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Abstract. Let $H$ be a hyperbolic group, $A$ and $B$ be subgroups of $H$, and $\text{gr}(H, A, B)$ be the growth function of the double cosets $AhB$, $h \in H$. We prove that the behavior of $\text{gr}(H, A, B)$ splits into two different cases. If $A$ and $B$ are not quasiconvex, we obtain that every growth function of a finitely presented group can appear as $\text{gr}(H, A, B)$. We can even take $A = B$. In contrast, for quasiconvex subgroups $A$ and $B$ of infinite index, $\text{gr}(H, A, B)$ is exponential. Moreover, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$, such that $\text{gr}(H, A, B)(r) \geq \lambda f_H(r)$ for all big enough $r$, where $f_H(r)$ is the growth function of the group $H$. So, we have a clear dychotomy between the quasiconvex and non-quasiconvex case.

1. Introduction

Growth of groups has been a subject of research for many years. For main results and references see [7]. Growth of cosets and related subsets in groups has also been investigated, see for example [9] and [3]. However, de la Harp wrote in [7], p.209 that growth of double cosets in groups has not yet received much attention, but probably should. In this paper we investigate growth of double cosets of hyperbolic groups.

Let $H$ be a hyperbolic group and let $A$ and $B$ be finitely generated subgroups of $H$. Fix some set of generators of $H$. For any $k \geq 0$, let $\text{gr}(H, A, B)(r)$ be the growth function for double cosets $AhB$, that is $\text{gr}(H, A, B)(r) = |\{AhB, |h| \leq r \}|$, where $|h|$ is the length of $h$.

Our first theorem shows that the class of growth rate functions of double cosets of non-quasiconvex subgroups is rather wide. Namely, let $G = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_m | r_1, \cdots, r_n \rangle$ be any finitely presented group. Let $f_G$ be the growth function of $G$, that is $f_G(r) = |\{g \in G, |g| \leq r \}|$, where the length $|g|$ is taken with respect to the set of generators $x_1, \cdots, x_m$.

**Theorem 1.** There exists a hyperbolic group $H$ and a finitely generated subgroup $N$ of $H$ such that $\text{gr}(H, N, N) = f_G$.

Our second theorem shows that if $A$ and $B$ are quasiconvex then the growth function of the double cosets $\text{gr}(H, A, B)$ is exponential.

**Theorem 2.** Let $H$ be a non-elementary hyperbolic group with a fixed set of generators. Let $A$ and $B$ be quasiconvex subgroups of $H$ of infinite index. Then there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that $\text{gr}(H, A, B)(r) \geq \lambda f_H(r)$ for all big enough $r$. 
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2. Proof of the First Theorem

Let \( G = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m | r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle \) be a finitely presented group. By a well-known construction of the second author [10], there exists a hyperbolic group \( H \) and a short exact sequence \( 1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} H \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 1 \) such that the normal subgroup \( N \) of \( H \) is finitely generated as a group. Indeed, following [10], define \( H \) to be a group generated by the elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_m, t_1, t_2 \) with defining relations

\[
\begin{align*}
& r_i t_1 t_2^{a_i+1} \cdots t_1 t_2^{b_i} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n), \\
& x_i^{-1} j x_i t_1 t_2^{a_{ij}} t_1 j t_2^{b_{ij}+1} \cdots t_1 t_2^{d_{ij}} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2), \\
& x_i t_1 x_i^{-1} t_1 t_2^{a_{ji}} t_1 t_2^{b_{ji}+1} \cdots t_1 t_2^{d_{ji}} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2).
\end{align*}
\]

We can choose the constants \( a_i, b_{ij}, d_{ij}, c_{ij}, f_{ij} \) such that \( H \) is a small cancellation group with arbitrarily small constant, and hence \( H \) is a hyperbolic group.

Let us show that \( gr(H, N, N) = f_G \).

For every double coset \( NhN \) consider the element \( \beta(h) \in G \). This is a 1-to-1 correspondence between double cosets and elements of \( G \). Indeed, \( Nh_1 N = Nh_2 N \) implies \( \beta(h_1) = \beta(h_2) \). Also for every \( g = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in G, \beta(g) = g \), so to \( NgN \) corresponds the element \( \beta(g) = g \in G \).

The homomorphism \( \beta : H \rightarrow G \) sends \( x_i \) to \( x_i \) and \( t_j \) to \( 1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2 \). It follows that for every \( h \in H \), \( | \beta(h) | \leq | h | \), where the length of \( h \) is taken with respect to the generators \( x_1, \ldots, x_m, t_1, t_2 \) of \( H \) and the length of \( \beta(h) \) is taken with respect to the generators \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) of \( G \).

Therefore, for any \( k \geq 0 \), the number of double cosets \( NhN \) with \( | h | \leq k \) is equal to the number of elements \( g \in G \) with \( | g | \leq k \). Hence, \( gr(H, N, N) = f_G \), proving Theorem 1.

3. Proof of the Second Theorem

Claim 1. Let \( A \) be an infinite index quasiconvex subgroup of a hyperbolic group \( H \). There exists an element \( c_1 \in H \) of infinite order such that \( A \cap \langle c_1 \rangle = \{1\} \).

Proof. Denote the boundary of \( H \) by \( \partial H \). Note that the limit set \( \Lambda(A) \) of \( A \) in \( \partial H \) is a closed subset. As \( A \) is infinite and quasiconvex, it has finite index in the setwise stabilizer \( Stab_H(\Lambda(A)) \). In particular, this implies that \( \Lambda(A) \neq \partial H \) because if \( \Lambda(A) = \partial H \) then \( Stab_H(\Lambda(A)) = H \), contradicting the assumption that \( A \) has infinite index in \( H \). Hence \( \Omega = \partial H - \Lambda(A) \) is a nonempty open subset of \( \partial H \).

Since \( H \) is hyperbolic, the set of poles \( t_+ \) of all infinite order elements \( t \in H \) is dense in \( \partial H \). Hence there exists an element \( c_1 \in H \) of infinite order such that \( c_1+ \in \Omega \). Therefore \( A \cap \langle c_1 \rangle = \{1\} \), since otherwise \( c_1^n \in A \) for some \( n > 0 \) and \( c_1+ \in \Lambda(A) \), contradicting the assumption that \( c_1+ \in \Omega \).

The only facts used in the proof of Claim 1 are:

1. Poles of infinite order elements are dense in the boundary of the group \( H \).
2. If \( A \) is an infinite quasiconvex subgroup of \( H \) then \( A \) has finite index in \( Stab_H(\Lambda(A)) \).

The aforementioned facts hold for an arbitrary hyperbolic group \( H \), without any restrictions on the existence of nontrivial torsion elements.

Claim 2. Let \( H \) be a non-elementary hyperbolic group, let \( A \) be a quasiconvex subgroup of infinite index in \( H \), and let \( c_1 \in H \) be an element of infinite order such that \( A \cap \langle c_1 \rangle = \{1\} \). Denote by \( C_1 \) the commensurator of \( \langle c_1 \rangle \) in \( H \) and let
\( C_2 = A \cap C_1 \). There exists a large integer \( M \) such that for \( C = \langle e_1^M, C_2 \rangle \) the following holds: \( \langle A, C \rangle = A * C_2 \) and \( \langle A, C \rangle \) is a quasiconvex subgroup of \( H \).

Claim 2 follows from Theorem 1.1 in [8], which generalizes Theorem 2 in [4], because any non-elementary hyperbolic group \( G \) is hyperbolic relative to the commensurator of an infinite cyclic subgroup. That was observed in Theorem 7.11 in [2].

Claim 3. Let \( H \) be a non-elementary hyperbolic group with a fixed generating set and let \((\epsilon_0, \eta_0)\) such that for any \((\epsilon_0, \eta_0)\)-quasigeodesic words \( u \) and \( v \) in \( H \) at least one of the words \{\(uxv, ux^{-1}v, uyv, uy^{-1}v\}\} is an \((\epsilon, \eta)\)-quasigeodesic.

Proof. As we are interested only in the existence of the constants \((\epsilon, \eta)\) we do not have to compute them explicitly. Let \( \delta \) be a hyperbolicity constant of \( H \). Denote the Gromov product of the words \( w \) and \( z \) by \((w, z)_1\), cf. [6] and [1] definition 2.6.

For a big enough constant \( C_0 \) there exist geodesic words \( x \) and \( y \) which are longer than \( C_0 \), such that \((w, z)_1 \leq \beta \), where \( \beta \) is a constant bigger than \( \delta \), and the words \( w \) and \( z \) belong to the set \( \{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\}\).

Note that for any \((\epsilon_0, \eta_0)\)-quasigeodesic \( u \) only one of the Gromov products \{\((u, x)_1, (u, x^{-1})_1, (u, y)_1, (u, y^{-1})_1\}\} might be big. Similarly, only one of the Gromov products \{\( (v^{-1}, x)_1, (v^{-1}, x^{-1})_1, (v^{-1}, y)_1, (v^{-1}, y^{-1})_1\)\} might be big. Therefore, at least one of the following conditions holds.

1. \((u, x)_1 \) and \((v^{-1}, x^{-1})_1 \) are small;
2. \((u, x^{-1})_1 \) and \((v^{-1}, x)_1 \) are small;
3. \((u, y)_1 \) and \((v^{-1}, y^{-1})_1 \) are small;
4. \((u, y^{-1})_1 \) and \((v^{-1}, y)_1 \) are small.

In case (1) \( uxv \) is a quasigeodesic, in case (2) \( ux^{-1}v \) is a quasigeodesic, in case (3) \( uyv \) is a quasigeodesic, in case (4) \( uy^{-1}v \) is a quasigeodesic. Note that the quasigeodesicity constants \((\epsilon, \eta)\) do not depend on \( u \) and \( v \). \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 2.

Since \( A \) and \( B \) are quasiconvex and of infinite index in \( H \), Claim 1 implies that there exist elements \( c_1 \in H \) and \( d_1 \in H \) of infinite order such that \( \langle c_1 \rangle \cap A = \{1\} \) and \( \langle d_1 \rangle \cap B = \{1\} \). Denote by \( C_1 \) the commensurator of \( \langle c_1 \rangle \) in \( H \) and by \( D_1 \) the commensurator of \( \langle d_1 \rangle \) in \( H \). Let \( C_2 = C_1 \cap A \) and \( D_2 = D_1 \cap B \). Claim 2 implies that there exists a large integer \( M \) such that the subgroups \( C = \langle c_1^M, C_2 \rangle \) and \( D = \langle d_1^M, D_2 \rangle \) have the following properties: \( \langle A, C \rangle = A * C_2 \) and \( \langle B, D \rangle = B * D_2 \).

Denote \( c = c_1^M \) and \( d = d_1^M \).

According to Claim 3, there exists a large integer \( N \) such that for a long enough geodesic word \( t \) there exist \( z \) and \( w \) in the set \( \{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\} \) such that \( s = c_1^{Nz}ztwd^N \) is \((\epsilon, \eta)\)-quasigeodesic. Note that \(|s| \leq N|c| + N|d| + |z| + |w| + |t| \leq N(|c| + |d|) + 2|x| + 2|y| + |t| \). So if \(|t| \leq r - (N(|c| + |d|) + 2|x| + 2|y| + |t|) \) then \(|s| \leq r \). As \( w \) and \( z \) belong to the set \( \{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\} \), no more than 16 different choices of \( t \) can produce the same word \( s \).

Let \( m = f_H(r - N(|c| + |d|) + 2|x| + 2|y| - f_H(C_0)) \). There exist geodesic words \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m \) such that \( C_0 < |t_i| \leq r - N(|c| + |d| + 2|x| + 2|y|) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \).

It follows that the corresponding words \( s_i = c_1^{Nz_it_i}w_i d^N \) are \((\epsilon, \eta)\)-quasigeodesics.
and $z_i$ and $w_i$ belong to the set $\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\}$. As $f_H$ is the growth function of a non-elementary hyperbolic group, there exists a positive constant $\mu$ such that

$$16f_H(r - (N(|c| + |d|) + 2|x| + 2|y|)) \geq \mu f_H(r).$$

Consider the double cosets $\{A_s B\} | 1 \leq i \leq m$. If $A_s B = A_j B$ and $b \neq j$, then there exist geodesic words $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $s_j = H a s_i b$ in $H$, hence $b = H s_i^{-1} a^{-1} s_j = H d^{-N} w_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} z_i^{-1} c^{-N} a c^N z_j t_j w_j d^N$.

If $a \notin C_2$ then, according to Theorem 1.1 of [8], the word $c^{-N} a^{-1} c^N$ is a quasigeodesic. As $d^{-N} w_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} z_i^{-1} c_0^{-N}$ and $c^N z_j t_j w_j d^N$ are quasigeodesics, it follows that $d^{-N} w_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} z_i^{-1} c^{-N} a^{-1} c^N z_j t_j w_j d^N$ is also a quasigeodesic, contradicting the fact that it is equal to $b \in B$ in $H$, where the subgroup $B$ is quasiconvex. Similarly, it cannot happen that $b \notin D_2$. Hence $s_j = H a s_i b$ implies that $a \in C_2$ and $b \in D_2$.

Therefore at least $\frac{m}{|C_2| |D_2|}$ of the double cosets $A_s B$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ are different from each other. So $gr(H, A, B)(r) \geq \frac{m \mu}{|C_2| |D_2|} \cdot f_H(r)$ and $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{|C_2| |D_2|}$ satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2.

**Corollary to the Proof of Theorem 2.**

Using the notation of the Proof of Theorem 2, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ the subgroup $\langle A, s_i B s_i^{-1} \rangle$ is a quasiconvex subgroup of $H$, and its geodesic core (see Definition 4 in [5]) consists of a cylindrical neighborhood of the path for $s_i$ with geodesic cores of $A$ and of $B$ attached at the beginning and at the end of the path for $s_i$, respectively.
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