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Abstract

The arithmetic volume of a pair of an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor and an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor is an invariant measuring the asymptotic behavior of the numbers of the strictly small sections of the high multiples of the pair. In this paper, we establish that the arithmetic volume function defined on an open cone of the space of pairs is Gâteaux differentiable along the directions of $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors and that the derivatives are given by arithmetic restricted positive intersection numbers. (MSC: Primary 14G40; Secondary 11G50, 37P30. Key words: Arakelov theory, adelic Cartier divisors, arithmetic volumes, differentiability.)
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1 Introduction

Let $K$ be a number field, let $M_K^f$ denote the set of finite places of $K$, and set $M_K := M_K^f \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected variety defined over $K$ and let $\text{Rat}(X)$ denote the field of rational functions on
An *adelic* \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisor} \) on \( X \) is defined as a couple \( (D, g^\mathcal{D}) \) consisting of an \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisor} \) \( D \) on \( X \) and a family of \( D \)-Green functions

\[
g^\mathcal{D} = \sum_{\nu \in M_K} g_v^\mathcal{D}[v]
\]
satisfying a suitable finiteness condition, which is commonly called the adelic condition (see \cite{13} for detail). To each pair \((\mathcal{D}; E)\) of an adelic \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisor} \) \( \mathcal{D} \) on \( X \) and an \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisor} \) \( E \) on \( X \), we assign the finite set of all the *strictly small* sections of \( \mathcal{D} \) vanishing along the positive part of \( E \): namely

\[
\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{D}; E) := \{ \phi \in \text{Rat}(X)^X : \mathcal{D} + (\hat{\phi}) > 0 \text{ and } D + (\phi) \geq E \} \cup \{0\}.
\]

The *arithmetic volume* of the pair \((\mathcal{D}; E)\) is then defined as

\[
\hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E) := \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{\log (\#\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}(m\mathcal{D}; mE))}{m^{\dim X + 1}/(\dim X + 1)!}
\]

(see \cite{6} for detail). Essentially due to Yuan’s arithmetic Siu inequality \cite{14}, we already know that the arithmetic volume function is Gâteaux differentiable along the directions of adelic \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisors} \) and that the derivatives are given by arithmetic positive intersection numbers (see \cite{2, 5, 6} for detail).

Let \( Y \) be a prime Cartier divisor on \( X \). A pair \((\mathcal{D}; E)\) is said to be \( Y \)-big if there exists a *weakly* ample (or \( w \)-ample for short) adelic \( \mathbb{R} \)-Cartier divisor \( A \) on \( X \) (see Notation and terminology \cite{8} for definition of \( w \)-ampleness) such that the pair \((\mathcal{D} - A; E)\) is strictly effective and the support of \( D - A - E \) does not contain \( Y \) as a component. The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorem ensuring the Gâteaux differentiability of the arithmetic volume function along the directions of \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisors} \).

**Main Theorem** (see section \ref{main-thm}). Let \( X \) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected variety defined over a number field \( K \), let \( Y \) be a prime Cartier divisor on \( X \), and let \((\mathcal{D}; E)\) be a \( Y \)-big pair on \( X \). If \( \text{ord}_Y(E) > 0 \), then the function \( r \mapsto \hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E + rY) \) is two-sided differentiable at \( r = 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E) - \hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E + rY)}{r} = (\dim X + 1) \left( (\mathcal{D}; E)^{\dim X} \right)^Y.
\]

The right-hand side of Main Theorem denotes the *arithmetic restricted positive intersection number* of \((\mathcal{D}; E)\) along \( Y \), which we define as follows. We refer to a couple \((\pi : X' \to X, \mathcal{M})\) of a modification \( \pi : X' \to X \) and a nef and \( \pi_*^{-1}(Y) \)-big adelic \( \mathbb{R} \text{-Cartier divisor} \) \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( X' \) such that \((\pi^*\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{M}; E)\) is \( \pi_*^{-1}(Y) \)-pseudo-effective as a \( Y \)-approximation of \((\mathcal{D}; E)\), and set

\[
\left( (\mathcal{D}; E)^{\dim X} \right)^Y := \sup_{(\pi, \mathcal{M})} \left\{ \deg \left( \left( \mathcal{M}|_{\pi_*^{-1}(Y)} \right)^{\dim X} \right) \right\},
\]
where the supremum is taken over all \( Y \)-approximations \((\pi, M)\) of \((D; E)\).

Notice that, by concavity of arithmetic volume functions, we easily obtain from the above result that

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}(D; E) - \hat{\text{vol}}(D; E + rY)}{r} = (\dim X + 1) \lim_{r \to 0} \left( \langle (D; E + rY)^{\dim X} \rangle \right) \big|_Y
\]

for every \( Y \)-big pair \((D; E)\). Further studies on the right-hand derivatives at the boundary \( Y \)-big pairs will appear elsewhere.

The strategy to prove Main Theorem is as follows. In his paper [15], Yuan used vertical flags on an arithmetic variety \( Y \) to construct convex bodies in Euclidean spaces whose Euclidean volumes approximate the arithmetic volume of a Hermitian line bundle on \( Y \) (see section 2.2). Later, Moriwaki [12] applied Yuan’s techniques to the study of arithmetic restricted volumes of Hermitian line bundles. After that, Yuan [16] further constructed an arithmetic Newton–Okounkov body whose Euclidean volume exactly gives the arithmetic volume of a given Hermitian line bundle. The techniques of Yuan and Moriwaki is now the only known methodology to treat arithmetic restricted volumes.

First, in section 2.2 we apply Yuan’s techniques to the case of pairs and establish the arithmetic Fujita approximations for arithmetic restricted volumes of pairs, which ensures the identities between the arithmetic restricted volumes and the arithmetic restricted positive intersection numbers (see Proposition 2.11). Next, after preparing basic results on concave functions in section 3.1, we use Moriwaki’s method [11] to give upper bounds for the derivatives of the arithmetic volume function in section 3.2. In section 3.3 we consider flags

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim \mathcal{Y} + 1}
\]
on \( \mathcal{X} \) and construct approximate arithmetic Newton–Okounkov bodies for \( \mathcal{Y} \)-ample adelic Cartier divisors. Lastly, by using these convex bodies, we will show lower bounds for the derivatives of the arithmetic volume function (see section 3.4).

**Notation and terminology**

1. Let \( R \) be a ring. The \( R \)-submodule generated by a subset \( \Gamma \) of an \( R \)-module will be denoted by \((\Gamma)_R\).

2. We denote the field of rational functions on an integral scheme \( X \) by \( \text{Rat}(X) \); namely, \( \text{Rat}(X) \) is the stalk of \( \mathcal{O}_X \) at the generic point of \( X \).
3. Let $X$ be a reduced, irreducible, and Noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension. A flag on $X$ is a sequence of reduced, irreducible, and closed subschemes of $X$,

$$F_* : X = F_0 \supset F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots \supset F_{\dim X},$$

such that each $F_i$ has codimension $i$ in $X$, such that $F_{\dim X}$ consists of a closed point $\xi$ of $X$, and such that each $F_{i+1}$ is locally principal in $F_i$ around $\xi$.

We define the valuation map $w_{F_*} : \text{Rat}(X)^\times \to \mathbb{Z}^{\dim X}$ attached to a flag $F_*$ on $X$ in the following way (see [10 section 1.1]). For each $i = 1, \ldots, \dim X$, we choose a local equation $f_i$ defining $F_i$ in $F_{i-1}$ around $\xi$. Given a $\phi \in \text{Rat}(X)^\times$, we set $\phi_1 := \phi$, and set

$$\phi_{i+1} := \left( f_i^{-\ord_{F_i}(\phi_i)} \phi_i \right)_{F_i}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, \dim X - 1$, inductively. We then define

$$w_{F_*}(\phi) = (w_1(\phi), \ldots, w_{\dim X}(\phi)) := (\ord_{F_1}(\phi_1), \ldots, \ord_{F_{\dim X}}(\phi_{\dim X})), \quad (1.1)$$

which does not depend on a specific choice of $f_1, \ldots, f_{\dim X}$.

4. Let $X$ be a normal and projective variety over a field and let $\mathbb{K}$ denote either $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. The $\mathbb{K}$-module of all $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisors on $X$ is denoted by $\text{CDiv}_\mathbb{K}(X)$. Given a $D \in \text{CDiv}_\mathbb{K}(X)$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$, we set

$$H^0(D) := \{ \phi \in \text{Rat}(X) : D + (\phi) \geq 0 \} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

5. Let $K$ denote a number field, let $M_K^1$ denote the set of finite places of $K$, and let $M_K^f := M_K^f \cup \{\infty\}$. For each $v \in M_K^1$, $K_v$ denotes the $v$-adic completion of $K$ endowed with the norm $| \cdot |_v$ normalized as $|\varpi_v|_v = \left( \# K_v \right)^{-1}$, where $\varpi_v$ denotes a uniformizer of $K_v$ and $K_v$ denotes the residue field of $K_v$. Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected $K$-variety, let $X_{an}^v$ denote the Berkovich analytic space associated to $X \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_v)$ for $v \in M_K^1$, and let $X_{an}^\infty$ denote the complex analytic space associated to $X \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $D$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. The support of $D$ is defined as

$$\text{Supp}(D) := \bigcup_{\ord_{\mathbb{R}}(D) \neq 0} Z,$$

where the union is taken over all codimension-one subvarieties $Z$ of $X$ such that $\ord_{\mathbb{R}}(D) \neq 0$ (see [21 Notation and terminology 2]).

For each $v \in M_K^1$, a $D$-Green function on $X_{an}^v$ is a function $g_v : (X \setminus \text{Supp}(D))_{an}^v \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $x_0 \in X_{an}^v$, the function

$$g_v(x) + \log(|f|(x))$$
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extends to a continuous function defined around \( x_0 \), where \( f \) denotes a local equation defining \( D \) around \( x_0 \).

Let \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})\) be a normal and projective \(O_K\)-model of \((X, D)\). For each \( v \in M^1_K \), \( \tilde{X}_v \) denotes the fiber over \( v \) and \( r_v : X_v^{an} \to \tilde{X}_v \) denotes the reduction map over \( v \). The \( D \)-Green function associated to \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})\) is defined as

\[
g_v(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})(x) = -\log(|f|(x)),
\]

where \( f \) is a local equation defining \( D \) around \( r_v(x) \).

Let \((X, D)\) be a normal and projective \(O_K\)-model of \((X, D)\). For each \( v \in M^1 \), \( \tilde{X}_v \) denotes the fiber over \( v \) and \( r_v : X_v^{an} \to \tilde{X}_v \) denotes the reduction map over \( v \). The \( D \)-Green function associated to \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})\) is defined as

\[
g_v(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})(x) = -\log(|f|(x)),
\]

where \( f \) is a local equation defining \( D \) around \( r_v(x) \).

6. Let \( D \) be an \( R \)-Cartier divisor on \( X \). An adelic \( D \)-Green function \( g \) is a formal sum

\[
g = \sum_{v \in M_K} g_v[v]
\]

having the following properties.

(a) For each \( v \in M_K \), \( g_v \) is a \( D \)-Green function on \( X_v^{an} \) and \( g_v \) is invariant under the complex conjugation.

(b) There exists a normal and projective \( O_K \)-model \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})\) of \((X, D)\) such that \( g_v = g_v(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \) for all but finitely many \( v \).

The \( O_K \)-model \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})\) appearing in the property (b) above is called a model of definition for \( g \).

Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be an adelic \( R \)-Cartier divisor on \( X \), and let \( \phi \) be a continuous function on \( X^{an} \) that is invariant under the complex conjugation. Then we denote

\[
\mathcal{D}(\phi) := \mathcal{D} + (0, \phi[\infty]).
\]
7. A pair \((D; E) \in \mathcal{CDiv}_{R,R}(X)\) is said to be effective if \(D \geq \max\{0, E\}\) and \(g_v^D \geq 0\) for every \(v \in M_K\). We say that \((D; E)\) is strictly effective if \((D; E)\) is effective and \(\inf_{x \in X^K_v} \left\{ g_v^\infty (x) \right\} > 0\). We denote \((\overline{D}; E) \geq 0\) (respectively, \((\overline{D}; E) > 0\)) if \((\overline{D}; E)\) is effective (respectively, strictly effective). We set
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{\text{ss}} (D; E) := \left\{ \phi \in \text{Rat} (X) : (\overline{D} + \hat{\phi}); E \right\} > 0 \cup \{0\}
\]
and define
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_s (D; E) := \left\{ \phi \in \text{Rat} (X) : (\overline{D} + \hat{\phi}); E \right\} \geq 0 \cup \{0\},
\]
and define
\[
\hat{\text{vol}} (D; E) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left( \# \hat{\Gamma}_{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE) \right)}{m^{\dim X + 1}/(\dim X + 1)!}.
\]

8. Let \(x \in X(\overline{K})\) be an algebraic point. The height of \(x\) with respect to \(\overline{D}\) is defined as
\[
h_{\overline{D}} (x) := \frac{1} {\left[ \kappa (x) : K \right]} \left( \sum_{w \in M_{v,K}^l (x)} g_{w|K} (x^w) + \sum_{\sigma : \kappa (x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} g_{\infty} (x^\sigma) \right),
\]
where \(\kappa (x)\) denotes the field of definition for \(x\), \(x^w\) denotes the point on \(X_v^\text{an}\) corresponding to \((\kappa (x), w)\), and \(x^\sigma\) denotes the point on \(X_\infty^\text{an}\) defined by an embedding \(\sigma : \kappa (x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\).

The positivity of an adelic \(R\)-Cartier divisor \(\overline{A} = (A, g^A)\) is defined as follows.

**(nef)** We say that \(\overline{A}\) is nef if \(A\) is nef, \(g^A_v\) is semipositive for every \(v \in M_K\) (see [13, section 4.4]), and
\[
\inf_{x \in X(\overline{K})} \left\{ h_{\overline{A}} (x) \right\} \geq 0.
\]

**(integrable)** We say that \(\overline{A}\) is integrable if \(\overline{A}\) can be written as a difference of two nef adelic \(R\)-Cartier divisors. We denote by \(\text{Int}_R (X)\) the \(R\)-vector space of all integrable adelic \(R\)-Cartier divisors on \(X\).

**(w-ample)** We say that \(\overline{A}\) is weakly ample or \(w\)-ample for short if \(\overline{A}\) is a positive \(R\)-linear combination \(\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \overline{A}_i\) of adelic Cartier divisors \(\overline{A}_i\) such that each \(\overline{A}_i\) is ample and \(H^0 (m \overline{A}_i)\) is generated by \(\hat{\Gamma}_{\text{ss}} \left( m \overline{A}_i \right)\) for every \(m > 1\) (see [11]).

**(big)** We say that \(\overline{A}\) is big if \(\text{vol} \left( \overline{A} \right) > 0\).
(pseudo-effective) We say that \( \overline{A} \) is pseudo-effective if \( \vol(\overline{A} + \overline{B}) > 0 \) for every big \( \overline{B} \in \CDivR(X) \).

There exists a unique multilinear map

\[
\deg : \IntR(X)^{\dim X} \times \CDivR(X) \to \mathbb{R},
\]

\[
(D_1, \ldots, D_{\dim X + 1}) \mapsto \deg(D_1 \cdots D_{\dim X + 1})
\]

extending the arithmetic intersection numbers of Hermitian line bundles and having the following properties (see [13]).

(a) The restriction \( \deg : \IntR(X)^{\dim X + 1} \times \CDivR(X) \to \mathbb{R} \) is symmetric.

(b) If \( D_1, \ldots, D_{\dim X} \) are nef and \( D_{\dim X + 1} \) is pseudo-effective, then

\[
\deg(D_1 \cdots D_{\dim X + 1}) \geq 0.
\]

9. Let \( Y \) be a closed subvariety of \( X \). We define the local positivity of pairs as follows.

(Y-effective) A pair \( (\overline{D}; E) \in \CDivR,R(X) \) is said to be \( Y \)-effective if \( (\overline{D}; E) \geq 0 \) and \( Y \not\subseteq \Supp(D - \max\{0, E\}) \). We say that \( (\overline{D}; E) \) is strictly \( Y \)-effective if \( (\overline{D}; E) \) is strictly effective and \( Y \)-effective. We denote \( (\overline{D}; E) \geq_Y 0 \) (respectively, \( (\overline{D}; E) >_Y 0 \)) if \( (\overline{D}; E) \) is \( Y \)-effective (respectively, strictly \( Y \)-effective).

(Y-big) We say that \( (\overline{D}; E) \) is \( Y \)-big if there exists a w-ample adelic \( \mathbb{R} \)-Cartier divisor \( \overline{A} \) such that \( (\overline{D} - \overline{A}; E) >_Y 0 \).

(Y-pseudo-effective) We say that \( (\overline{D}; E) \) is \( Y \)-pseudo-effective if \( (\overline{D} + \overline{B}; E) \) is \( Y \)-big for every \( Y \)-big \( \overline{B} \in \CDivR(X) \).

2 Arithmetic restricted volumes

2.1 Adelicly normed vector spaces

Let \( K \) denote a number field. An adelicly normed \( K \)-vector space \( V := (V, (\| \cdot \|_v)_{v \in M_K}) \) is a couple of a finite dimensional \( K \)-vector space \( V \) and a family of norms \( (\| \cdot \|_v)_{v \in M_K} \) having the following properties.

(a) For a \( v \in M_K^f \), \( \| \cdot \|_v \) is a non-Archimedean \( (K_v, | \cdot |_v) \)-norm on \( V \otimes K \).

(b) \( \| \cdot \|_\infty \) is a norm on \( V \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C} \).

(c) For each \( a \in V \), \( \| a \|_v^\| \leq 1 \) for all but finitely many \( v \in M_K^f \).
The sets
\[ \hat{\Gamma}_s(V) := \left\{ a \in V : \|a\|_v \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in M_K \right\} \]  
and
\[ \hat{\Gamma}_{ss}(V) := \left\{ a \in \hat{\Gamma}_s(V) : \|a\|_\infty < 1 \right\} \]
are finite.

Given an adelically normed $K$-vector space $V$ and a real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we set
\[ \| \cdot \|_{V(\lambda)} := \begin{cases} \| \cdot \|_v & \text{if } v \in M_K \text{ and } \\ \exp(-\lambda) \| \cdot \|_v & \text{if } v = \infty, \end{cases} \]
and set $V(\lambda) := \left(V, (\| \cdot \|_{V(\lambda)}) \right)$.

**Remark 2.1.** Yuan [13, Lemma 2.9] has proved the following estimate (see also [12, Lemma 1.2.2]). Let $* = ss$ or $s$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, one has
\[ 0 \leq \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V(\lambda)) \right) - \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) \leq (\lambda + \log(3)) \text{ rk } V. \]

**Remark 2.2.** Let $* = ss$ or $s$. Let $V$ be an adelically normed $K$-vector space and let
\[ 0 \to V' \to V \xrightarrow{\delta} V'' \to 0 \]
be an exact sequence of $K$-vector spaces. We endow $V'$ with the subspace norms induced from $V$.

1. One has
\[ \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) \leq \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V(\log(2))) \right) + \log\left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) \right) \]
and
\[ \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V(\log(2))) \right) \geq \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) + \log\left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) \right) \]
(see [13, Proposition 2.8] and [12, Lemma 1.2.2]).

2. Combining Remark 2.1 and the assertion (1) above, one has
\[ -\log(6) \text{ rk } V \leq \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) - \log\left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*(V') \right) - \log\left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*(V) \right) \right) \]
\[ \leq \log(6) \text{ rk } V'. \]

We generalize Remark 2.2 in two ways: Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 below, which will be the main tools to prove Main Theorem.
Lemma 2.3. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and let $\ast$ be either ss or s. Let $V$ be an adelically normed $K$-vector space, and let

$$V = V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots \supset V_{l+1} = \{0\}$$

be a filtration of $V$. We endow each $V_n$ with the subspace norms induced from $V$, and denote the natural projection by $r_n : V_n \to V_n/V_{n+1}$ for each $n$. We then have

$$\log \left( \#\hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V(\log(l)) \right) \right) \geq \sum_{n=1}^l \log \left( \# r_n \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \right) \right).$$

Proof. Let $\ast$ denote ss (respectively, s). For each $n$, we fix a section $\sigma_n : r_n \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \right) \to \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right)$ of the surjection $r_n : \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \to r_n \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \right)$. The required inequality follows from the injectivity of the map

$$\prod_{n=1}^l r_n \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \right) \to \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V(\log(l)) \right), \quad (a'_1, \ldots, a'_l) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (a'_n).$$

In fact, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (a'_n) \right\|_\infty < l \quad \text{(respectively, } \leq l)$$

for any $(a'_1, \ldots, a'_l) \in \prod_{n=1}^l r_n \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right) \right)$, which assures the existence of the map (2.3). If we assume

$$\sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{1n})) = \sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{2n}))$$

for $(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1l}), (a_{21}, \ldots, a_{2l}) \in \prod_{n=1}^l \hat{\Gamma}^* \left( V_n \right)$, then we have inductively

$$r_1 (a_{11}) - r_1 (a_{21}) = r_1 \left( \sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{1n})) - \sum_{n=1}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{2n})) \right) = 0,$$

$$r_2 (a_{12}) - r_2 (a_{22}) = r_2 \left( \sum_{n=2}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{1n})) - \sum_{n=2}^l \sigma_n (r_n (a_{2n})) \right) = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_l (a_{1l}) - r_l (a_{2l}) = r_l \left( \sigma_l (r_l (a_{1l})) - \sigma_l (r_l (a_{2l})) \right) = 0.$$

$\square$
Lemma 2.4. Let \( * \) either \( ss \) or \( s \). Let \( \overline{V} \) be an adelicly normed \( K \)-vector space, and let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \xrightarrow{\cdot} \overline{W} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \overline{V} \xrightarrow{r} V' \xrightarrow{\cdot} 0 \\
0 \xrightarrow{\cdot} W \xrightarrow{\cdot} V \xrightarrow{r'} V' \xrightarrow{\cdot} 0
\end{array}
\]

be a commutative diagram of \( K \)-vector spaces where the upper and the lower sequences are respectively exact. We endow \( W \) with the subspace norms induced from \( \overline{V} \). We then have

\[
\log \left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \right) \leq \log \left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}(\log(2))) \right) + \log \left( \# r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \right) \right.
\]

and

\[
\log \left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}(\log(2))) \right) \right) \geq \log \left( \# r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}) \right) \right) + \log \left( \# r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \right) .
\]

Proof. Let \( * \) denote \( ss \) (respectively, \( s \)), and fix a section \( \sigma : r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \to \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \) of the surjection \( r' : \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \to r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \).

The first inequality results from the fact that the image of the map

\[
r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}(\log(2))) \right) \times r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \to \overline{V},
\]

\[
(b', a') \mapsto b' + r(\sigma(a'))
\]

contains \( r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \). In fact, given any \( r(a) \in r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \) with \( a \in \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \), we have \( a - \sigma(r'(a)) \in W \) and \( \| a - \sigma(r'(a)) \|_{\overline{V}} < 2 \) (respectively, \( \leq 2 \)). Hence, \( a - \sigma(r'(a)) \in \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}(\log(2))) \) and

\[
r(a) = r(a - \sigma(r'(a))) + r(\sigma(r'(a))).
\]

Similarly, the second follows from the fact that the map

\[
r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}) \right) \times r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \to r \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}(\log(2))) \right),
\]

\[
(b', a') \mapsto b' + r(\sigma(a'))
\]

is injective. In fact, given any \( b \in \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}) \) and \( a' \in r' \left( \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \right) \), we have \( \| b + \sigma(a') \|_{\overline{W}} < 2 \) (respectively, \( \leq 2 \)), which assures the existence of the above map. If \( r(b_1) + r(\sigma(r'(a_1))) = r(b_2) + r(\sigma(r'(a_2))) \) for \( b_1, b_2 \in \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{W}) \) and \( a_1, a_2 \in \hat{\Gamma}^* (\overline{V}) \), then

\[
r'(a_1) - r'(a_2) = r'(b_1) - r'(b_2) = 0
\]

and \( r(b_1) = r(b_2) \). \( \square \)
2.2 Yuan’s estimation

Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected $K$-variety, and let $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}}(X)}$. For each $v \in M_K$, the $D$-Green function $g_v^D$ defines the supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_{v, \text{sup}}$ as

$$\| \phi \|_{v, \text{sup}} := \sup_{x \in X_v} \{ |\phi|(x) \exp \left( -g_v^D(x) \right) \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

for a $\phi \in H^0(D - E) \otimes_K K_v$ (see Notation and terminology [5]). We set

$$\hat{\Gamma}^f(\overline{D}; E) := \left\{ \phi \in H^0(D - E) : \| \phi \|_{v, \text{sup}} \leq 1, \forall v \in M_K \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

$$\hat{\Gamma}^s(\overline{D}; E) := \left\{ \phi \in \hat{\Gamma}^f(\overline{D}; E) : \| \phi \|_{\infty, \text{sup}} \leq 1 \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

and

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(\overline{D}; E) := \left\{ \phi \in \hat{\Gamma}^f(\overline{D}; E) : \| \phi \|_{\infty, \text{sup}} < 1 \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

(see Notation and terminology [7]).

Let $Y$ be a closed subscheme of $X$. Assume $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)}$ and $E \geq 0$. We set

$$H^0_{X|Y}(D) := \text{Image} \left( H^0(D) \rightarrow H^0(0_X(D)|_Y) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

and

$$\hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) := \text{Image} \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*(\overline{D}; E) \rightarrow H^0(0_X(D - E)|_Y) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

for $* = f, s,$ and $ss$, and set

$$\hat{\ell}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) := \log \left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

for $* = s$ and $ss$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $*$ be either $ss$ or $s$, and let $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)}$ with $E \geq 0$.

1. We define the $\text{CL-hull}$ of the finite set $\hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E)$ as the intersection

$$\hat{\Gamma}^*_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(\overline{D}; E) := \left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) \right\rangle_{Z} \cap \text{Conv}_R \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

where $\left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) \right\rangle_{Z}$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule generated by $\hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E)$ and $\text{Conv}_R \left( \hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E) \right)$ denotes the convex hull of $\hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E)$ in the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space generated by $\hat{\Gamma}^*_{X|Y}(\overline{D}; E)$. We set

$$\hat{\ell}^*_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(\overline{D}; E) := \log \left( \# \hat{\Gamma}^*_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(\overline{D}; E) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)
and define the arithmetic restricted volume of \((D;E)\) along \(Y\) as

\[
\hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y}(D;E) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\ell}_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(mD; mE)}{m^{|\dim Y| + 1}/(|\dim Y| + 1)!}.
\]

(2) We endow \(H^0_{X|Y}(D - E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\) with the quotient norm \(\| \cdot \|_{\infty, \text{sup}, \text{quot}(X|Y)}\)
induced from \((H^0(D - E), \| \cdot \|_{\infty, \text{sup}})\), and set

\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)}(D; E) := \left\{ \phi \in \hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y}(D; E) : \| \phi \|_{\infty, \text{sup}, \text{quot}(X|Y)} < 1 \right\}.
\]

We set

\[
\hat{\ell}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)}(D; E) := \log \left( \# \hat{\Gamma}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)}(D; E) \right),
\]

and define

\[
\hat{\text{vol}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)}(D; E) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\ell}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)}(mD; mE)}{m^{|\dim Y| + 1}/(|\dim Y| + 1)!}.
\]

Remark 2.5. Note that the arithmetic restricted volumes defined in Definition 2.4 have the same basic properties as described in [7, section 7]. In particular, for \((D; E), (D'; E') \in \mathcal{CDiv}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)\) with \(\min\{E, E'\} \geq 0\), if \(s \in \hat{\Gamma}_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(D; E)\) and \(s' \in \hat{\Gamma}_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(D'; E')\), then \(s \cdot s' \in \hat{\Gamma}_{\text{CL}(X|Y)}(D + D'; E + E')\).

Lemma 2.6. Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a normal, projective, and arithmetic variety, let \(\mathcal{Y}\) be a closed subscheme of \(\mathcal{X}\), and let \((\mathcal{D}, g\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E})\) be any pair of an arithmetic Cartier divisor \(\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}, g\mathcal{D})\) on \(\mathcal{X}\) and an effective Cartier divisor \(\mathcal{E}\) on \(\mathcal{X}\). There then exist a \(\mathcal{D}\)-Green function \(g\mathcal{D}\) and a \(\mathcal{E}\)-Green function \(g\mathcal{E}\) on \(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}\) such that

\[
\text{Image} \left( \hat{\Gamma} \left( (m\mathcal{D}; n\mathcal{E}) \to H^0 \left( (m\mathcal{D} - n\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{D}} \right) \right) \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma} \left( 0, \mathcal{X} \left( (m\mathcal{D} - n\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{D}} \right) \right)
\]

for every \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) and for every \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\).

Proof. Given any continuous and nonnegative function \(f\) on \(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}\) that is invariant under the complex conjugation, we have

\[
\hat{\Gamma} \left( (m\mathcal{D}; n\mathcal{E}) \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma} \left( (m\mathcal{D}(f); n\mathcal{E}) \right)
\]

for any \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\). Hence, we can assume that \(\mathcal{D}\) is of \(C^\infty\)-type. Let \(1\mathcal{E}\) denote the canonical global section of the invertible sheaf \(0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E})\). We endow \(\mathcal{E}\) with any \(\mathcal{E}\)-Green function \(g_{\mathcal{E}}\) of \(C^\infty\)-type such that

\[
\|1\mathcal{E}\|_{\infty, \text{sup}} = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{E}}} \{ |1\mathcal{E}|(x) \exp \left( -g_{\mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \} > 1,
\]
and consider the arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, g_{\mathcal{E} \infty}) \) of \( C_{\infty}\) -type. Put
\[
U := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X}_{\infty}^{\an} : |1_\mathcal{E}|(x) \exp \left( -g_{\mathcal{E} \infty}(x) \right) > 1 \right\},
\]
which is nonempty. By [11, Lemma 1.1.4], there exists a constant \( C > 1 \), depending only on \( \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, U, \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \), such that
\[
C^{-(m+n)} \left\| \phi \right\|_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}, \sup} \leq \sup_{x \in U} \left\{ |\phi|(x) \exp \left( -g_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \right\}
\]
holds for any \( \phi \in H^0(m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \) and \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). Hence
\[
\left\| \phi \cdot 1_\mathcal{E} \right\|_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}, \sup} \geq \sup_{x \in U} \left\{ |\phi \cdot 1_\mathcal{E}|(x) \exp \left( -g_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \right\} \geq \sup_{x \in U} \left\{ |\phi|(x) \exp \left( -g_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \right\} \geq C^{-(m+n)} \left\| \phi \right\|_{m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}, \sup}
\]
for any \( \phi \in H^0(m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \). We set \( \overline{g_{\mathcal{D}}} := g_{\mathcal{D}} + \log(C) \) and \( \overline{g_{\mathcal{E}}} := g_{\mathcal{E}} - \log(C) \). Since
\[
\hat{\Gamma}^n \left( m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E} \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^n \left( m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E} \right),
\]
we have the desired assertion.

Given \( X \) and \( Y \) as above and a pair \( (D, E) \in \hat{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{N}_{X, Z}(X) \), we choose a model \( X \) (respectively, \( Y \)) of \( X \) (respectively, \( Y \)) and an arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( X \) in the following way.

By [13, Theorem 4.1.3], we can find a normal and projective \( \mathcal{O}_K \)-model \( \hat{\mathcal{X}} \) of \( X \) and a pair \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \) consisting of an arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{D} \) on \( X \) and a horizontal Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{E} \) on \( X \) such that \( (D, E) \leq Y \leq (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \) (see Notation and terminology 6). Let \( Y \) be the Zariski closure of \( Y \) in \( X \). By Lemma 2.6, there exists an arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( X \) such that
\[
\hat{\Gamma}^n \left( m \mathcal{D} - n \mathcal{E} \right) \subset H^0 \left( \mathcal{O}_X (m \mathcal{M}) \right) \]
(*) Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \text{Spec}(R)$ denote a Stein factorization of the structure morphism of $\mathcal{X}$. There exists a prime ideal $p$ of $R$ having the following properties.

(a) $R_p$ is a discrete valuation ring.
(b) $p \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p \to R/pR$ is isomorphic.
(c) $\mathcal{F}_2 = \pi^{-1}(p)$.
(d) The closed point $\mathcal{F}_{\dim \mathcal{Y} + 1}$ is regular and $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational.

Moreover, we set the following constants, which will be used throughout this paper.

**Definition 2.3.** (1) Given an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $N$ on $X$, we set

$$I(N) := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \left\{ \frac{\text{rk}_K H^0(mN)}{m^{\dim X}} \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

(2) Given any adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\overline{N}$ on $X$, we set

$$\delta(\overline{N}) := \inf_{\overline{A}} \left\{ \frac{\widetilde{\deg}(\overline{N} \cdot \overline{A}^{\dim X})}{\text{vol}(A)} \right\}, \quad (2.19)$$

where the infimum is taken over all nef adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors $\overline{A}$ on $X$ such that $\text{vol}(A)$ is positive.

(3) Given an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\overline{N}$ on $X$, a prime number $p$, and an $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we set

$$C(\overline{N}, X, p, m) := [K : \mathbb{Q}]I(N) \times \left( \log(4)\delta(\overline{N}) + \log(4p)\log(4pI(N)m^{\dim X}) \right). \quad (2.20)$$

(4) Given an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\overline{N}$ on $X$, we set

$$C'(\overline{N}, X) := [K : \mathbb{Q}]I(N)\delta(\overline{N}) \log(4). \quad (2.21)$$

A result of Yuan and Moriwaki \cite{15, 12, 7} then asserts the following.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected $K$-variety, let $Y$ be a closed subvariety of $X$, and let $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ with $E \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denotes either $\text{CL}(X|Y)$ or $\text{quot}(X|Y)$. Choose a model $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$. In \cite[Theorem 6.7]{7}, “any symmetric CL-subset of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$” should be read as “any symmetric CL-subset of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$” and, in \cite[Definition 7.2, Propositions 7.9, and 7.10]{7}, “$\overline{\Gamma}_{X|Y}(m\overline{\mathcal{L}})$” should be read as “$\overline{\text{CL}}_{X|Y}(m\overline{\mathcal{L}})$”. The author apologizes for any inconvenience.
Let \( (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}) \) as above, and let \( \mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} \) be a good flag on \( \mathcal{Y} \) over a prime number \( p \). Then

\[
\left| \ell^* (mD; mE) - \# \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} \left( \Gamma^* (mD; mE) \backslash \{0\} \right) \log(p) \right| 
\leq C \left( \frac{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ad}}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}, p, m}}{\log(p)} \right) m^{\dim Y + 1}
\]

for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \nu : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y} \) be the relative normalization of \( \mathcal{Y} \) in \( Y \) and let \( \nu_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}}^{-1} \) be the pullback of \( \mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} \) via \( \nu \) (see [12, Lemma 6.3]), where we note that \( \nu \) is isomorphic around the closed point \( \mathcal{F}_{\dim \mathcal{Y} + 1} \). Then we obtain the result by applying [12, Theorem 2.2] to \( \mathcal{Y}' \), \( \nu_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}}^{-1} \), \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} (\mathcal{M}) \big|_{\mathcal{Y}'} \), and \( \Gamma^* (mD; mE) \) for each \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) (see also [7, Theorem 6.6]). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.8 ([12 Corollary 2.3]).** Under the same notation as in Theorem 2.7, we have

\[
\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{\left| \ell^* (mD; mE) - \# \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} \left( \Gamma^* (mD; mE) \backslash \{0\} \right) \log(p) \right|}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} 
\leq C' \left( \frac{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ad}}_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}}}{\log(p)} \right). 
\]

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( \mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} \) denote either \( CL(X|Y) \) or \( \text{quot}(X|Y) \), and let \( (\mathcal{D}; E) \in \text{CDiv}_{Z, \mathbb{Z}}(X) \) with \( E \geq 0 \). We set

\[
\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} (\mathcal{D}; E) := \left( \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} \left( \Gamma^* (mD; mE) \backslash \{0\} \right) \right). 
\]

If \( (\mathcal{D}; E) \) is \( Y \)-big, then the same arguments as in [12, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2] (see also [4, Proposition 7.7]) will lead to

\[
\text{vol}_{\mathcal{X}|Y} \left( \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} (\mathcal{D}; E) \right) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\# \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}} \left( \Gamma^* (mD; mE) \backslash \{0\} \right)}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. 
\]

**Corollary 2.9.** Let \( X \) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected \( K \)-variety, let \( Y \) be a closed subvariety of \( X \), and let \( (\mathcal{D}; E) \), \( (\mathcal{D}; E') \in \text{CDiv}_{Q, \mathbb{Q}}(X) \) be \( Y \)-big pairs on \( X \) with \( \min \{E, E'\} \geq 0 \). Then

1. If \( (\mathcal{D}; E) \in \text{CDiv}_{Z, \mathbb{Z}}(X) \), then the sequence

\[
\left( \frac{\ell^* \left( CL(X|Y) (mD; mE) \right)}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}
\]

converges to \( \text{vol}_{X|Y} (\mathcal{D}; E) \).
(2) If \((D; E) \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)\), then
\[
\hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (aD; aE) = a^{\dim Y + 1} \cdot \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (D; E)
\]
for every \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\). In particular, we can define \(\hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (D; E)\) for any \(Y\)-big pair \((D; E) \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}}(X)\).

(3) The Brunn–Minkowski inequality holds true for arithmetic restricted volumes:
\[
\hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} \left( \frac{D + D'}{2}; E + E' \right)^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} \geq \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (D; E)^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} + \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (D'; E')^{1/(\dim Y + 1)}.
\]

(4) Assume that \(Y\) has codimension one in \(X\) and \(\text{ord}_Y (E) > 0\). For \(\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_p \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)\) and \(B_1, \ldots, B_q \in \text{CDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)\), one has
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon_1, \delta_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_p, \delta_p \to 0, \varepsilon_1 > 0, \ldots, \varepsilon_p > 0, \delta_1 > 0, \ldots, \delta_q > 0} \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} \left( D + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varepsilon_i A_i + E + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_j B_j \right) = \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (D; E).
\]

Proof. Let \(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}_2, p,\) and \(\mathcal{M}\) be as in Theorem 2.7

(1): By [12, Proposition 1.4.1], there exist good flags on \(\mathcal{Y}\) over infinitely many prime numbers. Thus, given any \(\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\), we can find a prime number \(p\) such that there exists a good flag on \(\mathcal{Y}\) over \(p\) and
\[
\frac{C'}{\log (p)} \leq \varepsilon.
\]
Thus, by Corollary 2.5, we obtain
\[
0 \leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}_{CL} (m\mathcal{D}; m\mathcal{E})}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} - \liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}_{CL} (m\mathcal{D}; m\mathcal{E})}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} \leq 2\varepsilon
\]
(see Definition 2.4) and conclude the proof.

The assertion (2) is a consequence of the assertion (1).

(3): By Lemma 2.6 one can choose an arithmetic Cartier divisor \(\mathcal{N}\) on \(\mathcal{X}\) such that
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y} (m\mathcal{D}; m\mathcal{E}) \subset H^0 (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} (m\mathcal{N})|_\mathcal{Y}),
\hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y} (m\mathcal{D}; m\mathcal{E}') \subset H^0 (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} (m\mathcal{N})|_\mathcal{Y}),
\hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y} (m\mathcal{D}; m\mathcal{E}) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^a (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} (m\mathcal{N})|_\mathcal{Y}),
\]
and
\[ \hat{\Gamma}^{m}_{X|Y} \left( m\overline{D}; mE' \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^{m} \left( \mathcal{O}_X m\mathcal{N} \right) \]
for all \( m \). Let \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \). By [12, Proposition 1.4.1], there exists a prime number \( p \) such that there exists a good flag on \( \mathcal{Y} \) over \( p \) and such that
\[ \frac{C' \left( \mathcal{F}^{\text{ad}} \right|_{\mathcal{Y}}, Y \right)}{\log(p)} \leq \varepsilon. \]  
(2.22)

Applying the classical Brunn–Minkowski inequality to
\[ \hat{\Delta}_{C_{L}}^{(1)} \left( \overline{D}; E \right) + \hat{\Delta}_{C_{L}} \left( \overline{D'}; E' \right) \subset \hat{\Delta}_{C_{L}}^{(1)} \left( \overline{D} + \overline{D'}; E + E' \right), \]
we obtain, by Corollary 2.8,
\[ \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} \left( \overline{D} + \overline{D'}; E + E' \right)^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} \]
\[ \geq \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} \left( \overline{D}; E \right)^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} + \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} \left( \overline{D'}; E' \right)^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} - 3\varepsilon. \]
(4): Since the cone
\[ \left\{ \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \in \hat{\mathcal{CDiv}}_{R,R}(X) : \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \text{ is } Y\text{-big and } \text{ord}_Y(E) > 0 \right\} \]
is open in \( \hat{\mathcal{CDiv}}_{R,R}(X) \) (see [6, Theorem 2.21(2)]), the assertion (4) follows from the assertion (3) and [8, Theorem 5.2] (see also [12, Proposition 1.3.1]). ☐

2.3 Arithmetic restricted positive intersection numbers

Let \( X \) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected \( K \)-variety, let \( Y \) be a closed subvariety of \( X \), and let \( \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \in \hat{\mathcal{CDiv}}_{R,R}(X) \) be a \( Y \)-big pair. A \( Y \)-approximation of \( \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \) is defined as a couple \( (\pi : X' \rightarrow X, \overline{M}) \) consisting of a birational \( K \)-morphism of projective varieties \( \pi : X' \rightarrow X \) and a nef adelic \( \mathbb{R} \)-Cartier divisor \( \overline{M} \) on \( X' \) having the following properties.

(a) \( X' \) is smooth and \( \pi \) is isomorphic around the generic point of \( Y \).

(b) Let \( \pi^{-1}_{*}(Y) \) denote the strict transform of \( Y \) via \( \pi \). Then \( \overline{M} \) is \( \pi^{-1}_{*}(Y) \)-big and \( \left( \pi^{*}\overline{D} - \overline{M}; E \right) \) is \( \pi^{-1}_{*}(Y) \)-pseudo-effective.

We denote the set of all \( Y \)-approximations of \( \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \) by \( \hat{\Theta}_{Y} \left( \overline{D}; E \right) \). Moreover, we set
\[ \hat{\Theta}^{w}_{Y} \left( \overline{D}; E \right) := \left\{ (\pi, \overline{M}) \in \hat{\Theta}_{Y} \left( \overline{D}; E \right) : \overline{M} \in \hat{\mathcal{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X'), \overline{M} \text{ is } w\text{-ample, and } \left( \pi^{*}\overline{D} - \overline{M}; E \right) \text{ is } \pi^{-1}_{*}(Y)\text{-big} \right\}. \]
We define the arithmetic restricted positive intersection number of \((\overline{D}; E)\) along \(Y\) as

\[
\langle (\overline{D}; E) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)} := \sup_{(\pi, M) \in \hat{\Theta}_{Y}((\overline{D}; E))} \left\{ \overline{\text{deg}} \left( \left( M|_{\pi^{-1}(Y)} \right)^{(\dim Y + 1)} \right) \right\},
\]

where the restriction of \(M\) is defined up to arithmetic \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear equivalence.

**Remark 2.10.**

1. The same arguments as in [6, Proposition 3.9] will lead to

\[
\langle (\overline{D}; E) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)} := \sup_{(\pi, M) \in \hat{\Theta}_{rw,Y}((\overline{D}; E))} \left\{ \overline{\text{deg}} \left( \left( M|_{\pi^{-1}(Y)} \right)^{(\dim Y + 1)} \right) \right\}.
\]

2. If \((\overline{D}_2 - \overline{D}_1; E_2 - E_1)\) is \(Y\)-pseudo-effective, then

\[
\langle (\overline{D}_1; E_1) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)} \leq \langle (\overline{D}_2; E_2) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)}.
\]

3. For any \(a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\), one has

\[
\langle (a\overline{D}; aE) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)} = a^{\dim Y + 1} \langle (\overline{D}; E) \rangle_{Y}^{(\dim Y + 1)}.
\]

4. The arithmetic restricted positive intersection numbers fit into the Brunn–Minkowski inequality:

\[
\langle (\overline{D}_1; E_1) \rangle_{Y}^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} + \langle (\overline{D}_2; E_2) \rangle_{Y}^{1/(\dim Y + 1)} \leq \langle (\overline{D}_1 + \overline{D}_2; E_1 + E_2) \rangle_{Y}^{1/(\dim Y + 1)}
\]

for any \(Y\)-big pairs \((\overline{D}_1; E_1), (\overline{D}_2; E_2) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}}(X)\) (see for example [3, Theorem 2.9(4)])

5. If \(Y\) is a prime Cartier divisor on \(X\) and \((\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}}(X)\) is \(Y\)-big, then, for \(\overline{D}_1, \ldots, \overline{D}_m \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_R(X)\) and \(E_1, \ldots, E_n \in \text{CDiv}_R(X)\), one has

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m \to 0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n \to 0} \left\langle \left( \overline{D} + \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \overline{D}_i; E + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j E_j \right)^{\cdot \dim X} \right\rangle_{Y} = \left\langle (\overline{D}; E)^{\cdot \dim X} \right\rangle_{Y}
\]

(see for example [3, Theorem 5.2] and [12, Proposition 1.3.1]).

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \((\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}}(X)\) be a \(Y\)-big pair on \(X\).
(1) If $(\overline{D};E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and $E \geq 0$, then
\[
\overline{\text{vol}}_{X|Y} (\overline{D};E) = \left( \left( \overline{D};E \right)^{\langle \dim Y + 1 \rangle} \right)_{Y}.
\]

(2) Assume that $Y$ is a prime Cartier divisor on $X$. If $\text{ord}_Y(E) = 0$ and $(\overline{D};E + rY)$ is $Y$-big for an $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, then
\[
\left( \left( \overline{D};E + rY \right)^{\langle \dim Y + 1 \rangle} \right)_{Y} = \sup_{(\pi,M) \in \Theta_Y(\overline{D};E)} \left\{ \left( \left( \overline{M};rY \right)^{\langle \dim Y + 1 \rangle} \right)_{Y} \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** The proofs are almost the same as [7, Proof of Theorem 8.4], so we are going to only outline a proof of the assertion (1). The same arguments will also lead to the assertion (2). Obviously, it suffices to show the inequality $\leq$. By homogeneity (see Corollary 2.9(2) and Remark 2.10(3)), we can assume $(\overline{D};E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)$. We regard $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}(m\overline{D};mE) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m(D - E)))$, and set
\[
\widehat{b}_m := \text{Image} \left( \Gamma^{\text{ss}}(m\overline{D};mE) \right) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X(-m(D - E)) \to \mathcal{O}_X
\]
for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $\pi_{m,K} : X_m \to X$ be a desingularization of the blow-up along $\widehat{b}_m$, let $Y_m := \pi_{m,K}^{-1}(Y)$ denote the strict transform of $Y$ via $\pi_{m,K}$, and let
\[
\mathcal{O}_X(F_m) := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X_m}} \left( \widehat{b}_m \mathcal{O}_{X_m}, \mathcal{O}_{X_m} \right).
\]
We define an adelic $F_m$-Green function by
\[
g_{v}^{\overline{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}}(x) := g_v^{m\overline{D}}(\pi_{m,K,v}^*(x)) - \log \left( \max_{\phi \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}(m\overline{D};mE)} \{ \phi(\pi_{m,K,v}^*(x)) \} \right)
\]
for $v \in M_K$ and $x \in X_m^{\text{an}}$. Then $(F_m; mE)$ is effective and $\overline{M}_m := \pi_{m,K}^*(m\overline{D}) - F_m$ is nef. Moreover, by the same arguments as in [7, Proposition 4.7], we have $\overline{M}_m \leq Y_m \left( \pi_{m,K}^*(m\overline{D}); mE \right)$ and the natural images of $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X_m|Y_m}(\overline{M}_m)$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y}(m\overline{D}; mE)$ in $H^0(\pi_{m,K}^*(m(D - E)))$ coincide for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

By Fekete’s lemma, the sequence
\[
\left( \frac{\overline{\text{vol}}_{X_m|Y_m}(\overline{M}_m)}{m^{\dim Y + 1}} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}
\]
converges (see [7, Claim 8.6]). Choose a normal and projective $O_K$-model $\mathcal{X}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{X}_m$) of $X$ (respectively, $X_m$) having the following properties.

(a) The Zariski closure $\mathcal{Y}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Y}_m$) of $Y$ in $\mathcal{X}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{X}_m$) is Cartier.
(b) There exists an arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( X \) such that
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_f \left( mD; mE \right) \subset H^0 \left( \mathcal{O}_X \left( m\mathcal{M} \right) \right) \]
and
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{ss} \left( mD; mE \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma}_s \left( \mathcal{O}_X \left( m\mathcal{M} \right) \right)
\]
for every \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \).

(c) There exists a projective and birational morphism \( \pi_m : X_m \to X \) extending \( \pi_{m,K} \).

(d) There exists a Zariski closed subset \( Z \) of \( X \) such that \( \pi_m \) is isomorphic over \( X \setminus Z \) for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \).

Let \( \pi'_m : Y_m \to Y \) denote the induced morphism. Given any \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \), there exists a prime number \( p \) such that
\[
\log(p) \leq \varepsilon (2.25)
\]
and such that there exists a good flag
\[
\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} \vdash Y = \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim X}
\]
on \( Y \) over \( p \) such that \( \mathcal{F}_{\dim X} \) is not contained in \( \mathcal{F}_l \) for any \( l \). Let \( \pi'^{-1}_m(\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1}) \vdash \pi'^{-1}_m(\mathcal{F}_1) \supset \cdots \supset \pi'^{-1}_m(\pi'^{-1}_m(\mathcal{F}_{\dim X})) \)
denote the flag on \( Y_m \) obtained by taking the strict transforms of \( \mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} \) (see [7, Lemma 6.3(2)]), and set
\[
\Delta(m) := \left( \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{km} \mathcal{W}_{\pi'^{-1}_m(\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1})} \left( \hat{\Gamma}_{CL(X_m|Y_m)} \left( k\mathcal{M}_m \right) \setminus \{0\} \right) \right)
\]
for every sufficiently large \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). Then, by [1, Théorème 1.15], there exists an \( m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) such that
\[
\vol_{Y \setminus Z}(\Delta(m)) \log(p) \geq \vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{CL(X|Y)} \left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right) \right) \log(p) - \varepsilon
\]
for every sufficiently large \( m \). On the other hand, by Corollary 2.8 we have
\[
\vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{CL(X|Y)} \left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right) \right) \log(p) \geq \vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right) \left( \dim Y + 1 \right)! - \varepsilon
\]
and
\[
\frac{\vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \mathcal{M}_m \right)}{\left( \dim Y + 1 \right)! \dim Y + 1} \geq \vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \Delta(m) \right) \log(p) - \varepsilon.
\]
Thus
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \mathcal{M}_m \right)}{\dim Y + 1} \geq \vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right) - 3(\dim Y + 1)! \varepsilon.
\]
Hence, by [7, Proposition 8.1], we have
\[
\left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right) \left( \dim Y + 1 \right)! \geq \vol_{Y \setminus Z} \left( \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{E} \right).
\]
3 Proof of Main Theorem

In this section, we are going to give an upper and a lower bounds for the one-sided directional derivatives of the arithmetic volume function in the directions defined by Cartier prime divisors (see Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.9, respectively). Our main theorem is a direct consequence of these estimates.

3.1 Differentiability of concave functions

Definition 3.1. (1) Let $\| \cdot \|$ denote the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and set

$$B_r(v) := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|p - v\| < r \}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $C$ be a nonempty and open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ denote either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. A function $f : C \cap \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be \textit{locally Lipschitz-continuous} on $C$ if, given any $a \in C$, there exist an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and an $L \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(a) \subset C$ and such that

$$|f(p) - f(q)| \leq L\|p - q\|$$

for all $p, q \in B_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap \mathbb{K}^n$.

(a) As is well known, any concave function defined on $C \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ is locally Lipschitz-continuous on $C$ and extends uniquely to a continuous function defined on $C$ (see [12, section 1.3]).

(b) Suppose that $f, g : C \to \mathbb{R}$ are locally Lipschitz-continuous functions on $C$. The product $f \cdot g : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is also locally Lipschitz-continuous on $C$. If $g(p) \neq 0$ for all $p \in C$, then the quotient $f/g : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is also locally Lipschitz-continuous on $C$.

(2) Let $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ denote the $i$-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $f$ be a concave function defined on a nonempty, open, and convex subset $C$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the $i$-th right (respectively, left) partial derivative of $f$ exists and is denoted by

$$f_{x_i^+}(p) := \lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{f(p + re_i) - f(p)}{r}$$

(respectively, $f_{x_i^-}(p) := \lim_{r \to 0^-} \frac{f(p + re_i) - f(p)}{r}$)

for each $p \in C$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty, open, and convex subset of an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a concave function. Suppose that the function $f_{x_i^+} : C \cap \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz-continuous on $C$. Then $f_{x_i}$ exists at any point in $C$ and $f_{x_i} : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $C$.\[21\]
Proof. By hypothesis, there exists a unique continuous function $g$ on $C$ such that $f_{x_n} = g$ on $C \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. Denote a point in $C$ by $a = (a', b)$ with $a' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We show the following claim.

**Claim 3.2.** If $a' \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$, then $f_{x_n}$ exists at $a$ and $f_{x_n}(a) = g(a)$.

**Proof of Claim 3.2.** In fact, suppose that $f_{x_n}$ does not exist at $a$. Then one has $f_{x_n+}(a) < f_{x_n-}(a)$ (see [4, page 27, Theorem 2.8]). Since $f_{x_n} : C \cap \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz-continuous on $C$, there exist an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and an $L \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $B_\varepsilon(a) \subset C$ and such that

$$|f_{x_n+}(x) - f_{x_n+}(y)| \leq L|x - y|$$

for all $x, y \in B_\varepsilon(a) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. Set $\varepsilon' := \min\{\varepsilon, (f_{x_n-}(a) - f_{x_n+}(a))/2L\}$, and choose two rational numbers $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $b - \varepsilon' < q < b < p < b + \varepsilon'$. Then

$$f_{x_n+}(a', p) \leq f_{x_n+}(a) < f_{x_n-}(a) \leq f_{x_n+}(a', q),$$

which contradicts the property (3.1). The assertion that $f_{x_n}(a) = g(a)$ is then obvious (see for example [4, page 27, Theorem 2.8]). □

We set

$$F(x', x_n) := f(x', b) + \int_b^{x_n} g(x', r) \, dr,$$

which is defined on a suitably small open neighborhood $U$ of $a$. Since both $f$ and $F$ are continuous on $U$ and coincide on $U \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$, they are identical on $U$. Hence $f_{x_n}$ exists at $a$ and $f_{x_n}(a) = F_{x_n}(a) = g(a)$. □

### 3.2 The upper bound

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $* \in \{s, ss\}$ denote either $ss$ or $s$. Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected $K$-variety and let $Y$ be any effective Cartier divisor on $X$. We fix an adelic Cartier divisor $\overline{A}$ on $X$ such that $\overline{\Gamma}_X|Y (\overline{A}; Y) \neq \{0\}$ and such that $\overline{\Gamma}_X|Y (\overline{A}; Y) \neq \{0\}$. Then, for any $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{K, \mathbb{Z}}(X)$ with $E \geq 0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$0 \leq \hat{\ell}^s (\overline{D}; E) - \hat{\ell}^s (\overline{D}; E + nY) \leq n\hat{\ell}_X|Y (\overline{D}(\log(2)) + n\overline{A}; E + nY) + \log(6) \text{rk}_Q H^0(D)$$

and

$$0 \leq \hat{\ell}^* (\overline{D}; E - nY) - \hat{\ell}^* (\overline{D}; E) \leq n\hat{\ell}_X|Y (\overline{D}(\log(2)) + n\overline{A}; E) + \log(6) \text{rk}_Q H^0(D).$$

**Proof.** Let $1_Y$ denote the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$. By applying Remark 2.4 to the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(D - E - nY) \otimes 1_Y^{\otimes n} \to H^0(D - E) \to H^0_X|Y (D - E) \to 0,$$
one obtains
\[ \hat{\ell}^* (D; E) - \hat{\ell}^* (D; E + nY) \leq \hat{\ell}_{X|nY}^* (D; E) + \log(6) \text{rk}_Q H^0(D). \quad (3.2) \]

We are going to estimate the term \( \hat{\ell}_{X|nY}^* (D; E) \). Applying Lemma 2.4 to the diagram

\[ \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
H^0_X(D - E - kY) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
H^0(D - E - kY) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
\otimes_Y^Q \overset{\text{rk}_Q}{\longrightarrow} \\
H^0(D - E) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
H^0_X(D - E - kY) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
0
\end{array} \]

one has
\[ \hat{\ell}_{X|(k+1)Y}^* (D; E) - \hat{\ell}_{X|kY}^* (D; E) \leq \hat{\ell}_{Y|Y}^* (\log(2); E + kY) \quad (3.3) \]

for each \( k \) (see (1.5) for notation). By adding (3.3) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), one obtains
\[ \hat{\ell}_{X|nY}^* (D; E) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{\ell}_{X|Y}^* (\log(2); E + kY) \leq n \hat{\ell}_{X|Y}^* (\log(2) + n \Gamma; E + nY) \]

as required. To show the second inequality, we may assume \( 0 \leq n \leq \text{ord}_Y(E) \). It also follows from the same arguments as above by replacing \( E \) with \( E - nY \).

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( X \) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected \( K \)-variety, let \( Y \) be a prime Cartier divisor on \( X \), and let \((D; E) \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}}(X)\) be a \( Y \)-big pair. If \( \text{ord}_Y(E) > 0 \), then
\[ \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}(D; E) - \hat{\text{vol}}(D; E + rY)}{r} \leq (\dim X + 1) \left( (D; E)^{\dim X} Y \right). \]

**Proof.** One may assume \((D; E) \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)\) and \( E \geq 0 \) by homogeneity (see [8] Corollary 3.25 and Corollary 2.9(2)). Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be any adelic Cartier divisor on \( X \) such that \( \hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\} \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y}(\mathcal{A}, Y) \neq \{0\} \), and set \( \mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}(\log(2)) \) (see (1.5) for notation). By using continuity of the arithmetic volume functions (see [8] Main Theorem) and Remark 2.10(5), one has
\[ \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}(D; E) - \hat{\text{vol}}(D; E + rY)}{r} \leq (\dim X + 1) \left( (D + r\mathcal{A}; E + rY)^{\dim X} Y \right) \]

for any sufficiently small \( r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) by Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 2.11(1). By taking \( r \downarrow 0 \), one obtains the assertion by using Remark 2.10(5) again.
3.3 Estimation for the Newton–Okounkov bodies

Given a Cartier divisor $D$ on $X$, we choose a constant $I(D)$ such that
\[
\text{rk}_K H^0(mD) \leq I(D)m^\dim X
\] (3.4)
for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see [213]).

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $\overline{A}$ be a w-ample adelic Cartier divisor on $X$, and let $Y$ be an effective Cartier divisor on $X$. There then exist an $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, a $\rho' \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $0 < \rho' \leq 1$, and a $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that
\[
H^0(pA - qY) = \left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} \left( p(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)); qY \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
\]
for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $q/p \leq \rho'$ and $p \geq \lambda_0$.

**Proof.** Take any $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)$ is also w-ample. There exist $\rho'', \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that
\[
H^0(mA) = \left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} \left( m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)) \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
\]
and
\[
H^0(m\rho'' A - mY) = \left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} \left( m\rho'' (\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)); mY \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
\]
for every $m \geq \lambda_1$ and such that the homomorphism
\[
H^0(mA) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^0((m+n\rho'')A - nY) \to H^0((m+n\rho'')A - nY)
\]
is surjective for every $m, n \geq \lambda_1$ (see [9, Example 1.2.22]).

Moreover, we can find a $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1$ such that
\[
H^0(mA - rY) = \left\langle \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} \left( m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)); rY \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
\]
for every $m \geq \lambda_0$ and for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, \lambda_1$. Hence, if we set $\rho' := 1/(1 + \rho'')$, then the assertion holds. $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $\overline{A}$ be a w-ample adelic Cartier divisor on $X$ and let $Y$ be an effective Cartier divisor on $X$. There exists a $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that, given any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there exists a $\lambda'(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which depends on $\overline{A}$, $Y$, $X$, and $\varepsilon$, such that
\[
\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} \left( p\overline{A}; qY \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} \left( p(\overline{A}(\varepsilon)); qY \right)
\]
and
\[
\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} \left( p(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon)); qY \right) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} \left( p\overline{A}; qY \right)
\]
for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $q/p \leq \rho_0$ and $p \geq \lambda'(\varepsilon)$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.5 there exist an $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, a $\rho' \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and a $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$H^0(mA - nY) = \left( \hat{\Gamma}^{ss} (m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)); nY) \right) \mathbb{Q}$$

for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $n/m \leq \rho'$ and $m \geq \lambda_0$. We set $\rho_0 := \rho'/2$ and choose a $\lambda'(\varepsilon) \geq \max\{\lambda_0, 1/\rho_0\}$ such that

$$I(A)m^{\dim X} e^{-m\varepsilon_0/2} \leq 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

$$1 + I(A)m^{\dim X} e^{-m\varepsilon_0/2} \leq e^{m\varepsilon},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

and

$$e^{-m\varepsilon} + I(A)m^{\dim X} e^{-m\varepsilon_0/2} \leq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

for every $m \geq \lambda'(\varepsilon)$.

Since

$$\hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; (n+1)Y) = \hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; nY) \cap H^0(mA - (n+1)Y)$$

under the natural inclusion $H^0(mA - (n+1)Y) \subset H^0(mA - nY)$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; (n+1)Y) \to \hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; nY) \xrightarrow{r} \hat{\Gamma}^f_{\overline{X} \setminus Y} (m\overline{A}; nY) \to 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules for each $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $n/m \leq \rho_0$ and $m \geq \lambda'(\varepsilon)$. Let $e_1^{m,n}, \ldots, e_r^{m,n} \in \hat{\Gamma}^{ss} (m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon_0)/2)); (n+1)Y)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; (n+1)Y)$ (see [17] Lemma 1.7 and 3.3), and take $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent sections $f_1^{m,n}, \ldots, f_s^{m,n} \in \hat{\Gamma}^f (m\overline{A}; nY)$ such that $r(f_1^{m,n}), \ldots, r(f_s^{m,n})$ are nonzero and generate $\hat{\Gamma}^f_{\overline{X} \setminus Y} (m\overline{A}; nY)$.

Each section in $\hat{\Gamma}^{ss}_{\quot(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY)$ is an image via $r$ of a section $\phi \in H^0(mA - nY) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \overline{R}$ that can be written in the form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e_i^{m,n} + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j f_j^{m,n}$$

($\alpha_i \in \overline{R}, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$) and that satisfies $\|\phi\|_{\overline{X} \setminus Y} < 1$. Since

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e_i^{m,n} + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j f_j^{m,n} \right\|_{\overline{X} \setminus Y} < 1 + I(A)m^{\dim X} e^{-m\varepsilon_0/2} \leq e^{m\varepsilon}$$

by (3.6), we have $\hat{\Gamma}^{ss}_{\quot(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}_{\overline{X} \setminus Y} (m(\overline{A}(\varepsilon)); nY)$.

Similarly, each section in $\hat{\Gamma}^{ss}_{\quot(X|Y)} (m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon)); nY)$ is an image via $r$ of a section $\phi \in H^0(mA - nY) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \overline{R}$ that can be written in the form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e_i^{m,n} + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j f_j^{m,n}$$
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\((\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z})\) and that satisfies \(\|\phi\|_{\infty, \sup} < e^{-m \varepsilon}\). Since
\[
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\alpha_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_j f_j) \right\|_{\infty, \sup} < e^{-m \varepsilon} + I(A)m^{\dim X} e^{-m \varepsilon}/2 \leq 1
\]
by (3.7), we have \(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ss}(X/Y)}^n (m(A(-\varepsilon)); nY) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{X/Y}^n (mA; nY)\). \(\square\)

**Definition 3.2.** Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a normal and projective \(\text{Spec}(O_K)\)-model of \(X\) such that there exists an arithmetic Cartier divisor \(\mathcal{A}\) on \(\mathcal{X}\) such that \(\overline{A} \leq \mathcal{A}^{\text{ad}}\) and \(\mathcal{A} \cap X = A\) and such that the Zariski closure \(\mathcal{Y}\) of \(Y\) in \(\mathcal{X}\) is Cartier.

Let \(\rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\) be as in Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 2.6, there exists a \(\mathcal{A}\)-Green function \(g^\mathcal{A}\) and a \(\mathcal{Y}\)-Green function \(g^\mathcal{Y}\) such that
\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_{X/Y}^n (mA; nY) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}^n (\mathcal{O}_X (m\mathcal{A} - n\mathcal{Y})|_{\mathcal{Y}})
\]
for \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\). Let \(\mathcal{M}_0\) be a \(\mathcal{Y}\)-effective arithmetic Cartier divisor on \(\mathcal{X}\) such that \(\mathcal{O}_X (\mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{Y})\) is also \(\mathcal{Y}\)-effective, and set \(\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{A} + [\rho_0] \mathcal{M}_0\). Then we obtain a natural inclusion
\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_{X/Y}^n (mA; mrY) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}^n (\mathcal{O}_X (m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{Y}})
\]
for any \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) and any \(r \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(0 \leq r \leq \rho_0\).

Fix a flag
\[
\mathcal{F}_r : \mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{X} \supset \mathcal{F}_1 := \mathcal{Y} \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim \mathcal{X}} = \{\xi\}
\]
on \(\mathcal{X}\) such that
\[
\mathcal{F}_{r+1} : \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{F}_0 \supset \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim \mathcal{X}} = \{\xi\}
\]
is a good flag on \(\mathcal{Y}\) over a prime number \(p\) (see Definition 2.2), and let \(w_\mathcal{F}\) denote the valuation attached to \(\mathcal{F}\).

Given an adelic \(\mathbb{R}\)-Cartier divisor \(\mathcal{M}\) on \(X\), an \(\mathbb{R}\)-Cartier divisor \(N\) on \(X\), a prime number \(p\), and an \(\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\), we set
\[
\tilde{C} (\mathcal{M}, N, X, p, \varepsilon) := \frac{|K : \mathbb{Q}|I(M) (\log(4\delta(\mathcal{M}) \varepsilon) + \varepsilon|K : \mathbb{Q}|I(N))}{\log(p)}
\]
(3.8)

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \(X\) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected \(K\)-variety, let \(Y\) be a prime Cartier divisor on \(X\), and let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a \(w\)-ample adelic Cartier divisor on \(X\). We use the same notation as in Definition 3.2. Let \(\rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\) be as in Proposition 3.6. Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a \(\mathcal{Y}\)-effective arithmetic Cartier divisor on \(\mathcal{X}\) such that \(H^0_{X/Y} (\mathcal{M}|X + Y) \neq \{0\}\) and such that
\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_{X/Y}^n (mA; mrY) \subset H^0 (\mathcal{O}_X (m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{Y}})
\]
\[
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\]
and
\[ \hat{\Gamma}_{X|Y}^n (mA; mrY) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^n (O_X (mA)|_Y) \]
for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and for any \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq \rho_0 \). Fix an \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \). There then exist a \( \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), which depends on \( A, M, Y, X, \varepsilon, \) and \( p \), and positive real numbers \( S, S' \), which depend only on \( A, M, Y, \) and \( X \), such that

\[ -rC \left( \hat{M}^{\text{ad}} \right|_Y, A|_Y + \rho_0, \hat{M}|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon \right) m_\dim X + 1 \]
\[ - \lceil \frac{K : \mathbb{Q}}{I(M)|_Y} \rceil m_\dim X \log(m) - Sm_\dim X \]
\[ \leq \hat{\Gamma} (mA) - \hat{\Gamma} (mA; mrY) - \#w_{\hat{\Gamma}} (\hat{\Gamma}^n (mA) \setminus \{0\}) \log(p) \]
\[ + \#w_{\hat{\Gamma}} (\hat{\Gamma}^n (mA; mrY) \setminus \{0\}) \log(p) \]
\[ \leq rC \left( \hat{M}^{\text{ad}} \right|_Y, A|_Y + \rho_0, \hat{M}|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon \right) m_\dim X + 1 + S'm_\dim X \]

for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( m \geq \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \) and for any \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < r \leq \rho_0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \hat{M} := \hat{M}^{\text{ad}} \). We divide the proof into three steps.

**Step 1.** Obviously, we have

\[ \#w_{\hat{\Gamma}} (\hat{\Gamma}^n (mA) \setminus \{0\}) - \#w_{\hat{\Gamma}} (\hat{\Gamma}^n (mA; mrY) \setminus \{0\}) \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]^{-1}} \#w_{\hat{\Gamma}_{nY}} (\hat{\Gamma}^n (mA; nY) \setminus \{0\}) \]

For the given \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \), we can find a \( \lambda''(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) such that

\[ \log(4p) (\log(4p) + \log(I(M)|_Y m_\dim Y)) \leq \varepsilon m \quad (3.9) \]

for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( m \geq \lambda''(\varepsilon, p) \). By Proposition 3.6, there exists a \( \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) such that \( \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \geq \max\{\lambda''(\varepsilon, p), 1/\rho_0\} \) and such that

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^n_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (mA(-\varepsilon); nY) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^n_{X|Y} (mA; nY) \]

and

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^n_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (mA; nY) \subset \hat{\Gamma}^n_{X|Y} (mA(\varepsilon); nY) \]

hold for any \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) with \( n/m \leq \rho_0 \) and \( m \geq \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \).

Put

\[ \tilde{C}_0 (M|_Y, Y, \varepsilon) := [K_Y : \mathbb{Q}] I(M)|_Y (\log(4) \delta (M|_Y) + \varepsilon) \quad (3.10) \]

By Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.1, we have

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]^{-1}} \hat{\Gamma}^n_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (mA; nY) - (\varepsilon m + \log(3)) \]
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]^{-1}} \text{rk}_Q H^n_{X|Y} (mA - nY) \]
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which implies by (3.11) thereby the filtration by natural inclusions
\[ \ell \]
and apply Lemma 2.3 to it. By using Remark 2.1 again, we have
\[ \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; nY) = \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m(A(-\varepsilon)); nY) - \frac{\tilde{C}_0(M|Y, Y, \varepsilon)}{\log(p)} m^{\dim X} (mr + 1) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m(A(-\varepsilon)); nY) - \frac{\tilde{C}_0(M|Y, Y, \varepsilon)}{\log(p)} m^{\dim X} (mr + 1) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} #w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m(A(-\varepsilon)); nY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \]
\[ \leq \#w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) 
- \#w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} #w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) + \frac{\tilde{C}_0(M|Y, Y, \varepsilon)}{\log(p)} m^{\dim X} (mr + 1). \] 

Step 2. In this step, we are going to show the lower bound. We consider thereby the filtration by natural inclusions
\[ H^0(mA) \supset H^0(mA - Y) \supset \cdots \supset H^0(mA - [mr]Y) \supset \{0\} \]
and apply Lemma 2.3 to it. By using Remark 2.1 again, we have
\[ \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \]
\[ \geq \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; nY) - \log(3[mr]) \log(p) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|Y} (m\overline{A}; nY) - \log(3[mr]) \log(p) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m(A(-\varepsilon)); nY) - \log(3[mr]) \log(p) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) - \log(3[mr]) \log(p) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) - (\varepsilon m + \log(3)) \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \log(p) \]
\[ \geq \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) - #w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) 
+ #w_{\not\leq 1} \left( \hat{\ell}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \]
\[ \geq - \frac{\tilde{C}_0(M|Y, Y, \varepsilon)}{\log(p)} m^{\dim X} (mr + 1) \]
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\[
- (\varepsilon m + \log(3)) \sum_{n=0}^{[m\rho] - 1} \rk Q H^0_{X|Y}(mA - nY) - \log(3[m\rho]) \rk Q H^0(mA) \\
\geq -r \tilde{C}(\mathcal{M}|Y, A|Y + \rho_0 M|Y, Y, p, \varepsilon) m^{\dim X+1} - [K : \mathbb{Q}][I(A)] m^{\dim X} \log(m) \\
- S m^{\dim X}
\]
for any \( m \geq \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \). Here we set
\[
S := \frac{C_0 (M|Y, Y, 1)}{\log(2)} + (1 + (\rho_0 + 1) \log(3)) [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(A|Y + \rho_0 M|Y) \\
+ \log(3(\rho_0 + 1)) [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(A).
\]

Step 3. Applying Remark 2.2(2) to the exact sequence
\[
0 \to H^0(mA - [m\rho] Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes 1 \otimes [m\rho]} H^0(mA) \to H^0_{X|[m\rho] Y}(mA) \to 0,
\]
we obtain
\[
- \log(6) \rk Q H^0(mA) \leq \hat{\ell}^{ss}(mA) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}(mA; m\rho Y) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|[m\rho] Y}(mA) \\
\leq \log(6) \rk Q H^0(mA - [m\rho] Y).
\]

By applying Lemma 2.4 to the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & H^0_{X|Y}(mA - nY) & \xrightarrow{\otimes 1 \otimes [m\rho]} & H^0_{X|(n+1)Y}(mA) & \to & H^0_{X|Y}(mA) & \to & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & H^0(mA - nY) & \xrightarrow{\otimes 1 \otimes n} & H^0(mA) & \to & H^0_{X|Y}(mA) & \to & 0
\end{array}
\]
for each \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have
\[
\hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|(n+1)Y}(mA) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|Y}(mA) \leq \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|Y}(mA; (nA)(\log(2)); nY) \\
\leq \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\mathcal{M}|Y}(mA; nY) + \log(6) \rk Q H^0_{X|Y}(mA - nY)
\]
by Remark 2.1. Therefore, by summing up (3.13) for \( n = 1, \ldots, [m\rho] - 1 \), we have
\[
\hat{\ell}^{ss}_{X|[m\rho] Y}(mA) \\
\leq \sum_{n=0}^{[m\rho] - 1} \hat{\ell}^{ss}_{\mathcal{M}|Y}(mA; nY) + \log(6) \sum_{n=1}^{[m\rho] - 1} \rk Q H^0_{X|Y}(mA - nY),
\]
which leads to the upper bound of the theorem as
\[
\hat{\ell}^{ss}(mA) - \hat{\ell}^{ss}(mA; m\rho Y) - \# \mathcal{W}_{\cdot}(\hat{\ell}^{ss}(mA) \setminus \{0\}) \log(p)
\]
for any \( m \geq \lambda(\varepsilon, p) \). Here we set
\[
S := \frac{C_0 (M|Y, Y, 1)}{\log(2)} + (1 + (\rho_0 + 1) \log(3)) [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(A|Y + \rho_0 M|Y) \\
+ \log(3(\rho_0 + 1)) [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(A).
\]
variety, let $Y$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $E$. Let $\overline{M}_{\Gamma} Y, Y, \varepsilon$ be a $w$-ample adelic Cartier divisor on $X$. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}(X, Y)$ be $Y$-big on $X$, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}(X)$ be a $w$-ample adelic Cartier divisor on $X$. Suppose that $(\overline{D} - \mathcal{A}; E)$ is $Y$-big. Let $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be as in Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{F}$-effective arithmetic Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $H^0_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{T}}) \neq \{0\}$ and such that
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) & \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{X}}) \\
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) & \subset \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{X}})
\end{align*}
for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq r \leq \rho_0$. Fix an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. There then exist a $\mu(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which depends on $(\overline{D}; E), \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, Y, X, \varepsilon, p, \mu(\varepsilon, p), \mu(\varepsilon, p), \mu(\varepsilon, p)$, and a positive real number $S$, which depends only on $D, A, \mathcal{M}, Y, and X, such that
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}(m\overline{D}; mE) - \hat{\Gamma}(m\overline{D}; mE + mrY) - \# w_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \hat{\Gamma}(m\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\} \right) & \log(p) \\
& + \# w_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \hat{\Gamma}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \\
& \geq -r\tilde{C} \left( \frac{\mathcal{M}^d}{Y}, A|_Y + \rho_0\mathcal{M}|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon \right) m^{\dim X + 1} \\
& - [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(D) m^{\dim X} \log(m) - Sm^{\dim X}
\end{align*}
for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \geq \mu(\varepsilon, p)$ and for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < r \leq \rho_0$.  

3.4 A Siu-type inequality

In this subsection, we give a general lower estimate valid for any $Y$-big pair $(\overline{D}; E)$ (see Theorem 3.8), a lower bound for the directional derivatives of the arithmetic volume function (see Theorem 3.9), and a proof of Main Theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $X$ be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected $K$-variety, let $Y$ be a prime Cartier divisor on $X$, let $(\overline{D}; E) \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)$ be a $Y$-big pair on $X$, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \overline{\text{CDiv}}(X)$ be a $w$-ample adelic Cartier divisor on $X$. Suppose that $(\overline{D} - \mathcal{A}; E)$ is $Y$-big. Let $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be as in Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{F}$-effective arithmetic Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $H^0_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{T}}) \neq \{0\}$ and such that
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) & \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{X}}) \\
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}|Y}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) & \subset \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(m\mathcal{M})|_{\mathcal{X}})
\end{align*}
for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq r \leq \rho_0$. Fix an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. There then exist a $\mu(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which depends on $(\overline{D}; E), \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, Y, X, \varepsilon, and p, and a positive real number $S$, which depends only on $D, A, \mathcal{M}, Y, and X, such that
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}(m\overline{D}; mE) - \hat{\Gamma}(m\overline{D}; mE + mrY) - \# w_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \hat{\Gamma}(m\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\} \right) & \log(p) \\
& + \# w_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \hat{\Gamma}(m\mathcal{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \log(p) \\
& \geq -r\tilde{C} \left( \frac{\mathcal{M}^d}{Y}, A|_Y + \rho_0\mathcal{M}|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon \right) m^{\dim X + 1} \\
& - [K : \mathbb{Q}] I(D) m^{\dim X} \log(m) - Sm^{\dim X}
\end{align*}
for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \geq \mu(\varepsilon, p)$ and for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < r \leq \rho_0$.  
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Proof. Let $\overline{M} := \overline{M}\text{ad}$. Given any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there exists a $\mu' (\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which depends on $(\overline{D}; E)$, $\overline{A}$, $Y$, $X$, and $\varepsilon$, such that
\begin{align}
\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) &\subset \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} (m(\overline{A}(\varepsilon)); nY), \\
\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m(\overline{A}(-\varepsilon)); nY) &\subset \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} (m\overline{A}; nY),
\end{align}
(3.14)
and
\[\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} (m\overline{D} - m\overline{A}; mE) \neq \{0\}\]
(3.16)
for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $n/m \leq \rho_0$ and $m \geq \mu' (\varepsilon)$. Let $\lambda (\varepsilon, p)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.7 and set
\[\mu (\varepsilon, p) := \max \{\lambda (\varepsilon, p), \mu' (\varepsilon)\}.
\]
By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.7 we obtain
\[
\hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE) - \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE + mrY) \\
\geq \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D} (\log ([mr])); mE) - \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE + mrY) \\
- \log (3[mr]) \text{rk}_Q H^0 (mD) \\
\geq [mr]^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}}_{X|Y} (m\overline{D}; mE + nY) - \log (3[mr]) \text{rk}_Q H^0 (mD) \\
\geq [mr]^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) - (\varepsilon m + \log (3)) \\
\times \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \text{rk}_Q H^0_{X|Y} (m\overline{A} - nY) - \log (3[mr]) \text{rk}_Q H^0 (mD) \\
\]
and
\[
\#w_{\mathcal{A}} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{A}) \setminus \{0\}) \log (p) - \#w_{\mathcal{A}} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{A} + mrY) \setminus \{0\}) \log (p) \\
\leq [mr]^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \#w_{\mathcal{A}, nY} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) \setminus \{0\}) \log (p) \\
\leq [mr]^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{[mr]-1} \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}}_{\text{quot}(X|Y)} (m\overline{A}; nY) + \frac{\tilde{C}_0 (M|Y, Y, \varepsilon)}{\log (p)} m^{\dim X} (mr + 1).
\]
Hence,
\[
\hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE) - \hat{\ell}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{D}; mE + mrY) - \#w_{\mathcal{A}} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{A}) \setminus \{0\}) \log (p) \\
+ \#w_{\mathcal{A}} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{ss}} (m\overline{A} + mrY) \setminus \{0\}) \log (p)
\]
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\[
\geq -rC(\tilde{M}|_Y, A|_Y + \rho_0 M|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon) m^{\dim X + 1} - [K : \mathbb{Q}]I(D)m^{\dim X} \log(m) - Sm^{\dim X}
\]

for any \( m \geq \mu(\varepsilon, p) \), where we set
\[
S := \frac{C_0(\tilde{M}|_Y, Y, 1)}{\log(2)} + (1 + (\rho_0 + 1) \log(3))[K_Y : \mathbb{Q}]I(A|_Y + \rho_0 M|_Y) + \log(3(\rho_0 + 1))[K : \mathbb{Q}]I(D).
\]

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( X \) be a normal, projective, and geometrically connected \( K \)-variety, let \( Y \) be a prime Cartier divisor on \( X \), and let \((\mathcal{D}; E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}}(X)\) be a \( Y \)-big pair on \( X \). Let \( \overline{A} \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \) be any \( w \)-ample adelic \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier divisor on \( X \) such that \((\mathcal{D} - \overline{A}; E)\) is \( Y \)-big. If \( \text{ord}_Y(E) > 0 \), then
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E) - \hat{\text{vol}}(\mathcal{D}; E + rY)}{r} \geq (\dim X + 1) \hat{\text{vol}}_{X|Y}(\overline{A}).
\]

**Proof.** By homogeneity (see [5, Corollary 3.25] and Corollary [2.9(2)]), one can assume \((\mathcal{D}; E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}(X)\) and \( \overline{A} \in \widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \). For the \( \overline{A} \), one can choose a \( \rho_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \) as in Proposition 3.6. There exists a normal and projective \( \mathcal{O}_K \)-model \( \overline{x} \) of \( Y \) such that the Zariski closure \( \overline{Y} \) of \( Y \) in \( \overline{x} \) is Cartier and such that there exists a \( \mathcal{O} \)-effective arithmetic Cartier divisor \( \overline{M} \) on \( \overline{x} \) such that \( \pi_0^{\overline{x}|Y}(\mathcal{M}|_{\overline{X} + Y}) \neq \{0\} \) and such that
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_X^Y(m\overline{A}; mrY) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{x}}(m\mathcal{M}|_{\overline{X}})|_Y)
\]
and
\[
\hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{x}|Y}^Y(m\overline{A}; mrY) \subset \hat{\Gamma}_{\overline{x}}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{x}}(m\mathcal{M})|_Y)
\]
for every \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and for every \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq \rho_0 \) (see Definition 3.2). Let \( \overline{M} := \mathcal{M}^{\text{ad}} \).

Fix any \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \) such that \( \overline{A}(-\varepsilon) \) is also \( w \)-ample. By [12, Proposition 1.4.1], one can find a flag
\[
\mathcal{F}_* : \mathcal{X} \supset \mathcal{Y} \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim X + 1} = \{\xi\}
\]
on \( \mathcal{X} \) such that
\[
\mathcal{F}_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{Y} \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{\dim X + 1} = \{\xi\}
\]
is a good flag on \( \mathcal{Y} \) over a prime number \( p \) and such that the \( p \) satisfies
\[
p \geq \max \left\{ 3, 4^{\delta(\mathcal{M}|_Y)/\varepsilon} \right\}.
\]
Then
\[
\frac{C'(\overline{M}|_Y, Y)}{\log(p)} \leq \varepsilon [K_Y : \mathbb{Q}] I(M|_Y)
\]
(3.17)

and
\[
\tilde{C} (\overline{M}|_Y, A|_Y + \rho_0 M|_Y, Y, p, \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon [K_Y : \mathbb{Q}] (2I(M|_Y) + I(A|_Y + \rho_0 M|_Y))
\]
(3.18)

Let \( w_\mathcal{F} \) denote the valuation attached to \( \mathcal{F} \), and set
\[
\hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}; rY) := \left( \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \frac{1}{m} w_\mathcal{F} \left( \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) \right)
\]
for each \( r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Claim 3.10.** Assume \( 0 \leq r \leq \rho_0 \).

1. \( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}; rY) \) is a compact convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^{\dim X+1} \).
2. One has
\[
\text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{\dim X+1}} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}; rY) \right) = \lim_{m \to \infty, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \frac{\# w_\mathcal{F} \left( \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \right) m^{\dim X+1}}{\in \mathbb{R}_{>0}}.
\]

**Proof of Claim 3.10.** Let \( B \) be an ample Cartier divisor on \( X \). If \( \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; nY) \neq \{0\} \), then
\[
\deg \left( (mA - nY) \cdot B^{(\dim X-1)} \right) \geq 0.
\]
Thus
\[
-m \ord_Y (A) \leq w_1(\phi) \leq m \frac{\deg (A \cdot B^{(\dim X-1)})}{\deg (Y \cdot B^{(\dim X-1)})}
\]
for any \( \phi \in \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\} \) (see Notation and terminology 3). Moreover, by [15, Lemma 2.4] applied to \( \mathcal{O}_X(\overline{M})|_Y \), one can find \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) such that \( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}; rY) \in [-a, b]^{\dim X+1} \).

Since \( (\overline{A}; rY) \) is \( Y \)-big for \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq \rho_0 \), the semigroup
\[
\left\{ (w_\phi(m), m) : \phi \in \hat{\Gamma}^{ss}(m\overline{A}; mrY) \setminus \{0\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}
\]
generates \( \mathbb{Z}^{\dim X+2} \) (see [12, Proposition 5.2]). Hence the assertions follow from [10, Proposition 2.1] (see also [11, Corollaire 1.14]).

**Claim 3.11.** One has
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{\dim X+1}} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}) \right) - \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{\dim X+1}} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}(\overline{A}; rY) \right)}{r} \geq \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{\dim X}} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{CL(X|Y)} (\overline{A}(-\varepsilon)) \right).
\]
Proof of Claim 3.11. Obviously, one has
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_{\dim X+1} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}) \right) - \text{vol}_{\dim X+1} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}; rY) \right)}{r} \\
\geq \text{vol}_{\dim X} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}) \cap \{ w_1 = -\text{ord}_Y (A) \} \right),
\]
where the right-hand side denotes the Euclidean volume of the slice of \( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}) \) by the hyperplane \( \{ w_1 = -\text{ord}_Y (A) \} \). By Proposition 3.6, one has
\[
\text{vol}_{\dim X} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}) \cap \{ w_1 = -\text{ord}_Y (A) \} \right) \geq \text{vol}_{\dim X} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{CL(X|Y)}^* (\mathcal{A}(-\varepsilon)) \right)
\]
as required (see also [10, Appendix]).

By taking \( m \to \infty \) in Theorem 3.8, one has
\[
\hat{\text{vol}} (D; E - \text{vol} (D; E + rY) \\
\geq (\dim X + 1)! \frac{\text{vol}_{\dim X+1} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}) \right) - \text{vol}_{\dim X+1} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{YM}^* (\mathcal{A}; rY) \right)}{r} \log(p) \\
- \varepsilon [K_Y : \mathbb{Q}](\dim X + 1)! (2I(M|Y) + A|Y + \rho_0 M|Y))
\]
for any \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < r \leq \rho_0 \) (see Claim 3.10 and (3.18)). Hence, by taking \( r \downarrow 0 \),
\[
\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\text{vol}} (D; E) - \hat{\text{vol}} (D; E + rY)}{r} \\
\geq (\dim X + 1)! \text{vol}_{\dim X} \left( \hat{\Delta}_{CL(X|Y)}^* (\mathcal{A}(-\varepsilon)) \right) \log(p) \\
- \varepsilon [K_Y : \mathbb{Q}](\dim X + 1)! (2I(M|Y) + A|Y + \rho_0 M|Y))
\]
(see Claim 3.11, Corollary 2.8 and (3.17)), which leads to the required estimate as \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \). \( \square \)

Proof of Main Theorem. Assume \( (\mathcal{O}; E) \in \hat{\text{CDiv}}_\mathbb{Q}(X) \). By Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.8 and \[17\] Proposition 8.1, one has
\[
(\dim X + 1) \hat{\deg} \left( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\pi^{-1} (Y)}^{\dim X} \right) \\
\leq \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \hat{\text{vol}} (D; E - \text{vol} (D; E + rY) \\
\leq (\dim X + 1) \left( \hat{\mathcal{O}; E}^{\dim X} \right)\big|_Y.
\]
for any \((\pi, M) \in \Theta^w(Y; (D; E))\). Hence by Remark 2.10 of [11]
\[
\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\omega(D; E) - \omega(D; E + rY)}{r} = (\dim X + 1) \left\langle \left(\frac{D; E}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y
\]
for every \(Y\)-big pair \((D; E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{Q,Q}(X)\). Consider a finite dimensional and \(Q\)-rational \(\mathbb{R}\)-vector subspace of \(\widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{R,R}(X)\) containing both \((D; E)\) and \((0; Y)\). Since the function \((D'; E') \mapsto \omega(D'; E')^{1/(\dim X + 1)}\) is concave (see [6] Theorem 2.24(3)) and the function
\[
\omega(D'; E')^{-\dim X/(\dim X + 1)} \cdot \left\langle \left(\frac{D'; E'}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y
\]
is locally Lipschitz-continuous (see Remark 2.10(4)), one obtains the theorem by Lemma 3.1.

**Remark 3.12.** By concavity of the arithmetic volume functions, one easily sees that
\[
\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\omega(D; E) - \omega(D; E + rY)}{r} = (\dim X + 1) \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left\langle \left(\frac{D; E + rY}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y \\
\geq (\dim X + 1) \left\langle \left(\frac{D; E}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y
\]
for any \(Y\)-big pair \((D; E) \in \widehat{\text{CDiv}}_{R,R}(X)\). Moreover, one can show that, if \(A\) is \(w\)-ample, then
\[
\left\langle \left(\frac{A}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y = \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left\langle \left(\frac{A; rY}{\dim X}\right)\right\rangle_Y.
\]
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