_n C_{pG}(\rho'_{n}) \leq C_{pG}(\rho)$ with $q_n = \text{Tr}(K_n \rho K_n^\dagger)$ and $\rho'_{n} = K_n \rho K_n^\dagger/q_n$.

(pG4) Convexity: $C_{pG}(\sum_n p_n \rho_n) \leq \sum_n p_n C_{pG}(\rho_n)$.

For a quantity to be a pG coherence monotone, it must minimally satisfies (pG1) and (pG2).

To understand the role of pGIO in BS problems, we need to find monotones that also have straightforword relations to the scattering process of BS. Here we suggest three such pG coherence monotones, $N(\rho)$ (the number of nonzero entries of $\rho$), $\text{perm}(|\rho|)$ (permanent of the matrix whose elements are the absolute values of the entries of $\rho$), and $J_{\sigma}(\rho)$ which is defined as follows:

Definition 2. With a scalar value $J_{\sigma}(\rho) \equiv |\prod_{i=1}^{N} \rho_{i\sigma_i^*}|$ where $\sigma^a$ is a permutation that have $(N-a)$-fixed points, we define $J_a(\rho) = \max(J_{\sigma}(\rho))$.

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. $N(\rho)$, $\text{perm}(|\rho|)$, and $J_{\sigma}(\rho)$ are pG coherence monotones that satisfy (pG1) and (pG2).

The proof is given in Supplemental Materials. One might ask about the actual physical implication of pGIO in the multimode scattering process of partially distinguishable photons. This question can be answered by considering that pGIO on $\hat{S}$ is equivalent to the Hadamard product of two Gram matrices. Therefore, for a given internal state $|\psi_i\rangle$ that determines $S_i$, we can state that a pGIO on $\hat{S}$ is to attach additional degrees of freedom, e.g., $|\psi_i\rangle$ so that a new internal state becomes $|\phi_i\rangle \otimes |\psi_i\rangle$. And the particles are likely to be more distinguishable with more degrees of freedom, and the Gram matrix for the new initial state is the updated partial distinguishability matrix $S_i$.

Now we are ready to investigate the relation between pGIO and the computational cost of BS with partially distinguishable photons.

Transition probability and pGIO.— Various quantities have been suggested as the DOI for multi-boson scattering experiments [2, 29, 11] from different physical perspectives. Most of all, it is shown in [11] that $\text{perm}(|S|)$ is directly related to the upper bound of $P(\vec{n}, \vec{m})$ (See Supplemental Material a detailed analysis on the bound). On the other hand, one can consider a tighter bound of $P(\vec{n}, \vec{m})$ that is more easily saturated by phase control. The bound divides the effect of indistinguishability from that of distinguishability and also reveals the monotonic effect of pGIO on $S$ manifestly:

$$P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) \leq \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} |\text{perm}(V \otimes V_{\sigma}^\dagger)|J_{\sigma} \left( \leq P(\text{perm}(|S|)) \right)$$

$$= \text{perm}(V \otimes V^*) + \sum_{\sigma \in S_N, \sigma \neq 1} |\text{perm}(V \otimes V_{\sigma}^\dagger)|J_{\sigma},$$

where $J_{\sigma} \equiv |\prod_{i} S_{i,\sigma_i}|$. Note that the first term in the last equality of Eq. (4) corresponds to the classical contribution (distinguishable scattering), and the second term to the nonclassical contribution (path interference by indistinguishability).

Since $J_{\sigma}$ is multiplied by each term that represents the effect of interference in the last term of Eq. (4), with Theorem 3 we can see that the impact of interference in LON decreases under any pGIO. We speculate that the reduction of interference results in a computationally less complex scattering process (see, e.g., [31, 52, 33]. The following analysis supports this assumption.

Using $S_{ii} = 1$ for all $i$, $J_{\sigma}$ can be ordered along the number of fixed points in permutations. For example, when only two points $i$ and $j$ are permuted ($(N-2)$-points are fixed), $J_{\sigma_2} = |S_{ij}|^2$, etc. Therefore, we can enumerate

Eq. (4) as
\[ P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \leq \sum_{a} \sum_{\sigma^a} J_{a} \text{perm}(V \odot V_{\sigma^a}^*) \equiv \sum_{a} Z_a, \quad (5) \]
where \( \sigma^a \) denotes the permutations that have (N-a)-fixed points, and \( a = 0, 2, 3, \ldots, N \). Since the order of \( |S_{ij}| \) (\( \leq 1 \)) increased as \( a \) increases, \( Z_a \) with lower \( a \) makes a greater contribution to the probability on average \([6, 11, 12]\).

The transition probability with the lowest \( k \) term of \( Z_a \), i.e., \( P_k = \sum_{a}^{k \leq N} Z_a \), is given in Ref. [12]. Since the scattering matrix is chosen totally randomly, the inequality in Eq. (4) becomes an equality for real \( x_{ij} \) without loss of generality. The \( k \)-photon approximation for Eq. (4) is obtained by setting \( \max(J_{a})^{1/k} = (J_k)^{1/k} = x \) (\( x \) is real and \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \)). By controlling the value of \( x \), they showed some optimal condition for the approximation to be efficient. As \( x \) becomes small, which is achieved by a pGIO, the approximation becomes efficient with lower \( k \). Since \( x = J_k^{1/k} \) is pG coherence monotone by Theorem [3] we can state that the pGIO on an arbitrary \( S \) decreases the computational cost of the transition process.

Exact classical algorithm for simulating transition probability and \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}) \).— Here we show that the decrease of \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}) \) permits a less expensive algorithm to simulate the transition probability. The transition probability of the partial distinguishable BS (Eq. (2)) can be rewritten as
\[ P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) = \sum_{\sigma, \rho \in S\{1, \ldots, N\}}^{\mathcal{N}} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (V_{\sigma_{j}, \rho_{j}} V_{\sigma_{j}^* \rho_{j}^*} S_{\rho_{j} \sigma_{j}}). \quad (6) \]
Applying the inclusion-exclusion principle to this equation, we obtain an algorithm to compute the probability [11] that is similar to Ryser’s formula [34]:
\[ P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) = \sum_{S, R \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}} (-1)^{|S| + |R|} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{r \in R} V_{s_{j}r} V_{r_{j}^*} S_{r_{j} s_{j}}, \quad (7) \]
(|\( S \)) represents the number of elements for a given set \( S \), or equivalently,
\[ P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) = \sum_{\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{N}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i}} \times \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \sum_{r, s=1}^{N} V_{s_{j} r_{j}} V_{r_{j}^*} y_{r} S_{r_{j} s_{j}} \right]. \quad (8) \]
The above identities directly result in the following feature for two extremal situations of \( \tilde{S} \):

**Theorem 4.** The transition probability \( P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \) is the same for all maximally coherent \( \tilde{S} \), i.e., \( P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \) is equivalently hard to simulate for the cases. If \( \tilde{S} \) is incoherent, \( P(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \) is approximated efficiently.

Note that, when \( \tilde{S} \) is maximally coherent, the probability becomes \( |\text{perm}(V)|^2 \), the absolute square of the transition amplitude. For this case, the runtime is \( \mathcal{O}(2^{(N-1)N^2}) \) from Ryser’s formula. On the other hand, the classical runtime \( T \) for the simulation with the algorithm Eq. (3) for arbitrary distinguishable photons is given by \( T = 2^{2(N-1)N^3} \). Even though the computational cost of both cases increases exponentially with \( N \), the cost increases abruptly when particles become partially distinguishable. This is due to the symmetry of \( \tilde{S} \) for the maximally coherent case, which permits us find an algorithm with a shorter runtime.

After this symmetry is broken, i.e., \( \tilde{S} \) becomes arbitrary, we notice that the given algorithm Eq. (3) becomes more efficient when some elements of \( S \) are zero, i.e., \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}) < N^2 \). Indeed, the functional form of Eq. (8) shows that the runtime becomes
\[ T = (2^{2(N-1)N}) \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}) \quad (9) \]
since the number of arithmetics in the bracket of Eq. (8) is \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}) \).

To sum up, the classical runtime for the exact simulation is affected by two factors, the symmetry of \( \tilde{S} \) and coherence. When the effect of the symmetry disappears, the depletion of coherence decreases the computational cost of the exact simulation.

Another approach to reduce the number of arithmetic operations is to break up the subsets \( S \) and \( R \) defined in Eq. (7) so that the corresponding submatrices of \( S \) become zero. In other words, for some \( S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_a\} \) and \( R = \{r_1, \ldots, r_b\} \), if \( S_{s_i, r_j} = 0 \) for all \( i \) and \( j \), we do not need to include the summation in the algorithm, which results in a shorter runtime, not significantly though. A specific example for \( N = 4 \) is given in Supplemental Material.

**Conclusions.**— In this work, we showed that the partial distinguishability of photons in LON can be understood from the framework of coherence resource theory. We introduced the concept of permuted genuinely incoherent operation (pGIO) that transforms one partial distinguishability matrix \( S \) to another. By delineating the role of three pG coherence monotones (\( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{S}), \text{perm}(|\tilde{S}|) \)) and \( J_{a} \) in partially distinguishable boson sampling, we presented some evidence of the assumption that the coherence of partial distinguishability affects the computational complexity of a partially distinguishable scattering process of linear optical network.

Our current work can develop in various directions. For example, \( \mathcal{N} \) decreases the runtime for exact simulation of transition probability with our current algorithm, but not considerably. There might exist more efficient algorithms that exploit the coherence of partial distinguishability to reduce runtime. Also, the application of our analysis to the continuous BS system \([53, 59]\) would
provide more rigorous conditions for various types of BS to be computationally hard.
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The Kraus operators that satisfy both the conditions for SIO and FIO are expressed as
\[ T = \sum_i c_i^* |\sigma_i\rangle \langle i|, \]
which can be decomposed as
\[ K_n = \sum_i c_n^* |\sigma_i\rangle \langle i| = \sum_i |\sigma_i\rangle \langle i| \sum_j c_j^* |j\rangle \langle j|. \]
The final expression represents the definition of pGIO.

See Fig. 1.

**PROOF OF THEOREM**

• $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$: (pG1) is trivially satisfied. Since all zero entries of the density matrix $\rho$ only change their places but not the amount, (pG2) is also true.

• $\text{perm}(|\rho|)$: (pG1) is trivially satisfied. Under a pGIO, elements $\rho_{ij}$ of a density matrix $\rho$ are transformed to $\rho'_{ij} = (A \odot \rho)_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}$, with $|A_{ij}| \leq 1$ for all $i$ and $j$. Therefore, the inequality $\text{perm}(|\rho'|) \leq \text{perm}(|\rho|)$ always holds, and (pG2) is satisfied.

• $J_\sigma(\rho)$: It is easy to see that $J_\sigma(\rho)$ satisfies (pG1) and (pG2). Then even if the maximal permutation changes for $\max(J_\sigma(\rho))$, $J_\sigma(\rho')$ cannot be greater than $J_\sigma(\rho)$.

**THE UPPER BOUND OF TRANSITION PROBABILITY WITH $\text{perm}(|\mathcal{S}|)$**

A slight modification of Eq. (51) in Ref. [11] gives

$$
P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} |\text{perm}(V \odot V^*_\sigma)| \left( \prod_{i} S_{i, \sigma_i} \right) 
\leq \text{perm}(V \odot V^*) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} \left| \prod_{i} S_{i, \sigma_i} \right| \equiv P_1[\text{perm}(|\mathcal{S}|)].
$$

(6)

where the inequality comes from the relation $|\text{perm}(V \odot V^*_\sigma)| \leq \text{perm}(V \odot V^*) \equiv P_1$ for any permutation $\sigma$. Using the monotonicity of $\text{perm}(|\mathcal{S}|)$ from Theorem 3 we can see that a pGIO on $\mathcal{S}$ decreases the upper bound of the transition probability $P(\vec{n}, \vec{m})$. The unitarity condition of $V$ in Eq. 6 provides a more rigorous upper bound condition for the equation. Indeed, since $V \odot V^*$ is a unistochastic matrix (a doubly stochastic matrix whose elements are the absolute squares of the elements of a unitary matrix), the upper and lower bounds for $P_1 = \text{perm}(V \odot V^*)$ are given using the result in [52] by

$$
F(V \odot V^*) \leq \text{perm}(V \odot V^*) \leq 2^N F(V \odot V^*),
$$

(7)

where $F(V \odot V^*) \equiv \prod_{i,j=1}^{N} (1 - |V_{ij}|^2)^{1-|V_{ij}|^2}$. Hence, Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

$$
P(\vec{n}, \vec{m}) \leq 2^N F(V \odot V^*)[\text{perm}(|\mathcal{S}|)].
$$

(8)
(N = 4) The runtime using Eq. (7) is \( (2^64^3)/2 = 2048 \). However, when \( S_{13} = S_{24} = S_{34} = 0 \), the summations with the following \((R, S)\) become zero:

\[
(R, S) = (\{1\}, \{3\}), \quad (\{2\}, \{4\}), \\
(\{3\}, \{4\}), \quad (\{3\}, \{1, 4\}), \quad (\{4\}, \{2, 3\}),
\]

which contains 4, 4, 8, and 8 terms, respectively. Therefore, the resulting runtime decreases to 2024.

When \( S_{14} = S_{24} = S_{34} = 0 \), the summations with the following \((R, S)\) become zero:

\[
(R, S) = (\{1\}, \{4\}), \quad (\{2\}, \{4\}), \quad (\{3\}, \{4\}), \\
(\{4\}, \{1, 2\}), \quad (\{4\}, \{1, 3\}), \quad (\{4\}, \{2, 3\}), \\
(\{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}),
\]

which contains 4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 8, and 12 terms, respectively. The resulting runtime decreases to 2000.